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The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used in Division of Sport Fish Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications without definition. All others must be defined in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables and in figures or figure captions.

| Weights and measures (metric) |  | General |  | Mathematics, statistics, | sheries |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centimeter | cm | All commonly accepted | e.g., Mr., Mrs., | alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {A }}$ |
| deciliter | dL | abbreviations. | a.m., p.m., etc. | base of natural | e |
| gram | g | All commonly accepted | e.g., Dr., Ph.D., | logarithm |  |
| hectare | ha | professional titles. | R.N., etc. | catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| kilogram | kg | and | \& | coefficient of variation | CV |
| kilometer | km | at | (a) | common test statistics | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{t}, \chi^{2}$, etc. |
| liter | L | Compass directions: |  | confidence interval | C.I. |
| meter | m | east | E | correlation coefficient | R (multiple) |
| metric ton | mt | north | N | correlation coefficient | r (simple) |
| milliliter | ml | south | S | covariance | cov |
| millimeter | mm | west | W | degree (angular or | $\bigcirc$ |
|  |  | Copyright | © | temperature) |  |
| Weights and measures (English) |  | Corporate suffixes: |  | degrees of freedom | df |
| cubic feet per second | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | Company | Co. | divided by | $\div$ or / (in |
| foot | ft | Corporation | Corp. |  | equations) |
| gallon | gal | Incorporated | Inc. | equals | $=$ |
| inch | in | Limited | Ltd. | expected value | E |
| mile | mi | et alii (and other | et al. | fork length | FL |
| ounce | OZ | people) |  | greater than | > |
| pound | lb | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. | greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| quart | qt | exempli gratia (for | e.g., | harvest per unit effort | IIPUE |
| y ard | yd | example) |  | less than | $<$ |
| Spell out acre and ton. |  | id est (that is) | i.e., | less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
| Spell out acre and ton. |  | latitude or longitude | lat. or long. | logarithm (natural) | $\ln$ |
| Time and temperature |  | monetary symbols (U.S.) | \$, ¢ | logarithm (base 10) |  |
| day | d |  |  | logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$, etc. |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | months (tables and figures): first three | Jan,...,Dec | mideye-to-fork | MEF |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | letters |  | minute (angular) |  |
| hour (spell out for 24-hour clock) | h | number (before a | \# (e.g., \#10) | multiplied by | x |
| minute | min | number) | (e.g., ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | not significant | NS |
| second | S | pounds (after a number) | \# (e.g., 10\#) | null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ |
| Spell out year, month, and weck. |  | registered trademark | (8) | percent | \% |
|  |  | trademark | тм | probability | P |
| Physics and chemistry all atomic symbols |  | United States (adjective) | U.S. | probability of a type I error (rejection of the | $\alpha$ |
| alternating current | AC | United States of America (noun) | USA | null hypothesis when true) |  |
| ampere | A |  |  | probability of a type II | $\beta$ |
| calorie | cal | U.S. State and District of Columbia | use two-letter abbreviations | error (acceptance of |  |
| direct current | DC | abbreviations | (e.g., AK, DC) | the null hypothesis |  |
| hertz | Hz |  |  | when false) |  |
| horsepower | hp |  |  | second (angular) | " |
| hydrogen ion activity | pH |  |  | standard deviation | SD |
| parts per million | ppm |  |  | standard error | SE |
| parts per thousand | ppt, \% |  |  | standard length | SL |
| volts | V |  |  | total length | TL |
| watts | W |  |  | variance | Var |
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#### Abstract

This was a 2-year study to evaluate the thermal habitat volume (THV) model for estimating sustained yield for lake trout Salvelinus namaycush in 15 lakes in Southwest Alaska. During 1994 bathymetric profiles were taken at three study lakes where no bathymetric maps existed. Temperature profiles were collected from 14 study lakes in 1994 and 10 study lakes in 1995. Bathymetric maps of the 15 study lakes were digitized into electronic files, and provided a useful method for estimating THV based upon the temperature data. The THV for lake trout is defined as the volume of water found between $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$. In previous studies a strong relationship has been found between the July THV and the sustained yield of lake trout in Ontario, Canada and Southcentral Alaska. THVs in this study resulted in estimates of sustained yield of lake trout ranging from $0.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in lower Ugashik Lake to $6.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in Lower Twin Lake. On average, the THVs from Southwest Alaska lakes are higher than the THVs found for similar sized lakes in Ontario, Canada, and Southcentral Alaska, and are most likely the result of lack of lake stratification in the Southwest Alaska lakes while the Ontario and Southcentral lakes do stratify during the summer months. The Southwest Alaska lakes currently receive little or no fishing pressure, so the accuracy of the sustained yield estimates could not be substantiated through historic harvest records. Recommendations were made to reduce the study to only those lakes with reliable harvest information based on the Statewide Harvest Survey and where temperature data can be collected in a cost efficient manner.


Key words: Lake trout, Salvelinus namaycush, thermal habitat volume, Southwest Alaska, sustained yield.

## INTRODUCTION

Harvests of lake trout Salvelinus namaycush by recreational fisheries in the Southwestern Alaska Sport Fish Management Area (Figure 1) remained below 1,000 fish annually from 1977 to 1982 (Mills 1979-1983). Since 1983, the harvest of lake trout has ranged from 1,000 to 3,600 fish annually (Mills 19841994). Current harvests are not considered excessive; however, growing interest in the recreational fisheries of Southwest Alaska has prompted resource managers to consider the effects of increased sport harvests. Presently, sport bag limits for lake trout are considered liberal: four per day and in possession with no size limit (ADF\&G 1994). Little biological information concerning the harvest is available.

Lake trout is a slow growing, late maturing, long-lived species that is easily overharvested (Burr 1987, Szarzi 1993). The growth of lake trout is generally slow and not clearly related to latitude (Scott and Crossman 1973). In Alaska, age at complete maturity ranges from 7 to 20 years and is related to latitude; fish mature later in life the farther
north they reside (Burr 1987). Lake trout in Alaska can reach more than 50 years of age (Burr 1987). In Southcentral Alaska, Szarzi (1993) found female lake trout did not generally spawn every year, while male lake trout generally do. Fecundity of lake trout is size related, and ranges from 400 to 1,200 eggs per 453 kilograms weight of the female (Scott and Crossman 1973). A broad range of age classes and good recruitment into the population are needed to prevent overexploitation or to help populations rebound from overexploitation (Szarzi 1993).

Payne et al. (1990) developed a model relating thermal habitat volume (THV: defined for lake trout as the volume of water between $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) measured in July and the harvest of lake trout. The lakes in the Payne et al. (1990) study all had years of harvest data (determined to be sustainable), were $>100$ ha, had fairly stable temperature regimes, and moderate to high fishing pressure. The model of Payne et al. (1990) estimates a maximum sustainable yield (MSY) of lake trout from a study lake with the above characteristics. Payne et al. (1990)
considered this estimate to be the potential MSY for each study lake.


From: Minard and Dunaway 1995
Figure 1.-Historical harvest of lake trout from the Southwestern Alaska Sport Fish Management Area.

Lake trout habitat in the lakes of Southwestern Alaska may be similar to that studied in Canada. Szarzi (1993) found that for Paxson Lake in the Copper River drainage, and Lake Louise and Susitna Lake in the Susitna River drainage of Southcentral Alaska, the THV model provided estimates of MSY for lake trout similar to other models. Application of the THV model to lakes in Southwest Alaska may allow estimation of MSY for selected lakes known to hold lake trout. Although the Division of Sport Fish does not emphasize management for maximum sustained yield of resident species, comparison of MSY estimates with current levels of yield allows managers to evaluate the current levels of harvest.

The specific objectives in 1994 and 1995 were:

1. Measure the thermal habitat volume, used to estimate the maximum sustainable yield, for lake trout in selected lakes in Southwest Alaska.
2. Estimate the mean weight of the sport harvest of lake trout from lakes measured for THV.
3. Evaluate the THV model by estimating MSY for lake trout and comparing those yield estimates to statewide harvest survey information.

## METHODS

## Site Descriptions

All lakes in this study are in a National Park, National Wildlife Refuge, or State Park (Figure 2). Naknek and Brooks lakes are located within Katmai National Park and Preserve. Lower Ugashik Lake is in the Alaska Peninsula National Wildlife Refuge. Lake Clark National Park and Preserve contains Lake Clark, Kontrashibuna, Lower Twin, Upper Twin, Turquoise, and Telaquana lakes. Goodnews, Kanuktik, Kagati, Heart, and Arolik lakes are all in the Togiak National Wildlife Refuge. Finally, Tikchik Lake is within the Wood-Tikchik State Park. These lakes are remote and primarily accessible by airplane only (Table 1), with the exception of Naknek Lake being accessible also by road and boat, and Lower Ugashik Lake and Lake Clark being accessible also by boat. Selection of lakes to be sampled was completed considering the sport harvest of lake trout and geographic distribution of representative lakes throughout the area.

## Estimation of Thermal Habitat Volume

The thermal habitat volume (THV) for lake trout is defined as the volume of lake water
within the temperature range $8^{\circ}-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ during the period of maximum thermal stability which generally occurs in July or August (Payne et al. 1990). To estimate THV, both the depth and temperature profiles of the lake must be measured. Of the 15 Southwestern Alaska lakes for which THV was estimated during either 1994 and/or 1995, bathymetric charts developed previously by the National Park Service, U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USF\&WS), U.S. Geological Survey, National Marine Fisheries Service, or University of Washington-Fisheries Research Institute, were available for 12 of the lakes. The remaining three (Lower Ugashik, Turquoise, and Telaquana lakes) were sampled for bathymetric data in 1994 (Table 2). Temperature profiles were established
from two or more sampling trips to each of the 15 lakes (Tables 2 and 3).

## Bathymetric Data Collection

A three-step process was used in 1994 to gather and summarize bathymetric data for Lower Ugashik, Turquoise, and Telaquana lakes: (1) identify transects on a high quality map of the lake, (2) measure depths along those transects, and (3) transcribe the depth profile of each transect onto the map of the lake. As guidelines for selecting transect locations, the major axis (i.e., length; the longest straight line distance from one shore to the opposite shore) and the minor axis (i.e., width; the longest straight line to opposite shores that is perpendicular to the major axis) were identified and drawn on each map. At


Figure 2.-Locations of study lakes, and boundaries of parks and refuges containing study lakes.

Table 1.-Study lakes, USGS quadrangles where they are located, and sampling year(s) for temperature data.

| Site | Latitude | Longitude | USGS <br> Quadrangle | Sampling Year(s) for <br> Temperature Data |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Naknek Lake | $58^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Mt. Katmai | 1994 | 1995 |
| Brooks Lake | $58^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Mt. Katmai | 1994 | 1995 |
| Lower Ugashik Lake | $57^{\circ} 41^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $156^{\circ} 39^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Ugashik | 1994 | 1995 |
| Tikchik Lake | $59^{\circ} 59^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $158^{\circ} 30^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Dillingham | 1994 | 1995 |
| Lake Clark | $60^{\circ} 15^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $154^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Lake Clark | 1994 | 1995 |
| Kontrashibuna Lake | $60^{\circ} 12^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $154^{\circ} 00^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Lake Clark | 1994 |  |
| Lower Twin Lake | $60^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Lake Clark | 1994 |  |
| Upper Twin Lake | $60^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 35^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Lake Clark | 1994 |  |
| Turquoise Lake | $60^{\circ} 47^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $152^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Lake Clark | 1994 |  |
| Telaquana Lake | $60^{\circ} 57^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $152^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Lake Clark | 1994 |  |
| Goodnews Lake | $59^{\circ} 28^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 31^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Goodnews Bay | 1994 | 1995 |
| Heart Lake | $60^{\circ} 07^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $159^{\circ} 38^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Bethel | 1994 | 1995 |
| Kagati Lake | $59^{\circ} 52^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 05^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Goodnews Bay | 1994 | 1995 |
| Kanuktik Lake | $59^{\circ} 44^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 19^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Goodnews Bay | 1994 | 1995 |
| Arolik Lake | $59^{\circ} 27^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $161^{\circ} 06^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | Goodnews Bay |  | 1995 |

Table 2.-Dates of temperature and bathymetric sampling of the $\mathbf{1 4}$ study lakes in 1994.

| Site | Temperature Sampling <br> Event 1 | Temperature Sampling <br> Event 2 | Bathymetric <br> Sampling |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brooks Lake | 23 July | 24 August |  |
| Naknek Lake | 30-31 July | 24 August |  |
| Lower Ugashik Lake | 11 August | 29 August | 9-13 July 1994 |
| Tikchik Lake | 6 August | 20 August |  |
| Lake Clark | 5 August | 17 August |  |
| Kontrashibuna Lake | 5 August | 18 August |  |
| Lower Twin Lake | 4 August | 16 August |  |
| Upper Twin Lake | 4 August | 16 August |  |
| Turquoise Lake | 5 August | 17 August | 2 July 1994 |
| Telaquana Lake | 5 August | 16 August | 29 June-1 July 1994 |
| Goodnews Lake | 18 July | 16 August | 1986 |
| Heart Lake | 18 July | 16 August | 1987 |
| Kagati Lake | 18 July | 23 August | 1986 |
| Kanuktik Lake | 18 July | 8 September | 1988 |

Table 3.-Dates of temperature sampling of the 10 study lakes in 1995.

| Site | Event 1 | Event 2 | Fvent 3 | Event 4 |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brooks Lake | July 3 | July 14 | August 8 |  |
| Lower Ugashik Lake | July 10 | August 15 |  |  |
| Tikchik Lake | July 11 | July 27 | August 15 |  |
| Lake Clark | July 6 | July 26 | August 10 |  |
| Naknek Lake (Bay of Islands Arm) | July 3 | July 14 | August 15 |  |
| Naknek Lake (Iliuk Arm) | July 3 | July 20 | August 13 |  |
| Goodnews Lake | July 18 | July 30 | August 10 | August 21 |
| Hcart Lakc | July 18 | July 30 | August 10 |  |
| Kagati Lake | July 18 | July 30 | August 10 | August 21 |
| Kanuktik Lake | July 18 | July 30 | August 10 | August 21 |
| Arolik Lake | July 20 | July 30 | August 10 | August 21 |

least three transects parallel to the length of the lake were drawn: the major axis and one transect on each side of the major axis, parallel to the major axis, and intersecting the minor axis half the distance between the shore and the point where the major and minor axes intersected. Likewise, at least three transects were drawn parallel to the width of the lake: the minor axis and one transect on either side of the minor axis, parallel to the minor axis, and intersecting the major axis half the distance between the shore and the point where the major and minor axes intersected. Also, at least three transects were drawn from islands to recognizable landmarks on shore. The depth along each transect was measured by skiff with a Lowrance model Eagle Mach I chart recording fathometer ${ }^{1}$. A Trimble model Scout global positioning system (GPS) unit ${ }^{1}$ was used to identify the transect end points and to navigate along the transects. The speed of the skiff was held constant to ensure that the length of the fathometer trace was proportional to the distance that the skiff traveled along the transect. Fathometer traces were inspected and the distance to each

[^0]2.5-meter change in depth recorded on these printouts. The ratio between the map transect lengths and the fathometer trace lengths were calculated and used to transcribe lake depth in 2.5 -meter intervals on the map transects.

The depth profile of each lake was converted to a set of three-dimensional points describing the lake bottom using a Summasketch III Professional digitizing tablet and program Digitize by Rockware Inc. ${ }^{1} \Lambda$ reference point was identified on each map as the origin of an $\mathrm{X}-\mathrm{Y}$ coordinate system. The X and Y dimensions were calculated from a set of reference points supplied to the program and scaled in meters. The Z dimension was entered for each X-Y pair and scaled in negative meters from the lake surface. For Lower Ugashik, Turquoise, and Telaquana lakes, this was accomplished by digitizing the depths along the transects and the lake boundaries. For the remaining 12 lakes, the contour lines and lake boundaries were digitized from existing maps. The data points were then supplied to program Surfer by Golden Software Inc. ${ }^{1}$ to extrapolate a surface that defined the lake bottom. Surfer was then used to conduct volume and area calculations as well as to draw bathymetric maps of the
lakes. Bathymetric maps of cach study lake are found in Appendix A.

## Temperature Data Collection

Two approaches were taken to collect water temperature data in the study lakes: in 1994 multiple sites were sampled once in July or early August and again in August, while in 1995 a single site was sampled twice in July and twice in August.

Measurements of water temperature in 1994 at three locations on each lake (Appendix B1) were taken by field crews from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G), National Park Service, and U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service. One sample of temperature was taken in July or early August and the second sample in August (Table 2). The same three locations, defined by GPS coordinates, were used for both sampling excursions. These locations were in the deepest parts of the lake and were separated by at least 1 kilometer.

Measurements of water temperature in 1995 were recorded at one location on each lake during July and August (Appendix B2). One sample of temperature was taken in early to mid-July, the second sample in late July, the third sample in mid-August, and the fourth sample in late August (Table 3). The same location, defined by GPS coordinates, was used for all sampling excursions. These sampling locations were in the deepest part of the lake.

Measurements in both years were made at 2.5-meter intervals using a Grant/YSI model $3800^{1}$ or a Hydrolab $4041^{1}$ from the surface to a depth of at least 50 meters or until the lake bottom was encountered. The mean temperature and its coefficient of variation at each 2.5 -meter depth were estimated for each sampling event.

## Thermal Habitat Volume

Two methods were used to determine the thermal habitat volume; i.e., the volume of water within the temperature range $8^{\circ}-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, in the study lakes in 1994.

The first approach was (Payne et al. 1990):
$\mathrm{THV}=\frac{\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}-\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)\left(\mathrm{A}_{1}+\mathrm{A}_{2}+\sqrt{\mathrm{A}_{1} \mathrm{~A}_{2}}\right)}{300}$
where:
$\mathrm{D}_{2}=$ deepest depth that the average temperature is $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
$\mathrm{D}_{1}=$ shallowest depth that the average temperature is $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$,
$\mathrm{A}_{2}=$ cross-sectional area of the lake at $\mathrm{D}_{2}$, and
$\mathrm{A}_{1}=$ cross-sectional area of the lake at $\mathrm{D}_{1}$.

The second approach was to use Surfer, a computer program which utilizes the depth contour data from the bathymetric maps to estimate the volume calculations. Based upon the results of the two methods in 1994, it was decided to calculate the THV in 1995 only using the Surfer program.

The data from the month with the least variable mean temperature over all depths was used to calculate THV (Szarzi 1993). The average temperature at each depth in a month, $\bar{t}_{d}$, is:
$\overline{t_{d}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{p} t_{i}}{p}$,
and its variance:
$\operatorname{var}\left[\bar{t}_{d}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{p} \frac{\left(t_{i}-\bar{t}_{d}\right)^{2}}{p-1}(2 a, 2 b)$,
where $p$ is the number of temperature profiles collected in a particular month. The
variability among temperature profiles during a particular month $s_{M}^{2}$ is calculated by summing the variance of temperature measurements at depth, over all depths:
$s_{M}^{2}=\sum_{j=1}^{d} \operatorname{var}\left[\bar{t}_{d}\right](3)$.
The month with the smallest value of $s_{M}^{2}$, the average depths at which the temperatures are $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$, is used to estimate THV.

## BIOLOGICAL INFORMATION

The weight of at least 28 sport harvested lake trout from each lake needed to be taken to the nearest 10 grams to meet objective 2 (Cochran 1977). This information was the most challenging to gather because sport fishing effort for lake trout is so diffuse in Southwest Alaska. We attempted to obtain weight information from three sources. First, commercial sport fishing guides were solicited to help. Interested guides were given equipment, training, and materials needed to collect weight and length data. This was planned to provide samples from a wide geographic area. Second, field crews from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, National Park Service, and U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service collected weight data from anglers on an opportunistic basis. Third, a historical lake trout database was examined for hook-and-line caught lake trout that had been weighed from the target lakes.

## Potential Harvest and Sustained Yield

Thermal habitat volume was used as a predictor variable to estimate potential harvest of each lake according to (Payne et al. 1990):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\log _{10}(\mathrm{MSY})=2.15+0.714 \log _{10}(\mathrm{THV}), \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
MSY $=$ potential harvest $\left(\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$, and

THV $=$ thermal habitat volume in cubic hectometers ( $\mathrm{hm}^{3}$ ).
Potential sustained yield (SY; $\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{ha} \mathrm{a}^{-1} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}$ ) was then calculated as:
$\mathrm{SY}=\frac{\mathrm{MSY}}{\mathrm{A}}$,
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { SY } & =\text { potential sustained yield }\left(\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{ha}^{-1}\right. \\
& \left.\mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right),
\end{aligned}
$$

MSY $=$ potential harvest $\left(\mathrm{kg} \mathrm{yr}^{-1}\right)$, and
$\mathrm{A}=$ surface area of the lake (ha).
The sustained yield can be converted to numbers of lake trout per year by dividing MSY by the mean weight of lake trout sampled at the lake.

## actual Harvest Weight

The actual harvest of lake trout from each lake in $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{yr}$ was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{Y}}=\hat{\mathrm{H}} \overline{\mathrm{w}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its variance by (Goodman 1960):

$$
\begin{align*}
\hat{\operatorname{Var}}(\hat{\mathrm{Y}})= & {\left[\hat{\mathrm{H}}^{2} \operatorname{Var}(\overline{\mathrm{w}})\right]+\left[\overline{\mathrm{w}}^{2} \operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mathrm{H}})\right]-} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{Var}\left(\overline{\mathrm{w}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \hat{\operatorname{Var}(\hat{\mathrm{H}})]}\right.} \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

where:
$\hat{\mathrm{H}}=$ sport harvest of lake trout from the lake estimated by the Statewide Harvest Survey, and
$\overline{\mathrm{w}}=$ mean weight of lake trout sampled at the lake.

## RESULTS

## Weight and Length Information

Sample size requirements ( $\mathrm{n} \geq 28$ ) for lake trout were met at six study sites: Kontrashibuna Lake, Lake Clark, Tikchik Lake, Naknek Lake, Brooks Lake, and Kagati Lake (Table 4). Nineteen harvested lake trout
were weighed at Lower Twin Lake, and 20 lake trout were sampled at Heart Lake. The above samples were collected by either fishing guides, National Park Service, USF\&WS, or ADF\&G personnel. Because sample size requirements were not met at the other study lakes, the average weight of lake trout from Naknek Lake was substituted to estimate yield of these lakes. Lake trout from Naknek Lake were the largest fish of any of the study sites. This would provide conservative estimates of yield for these other sites.

The historic sampling and harvest information for lake trout that was available provided no usable weight information. A great majority of the data in the archives was from lake trout captured using gillnets. Weight information from lakes with small sample sizes (Telaquana, Turquoise, and Lower Ugashik lakes) or old data (Goodnews Lake and Goodnews River) can be found in Appendix C.

Sampling dates for each lake were the following:

Table 4.-Biological information used from lakes where adequate sample sizes were collected.

|  | Lower <br> Twin <br> Lake | Kontrashibuna Lake | Lake Clark | Tikchik Lake | Naknek Lake | Brooks Lake | Kagati Lake ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Heart <br> Lake ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sampling Year(s) | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1994 | 1995 | 1988-90 | 1987 |
| Length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Size | 19 | 58 | 45 | 53 | 34 | 30 | 743 | 20 |
| Mean | 401 | 441 | 533 | 536 | 556 | 544 | 487 | 457 |
| Mode | 410 | 508 | 610 | 470 | 570 | 570 | 480 | 431 |
| Standard Deviation | 25 | 74 | 117 | 50 | 41 | 31 | 31 | 64 |
| 95\% Upper | 413 | 460 | 568 | 550 | 570 | 556 | 490 | 487 |
| Confidence Interval 95\% Lower | 389 | 421 | 498 | 522 | 542 | 532 | 485 | 427 |
| Confidence Interval Maximum | 440 | 610 | 820 | 648 | 650 | 600 | 671 | 709 |
| Minimum | 352 | 279 | 360 | 440 | 485 | 490 | 380 | 398 |
| Weight (g) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sample Size | 19 | 58 | 45 | 53 | 34 | 30 | 726 | 20 |
| Mean | 788 | 867 | 1,909 | 1,763 | 2,051 | 1,618 | 1,478 | 1,195 |
| Mode | 700 | 455 | 800 | 1,800 | 2,000 | 1,814 | 1,500 | 900 |
| Standard Deviation | 164 | 374 | 1,063 | 465 | 490 | 229 | 329 | 740 |
| 95\% Upper | 867 | 965 | 2,229 | 1,890 | 2,223 | 2,295 | 1,502 | 1,540 |
| Confidence Interval 95\% Lower | 709 | 769 | 1,589 | 1,635 | 1,880 | 940 | 1,454 | 849 |
| Confidence Interval Maximum | 1,200 | 2,270 | 5,200 | 2,900 | 3,200 | 1,950 | 3,850 | 4,150 |
| Minimum | 550 | 340 | 700 | 1,100 | 1,250 | 1,043 | 700 | 700 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ From Lisac and MacDonald In prep.

| Lower Twin Lake | 28 June 1994 |
| :---: | :---: |
|  | 4 August 1994 |
|  | 30 August 1994 |
| Kontrashibuna | 6 July 1994 |
| Lake | 8 August 1994 |
|  | 30 August 1994 |
| Lake Clark | 3 June 1994 |
|  | 8 June 1994 |
|  | 12 June 1994 |
|  | 15 June 1994 |
|  | 9 July 1994 |
|  | 24 July-15 August 1994 |
|  | 17 Junc 1994 |
| Tikchik Lake | 30 June 1994 |
| Naknek Lake | 1 July 1994 |
|  | 15 July 1994 |
|  | 1995 |
|  | 1988-1990 |
| Brooks Lake | 1987 |

The mean length and weight for lake trout (Table 4) ranged from 401 mm and 788 g at Lower Twin Lake ( $\mathrm{n}=19$ ) to 556 mm and $2,051 \mathrm{~g}$ at Naknek Lake ( $\mathrm{n}=34$ ).

## Thermal Habitat Volume (THV) and Yield Estimates

Thermal habitat volume for lake trout was estimated for 14 study lakes in 1994 and 10 study lakes in 1995 for both early and late temperature profiles (Table 2, Table 3, and Appendix D). Naknek Lake, by far the largest lake in this study at 56.321 ha, was given special consideration for estimating the THV. Water temperature measurements were taken in the two deep sections of the lake located on the eastern half of the lake: North Arm and Iliuk Arm (Appendix A8 and A9). The North Arm data were considered representative of all of Naknek Lake except Iliuk Arm, and the Iliuk Arm data were used only for the Iliuk Arm area. The THV values calculated from the two sections were then combined to
provide an estimate of THV for the entire Naknek Lake.

The criteria for selecting the THV value to represent each study lake each year was based upon which month or time period had the least mean temperature variation. In 1995, only four of the ten study lakes were sampled twice in both July and August as scheduled (Table 5). The July sum of mean temperature variances was lower than August for all four lakes.

Table 5.-Sums of mean temperature variances at 2.5 -meter intervals for sites where two sampling events occurred in both July and August, 1995.

| Site | July | August |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Goodnews Lake | 8.01 | 9.29 |
| Kagati Lake | 1.71 | 7.80 |
| Kanuktik Lake | 5.26 | 6.41 |
| Arolik Lake | 1.30 | 19.62 |

The July THV values in 1995 were then used to estimate potential harvest ( $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{yr)}$ ) and potential sustained yield (in both $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} /$ year and in number of fish/yr: Table 6). Based upon the assumption that July temperature data were also least variable in 1994, THV estimates in 1994 from July (or closest sampling event to July) temperature data were used to calculate potential harvest and sustained yield in 1994 (Table 6).
The minimum and maximum estimates of THV ( $12 \mathrm{hm}^{3}$ to $5,852 \mathrm{hm}^{3}$ ), MSY ( $817 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}$ to $69,161 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}$ ), and SY ( 398 fish $/ \mathrm{yr}$ to $33,721 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) corresponded to the smallest and largest lakes in 1995, Arolik Lake (surface area 224 ha) and Naknek Lake (surface area 56,321 ha), respectively. The potential sustained yield ranged from

Table 6.-Thermal habitat volumes (THV) and potential harvest and sustained yield for lake trout from Southwest Alaska study lakes, 1994 and 1995.

| Site | Year | Surface Area (ha) | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{THV}^{\mathrm{a}} \\ \left(\mathrm{hm}^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{b}} \end{gathered}$ | Potential Harvest (kg/yr) | Potential Sustained Yield (kg/ha/yr) | Mean Weight (kg) | Potential Sustained Yield (fish/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brooks Lake | 1994 | 5,480 | 1,040 | 20,148 | 3.7 | 1.618 | 12,452 |
|  | 1995 | 5,480 | 945 | 18,814 | 3.4 | 1.618 | 11,628 |
| Naknek Lake | 1994 | 56,321 | 5,688 | 67,768 | 1.2 | 2.051 | 33,041 |
|  | 1995 | 56,321 | 5,852 | 69,161 | 1.2 | 2.051 | 33,721 |
| Lower Ugashik Lake ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1994 | 17,693 | 4,763 | 59,705 | 3.4 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | 29,110 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | 1995 | 17,693 | 436 | 10,835 | 0.6 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | $5,283^{\text {c }}$ |
| Tikchik Lake | 1994 | 5,892 | 345 | 9,159 | 1.6 | 1.763 | 5,195 |
|  | 1995 | 5,892 | 298 | 8,249 | 1.4 | 1.763 | 4,679 |
| Lake Clark | 1994 | 30,659 | 3,163 | 44,569 | 1.5 | 1.909 | 23,347 |
|  | 1995 | 30,659 | 3,853 | 51,316 | 1.7 | 1.909 | 26,881 |
| Kontrashibuna Lake | 1994 | 2,345 | 487 | 11,715 | 5.0 | 0.867 | 13,512 |
| Lower Twin Lake | 1994 | 831 | 162 | 5,336 | 6.4 | 0.789 | 6,763 |
| Upper Twin Lake ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1994 | 1,503 | 178 | 5,717 | 3.8 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | 2,785 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Turquoise Lake ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1994 | 1,300 | 75 | 3,086 | 2.4 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | $1,505^{\text {c }}$ |
| Telaquana Lake ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1994 | 4,632 | 646 | 14,332 | 3.1 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | 6,988 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Goodnews Lake ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1994 | 382 | 48 | 2,227 | 5.8 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | $1,086^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | 1995 | 382 | 13 | 871 | 2.3 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | $425^{\text {c }}$ |
| Heart Lake | 1994 | 565 | 86 | 3,400 | 6.0 | 1.195 | 2,845 |
|  | 1995 | 565 | 75 | 3,078 | 5.4 | 1.195 | 2,576 |
| Kagati Lake | 1994 | 1,057 | 152 | 5,102 | 4.8 | 1.478 | 3,452 |
|  | 1995 | 1,057 | 69 | 2,917 | 2.8 | 1.478 | 1,974 |
| Kanuktik Lake ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1994 | 807 | 122 | 4,363 | 5.4 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | 2,127 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
|  | 1995 | 807 | 66 | 2,801 | 3.5 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | 1,366 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| Arolik Lake ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1995 | 224 | 12 | 817 | 3.6 | $2.051^{\text {c }}$ | $398^{\text {c }}$ |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ THVs were estimated from temperature data collected in July (or closest sampling event to July).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{hm}^{3}=$ cubic hectometers.
c Too few weight samples were obtained from this lake to estimate mean weight. The mean weight of lake trout from Naknek Lake (the largest mean weight available) was used to estimate sustained yield (fish/yr) for this lake. This probably results in a conservative estimate of sustained yield.
$0.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1995 at Lower Ugashik Lake to $6.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1994 at Lower Twin Lake.

Nine lakes had estimates of THV and SY for 1994 and 1995: Brooks, Naknek, Lower Ugashik, Tikchik, Goodnews, Heart, Kagati, and Kanuktik lakes, and Lake Clark (Table 7). The most dramatic change in estimates between years occurred at lower Ugashik Lake: the THV was $4,763 \mathrm{hm}^{3}$ in 1994 versus $436 \mathrm{hm}^{3}$ in 1995; the MSY was $59,705 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1994 versus $10,835 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1995; and the SY was 29,110 fish $/ \mathrm{yr}$ and $3.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1994 versus $5,283 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{yr}$ and $0.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1995.

## Current Harvest

Average annual harvest of lake trout from each study lake during the past 5 years and the past 10 years varied from less than 1 to approximately 300 (Table 8). Lakes with a
low 5-year or 10-year average harvest also have few respondents to the Statewide Harvest Survey (less than five a year most likely) and these may not have accurate estimates of lake trout harvest. Table 8 shows the average number of respondents for each of the study lakes.

The harvest of lake trout from lakes with fewer than 12 respondents is not considered reliable (Mills and Howe 1992). The estimated harvest of lake trout in three lakes (Table 8) included harvest from nearby rivers: Naknek Lake included Naknek Lake, Bay of Islands, Iliuk Arm, Brooks River, and Naknek River; Goodnews Lake included Goodnews Lake and Goodnews River; and Kagati Lake included Kagati Lake and Kanektok River. The harvest of lake trout in these three lakes and adjoining rivers is considered part of the local lake trout stock.

Table 7.-Comparison of THV and potential sustained yield (fish) with their respective dates of sampling.

|  |  |  |  |  | 1994 <br> Potential <br> Sustained | 1995 <br> Potential <br> Sustained |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Site | 1994 <br> Sampling <br> Date | 1995 <br> Sampling <br> Date | 1994 <br> THV <br> $\left(\mathrm{hm}^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 1995 <br> THV <br> $\left(\mathrm{hm}^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Yield <br> $($ fish/yr) | Yield <br> $($ fish/yr) |
| Brooks Lake | 23-July | July Mean | 1,040 | 945 | 12,452 | 11,628 |
| Naknek Lake | 31-July | July Mean | 4,840 | 5,852 | 29,443 | 33,721 |
| Lower Ugashik Lake | 11-Aug | 10-July | 4,763 | 436 | 29,330 | 5,283 |
| Tikchik Lake | 6-Aug | July Mean | 345 | 298 | 5,195 | 4,679 |
| Lake Clark | 5-Aug | July Mean | 3,163 | 3,853 | 24,090 | 26,881 |
| Goodnews Lake | 18-July | July Mean | 48 | 13 | 1,086 | 425 |
| Heart Lake | 18-July | July Mean | 86 | 75 | 2,845 | 2,576 |
| Kagati Lake | 18-July | July Mean | 152 | 69 | 3,452 | 1,974 |
| Kanuktik | 18-July | July Mean | 122 | 66 | 2,127 | 1,366 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{hm}^{3}=$ cubic hectometers.

Table 8.-Average number of respondents (households) to the Statewide Harvest Survey who reported fishing at the study lakes during 1985-1994, and average estimated harvest of lake trout from the Statewide Harvest Survey during that period.

|  | 10-Year Average (1985-1994) |  |  | 5-year Average (1990-1994) |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Site | Respondents $^{2}$ | Harvest |  | Respondents $^{\text {a }}$ | Harvest |
| Brooks Lake | 13 | 76 |  | 19 | 84 |
| Naknek Lake | 221 | 170 |  | 297 | 93 |
| Lower Ugashik Lake | 15 | 46 |  | 18 | 44 |
| Tikchik Lake | 25 | 162 |  | 37 | 104 |
| Lake Clark | 30 | 283 |  | 45 | 284 |
| Kontrashibuna Lake | $<1$ | 2 |  | 1 | 4 |
| Twin Lake (both Upper | 3 | 45 |  | 3 | 16 |
| and Lower) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Turquoise Lake | $<1$ | 5 |  |  |  |
| Telaquana Lake | 1 | 20 |  | 2 | 0 |
| Goodnews Lake | 121 | 12 |  | 15 | 40 |
| Heart Lake | $<1$ | 4 |  | $<1$ | 10 |
| Kagati Lake |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kanuktik Lake | 44 | 2 |  | 48 | 8 |
| Arolik Lake | $<1$ | 2 |  | $<1$ | 4 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}<1$ indicates at least some response (although the average is less than 1).
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Naknek Lake includes Naknek Lake, Bay of Islands, Iliuk Arm, Brooks River, and Naknek River harvest data.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Goodnews Lake includes Goodnews Lake and Goodnews River harvest data.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Kagati Lake includes Kagati Lake and Kanektok River harvest data.

## DISCUSSION

Current recreational harvest levels appear fairly minimal and should not affect the lake trout abundance or production at any of the study lakes. The current daily bag limit of four lake trout also does not appear excessive. However, due to the remote nature of these lakes and the infrequent reports of harvest for some of these lakes, the estimates of lake trout harvest from the Statewide Harvest Survey may be biased.

The THV model developed by Payne et al. (1990) for estimating the sustained yield of
lake trout relies upon the accuracy of the THV estimate. The estimation of THV for each lake is based upon two parameters: the bathymetric and temperature profile data. The temperature profile data will vary both on a temporal and spatial scale. Determining what constitutes the "best" temperature data, be it an average of several measurements at several locations or a single measurement at one location, thus bccomes critical. Payne et al. (1990) determined that temperature profile data collected during July from Ontario lakes contained the least variation. Szarzi (1993) found that July temperature data at Paxson Lake in 1991-1992 and at Lake Louise in

1991 were less variable than August temperature data, while at Lake Louise in 1992 the temperature data were equally variable between July and August.
The sampling methods in this study for collecting temperature data in 1995 were different than those in 1994. In 1994 each lake was sampled once in July and once in August at three locations, while in 1995 each lake was scheduled to be sampled twice in July and twice in August at one location. Variation in temperature data occurred both on a temporal and spatial scale within each lake. The THV estimates presented in Table 6 were estimated from temperature data collected in July, or data collected in early August if the July sampling trip did not occur (Table 7).
Estimates of THV at lakes can vary significantly from year to year. The THV estimate for the Southcentral Alaska lake, Lake Louise, varied from $52.6 \mathrm{hm}^{3}$ in 1991 to $291.3 \mathrm{hm}^{3}$ in 1992 (Szarzi 1993). For Lower Ugashik Lake in Southwest Alaska, the THV estimate was $4,763 \mathrm{hm}^{3}$ in 1994 and only 436 $\mathrm{hm}^{3}$ in 1995 (Table 7).
The majority of the estimates of yield (and THV) from Southcentral Alaska lakes (Szarzi 1993) are below yield estimates from Southwest Alaska lakes. At Paxson Lake estimates of yield from 1991 and 1992 were very similar ( $0.99 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$ and $1.03 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha}$, respectively). At Lake Louise, however, yield estimates were $0.37 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1991 and 1.21 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1992. The yield estimate for Lake Susitna is $0.52 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$. These estimates of yield are generally below the yield estimates for lakes in this study (Table 6). Lower Ugashik Lake had the smallest estimates of yield from Southwest Alaska study lakes at $0.6 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ in 1995 . Yield estimates for Southwest Alaska lakes range from this low,
to a high of $6.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ for Lower Twin Lake in 1994.

The estimates of yield (and THV) from Ontario lakes can also be compared to lakes in Southwest Alaska. Payne et al. (1990) estimated the yield of 20 lakes in Ontario, Canada. Most of these lakes were significantly larger than the lakes included in this study: 14 out of the 20 Ontario lakes ranged in size from 115,200 to $8,241,400$ ha; while the 15 Southwest Alaska lakes ranged in size from 224 to 56,321 ha. Payne et al. (1990) found that the estimated yield in the Ontario lakes ranged from $0.02 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ to $3.0 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$. A trend also appeared in that larger lakes had smaller estimates of yield and smaller lakes had greater estimates of yield $(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$. Seventeen of 20 lakes in the Ontario study had an estimated yicld of between 0.02 to $0.87 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$; considerably smaller than the lakes in Southwest Alaska. Comparison of lakes of the same relative size (surface area) shows Naknek Lake $(56,321$ ha) had an estimated yield of $1.2 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ while Amisk Lake ( $32,100 \mathrm{ha}$ ) in Ontario had an estimated yield of less than half that at 0.42 $\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$. The yield estimate of $2.9 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ at Telaquana Lake ( 4,632 ha) in Southwestern Alaska was nearly four times that of Lake Opeongo ( $5,894 \mathrm{ha} ; 0.68 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ ) in Ontario. In only one case did similar sized lakes in Canada and Southwest Alaska have similar estimated yields: Bone Lake ( 121 ha) had an estimated yield of $2.12 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$ while Goodnews Lake ( 382 ha ) had an estimate of $3.4 \mathrm{~kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr}$.

The estimated THV for lakes in Southwest Alaska are on average considerably higher than those from Southcentral Alaska and Ontario. This is likely due to lack of stratification of the water column. The study lakes in Southwest Alaska during the summer of 1994 and 1995 did not appear to stratify
like Ontario or Southcentral Alaska study lakes. A temperature profile from $8^{\circ}-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ could be found, but at times $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ started at the lake surface. The lack of stratification in the study lakes is due to the frequent storms passing over the Alaska Peninsula which result in strong winds that cause a lake's water column to mix (LaPerriere 1996). The estimated yields for Southwest Alaska study lakes were generally larger than Ontario and Southcentral Alaska lakes because of the large THV to surface area ratio for Southwest Alaska lakes. While an $8^{\circ}-12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ temperature profile is present in the study lakes it is unclear if a relation exists between lake trout production in the lakes of Southwest Alaska and THV.

Population estimates developed from lake trout tagging projects were available for comparison with estimated sustained yields derived from the THV model for Paxson Lake in Southcentral Alaska and Kagati Lake in Southwest Alaska. Szarzi (1993) estimated a mature lake trout population of 9,124 fish ( $\mathrm{SE}=1,707$ ) for Paxson Lake in 1991, while the estimated sustained yield based on the THV model was 866 fish/yr in 1991 and 1,014 fish/yr in 1992. Mark-recapture data from a tagging study conducted by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service at Kagati Lake (Lisac and MacDonald In prep) provided a population estimate based on the Jolly-Seber model (Seber 1982) of 2,119 fish in 1989 ( $95 \%$ confidence interval of 0 to 5,803 ) and 2,329 fish in 1990 ( $95 \%$ confidence interval of 0 to 6,973 ). The potential sustained yield estimated by the THV model for Kagati Lake of 3,542 fish/yr in 1994 and 1,974 fish/yr in 1995, provides indications that either the THV model may be severely overestimating the potential sustained yield of Kagati Lake, or that the true population size in Kagati Lake may be closer to the upper limits of the $95 \%$
confidence limits than the Jolly-Seber population point estimates for 1989 and 1990.
The estimates of THV, MSY, and SY developed from this initial project for lakes in Southwest Alaska should be considered preliminary. It is clear from Szarzi's report (1993) and the results of this study in Southwest Alaska that a much longer series of data needs to be collected and examined to make a more reliable analysis of THV and lake trout production in Southwest Alaska lakes.

## CONCLUSIONS

Based on the work from 1994-1995, it is difficult to determine the feasibility of using the THV model for estimating maximum sustained yield for lake trout in southwest Alaska. Temperature profile information collected from the study lakes showed a distinct lack of stratification which may compromise the utility of the THV model. In lakes where the THV models have been successfully used, lake stratification is common to all. If lakes do not distinctly stratify, as it appears that they may not in southwest Alaska, then the volume of water of a desirable or limiting temperature may not be a limiting factor.
The THV model produced a potential sustained yield (fish/yr) nearly equal to the estimated population size for Kagati Lake, the only study lake in Southwest Alaska where both estimates were available for this study. At this time we are unable to determine if such apparent overestimation of sustained yield values derived from the THV model is occurring with the other study lakes in Southwest Alaska.

Assuming the THV model is applicable, then the estimates of sustained yield are far greater than harvest estimates presently reported in
the Statewide Ilarvest Survey. Estimates of sustained yield contained in this report are highly preliminary and often based on incomplete sampling information. Improvements in the quality, and the utility of the estimates of sustained yield are contingent upon determining the applicability of the THV model to lakes in Southwest Alaska and increasing the sample sizes of sport harvested lake trout.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

We recommend that the number of study lakes be reduced to a few lakes which have both adequate sample sizes of biological data from lake trout and where temperature data can be obtained in a cost efficient manner by NPS, USF\&WS, or ADF\&G personnel. Results from a thorough study on a few lakes will then provide baseline information on how to proceed with estimating sustained yield of lake trout from Southwest Alaska lakes. It may be that another variable, such as surface area of the lake, will ultimately prove more appropriate than THV for modeling lake trout populations in Southwest Alaska lakes.
The population dynamics of lake trout in Southwest Alaska lakes need to be more thoroughly documented. In addition to estimating mean weight from a minimum of 28 lake trout weights, length, sex, and age data need to be collected. Development of a database of abundance estimates, mortality, and carrying capacity is necessary for evaluating sustained yield models based on abiotic characteristics of the lake.

It is appropriate to continue evaluating the THV of Southwest Alaska lakes by collecting temperature data during July and August in the deepest area of the lake. The ideal sampling method would be to establish a monitoring station which continuously records the temperature at various depths, by
attaching temperature data loggers at various locations on an anchored/buoyed line. The more cost effective approach would be to continue to take two temperature profiles at least 10 days apart during both July and August. Once the temperature data are obtained, THV could be estimated using the Surfer program.
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## APPENDIX A. BATHYMETRIC MAPS OF STUDY LAKES



Source: National Marine Fisheries Service (formerly known as Bureau of Commercial Fisheries) map, 1963. Appendix A1.-Bathymetric map of Brooks Lake.


Source: University of Washington-Fisheries Research Institute map, 1969. Appendix A2.-Bathymetric map of Lake Clark.

-Location of sampling stations S1, S2, and S3 in 1994

Location of sampling station in 1995



Source: MacDonald 1996.

Appendix A3.-Bathymetric map of Goodnews Lake.


Source: MacDonald 1996.
Appendix A4.-Bathymetric map of Heart Lake.


Source: MacDonald 1996.

## Appendix A5.-Bathymetric map of Kagati Lake.



Appendix A6.-Bathymetric map of Kanuktik Lake.


Source: U.S. Geological Survey map, 1966.

Appendix A7.-Bathymetric map of Kontrashibuna Lake.


- Location of sampling stations S1, S2, and S3 in 1994
- Location of sampling station in 1995

Source: National Marine Fisheries Service (formerly known as Bureau of Commercial Fisheries) map, 1963.

Appendix A8.-Bathymetric map of Naknek Lake, excluding Iliuk Arm.


Source: National Marine Fisheries Service (formerly known as Bureau of Commercial Fisheries) map, 1963.

Appendix A9.-Bathymetric map of the Iliuk Arm of Naknek Lake.


Source: Alaska Department of Fish and Game map, 1994.

Appendix A10.-Bathymetric map of Telaquana Lake.


Source: University of Washington-Fisheries Research Institute map, 1964.
Appendix A11.-Bathymetric map of Tikchik Lake.


Source: Alaska Department of Fish and Game map, 1994.
Appendix A12.-Bathymetric map of Turquoise Lake.


Source: U.S. Geological Survey map, 1968.

## Appendix A13.-Bathymetric map of Lower Twin Lake.

Upper Twin Lake (20 meter contours)


- Location of sampling stations S1, S2, and S3 in 1994


Source: U.S. Geological Survey map, 1968.
Appendix A14.-Bathymetric map of Upper Twin Lake.


Ugashik Lake (20 meter contours)
0 km 1 km 2 km 3 km 4 km

Location of sampling stations S1, S2, and S3 in 1994

- Location of sampling station in 1995

Source: Alaska Department of Fish and Game map, 1994.
Appendix A15.-Bathymetric map of Lower Ugashik Lake.


Appendix A16.-Bathymetric map of Arolik Lake.

## APPENDIX B. LOCATIONS OF SAMPLING STATIONS FOR WATER TEMPERATURE MEASUREMENTS

Appendix B1.-Locations of sampling stations for water temperature measurements at the study lakes in 1994.

| Study Lake | Station 1 (S1) |  | Station 2 (S2) |  | Station 3 (S3) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Latitude | Longitude | Latitude | Longitude | Latitude | Longitude |
| Naknek Lake (excluding Iliuk Arm) | $58^{\circ} 41.541^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 57.180^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $58^{\circ} 39.418^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 47.539^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $58^{\circ} 39.181^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 40.907^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Iliuk Armi of Naknek Lake | $58^{\circ} 32.208^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 41.003^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $58^{\circ} 31.580^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 37.019^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $58^{\circ} 31.286^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 31.983^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Brooks Lake | $58^{\circ} 28.933^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $156^{\circ} 00.983^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $58^{\circ} 30.300^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 57.600^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $58^{\circ} 30.990^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 54.630^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Lower Ugashik Lake | $57^{\circ} 28.836^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $156^{\circ} 52.717^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $57^{\circ} 29.547^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $156^{\circ} 49.407^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $57^{\circ} 30.969^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $156^{\circ} 52.140^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Tikchik Lake | $59^{\circ} 58.084^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $158^{\circ} 21.522^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $59^{\circ} 57.749^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $158^{\circ} 17.311^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $59^{\circ} 57.814^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $158^{\circ} 16.269^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Lake Clark | $60^{\circ} 13.411^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $154^{\circ} 19.180^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 16.395^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $154^{\circ} 12.255^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 18.856^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $154^{\circ} 02.863^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Kontrashibuna Lake | $60^{\circ} 11.027^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $154^{\circ} 01.606^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 10.034^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 58.882^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 09.356^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 55.430^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Lower Twin Lake | $60^{\circ} 39.097{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $154^{\circ} 00.160^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 38.530^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 58.499^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 37.845^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 55.615^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Upper Twin Lake | $60^{\circ} 38.246^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 51.822^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 39.215^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 49.058^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 40.292$ ' N | $153^{\circ} 45.925^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Turquoise Lake | $60^{\circ} 47.559^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 57.305^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 47.427^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 54.331^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 47.371{ }^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 52.884^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Telaquana Lake | $60^{\circ} 57.255^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 53.121^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 56.909^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 50.512^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 57.005^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $153^{\circ} 45.664^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Goodnews Lake | $59^{\circ} 29.970^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 31.810^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $59^{\circ} 29.030^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 33.790^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $59^{\circ} 28.830^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 34.710^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Heart Lake | $60^{\circ} 06.400^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $159^{\circ} 38.590^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 06.360^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $159^{\circ} 38.230^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $60^{\circ} 06.220^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $159^{\circ} 38.870^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Kagati Lake | $59^{\circ} 53.050^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 05.210^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $59^{\circ} 51.850^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 02.760^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $59^{\circ} 51.510^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 05.120^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Kanuktik Lake | $59^{\circ} 43.060^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 18.490^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $59^{\circ} 42.100^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 18.400^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ | $59^{\circ} 41.450^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 18.350^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |

## Appendix B2.-Locations of sampling stations for water temperature measurements at the study lakes in 1995.

| Study Lake | Station 1 (S1) |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | Latitude | Longitude |
| Naknek Lake (excluding Iliuk Arm) | $58^{\circ} 39.393^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 47.058^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Iliuk Arm of Naknek Lake | $58^{\circ} 31.497^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 36.832^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Brooks Lake | $58^{\circ} 30.764^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $155^{\circ} 54.732^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Lower Ugashik Lake | $57^{\circ} 30.914^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $156^{\circ} 52.400^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Tikchik Lake | $59^{\circ} 57.720^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $158^{\circ} 17.630^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Lake Clark | $60^{\circ} 13.424^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $154^{\circ} 19.134^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Goodnews Lake | $59^{\circ} 29.860^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 32.070^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Heart Lake | $60^{\circ} 06.500^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $159^{\circ} 38.740^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Kagati Lake | $59^{\circ} 52.870^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 05.000^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Kanuktik Lake | $59^{\circ} 43.290^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $160^{\circ} 18.490^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |
| Arolik Lake | $59^{\circ} 27.400^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ | $161^{\circ} 06.500^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ |

APPENDIX C. BIOLOGICAL DATA FROM LAKES WHERE SAMPLE SIZE GOALS WERE NOT MET

Appendix C1.-Mean lengths and weights of lake trout collected using hook and line methods during 1994 from lakes where sample size goals were not met.

|  | Telaquana Lake | Turquoise Lake | Lower Ugashik Lake |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Length (mm) |  |  |  |
| Sample Size | 4 | 5 | 1 |
| Mean | 409 | 399 | 440 |
| Standard Error | 29.28 | 16.25 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
| Weight (g) | 5 | 5 | 1 |
| Sample Size | 2,282 | 630 | 1,150 |
| Mean | $1,434.72$ | 40.62 |  |
| Standard Error |  |  |  |

## Appendix C2.-Historical biological information from the sport harvest at Goodnews

 Lake and Goodnews River, collected during 1975.|  | Weight $(\mathrm{g})$ | Fork Length (mm) |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| Sample Size | 16 | 17 |
| Mean | 1,463 | 502 |
| Mode | 1,600 | 504 |
| Standard Deviation | 461 | 58 |
| 95\% Upper Confidence Interval | 1,707 | 532 |
| 95\% Lower Confidence Interval | 1,218 | 473 |
| Maximum | 2,350 | 632 |
| Minimum | 500 | 400 |

## APPENDIX D. THERMAL HABITAT VOLUME AND YIELD ESTIMATES

Appendix D1.-Thermal habitat volume (THV) and sustained yield estimates using temperature profiles collected during the first temperature sampling event in 1994.

| Lake | Depth (m) |  | Volume ( hm$)^{3}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Area (ha) |  |  | THV ( $\left.\mathrm{hm}^{3}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |  | Potential Harvest (kg/yr) |  | PotentialSustained Yield$(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } \\ 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { at } \\ 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | below $12^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { below } \\ 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | surface | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } \\ 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } \\ 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | Surfer | Payne | Surfer | Payne | Surfer | Payne |
| Brooks | 0.0 | 22.5 | 2,284 | 1,244 | 5,480 | 5,480 | 3,751 | 1,040 | 1,032 | 20,148 | 20,039 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Naknek | 5.0 | 25.0 | 12,956 | 8,116 | 47,350 | 39,957 | 16,451 | 4,840 | 5,470 | 60,388 | 65,904 | 1.3 | 1.4 |
| Iliuk Arm | 2.5 | 12.5 | 8,466 | 7,618 | 8,972 | 8,769 | 8,240 | 848 | 850 | 17,415 | 17,447 | 1.9 | 1.9 |
| Total Naknek |  |  | 21,421 | 15,734 | 56,321 | 48,726 | 24,691 | 5,688 | 6,320 | 67,768 | 73,066 | 1.2 | 1.3 |
| Tikchik | 10.0 | 32.5 | 430 | 85 | 5,892 | 2,455 | 841 | 345 | 355 | 9,159 | 9,351 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Lake Clark | 5.0 | 17.5 | 24,931 | 21,769 | 30,659 | 27,001 | 23,792 | 3,163 | 3,172 | 44,569 | 44,668 | 1.5 | 1.5 |
| Kontrashibuna | 2.5 | 27.5 | 912 | 425 | 2,345 | 2,246 | 1,673 | 487 | 488 | 11,715 | 11,739 | 5.0 | 5.0 |
| Lower Twin | 0.0 | 30.0 | 186 | 25 | 831 | 831 | 394 | 162 | 180 | 5,336 | 5,754 | 6.4 | 6.9 |
| Upper Twin | 0.0 | 12.5 | 938 | 760 | 1,503 | 1,503 | 1,360 | 178 | 179 | 5,717 | 5,732 | 3.8 | 3.8 |
| Turquoise | 0.0 | 6.0 | 578 | 502 | 1,300 | 1,300 | 1,180 | 75 | 74 | 3,086 | 3,063 | 2.4 | 2.4 |
| Telaquana | 2.5 | 20.0 | 1,912 | 1,267 | 4,632 | 4,558 | 2,889 | 646 | 646 | 14,332 | 14,340 | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| Goodnews | 0.0 | 17.5 | 69 | 21 | 382 | 382 | 207 | 48 | 51 | 2,227 | 2,333 | 5.8 | 6.1 |
| Heart | 0.0 | 25.0 | 100 | 14 | 565 | 565 | 157 | 86 | 85 | 3,400 | 3,371 | 6.0 | 6.0 |
| Kagati | 0.0 | 20.0 | 188 | 36 | 1,057 | 1,057 | 462 | 152 | 148 | 5,102 | 5,004 | 4.8 | 4.7 |
| Kanuktik | 0.0 | 20.0 | 151 | 29 | 807 | 807 | 410 | 122 | 119 | 4,363 | 4,296 | 5.4 | 5.3 |
| Lower Ugashik | 2.5 | 45.0 | 6,105 | 1,342 | 17,693 | 17,553 | 5,864 | 4,763 | 4,755 | 59,705 | 59,630 | 3.4 | 3.4 |

[^1]Appendix D2.-Thermal habitat volume (THV) and sustained yield estimates using temperature profiles collected during the second sampling event in 1994.

| Lake | Depth(m) |  | $\text { Volume }\left(\mathrm{hm}^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | Area (ha) |  |  | $\operatorname{THV}\left(\mathrm{hm}^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | Potential IIarvest (kg/yr) |  | PotentialSustained Yicld$(\mathrm{kg} / \mathrm{ha} / \mathrm{yr})$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } \\ 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } \\ 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { below } \\ 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | below $8^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | surface | $\begin{gathered} \text { at } \\ 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { at } \\ 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{gathered}$ | Surfer | Payne | Surfer | Payne | Surfer | Payne |
| Brooks | 12.5 | 27.5 | 1,662 | 1,061 | 5,480 | 4,546 | 3,568 | 601 | 607 | 13,624 | 13,716 | 2.5 | 2.5 |
| Naknek | 17.5 | 35.0 | 9,493 | 6,643 | 47,350 | 20,559 | 13,453 | 2,850 | 2,954 | 41,377 | 42,451 | 0.9 | 0.9 |
| Iliuk Arm | 0.0 | 25.0 | 8,687 | 6,621 | 8,972 | 8,972 | 7,698 | 2,067 | 2,082 | 32,892 | 33,064 | 3.7 | 3.7 |
| Total Naknek |  |  | 18,181 | 13,264 | 56,321 | 29,530 | 21,151 | 4,917 | 5,036 | 61,072 | 62,127 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Tikchik | 15.0 | 30.0 | 324 | 107 | 5,892 | 1,925 | 988 | 217 | 215 | 6,575 | 6,527 | 1.1 | 1.1 |
| Lake Clark | 10.0 | 25.0 | 23,617 | 20,043 | 30,659 | 25,576 | 22,270 | 3,573 | 3,586 | 48,628 | 48,748 | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| Kontrashibuna | 12.5 | 32.5 | 701 | 344 | 2,345 | 1,999 | 1,565 | 357 | 356 | 9,392 | 9,362 | 4.0 | 4.0 |
| Lower Twin | 10.0 | 30.0 | 118 | 25 | 831 | 554 | 393 | 93 | 94 | 3,603 | 3,628 | 4.3 | 4.4 |
| Upper Twin | 0.0 | 15.0 | 938 | 726 | 1,503 | 1,503 | 1,337 | 212 | 213 | 6,470 | 6,490 | 4.3 | 4.3 |
| Turquoise | 1.0 | 10.0 | 565 | 458 | 1,300 | 1,293 | 1,073 | 107 | 106 | 3,975 | 3,954 | 3.1 | 3.0 |
| Telaquana | 5.0 | 22.5 | 1,800 | 1,196 | 4,632 | 4,378 | 2,722 | 604 | 616 | 13,661 | 13,853 | 2.9 | 3.0 |
| Goodnews | 10.0 | 20.0 | 39 | 16 | 382 | 256 | 191 | 22 | 22 | 1,291 | 1,296 | 3.4 | 3.4 |
| Heart | 17.5 | 30.0 | 31 | 7 | 565 | 272 | 120 | 25 | 24 | 1,391 | 1,360 | 2.5 | 2.4 |
| Kagati | 0.0 | 25.0 | 188 | 16 | 1,057 | 1,057 | 341 | 173 | 166 | 5,589 | 5,445 | 5.3 | 5.2 |
| Kanuktik | 0.0 | 37.5 | 151 | 0 | 807 | 807 | 0 | 151 | 103 | 5,083 | 3,873 | 6.3 | 4.8 |
| Lower Ugashik | 0.0 | 57.5 | 6,546 | 756 | 17,693 | 17,693 | 3,699 | 5,790 | 5,651 | 68,634 | 67,452 | 3.9 | 3.8 |

${ }^{a} \mathrm{hm}^{3}=$ cubic hectometers.

Appendix D3.-Thermal habitat volume (THV) and sustained yield estimates using temperature profiles collected during July 1995.

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{hm}^{3}=$ cubic hectometers.

Appendix D4.-Thermal habitat volume (THV) and sustained yield estimates using temperature profiles collected during August 1995.

| Lake | Depth(m) |  | Volume ( $\left.\mathrm{hm}^{3}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |  | Area (ha) |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { THV } \\ \left(\mathrm{hm}^{3}\right)^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Potential Harvest (kg/yr) | Potential Sustained Yield (kg/ha/yr) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{array}{r} \text { at } \\ 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{at} \\ 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { below } \\ 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { below } \\ 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | surface | $\begin{array}{r} \text { at } \\ 12^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \mathrm{at} \\ 8^{\circ} \mathrm{C} \end{array}$ | Surfer | Surfer | Surfer |
| Brooks | 17.5 | 35 | 1,443 | 803 | 5,480 | 4,235 | 3,315 | 640 | 14,241 | 2.6 |
| Naknek | 0 | 37.5 | 15,124 | 6,314 | 47,350 | 47,350 | 12,874 | 8,809 | 92,617 | 2.0 |
| Iliuk Arm | 0 | 35 | 8,687 | 5,877 | 8,972 | 8,972 | 7,180 | 2,810 | 40,964 | 4.6 |
| Total Naknek |  |  | 23,811 | 12,192 | 56,321 | 56,321 | 20,055 | 11,620 | 112,862 | 2.0 |
| Tikchik | 5 | 30 | 575 | 107 | 5,892 | 3,516 | 988 | 468 | 11,393 | 1.9 |
| Lake Clark | 5 | 32.5 | 24,931 | 18,413 | 30,659 | 27,001 | 21,246 | 6,519 | 74,697 | 2.4 |
| Goodnews | 0 | 17.5 | 69 | 21 | 382 | 382 | 207 | 48 | 2,227 | 5.8 |
| Heart | 0 | 22.5 | 100 | 19 | 565 | 565 | 231 | 81 | 3,253 | 5.8 |
| Kagati | 0 | 25 | 188 | 16 | 1,057 | 1,057 | 341 | 173 | 5,589 | 5.3 |
| Kanuktik | 0 | 27.5 | 151 | 7 | 807 | 807 | 174 | 144 | 4,906 | 6.1 |
| Lower Ugashik | 5 | 40 | 5,669 | 1,661 | 17,693 | 17,301 | 6,901 | 4,008 | 52,780 | 3.0 |
| Arolik | 0 | 20 | 52 | 20 | 224 | 224 | 106 | 32 | 1,682 | 7.5 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a} \mathrm{hm}^{3}}=$ cubic hectometers.


[^0]:    1 Use of brand names does not constitute endorsement.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{hm} 3$ = cubic hectometers.

