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## ABSTRACT

A creel survey was conducted on the Kenai River between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet from 16 May through 30 September 1990. The recreational fishery in this section of the Kenai River is primarily for two species, chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha during May through July, and coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch during August and September. The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the early (May and June) chinook salmon run were 123,149 angler-hours and 1,735 chinook salmon, respectively. The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the late (July) chinook salmon run were 291,966 angler-hours and 6,247 chinook salmon, respectively. In-season restrictions to the recreational fisheries were issued for conservation reasons during both runs. Unguided anglers exerted $61.1 \%$ of the total effort and took $37.1 \%$ of the chinook salmon harvest while guided anglers exerted $38.9 \%$ of the effort and harvested $62.9 \%$ of the chinook salmon.

The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the coho salmon fishery (August and September) were 399,426 angler-hours and 51,786 coho salmon, respectively. Unguided anglers exerted $77.0 \%$ of the total effort and took $66.5 \%$ of the coho salmon harvest while guided anglers exerted $23.0 \%$ of the effort and harvested $33.5 \%$ of the coho salmon.

Harvest and catch estimates for sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka, pink salmon Oncorhynchus gorbuscha, rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss, and Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma are also presented.

KEY WORDS: Kenai River, chinook salmon, coho salmon, creel survey, effort, harvest, sockeye salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, Dolly Varden, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, Oncorhynchus kisutch, Oncorhynchus nerka, Oncorhynchus gorbuscha, Oncorhynchus mykiss, Salvelinus malma.

## INTRODUCTION

The largest freshwater recreational fishery in Alaska occurs in the Kenai River which received an average of nearly 260,000 angler-days of effort over the years 1983-1989 (Mills 1984-1990). This represents approximately $13 \%$ of the State's recreational fishing effort. The majority of the angler-effort occurs in the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 1) during a fishery directed primarily at returning chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha during May, June, and July; and a second fishery directed primarily at returning coho salmon 0. kisutch during August and September. Angler-effort in both fisheries has generally been increasing, except for a significant reduction in the 1990 chinook salmon fishery, since creel surveys began in 1977 (Figure 2). Sockeye salmon O. nerka, pink salmon O. gorbuscha, Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma, and rainbow trout O. mykiss are also harvested by anglers in the Kenai River.

Prior to 1970 , the recreational fishery in the Kenai River was comprised of shore-based anglers targeting on sockeye salmon in July and coho salmon in August and early September. In 1973, large numbers of anglers began experimenting with a new fishing method that involved bouncing brightly colored terminal gear along the river bottom from a drifting boat. This technique had been used effectively by anglers fishing for chinook salmon on rivers in the Pacific Northwest. It proved to be a very effective method for catching chinook salmon on the Kenai River, and the fishery began to expand rapidly.

The chinook salmon return to the Kenai River has two distinct components: (1) an early run which typically enters the river from mid-May until late June; and (2) a late run which typically enters the river from late June through early August. Fish from both runs are prized by recreational anglers due to their large size, especially those from the late run which average about 18 kg ( 40 lbs ) and may exceed 36 kg ( 80 lbs ). The world record sport-caught chinook salmon was taken from the Kenai River in 1985 ; it weighed 44.1 kg ( 97 lb ).

The coho salmon return to the Kenai River also has two distinct components: an early run which typically peaks in August, and a late run which typically peaks in September. The late run continues to enter the river into November but fishing effort after September is minimal.

Management of these recreational fisheries in the Kenai River is complicated by the relatively large commercial harvests of returning chinook and coho salmon. Chinook salmon are commercially harvested primarily by the set net fishery along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet (McBride et al. 1985), and coho salmon are commercially harvested primarily by the drift gill net fishery. User-group conflicts have required the Department of Fish and Game to manage the salmon resources of the Kenai River with increasing precision. During the winter of 1988, the Alaska Board of Fisheries adopted management plans for both the early and late chinook salmon runs. These plans define escapement goals and mechanisms by which the various fisheries are to be regulated to achieve the stated goals. Another component of these plans defines the separation date between the two runs as 1 July. Both management plans were reviewed by the Alaska Board of Fisheries in late 1990. Minor changes were made which will affect the 1991 fisheries.


Figure 1. Map of the Kenai River drainage, Alaska.


Figure 2. Creel survey estimates of effort and harvest by the recreational fisheries for chinook and coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1977-1990.

Previous information pertaining to the chinook and coho salmon fisheries in the Kenai River has been presented by Hammarstrom (1975-1981, 1988-1990), Hammarstrom and Larson (1982-1984, 1986), Hammarstrom et al. (1985), and Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987). In addition, angler-effort and harvest by species for the recreational fishery has been estimated by Mills (1979-1990) in the Alaska Statewide Sport Fish Harvest Survey.

The current creel survey program in the Kenai River provides data which are used as a basis for in-season management decisions for the recreational fishery, evaluated to refine long-term management objectives, and used by the Alaska Board of Fisheries to allocate salmon resources. The objective of this report is to present detailed information of the creel surveys of the recreational fisheries for chinook salmon and coho salmon conducted in 1990.

## Fishing Regulations

The regulations for the chinook salmon fishery in the Kenai River are the most restrictive of any open waters in Alaska. Only the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 1) is open to fishing for chinook salmon. By regulation, the season for chinook salmon is from 1 January through 31 July, but it effectively begins in mid-May when the fish first begin entering the river. The daily bag and possession limits are one chinook salmon per day greater than 41 cm (16 in) in length and a seasonal limit of two chinook salmon greater than 41 cm . In 1990, fishing from boats downstream from the outlet of Skilak Lake was prohibited on Mondays in May, June, and July, except Monday of Memorial Day. Anyone retaining a chinook salmon that was 41 cm in length or greater was prohibited from fishing from a boat in the Kenai River for the remainder of that day. Additionally, the early-run fishery was further restricted in that the use of bait was prohibited until the Department was able to project an escapement of at least 9,000 fish or 1 July, whichever occurred first.

There were further restrictions for guided anglers. In addition to the regulation prohibiting fishing from boats on Mondays, fishing from a registered guide vessel on any Sunday in July was prohibited. In 1990, fishing from a guided boat was allowed only between 0600 and 1800 hours during June and July. There were no days or hours closed to boat fishing for either guided or unguided anglers during the remainder of the year.

The daily bag and possession limits for sockeye and coho salmon were an agregate of three fish that were 41 cm in length or greater, and there was no annual limit. However, when an escapement of 700,000 sockeye salmon was realized, the daily bag and possession limit for sockeye and coho salmon increased to six; not more than three of which may have been coho salmon. The daily bag and possession limit for pink salmon was six fish that were 41 cm in length or greater, and there was no annual limit. The daily bag and possession limits for rainbow trout was two fish, only one of which may have been over 51 cm ( 20 in ) in length, and there was an annual limit of two fish over 51 cm . The daily bag and possession limits for Dolly Varden were five fish.

## METHODS

A roving creel survey (Neuhold and Lu 1957) was used to estimate sport fishing effort, in units of angler-hours, by the fisheries for chinook and coho salmon in the Kenai River. Harvest per unit effort (HPUE, number of fish harvested per hour fished) and catch per unit effort (CPUE, number of fish caught per hour fished) for each species were estimated from angler interviews. Harvest and catch of each species were estimated by the product of the effort and harvest (or catch) rate estimates.

During the chinook salmon fishery, angler-effort was estimated for two sections of the Kenai River below Skilak Lake (Figure 1): (1) downstream, from Cook Inlet (river mile 0) to the Soldotna Bridge (rm 21 or river kilometer 34), and (2) midstream, from the Soldotna bridge to Naptowne Rapids (rm 39.5 or rkm 64). The upstream section, from Naptowne Rapids to the outlet of Skilak Lake (rm 50 or rkm 80), was not surveyed during the chinook salmon. fishery in 1990.

During the fishery for coho salmon, angler-effort was estimated for all three sections and interviews for HPUE and CPUE were conducted in only the downstream and upstream sections. These stratifications were selected because of the distance involved and effort patterns observed over the years. Effort, harvest and catch were estimated separately for the early- and late-run components of the fisheries for chinook and coho salmon.

Both unguided and guided anglers participate in the fisheries for chinook and coho salmon in the Kenai River. These two groups have very different harvest rates; therefore, effort, HPUE, CPUE, harvest, and catch were estimated separately for unguided anglers and guided anglers. Guided anglers fish exclusively from boats and are easily recognized because guided boats are required to display a prominent identifying decal. Shore anglers harvest very few chinook salmon, therefore, only boat anglers were surveyed during the chinook salmon fishery. During the coho salmon fishery, both boat and shore anglers were surveyed.

## Creel Survey of the Chinook Salmon Fishery

The creel survey of the chinook salmon fishery in the downstream section began on 17 May and continued until the end of the chinook salmon season on 31 July. The fishing day for unguided anglers in the downstream section was defined as 20 hours long, from 0400 to 2400 hours, and was stratified into five, 4 -hour daily time strata (referred to as periods) for estimation of effort. The periods were: A, from 0400 to 0759 hours; B, from 0800 to 1159 hours; C, from 1200 to 1559 hours; D, from 1600 to 1959 hours; and E, from 2000 to 2359 hours. In May, the stratification of the fishing day for guided anglers was the same as that for unguided anglers, in the downstream section. However, since most guides schedule two trips per day, one in the morning and one in the afternoon, the fishing day of guided anglers in June and July was stratified into only two periods: A, from 0600 to 1159 hours; and B, from 1200 to 1759 hours.

In the downstream section, estimates of effort and chinook salmon HPUE, CPUE, harvest, and catch for both guided and unguided anglers during each component
of the run were stratified into temporal units; early run, unit 1 (17 May6 June), and unit 2 ( 7 June- 30 June); late run, unit 3 (1 July-15 July), unit 4 ( 16 July- 27 July) and unit 5 ( 28 July- 31 July). These strata differ from those planned to accommodate in-season regulatory restrictions placed on the recreational fishery. Unguided anglers were stratified further by weekdays and weekends/holidays. Guided anglers were not similarly stratified because this would not significantly reduce the variance of the effort estimates nor the estimates for CPUE and HPUE (Conrad and Hammarstrom 1987).

In the midstream section, the angler day was considered to be 18 hours in length (0600-2400 hours), for both unguided and guided anglers. The day was divided into six $3-\mathrm{hr}$ sample periods as follows: A, from 0600 to 0859 hours; B, from 0900 to 1159 hours; C, from 1200 to 1459 hours; D, from 1500 to 1759 hours; E, from 1800 to 2059 hours; F, from 2100 to 2359 hours.

Due to limited resources, guided and unguided anglers were not stratified nor were weekend and weekdays. The following temporal strata were employed: early run, unit 1 ( 28 May-6 June), unit 2 ( 7 June- 30 June), and unit 3 ( 1 July-6 July); late run, unit 4 ( 15 July- 27 July), and unit 5 ( 28 July31 July). The fishery was closed in the midstream section between 7 July and 15 July, therefore, no survey activities were conducted during that time period in that section.

Angler Counts:
Separate sampling schedules for angler counts were established for the downstream and midstream sections of the river. Sampling levels were designed to estimate catch and harvest within $15 \%$ of the true value $95 \%$ of the time. Two creel survey clerks, each working 37.5 hours per week, conducted the angler counts in the downstream section. Angler counts in the midstream section were conducted from an aircraft.

Downstream Section. In the downstream section, on every weekend day/holiday, an unguided angler count was made during each of the five periods. One of the 4 whole-hours of each period (A through E) was selected randomly as a time that an unguided angler count was to be initiated. During each 4-day week (weekdays only Tuesday through Friday), 2 days for each strata, A through E, were selected randomly to be sampled. Within each sampled period, an angler count was initiated at one of the four randomly selected whole-hours. This sampling design allowed for 10 unguided angler counts on a typical weekend and 10 unguided angler counts during the 4 weekdays the fishery was open.

During May, guided and unguided anglers fished under similar regulations and, as such, guided angler counts were conducted as described above. However, during June and July, if a selected unguided angler count occurred during the A period ( $0600-1159$ hours) or B period (1200-1759 hours) corresponding to the guided angler strata, then a guided angler count was also conducted. If no unguided angler counts were scheduled during the $A$ or $B$ period for guided anglers, an additional count for guided anglers only was conducted at a randomly selected whole-hour during the guided period in question. If two or more counts occurred during the guided period, $A$ or $B$, then one was selected randomly as the guided angler count and the remaining counts were designated as unguided angler counts only.

Some deviation from the schedule did occur because of mechanical breakdown and/or other duties such as public assistance or enforcement activities.

Each week, two randomly selected periods (one from weekdays and one from weekends) were sampled to estimate within-period sampling variance. During these periods, a total of four angler counts were conducted. This study was conducted only during the early run. The time required to conduct this experiment reduced the time spent examining the harvest for marked-to-unmarked ratios from a tagging project and the tagging data was a higher priority during the late run. This design allowed for a total of 12 samples. Mechanical problems compromised one sample, thus a total of 11 samples were analyzed.

Counts of anglers were conducted from a boat in the downstream section of the Kenai River. At the time designated on the schedule, a creel survey clerk was at a randomly selected end of the section of the river to be surveyed. The angler count was made while the boat was driven at a constant rate of speed through the survey area to the opposite end of the river section. This trip usually took about 45 minutes and every effort was made to ensure that the trip was completed in less than 1 hour. Angler counts were considered to be instantaneous and to reflect fishing effort at the time of the count. During the angler count, the creel survey clerk recorded the following: (1) total number of unguided boats, (2) total number of guided boats, (3) total number of anglers in unguided boats, (4) total number of anglers in guided boats, and (5) total number of shore anglers. Boats and anglers were considered to be engaged in fishing and were counted if the boat was in operation, as opposed to tied to the shore, regardless of whether or not an angler's line was in the water when the count was being conducted. Guides were not included in the counts during the chinook salmon fishery as they are prohibited from fishing while guiding. When the clerks responsible for angler counts were not conducting a count, they contacted incompleted-trip anglers searching for tagged and untagged fish in the anglers creel that could be used as part of an abundance study being conducted concurrently.

Midstream Section. One angler count per day was conducted from an aircraft on the same days as the angler interviews; 4 of the 6 possible days per week (Tuesday-Sunday) were selected randomly. One period was chosen at random within each sample day and a count was made sometime during that period; weather and aircraft availability being the controlling factor.

A flight commencing at one end of the midstream section was conducted whereby the observer in the aircraft recorded all boats fishing in the area. Boats travelling as well as those fishing were counted. Those boats moored to the bank with no occupants were not counted.

Angler Interviews:
The creel survey in the downstream section was designed for two creel survey clerks, each working 37.5 hours per week. The creel survey in the midstream section was designed for one creel survey clerk working 37.5 hours per week.

The following information was recorded for each angler interview:
completed-trip or incompleted-trip; (2) powered or non-powered boat;
fished midstream section only, yes or no; (4) guided or unguided angler; (5)
number of hours spent fishing（to the nearest 0.5 hour）；（6）number and species of fish retained；（7）number and species of fish released．Additional information regarding the presence of tags was also recorded as part of the recovery effort in the project to estimate the escapement of chinook salmon into the Kenai River．

Downstream Section．Interviews of completed－trip anglers for harvest and catch rate information were conducted primarily at seven popular boat landings in the downstream section．Two creel survey clerks conducted the interviews at the boat landings．Each clerk was scheduled to work five 7．5－hour days each week；on each weekend／holiday day and on three randomly selected weekdays．Two randomly selected landings were sampled by a clerk on a sample day．Thus on weekend／holidays，four landings were sampled each day and on weekdays either two or four landings were sampled．The starting time for the 7．5－hour interview period was randomly selected from either an early shift （possible start times： $0600,0630,0700$ ，or 0730 ）or a late shift（possible start times： $1500,1530,1600$ ，or 1630 ）．The creel survey clerks conducted interviews for about 3.5 hours at each landing．The two landings frequented by guided anglers were sampled primarily around noon or early evening hours to correspond with the times guides normally end a fishing trip．

Midstream Section．Completed－trip anglers were contacted primarily at three public access sites，but，at times of relatively low angler effort，more anglers could be contacted by moving frequently to other sites throughout the area open to fishing．Completed－trip angler interviews were conducted by a single survey clerk at three primary locations：site 非－Swiftwater campground，非2－Isaac Walton Campground，非3－Scout Loop Road．Four of the 6 possible days per week（Tuesday－Sunday），selected randomly，were sampled．The creel survey clerk worked four 9－hour days per week．Each sample day，three 3 －hour interview shifts，corresponding to the periods mentioned above，were conducted at randomly selected access sites．The remaining 1.5 hours during the 37.5 －hour work－week were devoted to administrative duties，equipment maintenance，etc．

Biological Data．Chinook salmon observed in anglers＇creels during the surveys were systematically selected for biological sampling．Mid－eye to fork－of－tail length was measured to the nearest one－half centimeter，the sex of the fish was identified，and scales were removed from the preferred area （Clutter and Whitesel 1956）．Three scales were collected from each fish and placed on an adhesive－coated card．Impressions of scale cards were made on acetate and scale images were examined using a microfiche reader．

## Creel Survey of the Coho Salmon Fishery

The coho salmon creel survey began on 1 August and ended on 30 September in both the downstream and upstream sections of the river．While information collected during interviews and angler counts was similar to that collected during the chinook salmon creel survey，there were notable differences in the manner in which the chinook and coho surveys were conducted．The fishing day was reduced to 16 hours in August（from 0600 to 2200 hours）and 12 hours in September（from 0800 to 2000 hours）to account for the decreased number of daylight hours．Daily time strata were adjusted for the coho salmon fishery by eliminating period $E$ in August and shifting the starting time of period $A$
to 0600 hours, and in September eliminating period $D$ and shifting the starting time of period A to 0800 hours. The fishing day was the same for both unguided and guided anglers during the creel survey of the coho salmon fishery. The weekday and weekend/holiday stratification was used for both unguided and guided anglers, also. Shore anglers as well as boat anglers were interviewed during the coho salmon creel survey and both completed-trip and incompleted-trip anglers were interviewed.

## Angler Counts:

Similar angler count schedules were established for the downstream and upstream sections of the river. Sampling levels were determined by the amount of creel survey clerk time available. Both creel surveys were designed for one creel survey clerk working 37.5 hours per week.

Angler counts were scheduled for each weekend/holiday day and on 3 randomly selected weekdays each week in both the downstream and upstream sections. Two angler counts were scheduled on each sample day. Sample periods and count times were selected randomly.

Angler counts, during the coho salmon fishery, were conducted following the same procedures as described for the downstream section of the chinook salmon fishery. One exception was that guides were included in the count of guided anglers as they are permitted to fish after 31 July. Shore anglers were considered a separate stratum in both the downstream and upstream sections.

Effort in the midstream section of the river was estimated using the same procedure as described by Hammarstrom (1990).

Angler Interviews:

During August and September, both shore and boat anglers were interviewed by the creel survey clerks. All interviews were collected by the survey clerks conducting the angler counts; there were no clerks stationed at boat landings as during the creel survey of the chinook salmon fishery. The same information was recorded for each angler interviewed as during the chinook salmon creel survey, except that both completed-trip and incompleted-trip anglers were included and the docking location was not recorded. Emphasis was placed on collecting sufficient completed-trip angler interviews to determine if a bias existed between completed-trip and incompleted-trip anglers.

Biological Samples:
Biological samples for coho salmon (scales, sex, and length) were collected identically to those for the chinook salmon survey.

## Data Analyses

Angler-effort, harvest and catch rates by species, harvest and catch by species, and associated variances were estimated using the same procedures for the downstream section of the chinook salmon fishery and the downstream and upstream sections of the coho salmon fishery. In the following sections,
harvest refers to fish retained by anglers and catch refers to fish retained plus those reported as released by anglers.

There were 15 strata in the chinook salmon fishery in the downstream section of the Kenai River, seven in the early run and eight in the late run. The early-run strata were: (1) unit 1 (5/17-6/06)-unguided anglers weekdays, (2) unit l-unguided anglers weekends/holidays, (3) unit 2 (5/17-5/31)-guided anglers, (4) unit 3 ( $6 / 1-6 / 06$ )-guided anglers, (5) unit 4 ( $6 / 07-6 / 30$ )-unguided anglers weekdays, (6) unit 4 ( $6 / 07-6 / 30$ )-unguided anglers weekends/holidays, (7) unit 4-guided anglers. The strata to the late run of the downstream section were: (1) unit 5 (7/1-7/15) -unguided anglers weekdays, (2) unit 5unguided anglers weekends/holidays, (3) unit 5 -guided anglers, (4) unit 6 (7/16-7/27)-unguided anglers weekdays, (5) unit 6-unguided anglers weekends/holidays, (6) unit 6-guided anglers, (7) unit 7 (7/28-7/31)-unguided anglers, (8) unit 7 (7/28-7/31)-guided anglers.

There were five strata in the chinook salmon fishery in the midstream section of the Kenai River, three in the early run and two in the late run. The early-run strata were: (1) unit 1 ( $5 / 28-6 / 06$ ), (2) unit $2(6 / 07-6 / 30)$, (3) unit 3 (7/01-7/06). The late-run strata were: (1) unit 4 ( $7 / 15-7 / 27$ ), (5) unit 5 (7/28-7/31).

There were 12 fishery components in the downstream section and 12 in the upstream section during the coho salmon fishery. The early (August 1August 31) and late run (September 1 -September 30) in each section had the same strata: (1) unguided anglers weekdays, (2) unguided anglers weekends/ holidays, (3) guided anglers weekdays, (4) guided anglers weekends/holidays, (5) shore anglers weekdays, and (6) shore anglers weekends/holidays.

Downstream Section Chinook; Downstream and Upstream Sections Coho:
Effort. In the downstream section during the chinook salmon fishery and in both the downstream and upstream sections during the coho salmon fishery, the number of angler-hours of effort during fishery component $t$ was estimated as follows (Neuhold and Lu 1957):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{t} \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{s} H_{t} \bar{x}_{t j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\bar{x}_{t j}= & \text { the mean number of anglers per count during period } j \text { of } \\
& \text { component } t ;
\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{rl}
H_{t j}= & \text { the total number of hours of possible fishing time during } \\
& \text { period } j \text { of component } t ; \text { and }
\end{array}\right)=\begin{aligned}
& s=0 \text { number of periods }(A, B, C, \text { etc.) in component } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of effort was estimated as follows (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\hat{E}_{t}\right) \quad=\sum_{j=1}^{s} H_{t j}^{2}\left(s_{t j}^{2} / n_{t j}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{align*}
& s_{t j}^{2}=\text { the variance of } \bar{x}_{t j}, \text { and }=\frac{\sum_{0=1}^{n_{t j}}\left(x_{t j o}-\bar{x}_{t j}\right)^{2}}{n_{t j}-1} \text {, and }  \tag{3}\\
& n_{t j}=\text { the number of angler counts during period } j \text { of component } t .
\end{align*}
$$

This method assumes a single-stage design with all possible counts within a stratum representing the population to be sampled. The finite population correction factor is not applied as angler counts are considered instantaneous, and so there are an infinite number of counts that can be taken.

In 1990, multiple counts (or samples) were taken in 11 periods during the early run. These data were used to estimate a mean second stage (within period) variance for each period by;

$$
\begin{align*}
\mathrm{s}_{2 j i}^{2} & =\left[\sum_{k=2}^{\mathrm{r}} \frac{\left.\left(x_{i j k}-\bar{x}_{i j(k-1)}\right)^{2}\right]}{2(r-1)},\right. \text { and }  \tag{4}\\
\bar{s}_{2}^{2} & =\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}} \mathrm{~s}_{2 j i}}{n}
\end{align*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{i} j \mathrm{k}}=\text { count } \mathrm{k} \text { in sample } i \text { of period } j ; \\
& r=\text { number of counts taken; } \\
& \mathrm{s}_{2 \mathrm{ji}}=\text { among count variance for sample } i \text { for period } j ; \\
& \mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{ti}}=\text { number of samples in period } j ; \text { and } \\
&-2 \\
& \mathrm{~s}_{2 \mathrm{t} j}=\text { mean second stage variance for period } j .
\end{aligned}
$$

The estimate of total variance then becomes;

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\bar{x}_{t j}\right)=\left(1-f_{1 t}\right) D_{t}^{2} s_{t j}^{2}+D_{t j} \bar{s}_{2 t j}^{2} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathbf{f}_{1 t} & =\frac{n_{t j}}{D_{t j}}, \text { and } \\
D_{t} & =\text { total days possible for sampling in component } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Effort for period $j$ is;

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{t j} \quad=h_{t j} \bar{x}_{t j} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{align*}
h_{t j} & =\text { hours in period } j \text { within a day; and } \\
V\left(E_{t j}\right) & =h_{t j}^{2} V\left(\bar{x}_{t j}\right) . \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

As above, the total effort and variance for each component is the sum over all periods.

Harvest Rates. Mean effort and mean harvest by species per angler were estimated for each component using the angler interview data for the component. Only completed-trip interviews were used to make the estimates for the chinook salmon fishery in the downstream section.

In 1986, Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987) concluded that incompleted-trip interviews may not provide an unbiased estimate of CPUE and HPUE for coho salmon, but the number of completed-trip interviews was quite small.

The null hypothesis that the probability of zero catch is equal for completedand incompleted-trip anglers was tested using a chi-square statistic. The test was carried out for 12 strata (Appendix Al) and was significant in eight. Higher proportions of incompletedtrip anglers had caught no fish when interviewed in all strata except for shore fishermen during the early run.

In the upstream section for the late run, seven of the eight tests were significantly different. There was a trend in the differences in that in six of the seven tests, completed-trip HPUE was greater than incompleted-trip HPUE. Therefore, I concluded that these differences were functionally different and used only completed-trip interviews to compile upstream section fishing statistics.

Mean effort per angler during component $t$ was estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{t}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{D} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} f_{i k}\right) / \sum_{i=1}^{D} m_{i} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

```
\(f_{i k}=\) the effort (in hours) by angler \(k\) at the time of the interview
        on day \(i\);
    \(m_{i}=\) the number of anglers interviewed on day \(i\); and
    \(D=\) the number of days the fishery was open during component \(t\).
```

    A two-stage sample design with days representing the first-stage sample units
    and anglers the second-stage sample units was used to estimate the variance of
    mean effort (Von Geldern and Tomlinson 1973). The number of second-stage
    units available on a given sample day was unknown. The variance of mean
    effort was estimated as follows (Sukhatme et al. 1984):
    $$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\bar{f}_{t}\right)=[1-(d / D)] s_{B}^{2} / d+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{D} s_{W i}^{2} / m_{i}\right) / d D \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
D & =\text { the number of days interviews were conducted during component } \\
2 & \\
s_{\text {Wi }}= & \text { the sample variance of mean effort per angler for interviews } \\
& \\
2 & \\
s_{B}= & \text { the between-day variance of mean effort per angler. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The between-day variance, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{B}}$, was estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{B}^{2}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{D}\left(\bar{f}_{t i}-\bar{f}_{t}\right)^{2}\right] /(d-1) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{ti}}=\text { the mean effort per angler during day } i \text { of component } t .
$$

Mean harvest (or catch) of a species and its variance were estimated identically to effort except the corresponding quantities for harvest (or catch) were substituted for all occurrences of effort (f).

Harvest rate (HPUE) for a species during component $t$ was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\operatorname{HPUE}}_{\mathrm{t}}=\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}} / \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}, \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\bar{c}_{t}= & \text { the mean harvest of the species per angler during component } t, \\
& \text { obtained by substituting catch for effort in equation } 4 .
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of HPUE $_{t}$ was approximated by the variance for the quotient of the mean of two random variables (Jessen 1978), which is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}} / \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \approx\left(\bar{c}_{\mathrm{t}} / \bar{f}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} / \bar{c}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{f}}^{2} / \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}-2 r \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{f}} / \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}} \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
s_{c} & =\text { the two-stage estimate of variance for } \bar{c}_{t} ; \\
s_{f} & =\text { the two-stage estimate of variance for } \bar{f}_{t} ; \text { and } \\
r & =\text { the correlation coefficient between the } f_{i k} \text { and the } c_{i k} \text { in } \\
& \text { component } t .
\end{aligned}
$$

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) for a species and its variance were estimated by replacing the mean and variance of number of fish harvested per angler with the mean and variance of the number of fish caught per angler in equations 12 and 13.

Harvest. The harvest of a species during each component was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}}=\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}} \hat{\mathrm{HPUE}_{\mathrm{t}}} \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

$\wedge$
The variance of $H_{t}$ was estimated using Goodman's (1960) formula for the variance of the product of two independent random variables, which is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\left.\left.\hat{V}\left(\hat{H}_{t}\right)=\hat{\hat{E}_{t}} \hat{V}\left(\hat{H P U E}_{t}\right)\right]+\hat{\left[\operatorname{HPUE}_{t}^{2}\right.} \hat{V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]-\hat{\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)} \mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{HPUE}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right] \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

Totals (for example, the total for unguided anglers during the early run) for effort and harvest were estimated by summing the appropriate component estimates. Estimates of effort and harvest for the components are considered independent estimates, therefore, the variance of the total was estimated by the sum of the appropriate variances.

Catch of a species and its variance were estimated by replacing HPUE with CPUE in equations 14 and 15.

Assumptions. The major assumptions necessary for these analyses are:

1. Significant fishing effort occurs only between the hours defined for the angler day;
2. Individual effort and harvest (or catch) by anglers are normally distributed random variables;
3. For the coho salmon creel survey, incompleted-trip angler interviews provide an unbiased estimate of completed-trip HPUE and CPUE (DiCostanzo 1956);
4. Anglers are interviewed in constant proportion to their abundance within each stratum (DiCostanzo 1956) and interviewed anglers are representative of the total angler population;
5. For the coho salmon creel survey, rates of harvest, or catch, and length of fishing trip are independent (DiCostanzo 1956).

Midstream Section Effort and Harvest; Chinook Salmon:
The following equations were used to obtain effort, catch, and harvest estimates for chinook salmon in the midstream section, along with their variances for each stratum. The catch and harvest were estimated for each day within a stratum, and estimated daily mean catch (or harvest) was expanded over all days in the stratum. The daily catch (or harvest) was estimated by expanding estimated CPUE (or HPUE) by estimated effort (in angler-hours).

The day represents the first stage in this sample design, and sample periods within day the second stage. However, sample periods were not identified in the data collection, and this component of variance cannot be estimated.

The first step in estimating the catch of chinook salmon involves estimating the catch per unit effort (CPUE) for expansion purposes:

$$
\begin{align*}
\text { CPUE }_{\text {hij }}^{*} & =\text { the } j t h \text { jackknifed estimate of CPUE; } \\
& =\frac{\sum_{\substack{\sum_{h i} \\
k \neq j}}^{m_{h i}} c_{h i k}}{\sum_{\substack{ \\
k=1 \\
k \neq j}}^{m_{h i}} e_{h i k}}
\end{align*}
$$

where:

```
    h = subscript denoting stratum;
    i = subscript denoting day sampled;
j & k = subscripts denoting the angler interviewed;
mhi = number of anglers interviewed during day i within stratum h;
```

```
chik = number of chinook salmon caught by the kth angler interviewed
                    during day i within stratum h; and
```

$\mathbf{e}_{\text {hik }}=$ number of hours fished by the kth angler interviewed during day
$i$ within stratum $h$.

The mean jackknifed CPUE over all anglers interviewed in each sample was obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\text { CPUE }}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}=\frac{1}{m_{h i}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{h i}} \text { CPUE }{ }_{\text {hi }}{ }^{*} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

A bias correction was performed (Efron 1982):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}=m_{h i}\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}-{\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}_{h i}}}^{*}\right)+\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}} \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}=$ the "standard" mean CPUE (without jackknifing);

$$
=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{m_{h i}} c_{h i j}}{\sum_{j=1}^{m_{h i}} e_{h i j}} .
$$

The variance of CPUE was estimated by the jackknife estimate of the variance of the estimated sample CPUE as described by Efron (1982):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\underset{s_{2 h i}^{* 2}}{* 2}=\frac{\left(m_{h i}-1\right)}{m_{h i}} \sum_{j=1}^{m_{h i}}\left(\text { CPUE }_{h i j}^{*}-{\left.\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}_{h i}}\right)^{*} .}^{*}\right. \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

Daily effort was estimated from aerial boat counts. The number of anglerhours of effort for each stratum was estimated by first expanding the aerial boat counts by the mean anglers/boat estimated from the interview data to estimate the number of anglers fishing for each count:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}_{\mathrm{hi}} \quad=\bar{z}_{\mathrm{hi}} \bar{y}_{\mathrm{hi}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \bar{x}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\text { number of anglers fishing on day } i \text { during count; } \\
& \overline{\mathrm{z}}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\text { mean number of anglers per boat estimated on day } i ; \\
& \bar{y}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\text { the mean boat count for day } i ; \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

the mean anglers per boat for day i is:

$$
\bar{z}_{h i}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{b_{h i}} z_{h i j}}{b_{h i}} ;
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
z_{h i j} & =\text { the number of anglers in boat } j \text { on day } i ; \\
b_{h i} & =\text { number of boats sampled on day } i
\end{aligned}
$$

and the variance is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V_{(\overline{z h i})}=\frac{s_{z h i^{2}}}{b_{h i}} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
s_{z h i}{ }^{2}=\text { the among boat variance for anglers/boat on day } i
$$

The mean number of boats for day $i$ is,

$$
\bar{y}_{h i}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{r_{h i}} y_{h i j}}{r_{h i}} ;
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
y_{h i j} & =\text { the number of boats counted during count } j \text { on day } i ; \\
r_{h i} & =\text { number of counts made on day } i ; \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

the variance is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{V}}_{(\mathrm{yhi})}=\frac{\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{yhi}}{ }^{2}}{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
s_{y h i}{ }^{2}=\text { the among count (or within-day) variance on day } i
$$

The variance of the daily angler count is estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left[\bar{x}_{h i}\right]=\bar{y}_{h i}{ }^{2} V\left[\bar{z}_{h i}\right]+\bar{z}_{h i}^{2} V\left[\bar{y}_{h i}\right]-V\left[\bar{y}_{h i}\right] V\left[\bar{z}_{h i}\right] \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

Total effort in angler hours is estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{\mathrm{h}}=H \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}} \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{h}} ; \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
H & =\text { number of hours in the fishing day ( } 18 \text { hours); and } \\
D_{h} & =\text { number of days in the stratum; }
\end{aligned}
$$

and the variance of the total effort is estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{Var}\left(E_{h}\right)=\left[H D_{h}\right]^{2} \operatorname{Var}\left(\bar{x}_{h}\right) \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

On most days only one boat count was made, and the within day variance component cannot be estimated.

To estimate daily catch, the bias-corrected mean jackknifed CPUE's were expanded by the estimated daily effort:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\hat{E}_{\mathrm{hi}} \overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\text {ehi }}^{*} . \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

The estimated variance of the daily estimate of catch was obtained by the formula for the variance of a product of random variables as proposed by Goodman (1960):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}\left[C_{h i}\right]=\hat{E}_{h i} s^{*} 2_{h i}+\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{h i}^{*}\right)^{2}{\hat{V}\left[\hat{E}_{h i}\right]-s^{*}{ }_{h i} \hat{V}\left[\hat{E}_{h i}\right] . . . .} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean estimated catch is obtained over all samples within stratum $h$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\overline{\mathrm{C}}}=\frac{1}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{h}}} \sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}} \hat{\mathrm{C}^{\mathrm{hi}}} ; \tag{31}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}=\text { the number of days sampled (day }=\text { sampling unit) in stratum } h .
$$

The overall stratum catch estimate was then obtained by expanding for the number of days in each stratum:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}_{h}=\hat{D}_{h} \hat{C}_{h} \tag{32}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
D_{h}=\text { total number of days or sampling units possible in stratum } h .
$$

The estimated variance for the stratum estimates of catch was obtained as follows (the variance of a total estimated in a stratified two-stage sampling design as described by Cochran 1977):
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{f}_{1 \mathrm{~h}} & =\text { sampling fraction for days; } \\
& =\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}} / \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}} ; \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$$
S_{1 h}{ }^{2} \text { is the among day variance for the } C_{h i}
$$

The total catch over all strata (or select combinations of strata) was obtained by summing the appropriate catch estimates:

$$
\hat{C}=\sum_{h=1}^{L} \hat{C}_{h} ;
$$

where:
$L=$ total number of strata in the fishery survey.
The overall variance for all strata (or select combinations of strata) was obtained by summing the variances for each strata:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}[\hat{\mathrm{C}}]=\sum_{\mathrm{h}=1}^{\mathrm{L}} \mathrm{~V}\left[\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{h}}\right] \tag{35}
\end{equation*}
$$

Harvest estimates were obtained similarly by replacing the appropriate harvest statistics in place of the catch statistics in the above equations.

Effort was estimated similarly by expanding the mean daily estimated effort ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ ) over all days available, and the variance was estimated substituting effort in place of catch.

The assumptions of this estimator were:

1. CPUE and HPUE of interviewed anglers were representative of the CPUE and HPUE of all anglers during the day; and
2. There was no significant fishing effort or catch taking place during times outside of the defined fishing day.

Midstream Section Effort and Harvest; Coho Salmon:
Fishing effort in the midstream section of the Kenai River during the coho salmon creel survey was estimated from the counts of boats made during aerial surveys of the river. The proportion of boat fishing effort occurring in the midstream section was calculated separately for the early run and the late
run. For each aerial survey, the proportion of effort in the midstream section ( $p_{m}$ ) was calculated as the quotient of the number of boats counted in the midstream section and the number of boats counted for all sections. Effort in the midstream section for both guided and unguided anglers ( $E_{m}$ ) during either the early run or the late run was estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}}=\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}}+\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}}\right) /\left(1-\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \tag{36}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}=\text { the mean of all proportions }\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{~s}\right) \text { for a run; } \\
& \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{d}}=\text { the estimated number of angler-hours of effort in the } \\
& \\
& \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{u}}= \\
& \text { downstream section for a run; and }
\end{aligned}
$$

The variances of the midstream effort estimates were approximated by the delta method (Seber 1982) using the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{v}\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \approx\left[\left(\hat{E_{d}+E_{u}}\right) /(1-\bar{p})^{2}\right]^{2} v(\bar{p})+[\bar{p} /(1-\bar{p})]^{2} v\left(\hat{E}_{d}+E_{u}\right) \tag{37}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variance of $\bar{p}$ is the sample variance of the $p_{m} s$ divided by the number of flights, the variances of $\hat{E}_{d}$ and $\hat{E}_{u}$ are estimated as described under Effort, and the covariance between the estimated effort for the downstream and upstream sections and $\overline{\mathrm{p}}$ is assumed to be zero.

Harvest and catch rates during the coho salmon fishery in the midstream section were estimated using the total harvest and catch and total effort (angler-hours) for the downstream and upstream sections. This is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{HPUE}_{\mathrm{m}}=\hat{\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.}+\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) /\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) \tag{38}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the harvest rate and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { CPUE } \left._{m}=\hat{\left(\hat{C}_{d}\right.}+\hat{\mathrm{C}_{u}}\right) /\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}}+\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}}\right) \tag{39}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the catch rate, where the subscripts denote the middle (m), downstream (d), or upstream (u) sections of the river. The variances of the rates were approximated by the delta method, also. The following formula was used to estimate the variance of harvest rate (HPUE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\text { HPUE }_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \approx\left[1 /\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)\right]^{2} \hat{\mathrm{~V}\left(\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)}+\left[-\left(\hat{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{d}}}+\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) /\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} \hat{\mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)} \tag{40}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variances of $\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ and $\left(\hat{H}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ are calculated as described previously in equations 37 and 40 . The covariance between the combined downstream and upstream effort and harvest is omitted from the above equation because it is unknown, although it is assumed positive (as effort increases harvest should increase). The product of the covariance and the derivatives of the numerator and denominator of $H P U E_{m}$ (or $C P U E_{m}$ ) would be subtracted from equation 38 because of the negative derivative for the denominator. Therefore, the formula above is probably a conservative estimate of the variance of HPUE $_{m}$. The variance of CPUE $_{m}$ was estimated using the same formula, but the combined downstream and upstream catches and their variances were substituted for the harvest counterparts.

The harvest and catch of coho salmon in the midstream section were estimated for unguided and guided anglers following the procedures described for the downstream and upstream sections. The variances of these estimates were also estimated as described previously in equations 37 and 40 .

## Biological Data:

Proportional age composition of the chinook and coho salmon harvest was estimated for each run. Letting pht equal the estimated proportion of age group $h$ in component $t$, the variance of $p_{h t}$ was estimated as (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\hat{p}_{h t}\right)=\hat{p}_{h t}\left(1-\hat{p}_{h t}\right) /\left(n_{T t}-1\right), \tag{41}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Tt}}=$ the number of legible scales read from chinook or coho salmon sampled during component $t$.

Mean length at age by sex and its variance were estimated using standard normal procedures.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The early and late runs in the chinook salmon fishery were defined as follows. The early run was from 16 May through 30 June and the late run from 1 July through 31 July in the downstream section. In the midstream section, the early run was from 28 May through 15 July and the late run from 16 July through 31 July. During the coho salmon fishery, the early run was designated from 1 August through 31 August and the late run from 1 September through 30 September in both the downstream and upstream sections of the river.

## Chinook Salmon Fishery

By early June, it became apparent that without restrictions to the recreational fishery the escapement goal would not be achieved. The fishery was restricted to catch and release only on 7 June. Angler counts decreased in all comparable periods after 7 June (Table 1). The restrictions were not

Table 1. Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the components of the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | $\text { Period }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period 1 (16 May - 6 June) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 5 |
| Mean count | 29 | 30 | 29 | 36 | 53 |
| Standard error | 6 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 18 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 6 | 7 | 7 | 7 |
| Mean count | 42 | 122 | 121 | 99 | 47 |
| Standard error | 19 | 21 | 21 | 23 | 17 |
| Guided anglers, all days (May): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 9 | 8 | 10 | 8 | 9 |
| Mean count | 47 | 82 | 62 | 39 | 6 |
| Standard error | 15 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 3 |
| Guided anglers, all days (June): |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 3 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 111 | 87 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 29 | 33 |  |  |  |
| Period 2 ( 7 June - 30 June) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 7 | 6 | 10 | 5 | 7 |
| Mean count | 10 | 27 | 29 | 26 | 30 |
| Standard error | 3 | 7 | 6 | 5 | 8 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 7 | 7 | 6 | 7 | 7 |
| Mean count | 16 | 55 | 73 | 40 | 20 |
| Standard error | 7 | 8 | 5 | 11 | 7 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 21 | 21 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 90 | 60 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 9 | 6 |  |  |  |

[^0]Table 1. (Page 2 of 3 ).

| Component | $\text { Period }{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period 3 (1 July - 15 July) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 3 | 4 | 3 | 3 |
| Mean count | 279 | 286 | 208 | 283 | 184 |
| Standard error | 25 | 58 | 15 | 8 | 55 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 6 | 4 | 6 | 6 |
| Mean count | 277 | 480 | 531 | 326 | 269 |
| Standard error | 74 | 85 | 72 | 26 | 88 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 10 | 10 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 416 | 264 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 41 | 24 |  |  |  |
| Period 4 (16 July - 27 July) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Mean count | 481 | 342 | 353 | 305 | 248 |
| Standard error | 124 | 58 | 42 | 51 | 61 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Mean count | 632 | 662 | 572 | 559 | 497 |
| Standard error | 83 | 85 | 23 | 133 | 34 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 9 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 472 | 331 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 39 | 42 |  |  |  |

-Continued-
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| Component | $\text { Period }^{a}$ |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |
| Period 5 (28 July - 31 July) |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 3 |
| Mean count | 20 | 44 | 76 | 31 | 30 |
| Standard error | 8 | 2 | 15 | 5 | 11 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 2 | 2 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 72 | 35 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 8 | 5 |  |  |  |

a Unguided anglers, all months:
Period $A=0400-0759$
Period $B=0800-1159$
Period C $=1200-1559$
Period D $=1600-1959$
Period E $=2000-2359$

Guided angler:
May: Same as unguided angler
June and July:
Period $A=0600-1159$
Period $B=1200-1759$
removed until 1 July. However, immediately upon reopening the fishery to allow the harvest of chinook salmon, anglers targeted early-run fish still present in the midstream section. On 6 July, an emergency order was issued closing the river to chinook salmon fishing upstream of the Soldotna Bridge. This pattern of fishing the midstream section in early July had not been observed in prior years. Most fish in this area exhibit advanced signs of sexual maturation by early July and are less desirable.

The recreational fishery during the late chinook salmon run was again restricted to catch and release fishing on 28 July. Again, the return did not appear strong enough to insure that the escapement goal would be achieved without restrictions to the recreational fishery.

## Effort:

Because of mechanical and other logistical problems during the creel survey in the downstream section of the Kenai River, angler counts were conducted on only 65 of the 66 days possible and interviews were conducted on 63 of the 66 days. In the midstream section, 23 of the 57 days possible (the fishery was closed from 7 July through 15 July) were surveyed.

Between one and five angler counts were conducted on each sample day in the downstream section (Appendices B1 and B2). Between one and three angler counts were conducted on each sample day in the midstream section (Appendices B3 and B4) except for 3 days that a scheduled count was canceled due to inclement weather.

Downstream Section. Angler counts in the downstream section ranged from 0 to 765 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 662 for guided anglers (Appendices B1 and B2). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 15 July and of guided anglers on 24 July.

The estimated effort in the downstream section during the early run was 72,799 ( $\mathrm{SE}=3,040$ ) angler-hours (Tables 1 and 2). During the early run, $46 \%$ of the total effort was by unguided anglers. Of the unguided effort, $48 \%$ occurred during weekdays and $52 \%$ during weekends/holidays. The estimated effort during the late run was $246,548(S E=8,254)$ angler-hours (Table 2). The majority of this effort ( $65 \%$ ) was by unguided anglers. Effort declined dramatically immediately upon implementation of mandatory catch and release fishing (Figure 3).

Midstream Section. Boat counts in the midstream section ranged from 0 to 80 (Appendices B3 and B4). The largest count occurred on 17 July. Average daily effort was greatest during the period 1 July - 6 July, immediately after the river reopened to the retention of chinook salmon (Table 3).

The estimated effort during the early run was 27,146 ( $\mathrm{SE}=3,629$ ) angler-hours (Table 4). The estimated effort during the late run was 22,710 ( $\mathrm{SE}=4,070$ ) angler-hours (Table 4).

Table 2. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | $\begin{gathered} \text { Effort } \\ \text { (Angler-hours) } \end{gathered}$ | SE | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { Confidence } \\ \text { Interval } \end{gathered}$ | Relative <br> Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |
| Period 1 (16 May - 6 June) |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays: | 9,247 | 1,111 | 7,069 - 11,425 | 23.5\% |
| Unguided weekends: | 12,037 | 1,265 | 9,558-14,516 | 20.6\% |
| Guided anglers (May) : | 14,125 | 1,451 | 11,281-16,969 | 20.1\% |
| Guided anglers (June): | 5,943 | 1,310 | 3,375-8,511 | 43.2\% |
| Period 2 ( 7 June - 30 June) |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays: | 6,819 | 754 | 5,341-8,297 | 21.7\% |
| Unguided weekends: | 5,704 | 495 | 4,734-6,674 | 17.0\% |
| Guided anglers: | 18,924 | 1,331 | 16,315-21,533 | 13.8\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 33,807 | 1,910 | 30,063-37,551 | 11.1\% |
| Guided: | 38,992 | 2,365 | 34,357-43,627 | 11.9\% |
| Early Run Total | 72,799 | 3,040 | 66,841-78,757 | 8.2\% |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |
| Period 3 (1 July - 15 July) |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays: | 34,743 | 2,398 | 30,043-39,443 | 13.5\% |
| Unguided weekends: | 45,200 | 3,892 | 37,572-52,828 | 16.9\% |
| Guided anglers (June) : | 40,818 | 2,846 | 35,240-46,396 | 13.7\% |
| Period 4 (16 July - 27 July) |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays: | 55,324 | 5,237 | 45,059-65,589 | 18.6\% |
| Unguided weekends: | 23,380 | 1,464 | 20,511-26,249 | 12.3\% |
| Guided anglers: | 43,375 | 3,080 | 37,338-49,412 | 13.9\% |
| Period 5 (28 July - 31 July) |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided Both: | 2,424 | 249 | 1,936-2,912 | 20.1\% |
| Guided anglers: | 1,284 | 113 | 1,063-1,505 | 17.2\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 161,071 | 7,108 | 147,139-175,003 | 8.6\% |
| Guided: | 85,477 | 4,195 | 77,255-93,699 | 9.6\% |
| Late Run Total | 246,548 | 8,254 | 230,370-262,726 | 6.6\% |
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Figure 3. Percent of effort by date in the recreational fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

Table 3. Summary of effort statistics for boat anglers by time period for the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon in the midstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

```
Early Run
Period 1 (28 May - 6 June)
    Days possible 9
    Days sampled 3
    Mean (angler-hours/day) 438
    Standard error 478
    Variance
        Among day 4,113,504
        Within day 117,612
Period 2 (7 June - 30 June)a
        Days possible 21
        Days sampled 8
        Mean (angler-hours/day) 584
        Standard error 456
        Variance
            Among day 7,088,094
            Within day 200,954
Period 3 (1 July - 6 July)
        Days possible 5
        Days sampled 4
        Mean (angler hrs/day) 2,190
        Standard error 720
        Variance
            lr
            lr584
\begin{tabular}{lr} 
Days possible & 5 \\
Days sampled & 4 \\
Mean (angler hrs/day) & 2,190 \\
Standard error & 720 \\
Variance & \\
Among day & 647,966 \\
Within day & \(1,004,655\)
\end{tabular}
```


## Late Run

Period 4 (15 July - 27 July)
Days possible 11
Days sampled 6
Mean (angler-hours/day) 1,920
Standard error $\quad 1,282$
Variance
Among day $\quad 15,061,458$
Within day $\quad 1,467,497$

Period 5 (28 July - 31 July) ${ }^{\text {a }}$

| Days possible | 3 |
| :--- | ---: |
| Days sampled | 2 |
| Mean (angler-hours/day) | 530 |
| Standard error | 66 |
| Variance |  |
| $\quad$ Among day | 6,487 |
| Within day | 29,974 |

    Days sampled 2
    Mean (angler-hours/day) 530
    Standard error 66
    Variance
        Among day 6,487
        Within day 29,974
    a Retention of chinook salmon prohibited, hook and release fishing only.

Table 4. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each period of the fishery for chinook salmon in the midstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

|  |  | $95 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Estimated |  |  |
| Effort |  |  | | Standard |
| :---: |
| Error |$\quad$| Confidence |
| :---: |
| Interval |$\quad$| Relative |
| :---: |
| Precision |

## Early Run

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 1 \\ & \text { (28 May - } 6 \text { June) } \end{aligned}$ | 3,942 | 2,057 | (90) | - 7,974 | 102.3\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Period 2 (7 June - 30 June)``` | 12,254 | 2,700 | 6,962 | - 17,546 | 43.2\% |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 3 \\ & (1 \text { July }-6 \mathrm{July}) \end{aligned}$ | 10,950 | 1,286 | 8,430 | - 13,470 | 23.0\% |
| Early Run Total | 27,146 | 3,629 | 20,032 | - 34,260 | 26.2\% |

Late Run

| ```Period 4 (15 July - 27 July)``` | 21,121 | 4,066 | 13,152 | - 29,090 | 37.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ```Period 5 (28 July - 31 July)``` | 1,589 | 191 | 1,215 | - 1,963 | 23.6\% |
| Late Run Total | 22,710 | 4,070 | 14,733 | - 30,687 | 35.1\% |

Harvest Rates and Catch Rates:

A total of 3,958 interviews with completed trip anglers were collected during the creel survey in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1,074 interviews during the early run and 2,884 interviews during the late run (Table 5). In the midstream section, 120 boats containing 356 completed-trip anglers were interviewed during both runs.

Downstream Section. Daily catch rates of chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.154 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 0.081 fish per hour during the late run (Appendices C1 and C2). Peak daily catch rates of chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 1 June during the early run and on 17 July during the late run (Figure 4). Daily catch rates of chinook salmon by guided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.313 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.020 to 0.111 fish per hour during the late run (Appendices C3 and C4). Peak daily catch rates of chinook salmon by guided anglers occurred on 9 June during the early run and 31 July during the late run (Figure 4). Estimates of overall harvest and catch rates of chinook salmon for each of the components were higher for guided anglers than for unguided anglers in all components (Table 5).

Table 6 presents the harvest and catch rates for species other than chinook salmon by unguided and guided anglers during the fishery for chinook salmon.

Midstream Section. Daily catch rates of chinook salmon in the midstream section by all anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.188 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 0.083 fish per hour during the late run (Appendices C5 and C6). Peak daily catch rates of chinook salmon by anglers occurred on 24 June during the early run and on 05 July during the late run.

Harvest and Catch:

The harvest and catch of chinook salmon by boat anglers were estimated for each run component in the downstream and midstream sections of the Kenai River. Estimated effort and catch rates for each run component from Tables 2 and 5, respectively, were used to estimate harvest and catch in the downstream section. For the midstream section, estimated effort and catch rates for each component from Table 4 and Appendix C6, respectively, were used to estimate harvest and catch.

Downstream Section. Harvest and catch statistics for boat anglers in the downstream section are as follows. A total of 723 ( $\mathrm{SE}=167$ ) fish were harvested during the early run and 5,813 ( $\mathrm{SE}=432$ ) fish during the late run (Table 7). Guided anglers harvested more fish then did unguided anglers during both runs. Because of the prohibition on retention of chinook salmon during the early run, $78 \%$ of the catch was released. Only $28 \%$ of the catch was released during the late run.

Midstream Section. Harvest and catch statistics for boat anglers in the midstream section are as follows (Table 8). A total of 616 fish (SE = 221) were harvested during the early run and 217 fish ( $S E=105$ ) during the late

Table 5. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { Perioda } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {c }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | HPUE | Standard Error | CPUE | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 1 | 10 | 13 | 118 | 0.0065 | 0.00622 | 0.0065 | 0.00622 |
| Unguided weekends | 1 | 7 | 7 | 264 | 0.0077 | 0.00218 | 0.0132 | 0.00379 |
| Guided all days(May) | 1 | 10 | 15 | 174 | 0.0248 | 0.00847 | 0.0280 | 0.00895 |
| Guided all days (June) | 1 | 4 | 5 | 53 | 0.0370 | 0.01281 | 0.0535 | 0.01586 |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 12 | 14 | 114 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.0438 | 0.00892 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 7 | 7 | 118 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.0345 | 0.00959 |
| Guided all days | 2 | 14 | 21 | 233 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.0981 | 0.01587 |
| Sub-totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided |  | 36 | 41 | 614 | 0.0045 | 0.00201 | 0.0211 | 0.00366 |
| Guided |  | 28 | 41 | 460 | 0.0146 | 0.00402 | 0.0659 | 0.01030 |
| Early Run Total |  | 36 | 41 | 1,074 | 0.0099 | 0.00233 | 0.0451 | 0.00566 |

[^1]Table 5. (Page 2 of 2 ).

| Component | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Time } \\ & \text { Period } \end{aligned}$ | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {c }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | HPUE | Standard Error | CPUE | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 3 | 7 | 7 | 256 | 0.0130 | 0.00380 | 0.0249 | 0.00486 |
| Unguided weekends | 3 | 6 | 6 | 612 | 0.0142 | 0.00235 | 0.0188 | 0.00279 |
| Guided all days | 3 | 10 | 10 | 571 | 0.0421 | 0.00492 | 0.0535 | 0.00520 |
| Unguided weekdays | 4 | 8 | 8 | 610 | 0.0157 | 0.00277 | 0.0213 | 0.00403 |
| Unguided weekends | 4 | 2 | 2 | 253 | 0.0131 | 0.00331 | 0.0181 | 0.00391 |
| Guided all days | 4 | 8 | 9 | 502 | 0.0421 | 0.00499 | 0.0562 | 0.00605 |
| Unguided all days | 5 | 3 | 3 | 41 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 |
| Guided all days | 5 | 2 | 2 | 39 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.0940 | 0.01504 |
| Sub-totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided |  | 26 | 26 | 1,772 | 0.0141 | 0.00175 | 0.0206 | 0.02370 |
| Guided |  | 20 | 21 | 1,112 | 0.0415 | 0.00450 | 0.0555 | 0.00550 |
| Late Run Total |  | 26 | 26 | 2,884 | 0.0236 | 0.00192 | 0.0327 | 0.00244 |
| Totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided |  | 62 | 67 | 2,386 | 0.0124 |  | 0.0207 |  |
| Guided |  | 48 | 62 | 1,572 | 0.0331 |  | 0.0588 |  |
| GRAND TOTAL |  |  |  | 3,958 |  |  |  |  |

```
a Period
b Number of days on which interviews were collected.
1 (16 May - 6 June) 
c Number of days possible for interviewing.
d Complete trip interviews only.
```



Figure 4. Daily catch per hour of chinook salmon by anglers in the recreational fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

Table 6. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of sockeye salmon, coho salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | Sockeye Salmon |  | Coho Salmon |  | Pink Salmon |  | Rainbow Trout |  | Dolly Varden |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0024 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0024 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0008 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0008 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided all days (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0004 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0008 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0012 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0362 \\ (0.0053) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0362 \\ (0.0053) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0002 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0002 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0009 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0013 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0004 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0007 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0055 \\ (0.0013) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0073 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0017 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0017 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0003 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0003 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0003 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0069 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0098 \\ (0.0020) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided all days (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0074 \\ (0.0019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0076 \\ (0.0020) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0014 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0014 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0005 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0001 \\ (0.0001) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0003 \\ (0.0002) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0091 \\ (0.0021) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0112 \\ (0.0022) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 7. Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each component of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Standard Error | Rel. <br> Pre.b | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Standard Error | Rel. <br> Pre.b |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Early Run

| Unguided weekdays | 60 | 58 | $188.2 \%$ | 359 | 90 | $49.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends | 93 | 35 | $74.0 \%$ | 356 | 75 | $41.2 \%$ |
| Guided all days | 570 | 153 | $52.5 \%$ | 2,570 | 371 | $28.3 \%$ |
| Sub-total: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$Unguided <br> Guided | 153 | 67 | $86.4 \%$ | 715 | 117 | $32.0 \%$ |
| Early Run Total | 570 | 153 | $52.5 \%$ | 2,570 | 371 | $28.3 \%$ |

Late Run

| Unguided weekdays | 1,321 | 220 | $32.6 \%$ | 2,043 | 306 | $29.4 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unguided weekends | 948 | 144 | $29.7 \%$ | 1,273 | 174 | $26.8 \%$ |
| Guided all days | 3,544 | 343 | $19.0 \%$ | 4,743 | 408 | $16.9 \%$ |
| Sub-total: <br> Unguided <br> Guided | 2,269 | 263 | $22.7 \%$ | 3,316 | 352 | $20.8 \%$ |
| Late Run Total | 3,544 | 343 | $19.0 \%$ | 4,743 | 408 | $16.9 \%$ |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 8. Estimate of total effort, catch and harvest by boat anglers during the fishery for chinook salmon in the midstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

|  | Total | Variance |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |

Effort:

| Early | 27,145 | $13,172,785$ | 26 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Late | 22,709 | $16,565,416$ | 35 |

Catch:

Early
Late
1,228
168,531
66
14,263
81

Harvest:

Early
616
48,892
70
Late
217
11,012
95
run. Because of the prohibition on retention of chinook salmon during the early run, $50 \%$ of the catch was released. Only $25 \%$ of the late-run catch was released.

Other Species. Sockeye salmon was the most common species caught in the downstream section; $4,095(S E=480)$ sockeye salmon were harvested; 23 were released (Table 9). Dolly Varden was the next most common species caught in the downstream section; 1,754 (SE = 236) fish were harvested and 2,300 (SE = 262) fish were caught.

## Summary:

The utility to which these data are put is an accounting of harvest, effort, and catch by run component. While historically negligible, there is a small fishery that occurs in the upstream section (Naptowne Rapids to the outlet of Skilak Lake) which makes total estimates of these fishery statistics by run component biased low. Historically, there has been very little angler effort in the upstream section prior to early June. Because of the timing of the catch and release restriction (7 June - 30 June) during 1990, harvest of chinook salmon in the upstream section prior to 1 July was negligible. However, it was apparent through observation and phoned in reports from anglers, that some harvest of chinook salmon did take place after the prohibition on retention was removed on 1 July. To provide a closer approximation of actual fishing statistics, $I$ assumed that catch and harvest after 1 July in the upstream section was equal to that estimated in the midstream section (Table 10). This level of harvest (several hundreds of fish) is consistent with historic data (Hammarstrom 1990).

For both runs, most of the effort, harvest, and catch occurred in the downstream fishery (Table 10). Including the approximations for the upstream fishery, harvest totaled 1,735 fish during the early run and 6,247 fish during the late run. Because of the restrictions placed on the early-run fishery, harvest retention was only $35 \%$ ( 1,735 harvest of 4,973 total catch) as opposed to $72 \%$ ( 6,247 harvest of 8,637 total catch) during the late run.

## Biological Data:

The most abundant age groups in the early-run harvest were ages-1.4 and -1.5 chinook salmon which composed $75.4 \%$ and $12.3 \%$ of the sample, respectively (Table 11). Ages -1.3 and -1.4 chinook salmon were the most abundant age groups in the late-run harvest, contributing $16.4 \%$ and $62.0 \%$ to the sample, respectively (Table 11). The mean lengths at age for each sex were generally greater for late-run fish than for early-run fish (Table 12). For both the early and late runs, the mean lengths of $3^{-}, 4^{-}$, and 5 -ocean age male chinook salmon sampled from the harvest were generally larger than the mean lengths of females from the same age group.

Discussion:
One of the recommendations from the 1989 survey was to examine the variation of counts within a period. Eleven periods were sampled during the early run. Estimates were made of the variance among counts (Appendix D1) and the relative precisions (for $95 \%$ CI) ranged from $6 \%$ to $83 \%$.

Table 9. Estimated number of sockeye salmon, coho salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden harvested and caught by boat anglers during the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Species | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE |

Early Run

| Sockeye salmon | 38 | 26 | 38 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 38 | 26 | 38 | 26 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Rainbow trout | 0 | 0 | 14 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 29 | 20 |
| Dolly Varden | 0 | 0 | 14 | 8 | 30 | 10 | 45 | 17 | 30 | 10 | 59 | 18 |

Late Run

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sockeye salmon | 3,466 | 451 | 3,466 | 447 | 629 | 163 | 652 | 165 | 4,095 | 480 | 4,118 | 477 |
| Coho salmon | 22 | 21 | 22 | 21 | 123 | 63 | 123 | 63 | 145 | 67 | 145 | 67 |
| Pink salmon | 97 | 45 | 136 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 20 | 97 | 45 | 180 | 63 |
| Rainbow trout | 35 | 55 | 87 | 71 | 12 | 11 | 24 | 14 | 47 | 56 | 111 | 72 |
| Dolly Varden | 977 | 162 | 1,346 | 190 | 777 | 172 | 954 | 181 | 1,754 | 236 | 2,300 | 262 |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 10. Summary of estimated angler effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by all boat anglers, for each river section of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Run | Downstream <br> Section | Midstream <br> Section | Combined Total | 95\% Confidence Interval | Upstreama Section | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effort | 72,799 | 27,146 | 99,945 | 90,666-109,224 | 23,204 | 123,149 |
| SE | 3,040 | 3,629 | 4,734 |  |  |  |
| Harvest | 723 | 616 | 1,339 | 796-1,882 | 396 | 1,735 |
| SE | 167 | 221 | 277 |  |  |  |
| Catch | 3,285 | 1,228 | 4,513 | 3,404-5,622 | 460 | 4,973 |
| SE | 389 | 411 | 566 |  |  |  |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effort | 246,548 | 22,710 | 269,258 | 251,221-287,295 | 22,709 | 291,967 |
| SE | 8,254 | 4,070 | 9,203 |  |  |  |
| Harvest | 5,813 | 217 | 6,030 | 5,158-6,902 | 217 | 6,247 |
| SE | 432 | 105 | 445 |  |  |  |
| Catch | 8,059 | 289 | 8,348 | 7,266-9,430 | 289 | 8,637 |
| SE | 539 | 119 | 552 |  |  |  |

a Upstream fishing assumed equal to midstream fishing based on observations after l July. This fishery was not directly sampled and there is no measure of accuracy or precision for these estimates. These numbers are presented to provide a closer approximation of the total fishery.

Table 11. Age composition of chinook salmon sampled from the harvest during the early and late runs of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Run | Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Early } \\ & (\mathrm{n}=73)^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Male | Percent |  | 4.1 | 1.4 | 32.9 | 8.2 | 46.6 |
|  | Female | Percent |  | 2.7 | 4.1 | 42.5 | 4.1 | 53.4 |
|  | Combined | Percent |  | 6.8 | 5.5 | 75.4 | 12.3 | 100.0 |
|  |  | SE |  | 3.0 | 2.7 | 5.1 | 3.9 |  |
| Late$(\mathrm{n}=330)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Male | Percent | 0.3 | 6.7 | 10.3 | 32.3 | 6.4 | 56.0 |
|  | Female | Percent |  | 2.4 | 6.1 | 29.7 | 5.8 | 44.0 |
|  | Combined | Percent | 0.3 | 9.1 | 16.4 | 62.0 | 12.2 | 100.0 |
|  |  | SE |  | 1.6 | 2.0 | 2.7 | 1.8 |  |

a $\mathrm{n}=$ sample size.

Table 12. Mean length (millimeters) by age group of chinook salmon sampled from the harvest during the early and late runs of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.


The estimate of mean second stage variance $\left(\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{p}}{ }_{\mathrm{p}}\right.$ ) was used to estimate a two stage variance for the period and total effort estimates in the stratum for unguided anglers on week days from 17 May to 6 June. The results in Appendix El show that the second stage component represents less than $1 \%$ of the total two-stage variance. This does assume the mean second stage variance is representative, but even if it is underestimated by a factor of 10 , the second stage is a small portion of the total variance.

These results also show that the two-stage estimate of variance is more efficient and is reduced from the single-stage variance estimate (Appendix D1). However, the benefit of increased sampling to reduce variance of harvest or catch will also depend upon the precision of the HPUE and CPUE estimates. These estimates (Table 5) are far less precise that the effort estimates (Table 2).

## Coho Salmon Fishery

During the coho salmon fishery, 42 of the 61 days possible during the survey period were sampled in the downstream section of the Kenai River. In the upstream section, 41 of the 61 days possible were surveyed.

## Effort:

Two angler counts were usually conducted on each sample day in the downstream section; there was 1 day when only one angler count was conducted. Two angler counts were conducted on all but 3 days surveyed in the upstream section.

Downstream Section. Angler counts in the downstream section ranged from 27 to 601 for unguided boat anglers, from 16 to 290 for shore anglers, and from 0 to 256 for guided anglers (Appendix E1). The largest count for all components occurred on 12 August. For each period, the mean count of unguided boat anglers and shore anglers for the weekend/holiday component was larger than the mean count for the weekday component (Table 13). During the B period of the early run and the $B$ and $C$ period of the late run, the mean angler count for guided anglers was greater for the weekday component then the weekend/holiday component (Table 13).

The estimated effort during the early run (August) was 216,074 ( $\mathrm{SE}=7,682$ ) angler-hours (Table 14). During the early run, $75 \%$ of the total effort was by unguided anglers (shore anglers are assumed to be unguided). Anglers fishing on weekdays accounted for $65 \%$ of the effort while weekend/holiday anglers accounted for $35 \%$ of the effort. The estimated effort during the late run (September) was 97,639 ( $\mathrm{SE}=5,338$ ) angler-hours (Table 14). The majority of this effort ( $75 \%$ ) was by unguided anglers. Also, $50 \%$ of the effort occurred during weekdays and $50 \%$ during weekends/holidays.

Upstream Section. Angler counts in the upstream section ranged from 0 to 163 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 32 for guided anglers (Appendix E2). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 2 September and the largest count of guided anglers on 10 September. For each period in both runs, the mean count of unguided anglers for the weekend/holiday component was larger

Table 13. Mean counts of anglers by period for each component of the creel survey of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | $\text { Period }{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| Mean count | 147 | 182 | 144 | 139 |
| Standard error | 16 | 17 | 17 | 29 |
| Unguided boat anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 345 | 411 | 280 | 214 |
| Standard error | 65 | 86 | 27 | 86 |
| Cuided boat anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| Mean count | 159 | 182 | 72 | 18 |
| Standard error | 17 | 15 | 10 | 5 |
| Guided boat anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 169 | 167 | 74 | 20 |
| Standard error | 18 | 38 | 10 | 6 |
| Shore anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 5 | 8 | 5 |
| Mean count | 81 | 130 | 142 | 135 |
| Standard error | 10 | 12 | 23 | 29 |
| Shore anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 119 | 172 | 204 | 178 |
| Standard error | 28 | 24 | 20 | 43 |
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Table 13. (Page 2 of 2).

| Component | $\text { Period }{ }^{a}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts |  | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Mean count |  | 147 | 104 | 68 |
| Standard error |  | 20 | 13 | 8 |
| Unguided boat anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts |  | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| Mean count |  | 291 | 241 | 175 |
| Standard error |  | 37 | 50 | 64 |
| Guided boat anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts |  | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Mean count |  | 111 | 65 | 29 |
| Standard error |  | 26 | 12 | 8 |
| Guided boat anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts |  | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| Mean count |  | 115 | 60 | 23 |
| Standard error |  | 20 | 12 | 12 |
| Shore anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts |  | 8 | 7 | 8 |
| Mean count |  | 45 | 40 | 38 |
| Standard error |  | 10 | 6 | 7 |
| Shore anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts |  | 5 | 7 | 5 |
| Mean count |  | 68 | 72 | 59 |
| Standard error |  | 17 | 18 | 16 |

a Early Run: Period $A=0600-0959$
Period $B=1000-1359$
Period C $=1400-1759$
Period D = 1800-2159
b Late Run: Period A = 0800-1159
Period B = 1200-1559
Period C = 1600-1959

Table 14. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by anglers during each component of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | ```Effort (Angler-hours)``` | Standard Error | $95 \%$ Confidence Interval | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat anglers, wd: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 56,231 | 3,761 | 48,859-63,603 | 13.1\% |
| Unguided boat anglers, we: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 40,008 | 4,503 | 31,182-48,834 | 22.1\% |
| Guided boat anglers, wd:a | 39,687 | 2,338 | 35,105-44,269 | 11.5\% |
| Guided boat anglers, we:b | 13,696 | 1,394 | 10,964-16,428 | 19.9\% |
| Shore anglers, wd:a | 44,924 | 3,669 | 37,733-52,115 | 16.0\% |
| Shore anglers, we: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 21,528 | 1,927 | 17,751-25,305 | 17.5\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 96,239 | 5,867 | 84,740-107,738 | 11.9\% |
| Guided: | 53,383 | 2,722 | 48,048-58,718 | 10.0\% |
| Shore: | 66,452 | 4,144 | 58,329-74,575 | 12.2\% |
| Early Run Total | 216,074 | 7,682 | 201,018-231,130 | 7.0\% |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat anglers, wd: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 24,145 | 1,934 | 20,354-27,936 | 15.7\% |
| Unguided boat anglers, we: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 31,093 | 3,941 | 23,369-38,817 | 24.8\% |
| Guided boat anglers, wd: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 15,619 | 2,274 | 11,162-20,076 | 28.5\% |
| Guided boat anglers, we: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8,703 | 1,163 | 6,424-10,982 | 26.2\% |
| Shore anglers, wd:a | 9,329 | 1,017 | 7,336-11,322 | 21.4\% |
| Shore anglers, we: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8,750 | 1,291 | 6,220-11,280 | 28.9\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 55,238 | 4,390 | 46,634-63,842 | 15.6\% |
| Guided: | 24,322 | 2,554 | 19,316-29,328 | 20.6\% |
| Shore: | 18.079 | 1,643 | 14,858-21,300 | 17.8\% |
| Late Run Total | 97,639 | 5,338 | 87, 176-108,102 | 10.7\% |

a wd = weekday
b we $=$ weekend
than the mean count for the weekday component (Table 15). However, the opposite was generally true for guided anglers.

The estimated effort during the early run was 28,512 ( $\mathrm{SE}=2,089$ ) angler-hours (Table 16). During the early run, $88 \%$ of the total effort was by unguided anglers; $59 \%$ of the effort occurred during weekdays and $41 \%$ during weekends/holidays. The estimated effort during the late run was 18,528 ( $\mathrm{SE}=1,432$ ) angler-hours (Table 16). The majority of this effort ( $89 \%$ ) was by unguided anglers, also.

Midstream Section. The counts of anglers in each section of the Kenai River between Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet, conducted during aerial surveys during the fishery for coho salmon, are summarized in Tables 17 and 18 . A total of 13 flights were made. The mean proportion of boats counted in the midstream section for the early run was $0.045(S E=0.010)$ and the late run was 0.049 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.014$ ) . The mean proportion of shore anglers counted in the midstream section for the early run was $0.174(S E=0.046)$ and the late run was 0.336 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.051$ ). Each effort component was expanded accordingly to estimate the midstream component. Estimated effort occurring in the midstream section during the early and late runs was 23,735 ( $\mathrm{SE}=1,815$ ) angler-hours and 14,938 (SE = 1,138) angler-hours, respectively.

Harvest Rates and Catch Rates:

A total of 2,242 angler interviews (both completed-trip and incompleted-trip) were collected during the creel survey in the downstream section of the Kenai River; 1,013 during the early run and 1,229 during the late run (Table 19). In the upstream section a total of 967 angler interviews (completed-trip only) were collected, 442 during the early run and 525 during the late run (Table 20).

Downstream Section. Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by unguided boat anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.345 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 0.528 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix Fl). Peak daily harvest rates of coho salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 17 August during the early run and on 19 September during the late run (Figure 5). Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by guided anglers ranged from 0.058 to 0.581 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.074 to 0.577 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix F2). Peak daily harvest rates by guided anglers occurred on 17 August during the early run and on 28 September during the late run (Figure 5). Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by shore anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.230 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.033 to 0.476 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix F3). Peak daily harvest rates by shore anglers occurred on 18 August during the early run and on 18 September during the late run. The highest mean harvest rate among all components of the fishery was for unguided boat anglers on the weekdays of the late run (Table 19).

Other species harvests in the downstream section are considered incidental during the fishery for coho salmon except for pink salmon. Harvest and catch rates for other species are presented in Table 21.

Table 15. Mean counts of anglers by period for each component of the creel survey of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | $\text { Period }{ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| Mean count | 16 | 41 | 30 | 13 |
| Standard error | 3 | 6 | 3 | 8 |
| Unguided boat anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 46 | 94 | 79 | 21 |
| Standard error | 15 | 6 | 23 | 6 |
| Guided boat anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| Mean count | 9 | 9 | 9 | 1 |
| Standard error | 4 | 3 | 3 | 1 |
| Guided boat anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 8 | 7 | 7 | 1 |
| Standard error | 1 | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Shore anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 7 | 7 | 3 |
| Mean count | 8 | 27 | 13 | 8 |
| Standard error | 6 | 10 | 5 | 5 |
| Shore anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 22 | 40 | 18 | 21 |
| Standard error | 17 | 12 | 2 | 13 |
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| Component | $\text { Period }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |
| Late Run |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Mean count | 28 | 29 | 18 |
| Standard error | 3 | 2 | 3 |
| Unguided boat anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Mean count | 66 | 86 | 61 |
| Standard error | 14 | 19 | 18 |
| Guided boat anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Mean count | 10 | 6 | 1 |
| Standard error | 4 | 2 | 1 |
| Guided boat anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Mean count | 8 | 7 | 2 |
| Standard error | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Shore anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 7 | 8 | 7 |
| Mean count | 2 | 2 | 2 |
| Standard error | 2 | 1 | 1 |
| Shore anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 6 | 6 | 7 |
| Mean count | 5 | 9 | 9 |
| Standard error | 2 | 3 | 3 |

a Early Run: Period $A=0600-0959$
Period B $=1000-1359$
Period C $=1400-1759$
Period D $=1800-2159$

Late Run: Period $A=0800-1159$
Period B $=1200-1559$
Period C $=1600$ - 1959

Table 16. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by anglers during each component of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | Effort <br> (Angler-hours) | Standard Error | $\begin{gathered} 95 \% \\ \text { Confidence } \\ \text { Interval } \end{gathered}$ | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat anglers, wd:a | a 9,156 | 988 | 7,220-11,092 | 21.1\% |
| Unguided boat anglers, we:b | b 7,651 | 920 | 5,848-9,454 | 23.6\% |
| Guided boat anglers, wd: ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2,563 | 543 | 1,499-3,627 | 41.5\% |
| Guided boat anglers, we:b | 752 | 48 | 658 - 846 | 12.5\% |
| Shore anglers, wd:a | 5,160 | 1,284 | 2,643-7,677 | 48.8\% |
| Shore anglers, we: ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3,230 | 771 | 1,719-4,741 | 46.8\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 16,807 | 1,350 | 14,161-19,453 | 15.7\% |
| Guided: | 3,315 | 545 | 2,247-4,383 | 32.2\% |
| Shore: | 8,390 | 1,498 | 5,455-11,325 | 35.0\% |
| Early Run Total | 28,512 | 2,089 | 24,418-32,606 | 14.4\% |

Late Run

| Unguided boat anglers, wd:a | 5,697 | 334 | $5,042-6,352$ | $11.5 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided boat anglers, we:b | 9,337 | 1,312 | $6,765-11,909$ | $27.5 \%$ |  |
| Guided boat anglers, wd:a | 1,273 | 352 | $583-1,963$ | $54.2 \%$ |  |
| Guided boat anglers, we:b | 735 | 160 | $421-1,049$ | $42.7 \%$ |  |
| Shore anglers, wd:a | 476 | 134 | $213-$ | 739 | $55.2 \%$ |
| Shore anglers, we:b | 1,010 | 227 | $565-1,455$ | $44.1 \%$ |  |


| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided: | 15,034 | 1,354 | 12,380 | $-17,688$ | $17.7 \%$ |
| Guided: | 2,008 | 387 | $1,250-2,766$ | $37.7 \%$ |  |
| Shore: | 1,486 | 264 | $969-2,003$ | $34.8 \%$ |  |
| Late Run Total | 18,528 | 1,432 | 15,720 | $-21,336$ | $15.2 \%$ |

[^2]Table 17. Counts of sportfishing boats and shore anglers by river section during aerial surveys of the fishery for early run coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

|  | Downstream |  | Midstream |  | Upstream | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Count | Proportion | Count | Proportion | Count Proportion | Count |

Boats

|  | 3 August | 102 | 0.7786 | 6 | 0.0458 | 23 | 0.1755 | 131 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 8 August | 157 | 0.8920 | 6 | 0.0340 | 13 | 0.0739 | 176 |
|  | 12 August | 176 | 0.7652 | 8 | 0.0347 | 46 | 0.2000 | 230 |
|  | 15 August | 103 | 0.7923 | 4 | 0.0307 | 23 | 0.1769 | 130 |
|  | 22 August | 66 | 0.7674 | 3 | 0.0348 | 17 | 0.1977 | 86 |
|  | 25 August | 183 | 0.7922 | 8 | 0.0346 | 40 | 0.1732 | 231 |
| $\stackrel{\sim}{\square}$ | 30 August | 52 | 0.6667 | 8 | 0.1025 | 18 | 0.2308 | 78 |
|  | Mean |  | 0.7792 |  | 0.0454 |  | 0.1754 |  |
|  | SE |  | 0.0249 |  | 0.0097 |  | 0.0186 |  |

Shore Anglers

| 8 August | 92 | 0.7797 | 6 | 0.0508 | 20 | 0.1695 | 118 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 12 August | 119 | 0.8095 | 21 | 0.1428 | 7 | 0.0476 | 147 |
| 15 August | 110 | 0.8271 | 12 | 0.0902 | 11 | 0.0827 | 133 |
| 22 August | 64 | 0.6275 | 38 | 0.3725 | 0 | 0.0000 | 102 |
| 25 August | 66 | 0.8250 | 14 | 0.175 | 0 | 0.0000 | 80 |
| 30 August | 34 | 0.7907 | 9 | 0.2093 | 0 | 0.0000 | 43 |
| Mean |  | 0.7766 |  | 0.1735 | 0.0500 |  |  |
| SE |  | 0.0308 | 0.0461 | 0.0276 |  |  |  |

Table 18. Counts of sportfishing boats and shore anglers by river section during aerial surveys of the fishery for late-run coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Date | Downstream |  | Midstream |  | Upstream |  | Total Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Count | Proportion | Count | Proportion | Count | Proportion |  |
| Boats |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11 September | 132 | 0.8571 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 0.1428 | 154 |
| 16 September | 52 | 0.8125 | 4 | 0.0625 | 8 | 0.1250 | 64 |
| 17 September | 28 | 0.6829 | 4 | 0.0975 | 9 | 0.2195 | 41 |
| 23 September | 104 | 0.6797 | 9 | 0.0588 | 40 | 0.2614 | 153 |
| 28 September | 63 | 0.6848 | 2 | 0.0217 | 27 | 0.2935 | 92 |
| 29 September | 59 | 0.5514 | 6 | 0.0560 | 42 | 0.3925 | 107 |
| Mean |  | 0.7114 |  | 0.0494 |  | 0.2391 |  |
| Standard Error |  | 0.0446 |  | 0.0139 |  | 0.0407 |  |

## Shore Anglers

| 11 September | 10 | 0.5000 | 10 | 0.5 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 16 September | 41 | 0.8039 | 10 | 0.1960 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 17 September | 20 | 0.6897 | 9 | 0.3103 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 23 September | 20 | 0.5263 | 18 | 0.4736 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 28 September | 13 | 0.6842 | 6 | 0.3157 | 0 | 0.0000 |
| 29 September | 15 | 0.5556 | 6 | 0.2222 | 6 | 0.2222 |
| Mean |  | 0.6266 | 0.3364 | 27 |  |  |
| Standard Error |  | 0.0483 | 0.0515 | 0.0370 |  |  |

Table 19. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of coho salmon by anglers during each component of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | Days |  | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | HPUE | Standard Error | CPUE | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}^{2}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat weekdays: | 13 | 23 | 251 | 0.1117 | 0.01988 | 0.1129 | 0.01994 |
| Unguided boat weekdays: | 8 | 8 | 234 | 0.0927 | 0.01311 | 0.0927 | 0.01311 |
| Guided boat, weekdays: | 13 | 23 | 246 | 0.1863 | 0.02289 | 0.1915 | 0.02376 |
| Guided boat, weekends: | 8 | 8 | 125 | 0.1673 | 0.02921 | 0.1691 | 0.02929 |
| Shore weekdays: | 8 | 23 | 89 | 0.1046 | 0.02397 | 0.1046 | 0.02397 |
| Shore weekends: | 7 | 8 | 68 | 0.1122 | 0.03498 | 0.1173 | 0.03528 |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat weekdays: | 12 | 19 | 255 | 0.2250 | 0.02414 | 0.2250 | 0.02414 |
| Unguided boat weekdays: | 9 | 11 | 432 | 0.1128 | 0.01048 | 0.1141 | 0.01044 |
| Guided boat, weekdays: | 11 | 19 | 243 | 0.2220 | 0.02646 | 0.2238 | 0.02639 |
| Guided boat, weekends: | 9 | 11 | 205 | 0.1666 | 0.01421 | 0.1666 | 0.01421 |
| Shore weekdays: | 5 | 19 | 44 | 0.1414 | 0.09148 | 0.1414 | 0.09148 |
| Shore weekends: | 5 | 11 | 50 | 0.0769 | 0.02342 | 0.0769 | 0.02342 |

a Number of days on which interviews were collected.
b Number of days possible for interviewing.
c Both completed-trip and incompleted-trip interviews.

Table 20. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of coho salmon by anglers during each component of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | Days |  | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | HPUE | Standard Error | CPUE | Standard <br> Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat weekdays: | 12 | 23 | 129 | 0.1240 | 0.04090 | 0.1319 | 0.04060 |
| Unguided boat weekdays: | 8 | 8 | 216 | 0.0866 | 0.01072 | 0.0907 | 0.01114 |
| Guided boat, weekdays: | 7 | 23 | 33 | 0.2831 | 0.06711 | 0.2892 | 0.06787 |
| Guided boat, weekends: | 5 | 8 | 37 | 0.1887 | 0.04957 | 0.1887 | 0.04957 |
| Shore weekdays: | 2 | 23 | 16 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 | 0.0000 | 0.00000 |
| Shore weekends: | 2 | 8 | 11 | 0.0392 | 0.03527 | 0.0392 | 0.03527 |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat weekdays: | 11 | 19 | 145 | 0.1398 | 0.03285 | 0.1504 | 0.03259 |
| Unguided boat weekdays: | 10 | 11 | 308 | 0.1320 | 0.02060 | 0.1396 | 0.02058 |
| Guided boat, weekdays: | 7 | 19 | 38 | 0.3039 | 0.06491 | 0.3121 | 0.06412 |
| Guided boat, weekends: | 5 | 11 | 19 | 0.3802 | 0.03794 | 0.3802 | 0.03794 |
| Shore weekdays: | 3 | 19 | 12 | 0.6122 | 0.02772 | 0.6122 | 0.02772 |
| Shore weekends: | 1 | 11 | 3 | 0.1429 | 0.81980 | 0.1429 | 0.81980 |

a Number of days on which interviews were collected.
b Number of days possible for interviewing.
c Completed-trip interviews only.


Figure 5. Daily harvest per hour of coho salmon by anglers in the recreational fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

Table 21. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of sockeye salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden by anglers during each component of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

[^3]Table 21. (Page 2 of 2 ).

| Component | Sockeye Salmon |  | Pink Salmon |  | Rainbow Trout |  | Dolly Varden |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0011 \\ (0.0011) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0023 \\ (0.0014) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0058 \\ (0.0019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0686 \\ (0.0152) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0009 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0104 \\ (0.0037) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1301 \\ (0.0298) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Shore weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Shore weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |

Upstream Section. Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 1.250 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.022 to 1.000 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix F4). Peak daily catch rates of coho salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 26 August during the early run and on 30 September during the late run. Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by guided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.500 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 0.667 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix FS). Peak daily catch rates of coho salmon by guided anglers occurred on 7 and 16 August during the early run and on 10 and 25 September during the late run. Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by shore anglers (both completed-trip and incompleted-trip) ranged from 0.000 to 0.056 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 1.143 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix F6). Peak daily catch rates of coho salmon by shore anglers occurred on 4 August during the early run and 14 September during the late run. Harvest and catch rates of coho salmon by guided anglers were generally greater than those for unguided anglers during both runs (Table 20).

In the upstream section, other species were more significant to the recreational harvest of both guided and unguided anglers than in the downstream section, as can be attested to by the comparatively larger harvest and catch rates, especially for sockeye salmon (Table 22).

Midstream Section. Harvest and catch rates for the midstream section were estimated by using the average downstream and upstream rates.

Harvest and Catch:
Harvest and catch of coho salmon by shore and boat anglers were estimated for each component in the downstream and upstream sections of the Kenai River. Estimated effort and catch rates for each component from Tables 14 and 19, respectively, were used to estimate harvest and catch in the downstream section. For the upstream section, estimated effort and catch rates for each component from Tables 16 and 20 , respectively, were used to estimate harvest and catch.

Downstream Section. Effort, harvest, and catch were greatest during the early-run fishery (Tables 23, 24, and 25). Similar to the chinook salmon fisheries, most of the early-run effort, harvest, and catch occurred in the downstream fishery. Virtually all fish were harvested ( 2,311 harvest of 2,335 total catch or 99\%).

Similar to the early-run fishery, most of the late-run effort, harvest, and catch occurred in the downstream fishery (Tables 23, 24, and 25). Also similar to the early-run fishery, virtually all the fish were harvested ( 24,041 harvest of 24,189 total catch or $99 \%$ ).

The estimates of harvest and catch of species other than coho salmon for the downstream and upstream sections are summarized in Tables 26 and 27, respectively.

Table 22. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of sockeye salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden by anglers during each component of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | Sockeye Salmon |  | Pink Salmon |  | Rainbow Trout |  | Dolly Varden |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 0.0773 | 0.1447 | 0.0182 | 0.2602 | 0.0018 | 0.0146 | 0.0446 | 0.0910 |
| (Standard Error) | (0.0203) | (0.0288) | (0.0083) | (0.0881) | (0.0012) | (0.0054) | (0.0145) | (0.0334) |
| Unguided weekends | 0.0759 | 0.1202 | 0.0111 | 0.1077 | 0.0016 | 0.0269 | 0.0807 | 0.2251 |
| (Standard Error) | (0.0098) | (0.0144) | (0.0025) | (0.0139) | (0.0007) | (0.0050) | (0.0096) | (0.0230) |
| Guided weekdays (Standard Error) | 0.0751 | 0.1399 | 0.0285 | 0.0829 | 0.0000 | 0.0181 | 0.0000 | 0.0052 |
|  | (0.0618) | (0.0613) | (0.0120) | (0.0290) | (0.0000) | (0.0119) | (0.0000) | (0.0035) |
| Guided weekends (Standard Error) | 0.0586 | 0.0937 | 0.0527 | 0.0966 | 0.0059 | 0.0059 | 0.0146 | 0.0293 |
|  | (0.0203) | (0.0356) | (0.0138) | (0.0179) | (0.0078) | (0.0078) | (0.0051) | (0.0078) |
| Shore weekdays (Standard Error) | 0.2801 | 0.2899 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0098 |
|  | (0.0834) | (0.0844) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0715) |
| Shore weekends (Standard Error) | 0.2644 | 0.3908 | 0.0000 | 0.0460 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0230 | 0.0230 |
|  | (0.0627) | (0.0962) | (0.0000) | (0.0625) | (0.0000) | (0.0000) | (0.0947) | (0.0947) |

-Continued-

Table 22. (Page 2 of 2 ).

| Component | Sockeye Salmon |  | Pink Salmon |  | Rainbow Trout |  | Dolly Varden |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0110 \\ (0.0082) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0011 \\ (0.0011) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0023 \\ (0.0014) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0132 \\ (0.0042) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0058 \\ (0.0019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0686 \\ (0.0152) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0054 \\ (0.0042) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0009 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0642 \\ (0.0344) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0104 \\ (0.0037) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1301 \\ (0.0298) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Shore weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Shore weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 23. Estimated number of coho salmon harvested and number caught by anglers during each component of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

|  |  | Standard | Rel |  | Standard | Rel. |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | Harvesta | Error | Pre. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Error | Pre. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |

Early Run

| Unguided weekdays: | 6,281 | 1,192 | $37.2 \%$ | 6,348 | 1,196 | $36.9 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends: | 3,709 | 668 | $35.3 \%$ | 3,709 | 668 | $35.3 \%$ |
| Guided weekdays: | 7,394 | 1,006 | $26.7 \%$ | 7,600 | 1,042 | $26.9 \%$ |
| Guided weekends: | 2,291 | 461 | $39.4 \%$ | 2,316 | 464 | $39.3 \%$ |
| Shore weekdays: | 4,699 | 1,140 | $47.6 \%$ | 4,699 | 1,140 | $47.6 \%$ |
| Shore weekends: | 2,415 | 778 | $63.1 \%$ | 2,525 | 790 | $61.3 \%$ |
| Sub-totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Unguided: | 9,990 | 1,366 | $26.8 \%$ | 10,057 | 1,370 | $26.7 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Guided: | 9,685 | 1,107 | $22.4 \%$ | 9,916 | 1,141 | $22.5 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Shore: | 7,114 | 1,380 | $38.0 \%$ | 7,224 | 1,387 | $37.6 \%$ |
| Early Run Total | 26,789 | 2,235 | $16.4 \%$ | 27,197 | 2,259 | $16.3 \%$ |

Late Run

| Unguided weekdays: | 5,433 | 726 | $26.2 \%$ | 5,433 | 726 | $26.2 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends: | 3,507 | 550 | $30.7 \%$ | 3,548 | 553 | $30.5 \%$ |
| Guided weekdays: | 3,467 | 650 | $36.7 \%$ | 3,496 | 652 | $36.6 \%$ |
| Guided weekends: | 1,450 | 229 | $31.0 \%$ | 1,450 | 229 | $31.0 \%$ |
| Shore weekdays: | 1,319 | 860 | $127.8 \%$ | 1,319 | 860 | $127.8 \%$ |
| Shore weekends: | 673 | 226 | $65.8 \%$ | 673 | 226 | $65.8 \%$ |
| Sub-totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Unguided: | 8,940 | 911 | $20.0 \%$ | 8,981 | 913 | $19.9 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Guided: | 4,917 | 689 | $27.5 \%$ | 4,946 | 691 | $27.4 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Shore: | 1,992 | 889 | $87.5 \%$ | 1,992 | 889 | $87.5 \%$ |
| Late Run Total | 15,849 | 1,447 | $17.9 \%$ | 15,919 | 1,450 | $17.8 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 24. Estimated number of coho salmon harvested and number caught by anglers during each component of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Component | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Standard Error | Rel. Pre.b | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Standard Error | $\begin{gathered} \text { Rel. } \\ \text { Pre.b } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

## Early Run

| Unguided weekdays: | 1,135 | 392 | 67.7\% | 1,208 | 392 | 63.6\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unguided weekends: | 663 | 114 | 33.7\% | 694 | 119 | 33.6\% |
| Guided weekdays: | 726 | 228 | 61.6\% | 741 | 232 | 61.4\% |
| Guided weekends: | 142 | 38 | 52.5\% | 142 | 38 | 52.5\% |
| Shore weekdays: | 0 | 0 | \% | 0 | 0 | \% |
| Shore weekends: | 127 | 115 | 177.5\% | 127 | 115 | 177.5\% |
| Sub-totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided: | 1,798 | 408 | 44.5\% | 1,902 | 410 | 42.2\% |
| Guided: | 868 | 231 | 52.2\% | 883 | 235 | 52.2\% |
| Shore: | 127 | 115 | 177.5\% | 127 | 115 | 177.5\% |
| Early Run Total | 2,793 | 483 | 33.9\% | 2,912 | 486 | 32.7\% |

Late Run

| Unguided weekdays: | 1,731 | 383 | $43.4 \%$ | 1,778 | 379 | $41.8 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends : | 3,550 | 610 | $33.7 \%$ | 3,550 | 610 | $33.7 \%$ |
| Guided weekdays: | 178 | 64 | $70.5 \%$ | 191 | 66 | $67.7 \%$ |
| Guided weekends: | 97 | 26 | $52.5 \%$ | 103 | 52 | $99.0 \%$ |
| Shore weekdays: | 291 | 151 | $101.7 \%$ | 291 | 151 | $101.7 \%$ |
| Shore weekends: | 144 | 43 | $58.5 \%$ | 144 | 43 | $58.5 \%$ |
| Sub-totals |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$ Unguided: | 5,281 | 720 | $26.7 \%$ | 5,328 | 718 | $26.4 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Guided: | 275 | 69 | $49.2 \%$ | 294 | 84 | $56.0 \%$ |
| $\quad$ Shore: | 435 | 157 | $70.7 \%$ | 435 | 157 | $70.7 \%$ |
| Late Run Total | 5,991 | 740 | $24.2 \%$ | 6,057 | 740 | $23.9 \%$ |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 25. Summary of estimated angler effort, coho salmon harvest and coho salmon catch by all anglers for each river section of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Run | Downstream Section | Midstream Section | Upstream Section | Total | 95\% Confidence Interval |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effort | 216,074 | 23,735 | 28,512 | 268,321 | 252,317-284,325 |
| SE | 7,682 | 1,815 | 2,089 | 8,165 |  |
| Harvest | 26,789 | 2,119 | 2,793 | 31,701 | 27,171-36,231 |
| SE | 2,235 | 336 | 483 | 2,311 |  |
| Catch | 27,179 | 2,175 | 2,912 | 32,266 | 27,689 - 36,843 |
| SE | 2,259 | 338 | 486 | 2,335 |  |
| Late Run |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effort | 97,639 | 14,938 | 18,528 | 131,105 | 120,045-142,165 |
| SE | 5,338 | 1,138 | 1,432 | 5,643 |  |
| Harvest | 15,849 | 2,201 | 5,991 | 24,041 | 20,709 - 27,373 |
| SE | 1,447 | 498 | 740 | 1,700 |  |
| Catch | 15,919 | 2,213 | 6,057 | 24,189 | 20,852-27,526 |
| SE | 1,450 | 498 | 740 | 1,702 |  |

Table 26. Estimated number of sockeye salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden harvested and caught by anglers during the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Species | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {c }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | SE | Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {c }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | SE | Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | SE |

Early Run

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sockeye salmon | 114 | 83 | 267 | 124 | 26 | 40 | 26 | 40 | 140 | 92 | 293 | 130 |
| Pink salmon | 23,192 | 3,866 | 75,732 | 11,543 | 7,583 | 1,206 | 28,455 | 3,786 | 30,775 | 4,050 | 104,187 | 12,148 |
| Rainbow trout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dolly Varden | 412 | 347 | 412 | 347 | 0 | 0 | 26 | 36 | 412 | 347 | 438 | 349 |

## Late Run

| Sockeye salmon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pink salmon | 207 | 68 | 2,189 | 542 | 91 | 34 | 1,132 | 298 | 298 | 76 | 3,321 | 619 |
| Rainbow trout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dolly Varden | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 12 |

a Includes all shore anglers.
b Harvest includes only fish kept.
c Standard error.
d Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 27. Estimated number of sockeye salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden harvested and caught by anglers during the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Species | a |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | SE | Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | SE | Harves $\mathrm{t}^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | SE |

## Early Run

| Sockeye salmon | 3,588 | 659 | 5,003 | 778 | 236 | 161 | 429 | 174 | 3,824 | 678 | 5,432 | 797 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pink Salmon | 252 | 80 | 3,355 | 877 | 113 | 35 | 285 | 87 | 365 | 87 | 3,640 | 881 |
| Rainbow trout | 28 | 12 | 340 | 68 | 4 | 6 | 50 | 32 | 32 | 13 | 390 | 75 |
| Dolly Varden | 1,099 | 345 | 2,680 | 625 | 11 | 4 | 35 | 11 | 1,110 | 345 | 2,715 | 625 |

## Late Run

| Sockeye salmon | 0 | 0 | 186 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 240 | 69 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Pink salmon | 608 | 119 | 7,499 | 1,110 | 29 | 10 | 675 | 191 | 637 | 119 | 8,174 | 1,126 |
| Rainbow trout | 9 | 10 | 46 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 10 | 46 | 35 |
| Dolly Varden | 130 | 32 | 165 | 39 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 32 | 165 | 39 |

a Includes all shore anglers.
b Harvest includes only fish kept.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

## Biological Data:

The most abundant age groups in the early-run harvest were ages-2.1 and -3.1 coho salmon which composed $54.1 \%$ and $32.1 \%$ of the sample, respectively (Table 28). Ages-1.1 and -2.1 coho salmon were the most abundant age groups in the late-run harvest, contributing $18.2 \%$ and $66.8 \%$ of the sample, respectively (Table 28). The mean lengths at age for each sex were greater in late-run fish than in early-run fish for all age groups (Table 29).

## RECOMMENDATIONS

I recommend the following changes to the sample design:

## Chinook Salmon Fishery

Three spatial strata (downstream, midstream, and upstream) are unnecessary and should be simplified to two strata: downstream and upstream (combine the old midstream and upstream strata). The upstream fishery is significant for the early run and will be surveyed. However, the upstream fishery for the late run is insignificant, will not be surveyed, and will not be extrapolated for.

Coho Salmon Fishery
Spatial stratification should be conducted for the coho salmon fishery. The upstream fishery is significant for both runs and will be surveyed.

HPUE and CPUE will be estimated with completed-trip interviews only. As shown in 1990, the survey can be designed to collect sufficient completed-trip interviews. Elimination of incompleted-trip interviews eliminates a potential source of bias.
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Table 28. Age composition of coho salmon sampled from the harvest during the early and late runs of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Run | Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 | Other |  |
| $\frac{\text { Early Run }}{(\mathrm{n}=209)^{\mathrm{a}}}$ | Male | Percent | 7.6 | 23.0 | 15.7 | 1.5 | 47.7 |
|  | Female | Percent | 4.3 | 31.1 | 16.3 | 0.5 | 52.2 |
|  | Combined | Percent | 11.9 | 54.1 | 32.0 | 2.0 | 100.0 |
|  |  | SE | 2.3 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 1.0 |  |
| Late run$(\mathrm{n}=214)^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Male | Percent | 8.9 | 32.2 | 7.5 | 1.9 | 50.5 |
|  | Female | Percent | 9.3 | 34.6 | 5.1 | 0.5 | 49.5 |
|  | Combined | Percent | 18.2 | 66.8 | 12.6 | 2.4 | 100.0 |
|  |  | SE | 2.7 | 3.2 | 2.3 | 1.0 |  |

a $n=$ sample size.

Table 29. Mean length (millimeters) by age group of coho salmon sampled from the harvest during the early and late runs of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Run | Sex | Age Group |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 | Other |

Early Run

| Male | Mean Length | 500 | 597 | 647 | 318 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | Standard Error | 18 | 5 | 4 | 11 |
|  | Sample size | 16 | 48 | 32 | 3 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Mean Length | 539 | 593 | 629 |  |
|  | Standard Error | 7 | 4 | 5 |  |
|  | Sample size | 9 | 65 | 34 |  |

## Late Run

| Male | Mean Length | 586 | 652 | 679 | 526 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard Error | 16 | 3 | 6 | 71 |
|  | Sample size | 19 | 69 | 16 | 4 |
| Female | Mean Length | 577 | 631 | 660 | 620 |
|  | Standard Error | 9 | 5 | 8 |  |
|  | Sample size | 20 | 74 | 11 | 1 |
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## APPENDIX A

Summarized data used to test the hypothesis of no difference in frequency of zero catch for completed-trip and incompleted-trip anglers interviewed during the recreational fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

Appendix A1. Results of comparison of completed- versus incompleted-trip interviews for coho salmon creel survey in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Fishery Component | Percent of Harvest | Interview Type |  | df | $x^{2}$ | $p$-value | Percent of Anglers with 0 fish |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Complete | Incomplete |  |  |  | Complete | Incomplete |
| Downstream: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Boat Guided | 19 | 142 | 229 | 3 | 24.4 | $<0.001$ | 51 | 64 |
| Early Boat Unguided | 19 | 179 | 306 | 3 | 3.9 | 0.276 | 75 | 79 |
| Early Shore | 14 | 15 | 142 | 3 | 1.8 | 0.611 | 87 | 72 |
| Late Boat Guided | 10 | 111 | 337 | 3 | 22.2 | $<0.001$ | 31 | 52 |
| Late Boat Unguided | 17 | 115 | 572 | 3 | 41.9 | <0.001 | 50 | 66 |
| Late Shore | 4 | 4 | 92 | 3 | 5.6 | 0.062 | 25 | 73 |
| Upstream: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Early Boat Guided | 2 | 70 | 106 | 3 | 15.1 | 0.002 | 49 | 57 |
| Early Boat Unguided | 3 | 345 | 594 | 3 | 48.4 | $<0.001$ | 76 | 87 |
| Early Shore | $<1$ | 28 | 100 | 2 | 1.5 | 0.476 | 96 | 97 |
| Late Boat Guided | $<1$ | 73 | 167 | 3 | 50.3 | <0.001 | 20 | 46 |
| Late Boat Unguided | 10 | 596 | 881 | 3 | 42.9 | <0.001 | 69 | 76 |
| Late Shore | 1 | 19 | 41 | 3 | 11.2 | 0.011 | 53 | 83 |

## APPENDIX B

Counts of boat anglers during the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

Appendix B1. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 05/17 | Wd | 10 | 12 |  |  |  | 7 | 17 |  |  |  |
| 05/18 | Wd |  |  | 14 |  |  |  |  | 34 |  |  |
| 05/19 | We | 0 | 66 | 54 | 34 | 14 | 0 | 69 | 70 | 36 | 0 |
| 05/20 | We | 0 | 74 | 68 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 77 | 45 | 42 | 0 |
| 05/21 | Wd |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOS |  |  |
| 05/22 | Wd |  | 19 |  |  |  |  | 78 |  |  |  |
| 05/23 | Wd |  | 25 | 38 | 27 |  |  | 59 | 50 | 9 |  |
| 05/24 | Wd | 19 |  | 28 | 44 | 33 | 47 |  | 46 | 44 | 0 |
| 05/25 | Wd | 30 |  |  |  | 67 | 77 |  |  |  | 23 |
| 05/26 | We | 85 |  | 115 | 182 | 137 | 126 |  | 122 | 64 | 5 |
| 05/27 | We | 46 | 204 | 158 | 154 | 33 | 19 | 155 | 73 | 80 | 2 |
| 05/28 | We | 109 | 132 | 83 | 50 | 58 | 91 | 120 | 45 | 31 | 17 |
| 05/29 | Wd |  |  | 21 | 38 | 45 |  |  | 80 | 4 | 5 |
| 05/30 | Wd | 32 | 56 |  |  |  | 53 | 80 |  |  |  |
| 05/31 | Wd |  |  | 46 |  | 8 |  |  | 54 |  | 4 |
| 06/01 | Wd | 37 | 36 |  |  |  | 92 |  |  |  |  |
| 06/02 | We | 9 | 110 | 181 | 99 | 23 | 48 | 25 |  |  |  |
| 06/03 | We |  | 145 | 188 | 134 | 63 | 118 | 100 |  |  |  |
| 06/04 | Wd |  |  | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOS |  |  |
| 06/05 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/06 | Wd | 49 |  |  |  | 112 | 185 | 137 |  |  |  |

-Continued-

Appendix B1. (Page 2 of 2 ).

a Closed to the retention of chinook salmon; hook and release fishing only.

Appendix B2. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

a Closed to the use of bait.
b Closed to the retention of chinook salmon; hook and release fishing only.

Appendix B3. Counts of boats during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the midstream of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Date | Boat Count Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\text { A }}$ | B | C |
| 05/28 We | 11 |  |  |
| 05/29 Wd |  |  |  |
| 05/30 Wd |  | 8 |  |
| 05/31 Wd | 3 |  |  |
| 06/01 Wd |  | 3 |  |
| 06/02 We |  |  | 5 |
| 06/03 We |  |  |  |
| 06/04 Wd | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 06/05 Wd |  | 22 |  |
| 06/06 Wd |  |  | (Weather) |
| 06/07 Wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2 |  |  |
| $06 / 08$ $06 / 09$ $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {a }}$ |  | 9 |  |
| 06/10 We ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| 06/11 Wda | ClOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 06/12 Wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 6 | 6 |
| 06/13 Wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 2 |  |
| 06/14 Wda | 5 |  |  |
| 06/15 Wda |  |  |  |
| 06/16 We ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | (Weather) |
| 06/17 We ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | 3 |
| 06/18 Wd | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 06/19 Wda | 22 |  |  |
| 06/20 Wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 12 |  |
| 06/21 Wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | 19 |  |
| 06/22 Wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| 06/23 We ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| 06/24 $\mathrm{We}^{\text {a }}$ | 11 |  |  |
| $06 / 25 \mathrm{Wd}$ | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 06/26 Wda |  |  | $1$ |
| 06/28 $\mathrm{Wd}^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| 06/29 Wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9 |  |  |
| 06/30 $\mathrm{We}^{\text {a }}$ |  | 13 |  |
| 07/01 We | 41 | 24 | 13 |
| 07/02 Wd | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/03 Wd | 57 | 33 |  |
| 07/04 We |  |  | 51 |
| 07/05 Wd |  | 32 |  |
| 07/06 Wd |  |  |  |
| 07/07 We | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/08 We | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/09 Wd | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/10 Wd | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/11 Wd | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/12 Wd | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/13 Wd | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/14 We | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |
| 07/15 We | CLOSED | CLOSED | CLOSED |

a Closed to retention of chinook salmon; hook and release fishing only.

a Closed to the use of bait.
b Closed to retention of chinook salmon; hook and release fishing only.

## APPENDIX C

Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, harvest rate, and catch rate for anglers interviewed during the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990

Appendix C1. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by unguided anglers interviewed during the early run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WD/ } \\ & \text { WE } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUEC | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 517 | Wd | 9 | 5.4 | 0.47 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 518 | Wd | 4 | 2.5 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 519 | We | 39 | 3.6 | 0.29 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 520 | We | 12 | 2.5 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 523 | Wd | 15 | 3.2 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 524 | Wd | 13 | 8.3 | 0.50 | 0.08 | 0.077 | 0.009 | 0.08 | 0.077 | 0.009 |
| 525 | Wd | 3 | 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 526 | We | 46 | 2.7 | 0.19 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 527 | We | 49 | 3.4 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.035 | 0.018 | 0.06 | 0.035 | 0.018 |
| 528 | We | 40 | 3.4 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.03 | 0.025 | 0.007 |
| 529 | Wd | 8 | 4.6 | 1.01 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.027 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.027 |
| 530 | Wd | 16 | 3.3 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 531 | Wd | 7 | 2.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 601 | Wd | 5 | 1.3 | 0.12 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.154 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.154 |
| 602 | We | 31 | 2.9 | 0.30 | 0.06 | 0.045 | 0.022 | 0.16 | 0.067 | 0.056 |
| 603 | We | 38 | 4.7 | 0.52 | 0.05 | 0.037 | 0.011 | 0.08 | 0.044 | 0.017 |
| 606 | Wd | 38 | 3.3 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 608 | Wd | 2 | 0.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 609 | We | 19 | 2.5 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.11 | 0.072 | 0.042 |
| 610 | We | 15 | 2.7 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.07 | 0.067 | 0.024 |
| 612 | Wd | 10 | 3.7 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.027 |
| 614 | Wd | 13 | 2.7 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 615 | Wd | 5 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 616 | We | 6 | 4.0 | 0.89 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 617 | We | 16 | 2.6 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.31 | 0.198 | 0.122 |
| 619 | Wd | 9 | 3.7 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.061 |
| 620 | Wd | 7 | 5.7 | 1.39 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.14 | 0.143 | 0.025 |
| 621 | Wd | 19 | 2.9 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.37 | 0.114 | 0.128 |
| 622 | Wd | 8 | 3.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 623 | We | 19 | 3.4 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 624 | We | 31 | 4.1 | 0.43 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.19 | 0.072 | 0.047 |
| 626 | Wd | 15 | 3.3 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.20 | 0.107 | 0.060 |
| 627 | Wd | 12 | 3.6 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.08 | 0.083 | 0.023 |
| 628 | Wd | 4 | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 629 | Wd | 10 | 3.4 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.030 |
| 630 | We | 12 | 4.9 | 0.83 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

[^4]Appendix C2. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by unguided anglers interviewed during the late run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WD/ } \\ & \text { WE } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 701 | We | 101 | 4.8 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.030 | 0.021 | 0.12 | 0.032 | 0.025 |
| 703 | Wd | 32 | 3.8 | 0.31 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.033 | 0.13 | 0.059 | 0.033 |
| 704 | We | 56 | 3.8 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.025 | 0.009 | 0.04 | 0.025 | 0.009 |
| 705 | Wd | 2 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 706 | Wd | 23 | 2.3 | 0.27 | 0.09 | 0.060 | 0.037 | 0.13 | 0.072 | 0.056 |
| 707 | We | 81 | 3.8 | 0.23 | 0.01 | 0.012 | 0.003 | 0.04 | 0.021 | 0.010 |
| 708 | We | 129 | 3.2 | 0.13 | 0.02 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 0.04 | 0.017 | 0.012 |
| 710 | Wd | 57 | 4.2 | 0.31 | 0.02 | 0.018 | 0.004 | 0.04 | 0.025 | 0.008 |
| 711 | Wd | 23 | 3.7 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.04 | 0.043 | 0.012 |
| 712 | Wd | 92 | 3.7 | 0.17 | 0.04 | 0.021 | 0.012 | 0.13 | 0.047 | 0.035 |
| 713 | Wd | 27 | 5.6 | 0.61 | 0.07 | 0.051 | 0.013 | 0.11 | 0.062 | 0.020 |
| 714 | We | 110 | 4.2 | 0.18 | 0.04 | 0.018 | 0.009 | 0.08 | 0.032 | 0.020 |
| 715 | We | 135 | 3.8 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.026 | 0.027 | 0.10 | 0.026 | 0.027 |
| 717 | Wd | 46 | 3.5 | 0.24 | 0.11 | 0.046 | 0.031 | 0.28 | 0.102 | 0.081 |
| 718 | Wd | 101 | 3.3 | 0.20 | 0.06 | 0.024 | 0.018 | 0.07 | 0.025 | 0.021 |
| 719 | Wd | 59 | 4.1 | 0.26 | 0.07 | 0.033 | 0.017 | 0.07 | 0.033 | 0.017 |
| 720 | Wd | 65 | 4.5 | 0.33 | 0.02 | 0.015 | 0.003 | 0.05 | 0.026 | 0.010 |
| 721 | We | 115 | 3.8 | 0.18 | 0.01 | 0.009 | 0.002 | 0.03 | 0.015 | 0.007 |
| 722 | We | 138 | 4.0 | 0.14 | 0.09 | 0.024 | 0.022 | 0.11 | 0.027 | 0.027 |
| 724 | Wd | 83 | 3.5 | 0.14 | 0.12 | 0.036 | 0.034 | 0.13 | 0.037 | 0.037 |
| 725 | Wd | 132 | 4.9 | 0.22 | 0.06 | 0.021 | 0.012 | 0.08 | 0.023 | 0.015 |
| 726 | Wd | 82 | 3.9 | 0.14 | 0.02 | 0.017 | 0.006 | 0.02 | 0.017 | 0.006 |
| 727 | Wd | 42 | 4.5 | 0.43 | 0.07 | 0.040 | 0.016 | 0.07 | 0.040 | 0.016 |
| 728 | We | 16 | 3.3 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 729 | We | 13 | 3.3 | 0.56 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 731 | Wd | 12 | 2.5 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
b Standard Error.
c Harvest per hour.
d Catch per hour.

Appendix C3. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by guided anglers interviewed during the early run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (completedtrip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WD/ } \\ & \text { WE } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 519 | We | 8 | 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 520 | We | 19 | 4.6 | 0.42 | 0.11 | 0.072 | 0.023 | 0.11 | 0.072 | 0.023 |
| 523 | Wd | 6 | 6.0 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.028 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.028 |
| 524 | Wd | 14 | 5.6 | 0.06 | 0.07 | 0.071 | 0.013 | 0.07 | 0.071 | 0.013 |
| 525 | Wd | 20 | 4.7 | 0.32 | 0.30 | 0.105 | 0.065 | 0.45 | 0.114 | 0.097 |
| 526 | We | 51 | 5.9 | 0.13 | 0.08 | 0.038 | 0.013 | 0.08 | 0.038 | 0.013 |
| 527 | We | 22 | 5.5 | 0.13 | 0.09 | 0.063 | 0.016 | 0.09 | 0.063 | 0.016 |
| 528 | We | 15 | 5.2 | 0.59 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 529 | Wd | 9 | 5.7 | 0.59 | 0.33 | 0.167 | 0.059 | 0.33 | 0.167 | 0.059 |
| 530 | Wd | 4 | 3.8 | 0.83 | 0.50 | 0.289 | 0.133 | 0.50 | 0.289 | 0.133 |
| 601 | Wd | 9 | 4.0 | 0.87 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.056 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.056 |
| 602 | We | 8 | 1.9 | 0.06 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.065 | 0.25 | 0.164 | 0.129 |
| 603 | We | 16 | 5.0 | 0.45 | 0.25 | 0.112 | 0.050 | 0.44 | 0.128 | 0.088 |
| 606 | Wd | 20 | 5.6 | 0.28 | 0.10 | 0.069 | 0.018 | 0.10 | 0.069 | 0.018 |
| 607 | Wd | 6 | 4.8 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.67 | 0.333 | 0.140 |
| 608 | Wd | 2 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.100 |
| 609 | We | 6 | 5.3 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 1.67 | 0.667 | 0.313 |
| 612 | Wd | 18 | 5.6 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.78 | 0.263 | 0.138 |
| 614 | Wd | 8 | 5.3 | 0.54 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.75 | 0.250 | 0.143 |
| 615 | Wd | 15 | 5.7 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.53 | 0.192 | 0.093 |
| 616 | We | 10 | 5.7 | 0.08 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.80 | 0.359 | 0.140 |
| 619 | Wd | 7 | 4.6 | 0.51 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.14 | 0.143 | 0.031 |
| 620 | Wd | 27 | 6.0 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.81 | 0.151 | 0.135 |
| 621 | Wd | 34 | 5.3 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.50 | 0.142 | 0.095 |
| 623 | We | 18 | 4.7 | 0.34 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.17 | 0.090 | 0.036 |
| 626 | Wd | 45 | 5.8 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.49 | 0.113 | 0.085 |
| 627 | Wd | 24 | 5.2 | 0.07 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.25 | 0.109 | 0.048 |
| 629 | Wd | 13 | 5.7 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.23 | 0.166 | 0.041 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
b Standard Error.
c Harvest per hour.
d Catch per hour.

Appendix C4. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by guided anglers interviewed during the late run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (completedtrip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { WD/ } \\ & \text { WE } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 703 | Wd | 45 | 6.2 | 0.40 | 0.16 | 0.055 | 0.025 | 0.22 | 0.063 | 0.036 |
| 704 | We | 18 | 6.5 | 0.46 | 0.39 | 0.118 | 0.060 | 0.39 | 0.118 | 0.060 |
| 705 | Wd | 16 | 5.7 | 0.06 | 0.31 | 0.120 | 0.055 | 0.38 | 0.125 | 0.066 |
| 706 | Wd | 84 | 5.3 | 0.27 | 0.40 | 0.054 | 0.076 | 0.48 | 0.064 | 0.089 |
| 707 | We | 112 | 5.2 | 0.19 | 0.19 | 0.037 | 0.036 | 0.24 | 0.041 | 0.046 |
| 710 | Wd | 8 | 4.9 | 0.66 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.025 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.025 |
| 711 | Wd | 52 | 5.4 | 0.15 | 0.10 | 0.041 | 0.018 | 0.13 | 0.048 | 0.025 |
| 712 | Wd | 67 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 0.28 | 0.055 | 0.057 | 0.37 | 0.060 | 0.075 |
| 713 | Wd | 69 | 5.0 | 0.14 | 0.22 | 0.050 | 0.043 | 0.28 | 0.058 | 0.055 |
| 714 | We | 100 | 6.2 | 0.23 | 0.18 | 0.039 | 0.029 | 0.26 | 0.050 | 0.042 |
| 717 | Wd | 68 | 4.3 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.057 | 0.075 | 0.44 | 0.077 | 0.102 |
| 718 | Wd | 65 | 5.6 | 0.38 | 0.23 | 0.053 | 0.041 | 0.43 | 0.098 | 0.077 |
| 719 | Wd | 129 | 5.4 | 0.20 | 0.20 | 0.035 | 0.038 | 0.29 | 0.046 | 0.053 |
| 720 | Wd | 13 | 6.5 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.104 | 0.024 | 0.23 | 0.122 | 0.035 |
| 721 | We | 37 | 5.2 | 0.37 | 0.32 | 0.078 | 0.063 | 0.35 | 0.080 | 0.068 |
| 724 | Wd | 81 | 5.5 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.043 | 0.034 | 0.22 | 0.046 | 0.041 |
| 726 | Wd | 48 | 5.0 | 0.21 | 0.25 | 0.063 | 0.050 | 0.25 | 0.063 | 0.050 |
| 727 | Wd | 61 | 5.0 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.038 | 0.020 | 0.10 | 0.038 | 0.020 |
| 728 | We | 8 | 5.6 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.022 |
| 731 | Wd | 31 | 6.1 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.68 | 0.149 | 0.111 |

[^5]Appendix C5. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort by boat anglers during the fishery for chinook salmon in the midstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

|  | Date | Boats | Anglers per Boat |  | Number Counts | Boat Count |  | Daily Effort |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Mean | Variance |  | Mean | Variance | Mean | Variance |
|  | 531 | 1 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 162 | 0 |
|  | 601 | 1 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 162 | 0 |
|  | 605 | 2 | 2.50 | 0.250 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 990 | 39,204 |
|  | 607 | 2 | 3.50 | 0.250 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 126 | 324 |
|  | 612 | 1 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 3 | 4 | 4 | 216 | 11,664 |
|  | 619 | 2 | 2.50 | 0.250 | 1 | 22 | 0 | 990 | 39,204 |
|  | 620 | 2 | 2.50 | 0.250 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 540 | 11,664 |
|  | 621 | 3 | 4.00 | 0.000 | 1 | 19 | 0 | 1,368 | 0 |
|  | 624 | 1 | 4.00 | 0.000 | 1 | 11 | 0 | 792 | 0 |
| $\stackrel{\infty}{\circ}$ | 626 | 6 | 2.83 | 0.028 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 51 | 9 |
|  | 630 | 2 | 2.50 | 0.250 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 585 | 13,689 |
|  | 701 | 7 | 3.29 | 0.367 | 3 | 26 | 66 | 1,538 | 304,589 |
|  | 703 | 19 | 2.58 | 0.025 | 2 | 45 | 144 | 2,089 | 325,689 |
|  | 704 | 4 | 3.50 | 0.083 | 1 | 51 | 0 | 3,213 | 70,227 |
|  | 705 | 6 | 3.33 | 0.311 | 1 | 32 | 0 | 1,920 | 103,219 |
|  | 717 | 12 | 2.83 | 0.058 | 1 | 80 | 0 | 4,080 | 120,436 |
|  | 718 | 6 | 2.83 | 0.028 | 1 | 10 | 0 | 510 | 900 |
|  | 721 | 8 | 2.38 | 0.033 | 3 | 32 | 100 | 1,368 | 193,386 |
|  | 722 | 11 | 3.09 | 0.063 | 1 | 35 | 0 | 1,947 | 24,924 |
|  | 724 | 5 | 3.00 | 0.300 | 1 | 48 | 0 | 2,592 | 223,949 |
|  | 726 | 6 | 1.83 | 0.094 | 2 | 31 | 196 | 1,023 | 236,853 |
|  | 729 | 7 | 4.00 | 0.381 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 576 | 7,899 |
|  | 731 | 6 | 3.83 | 0.761 | 1 | 7 | 0 | 483 | 12,083 |

Appendix C6. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest and chinook salmon catch by anglers interviewed during the fishery for chinook salmon in the midstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Date | Estimated |  | SS ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\text { HPUE }{ }^{\mathbf{a}}$ |  | Estimated |  | $\text { CPUE }^{\text {b }}$ |  | Estimated |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Effort | $S E S^{\text {c }}$ |  | Mean | SE | Harvest | SE | Mean | SE | Catch | SE |
| 531 | 162 | 0 | 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 601 | 162 | 0 | 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 605 | 990 | 198 | 5 | 0.074 | 0.037 | 73 | 40 | 0.074 | 0.037 | 73 | 40 |
| 607 | 126 | 18 | 7 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 612 | 216 | 108 | 3 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 619 | 990 | 198 | 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 620 | 540 | 108 | 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.026 | 0.024 | 14 | 14 |
| 621 | 1,368 | 0 | 12 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.033 | 0.022 | 46 | 31 |
| 624 | 792 | 0 | 4 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.188 | 0.120 | 149 | 95 |
| 626 | 51 | 3 | 17 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.016 | 0.010 | 1 | 0 |
| 630 | 585 | 117 | 5 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 701 | 1,538 | 186 | 23 | 0.023 | 0.010 | 35 | 21 | 0.023 | 0.010 | 35 | 21 |
| 703 | 2,089 | 571 | 49 | 0.037 | 0.010 | 78 | 29 | 0.040 | 0.010 | 84 | 30 |
| 704 | 3,213 | 265 | 14 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 44 | 44 | 0.028 | 0.017 | 89 | 57 |
| 705 | 1.920 | 321 | 20 | 0.083 | 0.026 | 160 | 56 | 0.083 | 0.026 | 160 | 56 |
| 717 | 4,080 | 347 | 34 | 0.016 | 0.010 | 65 | 33 | 0.020 | 0.010 | 81 | 36 |
| 718 | 510 | 30 | 17 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 5 | 6 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 5 | 6 |
| 721 | 1,368 | 440 | 19 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 13 | 13 |
| 722 | 1,947 | 158 | 34 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 11 | 11 | 0.006 | 0.000 | 11 | 11 |
| 724 | 2,592 | 490 | 15 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 37 | 37 | 0.014 | 0.014 | 37 | 37 |
| 726 | 1,023 | 487 | 11 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 729 | 576 | 89 | 28 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 |
| 731 | 483 | 110 | 23 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.025 | 0.014 | 12 | 7 |

a Harvest per hour
b Catch per hour
c Standard error
d Sample size (anglers interviewed)

## APPENDIX D

Multiple analysis of variance of boat angler counts during the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990

Appendix D1. Estimation of within-period variance for estimates of total effort during the recreational fishery for chinook salmon on the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

|  | Period A | Period B |  | Period C |  | Period D |  | Period E |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Count | Date | Count | Date | Count | Date | Count | Date | Count |  |
| 5/20 | 0 | 5/23 | 25 | 6/26 | 35 | 5/24 | 44 | 6/03 | 63 |  |
|  | 0 |  | 25 |  | 35 |  | 47 |  | 37 |  |
|  | 9 |  | 26 |  | 27 |  | 40 |  | 21 |  |
|  | 23 |  | 33 |  | 41 |  | 48 |  | 18 |  |
| Mean count | 8.0 |  | 27.3 |  | 34.5 |  | 44.8 |  | 34.8 |  |
| Variance ${ }_{\text {Rel }}$. Prec. $(95 \% \mathrm{CI})^{\text {a }}$ | 46.2 |  | 8.3 |  | 43.3 |  | 20.3 |  | 156.8 |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35.3 |  |
| 6/22 | 0 | 6/01 | 26 |  |  | 5/28 | 52 | 6/23 | 69 |  |
|  | 12 |  | 24 |  |  |  | 55 |  | 59 |  |
|  | 12 |  | 41 |  |  |  | 50 |  | 50 |  |
|  | 29 |  | 36 |  |  |  | 56 |  | 24 |  |
| Mean count | 13.3 |  | 31.8 |  |  |  | 53.3 |  | 50.5 |  |
| Rel. Prec. (95\% CI) | 72.2 |  | 53.0 |  |  |  | 11.7 |  | 142.8 |  |
|  | 62.8 |  | 22.5 |  |  |  | 6.3 |  | 23.2 |  |
|  |  | 6/30 | 42 |  |  |  |  | 6/27 | 34 |  |
|  |  |  | 42 |  |  |  |  |  | 35 |  |
|  |  |  | 91 |  |  |  |  |  | 29 |  |
|  |  |  | 96 |  |  |  |  |  | 9 |  |
| Mean count |  |  | 67.8 |  |  |  |  |  | 26.8 |  |
| Variance |  |  | 404.3 |  |  |  |  |  | 72.8 |  |
| Rel. Prec. (95\% CI) |  |  | 29.1 |  |  |  |  |  | 31.3 |  |
| Mean 2nd stage variance | 59.2 |  | 155.2 |  | 43.3 |  | 16.0 |  | 124.2 |  |
| Estimates for unguided weekdays 5/16-6/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total effort b | 1,652 |  | 1,941 |  | 1,428 |  | 2,240 |  | 2,725 | 1,9,987 |
| Single stage variance ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 97,582 |  | 507,335 |  | 64,967 |  | 12,544 |  | 703,440 | 1,385,868 |
| Two stage variance (est.) ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 56,589 |  | 400,794 |  | 37,731 |  | 10,080 |  | 403,704 | 908,898 |
| 2nd stage component (est.) | 828 |  | 2,173 |  | 607 |  | 224 |  | 1,738 | 5,570 |
| Percent of total two stage | 1.46 |  | 0.54 |  | 1.61 |  | 2.22 |  | 0.43 | 0.61 |

a Relative Precision (95\% Confidence Interval).
b Variance estimated by existing method assuming one stage sample design, among counts.
c Estimate of variance when design has two stages, among days and among counts within days.

## APPENDIX E

Counts of anglers during the creel survey of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990

Appendix E1. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers and shore anglers during the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  | Shore Anglers Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |
| 08/03 | Wd | 164 |  |  | 236 | 189 |  |  | 23 | 130 |  |  | 168 |
| 08/04 | We |  | 182 |  | 256 |  | 82 |  | 23 |  | 121 |  | 173 |
| 08/05 | We | 233 |  | 261 |  | 147 |  | 65 |  | 96 |  | 230 |  |
| 08/06 | Wd |  | 126 | 106 |  |  | 198 | 54 |  |  | 112 | 124 |  |
| 08/07 | Wd | 175 | 166 |  |  | 234 | 141 |  |  | 111 | 170 |  |  |
| 08/09 | Wd |  |  | 144 | 162 |  |  | 85 | 23 |  |  | 164 | 214 |
| 08/11 | We | 490 |  |  | 431 | 191 |  |  | 29 | 195 |  |  | 290 |
| 08/12 | We |  | 601 | 325 |  |  | 256 | 104 |  |  | 191 | 179 |  |
| 08/13 | Wd |  | 183 | 159 |  |  | 168 | 43 |  |  | 140 | 147 |  |
| 08/16 | Wd |  |  | 219 | 106 |  |  | 119 | 32 |  |  | 204 | 67 |
| 08/17 | Wd | 147 | 210 |  |  | 177 | 231 |  |  | 79 | 129 |  |  |
| 08/18 | We | 420 | 425 |  |  | 207 | 131 |  |  | 123 | 229 |  |  |
| 08/19 | We |  |  | 213 | 143 |  |  | 57 | 24 |  |  | 243 | 171 |
| 08/22 | Wd | 136 |  | 175 |  | 113 |  | 102 |  | 62 |  | 199 |  |
| 08/23 | Wd |  |  | 164 | 121 |  |  | 58 | 11 |  |  | 198 | 157 |
| 08/24 | Wd | 223 | 223 |  |  | 174 | 170 |  |  | 85 | 101 |  |  |
| 08/25 | We |  | 436 | 321 |  |  | 194 | 68 |  |  | 147 | 162 |  |
| 08/26 | We | 237 |  |  | 27 | 130 |  |  | 4 | 63 |  |  | 78 |
| 08/27 | Wd | 108 |  | 53 |  | 174 |  | 47 |  | 59 |  | 27 |  |
| 08/28 | Wd | 71 |  |  | 68 | 80 |  |  | 3 | 46 |  |  | 71 |
| 08/31 | Wd | 149 |  | 135 |  | 133 |  | 69 |  | 74 |  | 71 |  |
| 09/01 | We |  | 329 | 162 |  |  | 69 | 0 |  |  | 101 | 81 |  |
| 09/02 | We | 329 | 407 |  |  | 129 | 55 |  |  | 123 | 134 |  |  |
| 09/04 | Wd |  | 85 | 103 |  |  | 106 |  |  |  | 43 | 59 |  |
| 09/05 | Wd | 160 |  | 79 |  | 135 |  | 40 |  | 46 |  | 48 |  |
| 09/07 | Wd | 234 | 144 |  |  | 250 | 91 |  |  | 110 | 56 |  |  |
| 09/08 | We | 371 | 166 |  |  | 179 | 61 |  |  | 80 | 86 |  |  |
| 09/10 | Wd | 122 |  | 87 |  | 135 |  | 67 |  | 45 |  | 66 |  |
| 09/11 | Wd |  | 150 | 71 |  |  | 93 | 17 |  |  | 55 | 38 |  |
| 09/13 | Wd | 232 |  |  |  | 166 |  |  |  | 55 |  |  |  |
| 09/15 | We |  | 384 | 420 |  |  | 121 | 70 |  |  | 109 | 112 |  |
| 09/16 | We | 345 |  | 49 |  | 119 |  | 17 |  | 73 |  | 28 |  |
| 09/17 | Wd | 115 | 75 |  |  | 62 | 34 |  |  | 32 | 47 |  |  |
| 09/18 | Wd |  | 90 | 55 |  |  | 37 | 7 |  |  | 39 | 33 |  |
| 09/19 | Wd | 121 |  | 37 |  | 28 |  | 11 |  | 23 |  | 22 |  |
| 09/22 | We | 173 | 91 |  |  | 67 | 29 |  |  | 32 | 23 |  |  |
| 09/23 | We |  | 214 | 105 |  |  | 64 | 23 |  |  | 25 | 38 |  |
| 09/26 | Wd | 80 |  | 52 |  | 46 |  | 52 |  | 26 |  | 19 |  |
| 09/27 | Wd |  | 60 | 56 |  |  | 32 | 14 |  |  | 19 | 16 |  |
| 09/28 | Wd | 109 | 121 |  |  | 68 | 63 |  |  | 24 | 21 |  |  |
| 09/29 | We | 235 |  | 140 |  | 79 |  | 6 |  | 33 |  | 35 |  |
| 09/30 | We |  | 95 |  |  |  | 21 |  |  |  | 25 |  |  |

Appendix E2. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers and shore anglers during the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers$\qquad$ Period |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  | Shore Anglers Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |
| 08/03 | Wd |  | 67 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | 59 |  |  |
| 08/04 | We |  | 109 |  | 29 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 67 |  | 56 |
| 08/05 | We | 17 |  | 64 |  | 6 |  | 2 |  | 55 | 83 |  |  |
| 08/06 | Wd |  | 29 | 23 |  |  | 3 | 8 |  |  | 41 | 39 |  |
| 08/07 | Wd | 10 | 50 |  |  | 4 | 13 |  |  | 32 | 64 |  |  |
| 08/09 | Wd |  |  | 44 | 26 |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |  | 28 | 18 |
| 08/11 | We |  | 78 |  | 34 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  | 39 |  | 21 |
| 08/12 | We |  | 90 | 65 |  |  | 8 | 12 |  |  | 16 | 23 |  |
| 08/13 | Wd |  | 36 | 27 |  |  | 7 | 2 |  |  | 4 | 3 |  |
| 08/16 | Wd |  |  | 24 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 4 |  |  | 7 |  |
| 08/17 | Wd | 6 | 32 |  |  | 0 | 4 |  |  | 2 | 9 |  |  |
| 08/18 | We | 65 | 104 |  |  | 9 | 4 |  |  | 10 | 18 |  |  |
| 08/19 | We |  |  | 39 | 10 |  |  | 9 | 3 |  |  | 16 | 3 |
| 08/22 | Wd |  |  | 30 |  |  |  | 18 |  |  |  | 3 |  |
| 08/23 | Wd |  |  | 25 | 12 |  |  | 15 | 0 |  |  | 9 | 3 |
| 08/24 | Wd | 24 | 51 |  |  | 23 | 28 |  |  | 1 | 7 |  |  |
| 08/25 | We |  | 90 | 146 |  |  | 23 | 5 |  |  | 16 | 16 |  |
| 08/26 | We | 55 |  |  | 10 | 10 |  |  | 0 | 1 |  |  | 3 |
| 08/27 | Wd | 19 | 19 |  |  | 7 | 7 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |  |
| 08/31 | Wd | 21 |  | 39 |  | 10 |  | 10 |  |  | 35 |  |  |
| 09/01 | We | 97 |  | 138 |  | 16 |  | 0 |  | 14 |  | 18 |  |
| 09/02 | We |  | 163 | 48 |  |  | 9 | 3 |  |  | 25 | 24 |  |
| 09/04 | Wd |  | 33 | 18 |  |  | 18 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 1 |  |
| 09/05 | Wd | 20 |  | 22 |  | 3 |  | 6 |  | 0 |  | 3 |  |
| 09/07 | Wd | 30 | 31 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 1 |  | 3 |  |
| 09/08 | We | 49 | 54 |  |  | 4 | 8 |  |  | 0 | 10 |  |  |
| 09/09 | We | 48 |  | 17 |  | 14 |  | 0 |  | 7 |  | 3 |  |
| 09/10 | Wd | 18 |  | 9 |  | 32 |  | 0 |  | 11 |  | 2 |  |
| 09/11 | Wd |  | 26 | 5 |  |  | 5 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 09/14 | Wd | 29 | 36 |  |  | 14 | 6 |  |  | 1 | 2 |  |  |
| 09/15 | We |  | 106 | 58 |  |  | 8 | 5 |  |  | 6 | 9 |  |
| 09/16 | We | 39 |  | 10 |  | 1 |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 09/17 | Wd | 31 | 25 |  |  | 10 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |
| 09/18 | Wd |  | 25 | 24 |  |  | 5 | 0 |  |  | 1 | 4 |  |
| 09/22 | We | 41 | 31 |  |  | 6 | 6 |  |  | 0 | 6 |  |  |
| 09/23 | We |  | 61 | 42 |  |  | 6 | 0 |  |  | 4 | 5 |  |
| 09/24 | Wd | 28 | 33 |  |  | 5 | 4 |  |  | 0 | 5 |  |  |
| 09/25 | Wd |  | 25 | 19 |  |  | 8 | 0 |  |  | 4 | 4 |  |
| 09/26 | Wd | 37 |  | 30 |  | 5 |  | 2 |  | 2 |  | 0 |  |
| 09/29 | We |  | 101 | 112 |  |  | 3 | 4 |  |  | 3 | 6 |  |
| 09/30 | We | 119 |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX F

Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, harvest rate, and catch rate for anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990

Appendix F1. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by unguided boat anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (both completed-trip and incompleted-trip interviews).

| Date | Wd/ <br> We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S S S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 803 | Wd | 13 | 3.6 | 0.72 | 0.62 | 0.311 | 0.172 | 0.62 | 0.311 | 0.172 |
| 804 | We | 32 | 3.0 | 0.29 | 0.19 | 0.083 | 0.063 | 0.19 | 0.083 | 0.063 |
| 805 | We | 38 | 3.2 | 0.13 | 0.21 | 0.094 | 0.065 | 0.21 | 0.094 | 0.065 |
| 806 | Wd | 7 | 5.5 | 0.00 | 1.14 | 0.404 | 0.208 | 1.14 | 0.404 | 0.208 |
| 807 | Wd | 17 | 3.4 | 0.38 | 0.06 | 0.059 | 0.018 | 0.06 | 0.059 | 0.018 |
| 809 | Wd | 2 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 811 | We | 32 | 2.8 | 0.21 | 0.22 | 0.117 | 0.078 | 0.22 | 0.117 | 0.078 |
| 812 | We | 27 | 4.6 | 0.37 | 0.67 | 0.207 | 0.146 | 0.67 | 0.207 | 0.146 |
| 813 | Wd | 31 | 4.1 | 0.36 | 0.16 | 0.094 | 0.039 | 0.16 | 0.094 | 0.039 |
| 816 | Wd | 18 | 3.7 | 0.38 | 0.33 | 0.198 | 0.090 | 0.33 | 0.198 | 0.090 |
| 817 | Wd | 27 | 2.1 | 0.22 | 0.74 | 0.224 | 0.345 | 0.74 | 0.224 | 0.345 |
| 818 | We | 20 | 4.2 | 0.44 | 0.35 | 0.131 | 0.084 | 0.35 | 0.131 | 0.084 |
| 819 | We | 20 | 2.2 | 0.32 | 0.25 | 0.123 | 0.112 | 0.25 | 0.123 | 0.112 |
| 822 | Wd | 17 | 5.0 | 0.49 | 0.59 | 0.173 | 0.118 | 0.65 | 0.170 | 0.129 |
| 823 | Wd | 23 | 5.5 | 0.61 | 0.57 | 0.152 | 0.104 | 0.57 | 0.152 | 0.104 |
| 824 | Wd | 34 | 3.5 | 0.26 | 0.53 | 0.154 | 0.151 | 0.53 | 0.154 | 0.151 |
| 825 | We | 42 | 3.3 | 0.31 | 0.38 | 0.118 | 0.116 | 0.38 | 0.118 | 0.116 |
| 826 | We | 23 | 3.0 | 0.60 | 0.17 | 0.102 | 0.057 | 0.17 | 0.102 | 0.057 |
| 827 | Wd | 21 | 2.8 | 0.24 | 0.29 | 0.122 | 0.102 | 0.29 | 0.122 | 0.102 |
| 828 | Wd | 28 | 1.9 | 0.19 | 0.07 | 0.050 | 0.037 | 0.07 | 0.050 | 0.037 |
| 831 | Wd | 13 | 2.2 | 0.42 | 0.08 | 0.077 | 0.034 | 0.08 | 0.077 | 0.034 |

-Continued-

Appendix F1. (Page 2 of 2 ).

| Date | Wd/ <br> We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $S E^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 901 | We | 76 | 4.8 | 0.35 | 0.37 | 0.086 | 0.076 | 0.39 | 0.092 | 0.082 |
| 902 | We | 85 | 2.9 | 0.18 | 0.28 | 0.074 | 0.096 | 0.28 | 0.074 | 0.096 |
| 904 | Wd | 21 | 2.3 | 0.44 | 0.29 | 0.140 | 0.125 | 0.29 | 0.140 | 0.125 |
| 905 | Wd | 14 | 3.1 | 0.61 | 0.21 | 0.114 | 0.070 | 0.21 | 0.114 | 0.070 |
| 907 | Wd | 27 | 4.5 | 0.35 | 0.70 | 0.158 | 0.155 | 0.70 | 0.158 | 0.155 |
| 908 | We | 27 | 4.4 | 0.39 | 0.67 | 0.177 | 0.153 | 0.67 | 0.177 | 0.153 |
| 910 | Wd | 22 | 4.2 | 0.41 | 0.55 | 0.194 | 0.131 | 0.55 | 0.194 | 0.131 |
| 911 | Wd | 30 | 4.4 | 0.41 | 0.93 | 0.191 | 0.211 | 0.93 | 0.191 | 0.211 |
| 913 | Wd | 3 | 2.0 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 915 | We | 51 | 4.8 | 0.33 | 0.63 | 0.079 | 0.132 | 0.63 | 0.079 | 0.132 |
| 916 | We | 37 | 2.8 | 0.20 | 0.43 | 0.137 | 0.155 | 0.43 | 0.137 | 0.155 |
| 917 | Wd | 27 | 4.0 | 0.39 | 0.63 | 0.152 | 0.158 | 0.63 | 0.152 | 0.158 |
| 918 | Wd | 19 | 4.7 | 0.66 | 0.79 | 0.196 | 0.168 | 0.79 | 0.196 | 0.168 |
| 919 | Wd | 31 | 3.1 | 0.18 | 1.65 | 0.183 | 0.528 | 1.65 | 0.183 | 0.528 |
| 922 | We | 25 | 2.3 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.115 | 0.175 | 0.40 | 0.115 | 0.175 |
| 923 | We | 43 | 3.6 | 0.32 | 0.44 | 0.107 | 0.123 | 0.44 | 0.107 | 0.123 |
| 926 | Wd | 26 | 2.0 | 0.26 | 0.73 | 0.219 | 0.362 | 0.73 | 0.219 | 0.362 |
| 927 | Wd | 8 | 2.4 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.267 | 0.211 | 0.50 | 0.267 | 0.211 |
| 928 | Wd | 27 | 2.6 | 0.22 | 0.89 | 0.209 | 0.336 | 0.89 | 0.209 | 0.336 |
| 929 | We | 54 | 3.2 | 0.34 | 0.43 | 0.094 | 0.135 | 0.43 | 0.094 | 0.135 |
| 930 | We | 34 | 2.8 | 0.35 | 0.18 | 0.066 | 0.062 | 0.18 | 0.066 | 0.062 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
b Standard Error.
c Harvest per hour.
d Catch per hour.

Appendix F2. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by guided boat anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (both completed-trip and incompleted-trip interviews).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 803 | Wd | 12 | 1.6 | 0.25 | 0.50 | 0.261 | 0.308 | 0.50 | 0.261 | 0.308 |
| 804 | We | 11 | 6.2 | 0.25 | 1.27 | 0.407 | 0.204 | 1.27 | 0.407 | 0.204 |
| 805 | We | 10 | 2.9 | 0.21 | 0.70 | 0.367 | 0.241 | 0.70 | 0.367 | 0.241 |
| 806 | Wd | 4 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.577 | 0.200 | 1.00 | 0.577 | 0.200 |
| 807 | Wd | 8 | 5.6 | 0.27 | 1.75 | 0.491 | 0.315 | 1.88 | 0.441 | 0.337 |
| 809 | Wd | 17 | 7.5 | 1.06 | 1.12 | 0.331 | 0.150 | 1.12 | 0.331 | 0.150 |
| 811 | We | 27 | 3.2 | 0.17 | 0.26 | 0.137 | 0.081 | 0.30 | 0.149 | 0.092 |
| 812 | We | 17 | 6.2 | 0.11 | 1.35 | 0.363 | 0.217 | 1.35 | 0.363 | 0.217 |
| 813 | Wd | 26 | 7.7 | 0.41 | 0.92 | 0.241 | 0.121 | 1.04 | 0.251 | 0.136 |
| 816 | Wd | 23 | 5.6 | 0.48 | 0.57 | 0.234 | 0.102 | 0.57 | 0.234 | 0.102 |
| 817 | Wd | 17 | 2.5 | 0.26 | 1.47 | 0.333 | 0.581 | 1.59 | 0.384 | 0.628 |
| 818 | We | 15 | 4.9 | 0.22 | 1.47 | 0.336 | 0.301 | 1.47 | 0.336 | 0.301 |
| 819 | We | 3 | 3.5 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.667 | 0.190 | 0.67 | 0.667 | 0.190 |
| 822 | Wd | 20 | 5.5 | 0.17 | 1.35 | 0.244 | 0.248 | 1.35 | 0.244 | 0.248 |
| 823 | Wd | 10 | 6.2 | 0.76 | 0.60 | 0.306 | 0.097 | 0.60 | 0.306 | 0.097 |
| 824 | Wd | 20 | 3.3 | 0.06 | 0.75 | 0.204 | 0.229 | 0.75 | 0.204 | 0.229 |
| 825 | We | 20 | 5.7 | 0.16 | 0.35 | 0.182 | 0.061 | 0.35 | 0.182 | 0.061 |
| 826 | We | 22 | 2.3 | 0.05 | 0.36 | 0.124 | 0.158 | 0.36 | 0.124 | 0.158 |
| 827 | Wd | 43 | 5.0 | 0.27 | 1.00 | 0.176 | 0.202 | 1.00 | 0.176 | 0.202 |
| 828 | Wd | 15 | 2.3 | 0.07 | 0.13 | 0.133 | 0.058 | 0.13 | 0.133 | 0.058 |
| 831 | Wd | 31 | 3.0 | 0.42 | 0.58 | 0.145 | 0.190 | 0.58 | 0.145 | 0.190 |
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| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We} \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 901 | We | 15 | 6.8 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.287 | 0.098 | 0.67 | 0.287 | 0.098 |
| 902 | We | 43 | 5.0 | 0.25 | 0.86 | 0.181 | 0.171 | 0.86 | 0.181 | 0.171 |
| 904 | Wd | 24 | 3.7 | 0.40 | 0.63 | 0.179 | 0.169 | 0.63 | 0.179 | 0.169 |
| 905 | Wd | 38 | 4.0 | 0.32 | 0.66 | 0.132 | 0.163 | 0.66 | 0.132 | 0.163 |
| 907 | Wd | 25 | 6.4 | 0.32 | 0.72 | 0.169 | 0.113 | 0.76 | 0.166 | 0.119 |
| 908 | We | 31 | 5.0 | 0.29 | 0.94 | 0.196 | 0.186 | 0.94 | 0.196 | 0.186 |
| 910 | Wd | 27 | 6.5 | 0.26 | 1.19 | 0.185 | 0.182 | 1.19 | 0.185 | 0.182 |
| 911 | Wd | 16 | 8.2 | 0.46 | 2.63 | 0.155 | 0.322 | 2.63 | 0.155 | 0.322 |
| 915 | We | 15 | 5.9 | 0.56 | 0.53 | 0.215 | 0.091 | 0.53 | 0.215 | 0.091 |
| 916 | We | 29 | 3.8 | 0.34 | 0.86 | 0.170 | 0.229 | 0.86 | 0.170 | 0.229 |
| 917 | Wd | 22 | 5.3 | 0.39 | 1.05 | 0.167 | 0.197 | 1.09 | 0.185 | 0.205 |
| 918 | Wd | 12 | 7.5 | 0.26 | 1.50 | 0.289 | 0.200 | 1.50 | 0.289 | 0.200 |
| 919 | Wd | 13 | 3.4 | 0.30 | 0.69 | 0.237 | 0.205 | 0.69 | 0.237 | 0.205 |
| 922 | We | 14 | 3.6 | 0.57 | 0.93 | 0.195 | 0.255 | 0.93 | 0.195 | 0.255 |
| 923 | We | 22 | 5.7 | 0.46 | 1.00 | 0.197 | 0.175 | 1.00 | 0.197 | 0.175 |
| 926 | Wd | 35 | 3.1 | 0.11 | 1.11 | 0.227 | 0.364 | 1.11 | 0.227 | 0.364 |
| 927 | Wd | 9 | 3.8 | 1.04 | 0.67 | 0.333 | 0.174 | 0.67 | 0.333 | 0.174 |
| 928 | Wd | 22 | 2.2 | 0.32 | 1.27 | 0.239 | 0.577 | 1.27 | 0.239 | 0.577 |
| 929 | We | 26 | 2.8 | 0.17 | 0.50 | 0.127 | 0.181 | 0.50 | 0.127 | 0.181 |
| 930 | We | 10 | 4.1 | 0.69 | 0.30 | 0.153 | 0.074 | 0.30 | 0.153 | 0.074 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
b Standard Error.
c Harvest per hour.
d Catch per hour.

Appendix F3. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by shore anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (both completed-trip and incompleted-trip interviews).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{SS}^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUEC | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 804 | We | 2 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 805 | We | 6 | 4.2 | 0.11 | 0.67 | 0.422 | 0.160 | 0.67 | 0.422 | 0.160 |
| 807 | Wd | 6 | 2.6 | 0.52 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.065 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.065 |
| 809 | Wd | 3 | 4.3 | 1.96 | 0.67 | 0.667 | 0.154 | 0.67 | 0.667 | 0.154 |
| 811 | We | 9 | 1.8 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.11 | 0.111 | 0.061 |
| 812 | We | 15 | 2.1 | 0.52 | 0.20 | 0.107 | 0.094 | 0.20 | 0.107 | 0.094 |
| 813 | Wd | 13 | 7.2 | 0.84 | 0.69 | 0.208 | 0.097 | 0.69 | 0.208 | 0.097 |
| 816 | Wd | 12 | 2.7 | 0.75 | 0.25 | 0.179 | 0.092 | 0.25 | 0.179 | 0.092 |
| 817 | Wd | 20 | 2.0 | 0.17 | 0.45 | 0.170 | 0.228 | 0.45 | 0.170 | 0.228 |
| 818 | We | 16 | 2.7 | 0.30 | 0.63 | 0.202 | 0.230 | 0.63 | 0.202 | 0.230 |
| 819 | We | 2 | 9.0 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.056 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.056 |
| 822 | Wd | 14 | 4.1 | 0.62 | 0.36 | 0.133 | 0.088 | 0.36 | 0.133 | 0.088 |
| 823 | Wd | 14 | 2.6 | 0.39 | 0.14 | 0.097 | 0.054 | 0.14 | 0.097 | 0.054 |
| 825 | We | 18 | 3.3 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.129 | 0.068 | 0.22 | 0.129 | 0.068 |
| 828 | Wd | 7 | 1.3 | 0.18 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 905 | Wd | 10 | 2.2 | 0.13 | 0.30 | 0.153 | 0.140 | 0.30 | 0.153 | 0.140 |
| 908 | We | 18 | 3.3 | 0.40 | 0.39 | 0.164 | 0.120 | 0.39 | 0.164 | 0.120 |
| 910 | Wd | 21 | 4.1 | 0.23 | 0.33 | 0.126 | 0.081 | 0.33 | 0.126 | 0.081 |
| 911 | Wd | 2 | 11.0 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 0.500 | 0.136 | 1.50 | 0.500 | 0.136 |
| 915 | We | 16 | 2.1 | 0.30 | 0.13 | 0.085 | 0.059 | 0.13 | 0.085 | 0.059 |
| 916 | We | 4 | 1.6 | 0.38 | 0.25 | 0.250 | 0.154 | 0.25 | 0.250 | 0.154 |
| 918 | Wd | 9 | 1.2 | 0.51 | 0.56 | 0.176 | 0.476 | 0.56 | 0.176 | 0.476 |
| 919 | Wd | 2 | 4.0 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 0.500 | 0.375 | 1.50 | 0.500 | 0.375 |
| 923 | We | 6 | 5.0 | 1.32 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.033 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.033 |
| 929 | We | 6 | 4.5 | 1.12 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.037 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.037 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
b Standard Error.
c Harvest per hour.
d Catch per hour.

Appendix F4. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by unguided boat anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (completed-trip interview only).

| Date | Wd/ We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 803 | Wd | 8 | 4.1 | 0.72 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 804 | We | 7 | 5.3 | 0.36 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 805 | We | 5 | 3.7 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 806 | Wd | 3 | 2.8 | 0.67 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.118 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.118 |
| 807 | Wd | 4 | 3.0 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.167 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.167 |
| 809 | Wd | 3 | 5.4 | 1.03 | 0.46 | 0.183 | 0.086 | 0.46 | 0.183 | 0.086 |
| 811 | We | 5 | 3.6 | 0.36 | 0.36 | 0.151 | 0.099 | 0.36 | 0.151 | 0.099 |
| 812 | We | 5 | 5.1 | 0.41 | 0.24 | 0.079 | 0.048 | 0.24 | 0.079 | 0.048 |
| 813 | Wd | 3 | 3.2 | 0.32 | 0.31 | 0.175 | 0.096 | 0.31 | 0.175 | 0.096 |
| 816 | Wd | 5 | 5.0 | 0.63 | 2.20 | 0.374 | 0.440 | 2.20 | 0.374 | 0.440 |
| 817 | Wd | 6 | 4.7 | 0.50 | 0.75 | 0.323 | 0.160 | 0.75 | 0.323 | 0.160 |
| 818 | We | 1 | 3.5 | 0.23 | 0.90 | 0.234 | 0.259 | 0.90 | 0.234 | 0.259 |
| 819 | We | 2 | 4.8 | 0.37 | 0.95 | 0.232 | 0.198 | 0.95 | 0.232 | 0.198 |
| 822 | Wd | 6 | 1.8 | 0.11 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.091 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.091 |
| 823 | Wd | 2 | 4.8 | 0.51 | 0.25 | 0.131 | 0.052 | 0.25 | 0.131 | 0.052 |
| 824 | Wd | 5 | 2.7 | 0.37 | 2.00 | 0.632 | 0.741 | 2.00 | 0.632 | 0.741 |
| 825 | We | 6 | 5.2 | 0.44 | 0.15 | 0.091 | 0.030 | 0.15 | 0.091 | 0.030 |
| 826 | We | 5 | 2.4 | 0.48 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 1.250 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 1.250 |
| 827 | Wd | 8 | 3.5 | 0.31 | 0.78 | 0.250 | 0.220 | 0.78 | 0.250 | 0.220 |
| 831 | Wd | 6 | 3.5 | 0.44 | 0.19 | 0.101 | 0.054 | 0.19 | 0.101 | 0.054 |
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| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 901 | We | 1 | 3.7 | 0.34 | 0.47 | 0.123 | 0.128 | 0.51 | 0.123 | 0.139 |
| 902 | We | 8 | 4.6 | 0.31 | 0.51 | 0.114 | 0.113 | 0.59 | 0.131 | 0.129 |
| 904 | Wd | 8 | 2.4 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.051 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.051 |
| 905 | Wd | 6 | 2.2 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.085 | 0.056 | 0.13 | 0.085 | 0.056 |
| 907 | Wd | 5 | 4.0 | 1.22 | 1.00 | 0.447 | 0.250 | 1.00 | 0.447 | 0.250 |
| 908 | We | 8 | 2.6 | 0.18 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.048 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.048 |
| 909 | We | 8 | 4.8 | 0.53 | 0.11 | 0.076 | 0.023 | 0.11 | 0.076 | 0.023 |
| 910 | Wd | 0 | 2.9 | 0.18 | 0.63 | 0.137 | 0.213 | 0.63 | 0.137 | 0.213 |
| 911 | Wd | 0 | 4.5 | 0.20 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.022 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.045 |
| 914 | Wd | 8 | 3.0 | 0.18 | 0.39 | 0.200 | 0.130 | 0.50 | 0.218 | 0.167 |
| 915 | We | 5 | 5.2 | 0.32 | 0.40 | 0.099 | 0.077 | 0.45 | 0.110 | 0.088 |
| 916 | We | 4 | 3.3 | 0.38 | 0.43 | 0.202 | 0.130 | 0.43 | 0.202 | 0.130 |
| 917 | Wd | 9 | 3.3 | 0.35 | 0.47 | 0.193 | 0.145 | 0.53 | 0.193 | 0.161 |
| 918 | Wd | 0 | 5.6 | 0.34 | 0.60 | 0.340 | 0.107 | 0.70 | 0.335 | 0.125 |
| 922 | We | 5 | 3.8 | 0.71 | 0.40 | 0.214 | 0.105 | 0.40 | 0.214 | 0.105 |
| 923 | We | 8 | 4.2 | 0.48 | 0.61 | 0.216 | 0.145 | 0.61 | 0.216 | 0.145 |
| 924 | Wd | 7 | 3.7 | 0.63 | 0.71 | 0.474 | 0.192 | 0.71 | 0.474 | 0.192 |
| 925 | Wd | 9 | 3.0 | 0.60 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.074 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.074 |
| 926 | Wd | 3 | 3.3 | 0.67 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 0.300 | 1.00 | 1.000 | 0.300 |
| 929 | We | 7 | 4.1 | 0.25 | 1.02 | 0.145 | 0.246 | 1.02 | 0.145 | 0.246 |
| 930 | We | 4 | 2.0 | 0.35 | 2.00 | 0.577 | 1.000 | 2.00 | 0.577 | 1.000 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
b Standard Error.
c Harvest per hour.
d Catch per hour.

Appendix F5. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by guided boat anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (completed-trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\mathrm{SS}^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUE ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 804 | We | 4 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 806 | Wd | 4 | 4.50 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 807 | Wd | 3 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 2.00 | 0.000 | 0.500 |
| 811 | We | 6 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 816 | Wd | 5 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.500 |
| 819 | We | 1 | 4.00 | 0.39 | 1.09 | 0.315 | 0.273 | 1.09 | 0.315 | 0.273 |
| 822 | Wd | 5 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 823 | Wd | 3 | 3.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.111 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.111 |
| 824 | Wd | 9 | 4.10 | 0.78 | 1.89 | 0.484 | 0.459 | 2.00 | 0.527 | 0.486 |
| 825 | We | 3 | 6.50 | 0.12 | 2.08 | 0.366 | 0.318 | 2.08 | 0.366 | 0.318 |
| 826 | We | 3 | 9.00 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.037 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.037 |
| 831 | Wd | 4 | 7.50 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.408 | 0.267 | 2.00 | 0.408 | 0.267 |
| 901 | We | 4 | 5.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.577 | 0.200 | 1.00 | 0.577 | 0.200 |
| 902 | We | 4 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 2.75 | 0.250 | 0.393 | 2.75 | 0.250 | 0.393 |
| 904 | Wd | 8 | 8.00 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.267 | 0.125 | 1.00 | 0.267 | 0.125 |
| 907 | Wd | 3 | 4.00 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 910 | Wd | 9 | 4.50 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.667 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.667 |
| 911 | Wd | 3 | 6.50 | 0.00 | 2.00 | 0.000 | 0.308 | 2.00 | 0.000 | 0.308 |
| 914 | Wd | 7 | 7.40 | 0.20 | 1.29 | 0.606 | 0.173 | 1.57 | 0.528 | 0.212 |
| 915 | We | 4 | 6.00 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.500 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.500 |
| 918 | Wd | 6 | 7.80 | 0.11 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.387 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.387 |
| 923 | We | 3 | 7.00 | 0.00 | 2.33 | 0.333 | 0.333 | 2.33 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
| 925 | Wd | 2 | 4.50 | 0.00 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.667 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.667 |
| 929 | We | 4 | 7.00 | 0.29 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.429 | 3.00 | 0.000 | 0.429 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
b Standard Error.
c Harvest per hour.
d Catch per hour.

Appendix F6. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by shore anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, Alaska, 1990 (both completed-trip and incompleted-trip interviews).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | $\mathrm{SE}^{\text {b }}$ | Mean | SE | HPUE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Mean | SE | CPUEd |
| 803 | Wd | 22 | 2.6 | 0.46 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 804 | We | 11 | 1.6 | 0.47 | 0.09 | 0.091 | 0.056 | 0.09 | 0.091 | 0.056 |
| 805 | We | 26 | 1.2 | 0.09 | 0.04 | 0.038 | 0.032 | 0.04 | 0.038 | 0.032 |
| 806 | Wd | 28 | 3.2 | 0.31 | 0.07 | 0.071 | 0.022 | 0.07 | 0.071 | 0.022 |
| 809 | Wd | 14 | 3.4 | 0.38 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 812 | We | 5 | 1.1 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 817 | Wd | 2 | 3.3 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 818 | We | 6 | 2.3 | 0.78 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 819 | We | 2 | 1.8 | 1.25 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 825 | We | 4 | 2.8 | 0.75 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 826 | We | 3 | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 827 | Wd | 2 | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 901 | We | 3 | 4.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 902 | We | 3 | 2.3 | 0.88 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.143 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.143 |
| 904 | Wd | 2 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 910 | Wd | 21 | 1.9 | 0.04 | 0.43 | 0.235 | 0.222 | 0.43 | 0.235 | 0.222 |
| 914 | Wd | 3 | 1.2 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.667 | 1.143 | 1.33 | 0.667 | 1.143 |
| 915 | We | 5 | 2.3 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 916 | We | 4 | 1.4 | 0.43 | 1.25 | 0.629 | 0.909 | 1.25 | 0.629 | 0.909 |
| 923 | We | 3 | 4.8 | 1.17 | 0.67 | 0.667 | 0.138 | 0.67 | 0.667 | 0.138 |
| 925 | Wd | 3 | 3.5 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.667 | 0.190 | 0.67 | 0.667 | 0.190 |
| 926 | Wd | 3 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 1.00 | 0.577 | 1.000 | 1.00 | 0.577 | 1.000 |
| 929 | We | 2 | 3.5 | 1.50 | 1.50 | 0.500 | 0.429 | 1.50 | 0.500 | 0.429 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
b Standard Error.
c Harvest per hour.
d Catch per hour.
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[^2]:    a wd = weekday
    b we $=$ weekend
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[^4]:    a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
    b Standard Error.
    c Harvest per hour.
    d Catch per hour.

[^5]:    a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.
    b Standard Error.
    c Harvest per hour.
    d Catch per hour.

