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## Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used in Division of Sport Fish Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications without definition. All others must be defined in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables and in figures or figure captions.

| Weights and measures (metric) |  | General |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centimeter | cm | All commonly accepted | e.g., Mr., Mrs., |
| deciliter | dL | abbreviations. | a.m., p.m., etc. |
| gram | g | All commonly accepted | e.g., Dr., Ph.L., |
| hectare | ha | professional titles. | R.N., etc. |
| kilogram | kg | and |  |
| kilometer | km | at | (a) |
| liter | L | Compass directions: |  |
| meter | m | east | E |
| metric ton | mt | north | N |
| milliliter | ml | south | S |
| millimeter | mm | west | W |
|  |  | Copyright | (c) |
| Weights and measures (English) |  | Corporate suffixes: |  |
| cubic feet per second | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | Company | Co. |
| foot | $f t$ | Corporation | Corp |
| gallon | gal | Incorporated | Inc. |
| inch | in | Limited | Ltd. |
| mile | mi | et alii (and other | et al. |
| ounce | OZ | people) |  |
| pound | lb | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. |
| quart | qt | exempli gratia (for example) | e.g., |
| yard | yd |  |  |
| Spell out acre and ton. |  | id est (that is) | i.e., |
|  |  | latitude or longitude | lat. or long. |
| Time and temperature |  | monetary symbols (U.S.) | \$, ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| day | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | months (tables and | Jan, .., Dec |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | figures): first three | Jan,..., Dec |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | letters |  |
| hour (spell out for 24-hour clock) | h | number (before a | \# (e.g., \#10) |
| minute | min | number) |  |
| second | s | pounds (after a number) | \# (e.g., 10\#) |
| Spell out year, month, and week. |  | registered trademark | (®) |
|  |  | trademark | TM |
| Physics and chemistry all atomic symbols |  | United States (adjective) | U.S. |
| alternating current ampere | AC | United States of | USA |
|  | A | America (noun) |  |
| calorie | cal | U.S. state and District |  |
| direct current | DC | of Columbia abbreviations | abbreviations (e.g., AK, DC) |
| hertz | Hz |  |  |
| horsepower | hp |  |  |
| hydrogen ion activity | pH |  |  |
| parts per million | ppm |  |  |
| parts per thousand | ppt, \%o |  |  |
| volts | V |  |  |
| watts | W |  |  |


| Mathematics, statistics, fisheries |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {A }}$ |
| base of natural logarithm | e |
| catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| coefficient of variation | CV |
| common test statistics | F, t, $\chi^{2}$, etc. |
| confidence interval | C.I. |
| correlation coefficient | R (multiple) |
| correlation coefficient | r (simple) |
| covariance | cov |
| degree (angular or temperature) | - |
| degrees of freedom | df |
| divided by | $\div$ or / (in equations) |
| equals | $=$ |
| expected value | E |
| fork length | FL |
| greater than | $>$ |
| greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| harvest per unit effort | HPUE |
| less than | < |
| less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
| logarithm (natural) | In |
| logarithm (base 10) | $\log$ |
| logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$. etc. |
| mideye-to-fork | MEF |
| mimute (angular) |  |
| multiplied by | X |
| not significant | NS |
| null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ |
| percent | \% |
| probability | P |
| probability of a type I error (rejection of the null hypothesis when true) | $\alpha$ |
| probability of a type II error (acceptance of the null hypothesis when false) | $\beta$ |
| second (angular) | " |
| standard deviation | SD |
| standard error | SE |
| standard length | SL |
| total length | TL |
| variance | Var |
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#### Abstract

A creel survey to estimate angler effort, catch, and harvest was conducted on the Kenai River between the Soldotna Bridge and Cook Inlet from 17 May through 6 August 1995. The recreational fishery in this section of the Kenai River primarily targets chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha. The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the early (May and June) chinook salmon run were $165,990(\mathrm{SE}=4,679)$ angler-hours and 7,733 ( $\mathrm{SE}=420$ ) chinook salmon, respectively. The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the late (July and August) chinook salmon run were $323,982(\mathrm{SE}=8,541)$ angler-hours and $10,125(\mathrm{SE}=510)$ chinook salmon, respectively. During the early run, the recreational fishery was liberalized allowing the use of bait, and during the late run the fishery was liberalized to allow fishing from a boat on the last Monday of July (normally closed to boat fishing) and the season was extended until 6 August in response to a large return. Unguided anglers exerted $59 \%$ of the total effort and took $44 \%$ of the chinook salmon harvest while guided anglers exerted $41 \%$ of the effort and harvested $56 \%$ of the chinook salmon.


Age and sex compositions of the recreational harvest and inriver return showed age 1.4 fish to be the predominant age class, followed by age 1.3, during each run. The inriver return as estimated by sonar is also presented.

Key words: Kenai River, chinook salmon, creel survey, effort, harvest, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha.

## INTRODUCTION

The Kenai River supports the largest freshwater recreational fishery in Alaska with an average annual effort of nearly 350,000 angler-days over the last 7 years (Mills 19891994, Howe et al. 1995). This represents approximately $15 \%$ of the state's recreational fishing effort. The majority of Kenai River angler-effort occurs during the chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha fishery (May through July) in the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 1). With the exception of 1990, 1991 and 1992, angler effort in the chinook salmon fishery has generally been increasing (Figures 2 and 3). Decreased effort in these years was related to decreased run size resulting in restrictions to the fisheries. Although coho salmon $O$. kisutch, sockeye salmon O. nerka, pink salmon O. gorbuscha, Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma, and rainbow trout $O$. mykiss are also harvested by anglers in the Kenai River, this report focuses on the chinook salmon fisheries.

Prior to 1970, the recreational fishery in the Kenai River was comprised of shorebased anglers targeting sockeye salmon in July and coho salmon in August and early September.

In 1973, anglers began experimenting with a new fishing method that involved bouncing brightly colored terminal gear along the river bottom from a drifting boat. This technique had been used effectively by anglers fishing for chinook salmon on rivers in the Pacific Northwest. It proved to be a very effective method for catching chinook salmon on the Kenai River, and the fishery began to expand rapidly (Figures 2 and 3).

Chinook salmon return to the Kenai River in two distinct temporal components: an early run which typically enters the river from midMay until late June; and a late run which typically enters the river from late June through early August. Fish from both runs are valued by recreational anglers due to their large size, especially those from the late run which average about $18 \mathrm{~kg}(40 \mathrm{lb})$ and may exceed $36 \mathrm{~kg}(80 \mathrm{lb})$. The world record sportcaught chinook salmon, which weighed 44.1 kg ( 97 lb ), was taken from the Kenai River in May of 1985.

Management of the late-run recreational fishery in the Kenai River is complicated by the relatively large commercial harvest of returning chinook salmon. Chinook salmon are commercially harvested primarily by the


Figure 1.-Map of the Kenai River drainage.


Figure 2.-Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for early-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, 1974-1995.


Figure 3.-Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for late-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, 1974-1995.
set net fishery along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet (McBride et al. 1985). User-group conflicts have required the Department of Fish and Game to manage the salmon resources of the Kenai River with increasing accuracy and precision. During the winter of 1988, the Alaska Board of Fisheries adopted management plans for both the early and late chinook salmon runs. These plans define escapement goals and mechanisms by which the various fisheries are to be regulated to achieve the stated goals. These plans also define the separation date between the two runs as 1 July. Both management plans were reviewed by the Alaska Board of Fisheries in late 1990. Minor changes were made which were to be implemented for the entire 1991 fishery, however, legal complications delayed the implementation until 21 July 1991.
Previous information on the chinook salmon fisheries in the Kenai River has been presented by Hammarstrom (1975-1981, 1988-1994), Hammarstrom and Larson (19821984, 1986), Hammarstrom et al. (1985), Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987), and King (1995). In addition, angler-effort and harvest by species for the recreational fishery have been estimated by Mills (1979-1994) and Howe et al. (1995) in the Alaska Statewide Sport Fish Harvest Survey.

The current creel survey program in the Kenai River provides data that are used for inseason management decisions for the recreational fishery, evaluated to refine long-term management objectives, and used by the Alaska Board of Fisheries to allocate salmon resources. The objective of this report is to estimate angler effort, angler catch and harvest, age/length/sex composition, and Kenai River chinook salmon escapement.

## Fisiling Regulations

The regulations for the chinook salmon fishery in the Kenai River are among the most
restrictive of any open waters in Alaska. Only the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet is open to fishing for chinook salmon, with the exception of the restricted waters at the confluences of the Funny River and Slikok Creek with the Kenai River. These waters are closed to fishing for chinook salmon until 15 July to protect earlyrun chinook salmon which are staging in these areas prior to entering their natal streams. By regulation, the season for chinook salmon is from 1 January through 31 July, but it effectively begins in mid-May when the fish first begin entering the river and the river becomes navigable. The daily bag and possession limits are one chinook salmon per day greater than 41 cm ( 16 in ) in length and a seasonal limit of two chinook salmon greater than 41 cm . Fishing from boats downstream from the outlet of Skilak Lake is prohibited on Mondays in May, June, and July, except Monday of Memorial Day. Anyone retaining a chinook salmon that is 41 cm in length or greater is prohibited from fishing from a boat in the Kenai River downstream of Skilak Lake for the remainder of that day. Additionally, the early-run fishery is further restricted in that the use of bait is prohibited until the department is able to project an escapement of at least 9,000 fish or 1 July, whichever occurs first.
There are further restrictions for guided anglers. In addition to the regulation prohibiting fishing from boats on Mondays, fishing from a registered guide vessel on any Sunday in July is prohibited. Fishing from a guided boat is allowed only between 0600 and 1800 hours during June and July. There are no days or hours closed to boat fishing by either guided or unguided anglers during the remainder of the year.

In 1995, the river was opened to the use of bait on 17 June, and fishing from boats was permitted for all anglers on Monday, 25 July
with guided anglers being restricted to 0600 to 1800 hours. The late-run fishery was also extended to allow chinook salmon retention through 6 August downstream of a marker placed approximately 91 m (100 yards) upstream of "Eagle Rock" (approximately river kilometer 18.1). Anglers were also allowed to fish for chinook salmon from a boat on Monday, 31 July. The above emergency orders were issued in response to the development of the inriver return in an attempt to allow maximum opportunity while insuring that escapement goals were achieved.

## METHODS

## Creel Survey

A roving creel survey (Neuhold and Lu 1957) was used to estimate sport fishing effort, in units of angler-hours, by the recreational fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River. Harvest per unit of effort (HPUE) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) for chinook salmon were estimated from angler interviews. Harvest and catch of chinook salmon were estimated as the product of effort and harvest (or catch) rate estimates. Fishery statistics were estimated separately for the early and late runs.

The chinook salmon fishery is limited to the lower Kenai River, defined as the mainstem waters downstream of Skilak Lake. During the 1995 early-run and late-run fisheries, angler effort, harvest, and catch were estimated only for the downstream section (Cook Inlet, river mile/kilometer 0 , to the Soldotna Bridge, river mile [rm] 21 or river kilometer [rkm] 34) of the lower Kenai River (Figure 4). There was no creel survey of the fishery upstream of the Soldotna Bridge in 1995 because of the difficulties in interviewing a representative sample of completed-trip anglers and conducting angler counts in this section of the river. However, a creel clerk was employed from 29 June to

4 July to interview all anglers (complete and incomplete) in the river section upstream of Naptowne Rapids. These data were necessary to provide management staff with an indication of effort and harvest levels.

Both unguided and guided anglers participate in the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River. The times and days when guides may be used on the Kenai River are restricted, and anglers employing commercial guides have very different harvest and catch rates; thercfore, effort, HPUE, CPUE, harvest, and catch were estimated separately for guided and unguided anglers. Guided anglers fish exclusively from boats and are easily recognized because these boats are required to display a prominent identifying decal. Since shore anglers harvest very few chinook salmon, only boat anglers were surveyed.

The creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon began 17 May and continued through 6 August. The fishing day for unguided anglers was defined as 20 hours long, 0400 to 2400 hours, and was stratified into five 4 -hour time periods to estimate effort. The periods were: A, from 0400 to 0759 hours; B, from 0800 to 1159 hours; C, from 1200 to 1559 hours; D, from 1600 to 1959 hours; and E, from 2000 to 2359 hours. In May and August, stratification of the fishing day for guided anglers was the same as that for unguided anglers. However, by regulation, anglers may fish from a registered guide boat only from 0600 to 1800 hours during June and July, which therefore defined the fishing day ( 12 hours) for guided anglers. Since most guides schedule two trips per day, morning and afternoon, each fishing day for guided anglers had two temporal strata: Period A, 0600 to 1159 hours and B, 1200 to 1759 hours. Unguided anglers were further stratified into weekdays and weekend/ holidays. Estimates for guided and unguided


Figure 4.-Map of the Kenai River study area.
anglers were stratified temporally into approximate 2-week intervals.
The above design resulted in 17 strata: nine during the early run, and eight during the late
run. There were six temporal units, three during the early run and three during the late run.

The early-run strata were: (1) $5 / 17-5 / 31$, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(2) $5 / 17-5 / 31$, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays;
(3) $5 / 17-5 / 31$, guided anglers;
(4) 6/01-6/16, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(5) 6/01-6/16, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays;
(6) 6/01-6/16, guided anglers;
(7) 6/17-6/30, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(8) 6/17-6/30, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays;
(9) 6/17-6/30, guided anglers;

The late-run strata were: (10) $7 / 1-7 / 16$, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(11) $7 / 1-7 / 16$, unguided anglers; weekends/holidays;
(12) $7 / 1-7 / 16$, guided anglers;
(13) $7 / 16-7 / 30$, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(14) $7 / 16-7 / 30$, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays;
(15) 7/16-7/30, guided anglers;
(16) 7/31-8/06, unguided anglers, all days; and
(17) 7/31-8/06, guided anglers, all days.

## Angler Counts

Sampling levels were designed to estimate effort within $\pm 10 \%$ of the true value $95 \%$ of the time, and catch and harvest within $\pm 15 \%$ of the true value $95 \%$ of the time. Two boat technicians, each working 37.5 hours per week, conducted the angler counts in the downstream section.

On every weekend day and holiday, an unguided angler count was made during each of the five periods. One of the four wholehours of each period (A through E ) was selected randomly as a time to initiate an unguided angler count. During each 4-day week (weekdays only, Tuesday through Friday), 2 days for each period, A through E, were sampled at random. Within each sampled period, an angler count was initiated at one of the four randomly selected whole-
hours. This sampling design allowed for 10 unguided angler counts on a typical weekend and 10 unguided angler counts during the 4 weekdays the fishery was open.
Since guided and unguided anglers fished under similar regulations during May and August, guided angler counts were conducted as described above. However, during June and July, if a selected unguided angler count occurred during the A period (0600-1159 hours) or B period (1200-1759 hours) corresponding to the guided angler strata, then a guided angler count was also conducted. If no unguided angler counts were scheduled during the A or B period for guided anglers, an additional count for guided anglers only was conducted at a randomly selected wholehour during the guided period in question. If two or more counts occurred during the
guided period, A or B , then one was selected randomly as the guided angler count and the remaining counts were designated as unguided angler counts only.
Some deviation from the schedule did occur because of mechanical breakdown and/or other duties such as public assistance or enforcement activities.

Counts of anglers were conducted from a boat in the downstream section of the Kenai River. The starting point of each count (upstream or downstream extremity of the river section) was chosen at random. The technician counted anglers while driving the boat at a constant rate of speed through the survey area to the opposite end of the river section. This trip usually took about 45 minutes and every effort was made to ensure that the trip was completed in less than 1 hour. Angler counts were considered to be instantaneous and to reflect fishing effort at the time of the count. During the angler count, the boat technician recorded the following: (1) total number of unguided boats, (2) total number of guided boats, (3) total number of anglers in unguided boats, (4) total number of anglers in guided boats, and (5) total number of shore anglers. Boats and anglers were considered engaged in fishing and were counted if the boat was in operation, as opposed to tied to the shore, regardless of whether or not an angler's line was in the water when the count was conducted. Guides were not included in the counts during the chinook salmon fishery as they are prohibited from fishing while guiding; however, this regulation does not apply to guides during August so guides were counted as anglers during the August extension of the fishery. When the boat technicians were not conducting a count, they conducted completed-trip angler interviews at access locations.

## Angler Interviews

The angler interview schedule in the downstream section was designed for two access technicians, each working 37.5 hours per week; however, the schedule was augmented by the two boat technicians who conducted angler interviews at times when they were not engaged in angler counts.

The following information was recorded for each angler interview: (1) powered or nonpowered boat; (2) fished midstream section (upstream of the Soldotna Bridge to Naptowne Rapids) only (yes or no); (3) guided or unguided angler; (4) number of hours spent fishing (to the nearest 0.5 hour); (5) number of fish, by species, retained; (6) number of fish, by species, released. Although boat type was recorded for each interview, these data are not presented in this report because they are collected for use by the Board of Fisheries and other agencies and are not germane to the objectives of this report.

Interviews of completed-trip anglers for harvest and catch rate information were conducted primarily at seven access sites in the downstream section. Two access technicians conducted the interviews at access sites. Each technician was scheduled to work 7.5 -hour days on each weekend/holiday day and on 3 randomly selected weekdays each week. Two access sites were sampled by a technician on a sample day. The access sites sampled each day were chosen using a weighted random sampling procedure. Thus on weekend/holidays, four access sites were sampled each day, and on weekdays either two or four access sites were sampled. The starting time for the 7.5 -hour interview period was randomly selected from either an early shift (possible start times: 0600, 0630,0700, or 0730 hours) or a late shift (possible start times: $1500,1530,1600$, or 1630 hours).

The creel survey clerks conducted interviews for about 3.5 hours at each access site.

## Age/Sex Composition

## Harvest

Sampling goals for estimation of age composition of the harvest were 120 harvested fish per 2-week stratum (three strata in the early run and two strata in the late run). Samples were obtained from anglers' creels during the surveys. Mid-eye to fork-of-tail length was measured to the nearest one-half centimeter, the sex of the fish was identified, and scales were removed from the preferred arca (Clutter and Whitesel 1956; Welander 1940). Three scales were collected from each fish and placed on an adhesive-coated card. Impressions of the scales were made on acetate, and these images, observed with a microfiche reader, were used to age the fish. If the adipose fin was missing on any observed fish, every attempt was made to secure the head for later examination by the department's tag lab for the presence of a coded wire tag.

## Inriver Return

To estimate the age and sex composition of the inriver return, chinook salmon were captured in $71 / 4$-inch mesh gill nets in the intertidal area (approximately downstream of Beaver Creek to the Warren Ames Bridge), using the techniques described by Hammarstrom and Larson (1984). Two crews of two individuals each were used. Sampling was stratified into two 3-week periods during each run with a sampling goal of 150 fish per sample period.

Fish were untangled from the gill net and placed in a tagging cradle to be sampled and later released. Biological data collected included length (mid-eye to fork of tail), sex (using external characteristics) and three scales which werc taken from the preferred area. Scale samples were prepared similarly
to those of the crecl samples. As with the creel samples, each fish was examined for the presence of the adipose fin.

## Data Analyses

Angler-effort, harvest and catch rates for chinook salmon, harvest and catch of chinook salmon, and associated variances were estimated using the same procedures for guided and unguided anglers. In the following sections, harvest refers to fish retained by anglers and catch refers to fish retained plus those reported as released by anglers.

## Effort

In the downstream section during the chinook salmon fishery, the number of angler-hours of effort during fishery stratum $h$ was estimated as follows (Neuhold and Lu 1957):
$\hat{E}_{h}=D_{h} H_{h} \sum_{k=1}^{p_{h}} \bar{x}_{\text {hk }}$,
where:
$\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{hk}}=$ the mean angler count during period $k$ of stratum $h$,

$$
=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hik}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{h}}}
$$

$\mathrm{x}_{\text {hik }}=$ angler count on day i of period k ,
$\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ the number of days sampled in stratum $h$,
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ the number of hours in the fishing day during stratum $h$,
$D_{h}=$ the total number of days in stratum $h$, and
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{h}}=$ the number of periods (A, B, C, etc.) in stratum $h$.

The variancc of effort was estimated by (Scheaffer et al. 1979):
$V\left(\hat{E}_{h}\right)=\left(1-f_{h}\right)\left(D_{h} H_{h}\right)^{2} \sum_{k=1}^{p_{h}} \frac{s_{h k}^{2}}{d_{h}}$,
where:
$f_{h}=\frac{d_{h}}{D_{h}}$, and
$s_{h k}^{2}=$ the variance of angler counts among days of period k during stratum h .

This method assumes a stratified two-stage design: strata being angler type, weekend or weekday (for unguided anglers), temporal interval and periods; first stage being days and second stage being counts. The finite population correction factor was not applied to the second stage because angler counts are considered instantaneous, and thus there are an infinite number of counts that can be taken.

## Harvest Rates and Catch Rates

The catch or harvest per unit of effort (CPUE or HPUE) was estimated from completed-trip angler interviews in a two-stage design with days being the first stage and anglers being the second stage. The catch (or harvest) per angler hour for stratum $h$ was estimated as a ratio of means (Pollock et al. 1994):

$$
\begin{equation*}
C \hat{P} U E_{h}=\frac{\bar{c}_{h}}{\bar{e}_{h}}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{h i}} c_{h i j} / \sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}} m_{h i}}{\sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{m_{h i}} e_{h i j} / \sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}} m_{h i}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the variance was estimated by (Jensen 1978):
$\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{C} \hat{\mathrm{P}} \mathrm{EE}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)=\left(\frac{\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{h}}}{\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{h}}}\right)^{2}\left[\frac{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{ch}}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}}+\frac{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{eh}}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}}-\frac{2 \operatorname{cov}\left(\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{h}}, \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}{\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{h}} \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{h}}}\right]$,
where:
$\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{hij}}=$ catch by angler j on day i of stratum h ,
$\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{hij}}=$ hours fished by angler j on day i of stratum $h$, and
$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}=$ number of anglers interviewed on day $i$ of stratum $h$.
The covariance of catch and effort in stratum h was estimated by:
$\operatorname{cov}\left(\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{h}}, \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}}\left(\bar{c}_{\mathrm{hi}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{hi}} \quad \overline{\mathrm{e}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}-1}$.
The variances of angler catch (c) and effort (e) are two-stage variances and, ignoring the finite population correction factor for the second stage (anglers), were estimated by (Cochran 1977, Pollock et al. 1994):
$s_{c h}^{2}=\left(1-f_{h}\right) \frac{s_{h}^{2}}{d_{h}}+\frac{f_{h}}{d_{h}^{2}} \sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}} \frac{s_{h i}^{2}}{m_{h i}}$,
where:
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{h}}{ }^{2}=$ variance among days for catch (harvest) or effort, and
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{hi}}{ }^{2}=$ variance among anglers on day i ,

$$
=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}}\left(\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{hij}}-\bar{c}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{hi}}-1} .
$$

The variance of angler effort $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{eh}}{ }^{2}\right)$ was estimated by substituting hours fished (e) for catch (c) in the above equation.

## Harvest and Catch

The total catch (or harvest) during each stratum was estimated by:
$\hat{C}_{h}=\left(C \hat{P} U E_{h}\right)\left(\hat{E}_{h}\right)$.

The variance of total catch (or harvest) was estimated as the variance of two independent random variables (Goodman 1960):

$$
\begin{align*}
V\left(\hat{C}_{h}\right)= & {\left[\hat{E}_{h}^{2} V\left(C \hat{P} U E_{h}\right)\right]+} \\
& {\left[\operatorname{CPUE}_{h}^{2} V\left(\hat{E}_{h}\right)\right]-} \\
& {\left[V\left(C \hat{C P U} E_{h}\right) V\left(\hat{E}_{h}\right)\right] . } \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

Totals (for example, the total for unguided anglers during the early run) for effort, catch and harvest were estimated by summing the appropriate stratum estimates. Estimates for each strata are considered independent; therefore, the variance of the total was estimated by the sum of the appropriate variances of the strata.

The major assumptions necessary for these analyses are:

1. Significant fishing effort occurs only between the hours defined for the angler day;
2. Individual effort and harvest (or catch) by anglers are normally distributed random variables; and
3. Anglers are interviewed in constant proportions to their abundance within each stratum (DiCostanzo 1956), and interviewed anglers are representative of the total angler population.

## Biological Data

Age composition of the chinook salmon harvest and inriver return was estimated for each run. Letting $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}$ equal the estimated proportion of age group $b$ in stratum $t$, the variance of $\hat{p}_{b t}$ was estimated as (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}\right)=\frac{\hat{p}_{\mathrm{bt}}\left(1-\hat{p}_{\mathrm{bt}}\right)}{\left(n_{\mathrm{t}}-1\right)}, \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$n_{t}=$ the number of legible scalcs read from chinook salmon sampled during stratum t .

It was assumed that there were no significant differences in the ages and lengths of fish harvested by guided and unguided anglers, therefore biological data from harvests of both angler types were pooled.

## RESULTS

## Effort

The creel survey commenced on 17 May. Angler counts were conducted on all of the 73 days possible: 40 during the early run and 33 during the late run.

During the early run, angler counts ranged from 7 to 404 for unguided anglers and from 1 to 426 for guided anglers (Appendix A1). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 18 June and of guided anglers on 27 June. During the late run, angler counts ranged from 30 to 875 for unguided anglers and from 5 to 704 for guided anglers (Appendix A2). The largest count for both unguided and guided anglers occurred on 22 July. In general, mean angler counts are lowest in May and gradually increase throughout June and early July, with the highest mean angler counts occurring during the last 2 weeks of July (Tables 1 and 2).
The estimated effort in the downstream section during the early run was 165,990 (SE $=4,679$ ) angler-hours (Table 3). The relative precision (5.5\%) was within desired levels, $\pm 10 \%$ of the true values $95 \%$ of the time.

The estimated effort during the late run was 323,982 ( $\mathrm{SE}=8,541$ ) angler-hours (Table 4). The relative precision ( $5.2 \%$ ) was within the desired level of precision ( $\pm 10 \%$ of the true values $95 \%$ of the time).

Table 1.-Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each stratum of the creel survey of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Strata | Period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | F |
| 17 May - 31 May |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 4 |
| Mean count | 37.5 | 51.8 | 35.5 | 31.3 | 29.3 |
| Standard error | 9.8 | 8.2 | 12.6 | 8.1 | 15.3 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mean count | 45.4 | 122.0 | 127.8 | 176.0 | 94.2 |
| Standard error | 14.5 | 16.9 | 26.4 | 57.6 | 23.4 |
| Guided anglers, all days (May): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 9 | 10 | 9 | 10 | 10 |
| Mean count | 62.4 | 106.4 | 65.4 | 37.3 | 15.9 |
| Standard error | 15.0 | 9.3 | 10.5 | 13.0 | 4.1 |
| 1 June - 16 June |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 7 | 8 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 65.5 | 98.1 | 92.4 | 43.8 | 58.3 |
| Standard error | 17.8 | 15.8 | 16.7 | 3.9 | 9.1 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 166.0 | 202.3 | 214.7 | 187.3 | 155.5 |
| Standard error | 49.0 | 22.5 | 39.5 | 46.3 | 30.3 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 13 | 13 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 196.4 | 121.5 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 19.9 | 10.6 |  |  |  |
| 17 June - 30 June |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 7 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 152.0 | 172.0 | 136.2 | 143.0 | 136.3 |
| Standard crror | 31.7 | 19.5 | 25.1 | 20.4 | 31.1 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 173.0 | 292.5 | 269.8 | 238.8 | 185.8 |
| Standard error | 46.6 | 49.6 | 20.5 | 37.0 | 47.9 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 12 | 12 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 287.8 | 156.1 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 25.1 | 12.1 |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unguided anglers, all months:
Period $A=0400-0759$ hours
Period $B=0800-1159$ hours
Period $\mathrm{C}=1200-1559$ hours
Period D $=1600-1959$ hours
Period E $=2000-2359$ hours

Guided anglers:
May: Same as unguided anglers
June: Period $A=0600-1159$ hours
Period $\mathrm{B}=1200-1759$ hours

Table 2.-Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each stratum of the creel survey of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Strata | Period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| 1 July - 16 July |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 301.4 | 226.5 | 193.0 | 202.3 | 196.5 |
| Standard error | 71.9 | 62.2 | 25.5 | 34.0 | 45.9 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 7 | 7 | 6 | 6 |
| Mean count | 287.8 | 475.6 | 383.1 | 399.3 | 362.0 |
| Standard error | 57.0 | 72.1 | 45.5 | 49.0 | 50.9 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 464.0 | 297.6 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 15.5 | 21.6 |  |  |  |
| 17 July - 30 July |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 2 | 6 | 7 | 4 | 3 |
| Mean count | 421.5 | 472.5 | 394.7 | 387.3 | 296.7 |
| Standard error | 35.5 | 40.3 | 22.1 | 69.2 | 48.1 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 | 4 |
| Mean count | 463.0 | 580.5 | 595.5 | 375.0 | 333.0 |
| Standard error | 104.1 | 86.8 | 120.6 | 137.2 | 105.7 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 10 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 580.5 | 414.0 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 28.4 | 52.1 |  |  |  |
| 31 July -6 August |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 4 |
| Mean count | 91.5 | 127.5 | 154.4 | 72.8 | 87.8 |
| Standard error | 33.7 | 40.9 | 36.4 | 6.3 | 22.5 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Mean count | 119.0 | 174.0 | 120.4 | 54.0 | 19.5 |
| Standard error | 51.5 | 48.1 | 20.7 | 11.0 | 9.2 |
| Unguided anglers: |  |  | Guided anglers: |  |  |
| July: $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Period } \\ & \text { Period }\end{aligned}$ | 400-075 |  | July: | Period A $=0600-1159$ hours <br> Period $B=1200-1759$ hours |  |
|  | 00-115 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 200-1559 |  |  |  |  |
|  | 600-1959 |  | August: | Same as unguided anglers |  |
|  | 00-235 |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.-Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Strata | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Estimated } \\ & \text { Effort } \end{aligned}$ | Standard Error | $95 \%$Confidence Interval |  |  | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17May - 31 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 7,415 | 996 | 5,463 | - | 9,367 | 26.3 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 11,308 | 1,423 | 8,519 | - | 14,097 | 24.7 \% |
| Guided, all days: | 17,250 | 1,480 | 14,349 | - | 20,151 | 16.8 \% |
| 1 June - 16 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 14,321 | 1,229 | 11,912 | - | 16,730 | 16.8 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 14,811 | 1,388 | 12,091 | - | 17,531 | 18.4 \% |
| Guided, all days: | 26,705 | 1,897 | 22,987 | - | 30,423 | 13.9 \% |
| 17 June - 30 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 23,662 | 1,865 | 20,007 | - | 27,317 | 15.4 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 18,556 | 1,460 | 15,694 | - | 21,418 | 15.4 \% |
| Guided, all days: | 31,962 | 2,010 | 28,022 | - | 35,902 | 12.3 \% |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 90,073 | 3,473 | 83,265 | - | 96,881 | 7.6 \% |
| Guided: | 75,917 | 3,135 | 69,772 | - | 82,062 | 8.1 \% |
| Early Run Total | 165,990 | 4,679 | 156,819 | - | 175,161 | $5.5 \%$ |

Table 4.-Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Strata | Estimated Effort | Standard Error | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { Confidence Interval } \end{gathered}$ |  | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 July - 16 July |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 31,350 | 3,186 | 25,105 | - 37,595 | 19.9 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 53,420 | 3,487 | 46,585 | - 60,255 | 12.8 \% |
| Guided, all days: | 50,268 | 1,755 | 46,828 | - 53,708 | 6.8 \% |
| 17 July - 30 July |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, weekdays: | 63,124 | 3,275 | 56,705 | - 69,543 | 10.2 \% |
| Unguided, weekends: | 37,552 | 4,013 | 29,687 | - 45,417 | 20.9 \% |
| Guided, all days: | 59,670 | 3,559 | 52,694 | - 66,646 | 11.7 \% |
| 31 July - 6 August |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided, all days: | 14,951 | 1,915 | 11,198 | - 18,704 | 25.1 \% |
| Guided, all days: | 13,647 | 2,095 | 9,541 | - 17,753 | 30.1 \% |
| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 200,397 | 7,267 | 186,154 | - 214,640 | 7.1 \% |
| Guided: | 123,585 | 4,487 | 114,790 | - 132,380 | 7.1 \% |
| Late Run Total | 323,982 | 8,541 | 307,242 | - 340,722 | 5.2 \% |

Completed-trip anglers interviewed during the early run reported a total of 15,132 anglerhours, $9 \%$ of the total estimated effort. During late-run, interviewed anglers reported fishing a total of 25,225 angler-hours, $7 \%$ of the total estimated effort. Approximately $9 \%$ of the total late run effort occurred during the 7-day extension of the fishery.

## Harvest Rates and Catch Rates

A total of 8,603 completed-trip angler interviews were collected: 3,473 during the early run and 5,130 during the late run (Tables 5 and 6). Interviews were conducted with both guided and unguided completed-trip anglers on each day of the fishery, excluding 8 June, during both the early and late runs, beginning on 17 May.
Daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.162 fish per hour and from 0.000 to 0.426 fish per hour for anglers employing guides (Appendices B1 and B2). Peak daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 7 June and on 17 June for guided anglers. Daily catch rates of late-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.005 to 0.073 fish per hour and from 0.010 to 0.267 fish per hour for guided anglers (Appendices B3 and B4). Peak daily catch rates of late-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 5 July and by guided anglers on 3 August. During both runs guided angler catch and harvest rates were generally twice that of unguided anglers (Tables 5 and 6). Estimates of overall harvest rates were 0.047 for the early run and 0.031 for the late run. Overall catch rates were 0.068 for the early run and 0.043 for the late run (Tables 5 and 6).

## Harvest and Catch

An estimated 7,733 ( $\mathrm{SE}=420$ ) chinook salmon were harvested during the early run (Table 7), $39 \%$ by unguided anglers. The
estimated catch of early-run chinook was $11,360(\mathrm{SE}=541)$. The relative precision for catch and harvest $(9.3 \%$ and $10.6 \%$, respectively) were within desired levels of precision ( $\pm 15 \%$ of the true values $95 \%$ of the time). Approximately $32 \%$ of the catch was voluntarily released.
An estimated $10,125(\mathrm{SE}=510)$ chinook salmon were harvested during the late run (Table 8). Unguided anglers accounted for $49 \%$ of the harvest. The estimated eatch of chinook salmon was $13,899(\mathrm{SE}=649)$. The relative precision for catch and harvest $(9.2 \%$ and $9.9 \%$, respectively) were within desired levels of precision ( $\pm 15 \%$ of the true values $95 \%$ of the time). Approximately $27 \%$ of the catch was voluntarily released during the late run.
Completed-trip anglers interviewed during the early run reported harvesting 659 fish. This represents $8.5 \%$ of the estimated total harvest. Anglers interviewed during the late run reported a harvest of 753 fish, $7.4 \%$ of the estimated total harvest.

## INRIVER RETURN

The inriver return of chinook salmon was estimated using hydroacoustic equipment (sonar). Information regarding the details of this project are presented by Eggers et al. (1995). Daily counts of chinook salmon for 1995 appear in Tables 9 and 10 . The estimated inriver return in 1995 (Burwen and Bosch 1996) for the early run was 21,946 $(\mathrm{SE}=396)$ and for the late run was 44,336 ( $\mathrm{SE}=970$ ).

## Biological Data

## Recreational Fishery

There was a significant difference in the age composition of the recreational harvest among the three temporal strata of the early run (Table 11), whether considering all four major

Table 5.-Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Angler Day Type | $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{a}}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Number of <br> Interviews $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | HPUE | Standard <br> Error | CPUE | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17-31 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Unguided weekdays | 9 | 9 | 236 | 0.035 | 0.007 | 0.058 | 0.010 |
| $\quad$ Unguided weekends | 5 | 5 | 452 | 0.025 | 0.004 | 0.035 | 0.005 |
| $\quad$ Guided all days | 14 | 14 | 287 | 0.046 | 0.007 | 0.060 | 0.008 |
| $1-16$ June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Unguided weekdays | 9 | 10 | 370 | 0.045 | 0.008 | 0.060 | 0.009 |
| $\quad$ Unguided weekends | 4 | 4 | 450 | 0.029 | 0.004 | 0.042 | 0.005 |
| $\quad$ Guided all days | 13 | 13 | 389 | 0.051 | 0.007 | 0.062 | 0.008 |
| 17-30 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Unguided weekdays | 8 | 8 | 369 | 0.032 | 0.005 | 0.052 | 0.006 |
| $\quad$ Unguided weekends | 4 | 4 | 424 | 0.035 | 0.004 | 0.062 | 0.007 |
| $\quad$ Guided all days | 12 | 12 | 496 | 0.081 | 0.006 | 0.013 | 0.008 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Unguided | 39 | 40 | 2,301 | 0.033 | 0.003 | 0.052 | 0.004 |
| $\quad$ Guided | 39 | 39 | 1,172 | 0.062 | 0.005 | 0.088 | 0.007 |
| Early Run Total | 39 | 40 | 3,473 | 0.047 | 0.003 | 0.068 | 0.004 |

[^0]Table 6.-Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Angler Day Type | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | HPUE | Standard Error | CPUE | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-16 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 7 | 7 | 733 | 0.019 | 0.003 | 0.033 | 0.005 |
| Unguided weekends | 7 | 7 | 1,034 | 0.016 | 0.002 | 0.023 | 0.002 |
| Guided all days | 11 | 11 | 723 | 0.040 | 0.004 | 0.054 | 0.005 |
| 17-30 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 8 | 8 | 834 | 0.034 | 0.003 | 0.048 | 0.004 |
| Unguided weekends | 4 | 4 | 580 | 0.029 | 0.003 | 0.042 | 0.004 |
| Guided all days | 10 | 10 | 797 | 0.047 | 0.004 | 0.059 | 0.005 |
| 31 July - 6 人ugust |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided all days | 7 | 7 | 293 | 0.016 | 0.004 | 0.018 | 0.007 |
| Guided all days | 7 | 7 | 136 | 0.031 | 0.011 | 0.042 | 0.011 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 35 | 35 | 3,474 | 0.025 | 0.002 | 0.036 | 0.003 |
| Guided | 28 | 28 | 1,656 | 0.042 | 0.003 | 0.055 | 0.004 |
| Late Run Total | 35 | 35 | 5,130 | 0.031 | 0.002 | 0.043 | 0.002 |

[^1]Table 7.-Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Strata | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Relative Precision ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | SE | Relative Precision ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17May - 31 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekday | 256 | 64 | 49.0 \% | 432 | 92 | 41.7 \% |
| Unguided weekend | 282 | 57 | 39.6 \% | 394 | 74 | 36.6 \% |
| Guided all days | 787 | 134 | 33.3 \% | 1,033 | 158 | 30.0 \% |
| 1 June - 16 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekday | 649 | 124 | 37.4 \% | 862 | 144 | 32.7 \% |
| Unguided weekend | 435 | 71 | 31.8 \% | 622 | 89 | 28.2 \% |
| Guided all days | 1,354 | 218 | 31.6 \% | 1,650 | 233 | 27.7 \% |
| 17 June - 30 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekday | 745 | 122 | 32.2 \% | 1,228 | 164 | 26.1 \% |
| Unguided weekend | 642 | 95 | 29.1 \% | 1,141 | 150 | 25.8 \% |
| Guided all days | 2,583 | 243 | 18.4 \% | 3,998 | 349 | 17.1 \% |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 3,009 | 227 | 14.8 \% | 4,679 | 303 | 12.7 \% |
| Guided | 4,724 | 353 | 14.6 \% | 6,681 | 448 | 13.1 \% |
| Early Run Total | 7,733 | 420 | 10.6 \% | 11,360 | 541 | 9.3 \% |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Harvest includes only fish kept.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
${ }^{c}$ Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 8.-Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Strata | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Relative <br> Precision ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | SE | Relative Precision ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 July - 16 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekday | 580 | 108 | 36.5 \% | 1,022 | 188 | 36.0 \% |
| Unguided weekend | 865 | 119 | 26.9 \% | 1,213 | 152 | 24.6 \% |
| Guided all days | 2,006 | 210 | 20.5 \% | 2,689 | 253 | 18.4 \% |
| 16 July - 30 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekday | 2,127 | 233 | 21.5 \% | 3,036 | 293 | 18.9 \% |
| Unguided weekend | 1,097 | 170 | 30.3 \% | 1,592 | 235 | 28.9 \% |
| Guided all days | 2,787 | 279 | 19.6 \% | 3,509 | 342 | 19.1 \% |
| 31 July - 6 August |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided all days | 245 | 68 | 54.7 \% | 263 | 103 | 76.8 \% |
| Guided all days | 418 | 159 | 74.6 \% | 575 | 176 | 59.9 \% |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 4,914 | 337 | 13.5 \% | 7,126 | 458 | 12.6 \% |
| Guided | 5,211 | 383 | 14.4 \% | 6,773 | 460 | 13.3 \% |
| Late Run Total | 10,125 | 510 | 9.9 \% | 13,899 | 649 | 9.2 \% |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Harvest includes only fish kept. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Relative precision for 95\% confidence interval. |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Catch includes fish ke | ept and fis | epor | as release |  |  |  |

Table 9.-Daily counts of chinook salmon during the early run as determined by dualbeam sonar, Kenai River, 1995.

| Date | Daily Count | Cumulative Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-May | 98 | 98 |
| 17-May | 99 | 197 |
| 18-May | 78 | 275 |
| 19-May | 149 | 424 |
| 20-May | 228 | 652 |
| 21-May | 465 | 1,117 |
| 22-May | 265 | 1,382 |
| 23-May | 286 | 1,668 |
| 24-May | 265 | 1,933 |
| 25-May | 198 | 2,131 |
| 26-May | 189 | 2,320 |
| 27-May | 165 | 2,485 |
| 28-May | 159 | 2,644 |
| 29-May | 222 | 2,866 |
| 30-May | 351 | 3,217 |
| 31-May | 282 | 3,499 |
| 1-Jun | 357 | 3,856 |
| 2-Jun | 369 | 4,225 |
| 3-Jun | 549 | 4,774 |
| 4-Jun | 693 | 5,467 |
| 5-Jun | 429 | 5,896 |
| 6-Jun | 807 | 6,703 |
| 7-Jun | 843 | 7,546 |
| 8-Jun | 999 | 8,545 |
| 9 -Jun | 789 | 9,334 |
| 10-Jun | 876 | 10,210 |
| 11-Jun | 774 | 10,984 |
| 12-Jun | 417 | 11,401 |
| 13-Jun | 492 | 11,893 |
| 14-Jun | 691 | 12,584 |
| 15-Jun | 636 | 13,220 |
| 16-Jun | 648 | 13,868 |
| 17-Jun | 750 | 14,618 |
| 18-Jun | 808 | 15,426 |
| 19-Jun | 419 | 15,845 |
| 20-Jun | 594 | 16,439 |
| 21-Jun | 438 | 16,877 |
| 22-Jun | 375 | 17,252 |
| 23-Jun | 178 | 17,430 |
| 24-Jun | 450 | 17,880 |
| 25-Jun | 429 | 18,309 |
| 26-Jun | 334 | 18,643 |
| 27-Jun | 946 | 19,589 |
| 28-Jun | 696 | 20,285 |
| 29-Jun | 984 | 21,269 |
| 30-Jun | 615 | 21,884 |

From: Burwen and Bosch 1996

Table 10.-Daily counts of chinook salmon during the late run as determined by dualbeam sonar, Kenai River, 1995.

| Date | Daily Count | Cumulative Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Jul | 350 | 350 |
| 2-Jul | 398 | 748 |
| 3-Jul | 353 | 1,101 |
| 4 -Jul | 439 | 1,540 |
| 5 -Jul | 667 | 2,207 |
| 6-Jul | 720 | 2,927 |
| 7-Jul | 931 | 3,858 |
| 8-Jul | 417 | 4,275 |
| 9 -Jul | 519 | 4,794 |
| 10-Jul | 450 | 5,244 |
| 11-Jul | 325 | 5,569 |
| 12-Jul | 276 | 5,845 |
| 13-Jul | 570 | 6,415 |
| 14-Jul | 714 | 7,129 |
| 15-Jul | 750 | 7,879 |
| 16-Jul | 1,962 | 9,841 |
| 17-Jul | 1,128 | 10,969 |
| 18-Jul | 3,942 | 14,911 |
| 19-Jul | 4,692 | 19,603 |
| 20-Jul | 4,779 | 24,382 |
| 21-Jul | 3,132 | 27,514 |
| 22-Jul | 3,465 | 30,979 |
| 23-Jul | 2,421 | 33,400 |
| 24-Jul | 831 | 34,231 |
| 25-Jul | 840 | 35,071 |
| 26-Jul | 1,683 | 36,754 |
| 27-Jul | 1,806 | 38,560 |
| 28-Jul | 789 | 39,349 |
| 29-Jul | 558 | 39,907 |
| 30-Jul | 510 | 40,417 |
| 31-Jul | 480 | 40,897 |
| 1-Aug | 474 | 41,371 |
| 2-Aug | 369 | 41,740 |
| 3-Aug | 447 | 42,187 |
| 4-Aug | 519 | 42,706 |
| 5-Aug | 404 | 43,110 |
| 6-Aug | 408 | 43,518 |
| 7-Aug | 279 | 43,797 |
| 8-Aug | 267 | 44,064 |
| 9-Aug | 272 | 44,336 |

From: Burwen and Bosch 1996

Table 11.-Age composition and mean length-at-age, by sex, of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1995.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| 17 May - 31 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent |  | 3.7 | 32.1 | 11.1 | 46.9 |
|  | SE |  | 2.1 | 5.2 | 3.5 |  |
| Female | Percent |  |  | 50.6 | 2.5 | 53.1 |
|  | SE |  |  | 5.6 | 1.7 |  |
| Combined | Percent |  | 3.7 | 82.7 | 13.6 |  |
|  | SE |  | 2.1 | 4.2 | 3.8 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 818 | 1,023 | 1,103 |  |
|  | SE |  | 9 | 12 | 19 |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 3 | 26 | 9 | 38 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 971 | 1,070 |  |
|  | SE |  |  | 8 | 10 |  |
|  | Sample size |  |  | 41 | 2 | 43 |
| 1 June - 16 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 6.2 | 7.0 | 32.5 |  | 45.7 |
|  | SE | 2.1 | 2.3 | 4.1 |  |  |
| Female | Percent |  | 8.5 | 44.2 | 1.6 | 54.3 |
|  | SE |  | 2.5 | 4.4 | 1.1 |  |
| Combined | Percent | 6.2 | 15.5 | 76.7 | 1.6 |  |
|  | SE | 2.1 | 3.2 | 3.7 | 1.1 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 565 | 851 | 1,007 |  |  |
|  | SE | 16 | 20 | 11 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 8 | 9 | 42 |  | 59 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }_{\text {a }}{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 846 | 965 | 1,150 |  |
|  | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 13 | 7 | , 40 |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 11 | 57 | 2 | 70 |
| 17 Junc- 30 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 12.6 | 10.4 | 24.8 | 6.0 | 53.8 |
|  | SE | 2.5 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 1.8 |  |
| Female | Percent |  | 3.3 | 38.5 | 4.4 | 46.2 |
|  | SE |  | 1.3 | 3.6 | 1.5 |  |
| Combined | Percent | 12.6 | 13.7 | 63.3 | 10.4 |  |
|  | SE | 2.5 | 2.6 | 3.6 | 2.3 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 635 | 798 | 1,044 | 1,139 |  |
|  | SE | 16 | 26 | 13 | 24 |  |
|  | Sample size | 23 | 19 | 45 | 11 | 98 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 830 | 993 | 1,076 |  |
|  | SE |  | 20 | 8 | 14 |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 6 | 70 | 8 | 84 |

[^2]age classes $\left(\chi^{2}=32.95, \mathrm{df}=6, \mathrm{P}<0.001\right)$ or just the two most predominant age classes ( $\chi^{2}=7.63, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{P}=0.02$ ). Further testing showed a difference in the age composition between the first two strata, 17 May-31 May versus 1 June-16 Junc (all four age classes: $\chi^{2}=23.21, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{P}<0.001$; two predominant age classes: $\chi^{2}=6.47, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{P}=0.01$ ), and a significant difference between 1 June16 June and 17 June-30 June due to an increase in fish aged 1.2 and 1.5 during the latter half of June (all four age classes: $\chi^{2}=$ $14.15, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{P}=0.003$; two predominant age classes: $\chi^{2}=0.05, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{P}=0.082$ ). Therefore, age composition data and estimating harvest by age could not be combined by strata. The most abundant age group in the early-run harvest of chinook salmon was age 1.4 which comprised $82.7 \%$ of the harvest from 17-31 May, $76.7 \%$ from 1-16 June, and $63.3 \%$ from 17-31 June. The only other age classes of significance represented in the sample were $1.2,1.3$, and 1.5 .

During the late run, there was no difference ( $\chi^{2}=6.9$, df $=3, \mathrm{P}=0.08$ ) in the age composition of chinook salmon harvested from 17-31 July and those harvested during the extended fishery of 1-6 August. There was a significant difference ( $\chi^{2}=16.90, \mathrm{df}=$ $3, \mathrm{P}<0.001)$ in the age composition of the harvest between 1-16 July and 17 July-6 August, primarily due to the decline in fish age 1.2 (Table 12). There was no difference ( $\chi^{2}=0.88, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{P}=0.35$ ) between time intervals of the two predomi-nant age classes.

Age 1.4 was again the most abundant age in the late-run harvest, contributing $65.1 \%$ of the harvest from 1-16 July and $75.4 \%$ from 17 July-6 August (Table 12). Other significant age classes included 1.2, 1.3, and 1.5.

## Inriver Return

There was a significant difference in the age/sex composition between the first 3-week stratum and second 3 -week stratum during the early run ( 16 May-7 June, 8 June- 30 June) ( $\chi^{2}=12.5, \mathrm{df}=3, \mathrm{P}<0.005$ ). The most abundant age for the early run in the samples collected with gill nets was 1.4 , representing $76.8 \%$ of the first 3 -week stratum and $61.0 \%$ of the second 3 -week stratum (Table 13). Age 1.3 was the second largest contributor, with the 1.5 and 1.2 age classes being significantly represented, also. No significant difference was detected in the age/sex composition between the first 3 -week stratum (1 July23 July) and second 3-week stratum (24 July11 August) during the late run ( $\chi^{2}=4.8, \mathrm{df}=$ $3, \mathrm{P}>0.900$ ). The most abundant age for the late run in the samples collected with gill nets was 1.4 , representing $50.5 \%$ of the return (Table 14). Atypically, age 1.2 was the second largest contributor to the late run, followed by 1.3 and 1.5 .

ANOVA tests were uscd to detect differences of mean length-at-age by sex and sampling method (recreational harvest or inriver netting). For age-1.3 fish, there was no significant difference in mean length between early- and late-run chinook salmon; however, females tended to be larger than males ( $\mathrm{F}=$ 23.86; df $=1,173 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) and recreationally harvested fish tended to be larger than those netted ( $\mathrm{F}=7.45$; $\mathrm{df}=1,173$, $\mathrm{P}=0.007$ ). There was significant interaction between run and sex because late-run females were larger than early-run females, but earlyrun males were larger than late-run males, particularly those males from the recreational harvest. For age-1.4 fish, the mean length for late-run fish was significantly larger than for early-run fish ( $\mathrm{F}=11.74$; df $=1,845 ; \mathrm{P}<$ 0.001 ). The mean length for age- 1.4 males was also significantly larger than for 1.4

Table 12.-Age composition and mean length-at-age, by sex, of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1995.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Other |  |
| 1 July-16 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 12.6 | 9.1 | 25.7 | 2.9 | 1.1 | 51.4 |
|  | SE | 2.5 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 1.3 | 0.8 |  |
| Female | Percent | 1.1 | 1.8 | 39.4 | 6.3 |  | 48.6 |
|  | SE | 0.8 | 1.0 | 3.7 | 1.8 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent | 13.7 | 10.9 | 65.1 | 9.2 | 1.1 |  |
|  | SE | 2.6 | 2.4 | 3.6 | 2.2 | 0.8 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) | 622 | 766 | 1,030 | 1,152 | 370 |  |
|  | SE | 18 | 15 | 14 | 36 | 0 |  |
|  | Sample size | 22 | 16 | 45 | 5 | 2 | 90 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) | 675 | 843 | 1,012 | 1,103 |  |  |
|  | SE | 45 | 16 | 8 | 7 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 2 | 3 | 69 | 11 |  | 85 |
| 17 July-6 August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 3.5 | 4.6 | 30.4 | 5.8 | 0.4 | 44.7 |
|  | SE | 1.1 | 1.3 | 2.9 | 1.5 | 0.4 |  |
| Female | Percent |  | 4.6 | 45.0 | 5.7 |  | 55.3 |
| Combined | SE |  | 1.3 | 3.1 | 1.5 |  |  |
|  | Percent SE | $\begin{aligned} & 3.5 \\ & 1.1 \end{aligned}$ | 9.2 1.8 | 75.4 2.7 | 11.5 2.0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0.4 \\ & 0.4 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) | 632 | 813 | 1,038 | 1,157 | 375 |  |
|  | SE | 20 | 30 | 9 | 13 |  |  |
|  | Sample size a | 9 | 12 | 79 | 15 | 1 | 116 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 889 | 1,003 | 1,103 |  |  |
|  | SE |  | 21 | 5 | 13 |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 12 | 117 | 15 |  | 144 |

Table 13.-Age composition and mean length-at-age, by sex, of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gill nets during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1995.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Other |  |
| 16 May - 7 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 2.4 | 6.4 | 40.8 | 4.0 |  | 53.6 |
|  | SE | 1.4 | 2.2 | 4.4 | 1.8 |  |  |
| Female | Percent | 0.8 | 7.2 | 36.0 | 2.4 |  | 46.4 |
|  | SE | 0.8 | 2.3 | 4.3 | 1.4 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent | 3.2 | 13.6 | 76.8 | 6.4 |  |  |
|  | SE | 1.6 | 3.1 | 3.8 | 2.2 |  |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) | 657 | 801 | 1,028 | 1,117 |  |  |
|  | SE | 19 | 13 | 10 | 30 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 3 | 8 | 51 | 5 |  | 67 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) | 665 | 804 | 969 | 1,110 |  |  |
|  | SE |  | 26 | 8 | 55 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 1 | 9 | 45 | 3 |  | 58 |
| 8 June - 30 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 7.0 | 17.0 | 21.0 | 1.0 |  | 46.0 |
|  | SE | 2.6 | 3.8 | 4.1 | 1.0 |  |  |
| Female | Percent |  | 12.0 | 40.0 | 1.0 | 1.0 | 54.0 |
|  | SE |  | 3.3 | 4.9 | 1.0 | 1.0 |  |
| Combined | Percent | 7.0 | 29.0 | 61.0 | 2.0 | 1.0 |  |
|  | SE | 2.6 | 4.6 | 4.9 | 1.4 | 1.0 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) | 646 | 768 | 1,059 | 1,130 |  |  |
|  | SE | 9 | 10 | 25 |  |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 7 | 17 | 21 | 1 |  | 46 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 808 | 1,000 | 1,060 | 1,080 |  |
|  | SE |  | 16 | 12 |  |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 12 | 40 | 1 | 1 | 54 |

Table 14.-Age composition and mean length-at-age, by sex, of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gill nets during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1995.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Other |  |
| 1 July - 23 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 23.5 | 15.0 | 29.4 | 3.9 |  | 71.8 |
| Female | SE | 3.4 | 2.9 | 3.7 | 1.6 |  |  |
|  | Percent |  | 3.3 | 24.2 | 0.7 |  | 28.2 |
|  | SE |  | 1.4 | 3.5 | 0.7 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent | 23.5 | 18.3 | 53.6 | 4.6 |  |  |
|  | SE | 3.4 | 3.1 | 4.1 | 1.7 |  |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 643 | 766 | 1,034 | 1,195 |  |  |
|  | SE | 13 | 21 | 16 | 17 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 36 | 23 | 45 | 6 |  | 110 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 844 | 1,016 | 1,135 |  |  |
|  | SE |  | 52 | 10 |  |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 5 | 37 | 1 |  | 43 |
| 24 July - 11 August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 18.9 | 18.9 | 7.5 | 3.7 | 1.9 | 50.9 |
| Female | SE | 5.4 | 5.4 | 3.7 | 2.6 | 1.9 |  |
|  | ${ }_{\text {Percent }}$ |  | 11.3 | 34.0 | 3.8 |  | 49.1 |
|  | SE |  | 4.4 | 6.6 | 2.6 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent | 18.9 | 30.2 | 41.5 | 7.5 | 1.9 |  |
|  | SE | 5.4 | 6.4 | 6.8 | 3.7 | 1.9 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 655 | 797 | 1,093 | 1,150 | 540 |  |
|  | SE | 12 | 24 | 42 | 20 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 10 | 10 | 4 | 2 | 1 | 27 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 888 | 1,025 | 1,050 |  |  |
|  | SE |  | 18 | 14 | 10 |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 6 | 18 | 2 |  | 26 |
| 1 Julv - 11 August |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | $\stackrel{\text { Sercent }}{ }$ | 22.3 2.9 | 16.0 2.6 | 23.8 3.0 | 3.9 1.4 | 0.5 0.5 | 66.5 |
|  | Percent |  | 5.3 | 26.7 | 1.5 |  | 33.5 |
|  | SE |  | 1.6 | 3.1 | 0.8 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent | 22.3 | 21.3 | 50.5 | 5.4 | 0.5 |  |
|  | SE | 2.9 | 2.9 | 3.5 | 1.6 | 0.5 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 646 | 775 | 1,039 | 1,184 | 540 |  |
|  | SE | 10 | 16 | 15 | 15 |  |  |
|  | Sample size a | 46 | 33 | 49 | 8 | 1 | 137 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 868 | 1,019 | 1,078 |  |  |
|  | SE |  | 25 | 8 | 29 |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 11 | 55 | 3 |  | 69 |

females $(\mathrm{F}=44.62 ; \mathrm{df}=1,845 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ). Although there was no significant difference in mean length-at-age for age-1.4 fish sampled in the harvest versus nets, early-run females tended to be larger than those in the late run with little difference in mean lengths of 1.4 males, by run. The only detectable difference for age-1.5 fish was that males tended to be larger than females ( $\mathrm{F}=13.56$; df $=1,91 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ).

## DISCUSSION

In 1990, 1991 and 1992, emergency orders restricting the bag limit to zero for fish less than 132 cm (hook and release fishing), or one fish 132 cm or greater (trophy fishing) severely affected the effort in this fishery (Figures 2 and 3 ). Relatively high catch rates apparently do not provide sufficient angler satisfaction when fish retention is limited or prohibited. Effort declined after the implementation of the emergency orders, regardless of the increased numbers of fish entering the system and the numbers of fish caught in proportion to the number of anglerhours expended (Hammarstrom 1993). In 1993-1995 this situation did not occur. Daily effort during both runs did not exhibit any dramatic decrease over time, and this is assumed to be the result of no additional restrictions required inseason (Figures 5 and 6).

During the early run there was an increase of nearly 31,000 angler hours ( $24 \%$ ) from the 1994 estimate (King 1995). This can be partly attributed to the liberalization of the fishery allowing use of bait beginning 17 June (providing 14 days of a bait fishery in 1995 versus 7 days in 1994). The percent increase in effort was realized equally by both angler types ( $23 \%$ guided and $24 \%$ unguided). In 1995 unguided anglers contributed $54 \%$ of the total effort and guided anglers $46 \%$.

For the late run there was a $9 \%$ decrease in effort from the 1994 fishery (King 1995). Although there was a $7 \%$ increase in effort by guided anglers ( 13,536 angler hours), the $7 \%$ decrease in effort by unguided anglers ( 44,332 angler hours) was primarily responsible for the overall decline in participation from 1994. The majority of the 1995 effort ( $62 \%$ ) was by unguided anglers.

CPUE and HPUE for guided anglers was greater than that of the unguided anglers for both runs. The HPUE of the guided anglers was twice that of the unguided anglers, which has been the historical trend.

For both the early and late runs of chinook salmon there was a general trend for angler effort and catch to track with the daily estimates of chinook salmon abundance (sonar counts) (Figures 5 and 6).
Using data from the inriver sampling of the age composition (less size/age related bias than fish harvested during the recreational fishery), there was a higher percent of age 1.4 fish during the first 3 weeks of each run (early run $76.8 \%$, late run $53.6 \%$ ). During the remainder of each run there was a reduction in the percentage of age 1.4 fish with the largest increase in the percent of age 1.3 fish (Tables 13 and 14).

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Observation of the fishery in the downstream section of the Kenai River in recent years has shown a marked shift in effort from formerly preferred fishing areas throughout this river section to an area downstream of river mile 9 . In fact much of this effort now occurs below the chinook salmon sonar site at river mile 8.5. There is concern about the level of harvest occurring below the sonar counters and that a significant number of chinook salmon are being harvested prior to being
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Figure 5.-Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon (bottom) and angler effort (top) during the early run, Kenai River, 1995.


Figure 6.-Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon (bottom) and angler effort (top) during the late run, Kenai River, 1995.
enumerated as part of the inriver return. This raises concerns by management as to the effectiveness of the management plans governing these fisheries. The creel survey design for the 1996 Kenai River chinook salmon fishery should be modified to provide an estimate of harvest downstream of the chinook salmon sonar counters.

In recent years observation has also indicated that there has been an increased effort in the fishery occurring upstream of the Soldotna Bridge. It would be prudent to design and implement an onsite creel survey which is appropriate to the characteristics of this fishery. This would provide harvest and effort estimates necessary for inseason management of the fishery.
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# APPENDIX A. COUNTS OF BOAT ANGLERS DURING THE CREEL SURVEY OF THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON ON THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1995 

Appendix A1.-Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Day } \\ & \text { Type }^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 17-May | Wd |  |  |  | 13 | 15 |  |  |  | 10 | 8 |
| 18-May | Wd |  |  |  | 10 | 17 |  |  |  | 31 | 4 |
| 19-May | Wd | 34 | 63 | 36 |  |  | 56 | 60 | 37 |  |  |
| 20-May | We | 14 | 93 | 87 | 100 | 141 | 10 | 68 | 69 | 27 | 37 |
| 21-May | We | 71 | 117 | 92 | 45 | 36 | 117 | 128 | 79 | 11 | 9 |
| 22-May | Wd | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 23-May | Wd |  |  | 25 | 33 |  |  |  | 59 | 4 |  |
| 24-May | Wd | 32 | 35 |  | 28 | 10 | 74 | 147 |  | 10 | 1 |
| 25-May | Wd | 19 | 37 |  |  |  | 96 | 109 |  |  |  |
| 26-May | Wd |  |  | 70 | 40 |  |  |  | 76 |  | 7 |
| 27-May | We | 74 | 79 | 111 | 332 | 138 | 106 | 102 | 85 | 29 | 36 |
| 28-May | We | 7 | 162 | 231 | 296 | 116 | 3 | 149 | 126 | 92 | 20 |
| 29-May | We | 61 | 159 | 118 | 107 | 40 | 8 | 109 | 25 | 40 | 13 |
| 30-May | Wd | 65 | 78 |  | 64 | 75 | 92 | 98 |  | 19 | 24 |
| 31-May | Wd |  | 46 | 11 |  |  |  | 94 | 33 |  |  |
| 01-Jun | Wd | 70 |  |  | 40 | 76 | 160 | 65 |  |  |  |
| 02-Jun | Wd |  | 97 | 95 |  |  | 146 | 103 |  |  |  |
| 03-Jun | We | 44 | 162 | 159 | 148 | 195 | 194 | 99 |  |  |  |
| 04-Jun | We | 168 | 179 |  | 80 | 82 | 161 | 74 |  |  |  |
| 05-Jun | Wd | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 06-Jun | Wd |  | 91 | 83 |  |  | 193 | 168 |  |  |  |
| 07-Jun | Wd | 70 | 87 | 49 | 43 | 42 | 220 | 136 |  |  |  |
| 08-Jun | Wd |  |  | 94 |  |  |  | 163 |  |  |  |
| 09-Jun | Wd |  | 94 | 200 |  |  | 343 | 176 |  |  |  |
| 10-Jun | We | 168 | 265 | 291 | 292 | 214 | 262 | 146 |  |  |  |
| 11-Jun | We | 284 | 203 | 194 | 229 | 131 | 133 | 76 |  |  |  |
| 12-Jun | Wd | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 13-Jun | Wd |  | 188 | 95 |  |  | 304 | 128 |  |  |  |
| 14-Jun | Wd |  | 59 | 52 | 37 |  | 77 | 95 |  |  |  |
| 15-Jun | Wd | 18 | 71 | 71 |  | 43 | 178 | 151 |  |  |  |
| 16-Jun | Wd | 104 |  |  | 55 | 72 | 182 |  |  |  |  |
| 17-Jun | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 291 | 327 | 317 | 270 | 251 | 296 | 167 |  |  |  |
| 18-Jun | We | 133 | 404 | 250 | 227 | 277 | 267 | 93 |  |  |  |
| 19-Jun | Wd | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 20-Jun | Wd |  | 231 |  | 106 |  | 329 | 147 |  |  |  |
| 21-Jun | Wd | 104 | 144 | 171 | 110 | 196 | 220 | 173 |  |  |  |
| 22-Jun | Wd |  | 110 | 197 |  |  | 245 | 178 |  |  |  |
| 23-Jun | Wd | 91 |  | 69 |  | 54 | 255 | 87 |  |  |  |
| 24-Jun | We | 73 | 202 | 288 | 316 | 144 | 157 | 151 |  |  |  |
| 25-Jun | We | 195 | 237 | 224 | 142 | 71 | 169 | 144 |  |  |  |
| 26-Jun | Wd | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 27-Jun | Wd | 207159 |  |  |  |  | 426 | 245 |  |  |  |
| 28-Jun | Wd | 199 | 233 |  | 172 |  | 402 | 194 |  |  |  |
| 29-Jun | Wd | 214 | 159 |  | 184 | 170 | 389 | 149 |  |  |  |
| 30-Jun | Wd |  | 120 | 85 |  | 125 | 299 | 145 |  |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend
${ }^{b}$ The use of bait was permitted by emergency order 17-30 June.

Appendix A2.-Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for laterun chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995.

| Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day } \\ \text { Type }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Period |  |  |  |  | Period |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 01-Jul | We | 177 | 334 | 277 | 272 | 361 | 421 | 263 |  |  |  |
| 02-Jul | We | 370 | 447 | 423 | 458 | 469 |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 03-Jul | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 04-Jul | We |  | 403 | 210 |  |  | 464 | 386 |  |  |  |
| 05-Jul | Wd | 166 | 138 |  | 126 | 247 | 517 | 224 |  |  |  |
| 06-Jul | Wd | 119 |  | 174 |  |  |  | 324 |  |  |  |
| 07-Jul | Wd |  | 104 | 101 | 164 | 128 | 464 | 292 |  |  |  |
| 08-Jul | We | 261 | 269 | 326 | 354 | 239 | 456 | 382 |  |  |  |
| 09-Jul | We | 93 | 422 | 474 | 287 | 186 |  |  | OSE |  |  |
| 10-Jul | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSE |  |  |
| 11-Jul | Wd | 447 | 358 | 237 |  | 300 | 556 | 391 |  |  |  |
| 12-Jul | Wd | 299 |  | 225 |  |  | 444 | 224 |  |  |  |
| 13-Jul | Wd |  | 306 | 228 | 264 | 111 | 447 | 341 |  |  |  |
| 14-Jul | Wd | 476 |  |  | 255 |  | 380 | 255 |  |  |  |
| 15-Jul | We | 478 | 626 | 561 | 435 | 431 | 491 | 192 |  |  |  |
| 16-Jul | We | 348 | 828 | 411 | 590 | 486 |  |  | OSE |  |  |
| 17-Jul | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSE |  |  |
| 18-Jul | Wd |  |  | 445 |  |  | 631 |  |  |  |  |
| 19-Jul | Wd |  | 571 | 386 | 594 | 210 | 659 | 597 |  |  |  |
| 20-Jul | Wd | 457 | 586 | 457 |  |  | 604 | 193 |  |  |  |
| 21-Jul | Wd |  | 480 | 311 | 305 |  | 594 | 447 |  |  |  |
| 22-Jul | We | 647 | 708 | 875 |  | 607 | 704 | 629 |  |  |  |
| 23-Jul | We | 618 | 713 | 715 | 648 | 391 |  |  | OSE |  |  |
| 24-Jul | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSE |  |  |
| 25-Jul | Wd |  |  | 415 | 336 | 304 | 580 | 459 |  |  |  |
| 26-Jul | Wd |  | 465 | 428 |  |  | 574 | 515 |  |  |  |
| 27-Jul | Wd | 386 | 409 |  | 314 | 376 | 576 | 296 |  |  |  |
| 28-Jul | Wd |  | 324 | 321 |  |  | 510 | 369 |  |  |  |
| 29-Jul | We | 208 | 344 | 360 | 214 | 181 | 373 | 221 |  |  |  |
| 30-Jul | We | 379 | 557 | 432 | 263 | 153 |  |  | OSE |  |  |
| 31-Jul | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 38 | 43 | 54 | 58 |  |  | 63 | 56 |  |  |
| 01-Aug | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 133 |  |  |  |  | 290 |  |  |  |
| 02-Aug | Wd | 30 | 97 | 107 | 52 |  | 16 | 204 | 91 | 34 |  |
| 03-Aug | Wd |  |  |  | 83 | 31 |  |  |  | 55 | 5 |
| 04-Aug | Wd | 136 |  | 155 | 75 | 118 | 169 |  | 161 | 43 | 7 |
| 05-Aug | We |  |  | 187 | 76 | 129 |  |  | 162 | 42 | 21 |
| 06-Aug | We | 162 | 237 | 269 | 93 | 73 | 172 | 141 | 132 | 96 | 45 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Fishing for chinook salmon from a boat on the Kenai River on Monday permitted by emergency order.
${ }^{c}$ Fishery extended by emergency order, 1-6 August. No restrictions on hours which anglers could fish from guided vessel.

# APPENDIX B. DAILY SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR FISHING EFFORT, HARVEST RATE, AND CATCH RATE FOR ANGLERS INTERVIEWED DURING THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON IN THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1995 

Appendix B1.-Daily sample size (n), effort, harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 17-May | Wd | 25 | 3.2 | 0.32 | 0.24 | 0.087 | 0.075 | 0.36 | 0.098 | 0.113 |
| 18-May | Wd | 11 | 4.8 | 0.71 | 0.27 | 0.141 | 0.057 | 0.55 | 0.157 | 0.113 |
| 19-May | Wd | 15 | 4.8 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.13 | 0.091 | 0.028 |
| 20-May | We | 50 | 4.0 | 0.37 | 0.10 | 0.043 | 0.025 | 0.12 | 0.046 | 0.030 |
| 21-May | We | 62 | 4.4 | 0.31 | 0.16 | 0.047 | 0.037 | 0.32 | 0.064 | 0.074 |
| 23-May | Wd | 24 | 3.9 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.095 | 0.074 | 0.38 | 0.118 | 0.095 |
| 24-May | Wd | 37 | 3.6 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 0.045 | 0.022 | 0.14 | 0.057 | 0.037 |
| 25-May | Wd | 14 | 6.0 | 0.41 | 0.07 | 0.071 | 0.012 | 0.21 | 0.114 | 0.036 |
| 26-May | Wd | 60 | 3.6 | 0.33 | 0.08 | 0.036 | 0.023 | 0.10 | 0.046 | 0.028 |
| 27-May | We | 121 | 4.6 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.025 | 0.018 | 0.09 | 0.026 | 0.020 |
| 28-May | We | 121 | 4.0 | 0.16 | 0.12 | 0.030 | 0.031 | 0.16 | 0.037 | 0.040 |
| 29-May | We | 98 | 4.2 | 0.18 | 0.08 | 0.028 | 0.019 | 0.11 | 0.032 | 0.027 |
| 30-May | Wd | 20 | 3.6 | 0.28 | 0.20 | 0.092 | 0.056 | 0.20 | 0.092 | 0.056 |
| 31-May | Wd | 30 | 4.0 | 0.31 | 0.10 | 0.056 | 0.025 | 0.33 | 0.088 | 0.084 |
| 1-Jun | Wd | 29 | 3.1 | 0.34 | 0.24 | 0.081 | 0.077 | 0.24 | 0.081 | 0.077 |
| 2-Jun | Wd | 51 | 3.5 | 0.32 | 0.20 | 0.056 | 0.056 | 0.25 | 0.068 | 0.073 |
| 3-Jun | We | 94 | 4.5 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.031 | 0.021 | 0.17 | 0.039 | 0.038 |
| 4-Jun | We | 109 | 3.6 | 0.19 | 0.23 | 0.040 | 0.063 | 0.29 | 0.044 | 0.081 |
| 6-Jun | Wd | 28 | 3.5 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.083 | 0.071 | 0.43 | 0.108 | 0.121 |
| 7-Jun | Wd | 35 | 3.2 | 0.24 | 0.37 | 0.083 | 0.117 | 0.51 | 0.111 | 0.162 |
| 9 -Jun | Wd | 79 | 4.5 | 0.29 | 0.06 | 0.028 | 0.014 | 0.14 | 0.047 | 0.031 |
| 10-Jun | We | 110 | 4.6 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.028 | 0.020 | 0.15 | 0.035 | 0.033 |
| 11-Jun | We | 137 | 4.2 | 0.15 | 0.09 | 0.024 | 0.021 | 0.11 | 0.029 | 0.026 |
| 13-Jun | Wd | 25 | 4.4 | 0.41 | 0.08 | 0.055 | 0.018 | 0.08 | 0.055 | 0.018 |
| 14-Jun | Wd | 19 | 3.6 | 0.68 | 0.16 | 0.086 | 0.044 | 0.21 | 0.096 | 0.059 |
| 15-Jun | Wd | 40 | 2.9 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.048 | 0.034 | 0.13 | 0.053 | 0.043 |
| 16-Jun | Wd | 64 | 4.0 | 0.23 | 0.09 | 0.037 | 0.024 | 0.17 | 0.061 | 0.043 |
| 17-Jun | We | 107 | 3.4 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.044 | 0.088 | 0.50 | 0.069 | 0.148 |
| 18-Jun | We | 153 | 4.2 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.025 | 0.027 | 0.18 | 0.031 | 0.042 |
| 20-Jun | Wd | 22 | 6.1 | 0.91 | 0.09 | 0.063 | 0.015 | 0.09 | 0.063 | 0.015 |
| 21-Jun | Wd | 43 | 2.8 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.032 | 0.016 | 0.14 | 0.053 | 0.049 |
| 22-Jun | Wd | 30 | 3.3 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.063 | 0.040 | 0.20 | 0.074 | 0.061 |
| 23-Jun | Wd | 26 | 3.6 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 24-Jun | We | 73 | 4.0 | 0.32 | 0.04 | 0.023 | 0.010 | 0.15 | 0.042 | 0.037 |
| 25 -Jun | We | 91 | 4.1 | 0.20 | 0.07 | 0.026 | 0.016 | 0.12 | 0.038 | 0.029 |
| 27-Jun | Wd | 57 | 4.7 | 0.27 | 0.26 | 0.059 | 0.056 | 0.53 | 0.091 | 0.112 |
| 28-Jun | Wd | 72 | 4.7 | 0.23 | 0.15 | 0.043 | 0.032 | 0.28 | 0.057 | 0.059 |
| 29-Jun | Wd | 96 | 3.9 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.034 | 0.032 | 0.14 | 0.035 | 0.034 |
| 30-Jun | Wd | 23 | 3.8 | 0.48 | 0.09 | 0.060 | 0.023 | 0.09 | 0.060 | 0.023 |

[^3]Appendix B2.-Daily sample size (n), effort, harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 17-May | Wd | 6 | 2.4 | 0.42 | 0.33 | 0.211 | 0.138 | 0.33 | 0.211 | 0.138 |
| 18-May | Wd | 6 | 5.0 | 0.32 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.033 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.033 |
| 19-May | Wd | 6 | 4.8 | 0.90 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.035 | 0.33 | 0.211 | 0.070 |
| 20-May | We | 12 | 6.5 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.08 | 0.083 | 0.013 |
| 21-May | We | 12 | 5.3 | 0.97 | 0.50 | 0.151 | 0.095 | 0.58 | 0.149 | 0.111 |
| 23-May | Wd | 16 | 8.1 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.015 |
| 24-May | Wd | 31 | 4.5 | 0.49 | 0.26 | 0.080 | 0.057 | 0.42 | 0.101 | 0.093 |
| 25-May | Wd | 33 | 4.8 | 0.46 | 0.30 | 0.081 | 0.063 | 0.30 | 0.081 | 0.063 |
| 26-May | Wd | 32 | 4.7 | 0.36 | 0.16 | 0.065 | 0.033 | 0.22 | 0.074 | 0.046 |
| 27-May | We | 42 | 5.2 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.069 | 0.051 | 0.33 | 0.081 | 0.064 |
| 28-May | We | 33 | 5.3 | 0.32 | 0.15 | 0.063 | 0.029 | 0.15 | 0.063 | 0.029 |
| 29-May | We | 3 | 2.8 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 30-May | Wd | 25 | 4.8 | 0.37 | 0.28 | 0.092 | 0.059 | 0.40 | 0.100 | 0.084 |
| 31-May | Wd | 30 | 5.1 | 0.36 | 0.37 | 0.089 | 0.071 | 0.47 | 0.104 | 0.091 |
| 1-Jun | Wd | 5 | 6.2 | 1.86 | 0.40 | 0.245 | 0.065 | 0.40 | 0.245 | 0.065 |
| 2-Jun | Wd | 26 | 4.0 | 0.28 | 0.38 | 0.097 | 0.097 | 0.38 | 0.097 | 0.097 |
| 3-Jun | We | 28 | 5.2 | 0.44 | 0.21 | 0.079 | 0.041 | 0.29 | 0.087 | 0.055 |
| 4-Jun | We | 18 | 3.8 | 0.40 | 0.33 | 0.114 | 0.089 | 0.39 | 0.118 | 0.104 |
| 6-Jun | Wd | 14 | 5.0 | 0.47 | 0.50 | 0.139 | 0.101 | 0.50 | 0.139 | 0.101 |
| 7-Jun | Wd | 25 | 5.1 | 0.31 | 0.20 | 0.082 | 0.039 | 0.24 | 0.087 | 0.047 |
| $9-\mathrm{Jun}$ | Wd | 65 | 4.4 | 0.26 | 0.34 | 0.059 | 0.077 | 0.43 | 0.082 | 0.098 |
| 10-Jun | We | 56 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 0.29 | 0.061 | 0.057 | 0.36 | 0.065 | 0.072 |
| 11-Jun | We | 17 | 5.4 | 0.44 | 0.12 | 0.081 | 0.022 | 0.12 | 0.081 | 0.022 |
| 13-Jun | Wd | 74 | 5.4 | 0.17 | 0.14 | 0.040 | 0.025 | 0.20 | 0.047 | 0.037 |
| 14-Jun | Wd | 11 | 4.9 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 15-Jun | Wd | 19 | 4.7 | 0.53 | 0.26 | 0.104 | 0.056 | 0.26 | 0.104 | 0.056 |
| 16-Jun | Wd | 31 | 4.7 | 0.30 | 0.16 | 0.067 | 0.034 | 0.23 | 0.076 | 0.048 |
| 17-Jun | We | 63 | 2.9 | 0.27 | 0.79 | 0.051 | 0.273 | 1.24 | 0.115 | 0.426 |
| 18-Jun | We | 18 | 5.4 | 0.54 | 0.22 | 0.101 | 0.041 | 0.28 | 0.109 | 0.051 |
| 20-Jun | Wd | 21 | 4.5 | 0.46 | 0.38 | 0.109 | 0.085 | 0.52 | 0.131 | 0.116 |
| 21-Jun | Wd | 56 | 5.4 | 0.37 | 0.30 | 0.062 | 0.056 | 0.43 | 0.071 | 0.079 |
| 22-Jun | Wd | 66 | 4.9 | 0.24 | 0.45 | 0.062 | 0.092 | 0.65 | 0.079 | 0.132 |
| 23-Jun | Wd | 23 | 4.6 | 0.38 | 0.17 | 0.081 | 0.038 | 0.35 | 0.102 | 0.076 |
| 24-Jun | We | 33 | 6.2 | 0.44 | 0.30 | 0.081 | 0.049 | 0.39 | 0.086 | 0.064 |
| 25-Jun | We | 40 | 5.1 | 0.28 | 0.33 | 0.075 | 0.063 | 0.35 | 0.084 | 0.068 |
| 27-Jun | Wd | 35 | 5.6 | 0.15 | 0.40 | 0.084 | 0.072 | 0.66 | 0.116 | 0.118 |
| 28-Jun | Wd | 47 | 5.9 | 0.35 | 0.43 | 0.073 | 0.072 | 0.83 | 0.205 | 0.140 |
| 29-Jun | Wd | 74 | 4.7 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.055 | 0.072 | 0.61 | 0.094 | 0.130 |
| 30-Jun | Wd | 20 | 5.0 | 0.36 | 0.10 | 0.069 | 0.020 | 0.10 | 0.069 | 0.020 |

[^4]Appendix B3.-Daily sample size (n), effort, harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| $1-\mathrm{Jul}$ | We | 108 | 5.6 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.020 | 0.008 | 0.10 | 0.032 | 0.018 |
| 2-Jul | We | 154 | 4.9 | 0.22 | 0.05 | 0.017 | 0.009 | 0.07 | 0.023 | 0.015 |
| 4-Jul | We | 43 | 7.9 | 0.87 | 0.07 | 0.039 | 0.009 | 0.12 | 0.049 | 0.015 |
| $5-\mathrm{Jul}$ | Wd | 95 | 4.0 | 0.18 | 0.14 | 0.035 | 0.034 | 0.29 | 0.060 | 0.073 |
| 6-Jul | Wd | 22 | 4.3 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 0.045 | 0.011 | 0.27 | 0.117 | 0.063 |
| 7-Jul | Wd | 91 | 3.6 | 0.21 | 0.09 | 0.030 | 0.024 | 0.11 | 0.033 | 0.030 |
| 8 -Jul | We | 141 | 5.2 | 0.19 | 0.08 | 0.023 | 0.015 | 0.08 | 0.023 | 0.015 |
| 9 -Jul | We | 214 | 4.8 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0.14 | 0.024 | 0.028 |
| 11-Jul | Wd | 204 | 5.1 | 0.17 | 0.07 | 0.018 | 0.014 | 0.16 | 0.030 | 0.032 |
| 12-Jul | Wd | 60 | 4.3 | 0.45 | 0.10 | 0.039 | 0.023 | 0.12 | 0.042 | 0.027 |
| 13-Jul | Wd | 181 | 4.5 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.017 | 0.012 | 0.07 | 0.019 | 0.015 |
| 14-Jul | Wd | 80 | 4.2 | 0.19 | 0.10 | 0.034 | 0.024 | 0.13 | 0.037 | 0.030 |
| 15-Jul | We | 186 | 4.9 | 0.18 | 0.09 | 0.021 | 0.019 | 0.13 | 0.025 | 0.026 |
| 16-Jul | We | 188 | 4.4 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.023 | 0.024 | 0.14 | 0.027 | 0.033 |
| 18-Jul | Wd | 146 | 4.9 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.033 | 0.039 | 0.30 | 0.042 | 0.061 |
| 19-Jul | Wd | 201 | 4.4 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.024 | 0.031 | 0.17 | 0.029 | 0.040 |
| 20-Jul | Wd | 62 | 3.7 | 0.20 | 0.11 | 0.041 | 0.030 | 0.11 | 0.041 | 0.030 |
| 21-Jul | Wd | 117 | 4.2 | 0.21 | 0.18 | 0.036 | 0.042 | 0.28 | 0.048 | 0.067 |
| 22-Jul | We | 229 | 4.4 | 0.16 | 0.17 | 0.025 | 0.038 | 0.21 | 0.029 | 0.047 |
| 23-Jul | We | 130 | 4.4 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.034 | 0.040 | 0.25 | 0.045 | 0.058 |
| 25-Jul | Wd | 105 | 5.2 | 0.29 | 0.13 | 0.033 | 0.026 | 0.24 | 0.046 | 0.046 |
| 26-Jul | Wd | 21 | 3.9 | 0.44 | 0.05 | 0.048 | 0.012 | 0.10 | 0.066 | 0.025 |
| 27-Jul | Wd | 126 | 4.7 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.031 | 0.029 | 0.17 | 0.036 | 0.037 |
| 28-Jul | Wd | 56 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.058 | 0.050 | 0.29 | 0.061 | 0.057 |
| 29-Jul | We | 92 | 4.1 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.026 | 0.016 | 0.09 | 0.030 | 0.021 |
| 30-Jul | We | 129 | 4.2 | 0.13 | 0.05 | 0.019 | 0.011 | 0.13 | 0.034 | 0.031 |
| 31-Jul | Wd | 19 | 3.4 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.072 | 0.031 | 0.16 | 0.086 | 0.046 |
| 1-Aug | Wd | 36 | 4.5 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.039 | 0.012 | 0.06 | 0.039 | 0.012 |
| 2-Aug | Wd | 26 | 5.9 | 0.47 | 0.08 | 0.053 | 0.013 | 0.08 | 0.053 | 0.013 |
| 3-Aug | Wd | 24 | 5.4 | 0.93 | 0.08 | 0.058 | 0.015 | 0.08 | 0.058 | 0.015 |
| 4-Aug | Wd | 52 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 0.10 | 0.041 | 0.019 | 0.13 | 0.048 | 0.027 |
| 5-Aug | We | 92 | 4.1 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.030 | 0.021 | 0.09 | 0.030 | 0.021 |
| 6-Aug | We | 44 | 4.4 | 0.24 | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.005 | 0.02 | 0.023 | 0.005 |

Appendix B4.-Daily sample size (n), effort, harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1995 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & W^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | n | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 1-Jul | We | 34 | 5.8 | 0.36 | 0.24 | 0.074 | 0.040 | 0.29 | 0.090 | 0.051 |
| 4-Jul | We | 32 | 6.1 | 0.43 | 0.19 | 0.070 | 0.031 | 0.31 | 0.105 | 0.052 |
| 5-Jul | Wd | 58 | 5.9 | 0.33 | 0.19 | 0.052 | 0.032 | 0.31 | 0.075 | 0.052 |
| 6-Jul | Wd | 19 | 4.7 | 0.50 | 0.42 | 0.116 | 0.089 | 0.63 | 0.137 | 0.133 |
| 7-Jul | Wd | 93 | 4.7 | 0.18 | 0.31 | 0.048 | 0.066 | 0.43 | 0.054 | 0.091 |
| 8-Jul | We | 86 | 6.8 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.039 | 0.022 | 0.22 | 0.048 | 0.032 |
| 11-Jul | Wd | 92 | 5.4 | 0.15 | 0.24 | 0.045 | 0.044 | 0.38 | 0.055 | 0.070 |
| 12-Jul | Wd | 31 | 5.1 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.067 | 0.031 | 0.16 | 0.067 | 0.031 |
| 13-Jul | Wd | 160 | 6.3 | 0.21 | 0.16 | 0.029 | 0.026 | 0.20 | 0.032 | 0.032 |
| 14-Jul | Wd | 23 | 4.7 | 0.46 | 0.26 | 0.094 | 0.056 | 0.30 | 0.098 | 0.065 |
| 15-Jul | We | 95 | 5.2 | 0.21 | 0.32 | 0.048 | 0.061 | 0.34 | 0.051 | 0.065 |
| 18-Jul | Wd | 32 | 4.1 | 0.35 | 0.63 | 0.087 | 0.152 | 0.94 | 0.134 | 0.227 |
| 19-Jul | Wd | 114 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 0.18 | 0.036 | 0.037 | 0.24 | 0.042 | 0.047 |
| 20-Jul | Wd | 95 | 5.0 | 0.16 | 0.23 | 0.044 | 0.047 | 0.26 | 0.048 | 0.053 |
| 21-Jul | Wd | 119 | 5.5 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.042 | 0.055 | 0.44 | 0.050 | 0.079 |
| 22-Jul | We | 104 | 5.1 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.046 | 0.064 | 0.37 | 0.047 | 0.071 |
| 25-Jul | Wd | 71 | 5.6 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.052 | 0.045 | 0.31 | 0.059 | 0.055 |
| 26-Jul | Wd | 57 | 6.3 | 0.40 | 0.19 | 0.053 | 0.031 | 0.23 | 0.066 | 0.036 |
| 27-Jul | Wd | 99 | 5.6 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.039 | 0.032 | 0.22 | 0.042 | 0.040 |
| 28-Jul | Wd | 28 | 5.1 | 0.36 | 0.29 | 0.087 | 0.056 | 0.29 | 0.087 | 0.056 |
| 29-Jul | We | 78 | 5.3 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.036 | 0.022 | 0.14 | 0.040 | 0.027 |
| 31-Jul | Wd | 17 | 4.9 | 0.53 | 0.29 | 0.114 | 0.060 | 0.29 | 0.114 | 0.060 |
| 1-Aug | Wd | 32 | 5.8 | 0.21 | 0.06 | 0.043 | 0.011 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.022 |
| 2-Aug | Wd | 28 | 7.4 | 0.65 | 0.07 | 0.050 | 0.010 | 0.07 | 0.050 | 0.010 |
| 3-Aug | Wd | 3 | 2.5 | 1.00 | 0.67 | 0.333 | 0.267 | 0.67 | 0.333 | 0.267 |
| 4-Aug | Wd | 12 | 4.9 | 0.56 | 0.42 | 0.149 | 0.085 | 0.58 | 0.149 | 0.120 |
| 5-Aug | We | 26 | 4.8 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.072 | 0.032 | 0.35 | 0.110 | 0.072 |
| 6-Aug | We | 18 | 6.4 | 0.53 | 0.22 | 0.101 | 0.035 | 0.22 | 0.101 | 0.035 |

[^5]
[^0]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of days on which interviews were collected.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of days possible for interviewing.
    ${ }^{c}$ Completed-trip interviews only.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of days on which interviews were collected.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of days possible for interviewing.
    ${ }^{c}$ Completed-trip interviews only.

[^2]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mid-eye to fork of tail.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

[^5]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

