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#### Abstract

A creel survey was conducted on the Kenai River between the Soldotna Bridge and Cook Inlet from 17 May through 7 August, 1994. The recreational fishery in this section of the Kenai River primarily targets chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha. The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the early (May and June) chinook salmon run were 134,199 angler-hours and 4,722 chinook salmon, respectively. The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the late (July) chinook salmon run were 354,778 angler-hours and 14,388 chinook salmon, respectively. During the early run, the recreational fishery was liberalized allowing the use of bait, and during the late run the fishery was liberalized to allow fishing from a boat on the last Monday of July (normally closed to boat fishing) and the season was extended until 7 August in response to a large return. Unguided anglers exerted $64.8 \%$ of the total effort and took $48.6 \%$ of the chinook salmon harvest while guided anglers exerted $35.2 \%$ of the effort and harvested $51.4 \%$ of the chinook salmon.


Age and sex compositions of the recreational harvest and inriver return, and the inriver return as estimated by sonar are also presented.

Key words: Kenai River, chinook salmon, creel survey, effort, harvest, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha.

## INTRODUCTION

The Kenai River supports the largest freshwater recreational fishery in Alaska with an average annual effort of nearly 350,000 angler-days over the last 6 years (Mills 19891994). This represents approximately $15 \%$ of the state's recreational fishing effort. The majority of the angler-effort occurs in the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 1) during a fishery directed primarily at returning chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha during May, June, and July. With the exception of 1990, 1991 and 1992, angler effort in the chinook salmon fishery has generally been increasing (Figures 2 and 3). Decreased effort in these years was related to decreased run size resulting in restrictions to the fisheries. Although coho salmon $O$. kisutch, sockeye salmon $O$. nerka, pink salmon $O$. gorbuscha, Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma, and rainbow trout $O$. mykiss are also harvested by anglers in the Kenai River, this report focuses on the chinook salmon fisheries.

Prior to 1970, the recreational fishery in the Kenai River was comprised of shore-based anglers targeting on sockeye salmon in July and coho salmon in August and early September. In 1973, large numbers of anglers
began experimenting with a new fishing method that involved bouncing brightly colored terminal gear along the river bottom from a drifting boat. This technique had been used effectively by anglers fishing for chinook salmon on rivers in the Pacific Northwest. It proved to be a very effective method for catching chinook salmon on the Kenai River, and the fishery began to expand rapidly (Figures 2 and 3).

Chinook salmon return to the Kenai River in two distinct temporal components: an early run which typically enters the river from midMay until late June; and a late run which typically enters the river from late June through early August. Fish from both runs are prized by recreational anglers due to their large size, especially those from the late run which average about 18 kg ( 40 lbs ) and may exceed 36 kg ( 80 lbs ). The world record sport-caught chinook salmon, which weighed 44.1 kg ( 97 lb ), was taken from the Kenai River in May of 1985.

Management of the late-run recreational fishery in the Kenai River is further complicated by the relatively large commercial harvest of returning chinook salmon. Chinook salmon are commercially


Figure 1.-Map of the Kenai River drainage.


Figure 2.-Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for early-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, 1974-1994.


Figure 3.-Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for late-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, 1974-1994.
harvested primarily by the set net fishery along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet (McBride et al. 1985). User-group conflicts have required the Department of Fish and Game to manage the salmon resources of the Kenai River with increasing precision. During the winter of 1988, the Alaska Board of Fisheries adopted management plans for both the early and late chinook salmon runs. These plans define escapement goals and mechanisms by which the various fisheries are to be regulated to achieve the stated goals. Another component of these plans defines the separation date between the two runs as 1 July. Both management plans were reviewed by the Alaska Board of Fisheries in late 1990. Minor changes were made which were to be implemented for the entire 1991 fisheries, however, legal complications delayed the implementation until 21 July, 1991. The modifications have been in place since 1992.

Previous information on the chinook salmon fisheries in the Kenai River has been presented by Hammarstrom (1975-1981, 1988-1994), Hammarstrom and Larson (19821984, 1986), Hammarstrom et al. (1985), and Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987). In addition, angler-effort and harvest by species for the recreational fishery have been estimated by Mills (1979-1994) in the Alaska Statewide Sport Fish Harvest Survey.

The current creel survey program in the Kenai River provides data that are used for inseason management decisions for the recreational fishery, evaluated to refine long-term management objectives, and used by the Alaska Board of Fisheries to allocate salmon resources. The objective of this report is to present detailed information from the creel survey of the recreational fishery for chinook salmon conducted in 1994.

## Fishing Regulations

The regulations for the chinook salmon fishery in the Kenai River are among the most
restrictive of any open waters in Alaska. Only the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet is open to fishing for chinook salmon, with the exception of the restricted waters at the confluences of the Funny River and Slikok Creek with the Kenai River. These waters are closed to fishing for chinook salmon until July 15 to protect earlyrun chinook salmon which are staging in these areas prior to entering their natal streams. By regulation, the season for chinook salmon is from 1 January through 31 July, but it effectively begins in mid-May when the fish first begin entering the river. The daily bag and possession limits are one chinook salmon per day greater than 41 cm (16 in) in length and a seasonal limit of two chinook salmon greater than 41 cm . In 1994, fishing from boats downstream from the outlet of Skilak Lake was prohibited on Mondays in May, June, and July, except Monday of Memorial Day. Anyone retaining a chinook salmon that was 41 cm in length or greater was prohibited from fishing from a boat in the Kenai River downstream of Skilak Lake for the remainder of that day. Additionally, the early-run fishery was further restricted in that the use of bait was prohibited until the department was able to project an escapement of at least 9,000 fish or 1 July, whichever occurred first.
There are further restrictions for guided anglers. In addition to the regulation prohibiting fishing from boats on Mondays, fishing from a registered guide vessel on any Sunday in July is prohibited. In 1994, fishing from a guided boat was allowed only between 0600 and 1800 hours during June and July. There were no days or hours closed to boat fishing by either guided or unguided anglers during the remainder of the year.
In 1994, the river was opened to the use of bait on 24 June, and fishing from boats was permitted for all anglers on Monday, 25 July with guided anglers being restricted to 0600 to

1800 hours. The late-run fishery was also extended to allow chinook salmon retention through 7 August in that section of river downstream of "Eagle Rock" (approximately river kilometer 18). The above emergency orders were issued in response to the development of the inriver return in an attempt to allow maximum opportunity while insuring that escapement goals were achieved.

## METHODS

## Creel Survey

A roving creel survey (Neuhold and Lu 1957) was used to estimate sport fishing effort, in units of angler-hours, by the recreational fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River. Harvest per unit of effort (HPUE) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) for chinook salmon were estimated from angler interviews. Harvest and catch of chinook salmon were estimated by the product of effort and harvest (or catch) rate estimates. Fishery statistics were established separately for the early and late runs.
The chinook salmon fishery is limited to the lower Kenai River, defined as the mainstem waters downstream of Skilak Lake. During the 1994 early-run and late-run fisheries, angler effort, harvest, and catch were estimated only for the downstream section (Cook Inlet, river mile/kilometer 0 , to the Soldotna Bridge, river mile [rm] 21 or river kilometer [rkm] 34) of the lower Kenai River (Figure 4). There was no attempt to survey the fishery upstream of the Soldotna Bridge in 1994 because of the difficulties in obtaining a sufficient number of interviews of completedtrip anglers and reliable angler counts given the limited manpower available to sample this section of the river.

Both unguided and guided anglers participate in the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River. The times and days when guides may be used on the Kenai River are restricted, and
anglers employing commercial guides have very different harvest and catch rates; therefore, effort, HPUE, CPUE, harvest, and catch were estimated separately for guided and unguided anglers. Guided anglers fish exclusively from boats and are easily recognized because these boats are required to display a prominent identifying decal. Since shore anglers harvest very few chinook salmon, only boat anglers were surveyed.
The creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon began 17 May and continued through 7 August. The fishing day for unguided anglers was defined as 20 hours long, 0400 to 2400 hours, and was divided into five 4-hour time periods for effort estimation. The periods were: A, from 0400 to 0759 hours; B, from 0800 to 1159 hours; C, from 1200 to 1559 hours; D, from 1600 to 1959 hours; and E, from 2000 to 2359 hours. Waning daylight hours at the end of July tends to reduce boating (fishing) activities as the time approaches 2400 hours; consequently in August, the fishing day was considered to be 16 hours long, from 0600 to 2200 hours and was divided into four 4-hour periods. These periods were: A, from 0600 to 0959 hours; B, from 1000-1359 hours; C, from 1400 to 1739 hours; and D, from 1800 to 2159 hours. In May and August, stratification of the fishing day for guided anglers was the same as that for unguided anglers. However, by regulation, anglers may fish from a registered guide boat only from 0600 to 1800 hours during June and July, which therefore defined the fishing day ( 12 hours) for guided anglers. Since most guides schedule two trips per day, morning and afternoon, each fishing day for guided anglers had two temporal strata:


Figure 4.-Map of the Kenai River study area.

Period A, 0600 to 1159 hours and B, 1200 to 1759 hours. Unguided anglers were further stratified into weekdays and weekend/holidays. No further stratification for guided anglers was used.

The above design resulted in 20 strata: 12 during the early run, and eight during the late run. There were seven temporal units, four during the early run and three during the late run.

The early-run strata were:
(1) $5 / 17-5 / 31$, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(2) 5/17-5/31, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays;
(3) 5/17-5/31, guided anglers;
(4) 6/01-6/13, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(5) 6/01-6/13, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays;
(6) $6 / 01-6 / 13$, guided anglers;
(7) 6/14-6/23, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(8) 6/14-6/23, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays;
(9) 6/14-6/23, guided anglers;
(10) $6 / 24-6 / 30$, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(11) $6 / 24-6 / 30$, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays; and,
(12) $6 / 24-6 / 30$, guided anglers.

The late-run strata were:
(13) $7 / 1-7 / 15$, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(14) $7 / 1-7 / 15$, unguided anglers; weekends/holidays;
(15) 7/1-7/16, guided anglers;
(16) $7 / 16-7 / 31$, unguided anglers, weekdays;
(17) $7 / 16-7 / 31$, unguided anglers, weekends/holidays;
(18) $7 / 17-7 / 31$, guided anglers;
(19) $8 / 01-8 / 07$, unguided anglers, all days; and,
(20) $8 / 01-8 / 07$, guided anglers, all days.

## Angler Counts

Sampling levels were designed to estimate effort within $\pm 10 \%$ of the true value $95 \%$ of the time, and catch and harvest within $\pm 15 \%$ of the true value $95 \%$ of the time. Two boat technicians, each working 37.5 hours per week, conducted the angler counts in the downstream section.

On every weekend day and holiday, an unguided angler count was made during each of the five periods. One of the 4 whole-hours of each period (A through E) was selected randomly as a time to initiate an unguided
angler count. During each 4-day week (weekdays only Tuesday through Friday), 2 days for each period, A through E , were selected randomly to be sampled. Within each sampled period, an angler count was initiated at one of the four randomly selected whole-hours. This sampling design allowed for 10 unguided angler counts on a typical weekend and 10 unguided angler counts during the 4 weekdays the fishery was open.

Since guided and unguided anglers fished under similar regulations during May and August, guided angler counts were conducted
as described above. However, during June and July, if a selected unguided angler count occurred during the A period (0600-1159 hours) or B period (1200-1759 hours) corresponding to the guided angler strata, then a guided angler count was also conducted. If no unguided angler counts were scheduled during the A or B period for guided anglers, an additional count for guided anglers only was conducted at a randomly selected wholehour during the guided period in question. If two or more counts occurred during the guided period, A or B , then one was selected randomly as the guided angler count and the remaining counts were designated as unguided angler counts only.

Some deviation from the schedule did occur because of mechanical breakdown and/or other duties such as public assistance or enforcement activities.

Counts of anglers were conducted from a boat in the downstream section of the Kenai River. The starting point of each count (upstream or downstream extremity of the river section) was chosen at random. The technician counted anglers while driving the boat at a constant rate of speed through the survey area to the opposite end of the river section. This trip usually took about 45 minutes and every effort was made to ensure that the trip was completed in less than 1 hour. Angler counts were considered to be instantaneous and to reflect fishing effort at the time of the count. During the angler count, the boat technician recorded the following: (1) total number of unguided boats; (2) total number of guided boats; (3) total number of anglers in unguided boats; (4) total number of anglers in guided boats; and (5) total number of shore anglers. Boats and anglers were considered engaged in fishing and were counted if the boat was in operation, as opposed to tied to the shore, regardless of whether or not an angler's line was in the water when the count was
conducted. Guides were not included in the counts during the chinook salmon fishery as they are prohibited from fishing while guiding. When the boat technicians were not conducting a count, they conducted completed-trip angler interviews at access locations.

## Angler Interviews

The angler interview schedule in the downstream section was designed for two access technicians, each working 37.5 hours per week; however, the schedule was augmented by the two boat technicians who conducted angler interviews at times when they were not engaged in angler counts.

The following information was recorded for each angler interview: (1) powered or nonpowered boat; (2) fished midstream section only (yes or no); (3) guided or unguided angler; (4) number of hours spent fishing (to the nearest 0.5 hour); (5) number of fish, by species, retained; (6) number of fish, by species, released. Additional information regarding the presence of adipose fin clips was also recorded. Although boat type was recorded for each interview, these data are not presented in this report because they are collected for use by the Board of Fisheries and other agencies and are not germane to the objectives of this report.

Interviews of completed-trip anglers for harvest and catch rate information were conducted primarily at seven popular boat landings in the downstream section. Two access technicians conducted the interviews at the boat landings. Each technician was scheduled to work 7.5 -hour days on each weekend/holiday day and on 3 randomly selected weekdays each week. Two randomly selected landings were sampled by a technician on a sample day. Thus on weekend/holidays, four landings were sampled each day, and on weekdays either two or four landings were sampled. The
starting time for the 7.5 -hour interview period was randomly selected from either an early shift (possible start times: 0600, 0630, 0700, or 0730 hours) or a late shift (possible start times: $1500,1530,1600$, or 1630 hours). The creel survey clerks conducted interviews for about 3.5 hours at each landing. The two landings frequented by guided anglers were sampled primarily around noon or early evening hours to correspond with the times guides normally end a fishing trip.

## Age/Sex Composition

## Harvest

Sampling goals for estimation of age composition of the harvest were 250 harvested fish from each run. Samples were obtained from anglers' creels during the surveys. Mid-eye to fork-of-tail length was measured to the nearest one-half centimeter, the sex of the fish was identified, and scales were removed from the preferred area (Clutter and Whitesel 1956; Welander 1940). Three scales were collected from each fish and placed on an adhesive-coated card. Impressions of the scales were made on acetate, and these images, observed with a microfiche reader were used for aging the fish. If the adipose fin was missing on any observed fish, every attempt was made to secure the head for later examination by the department's tag lab for the presence of a coded wire tag.

## Inriver Return

To estimate the age and sex composition of the inriver return, chinook salmon were captured in $71 / 4$ inch mesh gill nets in the intertidal area (approximately downstream of Beaver Creek to the Warren Ames Bridge), using the techniques described by Hammarstrom and Larson (1984). Two crews of two individuals each were used. Sampling was stratified into two 3 -week periods during each run with a sampling goal of 125 fish per sample period.

Fish were untangled from the gill net and placed in a tagging cradle to be sampled and later released. Biological data collected included length (mid-eye to fork of tail), sex (using external characteristics) and three scales which were taken from the preferred area. Scale samples were prepared similarly to those of the creel samples. As with the creel samples, each fish was examined for the presence of the adipose fin.

## DATA ANALYSES

Angler-effort, harvest and catch rates for chinook salmon, harvest and catch of chinook salmon, and associated variances were estimated using the same procedures for guided and unguided anglers. In the following sections, harvest refers to fish retained by anglers and catch refers to fish retained plus those reported as released by anglers.

## Effort

In the downstream section during the chinook salmon fishery, the number of angler-hours of effort during fishery stratum t was estimated as follows (Neuhold and Lu 1957):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{t}}=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{tj}} \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{tj}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{tj}}=$ the mean number of anglers per count during period j of stratum t ;
$\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{tj}}=$ the total number of hours of possible fishing time during period j of stratum t; and
$\mathrm{S}=$ the number of periods (A, B, C, etc.) in stratum t (5 in May and July, 4 in August.
The variance of effort was estimated as follows (Scheaffer et al. 1979):
$\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{tj}}^{2}\left(\frac{\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{tj}}^{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{tj}}}\right)$
where:
$s_{t j}^{2}=$ the variance of $\bar{x}_{t j}$,

$$
\begin{equation*}
=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{o}=1}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{tj}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{tjo}}-\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{tj}}\right)^{2}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{tj}}-1}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{tj}}=$ the number of angler counts during period j of stratum t , and
$\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{t} j \mathrm{o}}=$ angler count o during period j of stratum t .

This method assumes a single-stage design with all possible counts within a stratum representing the population to be sampled. The finite population correction factor is not applied as angler counts are considered instantaneous, and so there are an infinite number of counts that can be taken.

## Harvest Rates and Catch Rates

Mean effort and mean harvest per angler were estimated for each stratum using the angler interview data for the stratum. Only completed-trip interviews were used.

Mean effort per angler during stratum t was estimated as:
$\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1 \mathrm{k}=1}^{\mathrm{D}} \sum_{\mathrm{ik}}^{m_{i}}}{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{D}} \mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}} ;$
where:
$\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ik}}=$ the effort (in hours) by angler k at the time of the interview on day $i$;
$\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{i}}=$ the number of anglers interviewed on day $i$; and
$\mathrm{D}=$ the number of days the fishery was open during stratum t .

A two-stage sample design with days representing the first-stage sample units and anglers the second-stage sample units was used to estimate the variance of mean effort
(Von Geldern and Tomlinson 1973). The number of second-stage units available on a given sample day was unknown. The variance of mean effort was estimated as follows (Sukhatme et al. 1984):
$V\left(\bar{f}_{t}\right)=\frac{\left(1-\frac{d}{D}\right) s_{B}^{2}}{d}+\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{D} \frac{s_{W i}^{2}}{m_{i}}}{d D}$,
where:
$\mathrm{d} \quad=$ the number of days interviews were conducted during stratum t ;
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{Wi}}^{2}=$ the sample variance of mean effort per angler for interviews conducted on day i; and
$\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}=$ the among-day variance of mean effort per angler.

The among-day variance, $s_{B}^{2}$, was estimated as follows:
$s_{B}^{2}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\bar{f}_{t i}-\bar{f}_{t}\right)^{2}}{d-1}$,
where:
$\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{ti}}=$ the mean effort per angler during day $i$ of stratum $t$.

Mean harvest (or catch) and its variance were estimated identically to mean effort, except the corresponding quantities for harvest (or catch) were substituted for all occurrences of effort (f).

Harvest rate (HPUE) during stratum t was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{HPUE}_{t}=\frac{\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}}}{\mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{t}}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\bar{c}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ the mean harvest per angler during stratum $t$, obtained by substituting catch for effort in equation 4.

The variance of $\mathrm{HPUE}_{\mathrm{t}}$ was approximated by the variance for the quotient of the mean of two random variables (Jessen 1978), which is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}\left(\frac{\bar{c}_{t}}{f_{t}}\right)=\left(\frac{\bar{c}_{t}}{f_{t}}\right)^{2}\left(\frac{s_{t}^{2}}{\bar{c}_{t}^{2}}+\frac{s_{f}^{2}}{\bar{f}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}}-\frac{2 \mathrm{rs}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}}{\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}} \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2}= & \text { the two-stage estimate of variance } \\
& \text { for } \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}}, \\
\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2}= & \text { the two-stage estimate of variance } \\
& \text { for } \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}, \text { and } \\
\mathrm{r}= & \text { the correlation coefficient between } \\
& \text { the } \mathrm{f}_{\mathrm{ik}} \text { and the } \mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{ik}} \text { in stratum } \mathrm{t} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) and its variance were estimated by replacing the mean and variance of number of fish harvested per angler with the mean and variance of the number of fish caught per angler in equations 7 and 8.

## Harvest and Catch

The harvest during each stratum was estimated by:
$\hat{H}_{t}=\hat{E}_{t}{ }^{H P U U E}{ }_{t}$
The variance of $\hat{H}_{t}$ was estimated using Goodman's (1960) formula for the variance of the product of two independent random variables, which is:

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)= & {\left[\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{~V}}\left(\mathrm{HPUE}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]+} \\
& {\left[\hat{H P U E}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} \mathrm{~V}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]-} \\
& {\left[\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\mathrm{HPUE}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right] . } \tag{10}
\end{align*}
$$

Totals (for example, the total for unguided anglers during the early run) for effort and harvest were estimated by summing the appropriate stratum estimates. Estimates of effort and harvest for the strata are considered independent estimates, therefore, the variance
of the total was estimated by the sum of the appropriate variances.

The major assumptions necessary for these analyses are:

1. significant fishing effort occurs only between the hours defined for the angler day;
2. individual effort and harvest (or catch) by anglers are normally distributed random variables;
3. anglers are interviewed in constant proportions to their abundance within each stratum (DiCostanzo 1956), and interviewed anglers are representative of the total angler population.

## Biological Data

Age composition of the chinook salmon harvest and inriver return was estimated for each run. Letting $\hat{p}_{\text {ht }}$ equal the estimated proportion of age group $h$ in stratum $t$, the variance of $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ht}}$ was estimated as (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ht}}\right)=\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ht}}\left(1-\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ht}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}-1\right), \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}=$ the number of legible scales read from chinook salmon sampled during stratum t .

It was assumed that there were no significant differences in the ages and lengths of fish harvested by guided and unguided anglers, therefore biological data from harvests of both angler types were pooled.

## RESULTS

## Effort

The creel survey commenced on 17 May. Angler counts were conducted on all of the 75 days possible: 40 during the early run and 35 during the late run.

During the early run, angler counts ranged from 0 to 285 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 347 for guided anglers (Appendix A1). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 26 June and of guided anglers on 14 June. During the late run, angler counts ranged from 26 to 1,064 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 888 for guided anglers (Appendix A2). The largest count of unguided anglers, a historical high, occurred on 19 July; and of guided anglers on 12 July. In general, mean angler counts are lowest in May, gradually increased throughout June and early July, with the highest mean angler counts occurring during the last two weeks of July. Summaries of angler counts are presented in Tables 1 and 2.
The estimated effort in the downstream section during the early run was 134,199 ( $\mathrm{SE}=3,661$ ) angler-hours (Table 3). This is an increase of nearly 11,000 angler hours (10\%) from the 1993 estimate (Hammarstrom 1994), which can be partly attributed to an earlier commencement date for the creel survey ( 5 days) and a regulation liberalization which allowed use of bait for 7 days (only 5 days in 1993) at the end of June. During the early run, $54 \%$ of the total effort was by unguided anglers, a decrease of nearly 4,000 angler hours (5\%) from 1993. The increase in total early run effort from 1993 to 1994 was largely due to the increase in guided angler effort: nearly 15,000 angler hours ( $32 \%$ ).

In 1990-1992, relatively weak returns resulted in restrictions to the recreational fishery that effectively reduced the harvest upstream of the Soldotna Bridge to an insignificant level, and this area was not surveyed. In 1994, as in 1993, there was more effort in this area than had been noticed in the past, suggesting a fishery similar to what had taken place during the years 1986-1989, which had been surveyed. In 1994 effort and harvest estimates for the upstream section were
determined by using the same methodology used in 1993: an expansion of the harvest and effort estimates was derived for the downstream section by the average proportion noted in that area of the river upstream of the Soldotna Bridge during the years 1986-1989 (years when estimates were made and the fishery was conducted in a manner similar to 1993, i.e. there were no additional restrictions to the recreational fishery). Thus the downstream estimate was expanded by 33,340 angler-hours (19.9\%) to account for the fishery in the unsurveyed area, resulting in a total early-run estimate of 167,539 anglerhours (Table 3).

The estimated effort during the late run was 354,778 ( $\mathrm{SE}=9,773$ ) angler-hours (Table 4), an increase of $21 \%$ from the 1993 fishery (Hammarstrom 1994). This increase can be partly attributed to liberalized fishing regulations allowing 4 more days in the 1994 fishery. The majority of the 1994 effort (69\%) was by unguided anglers. Both unguided and guided effort increased from 1993: $21 \%$ and $19 \%$, respectively.
During interviews with completed-trip earlyrun anglers in the downstream section, a total of 17,435 angler-hours were reported. This represents $13 \%$ of the total estimated effort. During late-run interviews, anglers reported

Table 1.-Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the strata of the creel survey of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

| Strata | Period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| 1: 17 May - 31 May |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 4 | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Mean count | 40.4 | 44.3 | 45.0 | 7.5 | 25.7 |
| Standard error | 10.1 | 4.8 | 0.6 | 7.5 | 19.1 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 |
| Mean count | 59.7 | 131.5 | 95.4 | 82.8 | 73.8 |
| Standard error | 45.7 | 24.7 | 16.6 | 24.0 | 21.3 |
| Guided anglers, all davs (May): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 8 | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Mean count | 52.5 | 92.1 | 57.3 | 37.4 | 11.1 |
| Standard error | 16.1 | 12.0 | 8.3 | 7.2 | 3.8 |
| 2: 1 June - 13 June |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 88.7 | 94.4 | 73.2 | 76.0 | 89.5 |
| Standard error | 12.7 | 16.4 | 9.7 | 12.6 | 21.1 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 3 |
| Mean count | 135.3 | 178.0 | 203.3 | 132.0 | 93.0 |
| Standard error | 24.0 | 28.1 | 24.0 | 23.6 | 34.0 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 11 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 203.4 | 100.8 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 20.0 | 17.5 |  |  |  |
| 3: 14 June - 23 June |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 5 |
| Mean count | 140.0 | 91.0 | 72.8 | 64.8 | 76.0 |
| Standard error | 25.7 | 8.7 | 8.8 | 14.3 | 15.0 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mean count | 68.0 | 172.0 | 139.5 | 63.0 | 48.0 |
| Standard error | 10.0 | 1.0 | 56.5 | 47.0 | 27.0 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 9 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 196.1 | 80.4 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 27.0 | 8.5 |  |  |  |

-continued-
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| Strata | Period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| 4: 24 June - 30 June |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 1 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 1 |
| Mean count | 93.0 | 129.3 | 116.0 | 117.0 | 234.0 |
| Standard error |  | 22.8 | 37.5 |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mean count | 170.5 | 257.5 | 189.0 | 150.0 | 130.0 |
| Standard error | 21.5 | 27.5 | 29.0 | 14.0 | 32.0 |
| Guided anglers, all davs: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 221.0 | 115.2 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 19.7 | 8.2 |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$
Unguided anglers, all months:
Period $\mathrm{A}=0400-0759$
Period B $=0800-1159$
Period C $=1200-1559$
Period D $=1600-1959$
Period E $=2000-2359$

Guided anglers:
Mav:
June: $\quad$ Period A $=0600-1159$
Period B $=1200-1759$

Table 2.-Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the strata of the creel survey of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

| Strata | Period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| 5: 1 July - 15 July |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 4 | 7 | 5 | 6 |
| Mean count | 332.4 | 376.5 | 286.6 | 267 | 344.2 |
| Standard error | 58.02 | 71.49 | 82.25 | 38.6 | 68.17 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 142 | 272.3 | 300.8 | 234.5 | 192 |
| Standard error | 41.94 | 90.39 | 80.04 | 45.54 | 26.33 |
| Guided anglers, all days ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 451.2 | 253.1 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 47.36 | 34.41 |  |  |  |
| 6: 16 July - 31 July |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 4 | 7 | 6 | 5 |
| Mean count | 652.2 | 573.3 | 381.6 | 420.3 | 287.6 |
| Standard error | 104.8 | 50.45 | 31.21 | 32.4 | 62.55 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Mean count | 576.3 | 845 | 628 | 494.8 | 488.5 |
| Standard error | 107.2 | 48.46 | 64.82 | 44.36 | 34.62 |
| Guided anglers, all days ${ }^{\text {c }}$ : |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 10 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 483.6 | 273.9 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 13.31 | 33.13 |  |  |  |
| 7: 1 August - 7 August |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Mean count | 88.3 | 154.2 | 112.7 | 91.3 |  |
| Standard error | 8.09 | 6.06 | 10.93 | 23.7 |  |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 3 | 3 |  |
| Mean count | 115 | 140.8 | 73.7 | 4.3 |  |
| Standard error | 23.39 | 21.2 | 31.1 | 2.6 |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Unguided anglers: |  |  | Guided anglers: |  |  |
| July | August |  |  |  |  |
| Period $\mathrm{A}=400-0759$ | 0600-0959 |  | Julv: Period $\mathrm{A}=0600-1159$ |  |  |
| Period B = 0800-1159 | 1000-1359 |  | Period $\mathrm{B}=1200-1759$ |  |  |
| Period C = 1200-1559 | 1400-1759 |  |  |  |  |
| Period D $=1600-1959$ | 1800-2159 |  | August: Same as unguided anglers |  |  |
| Period E $=2000-2359$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Stratum length 1 July - 16 July |  |  |  |  |  |
| ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Stratum length 17 July - 31 July |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 3.-Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the strata of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

|  | Estimated | Standard | $95 \%$ | Relative |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Strata | Effort | Error | Confidence Interval | Precision |

## EARLY RUN

Downstream Section
1: 17 May - 31 May

| Unguided, weekdays: | 5,861 | 842 | 4,211 | - | 7,511 | $28.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided, weekends: | 8,863 | 1,265 | 6,384 | - | 11,342 | $28.0 \%$ |
| Guided, all days: | 14,024 | 1,298 | 11,480 | - | 16,568 | $18.1 \%$ |

2: 1 June - 13 June

| Unguided, weekdays: | 11,810 | 940 | 9,968 | - | 13,652 | $15.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unguided, weekends: | 11,864 | 968 | 9,967 | - | 13,761 | $16.0 \%$ |
| Guided, all days: | 20,706 | 1,753 | 17,270 | - | 24,142 | $16.6 \%$ |

3: 14 June - 23 June

| Unguided, weekdays: | 12,446 | 985 | 10,515 | - | 14,377 | $15.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided, weekends: | 3,924 | 632 | 2,685 | - | 5,163 | $31.6 \%$ |
| Guided, all days: | 14,934 | 1,531 | 11,933 | - | 17,935 | $20.1 \%$ |

4: 24 June - 30 June

| Unguided, weekdays: | 10,489 |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided, weekends: | 7,176 | 458 | 6,278 | - | 8,074 | $12.5 \%$ |
| Guided, all days: | 12,102 | 767 | 10,599 | - | 13,605 | $12.4 \%$ |

Subtotals

| Unguided: | 72,433 | 2,389 | 67,750 | - | 77,116 | $6.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guided: | 61,766 | 2,773 | 56,331 | - | 67,201 | $8.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Run Total | 134,199 | 3,661 | 127,024 | - | 141,374 | $5.3 \%$ |
| Expansion |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Upstream section: | 33,340 |  |  |  |  |  |

Table 4.-Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the strata of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

| Strata | Estimated <br> Effort | Standard <br> Error | $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Interval | Relative <br> Precision |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Downstream Section |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5: 1 July - 15 July |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Unguided, weekdays: | 57,839 | 5,264 | 47,522 | - | 68,156 |

6: 16 July - 31 July

| Unguided, weekdays: | 83,339 | 5,021 | 73,498 | - | 93,180 | $11.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| Unguided, weekends: | 72,784 | 3,496 | 65,932 | - | 79,636 | $9.4 \%$ |
| Guided, all days $\mathrm{b}:$ | 49,996 | 2,357 | 45,376 | - | 54,616 | $9.2 \%$ |

7: 1 August - 7 August

| Unguided, all days: | 12,503 | 784 | 10,966 | - | 14,040 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Guided, all days: | 9,346 | 1,243 | 6,910 | - | 11,782 |


| Subtotals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unguided: | 244,729 | 8,405 | 228,255 | - | 261,203 | $6.7 \%$ |
| Guided: | 110,049 | 4,987 | 100,275 | - | 119,823 | $8.9 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Late Run Total | 354.778 | 9.773 | 335,622 | - | 373.934 | $5.4 \%$ |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Stratum length 1 July - 16 July
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Stratum length 17 July - 31 July

28,436 angler-hours, $8 \%$ of the total estimated effort.

## Harvest Rates and Catch Rates

A total of 9,262 interviews with completedtrip anglers were collected: 3,607 interviews during the early run and 5,655 interviews during the late run (Tables 5 and 6). Interviews were conducted with both guided and unguided completed-trip anglers on each day of the fishery during both the early and late runs, beginning on 17 May.

Daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.093 fish per hour and from 0.009 to 0.213 fish per hour for anglers employing guides (Appendices B1 and B2). Peak daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 30 June and on 2 June for guided anglers. Daily catch rates of late-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.098 fish per hour and from 0.000 to 0.150 fish per hour for guided anglers (Appendices B3 and B4). Peak daily catch rates of late-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 19 July and by guided anglers on 1 August. Estimates of overall harvest rates are 0.0352 for the early run and 0.0406 for the late run. Overall catch rates are 0.0477 for the early run and 0.0523 for the late run (Tables 5 and 6).

## Harvest and Catch

An estimated 4,722 (SE = 300) chinook salmon were harvested during the early run in the downstream section (Table 7), $32 \%$ by unguided anglers. This estimate was expanded by 912 fish (16.2\%) to account for those fish harvested upstream of the Soldotna Bridge during the early run. The estimated catch of early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section was $6,399(\mathrm{SE}=404)$. Approximately $26 \%$ of the catch was voluntarily released.

An estimated 14,388 ( $\mathrm{SE}=637$ ) chinook salmon were harvested during the late run (Table 8). Unguided anglers accounted for $54 \%$ of the harvest. The estimated catch of chinook salmon in the downstream section was $18,539(\mathrm{SE}=770)$. Approximately $22 \%$ of the catch was voluntarily released during the late run.

During interviews with completed-trip earlyrun anglers in the downstream section, a total of 619 fish were reported as harvested. This represents $13.1 \%$ of the estimated total harvest. During late-run interviews, anglers reported a harvest of 1,124 fish, $7.8 \%$ of the estimated total harvest.

## INRIVER RETURN

The inriver return of chinook salmon was estimated using hydroacoustic equipment (sonar). Information regarding the details of this project are presented by Eggers et al. (In prep). Daily counts of chinook salmon-sized targets for 1994 appear in Tables 9 and 10. The inriver return for the early run was 18,403 and for the late run was 53,474 .

## BIOLOGICAL DATA

## Recreational Fishery

A significant difference in age composition of the recreational harvest was detected between time stratum 1 (17 May-31 May) and stratum 2 (1 June-13 June) $\left(\chi^{2}=15.65, \mathrm{df}=3\right.$, $\mathrm{P}<0.001$ ), and between time stratum 2 and strata 3 and 4 ( 14 June-30 June) ( $\chi^{2}=10.36$, $\mathrm{df}=3,0.010<\mathrm{P}<0.025$ ). The most abundant age group in the early-run harvest of chinook salmon was age 1.4 which comprised $90.1 \%$ of stratum 1, $79.6 \%$ of stratum 2, and $81.7 \%$ of strata 3 and 4 . The only other age classes of significance represented in the sample were 1.2, 1.3, and 1.5 (Table 11).

Among all strata (5, 1 July-15 July; 6, 16 July-31 July; and 7, 1 August-7 August) during the late-run chinook salmon fishery, a

Table 5.-Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.


Table 6.-Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

| Angler Day Type | $\begin{gathered} \text { Time } \\ \text { Strata }{ }^{\text {a }} \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | n | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {c }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Standard |  |  | Standard <br> Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | HPUE | Error | CPUE |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 5 | 9 | 9 | 905 | 0.0312 | 0.00381 | 0.0403 | 0.00415 |
| Unguided weekends | 5 | 4 | 4 | 813 | 0.0234 | 0.00235 | 0.0283 | 0.00262 |
| Guided all days | 5 | 12 | 12 | 906 | 0.0648 | 0.00418 | 0.0755 | 0.00438 |
| Unguided weekdays | 6 | 9 | 9 | 862 | 0.0320 | 0.00305 | 0.0482 | 0.00377 |
| Unguided weekends | 6 | 6 | 6 | 1,059 | 0.0361 | 0.00248 | 0.0462 | 0.00300 |
| Guided all days | 6 | 11 | 11 | 774 | 0.0596 | 0.00380 | 0.0752 | 0.00478 |
| Unguided all days | 7 | 7 | 7 | 292 | 0.0186 | 0.00403 | 0.0219 | 0.00522 |
| Guided all days | 7 | 7 | 7 | 132 | 0.0387 | 0.00924 | 0.0480 | 0.00924 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided |  | 37 | 37 | 3,843 | 0.0317 | 0.00221 | 0.0429 | 0.00281 |
| Guided |  | 30 | 30 | 1,812 | 0.0602 | 0.00476 | 0.0730 | 0.00562 |
| Late Run Total |  | 37 | 37 | 5.655 | 0.0406 | 0.00211 | 0.0523 | 0.00260 |


| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Time Strata: | Unguided |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | 5 (1 July -15 July) |
|  | 6 (16 July -31 July) |
|  | 7 (1 August -7 August) |

Guided
(1 July - 16 July)
(17 July - 31 July)
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Number of days on which interviews were collected.
${ }^{c}$ Number of days possible for interviewing.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Complete trip interviews only.

Table 7.-Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Harvest includes only fish kept.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
${ }^{c}$ Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 8.-Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each stratum of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

| Strata | $\text { Harvest }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | SE | Relative <br> Precision <br> ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ | $\text { Catch }^{\mathrm{c}}$ | SE | Relative <br> b <br> Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 5: 1 July - 15 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 1,805 | 274 | 29.8 \% | 2,331 | 319 | 26.9 \% |
| Unguided weekends | 427 | 67 | 30.8 \% | 517 | 79 | 29.8 \% |
| Guided all days | 3,286 | 345 | 20.6 \% | 3,828 | 388 | 19.9 \% |

6: 16 July - 31 July

| Unguided weekdays | 2,667 | 300 | $22.1 \%$ | 4,017 | 396 | $19.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unguided weekends | 2,628 | 220 | $16.4 \%$ | 3,363 | 272 | $15.8 \%$ |
| Guided all days | 2,980 | 236 | $15.5 \%$ | 3,760 | 297 | $15.5 \%$ |

7: 1 August - 7 August

| Unguided all days | 233 | 52 | $44.1 \%$ | 274 | 67 | $48.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Guided all days | 362 | 98 | $53.2 \%$ | 449 | 104 | $45.6 \%$ |

Subtotal:

| Unguided | 7,760 | 470 | $11.9 \%$ | 10,502 | 586 | $10.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Guided | 6,628 | 430 | $12.7 \%$ | 8,037 | 500 | $12.2 \%$ |


| Late Run Total | 14,388 | 637 | $8.7 \%$ | 18.539 | 770 | $8.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ Harvest includes only fish kept.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Stratum length 1 July - 16 July
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Stratum length 17 July - 31 July

Table 9.-Daily counts of chinook salmon-sized targets during the early run as determined by dual-beam sonar, Kenai River, 1994.

| Date | Daily Count | Cumulative Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 16-May | 238 | 238 |
| 17-May | 342 | 580 |
| 18-May | 260 | 840 |
| 19-May | 302 | 1,142 |
| 20-May | 369 | 1,511 |
| 21-May | 327 | 1,838 |
| 22-May | 246 | 2,084 |
| 23-May | 212 | 2,296 |
| 24-May | 303 | 2,599 |
| 25-May | 170 | 2,769 |
| 26-May | 150 | 2,919 |
| 27-May | 267 | 3,186 |
| 28-May | 258 | 3,444 |
| 29-May | 347 | 3,791 |
| 30-May | 321 | 4,112 |
| 31-May | 369 | 4,481 |
| 1-Jun | 321 | 4,802 |
| 2-Jun | 266 | 5,068 |
| 3-Jun | 298 | 5,366 |
| 4-Jun | 304 | 5,670 |
| 5-Jun | 351 | 6,021 |
| 6-Jun | 198 | 6,219 |
| 7-Jun | 384 | 6,603 |
| 8-Jun | 306 | 6,909 |
| 9 -Jun | 462 | 7,371 |
| 10-Jun | 432 | 7,803 |
| 11-Jun | 423 | 8,226 |
| 12-Jun | 329 | 8,555 |
| 13-Jun | 376 | 8,931 |
| 14-Jun | 514 | 9,445 |
| 15-Jun | 306 | 9,751 |
| 16-Jun | 453 | 10,204 |
| 17-Jun | 315 | 10,519 |
| 18-Jun | 435 | 10,954 |
| 19-Jun | 636 | 11,590 |
| 20-Jun | 402 | 11,992 |
| 21-Jun | 570 | 12,562 |
| 22-Jun | 366 | 12,928 |
| 23-Jun | 550 | 13,478 |
| 24-Jun | 696 | 14,174 |
| 25-Jun | 734 | 14,908 |
| 26-Jun | 597 | 15,505 |
| 27-Jun | 639 | 16,144 |
| 28-Jun | 681 | 16,825 |
| 29-Jun | 929 | 17,754 |
| 30-Jun | 649 | 18,403 |

Table 10.-Daily counts of chinook salmon-sized targets during the late run as determined by dual-beam sonar, Kenai River, 1994.

| Date | Daily Count | Cumulative Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1-Jul | 663 | 663 |
| 2-Jul | 342 | 1,005 |
| 3-Jul | 625 | 1,630 |
| 4-Jul | 858 | 2,488 |
| 5-Jul | 705 | 3,193 |
| 6-Jul | 975 | 4,168 |
| 7-Jul | 1,050 | 5,218 |
| 8-Jul | 655 | 5,873 |
| 9 -Jul | 744 | 6,617 |
| 10-Jul | 1,289 | 7,906 |
| 11-Jul | 509 | 8,415 |
| 12-Jul | 828 | 9,243 |
| 13-Jul | 1,072 | 10,315 |
| 14-Jul | 1,332 | 11,647 |
| 15-Jul | 2,221 | 13,868 |
| 16-Jul | 3,802 | 17,670 |
| 17-Jul | 4,692 | 22,362 |
| 18-Jul | 2,157 | 24,519 |
| 19-Jul | 3,504 | 28,023 |
| 20-Jul | 2,328 | 30,351 |
| 21-Jul | 1,695 | 32,046 |
| 22-Jul | 1,386 | 33,432 |
| 23-Jul | 1,050 | 34,482 |
| 24-Jul | 1,320 | 35,802 |
| 25-Jul | 1,444 | 37,246 |
| 26-Jul | 1,432 | 38,678 |
| 27-Jul | 1,289 | 39,967 |
| 28-Jul | 2,226 | 42,193 |
| 29-Jul | 1,333 | 43,526 |
| 30-Jul | 1,769 | 45,295 |
| 31-Jul | 1,808 | 47,103 |
| 1-Aug | 1,037 | 48,140 |
| 2-Aug | 1,223 | 49,363 |
| 3-Aug | 1,078 | 50,441 |
| 4-Aug | 658 | 51,099 |
| 5-Aug | 536 | 51,635 |
| 6-Aug | 1,042 | 52,677 |
| 7-Aug | 797 | 53,474 |

Table 11.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1994.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| Stratum 1: (17 May-31 May) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 0.6 | 3.6 | 50.3 | 2.4 | 57.0 |
|  | SE | 0.6 | 1.5 | 3.9 | 1.2 |  |
| Female | Percent | 0 | 1.8 | 40.0 | 1.2 | 43.0 |
|  | SE |  | 1.0 | 3.8 | 0.9 |  |
| Combined | Percent | 0.6 | 5.5 | 90.3 | 3.6 |  |
|  | SE | 0.6 | 1.8 | 2.3 | 1.5 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 595 | 793 | 1,010 | 1,064 |  |
|  | SE |  | 24 | 8 | 30 |  |
|  | Sample size | 1 | 6 | 83 | 4 | 94 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 827 | 943 | 1,035 |  |
|  | SE |  | 29 | 8 | 25 |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 3 | 66 | 2 | 71 |
| Stratum 2: (1 June - 13 June) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 2.2 | 4.3 | 28.0 |  | 34.5 |
|  | SE | 1.5 | 2.1 | 4.7 |  |  |
| Female | Percent | 2.2 | 11.8 | 51.6 |  | 65.6 |
|  | SE | 1.5 | 3.4 | 5.2 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent | 4.3 | 16.1 | 79.6 |  |  |
|  | SE | 2.1 | 3.8 | 4.2 |  |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 518 | 778 | 996 |  |  |
|  | SE | 98 | 33 | 19 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 2 | 4 | 26 |  | 32 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 648 | 813 | 927 |  |  |
|  | SE | 53 | 20 | 9 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 2 | 11 | 48 |  | 61 |
| Strata 3 and 4: ( 14 June - 30 June) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 7.5 | 2.2 | 44.1 | 2.1 | 55.9 |
|  | SE | 2.8 | 1.5 | 5.2 | 1.5 |  |
| Female | Percent | 1.1 | 3.2 | 37.6 | 2.2 | 44.1 |
|  | SE | 1.1 | 1.8 | 5.1 | 1.5 |  |
| Combined | Percent | 8.6 | 5.4 | 81.7 | 4.3 |  |
|  | SE | 2.9 | 2.4 | 4.0 | 2.1 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 670 | 860 | 1,032 | 1,127 |  |
|  | SE | 21 | 25 | 15 | 18 |  |
|  | Sample size | 7 |  | 41 |  | 52 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 610 | 855 | 972 | 1,065 |  |
|  | SE |  | 5 | 16 | 25 |  |
|  | Sample size | 1 | 3 | 35 | 2 | 41 |

significant difference in age composition of the recreational harvest was detected $\left(\chi^{2}=\right.$ $12.6, \mathrm{df}=6,0.10<\mathrm{P}<0.025$ ). Age 1.4 was again the most abundant age in the late-run harvest sample, contributing $87.0 \%$, $93.6 \%$, and $93.3 \%$ to each stratum, respectively (Table 12). Other significant age classes included 1.2, 1.3, and 1.5. The mean lengths-at-age for each age/sex were generally greater for late-run fish than for early-run fish (Tables 11 and 12).

## Inriver Return

There was a significant difference in the age/sex composition between the first 3-week stratum and second 3 -week stratum during the early run (16 May-7 June, 8 June-30 June) ( $\chi^{2}=9.59, \mathrm{df}=4,0.025<\mathrm{P}<0.050$ ). The most abundant age for the early run in the samples collected with gill nets was 1.4, representing $76.2 \%$ of the first 3-week stratum and $67.0 \%$ of the second 3 -week stratum (Table 13). Age 1.3 was the second largest contributor, followed by 1.5 and 1.2 . There was a significant difference in the age/sex composition between the first 3-week stratum (1 July-23 July) and second 3-week stratum (24 July-15 August) during the late run ( $\chi^{2}=$ $25.65, \mathrm{df}=4, \mathrm{P}<0.005$ ). The most abundant age for the late run in the samples collected with gill nets was 1.4 , representing $73.9 \%$ of the 3 -week stratum ending 23 July and $91.7 \%$ of the last 3-week stratum (Table 14). Age 1.3 was again the second largest contributor to the late run, followed by 1.5 and 1.2.

The mean lengths-at-age for each age/sex were generally greater for late-run fish than for early-run fish (Tables 13 and 14).

## DISCUSSION

In 1990, 1991 and 1992, emergency orders restricting the bag limit to 0 for fish less than 132 cm (hook and release fishing), or 1 fish 132 cm or greater (trophy fishing) severely affected the effort in this fishery (Figures 2
and 3). Success, as measured by number of fish caught in a given period of time, although relatively high, apparently does not provide sufficient angler satisfaction when fish retention is limited or prohibited. Effort declined after the implementation of the emergency orders, regardless of the increased numbers of fish entering the system and the numbers of fish caught in proportion to the number of angler-hours expended (Hammarstrom 1993). In 1993 and again in 1994 this situation did not occur. Daily effort during both runs did not exhibit any dramatic decrease over time, and this is assumed to be the result of no additional restrictions required inseason (Figures 5 and 6).

## RECOMMENDATIONS

I recommend no significant changes in the creel survey program for the 1995 field season in the downstream section. As long as the regulations remain unchanged, the management objectives are consistent, and no major changes occur in the characteristics of the recreational fishery, the current design is appropriate. However, observation indicates that there is an increased effort in the fishery occurring upstream of the Soldotna Bridge. It would be prudent to design and implement an on-site creel survey which is appropriate to the characteristics of this fishery. This would provide harvest and effort estimates necessary for inseason management of the fishery.
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Table 12.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1994.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Other |  |
| Stratum 5: (1 July-15 July) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 3.8 | 4.9 | 39.1 | 0.6 | 0.5 | 48.9 |
|  | SE | 1.4 | 1.6 | 3.6 | 0.5 | 0.5 |  |
| Female | Percent | 0.5 | 1.1 | 47.9 | 1.6 |  | 51.1 |
|  | SE | 0.5 | 0.8 | 3.7 | 0.9 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent | 4.3 | 6.2 | 87.0 | 2.2 | 0.5 |  |
|  | SE | 1.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 1.1 | 0.5 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 639 | 729 | 1,061 | 1,150 | 310 |  |
|  | SE | 42 | 10 | 8 |  |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 7 | 9 | 72 | 1 | 1 | 90 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 690 | 810 | 1,005 | 1,073 |  |  |
|  | SE |  | 0 | 8 | 9 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 1 | 2 | 88 | 3 |  | 94 |
| Stratum 6: (16 July-31 July) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent |  | 2.7 | 34.5 | 1.4 | 0.5 | 39.1 |
|  | SE |  | 0.5 | 1.1 | 3.2 | 0.8 |  |
| Female | Percent |  | 0.9 | 59.1 | 0.9 |  | 60.9 |
|  | SE |  | 0.6 | 3.3 | 0.6 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent |  | 3.6 | 93.6 | 2.3 | 0.5 |  |
|  | SE |  | 1.3 | 1.7 | 1.0 | 0.5 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 772 | 1,054 | 1,150 | 1,110 |  |
|  | SE |  | 48 | 11 | 35 |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 6 | 76 | 3 | 1 | 86 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 840 | 1,006 | 1,075 |  |  |
|  | SE |  | 30 | 6 | 20 |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 2 | 130 | 2 |  | 134 |
| Stratum 7: (1 August-7 August) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent |  |  | 40.0 |  |  | 40.0 |
|  | SE |  |  | 9.1 |  |  |  |
| Female | Percent |  |  | 53.3 | 6.7 |  | 60.0 |
|  | SE |  |  | 9.3 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent |  |  | 93.3 | 6.7 |  |  |
|  | SE |  |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 1,034 |  |  |  |
|  | SE |  |  | 33 |  |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  |  | 12 |  |  | 12 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 998 | 1,078 |  |  |
|  | SE |  |  | 18 | 33 |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  |  | 16 | 2 |  | 18 |

-continued-

Table 12.-Page 2 of 2.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Other |  |
| Combined Strata: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 1.6 | 3.5 | 36.9 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 43.3 |
|  | SE | 0.23 | 0.6 | 0.9 | 2.3 | 0.2 |  |
| Female | Percent | 0.2 | 0.9 | 53.9 | 1.6 |  | 56.7 |
|  | SE | 0.2 | 0.5 | 2.4 | 0.6 |  |  |
| Combined | Percent | 1.8 | 4.4 | 90.8 | 2.5 | 0.2 |  |
|  | SE | 0.7 | 1.0 | 1.4 | 0.8 | 0.2 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 639 | 746 | 1,056 | 1,150 | 310 |  |
|  | SE | 42.0 | 19.7 | 6.8 | 24.8 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 7 | 15 | 160 | 4 | 1 | 187 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 690 | 825 | 1005 | 1075 |  |  |
|  | SE |  | 15 | 4.5 | 9 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 1 | 4 | 234 | 7 |  | 246 |

Table 13.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gill nets during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1994.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Other |  |
| Stratum: (16 May - 7 June) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 2.7 | 9.9 | 29.3 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 44.0 |
|  | SE | 1.2 | 2.2 | 3.4 | 0.9 | 0.5 |  |
| Female | Percent |  | 12.0 | 43.5 |  | 0.5 | 56.0 |
|  | SE |  | 2.4 | 3.7 |  | 0.5 |  |
| Combined | Percent | 3.9 | 24.1 | 76.2 | 2.5 | 1.5 |  |
|  | SE | 1.2 | 3.1 | 3.3 | 0.9 | 1.1 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 650 | 778 | 1,005 | 1,073 |  |  |
|  | SE | 16 | 18 | 12 | 32 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 5 | 18 | 54 | 3 |  | 80 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 787 | 927 |  | 830 |  |
|  | SE |  | 11 | 6 |  |  |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 22 | 80 |  | 1 | 103 |
| Stratum: (8 June - 30 June) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 4.1 | 13.0 | 30.7 | 4.8 | 2.6 | 55.2 |
|  | SE | 1.2 | 2.0 | 2.8 | 1.3 | 0.6 |  |
| Female | Percent |  | 5.9 | 36.3 | 1.1 | 1.5 | 44.8 |
|  | SE |  | 1.4 | 2.9 | 0.6 | 1.2 |  |
| Combined | Percent | 4.1 | 18.9 | 67.0 | 5.9 | 4.1 |  |
|  | SE | 1.2 | 2.4 | 2.9 | 1.4 | 1.9 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 626 | 726 | 1,022 | 1,124 | 806 |  |
|  | SE | 12 | 11 | 12 | 25 | 32 |  |
|  | Sample size | 11 | 35 | 86 | 13 | 4 | 149 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 765 | 934 | 1,036 | 843 |  |
|  | SE |  | 17 | 8 | 3 | 2 |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 16 | 100 | 3 | 2 | 121 |
| Combined Strata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent | 3.5 | 11.7 | 30.9 | 3.5 | 0.9 | 50.5 |
|  | SE | 0.9 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 0.9 | 0.8 |  |
| Female | Percent |  | 8.4 | 39.7 | 0.7 | 0.7 | 49.5 |
|  | SE |  | 1.3 | 2.3 | 0.4 | 0.5 |  |
| Combined | Percent | 3.5 | 20.1 | 70.6 | 4.2 | 1.6 |  |
|  | SE | 0.9 | 1.9 | 2.1 | 0.9 | 1.0 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 634 | 744 | 1,015 | 1,114 | 806 |  |
|  | SE | 9.9 | 9.9 | 8.8 | 21.4 | 32.5 |  |
|  | Sample size | 16 | 53 | 140 | 16 | 4 | 229 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 778 | 934 | 1,037 | 839 |  |
|  | SE |  | 9.8 | 5.5 | 3.3 | 17.5 |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 38 | 180 | 3 | 3 | 224 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mid-eye to fork of tail.

Table 14.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gill nets during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1994.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Other |  |
| Stratum: (1 July - 23 July) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent SE | $\begin{aligned} & 8.2 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 10.4 \\ 1.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 36.4 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.0 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ |  | 58.0 |
| Female | Percent SE |  | $\begin{aligned} & 4.5 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 34.6 \\ 2.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.8 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ | 42.0 |
| Combined | Percent SE | $\begin{aligned} & 9.9 \\ & 1.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 16.8 \\ 2.2 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 73.9 \\ 2.8 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 5.8 \\ & 1.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 0.6 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Length }(\mathrm{mm})^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { SE } \\ & \text { Sample size } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 675 \\ 8 \\ 22 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 820 \\ 18 \\ 28 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,089 \\ 7 \\ 98 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,134 \\ 12 \\ 8 \end{array}$ |  | 156 |
| Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Length }(\mathrm{mm})^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { SE } \\ & \text { Sample size } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 918 \\ 16 \\ 12 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,016 \\ 6 \\ 93 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,114 \\ 22 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ 25 \\ 3 \end{array}$ | 113 |
| Stratum: (24 July - 8 August) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Percent SE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.4 \\ & 1.5 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 33.8 \\ 3.9 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ |  | 38.6 |
| Female | Percent SE |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1.4 \\ & 1.0 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 57.9 \\ 4.1 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 2.1 \\ & 1.2 \end{aligned}$ |  | 61.4 |
| Combined | Percent <br> SE | $\begin{aligned} & 0.7 \\ & 0.7 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 4.8 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 91.7 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} 2.8 \\ 1.4 \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| Male | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Length }(\mathrm{mm})^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { SE } \\ & \text { Sample size } \end{aligned}$ | 550 1 | $\begin{array}{r} 750 \\ 52 \\ 5 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,068 \\ 10 \\ 49 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,240 \\ 1 \end{array}$ |  | 56 |
| Female | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Mean Length }(\mathrm{mm})^{\mathrm{a}} \\ & \text { SE } \\ & \text { Sample size } \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r} 888 \\ 13 \\ 2 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,000 \\ 7 \\ 84 \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 1,080 \\ 20 \\ 3 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | 89 |



Figure 5.-Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon and angler effort during the early run, Kenai River, 1994.


Figure 6.-Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon and angler effort during the late run, Kenai River, 1994.
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# APPENDIX A. COUNTS OF BOAT ANGLERS DURING THE CREEL SURVEY OF THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON ON THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1994 

Appendix A1.-Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for earlyrun chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

| Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day } \\ \text { Type } \end{gathered}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 17-May | Wd |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 13 | 0 |
| 18-May | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19-May | Wd | 39 | 55 | 46 |  |  | 30 | 46 | 30 |  |  |
| 20-May | Wd | 13 | 32 |  |  |  | 13 | 42 |  |  |  |
| 21-May | We |  |  | 95 | 71 | 61 |  |  | 80 | 71 | 10 |
| 22-May | We | 14 | 74 | 48 | 3 | 9 | 7 | 85 | 22 | 18 | 0 |
| 23-May | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 24-May | Wd |  |  | 44 |  | 63 |  |  | 38 |  | 7 |
| 25-May | Wd | 24 | 43 |  |  |  | 64 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 26-May | Wd |  | 47 |  |  | 14 |  | 99 |  |  | 5 |
| 27-May | Wd | 63 |  |  |  | 45 | 94 |  | 75 |  |  |
| 28-May | We | 14 | 111 | 87 | 131 | 115 | 0 | 139 | 73 | 42 | 22 |
| 29-May | We |  | 154 | 152 | 134 | 126 |  | 122 | 77 | 37 | 30 |
| 30-May | We | 151 | 187 | 95 | 75 | 58 | 108 | 104 | 63 | 46 | 15 |
| 31-May | Wd | 63 |  |  | 15 |  | 104 |  |  | 35 |  |
| 01-Jun | Wd |  | 84 | 82 | 76 | 63 | 106 | 64 |  |  |  |
| 02-Jun | Wd |  | 108 | 44 |  |  | 121 | 40 |  |  |  |
| 03-Jun | Wd | 66 |  |  | 41 | 45 | 115 | 38 |  |  |  |
| 04-Jun | We | 120 | 217 | 237 | 175 | 61 | 236 | 109 |  |  |  |
| 05-Jun | We | 92 | 235 | 249 | 80 | 57 | 192 | 43 |  |  |  |
| 06-Jun | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 07-Jun | Wd |  | 151 | 62 |  |  | 260 | 185 |  |  |  |
| 08-Jun | Wd | 90 | 59 |  | 88 | 117 | 262 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 09-Jun | Wd |  | 70 | 76 |  |  | 217 | 143 |  |  |  |
| 10-Jun | Wd | 110 |  | 102 | 99 | 133 | 263 | 151 |  |  |  |
| 11-Jun | We | 125 | 123 | 180 | 169 | 161 | 290 | 186 |  |  |  |
| 12-Jun | We | 204 | 137 | 147 | 104 |  | 175 | 50 |  |  |  |
| 13-Jun | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 14-Jun | Wd | 188 |  |  | 89 |  | 347 | 138 |  |  |  |
| 15-Jun | Wd |  | 93 | 62 | 83 | 95 | 227 | 63 |  |  |  |
| 16-Jun | Wd | 112 |  | 74 |  | 104 | 231 | 104 |  |  |  |
| 17-Jun | Wd |  | 105 | 97 |  | 97 | 44 | 66 |  |  |  |
| 18-Jun | We | 78 | 171 | 196 | 110 | 75 | 169 | 70 |  |  |  |
| 19-Jun | We | 58 | 173 | 83 | 16 | 21 | 158 | 72 |  |  |  |
| 20-Jun | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 21-Jun | Wd | 178 |  |  | 26 |  | 236 | 86 |  |  |  |
| 22-Jun | Wd | 82 |  |  | 61 | 25 | 178 | 62 |  |  |  |
| 23-Jun | Wd |  | 75 | 58 |  | 59 | 175 | 63 |  |  |  |
| 24-Jun | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 94 | 51 |  |  | 204 | 129 |  |  |  |
| 25-Jun | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 192 | 230 | 160 | 164 | 162 | 196 | 104 |  |  |  |
| 26-Jun | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 149 | 285 | 218 | 136 | 98 | 166 | 107 |  |  |  |
| 27-Jun | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 28-Jun | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 172 | 116 |  |  | 308 | 148 |  |  |  |
| 29-Jun | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 122 | 181 |  | 234 | 221 | 92 |  |  |  |
| 30-Jun | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 93 |  |  | 117 |  | 231 | 111 |  |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The use of bait was permitted by emergency order.

Appendix A2.-Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for laterun chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994.

| Date | $\begin{gathered} \text { Day } \\ \text { Type }{ }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 01-Jul | Wd | 245 |  | 124 | 140 | 131 | 274 | 149 |  |  |  |
| 02-Jul | We | 26 | 199 | 390 | 357 | 271 | 245 | 115 |  |  |  |
| 03-Jul | We | 135 | 539 | 479 | 249 | 165 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 04-Jul | We | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 05-Jul | Wd |  | 222 | 187 |  | 144 | 366 | 301 |  |  |  |
| 06-Jul | Wd | 189 |  | 165 | 219 |  | 387 | 168 |  |  |  |
| 07-Jul | Wd | 317 |  | 212 | 305 | 382 | 456 | 268 |  |  |  |
| 08-Jul | Wd |  | 321 | 239 |  |  | 392 | 169 |  |  |  |
| 09-Jul | We | 199 | 138 | 141 | 154 | 166 | 399 | 107 |  |  |  |
| 10-Jul | We | 208 | 213 | 193 | 178 | 166 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 11-Jul | Wd | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 12-Jul | Wd |  |  | 760 | 319 | 529 | 888 | 379 |  |  |  |
| 13-Jul | Wd |  | 403 | 319 |  | 431 | 521 | 390 |  |  |  |
| 14-Jul | Wd | 521 |  |  |  | 448 | 470 |  |  |  |  |
| 15-Jul | Wd | 390 | 560 |  | 352 |  | 476 | 350 |  |  |  |
| 16-Jul | We | 428 | 717 | 548 | 570 | 393 | 540 | 388 |  |  |  |
| 17-Jul | We | 888 | 965 | 911 | 455 | 563 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 18-Jul | Wd | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19-Jul | Wd | 1064 | 674 |  | 389 |  | 537 | 379 |  |  |  |
| 20-Jul | Wd |  | 604 |  | 325 | 409 | 492 | 401 |  |  |  |
| 21-Jul | Wd | 556 |  | 314 | 487 |  | 567 | 142 |  |  |  |
| 22-Jul | Wd | 613 |  | 470 | 541 | 141 | 497 | 185 |  |  |  |
| 23-Jul | We | 884 | 994 | 710 | 611 | 560 | 451 | 281 |  |  |  |
| 24-Jul | We | 501 | 842 | 562 | 587 | 374 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 25-Jul | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 244 |  | 250 |  | 278 |  |  |  |
| 26-Jul | Wd |  | 434 | 377 |  | 180 | 448 | 117 |  |  |  |
| 27-Jul | Wd | 535 |  | 473 | 407 | 458 | 435 | 397 |  |  |  |
| 28-Jul | Wd |  | 581 | 377 |  |  | 476 | 412 |  |  |  |
| 29-Jul | Wd | 493 |  | 416 | 373 |  | 450 | 185 |  |  |  |
| 30-Jul | We | 225 | 713 | 566 | 365 | 543 | 483 | 236 |  |  |  |
| 31-Jul | We | 532 | 839 | 471 | 381 | 498 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  |
| 01-Aug | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 157 | 126 |  |  |  | 143 | 135 |  |  |
| 02-Aug | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 102 | 167 |  | 102 |  | 156 | 148 |  | 0 |  |
| 03-Aug | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  | 126 |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |
| 04-Aug | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 133 | 91 |  |  |  | 213 | 52 |  |  |
| 05-Aug | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 74 |  |  |  |  | 114 |  |  |  |  |
| 06-Aug | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 164 | 121 | 46 |  |  | 86 | 34 | 4 |  |
| 07-Aug | Wd ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 89 | 150 |  |  |  | 75 | 114 |  |  |  |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Fishing for chinook salmon from a boat on the Kenai River on Monday permitted by emergency order.
${ }^{c}$ Fishery extended by emergency order. No restrictions on hours which anglers could fish from guided vessel. Fishing day equals 16 hours (0800-2200 hours).

APPENDIX B. DAILY SUMMARY STATISTICS FOR FISHING EFFORT, HARVEST RATE, AND CATCH RATE FOR ANGLERS INTERVIEWED DURING THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON IN THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1994

Appendix B1.-Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | CPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 519 | Wd | 22 | 3.3 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.075 | 0.041 | 0.27 | 0.117 | 0.083 |
| 521 | We | 68 | 5.8 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 0.047 | 0.030 | 0.19 | 0.052 | 0.033 |
| 522 | We | 91 | 4.5 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.022 | 0.010 | 0.08 | 0.028 | 0.017 |
| 524 | Wd | 84 | 4.2 | 0.26 | 0.12 | 0.036 | 0.028 | 0.14 | 0.038 | 0.034 |
| 525 | Wd | 22 | 4.1 | 0.25 | 0.09 | 0.063 | 0.022 | 0.18 | 0.084 | 0.044 |
| 526 | Wd | 27 | 3.0 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.062 | 0.037 | 0.15 | 0.070 | 0.049 |
| 527 | Wd | 46 | 3.0 | 0.22 | 0.07 | 0.037 | 0.022 | 0.11 | 0.046 | 0.036 |
| 528 | We | 91 | 3.8 | 0.21 | 0.12 | 0.034 | 0.032 | 0.13 | 0.036 | 0.035 |
| 529 | We | 124 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.031 | 0.028 | 0.21 | 0.037 | 0.042 |
| 530 | We | 119 | 4.2 | 0.12 | 0.17 | 0.034 | 0.040 | 0.21 | 0.039 | 0.051 |
| 531 | Wd | 26 | 3.8 | 0.34 | 0.15 | 0.072 | 0.041 | 0.19 | 0.079 | 0.051 |
| 601 | Wd | 38 | 4.0 | 0.29 | 0.08 | 0.044 | 0.020 | 0.16 | 0.060 | 0.040 |
| 602 | Wd | 35 | 4.3 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.055 | 0.027 | 0.20 | 0.080 | 0.047 |
| 603 | Wd | 26 | 3.4 | 0.42 | 0.12 | 0.064 | 0.034 | 0.15 | 0.072 | 0.045 |
| 604 | We | 123 | 3.8 | 0.15 | 0.11 | 0.029 | 0.030 | 0.15 | 0.034 | 0.038 |
| 605 | We | 118 | 4.1 | 0.16 | 0.11 | 0.029 | 0.027 | 0.14 | 0.032 | 0.033 |
| 607 | Wd | 61 | 4.2 | 0.28 | 0.11 | 0.041 | 0.028 | 0.18 | 0.055 | 0.043 |
| 608 | Wd | 82 | 4.5 | 0.24 | 0.10 | 0.033 | 0.021 | 0.11 | 0.035 | 0.024 |
| 609 | Wd | 54 | 5.0 | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.036 | 0.015 | 0.17 | 0.051 | 0.033 |
| 610 | Wd | 57 | 3.6 | 0.19 | 0.09 | 0.038 | 0.024 | 0.09 | 0.038 | 0.024 |
| 611 | We | 144 | 4.3 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.020 | 0.014 | 0.12 | 0.033 | 0.027 |
| 612 | We | 108 | 4.3 | 0.17 | 0.03 | 0.016 | 0.006 | 0.04 | 0.018 | 0.009 |
| 614 | Wd | 59 | 3.6 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.033 | 0.019 | 0.08 | 0.037 | 0.024 |
| 615 | Wd | 56 | 5.2 | 0.52 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 616 | Wd | 40 | 3.0 | 0.24 | 0.03 | 0.025 | 0.008 | 0.05 | 0.035 | 0.017 |
| 617 | Wd | 29 | 3.6 | 0.25 | 0.10 | 0.058 | 0.029 | 0.14 | 0.065 | 0.039 |
| 618 | We | 65 | 4.3 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.015 | 0.004 | 0.02 | 0.015 | 0.004 |
| 619 | We | 51 | 4.0 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.033 | 0.015 | 0.08 | 0.038 | 0.020 |
| 621 | Wd | 16 | 4.6 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.063 | 0.014 | 0.06 | 0.063 | 0.014 |
| 622 | Wd | 40 | 3.8 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.025 | 0.007 | 0.03 | 0.025 | 0.007 |
| 623 | Wd | 63 | 4.1 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.02 | 0.016 | 0.004 |
| 624 | Wd | 15 | 4.5 | 0.77 | 0.07 | 0.067 | 0.015 | 0.07 | 0.067 | 0.015 |
| 625 | We | 73 | 4.2 | 0.15 | 0.07 | 0.030 | 0.016 | 0.12 | 0.039 | 0.029 |
| 626 | We | 68 | 4.3 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.032 | 0.017 | 0.16 | 0.050 | 0.038 |
| 628 | Wd | 58 | 3.9 | 0.32 | 0.14 | 0.046 | 0.035 | 0.14 | 0.046 | 0.035 |
| 629 | Wd | 87 | 4.2 | 0.18 | 0.10 | 0.033 | 0.025 | 0.20 | 0.043 | 0.046 |
| 630 | Wd | 41 | 4.5 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.068 | 0.055 | 0.41 | 0.092 | 0.093 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

Appendix B2.-Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 519 | Wd | 6 | 3.3 | 0.49 | 0.33 | 0.211 | 0.100 | 0.50 | 0.224 | 0.150 |
| 520 | Wd | 19 | 3.7 | 0.45 | 0.26 | 0.104 | 0.071 | 0.26 | 0.104 | 0.071 |
| 521 | We | 26 | 6.7 | 0.30 | 0.19 | 0.079 | 0.029 | 0.23 | 0.101 | 0.035 |
| 522 | We | 47 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 0.17 | 0.055 | 0.034 | 0.28 | 0.079 | 0.055 |
| 524 | Wd | 16 | 4.9 | 0.54 | 0.31 | 0.120 | 0.064 | 0.31 | 0.120 | 0.064 |
| 525 | Wd | 11 | 6.1 | 0.37 | 0.73 | 0.141 | 0.119 | 0.73 | 0.141 | 0.119 |
| 526 | Wd | 38 | 5.8 | 0.32 | 0.21 | 0.067 | 0.036 | 0.32 | 0.085 | 0.054 |
| 527 | Wd | 37 | 4.7 | 0.23 | 0.32 | 0.078 | 0.070 | 0.49 | 0.126 | 0.104 |
| 528 | We | 84 | 6.0 | 0.22 | 0.13 | 0.037 | 0.022 | 0.17 | 0.041 | 0.028 |
| 529 | We | 62 | 5.2 | 0.28 | 0.13 | 0.043 | 0.025 | 0.27 | 0.073 | 0.053 |
| 530 | We | 32 | 4.4 | 0.39 | 0.38 | 0.087 | 0.086 | 0.47 | 0.090 | 0.108 |
| 531 | Wd | 21 | 4.8 | 0.77 | 0.67 | 0.105 | 0.140 | 0.81 | 0.112 | 0.170 |
| 601 | Wd | 25 | 4.2 | 0.47 | 0.40 | 0.100 | 0.096 | 0.72 | 0.136 | 0.173 |
| 602 | Wd | 5 | 7.5 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.245 | 0.053 | 1.60 | 0.600 | 0.213 |
| 603 | Wd | 20 | 5.0 | 0.38 | 0.45 | 0.114 | 0.090 | 0.65 | 0.109 | 0.129 |
| 604 | We | 40 | 4.5 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.071 | 0.061 | 0.45 | 0.094 | 0.101 |
| 605 | We | 50 | 5.6 | 0.30 | 0.34 | 0.068 | 0.061 | 0.38 | 0.069 | 0.068 |
| 607 | Wd | 59 | 5.5 | 0.23 | 0.27 | 0.058 | 0.050 | 0.44 | 0.074 | 0.081 |
| 608 | Wd | 119 | 5.7 | 0.15 | 0.33 | 0.043 | 0.058 | 0.37 | 0.046 | 0.065 |
| 609 | Wd | 21 | 5.6 | 0.48 | 0.19 | 0.088 | 0.034 | 0.19 | 0.088 | 0.034 |
| 610 | Wd | 70 | 4.8 | 0.23 | 0.41 | 0.059 | 0.085 | 0.47 | 0.070 | 0.097 |
| 611 | We | 51 | 5.8 | 0.19 | 0.27 | 0.063 | 0.047 | 0.33 | 0.078 | 0.057 |
| 612 | We | 56 | 5.8 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.061 | 0.049 | 0.30 | 0.067 | 0.052 |
| 614 | Wd | 9 | 2.9 | 0.61 | 0.33 | 0.167 | 0.113 | 0.33 | 0.167 | 0.113 |
| 615 | Wd | 50 | 6.0 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.057 | 0.033 | 0.30 | 0.065 | 0.050 |
| 616 | Wd | 52 | 5.3 | 0.21 | 0.21 | 0.057 | 0.040 | 0.27 | 0.062 | 0.051 |
| 617 | Wd | 3 | 5.8 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.057 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.057 |
| 618 | We | 33 | 7.3 | 0.34 | 0.09 | 0.051 | 0.012 | 0.09 | 0.051 | 0.012 |
| 619 | We | 38 | 6.0 | 0.10 | 0.03 | 0.026 | 0.004 | 0.05 | 0.037 | 0.009 |
| 621 | Wd | 20 | 6.2 | 0.40 | 0.25 | 0.099 | 0.041 | 0.25 | 0.099 | 0.041 |
| 622 | Wd | 44 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 0.14 | 0.052 | 0.027 | 0.16 | 0.056 | 0.032 |
| 623 | Wd | 32 | 5.5 | 0.13 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.023 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.023 |
| 624 | Wd | 16 | 5.5 | 0.30 | 0.31 | 0.120 | 0.057 | 0.38 | 0.125 | 0.069 |
| 625 | We | 51 | 4.4 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.068 | 0.084 | 0.51 | 0.094 | 0.115 |
| 626 | We | 35 | 5.2 | 0.21 | 0.26 | 0.075 | 0.049 | 0.31 | 0.080 | 0.060 |
| 628 | Wd | 24 | 5.9 | 0.32 | 0.33 | 0.098 | 0.057 | 0.63 | 0.168 | 0.106 |
| 629 | Wd | 51 | 4.4 | 0.20 | 0.33 | 0.067 | 0.076 | 0.39 | 0.080 | 0.090 |
| 630 | Wd | 67 | 4.9 | 0.21 | 0.43 | 0.061 | 0.089 | 0.49 | 0.068 | 0.101 |

[^0]Appendix B3.-Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 701 | Wd | 53 | 4.60 | 0.25 | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.004 | 0.11 | 0.044 | 0.025 |
| 702 | We | 130 | 4.30 | 0.16 | 0.07 | 0.022 | 0.016 | 0.10 | 0.026 | 0.023 |
| 703 | We | 195 | 5.20 | 0.19 | 0.13 | 0.024 | 0.025 | 0.18 | 0.028 | 0.036 |
| 705 | Wd | 120 | 4.50 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.039 | 0.054 | 0.29 | 0.043 | 0.065 |
| 706 | Wd | 123 | 4.70 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.035 | 0.038 | 0.27 | 0.045 | 0.057 |
| 707 | Wd | 129 | 4.70 | 0.23 | 0.14 | 0.031 | 0.030 | 0.19 | 0.038 | 0.042 |
| 708 | Wd | 61 | 4.90 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.035 | 0.017 | 0.18 | 0.050 | 0.037 |
| 709 | We | 192 | 4.50 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.09 | 0.021 | 0.020 |
| 710 | We | 296 | 4.90 | 0.14 | 0.14 | 0.020 | 0.028 | 0.15 | 0.022 | 0.030 |
| 712 | Wd | 115 | 5.00 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.034 | 0.032 | 0.16 | 0.034 | 0.032 |
| 713 | Wd | 66 | 5.90 | 0.46 | 0.15 | 0.044 | 0.026 | 0.18 | 0.052 | 0.031 |
| 714 | Wd | 224 | 5.00 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.023 | 0.027 | 0.15 | 0.025 | 0.030 |
| 715 | Wd | 14 | 4.30 | 0.60 | 0.29 | 0.125 | 0.067 | 0.29 | 0.125 | 0.067 |
| 716 | We | 174 | 5.80 | 0.22 | 0.09 | 0.021 | 0.015 | 0.15 | 0.032 | 0.026 |
| 717 | We | 231 | 5.30 | 0.21 | 0.20 | 0.026 | 0.037 | 0.26 | 0.035 | 0.049 |
| 719 | Wd | 130 | 4.40 | 0.21 | 0.27 | 0.039 | 0.062 | 0.43 | 0.046 | 0.098 |
| 720 | Wd | 128 | 4.00 | 0.14 | 0.21 | 0.036 | 0.053 | 0.31 | 0.044 | 0.078 |
| 721 | Wd | 33 | 3.30 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.051 | 0.028 | 0.12 | 0.058 | 0.037 |
| 722 | Wd | 179 | 4.50 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.021 | 0.020 | 0.15 | 0.036 | 0.034 |
| 723 | We | 124 | 4.20 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.028 | 0.025 | 0.15 | 0.032 | 0.035 |
| 724 | We | 166 | 4.90 | 0.20 | 0.15 | 0.028 | 0.031 | 0.18 | 0.031 | 0.037 |
| 725 | Wd | 24 | 4.50 | 0.14 | 0.08 | 0.058 | 0.019 | 0.13 | 0.069 | 0.028 |
| 726 | Wd | 46 | 4.90 | 0.21 | 0.11 | 0.046 | 0.022 | 0.11 | 0.046 | 0.022 |
| 727 | Wd | 172 | 5.40 | 0.24 | 0.14 | 0.026 | 0.026 | 0.17 | 0.029 | 0.032 |
| 728 | Wd | 55 | 4.80 | 0.31 | 0.11 | 0.042 | 0.023 | 0.22 | 0.067 | 0.046 |
| 729 | Wd | 95 | 5.40 | 0.41 | 0.12 | 0.033 | 0.021 | 0.18 | 0.047 | 0.033 |
| 730 | We | 145 | 5.00 | 0.23 | 0.16 | 0.030 | 0.032 | 0.20 | 0.036 | 0.040 |
| 731 | We | 219 | 4.30 | 0.16 | 0.31 | 0.031 | 0.071 | 0.36 | 0.037 | 0.083 |
| 801 | Wd | 37 | 4.40 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.061 | 0.037 | 0.19 | 0.065 | 0.043 |
| 802 | Wd | 40 | 3.70 | 0.34 | 0.20 | 0.064 | 0.054 | 0.20 | 0.064 | 0.054 |
| 803 | Wd | 31 | 4.80 | 0.37 | 0.06 | 0.045 | 0.013 | 0.16 | 0.105 | 0.034 |
| 804 | Wd | 26 | 3.80 | 0.30 | 0.08 | 0.053 | 0.020 | 0.08 | 0.053 | 0.020 |
| 805 | Wd | 21 | 3.70 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 806 | We | 86 | 4.40 | 0.29 | 0.03 | 0.020 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.020 | 0.008 |
| 807 | We | 51 | 4.30 | 0.28 | 0.04 | 0.027 | 0.009 | 0.04 | 0.027 | 0.009 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

Appendix B4.-Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1994 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \hline \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 701 | Wd | 59 | 5.3 | 0.31 | 0.49 | 0.066 | 0.093 | 0.54 | 0.065 | 0.102 |
| 702 | We | 28 | 4.9 | 0.26 | 0.36 | 0.092 | 0.072 | 0.39 | 0.094 | 0.079 |
| 705 | Wd | 36 | 4.9 | 0.31 | 0.50 | 0.085 | 0.103 | 0.67 | 0.089 | 0.137 |
| 706 | Wd | 71 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 0.37 | 0.058 | 0.074 | 0.54 | 0.066 | 0.108 |
| 707 | Wd | 54 | 5.3 | 0.30 | 0.46 | 0.068 | 0.088 | 0.54 | 0.078 | 0.102 |
| 708 | Wd | 47 | 4.9 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.072 | 0.079 | 0.45 | 0.079 | 0.092 |
| 709 | We | 34 | 4.6 | 0.33 | 0.44 | 0.086 | 0.096 | 0.47 | 0.087 | 0.102 |
| 712 | Wd | 157 | 5.8 | 0.15 | 0.30 | 0.037 | 0.052 | 0.35 | 0.038 | 0.061 |
| 713 | Wd | 101 | 5.4 | 0.20 | 0.29 | 0.045 | 0.054 | 0.32 | 0.049 | 0.059 |
| 714 | Wd | 131 | 5.7 | 0.16 | 0.29 | 0.040 | 0.051 | 0.32 | 0.041 | 0.056 |
| 715 | Wd | 26 | 4.6 | 0.35 | 0.35 | 0.095 | 0.076 | 0.38 | 0.097 | 0.084 |
| 716 | We | 162 | 5.5 | 0.14 | 0.31 | 0.036 | 0.056 | 0.35 | 0.037 | 0.063 |
| 719 | Wd | 28 | 5.0 | 0.31 | 0.46 | 0.096 | 0.093 | 0.71 | 0.135 | 0.143 |
| 720 | Wd | 100 | 5.0 | 0.17 | 0.48 | 0.050 | 0.096 | 0.69 | 0.072 | 0.138 |
| 721 | Wd | 81 | 5.6 | 0.11 | 0.25 | 0.048 | 0.044 | 0.26 | 0.049 | 0.047 |
| 722 | Wd | 108 | 5.4 | 0.17 | 0.30 | 0.044 | 0.055 | 0.31 | 0.045 | 0.058 |
| 723 | We | 121 | 4.8 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.038 | 0.046 | 0.26 | 0.040 | 0.053 |
| 725 | Wd | 47 | 5.2 | 0.17 | 0.23 | 0.062 | 0.045 | 0.28 | 0.066 | 0.053 |
| 726 | Wd | 51 | 5.9 | 0.31 | 0.31 | 0.066 | 0.053 | 0.31 | 0.066 | 0.053 |
| 727 | Wd | 49 | 5.1 | 0.28 | 0.47 | 0.072 | 0.093 | 0.53 | 0.072 | 0.105 |
| 728 | Wd | 41 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 0.34 | 0.075 | 0.068 | 0.37 | 0.076 | 0.073 |
| 729 | Wd | 87 | 5.8 | 0.20 | 0.25 | 0.047 | 0.044 | 0.30 | 0.055 | 0.051 |
| 730 | We | 61 | 6.5 | 0.31 | 0.36 | 0.062 | 0.056 | 0.69 | 0.147 | 0.106 |
| 731 | We | 2 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 801 | Wd | 16 | 4.6 | 0.24 | 0.56 | 0.128 | 0.122 | 0.69 | 0.120 | 0.150 |
| 802 | Wd | 9 | 5.0 | 0.48 | 0.33 | 0.167 | 0.067 | 0.33 | 0.167 | 0.067 |
| 803 | Wd | 15 | 3.4 | 0.70 | 0.13 | 0.091 | 0.039 | 0.13 | 0.091 | 0.039 |
| 804 | Wd | 26 | 5.4 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.038 | 0.007 | 0.04 | 0.038 | 0.007 |
| 805 | Wd | 7 | 3.3 | 0.87 | 0.29 | 0.184 | 0.087 | 0.29 | 0.184 | 0.087 |
| 806 | We | 44 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 0.16 | 0.056 | 0.032 | 0.25 | 0.074 | 0.050 |
| 807 | We | 15 | 6.1 | 0.13 | 0.07 | 0.067 | 0.011 | 0.07 | 0.067 | 0.011 |

[^1]
[^0]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.

