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#### Abstract

A creel survey was conducted on the Kenai River between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet from 16 May through 30 September 1989. The recreational fishery in this section of the Kenai River is directed primarily for two species, chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha during June and July, and coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch during August and September. The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the early (May and June) chinook salmon run were 234,527 angler-hours and 7,256 chinook salmon, respectively. The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the late (July) chinook salmon run were 329,051 angler-hours and 9,127 chinook salmon, respectively. Unguided anglers exerted 64.5 percent of the total effort and took 35.1 percent of the chinook salmon harvest, while guided anglers exerted 35.5 percent of the effort and harvested 64.9 percent of the chinook salmon.

The estimated angler-effort and harvest during the coho salmon fishery (August and September) were 252,493 angler-hours and 43,401 coho salmon, respectively. Unguided anglers exerted 76.8 percent of the total effort and took 72.4 percent of the coho salmon harvest while guided anglers exerted 23.2 percent of the effort and harvested 27.6 percent of the coho salmon.

Harvest and catch estimates for sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka, rainbow trout Oncorhynchus mykiss, and Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma are also presented.


KEY WORDS: Kenai River, chinook salmon, coho salmon, creel survey, effort, harvest, sockeye salmon, rainbow trout, Dolly Varden.

## INTRODUCTION

The largest freshwater recreational fishery in Alaska occurs in the Kenai River which received an average of nearly 240,000 angler-days of effort over the years 1983-1988 (Mills 1984-1989). This represents approximately 15\% of the State's recreational fishing effort. The majority of the angler-effort occurs in the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 1) during a fishery directed primarily at returning chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha during May, June, and July; and a second fishery directed primarily at returning coho salmon $O$. kisutch during August and September. Angler-effort in both fisheries has generally been increasing since creel surveys for these fisheries were begun in 1977 (Figure 2). Sockeye salmon O. nerka, pink salmon O. gorbuscha, Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma, and rainbow trout $O$. mykiss are also harvested by anglers in the Kenai River.

Prior to 1970 , the recreational fishery in the Kenai River was confined to shore-based anglers targeting on sockeye salmon in July and coho salmon in August and early September. In 1973, large numbers of anglers began experimenting with a new fishing method that involved bouncing brightly colored terminal gear along the river bottom from a drifting boat. This technique had been used effectively by anglers fishing for chinook salmon on rivers in the Pacific Northwest. It proved very effective for chinook salmon on the Kenai River, and the fishery began to expand rapidly.

The chinook salmon return to the Kenai River has two distinct components: (1) an early run which typically enters the river from mid-May until late June, and (2) a late run which typically enters the river from late June through early August. There is some overlap between the two runs which is not estimated at this time. Fish from both runs are prized by recreational anglers due to their large size, especially those from the late run which average about 18 kg ( 40 lbs ) and may exceed 36 kg ( 80 lbs ). The world record sport-caught chinook salmon was taken from the Kenai River in 1985; it weighed 44.1 kg ( 97 lbs ).

The coho salmon return to the Kenai River also has two distinct components: an early run which typically peaks in August, and a late run which typically peaks in September. The late run continues to enter the river into November but fishing effort after September is minimal.

Management of these recreational fisheries in the Kenai River is complicated by the relatively large commercial harvests of returning chinook and coho salmon. Chinook salmon are commercially harvested primarily by the set net fishery along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet (McBride et al. 1985), and coho salmon are commercially harvested primarily by the drift gill net fishery. User-group conflicts have necessitated that the Department of Fish and Game conduct increasingly precise management of the salmon resources of the Kenai River. During the winter of 1988 , the Alaska Board of Fisheries adopted management plans for both the early and late chinook salmon runs. These plans-define escapement goals and mechanisms by which the various fisheries are to be regulated to achieve the stated goals. Another component of these plans defines the separation date between the two runs as 1 July .


Figure 1. Map of the Kenai River drainage.


Figure 2. Creel survey estimates of effort and harvest by the recreational fisheries for chinook and coho salmon in the Kenai River, 1977-1989.

Previous information pertaining to the chinook and coho salmon fisheries in the Kenai River has been presented by Hammarstrom (1975-1981, 1988, 1989), Hammarstrom and Larson (1982-1984, 1986), Hammarstrom et al. (1985), and Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987). In addition, angler-effort and harvest by species for the recreational fishery has been estimated by Mills (1979-1989) in the Alaska Statewide Harvest Survey.

The current creel survey program in the Kenai River provides data which are used as a basis for in-season management decisions for the recreational fishery, are evaluated to refine long-term management objectives, and are used by the Alaska Board of Fisheries to allocate the salmon resources. The objective of this report is to present detailed information for the creel surveys of the recreational fisheries for chinook salmon and coho salmon conducted in 1989.

## Fishing Regulations

The regulations for the chinook salmon fishery in the Kenai River are the most restrictive of any open waters in Alaska. Only the section of the river between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 3) is open to fishing for chinook salmon. By regulation, the season for chinook salmon is from 1 January through 31 July, but it effectively begins in mid-May when the fish first begin entering the river. The daily bag and possession limits are one chinook salmon per day greater than 41 cm ( 16 in ) in length and a seasonal limit of two chinook salmon greater than 41 cm . In 1989, fishing from boats downstream from the outlet of Skilak Lake was prohibited on Mondays in May, June, and July, except Monday of Memorial Day. Anyone retaining a chinook salmon that is 41 cm in length or greater is prohibited from fishing from a boat in the Kenai River for the remainder of that day. Additionally, the use of artificial lures is permitted until the Department is able to project an escapement of at least 9,000 fish, or 1 July, whichever occurs first.

According to Alaska Statute, regulations adopted by the Board of Fisheries cannot become effective until 30 days after they are signed by the Lieutenant Governor. Although the Board's actions were widely publicized and the regulation booklets had been distributed, the Lieutenant Governor did not sign the regulations until 9 May; thus these regulations could not be enforced until 10 June. This oversight was not discovered until late May. The effect was a fishery that used artificial lures until 1 June, bait until 10 June, artificial lures until 20 June (the date on which the escapement goal of 9,000 was projected), and bait through the remainder of the season.

There are further restrictions for guided anglers. In addition to the closure to fishing from boats on Mondays, fishing from a registered guide vessel on any Sunday in July is prohibited. In 1989, fishing from a guided boat was allowed only between 0600 and 1800 hours during June and July. There are no days or hours closed to boat fishing for either guided or unguided anglers during the remainder of the year.

The daily bag and possession limits for sockeye and coho salmon are an aggregate of three fish that are 41 cm in length or greater, and there is no annual limit. However, if an escapement of 700,000 sockeye salmon is


Figure 3. Map of the lower Kenai River between Cook Inlet and the outlet of Skilak Lake (numbers designate Kenai River miles).
realized, the daily bag and possession limit for sockeye and coho salmon increases to six; not more than three of which may be coho salmon. The daily bag and possession limit for pink salmon is six fish that are 41 cm in length of greater, and there is no annual limit. The daily bag and possession limits for rainbow trout are two fish, only one of which may be over 51 cm (20 in) in length, and there is an annual limit of two fish over 51 cm . The daily bag and possession limits for Dolly Varden are five fish.

METHODS
A roving creel survey (Neuhold and Lu 1957) was used to estimate sport fishing effort, in units of angler-hours, by the fisheries for chinook and coho salmon in the Kenai River. Harvest per unit effort (HPUE, number of fish harvested per hour fished) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) for each species was estimated from angler interviews. Harvest of each species was. estimated by the product of the effort and harvest rate estimates. Anglereffort was estimated for three sections of the Kenai River below Skilak Lake (Figure 3): (1) downstream, from Cook Inlet (river kilometer or river mile 0) to the Soldotna Bridge (rkm 34 or rm 21); (2) midstream, from the Soldotna bridge to Naptowne Rapids (rkm 63.5 or rm 39.5); and (3) upstream, from Naptowne Rapids to the outlet of Skilak Lake (rkm 80.4 or rm 50). These stratifications were selected because of the distance involved and effort patterns observed over the years. Effort, harvest and catch were estimated separately for the early and late run components of the fisheries for chinook and coho salmon.

Both unguided and guided anglers participate in the fisheries for chinook and coho salmon in the Kenai River. These two groups have very different harvest rates; therefore, effort, HPUE, CPUE, harvest and catch were estimated separately for unguided anglers and guided anglers. Guided anglers fish strictly from boats and are easily recognized because guided boats are required to display a large identifying decal. Only boat anglers were surveyed during the chinook salmon fishery because shore anglers harvest very few chinook salmon. During the coho salmon fishery, both boat and shore anglers were surveyed.

## Creel Survey of the Chinook Salmon Fishery

The creel surveys of the chinook salmon fishery began on 16 May in the downstream section and on 11 June in the upstream section and continued until the end of the chinook salmon season on 31 July. The fishing day for unguided anglers was defined as 20 hours long, from 0400 to 2400 hours, and was stratified into five, 4 -hour daily time strata (referred to as periods). The periods were: A, from 0400 to 0759 ; B, from 0800 to 1159 ; C, from 1200 to 1559 ; D, from 1600 to 1959 ; and E, from 2000 to 2359 . In May, the stratification of the fishing day for guided anglers was the same as that for unguided anglers. Since most guides schedule two trips per day, one in the morning and one in the afternoon, the fishing day of guided anglers in June and July was stratified into only two periods: A, from 0600 to 1159 ; and B, from 1200 to 1759 .

In the downstream section, estimates for both guided and unguided anglers in each run were stratified into temporal units; Early run, unit 1 ( 16 May31 May), unit 2 ( 1 June- 16 June), and unit 3 (17 June- 30 June); Late run, unit 4 ( 1 July-16 July) and unit 5 ( 17 July- 31 July). Estimates for unguided anglers were stratified further by weekdays and weekends/holidays. Estimates for guided anglers were not similarly stratified because this does not significantly reduce the variance of the effort estimates nor the estimates for CPUE and HPUE (Conrad and Hammarstrom 1987).

Angler Counts:
A lattice sample design was incorporated into a stratified random sample (Yates 1981) to ensure that angler counts were never conducted in two consecutive periods during the same day or in the same period on two consecutive days for the weekday component of the survey of unguided anglers. This modification was designed to minimize the autocorrelation between counts (Conrad and Hammarstrom 1987). Some deviation from the schedule did occur because of mechanical breakdown and/or other duties such as public assistance or enforcement activities.

Separate sampling schedules for angler counts were established for the downstream and upstream sections of the river. Sampling levels were designed to estimate catch and harvest within $15 \%$ of the true value $95 \%$ of the time. The creel survey in the downstream section employed two creel survey clerks, each working 37.5 hours per week. The creel survey in the upstream section employed one creel survey clerk working 37.5 hours per week.

Counts of anglers were conducted from a boat in the downstream and upstream sections of the Kenai River. At the time designated on the schedule, a creel survey clerk was at a randomly selected end of the section of the river to be surveyed. The angler count was made while the boat was driven at a constant rate of speed through the survey area to the opposite end of the river section. This trip usually took about 45 minutes and every effort was made to ensure that the trip was completed in less than 1 hour. Angler counts were considered to be instantaneous and to reflect fishing effort at the time of the count. During the angler count, the creel survey clerk recorded the following: (1) total number of unguided boats, (2) total number of guided boats, (3) total number of anglers in unguided boats, (4) total number of anglers in guided boats, and (5) total number of shore anglers. Boats were considered to be engaged in fishing and were counted if they were in operation, as opposed to tied to the shore, regardless of whether or not an angler's line was in the water when the count was being conducted. Guides were not included in the counts during the chinook salmon fishery as they are prohibited from fishing while guiding.

Downstream Section. There were two possible sampling patterns for the counts of unguided anglers during weekdays (Figure 4), one of which was randomly selected each week. Within a period (A, B, C, etc.) to be sampled, a starting time for the angler count was randomly selected from the four whole-hour times-(for example, 0400 , 0500 , 0600 , or 0700 for period A) in the period. Succeeding counts were made 8 hours later.

PATTERN ONE
PERIOD

| DAY | PERIOD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| TUE | 0400 |  | 1200 |  | 2000 |
|  | 0500 |  | 1300 |  | 2100 |
|  | 0600 |  | 1400 |  | 2200 |
|  | 0700 |  | 1500 |  | 2300 |
| WED |  | 0800 |  | 1600 |  |
|  |  | 0900 |  | 1700 |  |
|  |  | 1000 |  | 1800 |  |
|  |  | 1100 |  | 1900 |  |
| THU | 0400 |  | 1200 |  | 2000 |
|  | 0500 |  | 1300 |  | 2100 |
|  | 0600 |  | 1400 |  | 2200 |
|  | 0700 |  | 1500 |  | 2300 |
| FRI |  | 0800 |  | 1600 |  |
|  |  | 0900 |  | 1700 |  |
|  |  | 1000 |  | 1800 |  |
|  |  | 1100 |  | 1900 |  |

PATTERN TWO

| DAY | PERIOD |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| TUE |  | 0800 |  | 1600 |  |
|  |  | 0900 |  | 1700 |  |
|  |  | 1000 |  | 1800 |  |
|  |  | 1100 |  | 1900 |  |
| WED | 0400 |  | 1200 |  | 2000 |
|  | 0500 |  | 1300 |  | 2100 |
|  | 0600 |  | 1400 |  | 2200 |
|  | 0700 |  | 1500 |  | 2300 |
| THU |  | 0800 |  | 1600 |  |
|  |  | 0900 |  | 1700 |  |
|  |  | 1000 |  | 1800 |  |
|  |  | 1100 |  | 1900 |  |
| FRI | 0400 |  | 1200 |  | 2000 |
|  | 0500 |  | 1300 |  | 2100 |
|  | 0600 |  | 1400 |  | 2200 |
|  | 0700 |  | 1500 |  | 2300 |

Figure 4. Two possible lattice sampling patterns for counts of unguided anglers during weekdays of the Kenai River chinook salmon fishery, 1989.

For unguided anglers during weekend/holidays, an angler count was made during each period of each day. During weekend/holidays, a starting time was randomly selected for the count in period $A$ and counts in all subsequent periods began 4 hours after the starting time of the previous count. This systematic design was used to allow estimation of the autocorrelation between angler counts conducted on the same day.

During May, guided and unguided anglers were counted according to the same schedule. During June and July, one count of guided anglers was made during each of the two daily periods defined for guided anglers on each day the fishery was open to guided anglers. The count schedule for guided anglers was established by overlaying the schedule for unguided anglers and randomly selecting a count time for those periods of the guided angler day when a count of unguided anglers was not being conducted.

Upstream Section. Angler counts were scheduled for each weekend/holiday day and on 3 randomly selected weekdays each week in the upstream section. On a sample day, two periods (A, B, $C$, etc.) were randomly selected without replacement and a starting time for the angler count designated as described for the creel survey of unguided anglers during weekdays in the downstream section.

Midstream Section. Three aerial surveys of the river between Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet were scheduled each week, one on a weekend and two during weekdays. During the flight, a count of each boat actively engaged in fishing was recorded for each section of the river. The boat counts were used to estimate the proportion of fishing effort occurring in the midstream section of the river.

## Angler Interviews:

Interviews of completed trip anglers for harvest and catch rate information were conducted primarily at seven popular boat landings in the downstream section. Information gathered in 1987 (Hammarstrom 1988) showed no significant difference between anglers using the seven campgrounds and other areas, thus only those seven sites were sampled. In the upstream section, both completed trip and incompleted trip anglers were contacted throughout the area open to fishing. No interviews were conducted in the midstream section.

Two creel survey clerks conducted the interviews at the boat landings. Each clerk was scheduled to work 5 days each week; on each weekend/holiday day and on 3 randomly selected weekdays. Two randomly selected landings were sampled by a clerk on a sample day. Thus on weekend/holidays, four landings were sampled each day and on weekdays either two or four landings were sampled. The starting time for the 7.5 -hour interview period was randomly selected from either an early shift (possible start times: $0600,0630,0700$, or 0730) or a late shift (possible start times: 1500, 1530, 1600, or 1630). The creel survey clerks conducted interviews for about 3.5 hours at each landing. The two landings frequented by guided anglers were sampled primarily around 1200 kr or early evening hours to correspond with the times guides normally end a fishing trip. When the clerks responsible for angler counts were not conducting a count, they contacted incompleted trip anglers searching for
tagged and untagged fish in an angler's creel that could be used as part of an abundance study being conducted concurrently. In the upstream section, the creel clerk contacted incompleted trip anglers during any time of the shift when not conducting angler counts.

The following information was recorded for each angler interviewed: (1) completed trip or incompleted trip angler, (2) guided or unguided angler, (3) number of hours spent fishing (defined as time spent in the boat), (4) number and species of fish retained, (5) number and species of fish released, and (6) docking location. Additional information regarding the presence of tags was also recorded as part of the recovery effort in the project to estimate the escapement of chinook salmon into the Kenai River (Alexandersdottir and Marsh in preparation).

Chinook salmon observed in anglers' creels during the surveys were randomly selected for biological sampling. Mid-eye to fork-of-tail length was measured to the nearest 0.5 centimeter, the sex of the fish was identified, and scales were removed from the preferred area (Clutter and Whitesel 1956). Three scales were collected from each fish and placed on an adhesive-coated card. Impressions of scale cards were made on acetate and scale images were examined using a microfiche reader.

## Creel Survey of the Coho Salmon Fishery

The coho salmon creel survey began on 1 August and ended on 30 September in both the downstream and upstream sections of the river. The survey was conducted similarly to the creel survey of the chinook salmon fishery in the upstream section with the following exceptions. The definition of the fishing day was reduced to 16 hours in August (from 0600 to 2200) and 12 hours in September (from 0800 to 2000) to account for the decreased number of daylight hours. Daily time strata were adjusted for the coho salmon fishery by eliminating period $E$ in August and shifting the starting time of period A to 0600, and in September eliminating period $D$ and shifting the starting time of period A to 0800. The fishing day was the same for both unguided and guided anglers during the creel survey of the coho salmon fishery. The weekday and weekend/holiday stratification was used for both unguided and guided anglers, also. Shore anglers as well as boat anglers were interviewed during the coho salmon creel survey and both completed trip and incompleted trip anglers were interviewed.

Angler Counts:
Separate angler count schedules were established for the downstream and upstream sections of the river. Sampling levels were designed to estimate catch and harvest within $25 \%$ of the true value $95 \%$ of the time. Both creel surveys were designed for one creel survey clerk working 37.5 hours per week.

Angler counts were scheduled for each weekend/holiday day and on 3 randomly selected weekdays each week in both the downstream and upstream sections. Two angler counts were scheduled on each sample day. Sample periods and count times were selected as described for the creel survey of the chinook salmon fishery in the upstream section.

Angler counts were conducted following the procedures described for the counts during the chinook salmon fishery. One exception was that guides were included in the count of guided anglers as they are permitted to fish after 31 July. Shore anglers were considered a separate stratum in the downstream section but combined with unguided boat anglers in the upstream section.

Effort in the midstream section of the river was estimated using the same procedure as during the chinook salmon fishery.

## Angler Interviews:

During August and September, both shore and boat anglers were interviewed by the creel survey clerks. All angler interviews were conducted on the river, not at boat landings as during the creel survey of the chinook salmon fishery. The same information was recorded for each angler interviewed as during the chinook salmon creel survey, except that both completed and incompleted anglers were included and the docking location was not recorded.

Biological samples for coho salmon (scales, sex, and length) were collected identically to those for the chinook salmon survey.

## Data Analyses

Angler-effort, harvest and catch rates by species, harvest and catch by species, and associated variances were estimated using the same procedures for the downstream and upstream sections of the chinook and coho salmon fisheries. In the following sections, harvest refers to fish retained by anglers and catch refers to fish retained plus those reported as released by anglers.

There were 15 strata in the chinook salmon fishery in the downstream section of the Kenai River, nine in the early run and six in the late run. The early run strata were: (1) unit 1 (5/16-5/31) - unguided anglers weekdays; (2) unit 1 - unguided anglers weekends/holidays; (3) unit 1 - guided anglers; (4) unit 2 ( $6 / 1-6 / 15$ ) - unguided anglers weekdays; (5) unit 2 - unguided anglers weekends/holidays; (6) unit 2 - guided anglers; (7) unit 3 (6/16$6 / 30$ ) - unguided anglers weekdays; (8) unit 3 - unguided anglers weekends/ holidays; (9) unit 3 - guided anglers. The strata to the late run of the downstream section were: (1) unit 4 (7/1-7/15) - unguided anglers weekdays; (2) unit 4 - unguided anglers weekends/holidays; (3) unit 4 - guided anglers; (4) unit 5 (7/16-7/31) - unguided anglers weekdays; (5) unit 5 - unguided anglers weekends/holidays; (6) unit 5 - guided anglers.

There were six strata in the chinook salmon fishery in the upstream section of the Kenai River, three in the early run and three in the late run. In each early and late run the strata were: (1) unguided anglers weekdays, (2) unguided anglers weekends/holidays, and (3) guided anglers.

There were 12 fishery components in the downstream section and eight in the upstream section during the coho salmon fishery. The early (August 1August 31) and late run (September 1-September 30) in each section had the same strata: (1) unguided anglers weekdays, (2) unguided anglers
weekends/holidays, (3) guided anglers weekdays, (4) guided anglers weekends/ holidays, (5) shore anglers weekdays, and (6) shore anglers weekends/ holidays. The shore angler strata were combined with the unguided component in the upstream section during both runs.

## Effort:

The number of angler-hours of effort during fishery component $t$ was estimated as follows (Neuhold and Lu 1957):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{t}=\sum_{j-1}^{s} H_{t j} \bar{x}_{t j} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\left.\begin{array}{rl}
\bar{x}_{t j}= & \text { the mean number of anglers per count during period } j \text { of } \\
& \text { component } t,
\end{array}\right\}
$$

The variance of effort was estimated as follows (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{v}\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)=\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{s}} \mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{tj}}^{2} \stackrel{2}{\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{tj}} / n_{\mathrm{tj}}\right),} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{\mathrm{tj}}^{2}=\text { the variance of } \overline{x_{\mathrm{tj}}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{o}=1}^{n_{t j}}\left\langle x_{\mathrm{tjo}}-\bar{x}_{\mathrm{tj}}\right)^{2}}{n_{\mathrm{tj}}-1} \text {, and } \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

$n_{t j}=$ the number of angler counts during period $j$ of component $t$.
A finite population correction factor was not applied as angler counts are considered instantaneous, and so there are an infinite number of counts that can be taken.

Harvest Rates:
Mean effort and mean harvest by species per angler were estimated for each component from angler interview data. Only completed trip interviews were used to make the estimates for the chinook salmon fishery in the downstream section; both completed trip and incompleted trip interviews were used to make the estimates for the chinook salmon fishery in the upstream section and the entire coho salmon fishery.

Mean effort per angler during component $t$ was estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{f}_{t}=\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} \sum_{k=1}^{m_{i}} f_{i k}\right) /{ }_{i=1}^{d} m_{i} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$f_{i k}=$ the effort (in hours) by angler $k$ at the time of the interview on day $\mathbf{i}$,
$m_{i}=$ the number of anglers interviewed on day $i$, and
$\mathrm{d}=$ the number of days interviews were conducted during component $t$.
A two-stage sample design with days representing the first-stage sample units and anglers the second-stage sample units was used to estimate the variance of mean effort (Von Geldern and Tomlinson 1973). The number of second-stage units available on a given sample day was unknown. The variance of mean effort was estimated as follows (Sukhatme et al. 1984):

$$
\begin{equation*}
v\left(\bar{f}_{t}\right)=[1-(d / D)] s_{B}^{2} / d+\left(\sum_{i=1}^{d} s_{W i}^{2} / m_{i}\right) / d D \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

```
    D = the number of days the fishery was open during component t,
    2
sWi = the sample variance of mean effort per angler for interviews
        conducted on day i, and
    s}\mp@subsup{\mp@code{B}}{8}{2}=\mathrm{ the between-day variance of mean effort per angler.
```

        2
    The between-day variance, $\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{B}}$, was estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
s_{B}^{2}=\left[\sum_{i=1}^{d}\left(\bar{f}_{t i}-\bar{f}_{t}\right)^{2}\right] /(\mathrm{d}-1), \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\bar{f}_{t i}=\text { the mean effort per angler during day } i \text { of component } t .
$$

Mean harvest (or catch) of a species and its variance were estimated identically to effort except the corresponding quantities for harvest (or catch) were substituted for all occurrences of effort (f).

Harvest rate (HPUE) for a species during component $t$ was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\operatorname{HPUE}}_{t}=\bar{c}_{t} / \bar{f}_{t} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$\bar{c}_{t}=$ the mean harvest of the species per angler during component $t$, obtained by substituting catch for effort in equation 4.

The variance of $\mathrm{HPUE}_{t}$ was approximated by the variance for the quotient of the mean of two random variables (Jessen 1978), which is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}} / \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \approx\left(\overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}} / \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)^{2}\left(\mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}}^{2} / \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}+\mathrm{s}_{\mathrm{f}} / \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2}-2 r \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{c}} \mathrm{~s}_{\mathrm{f}} / \overline{\mathrm{c}}_{\mathrm{t}} \overline{\mathrm{f}}_{\mathrm{t}}\right) \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\begin{aligned}
& 2 \\
& s_{c}= \\
& \text { the two-stage estimate of variance for } \bar{c}_{t}, \text { obtained by } \\
& 2 \\
& s_{f} \quad= \text { the two-stage estimate of variance for } \bar{f}_{t} \text { obtained from } \\
& \text { equation } 6 \text {, and } \\
& r= \text { the correlation coefficient between the } f_{i k} \text { and the } c_{i k} \text { in } \\
& \text { component } t .
\end{aligned}
\end{aligned}
$$

Catch per unit effort (CPUE) for a species and its variance were estimated by replacing the mean and variance of number of fish harvested per angler with the mean and variance of the number of fish caught per angler in equations 7 and 8 .

Harvest:
The harvest of a species during each component was estimated by:

$$
\hat{H_{t}}=\hat{E_{t}} \hat{H P U E}{ }_{t}
$$

$\wedge$
The variance of $H_{t}$ was estimated using Goodman's (1960) formula for the variance of the product of two independent random variables, which is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)} \hat{\mathrm{N}}_{\mathrm{t}} \hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}} \hat{\left.\mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{HPUE}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]}+\hat{\mathrm{HPUE}}_{\mathrm{t}}^{2} \hat{\left.\mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]}-\hat{\left[\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right.} \hat{\left.\mathrm{V}\left(\mathrm{HPUE}_{\mathrm{t}}\right)\right]} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Totals (for example, the total for unguided anglers during the early run) for effort and harvest were estimated by summing the appropriate component estimates. Estimates of effort and harvest for the components are considered independent estimates, therefore, the variance of the total was estimated by the sum of the appropriate variances.

Catch of a species and its variance were estimated by replacing HPUE with CPUE in equations 9 and 10.

Assumptions:
The major assumptions necessary for these estimates to be unbiased are:

1. Significant fishing effort occurs only between the hours defined for the angler day.
2. Individual effort and harvest (or catch) by anglers are normally distributed random variables.
3. For the coho salmon creel survey, incompleted trip angler interviews provide an unbiased estimate of completed trip HPUE and CPUE (DiConstanzo 1956).
4. Anglers are interviewed in constant proportion to their abundance within each stratum (DiConstanzo 1956) and interviewed anglers are representative of the total angler population.
5. For the coho salmon creel survey, rates of harvest, or catch, and length of fishing trip are independent (DiConstanzo 1956).
6. Catch and harvest rates do not vary among periods within a day.

Midstream Section Effort and Harvest:

Fishing effort in the midstream section of the Kenai River during the chinook salmon creel survey was estimated from the counts of boats made during aerial surveys of the river. The proportion of boat fishing effort occurring in the midstream section was calculated separately for the early run and the late run. For each aerial survey, the proportion of effort in the midstream section ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) was calculated as the quotient of the number of boats counted in the midstream section and the number of boats counted for all sections. Effort in the midstream section for both guided and unguided anglers ( $\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}$ ) during either the early run or the late run was estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{E}_{\mathrm{m}}=\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}} \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) /\left(1-\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}=$ the mean of all proportions ( $\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{m}} \mathrm{s}$ ) for a run,

- ^
$\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}=$ the estimated number of angler-hours of effort in the downstream section for a run, and


## $\wedge$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}= & \text { the estimated number of angler-hours of effort in the upstream } \\
& \text { section for a run. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Effort was estimated separately for unguided and guided anglers. The variances of the midstream effort estimates were approximated by the delta method (Seber 1982) using the following formula:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\hat{V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \approx\left[\hat{E}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) /\left(1-\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} \mathrm{~V}\left(\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)+\left[\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}} /\left(1-\overline{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{m}}\right)\right]^{2} \mathrm{~V}\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variance of $\bar{p}$ is the sample variance of the $p_{m} s$ divided by the number of flights, the variances of $\hat{E}_{d}$ and $\hat{E}_{u}$ are estimated as described under Effort, and the covariance between the estimated effort for the downstream and upstream sections and $\bar{p}$ is assumed to be zero.

Harvest and catch rates during both the chinook and coho salmon fishery in the midstream section were estimated using the total harvest and catch and total effort (angler-hours) for the downstream and upstream sections. This is expressed as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{HPUE}_{\mathrm{m}}=\hat{\left(\hat{H}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.}+\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) /\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the harvest rate and:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\left.\operatorname{CPUE}_{\mathrm{m}}=\hat{\left(\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{d}}\right.}+\hat{\mathrm{C}_{\mathrm{u}}}\right) /\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

for the catch rate, where the subscripts denote the middle ( $m$ ), downstream (d), or upstream (u) sections of the river. The variances of the rates were approximated by the delta method, also. The following formula was used to estimate the variance of harvest rate (HPUE):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\operatorname{HPUE}_{\mathrm{m}}\right) \approx\left[1 /\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{d}^{+}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)\right]^{2} \hat{\mathrm{~V}\left(\hat{H}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)}+\left[-\left(\hat{H}_{\mathrm{d}}+\hat{H}_{\mathrm{u}}\right) /\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{d}^{+}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)^{2}\right]^{2} \hat{\mathrm{~V}\left(\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{d}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where the variances of $\left(\hat{E}_{\mathrm{d}^{2}}+\mathrm{E}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)$ and $\left(\hat{H_{d}+H_{u}}\right)$ are calculated as described previously in equations 12 and 15 . The covariance between the combined downstream and upstream effort and harvest is omitted from the above equation because it is unknown, although it is assumed positive (as effort increases harvest should increase). The product of the covariance and the derivatives of the numerator and denominator of $H P U E_{m}$ (or CPUE $_{m}$ ) would be subtracted from equation 14 because of the negative derivative for the denominator. Therefore, the formula above is probably a conservative estimate of the variance of $\mathrm{HPUE}_{\mathrm{m}}$. The variance of $\mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{m}}$ was estimated using the same formula but the combined downstream and upstream catches and their variances were substituted for the harvest counterparts.

The harvest and catch of coho salmon in the midstream section were estimated for unguided and guided anglers following the procedures described for the
downstream and upstream sections. The variances of these estimates were also estimated as described previously in equations 12 and 15.

## Biological Data:

The proportional age composition of the chinook and coho salmon harvest was estimated for each run. Letting pht equal the estimated proportion of age group $h$ in component $t$, the variance of $P h t$ was estimated as (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\hat{v}\left(\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{ht}}\right)=\hat{\mathrm{p}_{h t}}\left(1-\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{ht}}\right) /\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{Tt}}-1\right),
$$

where $n_{T t}$ is the number of legible scales read from chinook or coho salmon sampled during component $t$.

Mean length at age by sex and its variance were estimated using standard normal procedures (Sokal and Rohlf 1981, Boxes 4.2 and 7.1 , pages 56 and 139).

## RESULTS

The following dates, based on the criteria described previously, were used to define the early and late runs in the chinook salmon fishery. The early run was from 16 May through 30 June and the late run from 1 July through 31 July in the downstream section. In the upstream section, the early run was from 11 June through 9 July and the late run from 10 July through 31 July . During the coho salmon fishery, the early run was designated from 1 August through 31 August and the late run from 1 September through 30 September in both the downstream and upstream sections of the river.

## Chinook Salmon Creel Survey

Because of mechanical and other logistical problems during the creel survey in the downstream section of the Kenai River, angler counts were conducted on only 63 of the 67 days possible and interviews were conducted on 64 of the 67 days. In the upstream section, 36 of the 43 days possible were surveyed.

## Effort:

Between one and five angler counts were conducted on each sample day in the downstream section (Appendices A1 and A2). In the upstream section, two angler counts were conducted on each day surveyed except one (Appendices A3 and A4).

Downstream Section. Angler counts in the downstream section ranged from 3 to 698 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 550 for guided anglers (Appendices A1 and A2). The largest count of both unguided and guided anglers occurred on 18 July. Except for unguided angler counts during periods $C, D$, and $E$ during the late run, the mean counts of unguided and guided anglers increased as the season progressed (Table 1).

Table 1. Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the components for the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.
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The estimated effort during the early run was 198,629 angler-hours (Table 2). During the early run, 53\% of the total effort was by unguided anglers. Of this effort, $54 \%$ occurred during weekdays and $46 \%$ during weekends/holidays. The estimated effort during the late run was 272,889 angler-hours (Table 2). The majority of this effort (68\%) was by unguided anglers. Of the unguided effort, $53 \%$ occurred during weekdays and $47 \%$ during weekends/holidays.

Upstream Section. Angler counts in the upstream section ranged from 0 to 138 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 20 for guided anglers (Appendices A3 and A4). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 7 July and the largest count of guided anglers on 22 July. For each period of both runs, the mean count of unguided anglers for the weekend/holiday component was larger than the mean count for the weekday component (Table 3).

The estimated effort during the early run was 19,716 angler-hours (Table 4). During the early run, 948 of the total effort was by unguided anglers. Of the unguided effort, $33 \%$ occurred during weekdays and $67 \%$ during weekends/holidays. During the late run, there were not enough counts of unguided anglers conducted in period $E$ of the weekday component and in period D of the weekend/holiday component to estimate effort using the stratified estimate. For these components, effort was estimated using the mean of all counts in the component. The estimation procedures were the same as for the stratified random sample except that there was no summation over periods and the mean and sample variance in equations 1 and 2 refer to the entire component. The estimated effort during the late run was 21,250 angler-hours (Table 4). The majority of this effort (95\%) was by unguided anglers.

Midstream Section. The counts of sportfishing boats in each section of the Kenai River between Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet, conducted during aerial surveys, are summarized in Table 5. Twelve counts were conducted during the early run and 11 counts during the late run. The mean proportion of the total boat effort in the midstream section was 0.069 for the early run and 0.106 for the late run. Because boats with unguided anglers cannot be distinguished from boats with guided anglers from the air, the estimated proportion of effort in the midstream section during each run was used to estimate both unguided and guided angler effort. Estimated effort for the midstream section during the early run was 9,127 angler-hours for unguided anglers (standard error $[S E]=3,939$ ) and 7,055 angler-hours for guided anglers ( $S E=3,041$ ). During the late run, estimated effort for the midstream section was 24,518 angler-hours for unguided anglers ( $\mathrm{SE}=8,853$ ) and 10,394 angler-hours for guided anglers (SE $=3,756$ ).

Harvest Rates and Catch Rates:
A total of 4,529 interviews with completed trip anglers were collected during the creel survey in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 2,342 interviews during the early run and 2,187 interviews during the late run. In the upstream section, 2,818 interviews, both incompleted and completed trip anglers were collected, 1,449 interviews during the early run and 1,369 interviews during the late run.

Table 2. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Estimated Effort | Standard Error | $95 \%$ |  | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Confidence | Interval |  |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |
| ```Period 1 (16 May - 31 May)``` |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 5 6,580 | 836 | 4,941 - | 8,219 | 24.9\% |
| Unguided weekends | - 11,550 | 1,660 | 8,296 - | 14,804 | 28.2\% |
| Guided | 18,235 | 1,838 | 14,633 - | 21,837 | 19.8 \% |
| ```Period 2 (1 June - 16 June)``` |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | - 27,104 | 2,920 | 21,380 - | 32,828 | 21.1\% |
| Unguided weekends | - 17,620 | 1,613 | 14,459 - | 20,781 | 17.98 |
| Guided | 38,225 | 2,404 | 33,513 - | - 42,937 | 12.3\% |
| ```Period 3 (17 June - 30 June)``` |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | S 22,896 | 2,154 | 18,675 - | 27,117 | 18.4\% |
| Unguided weekends | - 18,952 | 1,740 | 15,542 - | 22,362 | $18.0 \%$ |
| Guided | 37,467 | 1,490 | 34,546 - | - 40,388 | $7.8 \%$ |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers | 104,702 | 4,717 | 95,457 - | 113,947 | $8.8 \%$ |
| Guided anglers | 93,927 | 3,373 | 87,316 - | 100,538 | $7.0 \%$ |
| Early Run Total | 198,629 | 5,799 | 187,263 - | 209,995 | 5.7\% |
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| Component | Estimated | Standard Error | 95\% |  | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Confidence | e Interval |  |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Period } 4 \\ & \quad(1 \text { June - } 16 \mathrm{July}) \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | - 40,289 | 3,182 | 34,052 | - 46,526 | 15.5\% |
| Unguided weekends | S 56,508 | 3,248 | 50,141 | - 62,875 | 11.3\% |
| Guided | 42,192 | 2,416 | 37,457 | - 46,927 | 11.28 |
| ```Period 5 (17 July - 31 July)``` |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 5 58,392 | 4,547 | 49,480 | - 67,304 | 15.3\% |
| Unguided weekends | S 31,193 | 1,679 | 27,902 | - 34,484 | $10.6 \%$ |
| Guided | 44, 315 | 2,046 | 40,305 | - 48,325 | 9.0\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers | 186,382 | 6,646 | 173,355 | - 199,409 | 7.08 |
| Guided anglers | 86,507 | 3,166 | 80,302 | - 92,712 | $7.2 \%$ |
| Late Run Total | 272,889 | 7,362 | 258,460 | - 287,318 | 5.3\% |

BOTH RUNS COMBINED

| Unguided anglers | 291,084 | 8,150 | $275,110-307,058$ | $5.5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guided anglers | 180,434 | 4,626 | $171,367-189,501$ | $5.0 \%$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 471,518 | 9,372 | $453,149-489,887$ | $3.9 \%$ |

Table 3. Mean counts of boat anglers by period for each of the components for the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | 4 |
| Mean count | 3.5 | 25.8 | 26.6 | 21.0 | 26.0 |
| Standard error | 2.2 | 4.5 | 10.0 | 4.7 | 6.2 |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 3 | 5 | 4 | 2 |
| Mean count | 12.7 | 92.7 | 101.2 | 32.5 | 68.0 |
| Standard error | 5.2 | 28.9 | 11.8 | 17.2 | 18.0 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 7 | 11 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 5.4 | 3.7 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 1.6 | 1.2 |  |  |  |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekdays: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 4 | 4 | 4 | 1 |
| Mean count | 13.7 | 59.8 | 76.5 | 53.5 | 7.0 |
| Standard error | 5.8 | 12.6 | 7.9 | 10.0 |  |
| Unguided anglers, weekends: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 2 | 3 | 3 | 1 | 3 |
| Mean count | 24.0 | 84.3 | 87.7 | 74.0 | 56.7 |
| Standard error | 20.0 | 18.8 | 21.2 |  | 24.3 |
| Guided anglers, all days: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 6 |  |  |  |
| Mean count | 7.5 | 4.3 |  |  |  |
| Standard error | 2.5 | 1.4 |  |  |  |

Table 4. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Estimated <br> Effort | Standard <br> Error | $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Interval | Relative <br> Precision |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

EARLY RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 6,171 | 820 | $4,565-$ | 7,777 | $26.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends | 12,282 | 1,611 | $9,125-$ | 15,439 | $25.7 \%$ |
| Guided | 1,263 | 282 | $711-$ | 1,815 | $43.7 \%$ |


| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided anglers | 18,453 | 1,807 | $14,911-$ | 21,995 | $19.2 \%$ |
| Guided anglers | 1,263 | 282 | $711-$ | 1,815 | $43.7 \%$ |
| Early Run Total | 19,716 | 1,829 | $16,131-23,301$ | $18.2 \%$ |  |

LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 12,105 | 1,637 | $8,897-15,313$ | $26.5 \%$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends | 8,080 | 817 | $6,478-$ | 9,682 | $19.8 \%$ |
| Guided | 1,065 | 261 | $554-$ | 1,576 | $48.0 \%$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$Unguided anglers <br> Guided anglers | 20,185 | 1,829 | $16,600-$ | 23,770 | $17.8 \%$ |
|  | 1,065 | 261 | $554-$ | 1,576 | $48.0 \%$ |
| Late Run Total | 21,250 | 1,848 | $17,629-24,871$ | $17.0 \%$ |  |

## BOTH RUNS COMBINED

| Unguided anglers | 38,638 | 2,571 | $33,329-$ | 43,677 | $13.0 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Guided anglers | 2,328 | 384 | $1,575-$ | 3,081 | $32.3 \%$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 40,966 | 2,600 | $35,870-46,062$ | $12.4 \%$ |  |

Table 5. Counts of sport fishing boats by river section conducted during aerial surveys of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Downstream |  | Midstream |  | Upstream |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Count | Pro. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Count | Pro. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Count | Pro. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Count |

## EARLY RUN

| $5 / 28$ | 121 | 0.890 | 7 | 0.051 | 8 | 0.059 | 136 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: |
| $6 / 02$ | 58 | 0.967 | 2 | 0.033 | 0 | 0.000 | 60 |
| $6 / 03$ | 150 | 0.962 | 5 | 0.032 | 1 | 0.006 | 156 |
| $6 / 07$ | 55 | 0.887 | 4 | 0.065 | 3 | 0.048 | 62 |
| $6 / 08$ | 160 | 0.879 | 18 | 0.099 | 4 | 0.022 | 182 |
| $6 / 10$ | 88 | 0.854 | 5 | 0.049 | 10 | 0.097 | 103 |
| $6 / 15$ | 113 | 0.856 | 9 | 0.068 | 10 | 0.076 | 132 |
| $6 / 18$ | 138 | 0.758 | 19 | 0.104 | 25 | 0.137 | 182 |
| $6 / 20$ | 221 | 0.902 | 12 | 0.049 | 12 | 0.049 | 245 |
| $6 / 21$ | 96 | 0.800 | 12 | 0.100 | 12 | 0.100 | 120 |
| $6 / 25$ | 88 | 0.772 | 8 | 0.070 | 18 | 0.158 | 114 |
| $6 / 27$ | 168 | 0.753 | 24 | 0.108 | 31 | 0.139 | 223 |
|  |  |  | 0.857 |  | 0.069 |  | 0.074 |
| Mean |  | 0.073 |  | 0.028 |  | 0.053 |  |
| Standard Error |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

LATE RUN

| $7 / 02$ | 143 | 0.715 | 17 | 0.085 | 40 | 0.200 | 200 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| $7 / 06$ | 183 | 0.867 | 17 | 0.081 | 11 | 0.052 | 211 |
| $7 / 07$ | 106 | 0.822 | 7 | 0.054 | 16 | 0.124 | 129 |
| $7 / 08$ | 142 | 0.736 | 18 | 0.093 | 33 | 0.171 | 193 |
| $7 / 11$ | 232 | 0.808 | 31 | 0.108 | 24 | 0.084 | 287 |
| $7 / 13$ | 135 | 0.865 | 11 | 0.071 | 10 | 0.064 | 156 |
| $7 / 15$ | 86 | 0.688 | 15 | 0.120 | 24 | 0.192 | 125 |
| $7 / 21$ | 142 | 0.679 | 30 | 0.144 | 37 | 0.177 | 209 |
| $7 / 22$ | 242 | 0.740 | 39 | 0.119 | 46 | 0.141 | 327 |
| $7 / 25$ | 220 | 0.797 | 33 | 0.120 | 23 | 0.083 | 276 |
| $7 / 26$ | 234 | 0.793 | 51 | 0.173 | 10 | 0.034 | 295 |
|  |  |  |  |  | 0.106 |  | 0.120 |
| Mean |  | 0.774 |  | 0.034 |  | 0.060 |  |
| Standard Error | 0.066 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

[^1]Downstream Section. Daily harvest rates of chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.179 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 0.075 fish per hour during the late run (Appendices B1 and B3). Peak daily catch rates of chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 7 June during the early run and on 28 July during the late run (Figure 5). Daily harvest rates of chinook salmon by guided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.204 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 0.118 fish per hour during the late run (Appendices B2 and B4). Peak daily catch rates of chinook salmon by guided anglers occurred on 2 June during the early run and 7 July during the late run (Figure 5). Estimates of overall harvest and catch rates of chinook salmon for each of the components were higher for guided anglers than for unguided anglers in all components (Table 6).

The only difference in catch and harvest rates of the by-catch for guided and unguided anglers occurred during the late run for sockeye salmon (Table 7). The guided catch rate was approximately one tenth that of the unguided angler.

Upstream Section. Daily harvest rates of chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.009 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 0.023 fish per hour during the late run (Appendices B5 and B6). Peak daily catch rates of chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 8 July during the early run and on 15 July during the late run. Daily harvest rates of chinook salmon by guided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.333 fish per hour during the early run (Appendix B7) and from 0.000 to 0.102 during the late run. Peak daily catch rates of chinook salmon by guided anglers occurred on 24 June during the early run and on 18 July during the late run. For the early run, estimates of overall harvest and catch rates of chinook salmon for each of the components were higher for guided anglers than for unguided anglers in all components (Table 8).

In the upstream section, the catch rates for other species were greater for unguided anglers than guided anglers during the early run; the reverse was true during the late run except for pink salmon (Table 9).

Harvest and Catch:
Downstream Section. An estimated 14,719 chinook salmon were harvested by boat anglers in the downstream section: 6,711 fish (46\%) during the early run and 8,008 fish (54\%) during the late run (Table 10). Unguided anglers harvested 5,114 chinook salmon (35\% of the total) and guided anglers harvested 9,605 fish ( $65 \%$ of the total). The total catch of chinook salmon by boat anglers in the downstream section was 19,559 fish: 9,034 fish (46\%) during the early run and 10,525 fish (54\%) during the late run (Table 10). Unguided anglers released $29 \%$ of their chinook salmon catch while guided anglers released $22 \%$ of their catch.

Upstream Section. An estimated 264 chinook salmon were harvested by boat anglers in the upstream section: 95 fish ( $36 \%$ ) during the early run and 169 fish (64\%) during the late run (Table 11). Unguided anglers harvested 146 chinook salmon (55\% of the total) and guided anglers harvested 118 fish (45\% of the total). The total catch of chinook salmon by boat anglers in the


Figure 5. Daily harvest per hour of chinook salmon by guided and unguided anglers in the recreational fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

Table 6. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Temporal | Days |  | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Harvest HPUE | Standard <br> Error | Catch CPUE | Standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | Period | $\mathrm{n}^{\mathbf{a}}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{b}}$ |  |  |  |  | Error |

EARLY RUN

| Unguided weekdays | $5 / 16-5 / 31$ | 9 | 10 | 124 | 0.0153 | 0.00430 | 0.0153 | 0.00430 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unguided weekends | $5 / 16-5 / 31$ | 5 | 5 | 142 | 0.0099 | 0.00617 | 0.0158 | 0.00704 |
| Guided all days | $5 / 16-5 / 31$ | 12 | 15 | 117 | 0.0260 | 0.00810 | 0.0278 | 0.01150 |
| Unguided weekdays | $6 / 01-6 / 16$ | 8 | 10 | 252 | 0.0179 | 0.00739 | 0.0349 | 0.00913 |
| Unguided weekends | $6 / 01-6 / 16$ | 4 | 4 | 319 | 0.0180 | 0.00358 | 0.0215 | 0.00396 |
| Guided all days | $6 / 01-6 / 16$ | 13 | 14 | 373 | 0.0715 | 0.00769 | 0.0890 | 0.00878 |
| Unguided weekdays | $6 / 17-6 / 30$ | 8 | 8 | 333 | 0.0248 | 0.00382 | 0.0340 | 0.00444 |
| Unguided weekends | $6 / 17-6 / 30$ | 4 | 4 | 226 | 0.0131 | 0.00466 | 0.0174 | 0.00558 |
| Guided al1 days | $6 / 17-6 / 30$ | 12 | 12 | 456 | 0.0446 | 0.00419 | 0.0643 | 0.00516 |

-Continued-

Table 6. (Page 2 of 2).

| Temporal <br> Period | Days <br> $\mathrm{n}^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  | $\mathrm{N}^{\mathrm{b}}$ | Number of <br> Interviews | Harvest <br> HPUE | Standard <br> Error | Catch <br> CPUE | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | $7 / 01-7 / 16$ | 7 | 7 | 338 | 0.0261 | 0.00544 | 0.0406 | 0.00670 |
| Unguided weekends | $7 / 01-7 / 16$ | 7 | 7 | 388 | 0.0175 | 0.00264 | 0.0224 | 0.00362 |
| Guided all days | $7 / 01-7 / 16$ | 11 | 11 | 342 | 0.0589 | 0.00594 | 0.0800 | 0.00659 |
| Unguided weekdays | $7 / 17-7 / 31$ | 8 | 8 | 352 | 0.0168 | 0.00815 | 0.0208 | 0.01071 |
| Unguided weekends | $7 / 17-7 / 31$ | 4 | 4 | 264 | 0.0083 | 0.00289 | 0.0124 | 0.00333 |
| Guided all days | $7 / 17-7 / 31$ | 10 | 10 | 503 | 0.0506 | 0.00427 | 0.0597 | 0.00478 |

a Number of days on which interviews were collected.
b Number of days possible for interviewing.
c Completed trip interviews only.

Table 7. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of sockeye salmon, coho salmon, pink salmon rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | SOCKEYE SALMON |  | COHO SALMON |  | PINR SALMON |  | RAINBOW TROUT |  | DOLLY VARDEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | cpue | hPUE | crue |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays <br> (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0002 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0002 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided all days (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0005 \\ (0.0021) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0005 \\ (0.0021) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0003 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0003 \\ (0.0003) \end{gathered}$ |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0992 \\ (0.0222) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0992 \\ (0.0222) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0044 \\ (0.0100) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0051 \\ (0.0103) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0804 \\ (0.0254) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0804 \\ (0.0254) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0029 \\ (0.0019) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0029 \\ (0.0019) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided all days (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0084 \\ (0.0075) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0084 \\ (0.0075) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 0.0000 \\ & (0.0000) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0056 \\ (0.00123 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0078 \\ (0.0029) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 8. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Days |  | Number ofInterviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Harvest HPUE | Standard Error | Catch | Standard |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  | CPUE | Error |


| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekdays | 12 | 15 | 546 | 0.0016 | 0.00073 | 0.0064 | 0.00161 |
| Unguided weekends | 9 | 10 | 830 | 0.0017 | 0.00098 | 0.0022 | 0.00114 |
| Guided all days | 13 | 22 | 73 | 0.0507 | 0.01561 | 0.0897 | 0.03242 |

LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 8 | 12 | 665 | 0.0044 | 0.00204 | 0.0119 | 0.00328 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | :--- |
| Unguided weekends | 6 | 6 | 636 | 0.0077 | 0.00211 | 0.0109 | 0.00252 |
| Guided all days | 10 | 15 | 68 | 0.0510 | 0.01482 | 0.0849 | 0.03038 |

a Number of days on which interviews were collected.
b Number of days possible for interviewing.
c Completed trip and incompleted trip interviews.

Table 9. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of sockeye salmon, coho salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden by boat anglers during each of the components of the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | SOCKEYE SALMON |  | COHO SALMON |  | PINK SALMON |  | RAINBOW TROUT |  | DOLLY VARDEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | hPue | cPue | hPUE | cpue |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0016 \\ (0.0010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0016 \\ (0.0010) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0136 \\ (0.0036) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0739 \\ (0.0190) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0699 \\ (0.0106) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1469 \\ (0.0197) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0006 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0011 \\ (0.0004) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0014 \\ (0.0030) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0558 \\ (0.0184) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0825 \\ (0.0162) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1651 \\ (0.0277) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided all days (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0156 \\ (0.0146) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0585 \\ (0.0215) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0468 \\ (0.0265) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1092 \\ (0.0550) \end{gathered}$ |


| Unguided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.1870 \\ (0.0241) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.3021 \\ (0.0369) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0006 \\ (0.0006) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0031 \\ (0.0051) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0031 \\ (0.0018) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0063 \\ (0.0030) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0394 \\ (0.0062) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0813 \\ (0.0103) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.1936 \\ (0.0144) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.4134 \\ (0.0352) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0038 \\ (0.0016) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0128 \\ (0.0032) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0294 \\ (0.0067) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0556 \\ (0.0096) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0255 \\ (0.0208) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0382 \\ (0.0288) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0042 \\ (0.0072) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1274 \\ (0.0706) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0849 \\ (0.0405) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2208 \\ (0.0750) \end{gathered}$ |

Table 10. Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each of the components in the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Standard Error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rel. } \\ & \text { Pre.b } \end{aligned}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Standard Error | Rel. <br> Pre. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 1,154 | 232 | 39.48 | 1,825 | 296 | 31.8\% |
| Unguided weekends | 679 | 135 | $39.0 \%$ | 891 | 159 | 34.98 |
| Guided all days | 4,878 | 410 | 16.5\% | 6,318 | 501 | 15.5\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 1,833 | 268 | 28.7\% | 2,716 | 336 | 24.28 |
| Guided | 4,878 | 410 | 16.5\% | 6,318 | 501 | 15.5\% |
| Early Run Total | 6,711 | 490 | 14.3\% | 9,034 | 603 | 13.1\% |

LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 2,033 | 331 | $31.9 \%$ | 2,851 | 702 | $48.3 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Unguided weekends | 1,248 | 184 | $28.9 \%$ | 1,653 | 241 | $28.6 \%$ |
| Guided all days | 4,727 | 360 | $14.9 \%$ | 6,021 | 417 | $13.6 \%$ |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided <br> Guided | 3,281 | 379 | $22.6 \%$ | 4,504 | 743 | $32.3 \%$ |
|  | 4,727 | 360 | $14.9 \%$ | 6,021 | 417 | $13.6 \%$ |
| Late Run Total | 8,008 | 522 | $12.8 \%$ | 10,525 | 852 | $15.9 \%$ |

## BOTH RUNS COMBINED

| Unguided <br> Guided | 5,114 | 464 | $17.8 \%$ | 7,220 | 824 | 22.48 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 9,605 | 546 | $11.1 \%$ | 12,339 | 652 | $10.4 \%$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 14,719 | 716 | $9.5 \%$ | 19,559 | 1,044 | $10.5 \%$ |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 11. Estimated number of chinook salmon harvested and number caught by boat anglers during each of the components in the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Harvest ${ }^{\text {S }}$ | Standard <br> Error | Re1. <br> Pre. | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | | Rel. |
| :---: |
| Pre.b |

EARLY RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 10 | 5 | $90.8 \%$ | 39 | 11 | $56.1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends <br> Guided all days | 21 | 12 | $114.0 \%$ | 27 | 14 | $104.1 \%$ |
| Sub-totals: <br> Unguided <br> Guided | 34 | 24 | $73.3 \%$ | 113 | 47 | $82.0 \%$ |
| Early Run Total | 94 | 13 | $82.6 \%$ | 66 | 18 | $54.0 \%$ |

LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 53 | 26 | $94.4 \%$ | 144 | 44 | $59.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends | 62 | 18 | $57.3 \%$ | 88 | 22 | $49.2 \%$ |
| Guided all days | 54 | 20 | $73.6 \%$ | 90 | 38 | $83.6 \%$ |
| Sub-totals: <br> Unguided <br> Guided <br> Late Run Total | 115 | 31 | $53.4 \%$ | 232 | 49 | $41.5 \%$ |

BOTH RUNS COMBINED

| Unguided | 146 | 34 | $45.6 \%$ | 298 | 52 | $34.2 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guided | 118 | 31 | $51.5 \%$ | 203 | 60 | $57.9 \%$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 264 | 46 | $34.2 \%$ | 501 | 80 | $31.3 \%$ |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.
upstream fishery was 501 fish: 179 fish (36\%) during the early run and 322 fish (64\%) during the late run (Table 11). Unguided anglers released $51 \%$ of their chinook salmon catch while guided anglers released $42 \%$ of their catch.

Midstream Section. During the early run, an estimated 450 chinook salmon $(S E=164)$ were harvested in the midstream section. The estimated catch of chinook salmon during the early run was 688 ( $\mathrm{SE}=241$ ). The estimated harvest of chinook salmon for the midstream section during the late run was 950 ( $\mathrm{SE}=254$ ) . The estimated catch of chinook salmon during the late run was $1,363(\mathrm{SE}=367)$.

Other Species. Sockeye salmon were the most common species caught in both the downstream ( 17,570 sockeye salmon were harvested; none were reported released) and upstream sections ( 3,855 fish were harvested and 7,038 fish were caught) (Tables 12 and 13). A large number of Dolly Varden were harvested in both the downstream $(1,215)$ and upstream $(2,537)$ fisheries.

Summary:
The estimated total angler-effort during the chinook salmon fishery was 563,579 angler-hours (Table 14). Estimated total harvest and catch of chinook salmon were 16,383 fish and 22,111 fish, respectively (Table 14). Unguided anglers exerted $64.5 \%$ of the effort and harvested $35.1 \%$ of the chinook salmon while guided anglers exerted $35.5 \%$ of the effort and harvested $64.9 \%$ of the fish. The majority of the effort (83.7\%) and chinook salmon harvest ( $89.9 \%$ ) were estimated to occur in the downstream section of the fishery (Figure 6). Just $7.3 \%$ occurred in the upstream section and $9.0 \%$ in the midstream section. Only $1.6 \%$ of the chinook salmon harvest was from the upstream section and $8.5 \%$ from the midstream section.

## Biological Data:

The most abundant age groups in the early run harvest were ages 1.3 and 1.4 chinook salmon which composed $26.5 \%$ and $63.0 \%$ of the sample, respectively (Table 15). Ages 1.4 and 1.5 chinook salmon were the most abundant age groups in the late run harvest, contributing $71.9 \%$ and $15.6 \%$ to the sample, respectively (Table 15). The mean lengths at age for each sex were generally greater for late run fish than for early run fish (Table 16). For both the early and late runs, the mean lengths of 3-, 4- and 5-ocean age male chinook salmon sampled from the harvest were generally larger than the mean lengths of females from the same age group.

Discussion:

The major assumptions necessary for the effort and harvest estimates were explained in the Methods section. It is important to determine how well the data conform to these assumptions to evaluate whether the current experimental design and methods of analysis are appropriate. It is beyond the scope of this report to examine every assumption, but several were examined.

The assumption that interviews with unguided and guided anglers were conducted in proportion to the abundance of anglers at the time of the interview

Table 12. Estimated number of sockeye salmon, coho salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden harvested and caught by boat anglers during the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Harv. ${ }^{2}$ | - SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harv. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harv. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sockeye salmon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 80 | 42 | 80 | 42 | 80 | 42 | 80 |
| Rainbow trout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dolly Varden | 12 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 23 | 11 | 23 | 11 | 35 | 15 | 35 | 15 |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sockeye salmon | 16,843 | 1,685 | 16,843 | 1,685 | 727 | 327 | 727 | 327 | 17,570 | 1,716 | 17,570 | 1,716 |
| Coho salmon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pink Salmon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Rainbow trout | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Dolly Varden | 695 | 586 | 764 | 594 | 485 | 100 | 679 | 125 | 1,180 | 595 | 1,443 | 607 |

2 Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 13. Estimated number of sockeye salmon, coho salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden harvested and caught by boat anglers during the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  | Total |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Harv. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harv. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harv. ${ }^{2}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE |

## EARLY RUN

| Sockeye salmon | 21 | 10 | 28 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 10 | 28 | 12 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Rainbow trout | 296 | 56 | 1,341 | 315 | 20 | 19 | 73 | 31 | 316 | 59 | 1,414 | 317 |
| Dolly Varden | 1,673 | 277 | 3,404 | 504 | 59 | 35 | 137 | 74 | 1,732 | 279 | 3,541 | 509 |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sockeye salmon | 3,828 | 464 | 6,997 | 797 | 27 | 23 | 41 | 31 | 3,855 | 465 | 7,038 | 797 |
| Coho salmon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Pink Salmon | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 7 | 69 | 44 | 7 | 7 | 69 | 44 |
| Rainbow Trout | 69 | 26 | 179 | 46 | 4 | 8 | 136 | 80 | 73 | 27 | 315 | 93 |
| Dolly Varden | 715 | 114 | 1,433 | 202 | 90 | 47 | 235 | 97 | 805 | 124 | 1,668 | 224 |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 14. Summary of estimated angler effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by all boat anglers for each river section of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

| Run | Downstream <br> Section | Upstream <br> Section | Midstream <br> Section | Total | $95 \%$ Confidence <br> Interval |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Early Run |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Effort | 198,629 | 19,716 | 16,182 | 234,527 | $219,127-249,927$ |  |
| SE | 5,799 | 1,829 | 4,976 | 7,857 |  |  |
| Harvest | 6,711 |  | 95 | 450 | 7,256 | $6,242-1$ |
| SE | 490 | 27 | 164 | 517 |  | 8,270 |
| Catch | 9,034 | 179 | 688 | 9,901 | $8,624-1$ | 11,178 |
| SE | 603 | 51 | 241 | 651 |  |  |

Late Run

| Effort | 272,889 | 21,250 | 34,912 | 329,051 | $305,038-353,064$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE | 7,362 | 1,848 | 9,617 | 12,252 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | 8,008 | 169 | 950 | 9,127 | $7,987-$ | 10,267 |  |
| SE | 522 | 37 | 254 | 582 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch | 10,525 | 322 | 1,363 | 12,210 | $10,388-$ | 14,032 |  |
| SE | 852 | 62 | 367 | 930 |  |  |  |

Total Both Runs

| Effort | 471,518 | 40,966 | 51,095 | 563,579 | $535,052-592,106$ |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE | 9,372 | 2,600 | 10,828 | 14,555 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | 14,719 | 264 | 1,400 | 16,383 | $14,857-$ | 17,909 |  |
| SE | 716 | 46 | 302 | 779 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch | 19,559 | 501 | 2,051 | 22,111 | $19,886-$ | 24,336 |  |
| SE | 1,044 | 80 | 424 | 1,135 |  |  |  |



Figure 6. Percent of total angler effort and chinook salmon harvest by guided and unguided anglers for each run and river section of the chinook salmon fishery in the Kenai River, 1989.

Table 15. Age composition of chinook salmon sampled from the harvest during the early and late runs of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

| RUN | Sex |  | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| EARLY | Male | Percent | 1.1 | 2.8 | 10.5 | 30.4 | 5.0 | 49.7 |
| $(\mathrm{n}=181)^{2}$ | Female | Percent | 0.0 | 0.6 | 16.0 | 32.6 | 1.1 | 50.3 |
|  | Combined | Percent SE | $\begin{aligned} & 1.1 \\ & 0.8 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 3.3 \\ & 1.3 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 26.5 \\ 2.3 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 63.0 \\ 3.4 \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 6.1 \\ & 1.8 \end{aligned}$ |  |
| LATE | Male | Percent | 0.0 | 1.0 | 4.2 | 35.4 | 10.4 | 51.0 |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=96$ ) | Female | Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 36.5 | 5.2 | 49.0 |
|  | Combined | Percent | 0.0 | 1.0 | 10.4 | 71.9 | 15.6 |  |
|  |  | SE | 0.0 | 1.0 | 3.1 | 4.6 | 3.7 |  |

[^2]Table 16. Mean length (mm) by age group of chinook salmon sampled from the harvest during the early and late runs of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Age Group |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| RUN |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |

## EARLY RUN

| Male | Mean Length | 548 | 687 | 846 | 1022 | 1133 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Standard Error | 13 | 22 | 19 | 9 | 20 |
|  | Sample Size | 2 | 5 | 19 | 55 | 9 |
| Female | Mean Length |  | 680 | 875 | 961 | 1108 |
|  | Standard Error |  |  | 11 | 7 | 13 |
|  | Sample Size |  | 1 | 29 | 59 |  |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Mean Length |  | 726 | 937 | 1042 | 1149 |
|  | Standard Error |  |  | 77 | 8 | 15 |
|  | Sample Size |  | 1 | 4 | 34 | 10 |
| Female | Mean Length |  |  | 918 | 1025 | 1080 |
|  | Standard Error |  |  | 23 | 10 | 18 |
|  | Sample Size |  |  | 6 | 35 | 5 |

was examined previously by Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987) and found to be valid in 1985 and 1986. This assumption was examined again in 1989 for the downstream section only during the chinook salmon fishery. This assumption was again found to be generally valid. Guided and unguided anglers interviewed during each stratum were approximately proportional to the estimated effort in each stratum. Survey clerks were saturated during the last 2 weeks of July on both weekdays and weekend days by unguided anglers which accounts for the relatively poor $r^{2}$ value (52.6\%) associated with the chinook salmon fishery (Appendix F).

The survey for counting unguided anglers in the downstream section of the river during the chinook salmon fishery was designed to minimize the autocorrelation (Cochran 1977) among counts conducted on the same day. In previous years, angler counts were often conducted within 1 or 2 hours of each other (although they were conducted in different periods). Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987) found significant correlations between same-day counts of unguided anglers conducted from 1 to 7 hours apart for the creel survey of the Kenai River in 1986. In 1989, the sampling schedule was designed such there were at least 8 hours between counts on weekdays and 4 hours on weekend/holidays. Only one count was conducted during each period and since estimates for each component were independent, autocorrelation was of no consequence. Linear regressions between succeeding counts were examined for the following periods on the same day (Appendix $E$ ): unguided anglers weekdays; $A$ vs $C \quad\left(y=57+0.668 x, \quad r^{2}=0.705, \quad F=31.0, \quad p<0.001\right), \quad C$ vs $E$ $\left(y=10+0.625 x, \quad r^{2}=0.746, \quad F=35.4, p<0.001\right)$, and $B$ vs $D\left(y=58+0.457 x, r^{2}=0.759\right.$, $F=47.1$, $p<0.001$ ), unguided anglers weekend/holidays; $A$ vs $B(y=214+0.755 x$, $\left.r^{2}=0.468, \mathrm{~F}=17.7, \mathrm{p}<0.001\right)$, B vs $\mathrm{C}\left(\mathrm{y}=67+0.690 \mathrm{x}, \mathrm{r}^{2}=0.661, \mathrm{~F}=38.9, \mathrm{p}<0.001\right)$, $C$ vs $D \quad\left(y=65+0.729 x, \quad r^{2}=0.593, \quad F=29.1, \quad p<0.001\right), \quad D$ vs $E \quad(y=34+0.554 x$, $r^{2}=0.401, F=13.1, \quad p=0.002$ ), and guided anglers; $A$ vs $B \quad(y=40+0.604 x$, $r^{2}=0.629, \mathrm{~F}=62.8, \mathrm{p}<0.001$ ). The strong correlation in angler counts between periods within a day suggests that a systematic sampling design should be implemented to investigate within-period variability in angler counts.

Completed trip angler interviews were conducted at only seven of the numerous possible locations where anglers enter and leave the fishery. The assumption that anglers using the seven exit sites are representative of interview data from other exit sites was tested by Hammarstrom (1988).

## Coho Salmon Creel Survey

During the coho salmon fishery, 37 of the 61 days possible during the survey period were sampled in the downstream section of the Kenai River. In the upstream section, 42 of the 61 days possible were surveyed.

## Effort:

Two angler counts were usually conducted on each sample day in the downstream section; there were 3 days when only one angler count was conducted. Two angler counts were conducted on all but 3 days surveyed in the upstream section.

Downstream Section. Angler counts in the downstream section ranged from 5 to 506 for unguided boat anglers, from 8 to 206 for shore anglers, and from 0 to 211 for guided anglers (Appendices C1 and C2). The largest count for all components occurred on 12 August. For each period (except period $D$ in the early run), the mean count of unguided boat anglers, shore anglers and guided anglers for the weekend/holiday component was larger than the mean count for the weekday component (Table 17).

The estimated effort during the early run (August) was 141,155 angler-hours (Table 18). During the early run, $74 \%$ of the total effort was by unguided anglers (shore anglers are assumed to be unguided). Anglers fishing on weekdays accounted for $62 \%$ of the effort while weekend/holiday anglers accounted for $38 \%$ of the effort. The estimated effort during the late run (September) was 66,342 angler-hours (Table 18). The majority of this effort (74\%) was by unguided anglers. Also, 47\% of the effort occurred during weekdays and 53\% during weekends/holidays.

Upstream Section. Angler counts in the upstream section ranged from 0 to 223 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 22 for guided anglers (Appendix C3). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 5 August and the largest count of guided anglers on 17 August. For each period in both runs, the mean count of unguided anglers for the weekend/holiday component was larger than the mean count for the weekday component (Table 19). However, the opposite was generally true for guided anglers.

The estimated effort during the early run was 22,312 angler-hours (Table 20). During the early run, $89 \%$ of the total effort was by unguided anglers; $56 \%$ of the effort occurred during weekdays and 44\% during weekends/holidays. The estimated effort during the late run was 13,636 angler-hours (Table 20). The majority of this effort (92\%) was by unguided anglers, also.

Midstream Section. A total of 14 flights were conducted to count anglers in each section of the Kenai River between Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Table 21). The mean proportion of effort in the midstream section for the early run was $0.037(S E=0.018)$ and the late run was 0.034 ( $S E=0.012$ ) . Each effort component was expanded accordingly to estimate the midstream component. Estimated effort occurring in the midstream section during the early and late runs was 6,190 angler-hours and 2,858 angler-hours, respectively.

Harvest Rates and Catch Rates:
A total of 1,977 angler interviews (both completed trip and incompleted trip) were collected during the creel survey in the downstream section of the Kenai River; 1,121 during the early run and 856 during the late run. In the upstream section, 2,944 angler interviews were collected, 1,432 during the early run and 1,512 during the late run.

Downstream Section. Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by unguided boat anglers ranged from 0.023 to 0.714 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.067 to 0.380 fish per hour during the late run (Appendices D1 and D2). Peak daily catch rates of coho salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 28 August during the early run and on 27 September during the late run

Table 17. Mean counts of anglers by period for each of the components for the creel survey of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided boat anglers weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 |
| Mean count | 85.8 | 116.8 | 110.5 | 123.7 |
| Standard error | 22.1 | 22.7 | 15.4 | 22.7 |
| Unguided boat anglers weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 281.3 | 342.7 | 228.8 | 110.8 |
| Standard error | 28.1 | 78.9 | 101.2 | 36.9 |
| Guided anglers weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 |
| Mean count | 121.0 | 81.6 | 42.3 | 20.3 |
| Standard error | 17.2 | 18.7 | 7.5 | 2.6 |
| Guided anglers weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 146.0 | 157.3 | 57.8 | 12.5 |
| Standard error | 19.0 | 29.8 | 19.0 | 4.3 |
| Unguided shore anglers weekdays: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 8 | 8 | 8 | 3 |
| Mean count | 51.0 | 68.0 | 76.4 | 54.3 |
| Standard error | 11.9 | 9.8 | 7.6 | 11.8 |
| Unguided shore anglers weekends: |  |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 4 | 3 | 4 | 4 |
| Mean count | 70.8 | 96.0 | 117.3 | 54.3 |
| Standard error | 11.8 | 14.2 | 37.8 | 20.1 |

-Continued-

Table 17. (Page 2 of 2 ).

| Component | Period |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers weekdays: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Mean count | 90.4 | 53.6 | 48.8 |
| Standard error | 18.8 | 3.0 | 10.8 |
| Unguided anglers weekends: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Mean count | 213.7 | 181.6 | 127.4 |
| Standard error | 15.0 | 24.9 | 32.5 |
| Guided anglers weekdays: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Mean count | 64.6 | 29.2 | 19.5 |
| Standard error | 20.2 | 5.1 | 2.7 |
| Guided anglers weekends: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Mean count | 102.0 | 72.6 | 22.6 |
| Standard error | 25.7 | 16.8 | 9.9 |
| Unguided shore anglers weekdays: 5 |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 5 | 5 | 6 |
| Mean count | 38.8 | 26.2 | 25.5 |
| Standard error | 4.5 | 4.9 | 4.5 |
| Unguided shore anglers weekends: |  |  |  |
| Number of counts | 3 | 5 | 5 |
| Mean count | 55.0 | 53.6 | 42.6 |
| Standard error | 9.2 | 5.1 | 7.6 |

Table 18. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort during each of the components of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Estimated Effort | Standard Error | $95 \%$ <br> Confidence Interval |  |  | Relative Precision |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 40,173 | 3,855 | 32,617 | - | 47,729 | 18.8\% |
| Unguided weekends | 30,829 | 4,365 | 22,274 | - | 39,384 | 27.8\% |
| Guided weekdays | 24,400 | 2,450 | 19,598 | - | 29,202 | 19.7\% |
| Guided weekends | 11,955 | 1,292 | 9,423 | - | 14,487 | $21.2 \%$ |
| Unguided shore wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 22,974 | 1,918 | 19,215 | - | 26,733 | 16.48 |
| Unguided shore we ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 10,824 | 1,492 | 7,899 | - | 13,749 | $27.0 \%$ |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers | 71,002 | 5,824 | 59,588 | - | 82,416 | 16.1\% |
| Guided anglers | 36,355 | 2,770 | 30,926 | - | 41,784 | 14.9\% |
| Shore anglers | 33,798 | 2,430 | 29,035 |  | 38,561 | 14.1\% |
| Early Run Total | 141,155 | 6,891 | 127,648 | - | 154,662 | 9.6\% |

## LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 15,195 | 1,751 | 11,763 | - | 18,627 | 22.2\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unguided weekends | 20,907 | 1,744 | 17,488 | - | 24,326 | 16.4\% |
| Guided weekdays | 9,064 | 1,682 | 5,767 | - | 12,361 | 36.48 |
| Guided weekends | 7,888 | 1,290 | 5,360 | - | 10,416 | $32.0 \%$ |
| Unguided shore $\mathrm{wd}^{\text {a }}$ | 7,240 | 641 | 5,984 |  | 8,496 | 17.3\% |
| Unguided shore we ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 6,048 | 520 | 5,028 | - | 7,068 | 16.9\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers | 36,102 | 2,472 | 31,258 | - | 40,946 | $13.4 \%$ |
| Guided anglers | 16,952 | 2,120 | 12,798 | - | 21,106 | 24.5\% |
| Shore anglers | 13,288 | 825 | 11,670 | - | 14,906 | 12.2\% |
| Late Run Total | 66,342 | 3,359 | 59,759 | - | 72,925 | 9.9\% |

BOTH RUNS COMBINED

| Unguided anglers ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 154,190 | 6,828 | $140,807-167,753$ | $8.7 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Guided anglers | 53,307 | 3,488 | $46,471-60,143$ | $12.8 \%$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 207,497 | 7,667 | $192,469-222,525$ | $7.2 \%$ |

[^3]Table 19. Mean counts of anglers by period for each of the components for the creel survey of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Period |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Component | A | B | C | D |

## EARLY RUN

Unguided anglers weekdays: Number of counts 8 Mean count 17.3 Standard error

11
45.8
9.3
37.1
6.5
10.3
22.9

Guided anglers weekdays:
Number of counts
$8 \quad 11$
$2.6 \quad 10.9$
1.7

8
3
Mean count
1.5
$3 \quad 4$
10.0

4
3
Mean count
Standard error
2.0
1.0
1.5
2.5
1.7

## LATE RUN

Unguided anglers weekdays:
Number of counts
Mean count 28.
28.8

9
7.1
26.1

7
Standard error
4.4
22.1

Unguided anglers weekends:
Number of counts
5
Mean count
45.8

Standard error
11.4
71.0

5
4.7
43.4

Guided anglers weekdays:
Number of counts
6
9
7
Mean count 2.7
3.3
0.6

Standard error
1.4
0.9
0.5

Guided anglers weekends:

| Number of counts | 5 | 6 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Mean count | 7.2 | 6.8 | 0.0 |
| Standard error | 4.2 | 2.6 | 0.0 |

Table 20. Estimated number of angler-hours of fishing effort during each of the components of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Estimated <br> Effort | Standard <br> Error | Confidence Interval | Relative <br> Precision |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |

EARLY RUN


LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 6,167 | 739 | 4,719 | 7,615 | 23.5\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unguided weekends | 6,408 | 523 | 5,384 | 7,432 | 16.0\% |
| Guided weekdays | 526 | 145 | 241 | 811 | 54.1\% |
| Guided weekends | 535 | 199 | 145 | 925 | 72.9\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided anglers | 12,575 | 905 | 10,802 | 14,348 | 14.18 |
| Guided anglers | 1,061 | 247 | 578 | 1,544 | 45.5\% |
| Late Run Total | 13,636 | 938 | 11,798 | 15,474 | 13.5\% |

BOTH RUNS COMBINED

| Unguided anglers | 32,457 | 2,269 | 28,010 | 36,904 | 13.7\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Guided anglers | 3,491 | 379 | 2,749 | 4,233 | 21.3\% |
| GRAND TOTAL | 35,948 | 2,300 | 31,439 | 40,457 | 12.5\% |

Table 21. Counts of sportfishing boats by river section conducted during aerial surveys of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Downstream |  | Midstream |  | Upstream |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | Count | Pro. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Count | Pro. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Count | Pro. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Count |

## EARLY RUN

| $8 / 04$ | 49 | 0.778 | 4 | 0.063 | 10 | 0.159 | 63 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $8 / 05$ | 104 | 0.794 | 7 | 0.053 | 20 | 0.153 | 131 |
| $8 / 11$ | 112 | 0.824 | 3 | 0.022 | 21 | 0.154 | 136 |
| $8 / 13$ | 196 | 0.824 | 7 | 0.029 | 35 | 0.147 | 238 |
| $8 / 16$ | 137 | 0.867 | 4 | 0.025 | 17 | 0.108 | 158 |
| $8 / 20$ | 89 | 0.748 | 4 | 0.034 | 26 | 0.219 | 119 |
| $8 / 22$ | 46 | 0.793 | 3 | 0.052 | 9 | 0.155 | 58 |
| $8 / 31$ | 54 | 0.720 | 1 | 0.013 | 20 | 0.267 | 75 |
| Mean |  | 0.793 |  | 0.037 |  | 0.170 |  |
| Standard Error | 0.046 |  | 0.018 |  | 0.049 |  |  |

LATE RUN

| $9 / 03$ | 59 | 0.557 | 2 | 0.019 | 45 | 0.424 | 106 |
| :--- | ---: | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| $9 / 10$ | 54 | 0.667 | 3 | 0.037 | 24 | 0.296 | 81 |
| $9 / 15$ | 84 | 0.771 | 5 | 0.046 | 20 | 0.184 | 109 |
| $9 / 16$ | 145 | 0.701 | 10 | 0.048 | 52 | 0.251 | 207 |
| $9 / 21$ | 29 | 0.659 | 1 | 0.023 | 14 | 0.318 | 44 |
| $9 / 25$ | 13 | 0.448 | 1 | 0.034 | 15 | 0.517 | 29 |
| Mean  0.634 0.114  <br> Standard Error   0.034  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

a Proportion of total count.
(Figure 7). Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by guided anglers ranged from 0.028 to 0.487 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.067 to 0.380 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix D2). Peak daily catch rates by guided anglers occurred on 9 August during the early run and on 13 September during the late run (Figure 7). The highest mean harvest rate among all components of the fishery was for guided anglers on weekdays of the late run (Table 22). The highest harvest and catch rate for the entire coho salmon fishery was realized by shore anglers (0.571) on 25 August (Appendix D3).

Other species in the downstream section are considered incidental during the fishery for coho salmon except for pink salmon (Table 23).

Upstream Section. Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.238 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.095 to 0.388 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix D4). Peak daily catch rates of coho salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 28 August during the early run and on 15 September during the late run. Daily harvest rates of coho salmon by guided anglers ranged from 0.000 to 0.625 fish per hour during the early run and from 0.000 to 1.167 fish per hour during the late run (Appendix D5). Peak daily catch rates of coho salmon by guided anglers occurred on 14 August during the early run and on 1 and 25 September during the late run. Harvest and catch rates of coho salmon by guided anglers were generally greater than those for unguided anglers during both runs (Table 24).

In the upstream section, other species were more significant to the recreational harvest of both guided and unguided anglers than in the downstream section, as can be attested to by the comparatively larger harvest and catch rates, especially for sockeye salmon (Table 25).

Harvest and Catch:
Harvest and catch of coho salmon by shore and boat anglers were estimated for each component in the downstream and upstream sections of the Kenai River. Estimated effort and catch rates for each component from Tables 18 and 22, respectively, were used to estimate harvest and catch in the downstream section. For the upstream section, estimated effort and catch rates for each component from Tables 20 and 24 , respectively, were used to estimate harvest and catch.

Downstream Section. An estimated 37,403 coho salmon were harvested by anglers in the downstream section: 24,278 fish ( $65 \%$ ) during the early run and 13,125 fish ( $35 \%$ ) during the late run (Table 26). Unguided anglers harvested 24,881 coho salmon ( $67 \%$ of the total) and guided anglers harvested 12,522 fish (33\% of the total). The total coho salmon catch by anglers in the downstream section was 37,694 fish: 24,425 fish ( $65 \%$ ) during the early run and 13,269 fish (35\%) during the late run (Table 26). Unguided anglers released only $1 \%$ of their coho salmon catch while guided anglers did not release any of their catch.

Upstream Section. An estimated 4,573 coho salmon were harvested by anglers in the upstream section: 2,065 fish ( $45 \%$ ) during the early run and 2,508


Figure 7. Daily harvest per hour of coho salmon by guided and unguided anglers in the recreational fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

Table 22. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of coho salmon by anglers during each of the components of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Days |  | Number of <br> Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Harvest hPUE | Standard Error | Catch CPUE | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 14 | 23 | 251 | 0.1451 | 0.03511 | 0.1479 | 0.03634 |
| Unguided weekends | 8 | 8 | 305 | 0.1693 | 0.01921 | 0.1704 | 0.01926 |
| Guided weekdays | 14 | 23 | 333 | 0.2288 | 0.02647 | 0.2288 | 0.02647 |
| Guided weekends | 8 | 8 | 182 | 0.1937 | 0.02952 | 0.1937 | 0.02952 |
| Shore weekdays | 10 | 23 | 35 | 0.1951 | 0.05013 | 0.1951 | 0.05013 |
| Shore weekends | 3 | 8 | 15 | 0.0784 | 0.04236 | 0.0784 | 0.04236 |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 8 | 20 | 216 | 0.2360 | 0.03050 | 0.2374 | 0.03033 |
| Unguided weekends | 7 | 10 | 294 | 0.1467 | 0.01756 | 0.1526 | 0.01822 |
| Guided weekdays | 8 | 20 | 166 | 0.3004 | 0.03125 | 0.3004 | 0.03125 |
| Guided weekends | 7 | 10 | 115 | 0.2409 | 0.03237 | 0.2409 | 0.03237 |
| Shore weekday | 5 | 20 | 26 | 0.1656 | 0.04348 | 0.1656 | 0.04348 |
| Shore weekends | 6 | 10 | 39 | 0.1075 | 0.02733 | 0.1075 | 0.02733 |

[^4]Table 23. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of sockeye salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden by anglers during each of the components of the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | SOCKEYE SALMON |  | PINK SALMON |  | RAINBOW TROUT |  | DOLLY VARDEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0156 \\ (0.0139) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0256 \\ (0.0176) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0012 \\ (0.0012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0070 \\ (0.0044) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0116 \\ (0.0085) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0041 \\ (0.0024) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0066 \\ (0.0038) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekends <br> (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0012 \\ (0.0012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0012 \\ (0.0012) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0012 \\ (0.0012) \end{gathered}$ |
| Shore anglers wd ${ }^{\text {a }}$ (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0122 \\ (0.0216) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0122 \\ (0.0216) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0122 \\ (0.0216) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0122 \\ (0.0216 \end{array}$ |
| Shore anglers we ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |

LATE RUN

| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0020 \\ (0.0017) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekdays <br> (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekends <br> (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ |
| Shore anglers wd ${ }^{2}$ (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0.0000 \\ <0.0000 \end{array}$ |
| Shore anglers we ${ }^{\text {b }}$ (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0008) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0072 \\ (0.0048) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0072 \\ (0.0048) \end{gathered}$ |

[^5]Table 24. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of coho salmon by anglers during each of the components of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Days |  | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Harvest BPUE | Standard <br> Error | Catch CPUE | Standard <br> Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 15 | 23 | 568 | 0.0788 | 0.01312 | 0.0813 | 0.01329 |
| Unguided weekends | 7 | 8 | 657 | 0.0802 | 0.01308 | 0.0822 | 0.01332 |
| Guided weekdays | 14 | 23 | 149 | 0.2210 | 0.04013 | 0.2255 | 0.04059 |
| Guided weekends | 6 | 8 | 58 | 0.1203 | 0.03997 | 0.1203 | 0.03997 |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 11 | 20 | 536 | 0.2211 | 0.02049 | 0.2258 | 0.02216 |
| Unguided weekends | 9 | 10 | 844 | 0.1314 | 0.00971 | 0.1420 | 0.01029 |
| Guided weekdays | 10 | 20 | 59 | 0.4364 | 0.05971 | 0.4727 | 0.08529 |
| Guided weekends | 6 | 10 | 73 | 0.1355 | 0.03868 | 0.1355 | 0.03868 |

a Number of days on which interviews were collected.
b Number of days possible for interviewing.
c Both completed trip and incompleted trip interviews.

Table 25. Estimated harvest per unit effort (HPUE) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) of sockeye salmon, pink salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden by anglers during each of the components of the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | SOCKEYE SALMON |  | PINK SALMON |  | RAINBOW TROUT |  | DOLLY VARDEN |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Component | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE | HPUE | CPUE |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays <br> (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.1039 \\ (0.0240) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2296 \\ (0.0482) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0008 \\ (0.0007) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0034 \\ (0.0020) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0109 \\ (0.0040) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0302 \\ (0.0081) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0905 \\ (0.0177) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2564 \\ (0.0427) \end{gathered}$ |
| Unguided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0802 \\ (0.0165) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2198 \\ (0.0389) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0060 \\ (0.0023) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0027 \\ (0.0027) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0261 \\ (0.0086) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0688 \\ (0.0083) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2425 \\ (0.0322) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekdays (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0501 \\ (0.0119) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1595 \\ (0.0430) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0023 \\ (0.0022) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0046 \\ (0.0037) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0296 \\ (0.0119) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0706 \\ (0.0219) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1458 \\ (0.0395) \end{gathered}$ |
| Guided weekends (Standard Error) | $\begin{gathered} 0.0688 \\ (0.0252) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2923 \\ (0.0806) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0057 \\ (0.0069) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0000 \\ (0.0000) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.0172 \\ (0.0110) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.1261 \\ (0.0358) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 0.2521 \\ (0.0723) \end{gathered}$ |

## LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 0.0000 | 0.0190 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0028 | 0.0133 | 0.0180 | 0.1224 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Standard Error) | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0071)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0016)$ | $(0.0046)$ | $(0.0060)$ | $(0.0228)$ |
| Unguided weekends | 0.0000 | 0.0253 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0079 | 0.0232 | 0.0749 | 0.2607 |
| (Standard Error) | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0068)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0029)$ | $(0.0042)$ | $(0.0129)$ | $(0.0348)$ |
| Guided weekdays | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0121 | 0.0242 | 0.0242 |
| (Standard Error) | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0075)$ | $(0.0138)$ | $(0.0138)$ |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Guided weekends | 0.0000 | 0.0956 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0000 | 0.0040 | 0.1275 | 0.2351 |
| (Standard Error) | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0302)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0000)$ | $(0.0032)$ | $(0.0575)$ | $(0.1068)$ |

Table 26. Estimated number of coho salmon harvested and number caught by anglers during each of the components in the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Harvest ${ }^{2}$ | Standard Error | Rel. Pre. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Standard Error | Re1. Pre. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 5,829 | 1,511 | 50.8\% | 5,942 | 1,561 | 51.5\% |
| Unguided weekends | 5,219 | 943 | 35.48 | 5,253 | 948 | 35.4\% |
| Guided weekdays | 5,583 | 853 | 29.9\% | 5,583 | 853 | 29.9\% |
| Guided weekends | 2,316 | 431 | 36.5\% | 2,316 | 431 | 36.58 |
| Shore weekdays | 4,482 | 1,207 | 52.8\% | 4,482 | 1,207 | 52.8\% |
| Shore weekends | 849 | 469 | 108.3\% | 849 | 469 | 108.3\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 16,379 | 2,202 | 26.4\% | 16,526 | 2,238 | $26.6 \%$ |
| Guided | 7,899 | 955 | 23.7\% | 7,899 | 955 | $23.7 \%$ |
| Early Run Total | 24,278 | 2,401 | 19.48 | 24,425 | 2,434 | $19.5 \%$ |

## LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 3,586 | 619 | $33.8 \%$ | 3,607 | 618 | $33.6 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided weekends | 3,067 | 446 | $28.5 \%$ | 3,190 | 464 | $28.5 \%$ |
| Guided weekdays | 2,723 | 577 | $41.5 \%$ | 2,723 | 577 | $41.5 \%$ |
| Guided weekdays | 1,900 | 400 | $41.3 \%$ | 1,900 | 400 | $41.5 \%$ |
| Shore weekdays | 1,199 | 331 | $54.1 \%$ | 1,199 | 331 | $54.1 \%$ |
| Shore weekends | 650 | 174 | $52.4 \%$ | 650 | 174 | $52.4 \%$ |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\quad$Unguided <br> Guided | 8,502 | 850 | $19.6 \%$ | 8,646 | 859 | $19.5 \%$ |
| Late Run Total | 13,623 | 702 | $29.8 \%$ | 4,623 | 702 | $29.8 \%$ |

BOTH RUNS COMBINED

| Unguided | 24,881 | 2,360 | $18.6 \%$ | 25,172 | 2,398 | $18.7 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Guided | 12,522 | 1,185 | $18.5 \%$ | 12,522 | 1,185 | $18.5 \%$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 37,403 | 2,642 | $13.8 \%$ | 37,694 | 2,675 | $13.9 \%$ |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.
fish (55\%) during the late run (Table 27). Unguided anglers harvested 3,786 coho salmon (83\% of the total) and guided anglers harvested 787 fish ( $17 \%$ of the total). The total coho salmon catch by anglers in the upstream section was 4,742 fish: 2,118 fish ( $45 \%$ ) during the early run and 2,624 fish (55\%) during the late run (Table 27). Unguided anglers released $4 \%$ of their coho salmon catch while guided anglers released 3\% of their catch.

Midstream Section. An estimated 1,425 coho salmon were harvested by anglers in the upstream section: 863 fish (61\%) during the early run and 562 fish (39\%) during the late run.

Other Species. The estimates of harvest and catch of species other than coho salmon for the downstream and upstream sections are summarized in Tables 28 and 29 , respectively.

Summary:
The estimated total angler-effort in the Kenai River during the coho salmon fishery was 252,493 angler-hours (Table 30). Estimated total harvest and catch of coho salmon during the coho salmon fishery were 43,401 fish and 43,886 fish, respectively (Table 30). Based on information collected from the downstream and upstream sections, unguided anglers exerted $76.7 \%$ of the effort and harvested $68.2 \%$ of the coho salmon while guided anglers exerted $23.3 \%$ of the effort and harvested $31.8 \%$ of the fish. The majority of the effort ( $82.2 \%$ ) and coho salmon harvest ( $86.2 \%$ ) were estimated to occur in the downstream section of the fishery (Figure 8). In contrast to the chinook salmon fishery, where an estimated $26 \%$ of the chinook salmon caught by anglers were released, only $1 \%$ of the coho salmon caught were released.

Biological Data:
The most abundant age groups in the early run harvest were ages 2.1 and 3.1 coho salmon which composed $79.7 \%$ and $16.1 \%$ of the sample, respectively (Table 31). Ages 2.1 and 3.1 coho salmon were the most abundant age groups in the late run harvest, also, contributing $70.3 \%$ and $27.2 \%$ to the sample, respectively (Table 31). The mean lengths at age for each sex were greater in late run fish than in early run fish for all age groups (Table 32).

Discussion:
The assumption was made that incompleted trip interviews provide an unbiased estimate of harvest rate. Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987) concluded that incompleted trip interviews may not provide an unbiased estimate but the number of completed trip interviews was quite small. This was also the case during 1989 in that very few completed trip interviews were collected by the roving boat survey clerks and no comparison was attempted.

The assumption that interviews were collected in proportion to effort was also examined in 1986 (Conrad and Hammarstrom 1987) and concluded to be met. This assumption was examined again in 1989 for both downstream and upstream sections during the coho salmon fishery. This assumption was again found to be generally valid. Guided and unguided anglers interviewed during each

Table 27. Estimated number of coho salmon harvested and number caught by anglers during each of the components in the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Standard Error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rel. } \\ & \text { Pre.b } \end{aligned}$ | Catch ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Standard Error | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Rel. } \\ & \text { Pre. } \end{aligned}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 828 | 171 | 40.48 | 854 | 174 | 40.0\% |
| Unguided weekends | 752 | 180 | 46.48 | 771 | 182 | 46.2\% |
| Guided weekdays | 423 | 97 | 44.8\% | 431 | 98 | 44.68 |
| Guided weekends | 62 | 23 | 73.6\% | 62 | 23 | 73.68 |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 1,580 | 247 | 30.68 | 1,625 | 252 | 30.4\% |
| Guided | 485 | 100 | 40.28 | 493 | 101 | 40.18 |
| Early Run Total | 2,065 | 266 | 25.2\% | 2,118 | 271 | 25.1\% |

LATE RUN

| Unguided weekdays | 1,364 | 206 | $29.6 \%$ | 1,393 | 215 | 30.38 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Unguided weekends | 842 | 93 | 21.5\% | 910 | 99 | 21.3\% |
| Guided weekdays | 230 | 70 | 59.88 | 249 | 81 | 63.8\% |
| Guided weekends | 72 | 33 | 90.18 | 72 | 33 | 90.1\% |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 2,206 | 226 | 20.1\% | 2,303 | 237 | 20.18 |
| Guided | 302 | 78 | 50.48 | 321 | 88 | 53.5\% |
| Late Run Total | 2,508 | 239 | 18.7\% | 2,624 | 252 | 18.9\% |

## BOTH RUNS COMBINED

| Unguided | 3,786 | 335 | $17.3 \%$ | 3,928 | 347 | $17.3 \%$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Guided | 787 | 127 | $31.6 \%$ | 814 | 134 | $32.3 \%$ |
| GRAND TOTAL | 4,573 | 358 | $15.3 \%$ | 4,742 | 370 | $15.3 \%$ |

[^6]Table 28. Estimated number of sockeye salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden harvested and caught by anglers during the fishery for coho salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

|  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  | Shore Anglers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Species | Harv. ${ }^{2}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harv. ${ }^{2}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harv. ${ }^{2}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sockeye salmon | 0 | 0 | 37 | 36 | 14 | 15 | 14 | 15 | 280 | 495 | 280 | 495 |
| Dolly Varden | 843 | 577 | 1,666 | 760 | 100 | 60 | 175 | 94 | 280 | 495 | 280 | 495 |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Dolly Varden | 0 | 0 | 42 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 29 | 44 | 29 |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 29. Estimated number of sockeye salmon, rainbow trout, and Dolly Varden harvested and caught by anglers during the fishery for coho salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Species | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE | Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Catch ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | SE |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sockeye salmon | 1,850 | 350 | 4,488 | 777 | 132 | 42 | 456 | 251 |
| Pink salmon | 8 | 8 | 92 | 32 | 4 | 4 | 12 | 8 |
| Rainbow trout | 140 | 90 | 564 | 130 | 0 | 0 | 66 | 25 |
| Dolly Varden | 1,602 | 259 | 4,985 | 752 | 200 | 51 | 409 | 95 |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sockeye salmon | 0 | 0 | 279 | 64 | 0 | 0 | 51 | 24 |
| Rainbow trout | 68 | 21 | 231 | 42 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 18 |
| Dolly Varden | 591 | 99 | 2,426 | 309 | 80 | 39 | 138 | 71 |

a Harvest includes only fish kept.
b Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

Table 30. Summary of estimated angler-effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by all anglers for each river section of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

| Run | Downstream <br> Section | Upstream <br> Section | Midstream <br> Section | Total |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | | $95 \%$ Confidence |
| :---: |
| Interval |

Early Run

| Effort | 141,155 | 22,312 | 6,190 | 169,657 | 154,718 | $-184,596$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE | 6,891 | 2,101 | 2,489 | 7,622 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | 24,278 | 2,065 | 863 | 27,206 | 22,427 | $-31,985$ |  |
| SE | 2,401 | 266 | 332 | 2,438 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch | 24,425 | 2,118 | 874 | 27,417 | 22,572 | $-32,262$ |  |
| SE | 2,434 | 271 | 336 | 2,472 |  |  |  |

Late Run

| Effort | 66,342 | 13,636 | 2,858 | 82,836 | 75,808 | 89,864 |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE | 3,359 | 938 | 833 | 3,586 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | 13,125 | 2,508 | 562 | 16,195 | $13,963-$ | 18,427 |  |
| SE | 1,102 | 239 | 160 | 1,139 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Gatch | 13,269 | 2,624 | 576 | 16,469 | $14,217-$ | 18,721 |  |
| SE | 1,109 | 252 | 164 | 1,149 |  |  |  |

Total Both Runs

| Effort | 207,497 | 35,948 | 9,048 | 252,493 | 235,983 | $-269,002$ |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| SE | 7,666 | 2,301 | 2,625 | 8,423 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Harvest | 37,403 | 4,573 | 1,425 | 43,401 | 38,126 | $-48,676$ |  |
| SE | 2,642 | 358 | 369 | 2,691 |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Catch | 37,694 | 4,742 | 1,450 | 43,886 | 38,543 | $-49,229$ |  |
| SE | 2,675 | 370 | 458 | 2,726 |  |  |  |



Figure 8. Percent of total angler-effort and coho salmon harvest by guided and unguided anglers for each run and river section of the coho salmon fishery in the Kenai River, 1989.

Table 31. Age composition of coho salmon sampled from the harvest during the early and late runs of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

| RUN | Sex |  | Age Group |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 |  |
| EARLY | Male | Percent | 1.7 | 40.7 | 8.5 | 50.8 |
| $(\mathrm{n}-118)^{\text {a }}$ | Female | Percent | 2.5 | 39.0 | 7.6 | 49.2 |
|  | Combined | Percent SE | $\begin{aligned} & 4.2 \\ & 1.86 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} 79.7 \\ 3.72 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} 16.1 \\ 3.40 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| LATE | Male | Percent | 1.9 | 34.9 | 13.3 | 50.1 |
| ( $\mathrm{n}=158$ ) | Female | Percent | 0.6 | 35.4 | 13.9 | 49.9 |
|  | Combined | Percent | 2.5 | 70.3 | 27.2 |  |
|  |  | SE | 1.25 | 3.65 | 3.53 |  |

[^7]Table 32. Mean length (mm) by age group of coho salmon sampled from the harvest during the early and late runs of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

| Run |  | Age Group |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sex |  | 1.1 | 2.1 | 3.1 |
| EARLY RUN |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Mean Length | 495 | 583 | 627 |
|  | Standard Error | 5 | 7 | 12 |
|  | Sample Size | 2 | 48 | 10 |
| Female | Mean Length | 546 | 580 | 607 |
|  | Standard Error | 12 | 6 | 11 |
|  | Sample Size | 3 | 46 | 9 |
| LATE RUN |  |  |  |  |
| Male | Mean Length | 563 | 616 | 637 |
|  | Standard Error | 13 | 6 | 11 |
|  | Sample Size | 3 | 59 | 21 |
| Female | Mean Length | 600 | 609 | 623 |
|  | Standard Error |  | 6 | 9 |
|  | Sample Size | 1 | 56 | 22 |

stratum were approximately proportional to the estimated effort in both guided strata but not proportional for the unguided strata in either section (Appendix F). Equipment problems accounted for the major discrepancy in the downstream section during the coho salmon fishery as the creel clerk was forced to leave the river before the shift was scheduled to end thus missing angler contacts. No apparent explanation was resolved for the upstream section. The $r^{2}, F$, and $p$ values for each strata are presented in Appendix $F$.

## SUMMARY

Creel surveys were conducted from 16 May through 30 September in the downstream section and from 11 June through 30 September in the upstream section of the Kenai River. The estimated total effort by recreational anglers in the Kenai River between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet was 816,012 angler-hours (Table 33). This is a minimum estimate of effort, as it does not include the effort by shore anglers during the period 16 May through 31 July. Most fishing effort occurred in the downstream section of the Kenai River. About $68 \%$ of the total effort was by unguided anglers and $32 \%$ by guided anglers. More coho salmon were harvested than any other species in the survey area (Table 34), followed by sockeye salmon and chinook salmon. The estimated harvest of sockeye salmon is a minimum estimate because shore-based anglers during late July and the fishery in the midstream section during early August harvest large numbers of this species.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Based upon the results of the creel survey conducted in the lower Kenai River in 1989, I recommend the following changes to the sample design and data analyses for 1990.

1. When not conducting angler counts during the chinook salmon fishery, the survey clerks using boats should examine the harvest for tagged-to-untagged ratios for use in the population estimate based on the tagging estimate.
2. Because harvest estimates of chinook salmon for the midstream section have approximated $10 \%$ of the total harvest and the upstream harvest has been inconsequential, sampling effort should be shifted to the midstream section. Completed trip angler interviews from the midstream section should be collected to obtain estimates and their associated variances of the harvest and catch rates. Effort in both the upstream and midstream section should be estimated through expansion of aerial surveys.
3. During the late run, chinook salmon harvested from the midstream section should also be examined for tagged-to-untagged ratios.
-4. The creel survey sampling design for coho salmon should be evaluated in a similar manner as the chinook salmon program has undergone. An emphasis should be placed on gathering more completed

Table 33. Summary of the number of angler-hours of fishing effort estimated for each of the major components of the recreational fishery in the lower Kenai River, 1989.

| Component | Estimated Effort | Standard Error |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chinook Salmon Fishery ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| Early Run - Downstream - Unguided anglers | 104,702 | 4,717 |
| - Guided anglers | 93,927 | 3,373 |
| - Upstream - Unguided anglers | 18,453 | 1,807 |
| - Guided anglers | 1,263 | 282 |
| - Midstream - Unguided anglers | 9,127 | 3,939 |
| - Guided anglers | 7,055 | 3,041 |
| Late Run - Downstream - Unguided anglers | 186,382 | 6,646 |
| - Guided anglers | 86,507 | 3,166 |
| - Upstream - Unguided anglers | 20,185 | 1,829 |
| - Guided anglers | 1,065 | 261 |
| - Midstream - Unguided anglers | 24,518 | 8,853 |
| - Guided anglers | 10,394 | 3,756 |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |
| Unguided anglers | 363,368 | 12,920 |
| Guided anglers | 200,211 | 6,701 |
| Coho Salmon Fishery |  |  |
| Early Run - Downstream - Unguided anglers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 71,002 | 5,824 |
| - Guided anglers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 36,355 | 2,770 |
| - Shore anglers | 33,798 | 2,430 |
| - Upstream - Unguided anglers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 19,882 | 2,081 |
| - Guided anglersa | 2,430 | 287 |
| - Midstream - Unguided anglers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 4,721 | 2,376 |
| - Guided anglers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,469 | 743 |
| Late Run - Downstream - Unguided anglers ${ }^{2}$ | 36,102 | 2,472 |
| - Guided anglers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 16,952 | 2,120 |
| - Shore anglers | 13,288 | 825 |
| - Upstream - Unguided anglers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 12,575 | 905 |
| - Guided anglers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,061 | 247 |
| - Midstream - Unguided anglers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,214 | 797 |
| - Guided anglers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1,469 | 743 |
| Sub-totals: |  |  |
| Unguided anglers ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 193,522 | 7,612 |
| Guided anglers ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 58,911 | 3,595 |
| GRAND TOTAL | 816,012 | 16,814 |

[^8]Table 34. Estimated harvest and catch of major fish species by anglers during the recreational fisheries surveyed in the lower Kenai River, 1989.

| Species | Estimated <br> Harvest | Standard <br> Error | Estimated <br> Catch | Standard <br> Error |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Chinook salmon | 16,383 | 779 | 22,111 | 1,135 |
| Coho salmon | 43,401 | 2,691 | 43,886 | 2,726 |
| Sockeye salmon | 24,743 | 2,093 | 34,067 | 2,374 |
| Pink salmon | 22 | 11 | 196 | 56 |
| Rainbow trout | 763 | 119 | 1,912 | 19,435 |

trip interviews during the coho fishery so that a comparison test can be performed between completed trip and incompleted trip interviews. Also, an on-site survey for the midstream section should be implemented.
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## APPENDIX A

Counts of boat anglers during the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

Appendix A1. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for early run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 5/16 | Wd |  |  |  | 43 |  |  |  |  | 26 |  |
| 5/17 | Wd | 14 |  | 15 |  | 27 | 10 |  | 14 |  | 0 |
| 5/18 | Wd |  | 13 |  | 36 |  |  | 31 |  | 30 |  |
| 5/19 | Wd | 31 |  | 29 |  | 10 | 46 |  | 40 |  | 3 |
| 5/20 | We | 12 | 95 | 95 | 114 |  | 6 | 159 | 57 | 92 |  |
| 5/21 | We |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/22 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/23 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/24 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/25 | Wd | 15 |  | 29 |  | 47 | 11 |  | 92 |  | 3 |
| 5/26 | Wd |  | 65 |  | 36 |  |  | 143 |  | 91 |  |
| 5/27 | We | 3 | 108 | 79 | 255 | 130 | 0 | 159 | 56 | 111 | 6 |
| 5/28 | We | 98 | 272 | 280 | 125 | 104 | 135 | 136 | 108 | 22 | 5 |
| 5/29 | We | 9 | 128 | 207 | 86 | 24 | 0 | 124 | 74 | 82 | 4 |
| 5/30 | Wd |  | 45 |  | 48 |  |  | 145 |  | 63 |  |
| 5/31 | Wd | 35 |  | 51 |  |  | 119 |  | 93 |  |  |
| 6/01 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/02 | Wd |  |  | 35 |  | 95 | 93 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/03 | We | 36 | 246 | 204 | 345 | 289 | 79 | 183 |  |  |  |
| 6/04 | We | 166 | 305 | 173 | 195 | 105 | 216 | 143 |  |  |  |
| 6/05 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/06 | Wd | 227 |  | 105 |  | 94 | 348 | 219 |  |  |  |
| 6/07 | Wd |  | 229 |  | 105 |  | 317 | 169 |  |  |  |
| 6/08 | Wd | 50 |  |  |  | 261 | 313 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/09 | Wd |  | 215 |  | 213 |  | 342 | 167 |  |  |  |
| 6/10 | We | 126 | 333 | 375 | 212 | 203 | 350 | 250 |  |  |  |
| 6/11 | We | 275 | 401 | 225 | 106 | 85 | 279 | 188 |  |  |  |
| 6/12 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/13 | Wd |  | 147 |  | 132 |  | 266 | 234 |  |  |  |
| 6/14 | Wd | 37 |  | 152 |  | 75 | 122 | 228 |  |  |  |
| 6/15 | Wd |  | 126 |  | 125 |  | 296 | 211 |  |  |  |
| 6/16 | Wd | 125 |  | 185 |  | 103 | 290 | 212 |  |  |  |
| 6/17 | We | 207 | 272 | 243 | 327 | 144 | 311 | 192 |  |  |  |
| 6/18 | We | 227 | 211 | 177 | 177 | 39 | 257 | 152 |  |  |  |
| 6/19 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/20 | Wd |  |  |  |  | 79 |  | 197 |  |  |  |
| 6/21 | Wd |  | 217 |  | 176 |  | 397 | 208 |  |  |  |
| 6/22 | Wd | 142 |  | 105 |  |  | 358 | 275 |  |  |  |
| 6/23 | Wd |  |  |  | 178 |  |  | 229 |  |  |  |
| 6/24 | We | 179 | 319 |  | 253 | 272 | 324 | 217 |  |  |  |

-Continued-

Appendix A1. (Page 2 of 2 ).

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { Date We } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| 6/25 We | 47 | 341 | 405 | 384 | 239 | 275 | 241 |  |  |  |
| 6/26 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/27 Wd |  | 295 |  |  |  | 367 |  |  |  |  |
| 6/28 Wd |  |  |  |  | 145 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/29 Wd |  | 158 |  | 134 |  | 253 | 194 |  |  |  |
| 6/30 Wd | 63 |  | 125 |  |  | 273 | 171 |  |  |  |

Appendix A2. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for late run chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B |
| 7/01 | We | 259 | 252 | 397 | 428 | 209 | 242 | 212 |
| 7/02 | We | 429 | 438 | 443 | 462 | 116 | CLOSED | TO GUIDES |
| 7/03 | Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 7/04 | Wd | 364 | 513 | 240 | 235 | 173 | 381 | 212 |
| 7/05 | Wd |  | 211 |  | 179 |  | 270 | 164 |
| 7/06 | Wd | 266 |  | 210 |  | 94 | 294 | 222 |
| 7/07 | Wd |  | 375 |  | 207 |  | 317 | 241 |
| 7/08 | We | 292 | 528 | 405 | 374 | 494 | 284 | 192 |
| 7/09 | We | 241 | 688 | 613 | 428 | 404 | CLOSED | TO GUIDES |
| 7/10 | Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 7/11 | Wd |  | 659 |  | 313 |  | 515 | 385 |
| 7/12 | Wd | 324 |  | 407 |  | 231 | 472 | 375 |
| 7/13 | Wd |  | 402 |  | 375 |  | 446 | 311 |
| 7/14 | Wd |  | 395 | 312 |  | 147 | 456 | 345 |
| 7/15 | We | 631 | 588 | 607 | 516 | 254 | 399 | 297 |
| 7/16 | We | 111 | 616 | 538 | 569 | 272 | CLOSED | TO GUIDES |
| 7/17 | Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 7/18 | Wd | 698 |  | 424 |  | 436 | 550 | 474 |
| 7/19 | Wd |  | 401 |  | 207 |  | 477 | 322 |
| 7/20 | Wd | 348 |  | 213 |  | 104 | 430 | 330 |
| 7/21 | Wd |  | 476 |  | 320 |  | 396 | 312 |
| 7/22 | We | 337 | 472 | 478 | 470 | 401 | 429 | 289 |
| 7/23 | We | 381 | 641 | 396 | 194 | 160 | CLOSED | TO GUIDES |
| 7/24 | Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 7/25 | Wd |  | 668 |  | 295 |  | 490 | 401 |
| 7/26 | Wd | 335 |  | 319 |  | 239 | 399 | 269 |
| 7/27 | Wd |  | 416 |  | 292 |  | 337 | 211 |
| 7/28 | Wd | 363 |  | 481 |  | 264 |  | 270 |
| 7/29 | We |  | 524 | 365 | 420 |  | 369 | 200 |
| 7/30 | We | 374 | 562 | 415 | 376 | 211 | CLOSED | TO GUIDES |
| 7/31 | Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |

Appendix A3. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for early run chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Date | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B |
| 6/11 We |  |  |  | 9 |  |  |  |
| 6/12 Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 6/13 Wd |  |  | 10 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 6/14 Wd |  |  | 6 |  |  |  | 6 |
| 6/15 Wd |  |  |  | 6 |  |  |  |
| 6/16 Wd | 5 |  |  |  | 23 | 0 |  |
| $6 / 17$ We | 3 | 101 |  |  |  | 13 |  |
| $6 / 18$ We |  |  | 72 | 2 |  |  | 3 |
| 6/19 Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 6/20 Wd |  |  |  | 23 | 12 |  | 2 |
| 6/21 Wd | 0 |  | 20 |  |  |  | 3 |
| 6/22 Wd |  | 19 |  | 15 |  | 8 |  |
| 6/23 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/24 We |  | 39 |  |  | 50 | 5 |  |
| $6 / 25$ We |  |  | 99 | 77 |  |  | 2 |
| 6/26 Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 6/27 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/28 Wd | 0 |  | 61 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/29 Wd |  | 33 |  | 31 |  | 3 |  |
| 6/30 Wd |  | 34 |  |  |  | 4 |  |
| 7/01 We |  |  | 130 |  | 86 |  | 14 |
| 7/02 We | 14 | 138 |  |  |  | CLOSED TO | GUIDES |
| 7/03 Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 7/04 We |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/05 Wd | 9 |  |  |  | 42 |  |  |
| 7/06 Wd |  | 17 | 36 |  |  | 5 | 3 |
| 7/07 Wd |  |  |  | 30 | 27 |  | 0 |
| 7/08 We | 21 |  | 126 |  |  |  | 4 |
| 7/09 We |  |  | 79 | 42 |  | CLOSED TO | GUIDES |
| 7/10 Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |

Appendix A4. Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers during the fishery for late run chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd/} \\ & \mathrm{We} \end{aligned}$ | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers$\qquad$ |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | E | A | B |
| 7/11 | Wd |  | 32 |  | 44 |  | 2 |  |
| 7/12 | Wd | 24 |  | 57 |  |  | 2 | 8 |
| 7/13 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/14 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/15 | We |  | 94 |  |  | 99 | 9 |  |
| 7/16 | We |  |  |  | 74 | 56 | CLOSED TO | GUIDES |
| 7/17 | Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 7/18 | Wd |  | 93 | 86 |  |  | 16 | 5 |
| 7/19 | Wd |  |  |  | 35 | 7 |  |  |
| 7/20 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/21 | Wd | 4 |  |  | 54 |  |  |  |
| 7/22 | We |  | 111 | 129 |  |  | 20 | 7 |
| 7/23 | We | 4 |  | 75 |  |  | CLOSED T | GUIDES |
| 7/24 | Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |
| 7/25 | Wd |  | 57 | 71 |  |  | 5 | 0 |
| 7/26 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/27 | Wd | 13 | 57 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 7/28 | Wd |  |  | 92 | 81 |  |  | 6 |
| 7/29 | We |  | 48 | 59 |  |  | 6 | 0 |
| 7/30 | We | 44 |  |  |  | 15 | CLOSED TO | guides |
| 7/31 | Wd |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |

## APPENDIX B

Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, harvest rate, and catch rate for anglers interviewed during the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.

Appendix B1. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by unguided anglers interviewed during the early run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (completed trip interviews only).

| Date | wd/We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 516 | Wd | 20 | 4.8 | 0.79 | 0.05 | 0.050 | 0.010 | 0.05 | 0.050 | 0.010 |
| 517 | Wd | 16 | 2.2 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.101 | 0.085 | 0.19 | 0.101 | 0.085 |
| 518 | Wd | 9 | 3.4 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 519 | Wd | 5 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 520 | We | 23 | 4.0 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 521 | We | 10 | 4.2 | 0.27 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.024 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.024 |
| 524 | Wd | 32 | 4.2 | 0.26 | 0.06 | 0.043 | 0.015 | 0.06 | 0.043 | 0.015 |
| 525 | Wd | 6 | 2.0 | 0.45 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 526 | Wd | 17 | 2.9 | 0.39 | 0.06 | 0.059 | 0.021 | 0.06 | 0.059 | 0.021 |
| 527 | We | 24 | 3.9 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 528 | We | 49 | 3.3 | 0.19 | 0.06 | 0.035 | 0.019 | 0.06 | 0.035 | 0.019 |
| 529 | We | 36 | 3.3 | 0.22 | 0.03 | 0.028 | 0.008 | 0.11 | 0.066 | 0.033 |
| 530 | Wd | 17 | 4.3 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 531 | Wd | 2 | 6.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 603 | We | 79 | 4.6 | 0.27 | 0.14 | 0.039 | 0.030 | 0.16 | 0.042 | 0.036 |
| 604 | We | 90 | 4.1 | 0.26 | 0.04 | 0.022 | 0.011 | 0.07 | 0.031 | 0.016 |
| 606 | Wd | 23 | 5.4 | 0.51 | 0.04 | 0.043 | 0.008 | 0.17 | 0.102 | 0.032 |
| 607 | Wd | 24 | 2.8 | 0.21 | 0.50 | 0.104 | 0.179 | 0.58 | 0.119 | 0.209 |
| 608 | Wd | 84 | 4.5 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.026 | 0.013 | 0.17 | 0.047 | 0.037 |
| 609 | Wd | 33 | 3.2 | 0.34 | 0.03 | 0.030 | 0.009 | 0.03 | 0.030 | 0.009 |
| 610 | We | 68 | 4.9 | 0.38 | 0.07 | 0.032 | 0.015 | 0.09 | 0.035 | 0.018 |
| 611 | We | 82 | 4.6 | 0.30 | 0.07 | 0.029 | 0.016 | 0.07 | 0.029 | 0.016 |
| 613 | Wd | 30 | 3.8 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 614 | Wd | 4 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 615 | Wd | 26 | 4.8 | 0.48 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.12 | 0.085 | 0.024 |
| 616 | Wd | 28 | 4.9 | 0.65 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.04 | 0.036 | 0.007 |
| 617 | We | 46 | 4.5 | 0.63 | 0.02 | 0.022 | 0.005 | 0.04 | 0.030 | 0.010 |
| 618 | We | 53 | 3.3 | 0.17 | 0.09 | 0.041 | 0.029 | 0.13 | 0.047 | 0.040 |
| 620 | Wd | 63 | 4.8 | 0.36 | 0.22 | 0.053 | 0.046 | 0.37 | 0.065 | 0.076 |
| 621 | Wd | 64 | 4.1 | 0.23 | 0.08 | 0.034 | 0.019 | 0.11 | 0.039 | 0.027 |
| 622 | Wd | 17 | 4.4 | 0.31 | 0.06 | 0.059 | 0.014 | 0.12 | 0.081 | 0.027 |
| 623 | Wd | 43 | 3.2 | 0.17 | 0.19 | 0.060 | 0.057 | 0.21 | 0.063 | 0.065 |
| 624 | We | 26 | 3.9 | 0.37 | 0.08 | 0.053 | 0.020 | 0.12 | 0.064 | 0.029 |
| 625 | We | 101 | 4.3 | 0.25 | 0.04 | 0.020 | 0.009 | 0.04 | 0.020 | 0.009 |
| 627 | Wd | 27 | 5.3 | 0.38 | 0.11 | 0.062 | 0.021 | 0.11 | 0.062 | 0.021 |
| 628 | Wd | 73 | 4.9 | 0.33 | 0.05 | 0.027 | 0.011 | 0.05 | 0.027 | 0.011 |
| 629 | Wd | 25 | 3.0 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 630 | Wd | 21 | 2.8 | 0.22 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

[^9]Appendix B2. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by guided anglers interviewed during the early run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (completed trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We} \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 517 | Wd | 6 | 2.5 | 0.32 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 518 | Wd | 9 | 4.4 | 0.38 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.050 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.050 |
| 519 | Wd | 5 | 4.9 | 0.71 | 0.40 | 0.245 | 0.082 | 0.40 | 0.245 | 0.082 |
| 520 | We | 21 | 5.8 | 0.29 | 0.10 | 0.066 | 0.016 | 0.10 | 0.066 | 0.016 |
| 523 | Wd | 10 | 4.8 | 0.39 | 0.20 | 0.133 | 0.041 | 0.20 | 0.133 | 0.041 |
| 524 | Wd | 11 | 4.9 | 0.42 | 0.27 | 0.141 | 0.056 | 0.27 | 0.141 | 0.056 |
| 525 | Wd | 5 | 7.2 | 0.73 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 527 | We | 15 | 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 528 | We | 14 | 5.4 | 0.54 | 0.14 | 0.097 | 0.026 | 0.14 | 0.097 | 0.026 |
| 529 | We | 15 | 4.1 | 0.40 | 0.07 | 0.067 | 0.016 | 0.07 | 0.067 | 0.016 |
| 530 | Wd | 4 | 6.0 | 1.00 | 0.25 | 0.250 | 0.042 | 0.25 | 0.250 | 0.042 |
| 602 | Wd | 8 | 3.1 | 0.68 | 0.63 | 0.183 | 0.204 | 0.63 | 0.183 | 0.204 |
| 603 | We | 61 | 4.0 | 0.22 | 0.44 | 0.064 | 0.109 | 0.51 | 0.069 | 0.126 |
| 604 | We | 24 | 4.4 | 0.26 | 0.25 | 0.090 | 0.057 | 0.33 | 0.098 | 0.076 |
| 606 | Wd | 13 | 7.6 | 1.10 | 0.38 | 0.140 | 0.051 | 0.46 | 0.183 | 0.061 |
| 607 | Wd | 29 | 4.7 | 0.33 | 0.45 | 0.094 | 0.095 | 0.59 | 0.117 | 0.124 |
| 608 | Wd | 44 | 4.3 | 0.35 | 0.39 | 0.074 | 0.089 | 0.45 | 0.076 | 0.105 |
| 609 | Wd | 30 | 4.3 | 0.34 | 0.53 | 0.093 | 0.124 | 0.83 | 0.118 | 0.193 |
| 610 | We | 22 | 5.7 | 0.33 | 0.14 | 0.075 | 0.024 | 0.14 | 0.075 | 0.024 |
| 611 | We | 27 | 5.6 | 0.35 | 0.22 | 0.082 | 0.039 | 0.30 | 0.090 | 0.053 |
| 613 | Wd | 24 | 4.9 | 0.30 | 0.21 | 0.085 | 0.043 | 0.25 | 0.090 | 0.051 |
| 614 | Wd | 43 | 4.6 | 0.21 | 0.35 | 0.074 | 0.075 | 0.42 | 0.089 | 0.090 |
| 615 | Wd | 14 | 5.5 | 0.39 | 0.21 | 0.114 | 0.039 | 0.29 | 0.125 | 0.052 |
| 616 | Wd | 34 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 0.18 | 0.066 | 0.035 | 0.21 | 0.070 | 0.041 |
| 617 | We | 45 | 5.5 | 0.08 | 0.07 | 0.038 | 0.012 | 0.13 | 0.051 | 0.024 |
| 618 | We | 31 | 5.6 | 0.47 | 0.19 | 0.072 | 0.034 | 0.39 | 0.110 | 0.069 |
| 620 | Wd | 54 | 4.6 | 0.27 | 0.41 | 0.067 | 0.089 | 0.74 | 0.103 | 0.163 |
| 621 | Wd | 47 | 4.0 | 0.20 | 0.32 | 0.069 | 0.080 | 0.38 | 0.078 | 0.096 |
| 622 | Wd | 20 | 4.7 | 0.21 | 0.05 | 0.050 | 0.011 | 0.15 | 0.082 | 0.032 |
| 623 | Wd | 15 | 4.3 | 0.52 | 0.13 | 0.091 | 0.031 | 0.20 | 0.107 | 0.046 |
| 624 | We | 54 | 5.3 | 0.33 | 0.22 | 0.057 | 0.042 | 0.33 | 0.070 | 0.062 |
| 625 | We | 31 | 4.1 | 0.32 | 0.32 | 0.085 | 0.078 | 0.35 | 0.087 | 0.086 |
| 627 | Wd | 46 | 4.4 | 0.27 | 0.46 | 0.074 | 0.103 | 0.52 | 0.074 | 0.118 |
| 628 | Wd | 28 | 8.4 | 0.57 | 0.18 | 0.074 | 0.021 | 0.18 | 0.074 | 0.021 |
| 629 | Wd | 69 | 5.6 | 0.21 | 0.10 | 0.037 | 0.018 | 0.14 | 0.043 | 0.026 |
| 630 | Wd | 16 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

[^10]Appendix B3. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by unguided anglers interviewed during the late run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (completed trip interviews only).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $S^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 701 | We | 55 | 4.2 | 0.25 | 0.05 | 0.031 | 0.013 | 0.05 | 0.031 | 0.013 |
| 702 | We | 68 | 4.4 | 0.28 | 0.06 | 0.029 | 0.013 | 0.10 | 0.037 | 0.023 |
| 704 | We | 29 | 3.7 | 0.30 | 0.03 | 0.034 | 0.009 | 0.17 | 0.071 | 0.047 |
| 705 | Wd | 18 | 4.0 | 0.47 | 0.06 | 0.056 | 0.014 | 0.17 | 0.090 | 0.042 |
| 706 | Wd | 55 | 3.7 | 0.24 | 0.13 | 0.045 | 0.034 | 0.15 | 0.048 | 0.039 |
| 707 | Wd | 29 | 3.3 | 0.22 | 0.14 | 0.065 | 0.042 | 0.21 | 0.091 | 0.063 |
| 708 | We | 44 | 4.2 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 709 | We | 97 | 3.3 | 0.15 | 0.19 | 0.040 | 0.056 | 0.19 | 0.040 | 0.056 |
| 711 | Wd | 72 | 3.5 | 0.20 | 0.17 | 0.044 | 0.048 | 0.22 | 0.057 | 0.064 |
| 712 | Wd | 73 | 4.4 | 0.31 | 0.12 | 0.039 | 0.028 | 0.26 | 0.080 | 0.059 |
| 713 | Wd | 14 | 5.0 | 0.46 | 0.14 | 0.097 | 0.029 | 0.14 | 0.097 | 0.029 |
| 714 | Wd | 77 | 4.8 | 0.38 | 0.01 | 0.013 | 0.003 | 0.03 | 0.018 | 0.005 |
| 715 | We | 38 | 5.7 | 0.58 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 716 | We | 103 | 4.5 | 0.18 | 0.02 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.03 | 0.017 | 0.006 |
| 718 | Wd | 3 | 4.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.074 |
| 719 | Wd | 81 | 3.6 | 0.16 | 0.15 | 0.040 | 0.042 | 0.15 | 0.040 | 0.042 |
| 720 | Wd | 44 | 4.5 | 0.37 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 721 | Wd | 26 | 3.3 | 0.21 | 0.08 | 0.053 | 0.024 | 0.08 | 0.053 | 0.024 |
| 722 | We | 99 | 4.7 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.014 | 0.004 | 0.05 | 0.026 | 0.011 |
| 723 | We | 60 | 4.6 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.032 | 0.014 | 0.08 | 0.036 | 0.018 |
| 725 | Wd | 54 | 6.3 | 0.46 | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.003 | 0.02 | 0.019 | 0.003 |
| 726 | Wd | 50 | 5.0 | 0.40 | 0.08 | 0.039 | 0.016 | 0.16 | 0.060 | 0.032 |
| 727 | Wd | 75 | 3.5 | 0.16 | 0.03 | 0.019 | 0.008 | 0.03 | 0.019 | 0.008 |
| 728 | Wd | 19 | 2.8 | 0.31 | 0.21 | 0.096 | 0.075 | 0.26 | 0.104 | 0.094 |
| 729 | We | 33 | 4.4 | 0.31 | 0.03 | 0.030 | 0.007 | 0.03 | 0.030 | 0.007 |
| 730 | We | 72 | 4.5 | 0.27 | 0.04 | 0.024 | 0.009 | 0.06 | 0.027 | 0.012 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

Appendix B4. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by guided anglers interviewed during the late run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (completed trip interviews only).

| Date | Wd/ <br> We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 701 | We | 16 | 5.4 | 0.39 | 0.13 | 0.085 | 0.023 | 0.13 | 0.085 | 0.023 |
| 704 | We | 34 | 4.8 | 0.26 | 0.29 | 0.079 | 0.062 | 0.29 | 0.079 | 0.062 |
| 705 | Wd | 17 | 7.8 | 0.87 | 0.35 | 0.119 | 0.045 | 0.53 | 0.151 | 0.068 |
| 706 | Wd | 31 | 4.2 | 0.30 | 0.32 | 0.085 | 0.077 | 0.35 | 0.087 | 0.085 |
| 707 | Wd | 23 | 4.0 | 0.38 | 0.48 | 0.106 | 0.118 | 0.48 | 0.106 | 0.118 |
| 708 | We | 47 | 4.9 | 0.15 | 0.17 | 0.055 | 0.035 | 0.23 | 0.062 | 0.048 |
| 711 | Wd | 74 | 5.4 | 0.36 | 0.35 | 0.056 | 0.065 | 0.59 | 0.081 | 0.111 |
| 712 | Wd | 42 | 6.0 | 0.45 | 0.29 | 0.071 | 0.047 | 0.38 | 0.076 | 0.063 |
| 713 | Wd | 34 | 4.5 | 0.32 | 0.47 | 0.087 | 0.105 | 0.68 | 0.101 | 0.150 |
| 714 | Wd | 9 | 4.1 | 0.07 | 0.11 | 0.111 | 0.027 | 0.11 | 0.111 | 0.027 |
| 715 | We | 15 | 5.0 | 0.24 | 0.07 | 0.067 | 0.013 | 0.13 | 0.091 | 0.027 |
| 718 | Wd | 54 | 4.4 | 0.26 | 0.43 | 0.068 | 0.097 | 0.50 | 0.083 | 0.113 |
| 719 | Wd | 47 | 4.4 | 0.24 | 0.32 | 0.069 | 0.073 | 0.36 | 0.071 | 0.083 |
| 720 | Wd | 57 | 7.8 | 0.41 | 0.09 | 0.038 | 0.011 | 0.09 | 0.038 | 0.011 |
| 721 | Wd | 39 | 4.3 | 0.17 | 0.15 | 0.059 | 0.036 | 0.18 | 0.062 | 0.042 |
| 722 | We | 25 | 4.6 | 0.40 | 0.28 | 0.092 | 0.061 | 0.28 | 0.092 | 0.061 |
| 725 | Wd | 58 | 5.0 | 0.23 | 0.26 | 0.058 | 0.052 | 0.28 | 0.059 | 0.055 |
| 726 | Wd | 56 | 5.8 | 0.25 | 0.21 | 0.055 | 0.037 | 0.38 | 0.087 | 0.065 |
| 727 | Wd | 81 | 4.5 | 0.17 | 0.28 | 0.050 | 0.063 | 0.32 | 0.055 | 0.071 |
| 728 | Wd | 63 | 4.6 | 0.23 | 0.37 | 0.061 | 0.080 | 0.40 | 0.062 | 0.087 |
| 729 | We | 23 | 5.0 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.04 | 0.043 | 0.009 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

Appendix B5. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by unguided anglers interviewed during the early run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (all interviews).

| Date | Wd/ We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 611 | We | 12 | 3.8 | 0.49 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 613 | Wd | 12 | 2.6 | 0.57 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 614 | Wd | 15 | 2.6 | 0.44 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 616 | Wd | 26 | 2.5 | 0.33 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 617 | We | 74 | 1.7 | 0.13 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 618 | We | 76 | 2.7 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 620 | Wd | 34 | 2.7 | 0.39 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 621 | Wd | 40 | 1.7 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 622 | Wd | 36 | 1.6 | 0.26 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 624 | We | 80 | 2.0 | 0.16 | 0.01 | 0.013 | 0.006 | 0.01 | 0.013 | 0.006 |
| 625 | We | 115 | 2.0 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 628 | Wd | 67 | 2.9 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.01 | 0.015 | 0.005 |
| 629 | Wd | 55 | 2.6 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 630 | Wd | 58 | 2.0 | 0.21 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.02 | 0.017 | 0.009 |
| 701 | We | 153 | 2.4 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 702 | We | 99 | 1.7 | 0.11 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 705 | Wd | 80 | 1.8 | 0.22 | 0.01 | 0.013 | 0.007 | 0.05 | 0.025 | 0.028 |
| 706 | Wd | 65 | 2.2 | 0.19 | 0.02 | 0.015 | 0.007 | 0.02 | 0.015 | 0.007 |
| 707 | Wd | 58 | 2.7 | 0.28 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.02 | 0.017 | 0.006 |
| 708 | We | 102 | 2.2 | 0.16 | 0.02 | 0.014 | 0.009 | 0.03 | 0.017 | 0.013 |
| 709 | We | 119 | 2.2 | 0.10 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

[^11]Appendix B6. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by unguided anglers interviewed during the late run of the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (all interviews).

| Date | Wd/ <br> We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 711 | Wd | 73 | 2.5 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.01 | 0.014 | 0.006 |
| 712 | Wd | 88 | 2.4 | 0.19 | 0.05 | 0.022 | 0.019 | 0.08 | 0.029 | 0.034 |
| 715 | We | 116 | 2.2 | 0.18 | 0.05 | 0.021 | 0.023 | 0.08 | 0.025 | 0.035 |
| 716 | We | 131 | 3.2 | 0.19 | 0.03 | 0.015 | 0.010 | 0.04 | 0.017 | 0.012 |
| 718 | Wd | 89 | 1.9 | 0.13 | 0.03 | 0.019 | 0.017 | 0.04 | 0.022 | 0.023 |
| 719 | Wd | 45 | 2.7 | 0.23 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 721 | Wd | 67 | 3.4 | 0.27 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.01 | 0.015 | 0.004 |
| 722 | We | 152 | 2.3 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 723 | We | 85 | 1.9 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 725 | Wd | 85 | 1.9 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.05 | 0.029 | 0.024 |
| 727 | Wd | 66 | 2.0 | 0.16 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.02 | 0.015 | 0.008 |
| 728 | Wd | 152 | 2.6 | 0.14 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.01 | 0.007 | 0.003 |
| 729 | We | 73 | 1.8 | 0.17 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 730 | We | 79 | 3.3 | 0.25 | 0.03 | 0.018 | 0.008 | 0.04 | 0.022 | 0.012 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

Appendix B7. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, chinook salmon harvest, and chinook salmon catch by guided anglers interviewed during the early and late runs of the fishery for chinook salmon in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (all interviews).

| Date | Wd/ <br> We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |

## Early Run

| 613 | Wd | 2 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 614 | Wd | 5 | 6.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 617 | We | 9 | 3.7 | 0.37 | 0.11 | 0.111 | 0.030 | 0.11 | 0.111 | 0.030 |
| 618 | We | 3 | 6.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 620 | Wd | 5 | 4.8 | 1.32 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.042 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.042 |
| 622 | Wd | 8 | 2.1 | 0.08 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.059 | 0.25 | 0.164 | 0.118 |
| 624 | We | 3 | 2.8 | 0.60 | 0.67 | 0.333 | 0.235 | 2.00 | 0.577 | 0.706 |
| 628 | Wd | 5 | 1.4 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 629 | Wd | 5 | 3.9 | 0.86 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.051 | 0.40 | 0.245 | 0.103 |
| 630 | Wd | 7 | 2.9 | 0.34 | 0.14 | 0.143 | 0.050 | 0.57 | 0.369 | 0.200 |
| 701 | We | 10 | 4.0 | 0.64 | 0.40 | 0.163 | 0.099 | 0.40 | 0.163 | 0.099 |
| 705 | Wd | 3 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.333 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.333 |
| 706 | Wd | 8 | 3.1 | 0.32 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.041 | 0.25 | 0.164 | 0.082 |

Late Run

| 711 | Wd | 2 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 712 | Wd | 8 | 3.3 | 0.66 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 715 | We | 9 | 2.3 | 0.19 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.095 | 0.22 | 0.147 | 0.095 |
| 718 | Wd | 7 | 4.2 | 0.78 | 0.43 | 0.202 | 0.102 | 1.29 | 0.474 | 0.305 |
| 719 | Wd | 5 | 4.2 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.048 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.048 |
| 721 | Wd | 7 | 2.9 | 0.52 | 0.29 | 0.184 | 0.100 | 0.29 | 0.184 | 0.100 |
| 722 | We | 19 | 3.6 | 0.34 | 0.16 | 0.086 | 0.044 | 0.16 | 0.086 | 0.044 |
| 725 | Wd | 5 | 2.9 | 0.37 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.069 | 0.60 | 0.400 | 0.207 |
| 728 | Wd | 4 | 6.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 729 | We | 2 | 3.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

[^12]APPENDIX C
Counts of anglers during the creel survey of the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, 1989

| Date | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |
| 8/01 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/02 Wd | 15 |  | 37 |  | 69 |  | 25 |  |
| 8/03 Wd |  | 29 | 56 |  |  | 43 | 27 |  |
| 8/04 Wd | 49 |  | 77 |  | 95 |  | 19 |  |
| 8/05 We |  | 203 |  | 144 |  | 108 |  | 9 |
| 8/06 We | 221 |  | 101 |  | 111 |  | 35 |  |
| 8/07 Wd |  |  | 84 | 110 |  |  | 58 | 20 |
| 8/08 Wd | 170 | 191 |  |  | 197 | 183 |  |  |
| 8/09 Wd | 71 |  | 86 |  | 151 |  | 78 |  |
| $\begin{aligned} & 8 / 10 \mathrm{Wd} \\ & 8 / 11 \mathrm{Wd} \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8 / 12$ We |  | 476 | 506 |  |  | 211 | 105 |  |
| 8/13 We | 349 |  |  | 174 | 200 |  |  | 24 |
| 8/14 Wd |  | 161 | 150 |  |  | 87 | 38 |  |
| 8/15 Wd | 182 | 149 |  |  | 168 | 81 |  |  |
| 8/16 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/17 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/18 Wd |  |  | 188 | 168 |  |  | 50 | 16 |
| 8/19 We | 302 |  |  | 120 | 138 |  |  | 13 |
| 8/20 We |  | 349 | 250 |  |  | 153 | 71 |  |
| 8/21 Wd |  | 148 | 116 |  |  | 51 | 16 |  |
| 8/22 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/23 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/24 Wd |  |  | 127 | 93 |  |  | 52 | 25 |
| 8/25 Wd | 112 | 161 |  |  | 136 | 133 |  |  |
| 8/26 We | 253 |  |  |  | 135 |  |  |  |
| 8/27 We |  |  | 58 | 5 |  |  | 20 | 4 |
| 8/28 Wd | 49 | 58 |  |  | 81 | 50 |  |  |
| 8/29 Wd | 38 |  |  |  | 71 |  |  |  |
| 8/30 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/31 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/01 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/02 We |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/03 We |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/04 We |  | 126 | 70 |  |  | 70 | 13 |  |
| 9/05 Wd |  | 59 | 44 |  |  | 42 | 14 |  |
| 9/06 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/07 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/08 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/09-We | 232 |  | 182 |  | 153 |  | 38 |  |

Appendix C1. (Page 2 of 2).

| Date | Wd/We | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |
| 9/10 | We |  | 172 |  |  |  | 59 |  |  |
| 9/11 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/12 | Wd |  | 62 | 70 |  |  | 39 | 22 |  |
| $9 / 13$ | Wd | 59 |  | 84 |  | 144 |  | 26 |  |
| 9/14 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/15 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/16 | We |  | 265 | 220 |  |  | 135 | 53 |  |
| 9/17 | We | 184 | 140 |  |  | 82 | 65 |  |  |
| 9/18 | Wd | 82 |  | 56 |  | 55 |  | 21 |  |
| 9/19 | Wd |  | 52 | 23 |  |  | 15 | 9 |  |
| 9/20 | Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/22 | Wd | 106 | 47 |  |  | 38 | 29 |  |  |
| 9/23 | We |  | 205 | 116 |  |  | 34 | 9 |  |
| 9/24 | We | 225 |  | 49 |  | 71 |  | 0 |  |
| 9/25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/26 | Wd | 56 | 48 |  |  | 35 | 21 |  |  |
| 9/27 | Wd | 53 |  | 16 |  | 51 |  | 25 |  |

```
Appendix C2. Counts of shore anglers during the fishery for coho
                                salmon in August and September in the downstream
                                section of the Kenai River, 1989.
```

| Date We | Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D |
| 8/01 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 8/02 Wd | 21 | 33 |  |  |
| 8/03 Wd |  | 67 | 69 |  |
| 8/04 Wd | 44 |  | 56 |  |
| 8/05 We |  | 69 |  | 74 |
| 8/06 We | 64 |  | 121 |  |
| 8/07 Wd |  |  | 96 | 72 |
| 8/08 Wd | 101 | 99 |  |  |
| 8/09 Wd | 35 |  | 87 |  |
| 8/10 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 8/11 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 8/12 We | 117 |  | 206 |  |
| $8 / 13$ We | 105 |  |  | 101 |
| $8 / 14$ Wd | 97 |  | 80 |  |
| $8 / 15 \mathrm{Wd}$ | 107 | 88 |  |  |
| 8/16 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 8/17 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 8/18 Wd |  |  | 88 | 32 |
| 8/19 We | 63 |  |  | 32 |
| 8/20 We |  | 102 | 121 |  |
| 8/21 Wd |  | 82 | 99 |  |
| 8/22 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 8/23 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 8/24 Wd |  | 36 |  | 59 |
| 8/25 Wd | 43 | 42 |  |  |
| 8/26 We | 51 |  |  |  |
| 8/27 We |  |  | 21 | 11 |
| 8/28 Wd | 34 | 36 |  |  |
| 8/29 Wd | 23 |  |  |  |
| 8/30 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 8/31 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/01 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/02 We |  |  |  |  |
| 9/03 We |  |  |  |  |
| 9/04 We |  | 41 | 23 |  |
| 9/05 Wd |  | 11 | 8 |  |
| 9/06 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/07 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/08 Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/09 We | 55 |  | 51 |  |

Appendix C2. (Page 2 of 2).

|  | Wd/ | Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | We | A | B | C | D |
| 9/10 | We |  | 54 |  |  |
| 9/11 | Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/12 | Wd |  | 21 | 37 |  |
| 9/13 | Wd | 52 |  | 32 |  |
| 9/14 | Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/15 | Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/16 | We |  | 49 | 52 |  |
| 9/17 | We | 39 | 52 |  |  |
| 9/18 | Wd | 35 |  | 34 |  |
| 9/19 | Wd |  | 31 | 19 |  |
| 9/20 | Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/21 | Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/22 | Wd | 44 | 40 |  |  |
| 9/23 | We |  | 72 | 61 |  |
| 9/24 | We | 71 |  | 26 |  |
| 9/25 | Wd |  |  |  |  |
| 9/26 | Wd | 38 | 28 |  |  |
| 9/27 | Wd | 25 |  | 23 |  |



Appendix C3. (Page 2 of 2 ).

| Date | Unguided Anglers Period |  |  |  | Guided Anglers Period |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | A | B | C | D | A | B | C | D |
| 9/10 We |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/11 Wd | 6 | 16 |  |  | 0 | 6 |  |  |
| 9/12 Wd |  | 32 | 23 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |
| 9/13 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/14 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/15 Wd | 32 |  | 25 |  | 0 |  | 4 |  |
| 9/16 We | 30 | 89 |  |  | 5 | 0 |  |  |
| $9 / 17$ We |  | 55 | 31 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |
| 9/18 Wd | 31 |  | 31 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 9/19 Wd |  | 25 | 34 |  |  | 7 | 0 |  |
| 9/20 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/21 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/22 Wd | 58 | 53 |  |  | 9 | 3 |  |  |
| 9/23 We |  | 70 | 47 |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |
| 9/24 We | 54 |  | 36 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 9/25 Wd | 29 | 34 |  |  | 4 | 0 |  |  |
| 9/26 Wd |  | 27 | 24 |  |  | 3 | 0 |  |
| 9/27 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/28 Wd |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9/29 Wd | 52 |  |  |  | 7 |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, harvest rate, and catch rate for anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in the Kenai River, 1989

Appendix D1. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by unguided boat anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in August and September in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (both completed trip and incompleted trip interviews).

| Date | Wd/ <br> We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 802 | Wd | 6 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.083 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.083 |
| 803 | Wd | 10 | 1.8 | 0.50 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.057 | 0.10 | 0.100 | 0.057 |
| 804 | Wd | 16 | 2.8 | 0.42 | 0.06 | 0.063 | 0.023 | 0.06 | 0.063 | 0.023 |
| 805 | We | 23 | 4.1 | 0.64 | 0.43 | 0.176 | 0.105 | 0.43 | 0.176 | 0.105 |
| 806 | We | 26 | 1.9 | 0.22 | 0.73 | 0.171 | 0.388 | 0.73 | 0.171 | 0.388 |
| 807 | Wd | 23 | 3.2 | 0.44 | 0.65 | 0.173 | 0.203 | 0.65 | 0.173 | 0.203 |
| 808 | Wd | 14 | 3.3 | 0.37 | 0.50 | 0.251 | 0.152 | 0.50 | 0.251 | 0.152 |
| 809 | Wd | 27 | 1.8 | 0.18 | 0.22 | 0.097 | 0.125 | 0.22 | 0.097 | 0.125 |
| 812 | We | 53 | 3.8 | 0.30 | 0.28 | 0.099 | 0.074 | 0.28 | 0.099 | 0.074 |
| 813 | We | 38 | 2.2 | 0.17 | 0.68 | 0.151 | 0.311 | 0.68 | 0.151 | 0.311 |
| 814 | Wd | 24 | 2.5 | 0.18 | 0.42 | 0.158 | 0.169 | 0.42 | 0.158 | 0.169 |
| 815 | Wd | 30 | 2.7 | 0.28 | 0.40 | 0.156 | 0.149 | 0.40 | 0.156 | 0.149 |
| 818 | Wd | 44 | 3.5 | 0.42 | 0.20 | 0.070 | 0.058 | 0.20 | 0.070 | 0.058 |
| 819 | We | 34 | 2.7 | 0.22 | 0.26 | 0.114 | 0.099 | 0.26 | 0.114 | 0.099 |
| 820 | We | 45 | 2.8 | 0.49 | 0.51 | 0.148 | 0.180 | 0.53 | 0.151 | 0.188 |
| 821 | Wd | 15 | 2.7 | 0.23 | 0.67 | 0.252 | 0.250 | 0.67 | 0.252 | 0.250 |
| 824 | Wd | 21 | 3.5 | 0.42 | 0.38 | 0.161 | 0.110 | 0.38 | 0.161 | 0.110 |
| 825 | Wd | 9 | 2.6 | 0.18 | 0.44 | 0.338 | 0.174 | 0.67 | 0.553 | 0.261 |
| 826 | We | 67 | 2.2 | 0.15 | 0.48 | 0.091 | 0.216 | 0.48 | 0.091 | 0.216 |
| 827 | We | 19 | 3.4 | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.219 | 0.188 | 0.63 | 0.219 | 0.188 |
| 828 | Wd | 7 | 3.0 | 0.38 | 2.14 | 0.459 | 0.714 | 2.14 | 0.459 | 0.714 |
| 829 | Wd | 5 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.60 | 0.400 | 0.300 | 0.60 | 0.400 | 0.300 |
| 904 | We | 21 | 3.6 | 0.56 | 0.48 | 0.190 | 0.133 | 0.48 | 0.190 | 0.133 |
| 905 | Wd | 17 | 5.5 | 0.38 | 1.00 | 0.297 | 0.181 | 1.00 | 0.297 | 0.181 |
| 909 | We | 48 | 3.2 | 0.33 | 0.56 | 0.143 | 0.176 | 0.56 | 0.143 | 0.176 |
| 910 | We | 27 | 7.2 | 0.41 | 0.48 | 0.163 | 0.067 | 0.48 | 0.163 | 0.067 |
| 912 | Wd | 15 | 3.4 | 0.53 | 0.93 | 0.284 | 0.272 | 0.93 | 0.284 | 0.272 |
| 913 | Wd | 23 | 2.9 | 0.26 | 0.61 | 0.206 | 0.211 | 0.61 | 0.206 | 0.211 |
| 916 | We | 44 | 3.0 | 0.32 | 0.48 | 0.119 | 0.158 | 0.52 | 0.140 | 0.174 |
| 917 | We | 42 | 3.5 | 0.35 | 0.48 | 0.149 | 0.136 | 0.48 | 0.149 | 0.136 |
| 918 | Wd | 28 | 3.9 | 0.49 | 0.43 | 0.140 | 0.109 | 0.46 | 0.158 | 0.118 |
| 919 | Wd | 25 | 2.2 | 0.19 | 0.64 | 0.181 | 0.288 | 0.64 | 0.181 | 0.288 |
| 922 | Wd | 42 | 2.9 | 0.37 | 1.05 | 0.167 | 0.365 | 1.05 | 0.167 | 0.365 |
| 923 | We | 49 | 2.9 | 0.24 | 0.61 | 0.145 | 0.214 | 0.63 | 0.145 | 0.221 |
| 924 | We | 63 | 2.8 | 0.21 | 0.46 | 0.115 | 0.162 | 0.51 | 0.136 | 0.178 |
| 926 | Wd | 32 | 3.9 | 0.34 | 0.56 | 0.185 | 0.146 | 0.56 | 0.185 | 0.146 |
| 927 | Wd | 34 | 2.4 | 0.23 | 0.91 | 0.217 | 0.380 | 0.91 | 0.217 | 0.380 |

[^13]Appendix D2. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by guided boat anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in August and September in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (both completed trip and incompleted trip interviews).

| Date | Wd/ <br> We | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 802 | Wd | 28 | 3.4 | 0.41 | 0.18 | 0.104 | 0.052 | 0.18 | 0.104 | 0.052 |
| 803 | Wd | 6 | 6.0 | 1.00 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.028 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.028 |
| 804 | Wd | 18 | 3.7 | 0.57 | 0.56 | 0.202 | 0.152 | 0.56 | 0.202 | 0.152 |
| 805 | We | 22 | 5.7 | 0.39 | 0.68 | 0.202 | 0.120 | 0.68 | 0.202 | 0.120 |
| 806 | We | 28 | 5.7 | 0.42 | 1.11 | 0.214 | 0.195 | 1.11 | 0.214 | 0.195 |
| 807 | Wd | 21 | 2.5 | 0.25 | 1.00 | 0.218 | 0.396 | 1.00 | 0.218 | 0.396 |
| 808 | Wd | 20 | 4.5 | 0.23 | 1.00 | 0.262 | 0.220 | 1.00 | 0.262 | 0.220 |
| 809 | Wd | 30 | 2.0 | 0.14 | 0.97 | 0.222 | 0.487 | 0.97 | 0.222 | 0.487 |
| 812 | We | 21 | 6.3 | 0.13 | 1.00 | 0.301 | 0.158 | 1.00 | 0.301 | 0.158 |
| 813 | We | 24 | 3.1 | 0.19 | 0.88 | 0.243 | 0.280 | 0.88 | 0.243 | 0.280 |
| 814 | Wd | 36 | 5.2 | 0.50 | 1.83 | 0.220 | 0.355 | 1.83 | 0.220 | 0.355 |
| 815 | Wd | 39 | 5.0 | 0.26 | 0.69 | 0.191 | 0.138 | 0.69 | 0.191 | 0.138 |
| 818 | Wd | 4 | 7.0 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.071 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.071 |
| 819 | We | 35 | 4.5 | 0.24 | 1.06 | 0.239 | 0.234 | 1.06 | 0.239 | 0.234 |
| 820 | We | 9 | 3.2 | 0.88 | 0.78 | 0.434 | 0.241 | 0.78 | 0.434 | 0.241 |
| 821 | Wd | 13 | 3.2 | 0.75 | 0.54 | 0.268 | 0.169 | 0.54 | 0.268 | 0.169 |
| 824 | Wd | 15 | 4.1 | 0.55 | 0.73 | 0.284 | 0.177 | 0.73 | 0.284 | 0.177 |
| 825 | Wd | 36 | 2.3 | 0.19 | 1.00 | 0.207 | 0.434 | 1.00 | 0.207 | 0.434 |
| 826 | We | 39 | 3.8 | 0.17 | 0.67 | 0.153 | 0.176 | 0.67 | 0.153 | 0.176 |
| 827 | We | 4 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 1.50 | 0.866 | 0.300 | 1.50 | 0.866 | 0.300 |
| 828 | Wd | 29 | 3.6 | 0.16 | 0.93 | 0.216 | 0.256 | 0.93 | 0.216 | 0.256 |
| 829 | Wd | 38 | 2.8 | 0.21 | 0.39 | 0.116 | 0.140 | 0.39 | 0.116 | 0.140 |
| 904 | We | 15 | 7.7 | 0.50 | 1.73 | 0.371 | 0.226 | 1.73 | 0.371 | 0.226 |
| 905 | Wd | 20 | 3.8 | 0.43 | 1.25 | 0.307 | 0.333 | 1.25 | 0.307 | 0.333 |
| 909 | We | 31 | 3.5 | 0.17 | 1.10 | 0.247 | 0.313 | 1.10 | 0.247 | 0.313 |
| 910 | We | 9 | 5.0 | 0.76 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.067 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.067 |
| 912 | Wd | 17 | 5.0 | 0.68 | 1.53 | 0.333 | 0.308 | 1.53 | 0.333 | 0.308 |
| 913 | Wd | 42 | 4.3 | 0.20 | 1.62 | 0.215 | 0.380 | 1.62 | 0.215 | 0.380 |
| 916 | We | 11 | 5.7 | 0.56 | 1.55 | 0.340 | 0.270 | 1.55 | 0.340 | 0.270 |
| 917 | We | 30 | 4.2 | 0.51 | 0.93 | 0.235 | 0.225 | 0.93 | 0.235 | 0.225 |
| 918 | Wd | 15 | 6.1 | 0.52 | 0.87 | 0.274 | 0.141 | 0.87 | 0.274 | 0.141 |
| 919 | Wd | 12 | 3.3 | 0.38 | 0.83 | 0.386 | 0.250 | 0.83 | 0.386 | 0.250 |
| 922 | Wd | 24 | 3.6 | 0.07 | 0.96 | 0.204 | 0.269 | 0.96 | 0.204 | 0.269 |
| 923 | We | 10 | 5.3 | 0.75 | 1.70 | 0.473 | 0.324 | 1.70 | 0.473 | 0.324 |
| 924 | We | 9 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.44 | 0.338 | 0.148 | 0.44 | 0.338 | 0.148 |
| 926 | Wd | 19 | 3.1 | 0.60 | 0.84 | 0.308 | 0.274 | 0.84 | 0.308 | 0.274 |
| 927 | Wd | 17 | 2.8 | 0.10 | 1.06 | 0.337 | 0.375 | 1.06 | 0.337 | 0.375 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

Appendix D3. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by shore anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in August and September in the downstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (both completed trip and incompleted trip interviews).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We} \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 802 | Wd | 2 | 1.5 | 0.50 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.333 | 0.50 | 0.500 | 0.333 |
| 807 | Wd | 2 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 814 | Wd | 8 | 2.1 | 0.44 | 0.25 | 0.164 | 0.118 | 0.25 | 0.164 | 0.118 |
| 815 | Wd | 2 | 4.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 818 | Wd | 2 | 2.5 | 0.50 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 819 | We | 2 | 2.5 | 1.50 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 820 | We | 8 | 2.7 | 0.77 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.047 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.047 |
| 821 | Wd | 4 | 2.3 | 0.63 | 0.50 | 0.289 | 0.222 | 0.50 | 0.289 | 0.222 |
| 824 | Wd | 4 | 3.5 | 0.87 | 0.75 | 0.479 | 0.214 | 0.75 | 0.479 | 0.214 |
| 825 | Wd | 3 | 2.3 | 0.17 | 1.33 | 0.667 | 0.571 | 1.33 | 0.667 | 0.571 |
| 827 | We | 5 | 4.9 | 1.19 | 0.60 | 0.400 | 0.122 | 0.60 | 0.400 | 0.122 |
| 828 | Wd | 4 | 2.5 | 0.29 | 0.75 | 0.250 | 0.300 | 0.75 | 0.250 | 0.300 |
| 829 | Wd | 4 | 0.8 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.250 | 0.333 | 0.25 | 0.250 | 0.333 |
| 909 | We | 5 | 2.4 | 0.40 | 0.60 | 0.400 | 0.250 | 0.60 | 0.400 | 0.250 |
| 910 | We | 7 | 4.4 | 1.46 | 0.29 | 0.184 | 0.065 | 0.29 | 0.184 | 0.065 |
| 912 | Wd | 3 | 5.0 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.067 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.067 |
| 913 | Wd | 7 | 2.4 | 0.20 | 0.86 | 0.404 | 0.353 | 0.86 | 0.404 | 0.353 |
| 916 | We | 3 | 3.3 | 0.17 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.100 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.100 |
| 917 | We | 4 | 1.3 | 0.25 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 918 | Wd | 8 | 4.3 | 1.09 | 0.63 | 0.324 | 0.147 | 0.63 | 0.324 | 0.147 |
| 922 | Wd | 5 | 1.3 | 0.30 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.154 | 0.20 | 0.200 | 0.154 |
| 923 | We | 10 | 2.5 | 0.76 | 0.30 | 0.213 | 0.122 | 0.30 | 0.213 | 0.122 |
| 924 | We | 10 | 5.7 | 0.92 | 0.60 | 0.221 | 0.105 | 0.60 | 0.221 | 0.105 |
| 926 | Wd | 3 | 2.0 | 1.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

[^14]Appendix D4. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by unguided boat anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in August and September in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (both completed trip and incompleted trip interviews).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 802 | Wd | 46 | 2.6 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 803 | Wd | 59 | 1.9 | 0.17 | 0.02 | 0.017 | 0.009 | 0.02 | 0.017 | 0.009 |
| 804 | Wd | 34 | 1.4 | 0.12 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 805 | We | 115 | 2.1 | 0.20 | 0.02 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0.02 | 0.012 | 0.008 |
| 806 | We | 113 | 2.5 | 0.17 | 0.01 | 0.009 | 0.003 | 0.01 | 0.009 | 0.003 |
| 809 | Wd | 20 | 1.9 | 0.32 | 0.05 | 0.050 | 0.026 | 0.05 | 0.050 | 0.026 |
| 810 | Wd | 24 | 3.0 | 0.42 | 0.13 | 0.092 | 0.041 | 0.13 | 0.092 | 0.041 |
| 811 | Wd | 31 | 1.9 | 0.20 | 0.26 | 0.080 | 0.137 | 0.26 | 0.080 | 0.137 |
| 812 | We | 103 | 2.0 | 0.12 | 0.15 | 0.038 | 0.074 | 0.15 | 0.038 | 0.074 |
| 813 | We | 63 | 2.2 | 0.19 | 0.41 | 0.103 | 0.192 | 0.41 | 0.103 | 0.192 |
| 814 | Wd | 45 | 2.1 | 0.17 | 0.29 | 0.093 | 0.141 | 0.29 | 0.093 | 0.141 |
| 815 | Wd | 34 | 1.5 | 0.24 | 0.38 | 0.134 | 0.263 | 0.38 | 0.134 | 0.263 |
| 817 | Wd | 38 | 2.4 | 0.22 | 0.18 | 0.064 | 0.076 | 0.18 | 0.064 | 0.076 |
| 818 | Wd | 33 | 3.2 | 0.32 | 0.45 | 0.131 | 0.142 | 0.45 | 0.131 | 0.142 |
| 820 | We | 143 | 2.4 | 0.13 | 0.34 | 0.054 | 0.143 | 0.34 | 0.054 | 0.143 |
| 821 | Wd | 89 | 2.2 | 0.13 | 0.17 | 0.046 | 0.075 | 0.17 | 0.046 | 0.075 |
| 824 | Wd | 50 | 1.8 | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.034 | 0.033 | 0.10 | 0.052 | 0.054 |
| 825 | Wd | 13 | 1.5 | 0.24 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 826 | We | 36 | 1.9 | 0.23 | 0.25 | 0.101 | 0.129 | 0.25 | 0.101 | 0.129 |
| 827 | We | 84 | 2.5 | 0.19 | 0.21 | 0.059 | 0.086 | 0.25 | 0.074 | 0.100 |
| 828 | Wd | 30 | 1.4 | 0.14 | 0.33 | 0.138 | 0.238 | 0.37 | 0.148 | 0.262 |
| 829 | Wd | 22 | 2.3 | 0.33 | 0.23 | 0.113 | 0.099 | 0.23 | 0.113 | 0.099 |
| 901 | Wd | 13 | 2.8 | 0.41 | 0.62 | 0.311 | 0.222 | 0.77 | 0.323 | 0.278 |
| 902 | We | 97 | 2.3 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.055 | 0.095 | 0.28 | 0.085 | 0.122 |
| 903 | We | 132 | 1.9 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.046 | 0.138 | 0.30 | 0.051 | 0.159 |
| 904 | We | 91 | 1.8 | 0.11 | 0.20 | 0.042 | 0.109 | 0.25 | 0.048 | 0.140 |
| 905 | Wd | 37 | 1.7 | 0.16 | 0.16 | 0.061 | 0.097 | 0.16 | 0.061 | 0.097 |
| 906 | Wd | 28 | 1.5 | 0.19 | 0.32 | 0.104 | 0.212 | 0.32 | 0.104 | 0.212 |
| 909 | We | 74 | 2.1 | 0.15 | 0.36 | 0.076 | 0.177 | 0.39 | 0.088 | 0.190 |
| 910 | We | 78 | 2.2 | 0.17 | 0.22 | 0.054 | 0.098 | 0.23 | 0.055 | 0.103 |
| 911 | Wd | 27 | 2.2 | 0.29 | 0.41 | 0.134 | 0.183 | 0.41 | 0.134 | 0.183 |
| 912 | Wd | 58 | 2.4 | 0.18 | 0.34 | 0.080 | 0.142 | 0.36 | 0.080 | 0.149 |
| 915 | Wd | 63 | 1.9 | 0.18 | 0.73 | 0.114 | 0.388 | 0.73 | 0.114 | 0.388 |
| 916 | We | 136 | 2.0 | 0.10 | 0.26 | 0.045 | 0.127 | 0.26 | 0.045 | 0.127 |
| 917 | We | 65 | 2.8 | 0.19 | 0.45 | 0.082 | 0.162 | 0.46 | 0.088 | 0.168 |
| 918 | Wd | 61 | 2.2 | 0.19 | 0.62 | 0.102 | 0.289 | 0.62 | 0.102 | 0.289 |
| 919 | Wd | 54 | 2.1 | 0.15 | 0.35 | 0.088 | 0.170 | 0.35 | 0.088 | 0.170 |

Appendix D4. (Page 2 of 2 ).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wd/ } \\ & \text { We } \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 922 | Wd | 78 | 1.7 | 0.11 | 0.41 | 0.074 | 0.246 | 0.41 | 0.074 | 0.246 |
| 923 | We | 94 | 3.2 | 0.17 | 0.32 | 0.061 | 0.099 | 0.32 | 0.061 | 0.099 |
| 924 | We | 77 | 2.3 | 0.22 | 0.49 | 0.080 | 0.213 | 0.49 | 0.080 | 0.213 |
| 925 | Wd | 71 | 1.8 | 0.14 | 0.42 | 0.071 | 0.233 | 0.44 | 0.074 | 0.240 |
| 926 | Wd | 46 | 2.0 | 0.18 | 0.30 | 0.075 | 0.153 | 0.33 | 0.076 | 0.164 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

Appendix D5. Daily summary statistics for fishing effort, coho salmon harvest, and coho salmon catch by guided boat anglers interviewed during the fishery for coho salmon in August and September in the upstream section of the Kenai River, 1989 (both completed trip and incompleted trip interviews).

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd/} \\ & \mathrm{We} \end{aligned}$ | EFFORT (hrs) |  |  | HARVEST |  |  | CATCH |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | SS ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean | SE | Mean | SE | HPUE | Mean | SE | CPUE |
| 802 | Wd | 13 | 2.8 | 0.42 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 803 | Wd | 11 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 804 | Wd | 20 | 1.3 | 0.15 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 805 | We | 17 | 2.5 | 0.30 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 806 | We | 8 | 1.8 | 0.41 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 810 | Wd | 13 | 3.9 | 0.55 | 0.46 | 0.183 | 0.118 | 0.46 | 0.183 | 0.118 |
| 811 | Wd | 15 | 2.0 | 0.27 | 0.73 | 0.284 | 0.367 | 0.87 | 0.291 | 0.433 |
| 812 | We | 8 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.063 | 0.13 | 0.125 | 0.063 |
| 814 | Wd | 12 | 4.0 | 0.00 | 2.50 | 0.230 | 0.625 | 2.50 | 0.230 | 0.625 |
| 815 | Wd | 8 | 2.0 | 0.38 | 0.63 | 0.263 | 0.313 | 0.63 | 0.263 | 0.313 |
| 817 | Wd | 19 | 2.8 | 0.20 | 0.79 | 0.237 | 0.280 | 0.79 | 0.237 | 0.280 |
| 818 | Wd | 4 | 8.0 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 0.750 | 0.219 | 1.75 | 0.750 | 0.219 |
| 820 | We | 6 | 3.8 | 0.11 | 1.33 | 0.333 | 0.356 | 1.33 | 0.333 | 0.356 |
| 821 | Wd | 13 | 4.1 | 0.40 | 0.85 | 0.274 | 0.208 | 0.85 | 0.274 | 0.208 |
| 824 | Wd | 4 | 1.0 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 825 | Wd | 3 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.111 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.111 |
| 826 | We | 13 | 4.2 | 0.45 | 0.77 | 0.201 | 0.183 | 0.77 | 0.201 | 0.183 |
| 827 | We | 6 | 4.0 | 0.63 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.083 | 0.33 | 0.333 | 0.083 |
| 828 | Wd | 10 | 3.9 | 0.08 | 0.90 | 0.233 | 0.234 | 0.90 | 0.233 | 0.234 |
| 829 | Wd | 4 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 0.50 | 0.289 | 0.250 | 0.50 | 0.289 | 0.250 |
| 901 | Wd | 3 | 2.0 | 0.00 | 2.33 | 0.333 | 1.167 | 3.67 | 0.882 | 1.833 |
| 902 | We | 15 | 5.6 | 0.27 | 0.13 | 0.091 | 0.024 | 0.13 | 0.091 | 0.024 |
| 903 | We | 25 | 2.3 | 0.22 | 0.36 | 0.114 | 0.158 | 0.36 | 0.114 | 0.158 |
| 904 | We | 16 | 2.8 | 0.25 | 0.69 | 0.198 | 0.250 | 0.69 | 0.198 | 0.250 |
| 905 | Wd | 7 | 2.9 | 0.91 | 0.43 | 0.297 | 0.146 | 0.43 | 0.297 | 0.146 |
| 906 | Wd | 3 | 3.0 | 0.00 | 1.33 | 0.333 | 0.444 | 1.33 | 0.333 | 0.444 |
| 910 | We | 10 | 4.0 | 0.39 | 1.00 | 0.394 | 0.250 | 1.00 | 0.394 | 0.250 |
| 911 | Wd |  | 4.0 | 0.22 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.042 | 0.17 | 0.167 | 0.042 |
| 912 | Wd | 6 | 1.8 | 0.56 | 1.17 | 0.401 | 0.667 | 1.17 | 0.401 | 0.667 |
| 915 | Wd | 11 | 2.8 | 0.76 | 1.27 | 0.384 | 0.452 | 1.27 | 0.384 | 0.452 |
| 916 | We | 5 | 4.5 | 0.00 | 0.40 | 0.245 | 0.089 | 0.40 | 0.245 | 0.089 |
| 917 | We | 2 | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0.00 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 919 | Wd | 7 | 4.8 | 0.10 | 2.29 | 0.286 | 0.478 | 2.57 | 0.369 | 0.537 |
| 922 | Wd |  | 2.2 | 0.15 | 1.22 | 0.364 | 0.550 | 1.22 | 0.364 | 0.550 |
| 925 | Wd | 4 | 1.5 | 0.00 | 1.75 | 0.250 | 1.167 | 1.75 | 0.250 | 1.167 |
| 926 | Wd | 3 | 1.5 | 0.00 | 0.67 | 0.333 | 0.444 | 0.67 | 0.333 | 0.444 |

a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

## APPENDIX E

Regression analysis of boat angler counts during the creel survey of the fishery for chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1989.


Appendix E1. Regression analysis of consecutive period unguided angler counts in the Kenai River chinook salmon fishery, weekend/holiday only ( $A$ vs $B, B$ vs C), 1989.


Appeñix E2. Regression analysis of consecutive period unguided angler counts in the Kenai River chinook salmon fishery, weekend/holiday only (C vs D, D vs E), 1989.


Appendix E3. Regression analysis of consecutive unguided angler counts in the Kenai River chinook salmon fishery, weekday periods (A vs C, C vs E), 1989.


Appendix E4. Regression analysis of consecutive angler counts in the Kenai River chinook salmon fishery, weekday unguided periods (B vs D), guided periods (A vs B), 1989.

## APPENDIX F

Regression analysis of the number of anglers interviewed versus the estimated effort by strata
in the chinook and coho salmon fisheries on the Kenai River, 1989.


Appendix F1. Number of unguided and guided anglers interviewed during each stratum versus the effort estimated for the stratum in the downstream section during the Kenai River chinook salmon fishery, 1989.



Appendix F2. Number of unguided and guided anglers interviewed during each stratum versus the effort estimated for the stratum in the downstream and upstream sections during the Kenai River coho salmon fishery, 1989.


[^0]:    Continued

[^1]:    a Proportion of total count.

[^2]:    a $\quad \mathrm{n}=$ sample size.

[^3]:    a wd = weekday. $\quad$ b we $=$ weekend. c includes shore anglers.

[^4]:    a Number of days on which interviews were collected.
    b Number of days possible for interviewing.
    c Both completed trip and incompleted trip interviews.

[^5]:    a wd - weekdays.
    b we - weekends.

[^6]:    a Harvest includes only fish kept.
    b Relative precision for $95 \%$ confidence interval.
    c Catch includes fish kept and fish reported as released.

[^7]:    a $\quad \mathrm{n}=$ sample size.

[^8]:    a Est-imates are for boat anglers only.
    b Estimates are for both boat and shore anglers.

[^9]:    a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

[^10]:    a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

[^11]:    a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

[^12]:    a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

[^13]:    a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

[^14]:    a Sample size, number of anglers interviewed.

