# Angler Effort and Harvest of Chinook Salmon by the Recreational Fisheries in the Lower Kenai River, 1992 

by
S. L. Hammarstrom

# FISHERY DATA SERIES NO. 93-40 <br> ANGLER EFFORT AND HARVEST OF CHINOOK SALMON BY THE RECREATIONAL FISHERIES <br> IN THE LOWER KENAI RIVER, $1992^{1}$ 

## By

S. L. Hammarstrom

## Alaska Department of Fish and Game Division of Sport Fish Anchorage, Alaska

1 This information was partially financed by the Federal Aid in Sport Fish Restoration Act (16 U.S.C. 777-777K) under Project F-10-8, Job No. S-2-5.

The Fishery Data Series was established in 1987 for the publication of technically oriented results for a single project or group of closely related projects. Fishery Data Series reports are intended for fishery and other technical professionals. Distribution is to state and local publication distribution centers, libraries and individuals and, on request, to other libraries, agencies, and individuals. This publication has undergone editorial and peer review.

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game receives federal funding. All of its public programs and activities are operated free from discrimination on the basis of race, religion, sex, color, national origin, age, or handicap. Any person who believes he or she has been discriminated against by this agency should write to:

## OEO

U.S. Department of the Interior

Washington, D.C. 20240

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

Page
LIST OF TABLES ..... iii
LIST OF FIGURES ..... v
LIST OF APPENDICES ..... vi
ABSTRACT ..... 1
INTRODUCTION ..... 2
Fishing Regulations ..... 6
METHODS ..... 6
Creel Survey ..... 6
Angler Counts ..... 8
Angler Interviews ..... 9
Age/Sex Composition ..... 10
Harvest ..... 10
Inriver Return ..... 10
Data Analyses ..... 10
Effort. ..... 10
Harvest Rates ..... 11
Harvest ..... 13
Biological Data ..... 13
RESULTS ..... 13
Effort ..... 13
Harvest Rates and Catch Rates ..... 14
Harvest and Catch ..... 14
Inriver Return. ..... 24
Biological Data ..... 24
Recreational Fishery ..... 24
Inriver Return ..... 24
DISCUSSION ..... 24
RECOMMENDATIONS ..... 33
ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS ..... 33
LITERATURE CITED ..... 33
APPENDIX A - Counts of boat anglers during the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon on the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 ..... 39

## TABLE OF CONTENTS (Continued)

Page
APPENDIX B - Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, harvest rate, and catch rate for anglers interviewed during the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 199243

1. Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the components of the creel survey of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 199215
2. Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the components of the creel survey of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992
3. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.... 18
4. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992....
5. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 199220
6. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 199221
7. Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 199222
8. Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 199223
9. Daily estimates of chinook salmon during the early run as determined by dual-beam sonar, Kenai River, Alaska, 1992

## LIST OF TABLES (Continued)

10. Daily estimates of chinook salmon during the late run as determined by dual-beam sonar, Kenai River, Alaska, 199226
11. Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 ..... 27
12. Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992. ..... 28
13. Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gill nets during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 ..... 29
14. Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gill nets during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 ..... 30

## LIST OF FIGURES

Figure ..... Page

1. Map of the Kenai River study area............................. ..... 3
2. Historical harvest and effort in the recreationalfishery for early-run chinook salmon, Kenai River,Alaska, 1974-19924
3. Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for late-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, Alaska, 1974-1992 ..... 5
4. Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon and angler effort during the early run, Kenai River, Alaska, 1992. ..... 31
5. Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon and angler effort during the late run, Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 ..... 32

A1. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992....40

A2. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992....41

B1. Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 (completed-trip interviews only)...................... 44

B2. Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 (completed-trip interviews only)

B3. Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 (completed-trip interviews only)

B4. Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 (completed-trip interviews only)
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## INTRODUCTION

The Kenai River supports the largest freshwater recreational fishery in Alaska with an average annual effort of nearly 270,000 angler-days from 1983 to 1991 (Mills 1984-1992). This represents approximately $15 \%$ of the state's recreational fishing effort. The majority of the angler-effort occurs in the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 1) during a fishery directed primarily at returning chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha during May, June, and July. Angler effort directed at chinook salmon increased from 1974 to 1988 but has declined since 1988 (Figures 2 and 3). Although coho salmon O. kisutch, sockeye salmon O. nerka, pink salmon O. gorbuscha, Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma, and rainbow trout O. mykiss are also harvested by anglers in the Kenai River, this report deals only with the chinook salmon fishery.

Prior to 1970, the recreational fishery in the Kenai River consisted of shorebased anglers targeting sockeye salmon in July and coho salmon in August and early September. In 1973, large numbers of anglers began experimenting with bouncing brightly colored terminal gear along the river bottom from a drifting boat. This technique had been used effectively by anglers fishing for chinook salmon on rivers in the Pacific Northwest. It proved to be a very effective method for catching chinook salmon on the Kenai River, and the fishery began to expand rapidly (Figures 2 and 3).

The chinook salmon return to the Kenai River has two distinct temporal components: an early run which typically enters the river from mid-May until late June, and a late run which typically enters the river from late June through early August. Fish from both runs are prized by recreational anglers due to their large size, especially those from the late run which average about 18 kg ( 40 lb ) and may exceed 36 kg ( 80 lb ). The world record sportcaught chinook salmon, which weighed 44.1 kg ( 97 lb ), was taken from the Kenai River in May 1985.

Management of the late-run recreational fishery in the Kenai River is further complicated by the commercial bycatch of returning chinook salmon. Chinook salmon are commercially harvested primarily by the set net fishery along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet (McBride et al. 1985). User-group conflicts have required the Department of Fish and Game to manage the salmon resources of the Kenai River with increasing precision. During the winter of 1988, the Alaska Board of Fisheries adopted management plans for both the early and late chinook salmon runs. These plans define escapement goals and mechanisms by which the various fisheries are to be regulated to achieve the stated goals. Another component of these plans defines the separation date between the two runs as 1 July. Both management plans were reviewed by the Alaska Board of Fisheries in late 1990. The plans, with minor changes, were to be implemented in early 1991; however, legal complications delayed the implementation until 21 July 1991. The modifications were in place for the 1992 season.

Previous information pertaining to the chinook salmon fisheries in the Kenai River has been presented by Hammarstrom (1975-1981, 1988-1992), Hammarstrom and Larson (1982-1984, 1986), Hammarstrom et al. (1985), and Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987). In addition, angler-effort and harvest by species for the recreational fishery have been estimated by Mills (1979-1992) in the Alaska Statewide Sport Fish Harvest Survey.


Figure 1. Map of the Kenai River study area.


Figure 2. Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for early-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, Alaska, 1974-1992.


Figure 3. Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for late-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, Alaska, 1974-1992.

The creel survey program on the Kenai River provides data used for inseason management decisions on the recreational fishery, to evaluate long-term management objectives, and for the Alaska Board of Fisheries to allocate salmon resources. The objective of this report is to present detailed information of the creel survey on the recreational fishery of chinook salmon in 1992.

## Fishing Regulations

The regulations for the chinook salmon fishery on the Kenai River are the most restrictive of any open waters in Alaska. The only section of the river open to fishing for chinook salmon is between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet. By regulation, the season for chinook salmon is from 1 January through 31 July, but it effectively begins in mid-May when the fish first begin entering the river. The daily bag and possession limits are one chinook salmon per day greater than 41 cm ( 16 in ) in length and a seasonal limit of two chinook salmon greater than 41 cm . In 1992, fishing from boats downstream from the outlet of Skilak Lake was prohibited on Mondays in May, June, and July, except Monday of Memorial Day. Anyone retaining a chinook salmon that was 41 cm in length or greater was prohibited from fishing from a boat in the Kenai River for the remainder of that day. Additionally, the early-run fishery was further restricted in that the use of bait was prohibited until the department was able to project an escapement of at least 9,000 fish or 1 July, whichever occurred first.

There were further restrictions for guided anglers. In addition to the regulation prohibiting fishing from boats on Mondays, fishing from a registered guide vessel was prohibited on Sunday in July. In 1992, fishing from a guided boat was allowed only between 0600 and 1800 hours during June and July. There were no days or hours closed to boat fishing for either guided or unguided anglers during the remainder of the year.

## METHODS

## Creel Survey

A roving creel survey (Neuhold and Lu 1957) was used to estimate sport fishing effort, in angler-hours, by the recreational fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River. Harvest per unit of effort (HPUE) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) for chinook salmon were estimated from angler interviews. Harvest and catch of chinook salmon were estimated as the product of effort and HPUE (or CPUE) estimates. Fishery parameters were estimated separately for the early and late runs.

The chinook salmon fishery is limited to the lower Kenai River defined as the mainstem waters downstream of Skilak Lake. During the 1992 early-run and late-run fisheries, angler effort, harvest, and catch were estimated only for the downstream section (Cook Inlet, river mile/kilometer 0, to the Soldotna Bridge, river mile [rm] 21 or river kilometer [rk] 34) of the lower Kenai River (Figure 1). Because of emergency orders that restricted the fishery and reduced effort upstream of the Soldotna Bridge (upstream section of the lower Kenai River), that area was not surveyed in 1992.

Both unguided and guided anglers fish for chinook salmon in the Kenai River. The times and days when guides work on the Kenai River are restricted and anglers employing commercial guides have very different harvest and catch rates (Conrad and Hammarstrom 1987); therefore, effort, HPUE, CPUE, harvest, and catch were estimated separately for guided and unguided anglers. Guided clients fish exclusively from boats and are easily recognized because these boats must be marked with a prominent identifying decal. Since shore anglers harvest very few chinook salmon, only boat anglers were surveyed.

The creel survey began 19 May and continued through 31 July. The fishing day for unguided anglers was defined as 20 hours long, from 0400 to 2400 hours and was divided into five 4 -hour periods for estimating effort. The periods were: A, from 0400 to 0759 hours; B, from 0800 to 1159 hours; C, from 1200 to 1559 hours; D, from 1600 to 1959 hours; and E, from 2000 to 2359 hours. Since effort, CPUE, and HPUE differed for unguided anglers on weekends as opposed to weekdays (Conrad and Hammarstrom 1987), unguided anglers were further stratified into weekdays and weekend/holidays. In May, the guided angler day was divided into the same five 4 -hour periods; however, no differentiation was made between weekdays and weekend/holidays. However, by regulation, anglers could fish from a registered guide boat only from 0600 to 1800 hours during June and July, which therefore defined the fishing day ( 12 hours) for guided anglers. Since most guides schedule two trips per day, morning and afternoon, each fishing day for guided anglers had two periods: Period A, 0600 to 1159 hours and B, 1200 to 1759 hours. No further stratification for guided anglers was used.

In addition, the survey was divided into 7 temporal strata, 3 during the early run and 4 during the late run, which corresponded to changes in management strategies that altered the fishery. On 10 June, the river was restricted to trophy fishing. The river was reopened to retention of all fish on 1 July downstream from Slikok Creek and closed to chinook salmon fishing upstream of Slikok Creek (which reopened 16 July). The use of bait was not allowed until 1 July when the late-run fishery began. The use of bait was prohibited and trophy fishing imposed on 24 July ; these restrictions were removed on 30 July. The above emergency orders were issued based on estimates of the inriver return to allow maximum angling opportunity while insuring achievement of escapement goals. There were 20 strata in the chinook salmon fishery in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 9 in the early run and 11 in the late run:

## Early Run

Temporal Stratum 1 (19 May to 31 May)

1. Unguided angler weekdays,
2. Unguided anglers weekend/holidays,
3. Guided anglers,

Temporal Stratum 2 (1 June to 9 June)
4. Unguided anglers, weekdays,
5. Unguided anglers, weekend/holidays,
6. Guided anglers,

```
Temporal Stratum 3 (10 June to 30 June)
    7. Unguided anglers weekdays,
    8. Unguided anglers weekends/holidays,
    9. Guided anglers,
Late Run
Temporal Stratum 4 (1 July to 15 July)
    10. Unguided anglers weekdays,
    11. Unguided anglers weekend/holidays,
    12. Guided anglers,
Temporal Stratum 5 (16 July to 23 July)
    13. Unguided anglers weekdays,
    14. Unguided anglers weekend/holidays,
    15. Guided anglers,
Temporal Stratum 6 (24 July to 29 July)
    16. Unguided anglers weekdays,
    17. Unguided anglers weekend/holidays,
    18. Guided anglers,
Temporal Stratum 7 (30 July to 31 July)
    19. Unguided anglers weekdays, and
    20. Guided anglers.
```

Angler Counts:
Sampling levels were designed to estimate catch and harvest within $15 \%$ of
their true values $95 \%$ of the time. Two creel survey clerks, each working
37.5 hours per week, conducted the angler counts.

On every weekend day and holiday, an unguided angler count was made during each of the five periods. One of the 4 whole-hours of each period (A through E) was selected randomly to conduct an unguided angler count. During each 4-day week (weekdays only Tuesday through Friday), 2 days were selected randomly for each period, A through E, to be sampled. Within each sampled period, an angler count was initiated at one of the four randomly selected whole-hours. This sampling design provided 10 unguided angler counts on a typical weekend and 10 unguided angler counts during the 4 weekdays the fishery was open.

Since guided and unguided anglers fished under similar regulations during May, guided angler counts in May were conducted as described above. However, during June and July, if a selected unguided angler count occurred during an A period ( $0600-1159$ hours) of the guided angler strata, then a guided angler count was also conducted simultaneously. If no unguided angler counts were scheduled during an $A$ period for guided anglers, an additional count for guided anglers only was conducted at a randomly selected whole-hour during the
guided period in question. The same protocol held for $B$ periods (1200 to 1759 hours) in guided strata. If two or more counts occurred during a guided period, then one was selected randomly as the guided angler count.

Some deviation from the schedule occurred because of mechanical breakdown and/or other duties such as public assistance or enforcement activities.

Counts of anglers were conducted from a boat. Direction of travel (either upstream or downstream) was randomly selected. The clerk counted anglers while driving the boat at a constant speed through the survey area to the opposite end of the river section. This trip usually took about 45 minutes and every effort was made to ensure that the trip was completed in less than 1 hour. Angler counts were considered instantaneous and reflect fishing effort at the time of the count. During the angler count, the creel survey clerk recorded the following: (1) total number of unguided boats, (2) total number of guided boats, (3) total number of anglers in unguided boats, (4) total number of anglers in guided boats, and (5) total number of shore anglers. Boats and anglers were considered engaged in fishing and were counted if the boat was in operation, as opposed to tied to the shore, regardless of whether or not an angler's line was in the water when the count was being conducted. Guides were not included in the counts during the chinook salmon fishery as they were prohibited from fishing while guiding. When the clerks responsible for angler counts were not conducting a count, they conducted completed-trip angler interviews at access locations.

Angler Interviews:
The angler interview schedule was designed for two access survey clerks, each working 37.5 hours per week. In addition, the two angler count clerks conducted interviews during sample periods when they were not doing counts.

The following information was recorded for each angler interview: (1) powered or nonpowered boat, (2) guided or unguided angler, (3) number of hours spent fishing (to the nearest 0.5 hour), (4) number and species of fish retained, and (5) number and species of fish released.

Interviews of completed-trip anglers for harvest and catch rate information were conducted primarily at seven boat landings. Two creel survey clerks conducted the interviews at the boat landings. Each clerk worked 7.5-hour days on each weekend/holiday day and on three randomly selected weekdays each week. Two randomly selected landings were sampled by a clerk on a sample day. Thus on weekend/holidays, four landings were sampled each day and on weekdays either two or four landings were sampled. The starting time for the 7.5 -hour interview period was randomly selected from either an early shift (possible start times: $0600,0630,0700$, or 0730 hours) or a late shift (possible start times: $1500,1530,1600$, or 1630 hours). The creel survey clerks conducted interviews for about 3.5 hours at each landing. The two landings frequented by guided anglers were sampled primarily around noon or early evening hours to correspond with the times guides normally end a fishing trip.

## Age/Sex Composition

## Harvest:

Sampling goals for estimation of age composition of the harvest were 250 harvested fish from each run. Samples were obtained from anglers' creels during the surveys. Mid-eye to fork-of-tail length was measured to the nearest one-half centimeter, the sex of the fish was identified, and scales were removed from the preferred area as described for sockeye salmon (Clutter and Whitesel 1956). Three scales were collected from each fish and placed on an adhesive-coated card. Impressions of scale cards were made on acetate and scale images were examined using a microfiche reader.

## Inriver Return:

The inriver return was estimated with dual-beam sonar (Burwen and Skvorc In Prep). To estimate the age and sex composition of the inriver return, chinook salmon were captured in large mesh gill nets in the intertidal area using the techniques described by Hammarstrom and Larson (1984). Sampling was stratified into two 3 -week periods during each run with a sampling goal of 125 fish per sample period (Thompson 1987).

Fish were placed in a tagging cradle, untangled from the gill net, measured, sex determined from external characteristics and three scales taken from the preferred area. Scale samples were prepared similarly to those of the recreational harvest samples.

## Data Analyses

Angler-effort, harvest and catch rates for chinook salmon, harvest and catch of chinook salmon, and associated variances were estimated using the same procedures for guided and unguided anglers. In the following sections, harvest refers to fish retained by anglers and catch refers to fish retained plus those reported as released by anglers.

## Effort:

The number of angler-hours of effort during time stratum $t$ was estimated as (Neuhold and Lu 1957):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{t} \quad={\underset{j}{j=1}}_{\mathbf{J}}^{H_{t j}} \bar{x}_{t \mathrm{j}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

```
\(\bar{x}_{t j}=\) the mean number of anglers per count during period \(j\) in stratum
        t;
\(H_{t j}=\) the total number of hours of possible fishing time during
        period \(j\) in stratum \(t\); and
    \(J=\) the number of periods (A, B, C, etc.) in stratum \(t\).
```

The variance of effort was estimated by (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\hat{E}_{t}\right)=\sum_{j=1}^{J} H_{t j}^{2}\left(s_{t \mathrm{t} j}^{2} / n_{t \mathrm{t}}\right), \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{tj}}=\text { the variance of } \bar{x}_{\mathrm{tj}}=\sum_{\mathrm{o}=1}^{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{tj}}} \frac{\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{tjo}}-\bar{x}_{\mathrm{tj}}\right)^{2}}{n_{\mathrm{tj}}-1} \text {, and }
$$

$n_{t j}=$ the number of angler counts during period $j$ of component $t$.
This method assumes a single-stage design with all possible counts within a stratum representing the population to be sampled. The finite population correction factor is not applied as angler counts are considered instantaneous, giving an infinite number of counts that can be taken.

Harvest Rates:
Mean effort and mean harvest per angler were estimated for each stratum using the angler interview data for the component. Only completed-trip interviews were used to make the estimates.

Mean effort per angler during component $t$ was estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{t}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m i} f_{i k}\right) / \sum_{i=1}^{d} m_{i} ; \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d=\text { the number of days interviews were conducted during component } \\
& t ; \\
& f_{i k}=\text { the effort (in hours) by angler } k \text { interviewed on day } i ; \\
& m_{i}=\text { the number of anglers interviewed on day } i .
\end{aligned}
$$

A two-stage sample design with days representing the first-stage sample units and anglers the second-stage sample units was used to estimate the variance of mean effort (Von Geldern and Tomlinson 1973). The number of second-stage units available on a given sample day was unknown. The variance of mean effort was estimated as (Sukhatme et al. 1984):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\bar{f}_{t}\right)=[1-(d / D)] s_{B}^{2} / d+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{W i} / m_{i}\right) / d D \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& D=\text { the number of days the fishery was open during component } t ; \\
& \mathbf{s}_{B}=\text { the sample variance among days of mean effort per angler; and } \\
& \mathbf{2}_{W i}=\text { the sample variance among anglers of mean effort per angler for } \\
& \text { interviews on day } i .
\end{aligned}
$$

The among-day variance, $\mathbf{s}_{\mathrm{B}}^{2}$, was estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{t B}^{2}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\bar{f}_{t i}-\bar{f}_{t}\right)^{2}\right] /\left(d_{t}-1\right) \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\bar{f}_{t i}=\text { the mean effort per angler during day } i \text { of component } t .
$$

Mean harvest (or catch) and its variance were estimated identically to effort except the corresponding quantities for harvest (or catch) were substituted for all occurrences of effort (f).

Harvest rate (HPUE) during stratum $t$ was estimated by:

$$
\hat{\operatorname{HPUE}_{t}}=\overline{h_{t}} / \overline{f_{t}},
$$

where:

$$
\bar{h}_{t}=\text { the mean harvest per angler during component } t \text {, obtained by }
$$ substituting catch for effort in equation 4.

The variance of HPUE $_{t}$ was approximated by the variance for the quotient of the mean of two random variables (Jessen 1978), by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}\left(\bar{h}_{t} / \bar{f}_{t}\right) \approx\left(\overline{h_{t}} / \bar{f}_{t}\right)^{2}\left(s_{h}^{2} / h_{t}^{2}+s_{f}^{2} / \bar{f}_{t}^{2}-2 r_{t} s_{h} s_{f} / \bar{h}_{t} \bar{f}_{t}\right), \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{s}_{h}^{2} & =\text { the two-stage estimate of variance for } \bar{h}_{t} ; \\
\mathbf{s}_{f}^{2} & =\text { the two-stage estimate of variance for } \bar{f}_{t} ; \text { and } \\
r_{t}= & \text { the correlation coefficient between the } f_{i k} \text { and the } h_{i k} \text { in }
\end{aligned}
$$

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) and its variance were estimated by replacing harvest statistics with catch statistics in equations 7 and 8.

## Harvest:

The harvest during each component was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{t}=\hat{E}_{t} \hat{H P U E}_{t} . \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\wedge$
The variance of $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}$ was estimated as (Goodman 1960):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}\left(H_{t}\right)=\left[\hat{E}_{t}^{2} V\left(\hat{H P U E} E_{t}\right)\right]+\left[\hat{H P U E} t_{t}^{2} \hat{V}\left(E_{t}\right)\right]-\left[\hat{V}\left(E_{t}\right) V\left(\hat{H P U E} E_{t}\right)\right] \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Total (for example, the total for unguided anglers during the early run) of effort and harvest of each run were estimated by summing the appropriate component estimates. Estimates of effort and harvest for the components are considered independent estimates, therefore, the variance of the total was estimated by the sum of the appropriate variances.

The major assumptions necessary for these analyses are:

1. Significant fishing effort occurs only between the hours defined for the angler day;
2. Individual effort and harvest (or catch) by anglers are normally distributed random variables;
3. Anglers are interviewed in constant proportion to their abundance within each stratum (DiCostanzo 1956) and interviewed anglers are representative of the total angler population.

Biological Data:
Age composition of the chinook salmon harvest and inriver return were estimated for each run. Letting $p_{a t}$ equal the estimated proportion of age group a in component $t$, the variance of $p_{a t}$ was estimated as (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\hat{p}_{a t}\right)=\hat{p}_{a t}\left(1-\hat{p}_{a t}\right) /\left(n_{t}-1\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}=\text { the number of legible scales read from chinook salmon sampled } \\
& \text { during component } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

RESULTS

## Effort

As a result of inseason restrictions, the fishery occurred only in the downstream section of the lower Kenai River. Low water levels and lack of observed angling delayed beginning of the creel survey until 19 May. Because
of mechanical and other logistical problems or illness, angler counts were conducted on only 62 of the 65 days possible; 35 of 38 during the early run and 27 of 27 during the late run.

Between one and five angler counts were conducted on each sample day. During the early run, angler counts ranged from 0 to 138 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 207 for guided anglers (Appendix Al). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 6 June and of guided anglers on 9 June. During the late run, angler counts ranged from 11 to 692 for unguided anglers and from 75 to 653 for guided anglers (Appendix A2). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 19 July and of guided anglers on 30 July.

Mean angler counts for each period by component were calculated for the early run (Table 1) and for the late run (Table 2). After trophy fishing was imposed (10 June-30 June during the early run and 24 July- 29 July during the late run), mean angler counts for each period declined.

The estimated effort in the downstream section during the early run was 54,330 ( $\mathrm{SE}=2,388$ ) angler-hours ( Table 3 ). As happened during 1990 and 1991, effort declined upon implementation of mandatory catch and release fishing (1990, 1991) or "trophy fishing" (1992). During the early run, $52 \%$ of the total effort was by unguided anglers. The estimated effort during the late run was 187,415 ( $S E=6,808$ ) angler-hours (Table 4). The majority of this effort (60\%) was by unguided anglers.

## Harvest Rates and Catch Rates

A total of 3,835 interviews of completed-trip anglers was collected: 1,228 interviews during the early run and 2,607 interviews during the late run (Tables 5 and 6). There was 1 day during the early run (11 June) when no completed-trip angler interviews were collected.

Daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.583 fish per hour and from 0.000 to 0.264 fish per hour for guided anglers (Appendices B1 and B2). Peak daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 30 June and on 26 June for guided anglers. Daily catch rates of late-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.006 to 0.156 fish per hour and from 0.000 to 0.224 fish per hour for guided anglers (Appendices B3 and B4). Peak daily catch rates of late chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 29 July and by guided anglers occurred on 30 July. Estimates of overall harvest and catch rates of chinook salmon for each of the components appear in Tables 5 and 6.

## Harvest and Catch

Estimated effort and harvest and catch rates for each early-run component (Tables 3 and 5, respectively) were used to estimate harvest and catch during the early run (Table 7). Estimates of harvest and catch for the late run (Table 8) were calculated for each component from the values presented in Tables 4 and 6.

A total of 1,365 ( $\mathrm{SE}=151$ ) fish were harvested during the early run and 6,680 ( $\mathrm{SE}=462$ ) fish were harvested during the late run (Tables 7 and 8 ). Guided anglers harvested more fish then did unguided anglers during both runs.

Table 1. Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the components of the creel survey of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.


Period 2 (1 June - 9 June)

| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of counts | 1 | 4 | 1 | 4 | 3 |
| Mean count | 29 | 59.5 | 60 | 52.3 | 79.7 |
| Standard error |  | 15.7 |  | 8.3 | 4.1 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 |
| Mean count | 60.0 | 137.0 | 125.0 | 95.0 | 85.0 |
| Standard error | 52.0 | 1.0 |  |  |  |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 5 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 131.0 | 48.2 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 17 | 8.5 |  |  |  |

Period 3 (10 June - 30 June)

| Unguided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number of counts | 5 | 8 | 9 | 8 | 7 |
| Mean count | 18.6 | 28.3 | 24.1 | 25.3 | 20.9 |
| Standard error | 5.4 | 4.6 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 3.6 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 | 6 |
| Mean count | 21.5 | 48.5 | 41.2 | 41.0 | 42.0 |
| Standard error | 5.8 | 9.9 | 6.0 | 8.1 | 10.7 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of courts | 17 | 17 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 78.5 | 37.7 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 6.5 | 4.7 |  |  |  |

a Unguided anglers, all months:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \text { Period A }=0400-0759 \\
& \text { Per iod B }=0800-1159 \\
& \text { Per iod C }=1200-1559 \\
& \text { Period D }=1600-1959 \\
& \text { Per iod E }=2000-2359
\end{aligned}
$$

Guided angler:
May: Same as unguided angler

June:
Period A = 0600-1159
Period B = 1200 - 1759

Table 2. Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the components of the creel survey of the fishery for laterrun chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.


Table 2. (Page 2 of 2).

|  |  | Period $^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | A | B | C | D | E |

Period 7 (30 July - 31 July)

Unguided anglers, all days:

| Number of counts | 2 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

Mean count
359.0
280.0

Guided anglers, all days:

| Number of counts | 1 | 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |

## Mean count

653.0235 .0
a Unguided anglers, all months: Period A = 0400-0759 Period $B=0800-1159$ Period C $=1200-1559$ Period D $=1600-1959$ Period E $=2000-2359$

Guided anglers:
July: Period $A=0600-1159$
Period $B=1200-1759$

Table 3. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

|  | Estimated | Standard | $95 \%$ | Relative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | Effort | Error | Confidence Interval | Precision |

## EARLY RUN

Period 1 (18 May - 31 May)

| Unguided weekdays: | 2,240 | 701 | $866-$ | 3,614 | $61.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends : | 5,742 | 406 | $4,946-$ | 6,538 | $13.9 \%$ |
| Guided anglers (May): | 6,034 | 1,087 | $3,903-$ | 8,165 | $35.3 \%$ |

Period 2 (1 June - 9 June)

| Unguided weekdays: | 5,962 | 633 | $4,721-$ | 7,203 | $20.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends: | 3,994 | 1,294 | $1,458-$ | 6,530 | $63.5 \%$ |
| Guided anglers: | 7,526 | 799 | $5,960-$ | 9,092 | $20.8 \%$ |

Period 3 (10 June - 30 June)

| Unguided weekdays: | 5,619 | 460 | $4,717-$ | 6,521 | $16.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends: | 4,660 | 446 | $3,786-$ | 5,534 | $18.8 \%$ |
| Guided anglers: | 12,553 | 861 | $10,865-$ | 14,241 | $13.4 \%$ |

Subtotals:
Unguided: $\quad 28,217$ 1,773 $24,743-31,691 \quad 12.3 \%$
Guided: 26,113 1,600 22,976-29,250 $12.0 \%$

Early Run Total $54,330 \quad 2,388 \quad 49,649-59,011 \quad 8.6 \%$

Table 4. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for laterun chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

|  | Estimated | Standard | $95 \%$ | Relative |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | Effort | Error | Confidence Interval | Precision |

## LATE RUN

Period 4 (1 July - 15 July)

| Unguided weekdays: | 32,306 | 3,215 | $26,005-38,607$ | $19.5 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unguided weekends: | 16,556 | 1,232 | $14,141-$ | 18,971 | $14.6 \%$ |
| Guided anglers: | 29,682 | 2,185 | $25,399-33,965$ | $14.4 \%$ |  |

Period 5 (16 July - 23 July)

| Unguided weekdays: | 25,985 | 2,884 | $20,332-31,638$ | $21.8 \%$ |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unguided weekends: | 17,092 | 2,249 | $12,684-$ | 21,500 | $25.8 \%$ |
| Guided anglers: | 24,858 | 2,502 | $19,954-$ | 29,762 | $19.7 \%$ |

Period 6 (24 July - 29 July)

| Unguided weekdays: | 5,025 | 1,066 | 2,936 | - | 7,114 | $41.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends: | 3,783 | 391 | 3,017 | 4,549 | $20.3 \%$ |  |
| Guided anglers: | 10,128 | 503 | $9,142-$ | 11,114 | $9.7 \%$ |  |

Period 7 (30 July - 31 July)

| Unguided: | 11,344 | 2,922 | $5,617-$ | 17,071 | $50.5 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Guided anglers: | 10,656 | 0 | $10,656-10,656$ | $0.0 \%$ |  |

Subtotals:
Unguided: $112,091 \quad 5,921 \quad 100,486-123,696 \quad 10.4 \%$
Guided: $75,324 \quad 3,360 \quad 68,739-81,909 \quad 8.7 \%$
Late Run Total $\quad 187,415 \quad 6,808 \quad 174,072-200,758 \quad 7.1 \%$

Table 5. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.


| Unguided weekdays | 1 | 8 | 8 | 104 | 0.0228 | 0.00537 | 0.0354 | 0.00715 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unguided weekends | 1 | 5 | 5 | 169 | 0.0250 | 0.00587 | 0.0394 | 0.00838 |
| Guided all days | 1 | 11 | 13 | 102 | 0.0494 | 0.00867 | 0.0547 | 0.01167 |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 5 | 5 | 135 | 0.0523 | 0.00983 | 0.0793 | 0.01299 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 2 | 114 | 0.0344 | 0.00911 | 0.0435 | 0.01005 |
| Guided all days | 2 | 7 | 7 | 136 | 0.0538 | 0.01005 | 0.1008 | 0.01284 |
| Unguided weekdays | 3 | 11 | 12 | 88 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.0646 | 0.02683 |
| Unguided weekends | 3 | 6 | 6 | 131 | 0.0019 | 0.00410 | 0.0508 | 0.01061 |
| Guided all days | 3 | 17 | 18 | 249 | 0.0007 | 0.00044 | 0.1004 | 0.01126 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided |  | 37 | 38 | 741 | 0.0231 | 0.00377 | 0.0550 | 0.00603 |
| Guided |  | 35 | 38 | 487 | 0.0273 | 0.00468 | 0.0900 | 0.01030 |
| Early Run Total |  | 37 | 38 | 1,228 | 0.0251 | 0.00299 | 0.0718 | 0.00583 |

a Period

| 1 | (19 May - 31 May) |
| :--- | :--- |
| 2 | (1 June - 9 June) |
| 3 | (10 June - 30 June) |

b Number of days on which interviews were collected.
c Number of days possible for interviewing.
d Complete trip interviews only.

Table 6. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Component | Time <br> Period ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {c }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | HPUE | Standard Error | CPUE | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unguided weekdays | 4 | 9 | 9 | 492 | 0.0215 | 0.00320 | 0.0350 | 0.00584 |
| Unguided weekends | 4 | 4 | 4 | 357 | 0.0095 | 0.00267 | 0.0142 | 0.00342 |
| Guided all days | 4 | 11 | 11 | 297 | 0.0463 | 0.00738 | 0.0546 | 0.00770 |
| Unguided weekdays | 5 | 5 | 5 | 269 | 0.0386 | 0.00719 | 0.0479 | 0.00743 |
| Unguided weekends | 5 | 2 | 2 | 346 | 0.0081 | 0.00249 | 0.0143 | 0.00423 |
| Guided all days | 5 | 6 | 6 | 341 | 0.0453 | 0.00527 | 0.0553 | 0.00570 |
| Unguided weekdays | 6 | 3 | 3 | 56 | 0.0047 | 0.00673 | 0.1075 | 0.03498 |
| Unguided weekends | 6 | 2 | 2 | 58 | 0.0040 | 0.00436 | 0.1032 | 0.02274 |
| Guided all days | 6 | 4 | 4 | 147 | 0.0024 | 0.00115 | 0.0878 | 0.01248 |
| Unguided all days | 7 | 2 | 2 | 166 | 0.0416 | 0.00738 | 0.0506 | 0.00837 |
| Guided all days | 7 | 2 | 2 | 78 | 0.1550 | 0.01790 | 0.2018 | 0.02364 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided |  | 27 | 27 | 1,744 | 0.0223 | 0.00291 | 0.0389 | 0.00444 |
| Guided |  | 23 | 23 | 863 | 0.0554 | 0.00529 | 0.0801 | 0.00676 |
| Late Run Total |  | 27 | 27 | 2,607 | 0.0356 | 0.00278 | 0.0555 | 0.00386 |

a Period:
$\begin{array}{llll}4 & (1 & \text { July-15 July) } \\ 5 & \text { (16 July-23 July) } \\ 6 & (24 & \text { July-29 July) } \\ 7 & (30 & \text { July- } 31 & \text { July })\end{array}$
b Number of days on which interviews were collected.
c Number of days possible for interviewing.
d Complete trip interviews only.

Table 7. Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Component | Relative |  |  |  | Relative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Precision ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | SE | Precision ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| Period 1 (18 May - 31 May) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 51 | 20 | 75.7 \% | 79 | 29 | $72.2 \%$ |
| Unguided weekends | 144 | 35 | 47.8\% | 226 | 51 | 43.9\% |
| Guided all days | 298 | 74 | 48.9\% | 330 | 91 | 54.2\% |
| Period 2 (1 June - 9 June) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 312 | 67 | 42.1\% | 473 | 92 | 38.1\% |
| Unguided weekends | 137 | 56 | 80.5\% | 174 | 68 | $76.5 \%$ |
| Guided all days | 405 | 87 | 41.9\% | 759 | 125 | $32.4 \%$ |
| Period 3 (10 June - 30 June) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 0 | 0 |  | 363 | 153 | 82.7\% |
| Unguided weekends | 9 | 19 | 416.0\% | 237 | 54 | 44.8\% |
| Guided all days | 9 | 6 | 122.0\% | 1,260 | 165 | $25.7 \%$ |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 653 | 98 | 29.5\% | 1,552 | 207 | 26.1\% |
| Guided | 712 | 114 | $31.4 \%$ | 2,349 | 227 | 18.9\% |
| Early Run Total | 1,365 | 151 | 21.6\% | 3,901 | 307 | 15.4\% |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.

- Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 8. Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Component | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Relative Precision ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | SE | $\begin{gathered} \text { Relative } \\ \text { Precision } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Period 4 (1 July - 15 July) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 695 | 124 | $34.9 \%$ | 1,131 | 219 | 38.0\% |
| Unguided weekends | 157 | 46 | $57.1 \%$ | 235 | 59 | 49.3\% |
| Guided all days | 1,374 | 241 | $34.3 \%$ | 1,621 | 257 | $31.1 \%$ |
| Period 5 (16 July - 23 July) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 1,003 | 217 | 42.3\% | 1,245 | 235 | 36.9\% |
| Unguided weekends | 138 | 46 | $65.3 \%$ | 244 | 79 | 63.1 \% |
| Guided all days | 1,126 | 173 | 30.1 \% | 1,375 | 197 | 28.1 \% |
| Period 6 (24 July - 29 July) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 24 | 33 | $272.8 \%$ | 540 | 207 | $75.0 \%$ |
| Unguided weekends | 15 | 17 | $215.6 \%$ | 390 | 94.6 | $47.5 \%$ |
| Guided all days | 24 | 12 | 95.6\% | 889 | 134 | $29.5 \%$ |
| Period 7 (30 July - 31 July) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided all days | 472 | 146 | 60.6\% | 574 | 174 | 59.4\% |
| Guided all days | 1,652 | 191 | $22.6 \%$ | 2,150 | 252 | $23.0 \%$ |
| Subtotal: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 2,504 | 299 | 23.4 \% | 4,359 | 441 | $19.8 \%$ |
| Guided | 4,176 | 353 | $16.6 \%$ | 6,035 | 432 | 14.0\% |
| Late Run Total | 6,680 | 462 | $13.6 \%$ | 10,394 | 617 | 11.6\% |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Because of the trophy fishing imposed during both runs, $65 \%$ of the catch was released during the early run and $36 \%$ of the catch was released during the late run.

## Inriver Return

A total of 10,087 chinook salmon was estimated during the early run (Table 9) and 30,314 during the late run (Table 10).

## Biological Data

Recreational Fishery:
The most abundant age group of the early-run harvest of chinook salmon was age 1.4 which composed $75.8 \%$ of the sample (Table 11). The only other age classes represented in the sample were $1.2,1.3$ and $1.5 ; 4.2 \%, 16.8 \%$ and $3.2 \%$, respectively. Inseason harvest restriction to this fishery precluded examination for temporal trends in age composition.

Age 1.4 was again the most abundant age in the late-run harvest sample, contributing 76.1\% to the sample (Table 12). The next largest contributor was age 1.3 ( $15.4 \%$ ) followed by 1.5 ( $6.0 \%$ ), 1.2 ( $2.0 \%$ ) and 1.1 ( $0.5 \%$ ). Age composition did not significantly differ between the first half of the harvest and the second ( $\chi^{2}=8.22, \mathrm{df}=4, \alpha=0.05$ ). Because of low sample sizes for some age classes, this hypothesis was again tested for only age-1.3, -1.4, and -1.5 fish; again differences were not significant $\left(\chi^{2}=2.39, ~ d f=2\right.$, $\alpha=0.05$ ). The mean lengths at age for each age/sex group were generally greater for late-run fish than for early-run fish, except that early-run males age 1.2 were slightly larger than late-run males of the same age.

Inriver Return:
Age-1.4 fish dominated both the early run (58.1\%) (Table 13) and late run (74.4\%) (Table 14). For the early run, there was no significant difference in age composition between the first half and second half of the run $\left(\chi^{2}=4.48, \mathrm{df}=3, \alpha=0.05, \mathrm{P}>0.10\right)$. Age 1.3 was the second largest contributor ( $28.5 \%$ ) of the early run and $16.0 \%$ of the late run. Age 1.2 represented $8.1 \%$ of the early-run sample and $7.3 \%$ of the late-run sample. Age-1.5 fish composed $5.3 \%$ of the early-run sample and $2.3 \%$ of the late-run sample. As with the harvest, length-at-age of both sexes was generally larger for late-run fish than early-run fish.

## DISCUSSION

As demonstrated during 1990, 1991, and 1992, emergency order restrictions to the bag limit (either compulsory hook-and-release or trophy fishing) severely impacted effort (Figures 4 and 5). The relatively high CPUE realized during these restrictions was not a sufficient attractant to maintain higher levels of fishing effort. Also, the trend for higher CPUE during periods of lower fishing effort is probably indicative of gear competition.

The estimates of harvest, effort, and catch by run component are biased low to a small degree. While historically negligible, there is a small fishery that

Table 9. Daily estimates of chinook salmon during the early run as determined by dual-beam sonar, Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.


Table 10. Daily estimates of chinook salmon during the late run as determined by dual-beam sonar, Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Date | Daily <br> Count | Cumulative Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 Jul | 364 | 364 |
| 2 Jul | 297 | 661 |
| 3 Jul | 320 | 981 |
| 4 Jul | 198 | 1,179 |
| 5 Jul | 225 | 1,404 |
| 6 Jul | 331 | 1,735 |
| 7 Jul | 247 | 1,982 |
| 8 Jul | 170 | 2,152 |
| 9 Jul | 205 | 2,357 |
| 10 Jul | 221 | 2,578 |
| 11 Jul | 143 | 2,721 |
| 12 Jul | 1,027 | 3,748 |
| 13 Jul | 605 | 4,353 |
| 14 Jul | 689 | 5,042 |
| 15 Jul | 745 | 5,787 |
| 16 Jul | 703 | 6,490 |
| 17 Jul | 570 | 7,060 |
| 18 Jul | 853 | 7,913 |
| 19 Jul | 1,128 | 9,041 |
| 20 Jul | 1,144 | 10,185 |
| 21 Jul | 799 | 10,984 |
| 22 Jul | 619 | 11,603 |
| 23 Jul | 1,449 | 13,052 |
| 24 Jul | 711 | 13,763 |
| 25 Jul | 1,713 | 15,476 |
| 26 Jul | 1,296 | 16,772 |
| 27 Jul | 1,561 | 18,333 |
| 28 Jul | 1,957 | 20,290 |
| 29 Jul | 1,533 | 21,823 |
| 30 Jul | 1,198 | 23,021 |
| 31 Jul | 951 | 23,972 |
| 1 Aug | 921 | 24,893 |
| 2 Aug | 1,018 | 25,911 |
| 3 Aug | 837 | 26,748 |
| 4 Aug | 862 | 27,610 |
| 5 Aug | 861 | 28,471 |
| 6 Aug | 654 | 29,125 |
| 7 Aug | 558 | 29,683 |
| 8 Aug | 217 | 29,900 |
| 9 Aug | 165 | 30,065 |
| 10 Aug | 249 | 30,314 |

Table 11. Age composition and mean length at age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| Male | Percent | 2.1 | 12.6 | 36.8 | 1.1 | 52.6 |
| Female | Percent | 2.1 | 4.2 | 38.9 | 2.1 | 47.4 |
| Combined | Percent | 4.2 | 16.8 | 75.8 | 3.2 |  |
|  | SE | 2.1 | 3.9 | 4.4 | 1.8 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 625 | 756 | 945 | 1,040 |  |
|  | SE | 10 | 11 | 15 |  |  |
|  | Sample size | 2 | 12 | 35 | 1 | 50 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 618 | 778 | 906 | 1,060 |  |
|  | SE | 33 | 13 | 10 | 5 |  |
|  | Sample size | 2 | 4 | 37 | 2 | 45 |

a Lengths measured mid-eye to fork of tail.

Table 12. Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| Male | Percent | 0.5 | 1.5 | 9.5 | 36.8 | 3.5 | 51.7 |
| Female | Percent | 0.0 | 0.5 | 6.0 | 39.3 | 2.5 | 48.3 |
| Combined | Percent | 0.5 | 2.0 | 15.4 | 76.1 | 6.0 |  |
|  | SE | 0.5 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 3.0 | 1.7 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 380 | 558 | 790 | 1,009 | 1,094 |  |
|  | SE |  | 13 | 18 | 10 | 17 |  |
|  | Sample size | 1 | 3 | 19 | 74 | 7 | 104 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 610 | 801 | 985 | 1,100 |  |
|  | SE |  |  | 13 | 8 | 58 |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 1 | 12 | 79 | 5 | 97 |

a Lengths measured mid-eye to fork of tail.

Table 13. Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gill nets during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| Male | Percent | 7.7 | 20.3 | 17.5 | 3.3 | 48.8 |
| Female | Percent | 0.4 | 8.1 | 40.7 | 2.0 | 51.2 |
| Combined | Percent | 8.1 | 28.5 | 58.1 | 5.3 |  |
|  | SE | 1.7 | 2.9 | 3.2 | 1.4 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 648 | 767 | 957 | 1,123 |  |
|  | SE | 7 | 5 | 16 | 38 |  |
|  | Sample size | 19 | 50 | 43 | 8 | 120 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 560 | 779 | 904 | 999 |  |
|  | SE |  | 7 | 6 | 24 |  |
|  | Sample size | 1 | 20 | 100 | , | 126 |

a Lengths measured mid-eye to fork of tail.

Table 14. Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large mesh gill nets during the fishery for laterrun chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| Male | Percent | 7.3 | 12.0 | 30.2 | 1.1 | 50.5 |
| Female | Percent | 0.0 | 4.1 | 44.2 | 1.2 | 51.2 |
| Combined | Percent | 7.3 | 16.0 | 74.4 | 2.3 |  |
|  | SE | 1.4 | 2.0 | 2.4 | 0.8 |  |
| Male | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 666 | 800 | 1,016 | 1,077 |  |
|  | SE | 5 | 8 | 9 | 36 |  |
|  | Sample size | 23 | 40 | 101 | 4 | 168 |
| Female | Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 838 | 979 | 1,060 |  |
|  | SE |  | 13 | 6 | 37 |  |
|  | Sample size |  | 14 | 155 | 4 | 173 |

a Lengths measured mid-eye to fork of tail.


Figure 4. Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon and angler effort during the early run, Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.


Figure 5. Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon and angler effort during the late run, Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.
occurs in the upstream section (Naptowne Rapids to the outlet of Skilak Lake). Additionally, the midstream section (Soldotna Bridge to Naptowne Rapids) has also provided a relatively small harvest, the majority of which occurs during the early run. It had been planned to conduct a creel survey of the river between the Soldotna Bridge and Skilak Lake during the early run only (Memorial Day through 15 July). However, when the fishery was restricted to trophy fishing, effort dropped dramatically with virtually no effort upstream of the Soldotna Bridge. Further, chinook salmon fishing was prohibited upstream of Slikok Creek through 15 July to protect the remainder of those early-run fish. Thus, no survey was conducted upstream of the Soldotna Bridge.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

I recommend no significant changes in the creel survey program for the 1993 field season. As long as the regulations remain unchanged, the management objectives are consistent, and no major changes occur in the characteristics of the recreational fishery, the current design is adequate to manage the early- and late-run fisheries for their respective escapement goals. Further, I would like to conduct a creel survey of the fishery upstream of the Soldotna Bridge to adequately evaluate the design changes that have been made for that section of the river.

## ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I would like to express my gratitude to those individuals who assisted with data collection, compilation, and analysis. Phil Sheridan and Ed Borden conducted the boat creel survey in the downstream section and took care of most of the mechanical problems. Michele Savoie and Joy Langston conducted angler interviews at the selected launch facilities. Mary Schwager-King provided most of the local data processing support, including programming and inseason repair to the Epson HX-20 field data recorders and coordination/scheduling of creel personnel. I also thank the Research and Technical Services staff, especially Jim Hasbrouck who provided valuable technical assistance with survey design.

## LITERATURE CITED

Burwen, D. and P. Skvorc. In Prep 1992 data. Riverine abundance estimates of chinook salmon in the Kenai River using dual-beam sonar, 1992. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Regional Informational Report, Juneau.

Clutter, R. and L. Whitesel. 1956. Collection and interpretation of sockeye salmon scales. International Pacific Salmon Commission, Bull. 9.

Conrad, R. H. and S. L. Hammarstrom. 1987. Harvest of chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha and coho salmon O. kisutch and angler-effort by the lower Kenai River recreational fisheries, 1986. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 6, Juneau.

DiCostanzo, C. J. 1956. Creel census techniques and harvest of fishes in Clear Lake, Iowa. Ph.D. dissertation, Iowa State College, Ames, Iowa.

Goodman, L. A. 1960. On the exact variance of products. Journal American Statistical Association 55:708-713.

Hammarstrom, S. L. 1975. Inventory and cataloging of Kenai Peninsula, Cook Inlet drainages and fish stocks. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1974-1975, Project F-9-7, 16 (G-I-C):27-68.
$\qquad$ . 1976. Inventory and cataloging of Kenai Peninsula, Cook Inlet drainages and fish stocks. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1975-1976, Project F-9-8, 17 (G-I-C):35-62.
. 1977. Evaluation of chinook salmon fisheries of the Kenai Peninsula. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1976-1977, Project F-9-9, 18 (G-II-L):29-46.
. 1978. Evaluation of chinook salmon fisheries of the Kenai Peninsula. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1977-1978, Project F-9-10, 19 (G-II-L):42-56.
. 1979. Evaluation of chinook salmon fisheries of the Kenai Peninsula. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1978-1979, Project F-9-11, 20 (G-II-L):49-96.

- 1980. Evaluation of chinook salmon fisheries of the Kenai Peninsula. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1979-1980, Project F-9-12, 21 (G-II-L):59-90.
$\qquad$ . 1981. Evaluation of chinook salmon fisheries of the Kenai Peninsula. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1980-1981, Project F-9-13, 22 (G-II-L):33-61.
. 1988. Angler effort and harvest of chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha and coho salmon 0 . kisutch by the recreational fisheries in the lower Kenai River, 1987. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 50, Juneau.
. 1989. Angler effort and harvest of chinook salmon and coho salmon by the recreational fisheries in the lower Kenai River, 1988. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 100, Juneau.
$\qquad$ - 1990. Angler effort and harvest of chinook salmon and coho salmon by the recreational fisheries in the lower Kenai River, 1989. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 90-22, Anchorage.
. 1991. Angler effort and harvest of chinook salmon and coho salmon by the recreational fisheries in the lower Kenai River, 1990. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 91-44, Anchorage.
$\qquad$ . 1992. Angler effort and harvest of chinook salmon by the recreational fisheries in the lower Kenai River, 1991. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 92-25, Anchorage.

Hammarstrom, S. L. and L. L. Larson. 1982. Evaluation of chinook salmon fisheries of the Kenai Peninsula. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1981-1982, Project F-9-14, 23 (G-II-L):1-47.
$\qquad$ . 1983. Evaluation of chinook salmon fisheries of the Kenai Peninsula. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1982-1983, Project F-9-15, 24 (G-II-L):36-67.
. 1984. Evaluation of chinook salmon fisheries of the Kenai Peninsula. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1983-1984, Project F-9-16, 25 (G-II-L):1-39.
. 1986. Cook Inlet chinook and coho salmon studies. Alaska Department of Fish and Came, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1985-1986, Project F-9-18, 27 (G-32-1, 2, 4, 5):1-56.

Hammarstrom, S. L., L. L. Larson, M. Wenger, and J. Carlon. 1985. Kenai River chinook and coho salmon studies/Kenai River chinook salmon hook and release study. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Federal Aid in Fish Restoration/Anadromous Fish Study, Annual Performance Report, 19841985, Project F-9-17/AFS-50, 26 (G-II-L).

Jessen, R. J. 1978. Statistical survey techniques. John Wiley and Sons, New York.

McBride, D. N., R. D. Harding, B. A. Cross, and R. H. Conrad. 1985. Origins of chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha (Walbaum), in the commercial catches from the central district eastside set gill net fishery in Upper Cook Inlet, 1984. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Informational Leaflet No. 251.

Mills, M. J. 1979. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1978-1979, Project F-9-11, 20 (SW-1-A), Juneau.
. 1980. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1979-1980, Project F-9-12, 21 (SW-1-A), Juneau.
$\qquad$ . 1981a. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies (1979). Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1980-1981, Project F-9-13, 22 (SW-I-A), Juneau.
$\qquad$ . 1981b. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies (1980). Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1980-1981, Project F-9-13, 22 (SW-I-A), Juneau.
. 1982. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies (1981). Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1981-1982, Project F-9-14, 23 (SW-1-A), Juneau.
. 1983. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies (1982). Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1982-1983, Project F-9-15, 24 (SW-1-A), Juneau.

- 1984. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies (1983). Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1983-1984, Project F-9-16, 25 (SW-1-A), Juneau.
. 1985. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies (1984). Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1984-1985, Project F-9-17, 26 (SW-1-A), Juneau.
. 1986. Alaska statewide sport fish harvest studies (1985). Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Federal Aid in Fish Restoration, Annual Performance Report, 1985-1986, Project F-10-1, 27 (RT-2), Juneau.
. 1987. Alaska statewide sport fisheries harvest report 1986. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 2, Juneau.
. 1988. Alaska statewide sport fisheries harvest report 1987. Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 52, Juneau.
. 1989. Alaska statewide sport fisheries harvest report 1988. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 122, Juneau.
$\qquad$ . 1990. Harvest and participation in Alaska sport fisheries during 1989. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 90-44, Anchorage.
. 1991. Harvest and participation in Alaska sport fisheries during 1990. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Data Series No. 91-58, Anchorage.
. 1992. Harvest and participation in Alaska sport fisheries during 1991. Alaska Department of Fish and Game. Fishery Data Series No. 92-40, Anchorage.

Neuhold, J. M. and K. H. Lu. 1957. Creel census methods. Utah State Department of Fish and Game, Publ. 8, Salt Lake City, Utah.

Scheaffer, R. L., W. Mendenhall, and L. Ott. 1979. Elementary survey sampling. Duxbury Press, North Scituate, Massachusetts.

Sukhatme, P. V., B. V. Sukhatme, S. Sukhatme, and C. Asok. 1984. Sampling theory of surveys with applications. Iowa State University Press. Ames, Iowa.

## LITERATURE CITED (Continued)

Thompson, S. K. 1987. Sample size for estimating multinomial proportions. The American Statistician 41 (1):42-46.

Von Geldern, C. E. and P. K. Tomlinson. 1973. On the analysis of angler catch rate data from warmwater reservoirs. California Fish and Game 59(4):281-292.

## APPENDIX A

Counts of boat anglers during the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon on the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

Appendix A1. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} /{ }_{\text {We }}{ }^{\text {Wa}} \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 5/19 | Wd |  |  |  | 4 |  |  |  |  | 7 |  |
| 5/20 | Wd |  | 10 | 6 |  |  |  | 16 | 9 |  |  |
| 5/21 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/22 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/23 | We |  |  |  | 50 |  |  |  |  | 11 |  |
| 5/24 | We | 30 | 93 | 81 | 74 | 33 |  | 37 | 21 | 18 | 0 |
| 5/25 | We | 59 | 29 | 80 | 53 | 30 | 63 | 85 | 58 | 10 | 0 |
| 5/26 | Wd |  |  |  | 19 | 13 |  |  |  | 3 | 0 |
| 5/27 | Wd |  | 21 |  |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |
| 5/28 | Wd |  |  | 39 |  |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |
| 5/29 | Wd | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| $5 / 30$ | We | 47 | 57 | 75 | 68 | 17 | 55 | 59 | 24 | 19 | 0 |
| 5/31 | We | 20 | 86 | 96 | 78 | 57 | 0 | 46 | 21 | 18 | 7 |
| 6/01 | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | SED |  |  |
| 6/02 | Wd |  | 72 |  |  |  | 132 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/03 | Wd |  | 31 | 60 | 62 |  | 105 | 41 |  |  |  |
| 6/04 | Wd |  | 37 |  | 32 | 87 | 85 | 40 |  |  |  |
| 6/05 | Wd | 29 |  |  | 46 | 79 |  | 27 |  |  |  |
| 6/06 | We | 112 | 138 | 125 | 95 | 85 | 135 | 77 |  |  |  |
| 6/07 | We | 8 | 136 |  |  |  | 122 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/08 | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 6/09 | Wd |  | 98 |  | 69 | 73 | 207 | 56 |  |  |  |
| 6/10 | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 12 | 23 | 11 | 20 | 41 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/11 | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/12 | $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {b }}$ |  | 23 | 27 |  | 20 | 65 | 27 |  |  |  |
| 6/13 | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2 | 29 | 35 | 72 | 37 | 87 | 10 |  |  |  |
| 6/14 | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 24 | 57 | 38 | 35 | 24 | 60 | 31 |  |  |  |
| 6/15 | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 6/16 | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 19 | 45 | 46 |  | 98 | 45 |  |  |  |
| 6/17 | $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {b }}$ | 32 |  | 17 | 9 | 32 | 77 | 31 |  |  |  |
| 6/18 | $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {b }}$ | 4 | 22 |  | 29 | 28 | 87 | 32 |  |  |  |
| 6/19 | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 23 | 41 | 33 | 25 | 29 | 61 | 43 |  |  |  |
| 6/20 | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 13 | 73 | 57 | 34 | 93 | 84 | 18 |  |  |  |
| 6/21 | $\mathrm{We}^{\text {b }}$ | 37 | 42 | 24 | 12 | 22 | 85 | 24 |  |  |  |
| 6/22 | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 6/23 | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 53 |  | 33 |  | 148 | 34 |  |  |  |
| 6/24 | $W^{\text {d }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 26 | 28 | 16 | 27 | 10 | 75 | 19 |  |  |  |
| 6/25 | $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {b }}$ | 8 |  | 23 |  |  | 46 | 60 |  |  |  |
| 6/26 | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | 13 | 22 | 7 | 106 | 73 |  |  |  |
| 6/27 | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 15 | 15 | 32 | 48 | 42 | 93 | 83 |  |  |  |
| 6/28 | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 38 | 75 | 61 | 45 | 34 | 38 | 44 |  |  |  |
| 6/29 | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | OSED |  |  |
| 6/30 | Wd ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  | 28 | 20 |  |  | 84 | 34 |  |  |  |

a $\mathrm{Wd}=$ Weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ Weekend
b Closed to retention of chinook salmon smaller than 132 cm ( 52 inches).

Appendix A2. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Ang1ers Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 7/01 | Wd | 206 | 164 |  | 135 | 192 | 321 | 109 |  |  |  |
| 7/02 | Wd |  | 113 |  | 97 |  | 227 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 7/03 | Wd | 162 | 210 |  | 190 | 216 | 279 | 81 |  |  |  |
| 7/04 | We | 208 | 238 | 235 | 185 | 213 | 190 | 75 |  |  |  |
| 7/05 | We | 141 | 279 | 193 | 106 | 118 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/06 | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | ED |  |  |
| 7/07 | Wd | 51 |  | 91 | 89 | 131 | 328 | 196 |  |  |  |
| 7/08 | Wd |  | 170 | 101 |  |  | 271 | 190 |  |  |  |
| 7/09 | Wd | 175 | 140 |  | 93 | 126 | 238 | 115 |  |  |  |
| 7/10 | Wd |  | 167 | 147 |  |  | 253 | 159 |  |  |  |
| 7/11 | We | 133 | 197 | 280 | 199 | 182 | 256 | 253 |  |  |  |
| 7/12 | We | 346 |  | 365 | 212 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/13 | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | ED |  |  |
| 7/14 | Wd | 470 | 299 | 202 | 382 | 111 | 498 | 234 |  |  |  |
| 7/15 | Wd | 399 |  | 227 |  |  | 407 | 192 |  |  |  |
| 7/16 | Wd |  | 299 | 156 |  |  | 361 | 204 |  |  |  |
| 7/17 | Wd |  | 319 | 209 |  | 144 | 266 | 133 |  |  |  |
| 7/18 | We | 81 | 544 | 366 | 382 | 388 | 319 | 250 |  |  |  |
| 7/19 | We | 585 | 692 | 544 | 394 | 297 |  |  | ED |  |  |
| 7/20 | Wd |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  | ED |  |  |
| 7/21 | Wd |  | 161 | 132 |  |  | 596 | 486 |  |  |  |
| 7/22 | Wd |  | 282 | 347 | 172 |  | 484 | 280 |  |  |  |
| 7/23 | Wd | 399 |  |  | 204 | 554 | 446 | 318 |  |  |  |
| 7/24 | $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {b }}$ | 77 |  | 167 | 56 | 11 | 299 | 106 |  |  |  |
| 7/25 | $\mathrm{We}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | 99 | 75 |  | 103 |  |  |  |
| 7/26 | We ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 83 | 144 | 124 | 65 | 72 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/27 | $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  | OSED |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/28 | $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {b }}$ | 185 |  |  | 86 | 45 | 345 | 128 |  |  |  |
| 7/29 | $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {b }}$ | 88 | 103 | 50 | 61 | 66 | 277 | 123 |  |  |  |
| 7/30 | Wd | 567 | 261 | 212 | 227 |  | 653 | 235 |  |  |  |
| 7/31 | Wd | 151 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a Wd = Weekday, We = Weekend
b Closed to retention of chinook salmon smaller than 132 cm ( 52 inches) and closed to the use of bait.

## APPENDIX B

Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, harvest rate, and catch rate for anglers interviewed during the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992.

Appendix B1. Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 519 | Wd | 10 | 3.0 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 520 | Wd | 9 | 3.8 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 521 | Wd | 5 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 522 | Wd | 25 | 3.9 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.055 | 0.020 | 0.20 | 0.100 | 0.051 |
| 523 | We | 29 | 6.0 | 0.56 | 0.21 | 0.077 | 0.035 | 0.24 | 0.081 | 0.040 |
| 524 | We | 44 | 4.1 | 0.24 | 0.05 | 0.032 | 0.011 | 0.05 | 0.032 | 0.011 |
| 525 | We | 32 | 3.8 | 0.23 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.033 | 0.38 | 0.140 | 0.098 |
| 526 | Wd | 12 | 6.4 | 0.83 | 0.08 | 0.083 | 0.013 | 0.08 | 0.083 | 0.013 |
| 527 | Wd | 3 | 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 528 | Wd | 16 | 3.4 | 0.62 | 0.19 | 0.101 | 0.056 | 0.19 | 0.101 | 0.056 |
| 529 | Wd | 24 | 3.2 | 0.26 | 0.13 | 0.069 | 0.039 | 0.21 | 0.134 | 0.066 |
| 530 | We | 32 | 3.8 | 0.20 | 0.09 | 0.052 | 0.025 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.033 |
| 531 | We | 32 | 5.1 | 0.38 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.024 | 0.16 | 0.065 | 0.030 |
| 602 | Wd | 16 | 5.3 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.063 | 0.012 | 0.31 | 0.120 | 0.059 |
| 603 | Wd | 50 | 4.0 | 0.36 | 0.18 | 0.055 | 0.045 | 0.22 | 0.059 | 0.055 |
| 604 | Wd | 30 | 3.7 | 0.29 | 0.33 | 0.088 | 0.091 | 0.50 | 0.150 | 0.137 |
| 605 | Wd | 8 | 2.9 | 0.21 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.043 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.043 |
| 606 | We | 66 | 3.8 | 0.17 | 0.11 | 0.038 | 0.028 | 0.11 | 0.038 | 0.028 |
| 607 | We | 48 | 3.9 | 0.24 | 0.17 | 0.054 | 0.043 | 0.25 | 0.063 | 0.065 |
| 609 | Wd | 31 | 4.4 | 0.36 | 0.26 | 0.080 | 0.059 | 0.39 | 0.110 | 0.088 |
| 610 | Wd | 10 | 3.6 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.028 |
| 612 | Wd | 11 | 4.1 | 0.76 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 613 | We | 10 | 3.6 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.028 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.028 |
| 614 | We | 32 | 3.6 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.22 | 0.108 | 0.060 |
| 616 | Wd | 11 | 5.5 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.18 | 0.122 | 0.033 |
| 617 | Wd | 14 | 4.1 | 0.40 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 618 | Wd | 4 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 619 | Wd | 3 | 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 620 | We | 12 | 3.4 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.33 | 0.142 | 0.099 |
| 621 | We | 26 | 3.4 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.12 | 0.064 | 0.034 |
| 623 | Wd | 9 | 3.9 | 0.68 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 624 | Wd | 15 | 4.3 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.80 | 0.439 | 0.188 |
| 625 | Wd | 4 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 626 | Wd | 5 | 3.8 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.053 |
| 627 | We | 22 | 4.8 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.27 | 0.117 | 0.057 |
| 628 | We | 29 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.21 | 0.091 | 0.041 |
| 630 | Wd | 2 | 6.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 3.50 | 0.500 | 0.583 |

a $\mathrm{Wd}=$ Weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ Weekend.

Appendix B2. Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd/} \\ & \text { We }^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 520 | Wd | 8 | 4.3 | 0.61 | 0.25 | 0.164 | 0.059 | 0.25 | 0.164 | 0.059 |
| 522 | Wd | 11 | 5.0 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 523 | We | 7 | 4.9 | 0.70 | 0.43 | 0.202 | 0.088 | 0.43 | 0.202 | 0.088 |
| 524 | We | 6 | 4.0 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 525 | We | 11 | 5.8 | 0.75 | 0.27 | 0.141 | 0.047 | 0.36 | 0.152 | 0.063 |
| 526 | Wd | 16 | 7.2 | 0.60 | 0.25 | 0.112 | 0.035 | 0.25 | 0.112 | 0.035 |
| 527 | Wd | 15 | 6.0 | 0.00 | 0.47 | 0.133 | 0.078 | 0.47 | 0.133 | 0.078 |
| 528 | Wd | 9 | 6.0 | 0.93 | 0.44 | 0.176 | 0.074 | 0.44 | 0.176 | 0.074 |
| 529 | Wd | 2 | 3.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.143 |
| 530 | We | 10 | 6.0 | 0.15 | 0.20 | 0.133 | 0.033 | 0.30 | 0.153 | 0.050 |
| 531 | We | 7 | 4.8 | 0.43 | 0.43 | 0.202 | 0.090 | 0.43 | 0.202 | 0.090 |
| 602 | Wd | 14 | 4.0 | 0.39 | 0.29 | 0.125 | 0.072 | 0.71 | 0.163 | 0.180 |
| 603 | Wd | 24 | 5.8 | 0.51 | 0.29 | 0.095 | 0.050 | 0.54 | 0.120 | 0.094 |
| 604 | Wd | 43 | 5.7 | 0.41 | 0.30 | 0.071 | 0.053 | 0.58 | 0.083 | 0.102 |
| 605 | Wd | 4 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 0.25 | 0.250 | 0.050 | 0.25 | 0.250 | 0.050 |
| 606 | We | 22 | 5.3 | 0.51 | 0.41 | 0.107 | 0.078 | 0.59 | 0.142 | 0.112 |
| 607 | We | 16 | 4.9 | 0.80 | 0.19 | 0.101 | 0.038 | 0.19 | 0.101 | 0.038 |
| 609 | Wd | 13 | 6.9 | 0.61 | 0.23 | 0.122 | 0.033 | 0.77 | 0.303 | 0.111 |
| 610 | Wd | 3 | 4.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.074 |
| 612 | Wd | 5 | 5.8 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.00 | 0.447 | 0.172 |
| 613 | We | 10 | 5.8 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.00 | 0.258 | 0.172 |
| 614 | We | 7 | 5.7 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.71 | 0.184 | 0.125 |
| 616 | Wd | 14 | 5.9 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.57 | 0.272 | 0.098 |
| 617 | Wd | 24 | 4.5 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.08 | 0.058 | 0.018 |
| 618 | Wd | 24 | 5.2 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.042 | 0.008 | 0.54 | 0.159 | 0.104 |
| 619 | Wd | 44 | 5.3 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.43 | 0.094 | 0.082 |
| 620 | We | 12 | 5.7 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.17 | 0.112 | 0.029 |
| 621 | We | 16 | 5.8 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.94 | 0.249 | 0.160 |
| 623 | Wd | 28 | 6.8 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.68 | 0.116 | 0.100 |
| 624 | Wd | 16 | 5.3 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.25 | 0.112 | 0.047 |
| 625 | Wd | 2 | 6.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.00 | 0.000 | 0.167 |
| 626 | Wd | 11 | 6.5 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.73 | 0.541 | 0.264 |
| 627 | We | 12 | 5.2 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.42 | 0.149 | 0.080 |
| 628 | We | 3 | 6.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.056 |
| 630 | Wd | 18 | 5.3 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.50 | 0.167 | 0.095 |

[^0]Appendix B3. Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for unguided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 701 | Wd | 18 | 4.6 | 0.90 | 0.06 | 0.056 | 0.012 | 0.39 | 0.164 | 0.084 |
| 702 | Wd | 55 | 4.0 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.035 | 0.018 | 0.09 | 0.039 | 0.023 |
| 703 | Wd | 26 | 6.0 | 0.65 | 0.04 | 0.038 | 0.006 | 0.04 | 0.038 | 0.006 |
| 704 | We | 104 | 4.1 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.014 | 0.005 | 0.03 | 0.016 | 0.007 |
| 705 | We | 88 | 3.8 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.019 | 0.009 | 0.03 | 0.019 | 0.009 |
| 707 | Wd | 53 | 3.9 | 0.47 | 0.04 | 0.026 | 0.010 | 0.15 | 0.068 | 0.039 |
| 708 | Wd | 28 | 4.1 | 0.31 | 0.04 | 0.036 | 0.009 | 0.04 | 0.036 | 0.009 |
| 709 | Wd | 41 | 3.8 | 0.38 | 0.10 | 0.047 | 0.026 | 0.20 | 0.063 | 0.052 |
| 710 | Wd | 36 | 4.6 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.028 | 0.006 | 0.08 | 0.047 | 0.018 |
| 711 | We | 39 | 2.9 | 0.26 | 0.05 | 0.036 | 0.017 | 0.08 | 0.043 | 0.026 |
| 712 | We | 132 | 4.8 | 0.17 | 0.06 | 0.021 | 0.013 | 0.10 | 0.026 | 0.021 |
| 714 | Wd | 134 | 3.9 | 0.17 | 0.17 | 0.033 | 0.044 | 0.22 | 0.041 | 0.057 |
| 715 | Wd | 101 | 3.7 | 0.16 | 0.06 | 0.024 | 0.016 | 0.07 | 0.029 | 0.019 |
| 716 | Wd | 27 | 4.7 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.062 | 0.024 | 0.15 | 0.070 | 0.032 |
| 717 | Wd | 14 | 4.3 | 0.37 | 0.21 | 0.114 | 0.050 | 0.21 | 0.114 | 0.050 |
| 718 | We | 102 | 4.3 | 0.20 | 0.04 | 0.019 | 0.009 | 0.10 | 0.036 | 0.023 |
| 719 | We | 244 | 4.8 | 0.15 | 0.04 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.05 | 0.016 | 0.011 |
| 721 | Wd | 45 | 4.1 | 0.28 | 0.16 | 0.055 | 0.038 | 0.16 | 0.055 | 0.038 |
| 722 | Wd | 100 | 5.3 | 0.24 | 0.08 | 0.027 | 0.015 | 0.17 | 0.043 | 0.032 |
| 723 | Wd | 83 | 3.4 | 0.23 | 0.30 | 0.051 | 0.088 | 0.31 | 0.051 | 0.091 |
| 724 | Wd | 13 | 3.8 | 0.34 | 0.08 | 0.077 | 0.020 | 0.38 | 0.311 | 0.100 |
| 725 | We | 26 | 4.8 | 0.54 | 0.04 | 0.038 | 0.008 | 0.50 | 0.159 | 0.105 |
| 726 | We | 32 | 4.0 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.41 | 0.148 | 0.102 |
| 728 | Wd | 25 | 4.0 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.32 | 0.150 | 0.080 |
| 729 | Wd | 18 | 3.6 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.56 | 0.258 | 0.156 |
| 730 | Wd | 89 | 4.6 | 0.24 | 0.16 | 0.039 | 0.034 | 0.21 | 0.049 | 0.046 |
| 731 | Wd | 77 | 3.4 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.044 | 0.054 | 0.19 | 0.045 | 0.058 |

[^1]Appendix B4. Daily sample size (SS), harvest per unit of effort (HPUE), catch per unit of effort (CPUE), and other summary statistics for guided anglers interviewed during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1992 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | Wd/ | Effort (hours) |  |  | Harvest |  |  | Catch |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 701 | Wd | 22 | 5.4 | 0.57 | 0.41 | 0.107 | 0.076 | 0.45 | 0.127 | 0.084 |
| 702 | Wd | 38 | 6.4 | 0.29 | 0.21 | 0.067 | 0.033 | 0.21 | 0.067 | 0.033 |
| 703 | Wd | 3 | 5.7 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 704 | We | 51 | 6.0 | 0.18 | 0.12 | 0.046 | 0.020 | 0.14 | 0.049 | 0.023 |
| 707 | Wd | 28 | 7.1 | 0.74 | 0.21 | 0.079 | 0.030 | 0.21 | 0.079 | 0.030 |
| 708 | Wd | 14 | 7.6 | 0.43 | 0.36 | 0.133 | 0.047 | 0.36 | 0.133 | 0.047 |
| 709 | Wd | 40 | 5.2 | 0.16 | 0.10 | 0.048 | 0.019 | 0.10 | 0.048 | 0.019 |
| 710 | Wd | 32 | 5.1 | 0.30 | 0.25 | 0.078 | 0.049 | 0.47 | 0.110 | 0.093 |
| 711 | We | 3 | 5.0 | 1.00 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.067 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.067 |
| 714 | Wd | 44 | 4.4 | 0.44 | 0.48 | 0.076 | 0.108 | 0.57 | 0.076 | 0.129 |
| 715 | Wd | 22 | 5.4 | 0.55 | 0.45 | 0.109 | 0.084 | 0.50 | 0.109 | 0.093 |
| 716 | Wd | 76 | 5.1 | 0.18 | 0.25 | 0.050 | 0.049 | 0.33 | 0.054 | 0.064 |
| 717 | Wd | 42 | 4.9 | 0.27 | 0.24 | 0.067 | 0.048 | 0.31 | 0.080 | 0.063 |
| 718 | We | 70 | 5.1 | 0.19 | 0.16 | 0.044 | 0.031 | 0.19 | 0.047 | 0.036 |
| 721 | Wd | 17 | 5.7 | 0.14 | 0.18 | 0.095 | 0.031 | 0.18 | 0.095 | 0.031 |
| 722 | Wd | 71 | 4.7 | 0.20 | 0.37 | 0.058 | 0.077 | 0.45 | 0.066 | 0.095 |
| 723 | Wd | 65 | 6.2 | 0.26 | 0.18 | 0.048 | 0.030 | 0.20 | 0.050 | 0.032 |
| 724 | Wd | 25 | 5.3 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.40 | 0.141 | 0.075 |
| 725 | We | 20 | 5.7 | 0.09 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.50 | 0.185 | 0.088 |
| 728 | Wd | 54 | 5.6 | 0.08 | 0.04 | 0.026 | 0.007 | 0.70 | 0.144 | 0.126 |
| 729 | Wd | 48 | 5.9 | 0.03 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.31 | 0.074 | 0.053 |
| 730 | Wd | 52 | 4.0 | 0.25 | 0.69 | 0.065 | 0.172 | 0.90 | 0.121 | 0.224 |
| 731 | Wd | 26 | 5.1 | 0.36 | 0.65 | 0.095 | 0.128 | 0.85 | 0.107 | 0.166 |

a $\mathrm{Wd}=$ Weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ Weekend.


[^0]:    a $\mathrm{Wd}=$ Weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ Weekend.

[^1]:    a $\mathrm{Wd}=$ Weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ Weekend.

