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#### Abstract

Surveys of the sport fishery for chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha and coho salmon O. kisutch were conducted on the lower Togiak River in Southwest Alaska from 28 June-23 July 1998 and 8-31 August 1999, respectively. Anglers were interviewed for information on catch, effort, use of guide services and demographic characteristics. Age, sex, length and weight data were collected from chinook and coho salmon harvested by anglers.

During the chinook salmon survey 634 anglers were interviewed. The overall catch per unit effort (CPUE) of chinook salmon was 0.72 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.03$ ) fish/h. Most anglers were guided ( $84 \%$ ) and not residents $(94 \%)$. A slight majority of chinook anglers used spin gear (34\%), followed by bait (23\%), fly gear ( $16 \%$ ) and combinations of spin and fly (19\%) and spin and bait ( $8 \%$ ). The average length of chinook salmon sampled was $819 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{SE}=8.9)$ and the average weight $9.7 \mathrm{~kg}(\mathrm{SE}=0.3)$. The predominant ages were $1.4(48 \%)$ and $1.3(42 \%)$. During the coho salmon survey 530 anglers were interviewed. The overall CPUE of coho salmon was 0.37 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.03$ ) fish/h. Most anglers were guided ( $95 \%$ ) and non-residents ( $95 \%$ ). The majority of coho anglers used either fly ( $45 \%$ ) or spin gear $(40 \%)$ followed by a combination of spin and fly gear ( $13 \%$ ). The average length of coho salmon was 586 $\mathrm{mm}(\mathrm{SE}=4.3)$ and the average weight $3.7 \mathrm{~kg}(\mathrm{SE}=0.1)$. Age- 2.1 fish comprised $54 \%$ of sampled fish.


Key words: chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, coho salmon Oncorhynchus kisutch, Togiak River, catch rates, angler characteristics, biological composition.

## INTRODUCTION

The Togiak River is located in Southwest Alaska (Figure 1), and flows south into Bristol Bay, about 65 miles west of Dillingham. Angling for salmon primarily occurs on the lower 12 miles of the river. As other southwest Alaska rivers have become more crowded, anglers have sought out less crowded destinations such as the Togiak River, where angling effort was 5,206 angler-days in 1998 (Howe et al. 1999, revised estimate). Harvest of chinook Oncorhynchus tshawytscha and coho salmon O. kisutch occurs in commercial, subsistence, and sport fisheries (Tables 1 and 2).

The effects of sport angling on Togiak River fish populations are a source of concern among management agencies. The U. S. Fish and Wildlife Service, which controls public use policies in the Togiak National Wildlife Refuge, is currently reviewing public use policies throughout refuge lands and may limit angling opportunities on the Togiak River in the future. Due to increasing public pressure the Board of Fisheries promulgated new sport fishing regulations, which became effective spring 1998. For chinook salmon, they were an annual bag limit of five (Bristol Bay-wide) and an open season of 1 May through 31 July instead of no closed season (ADF\&G 1998). A daily bag limit of three (only two > 28 in [710 mm]) remained in effect. Currently, the coho salmon sport fishery on the Togiak River is open year-round with a daily bag and possession limit of five fish (ADF\&G 1998).

To preserve angling opportunities, it is imperative to obtain baseline data and monitor the sport fisheries over time so that their characteristics and effects may be documented. Annual monitoring of major Alaskan sport fisheries, including the Togiak River, is accomplished using the department's mail survey (Mills 1979-1994, Howe et al. 1995-1999). However, more detailed information can be supplied only by onsite angler surveys. Angler surveys provide timely estimates of sport effort, catch and harvest by geographic segments of a fishery, assessments of angler characteristics and practices, and characteristics of sport-harvested fish. Angler surveys were last conducted on the Togiak chinook salmon fishery


Figure 1.-Popular salmon fisheries in the Southwestern Alaska Management Area.
in 1990 (Dunaway and Bingham 1991), whereas the coho salmon fishery was last surveyed in 1989 (Dunaway 1990).

The objectives for the surveys of the 1998 chinook salmon and 1999 coho salmon sport fisheries occurring in the lower Togiak River were:

1. Estimate the weekly and overall catch per unit effort (CPUE) for both fisheries;
2. Describe angler characteristics by terminal tackle type (flies, bait or lures) and angler-type (resident or nonresident; guided, unguided or guides);
3. Index daily angler effort during each sampled day; and
4. Estimate the age, sex, length and weight compositions of chinook and coho salmon harvested by the sport fishery.

Table 1.-Escapement and commercial, subsistence, and sport harvests of chinook salmon from the Togiak River, 1969 to 1998.

| Year | Harvest |  |  |  | Escapement ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Total <br> Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Subsistence ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Sport ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |  |  |
| 1969 | 20,092 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1970 | 28,618 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1971 | 26,105 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1972 | 17,099 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1973 | 9,225 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 9,284 | 1,200 |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 7,206 | 800 |  |  |  |  |
| 1976 | 28,513 | 500 |  |  |  |  |
| 1977 | 33,827 | 400 | 62 | 34,289 |  |  |
| 1978 | 53,460 | 300 | 35 | 53,795 |  |  |
| 1979 | 28,677 | 200 | 78 | 28,955 |  |  |
| 1980 | 10,858 | 900 | 34 | 11,792 | 8,045 | 19,837 |
| 1981 | 22,744 | 400 |  |  | 12,435 |  |
| 1982 | 33,607 | 400 | 231 | 34,238 | 6,800 | 41,038 |
| 1983 | 35,669 | 700 | 535 | 36,904 | 10,975 | 47,879 |
| 1984 | 19,958 | 600 | 87 | 20,645 | 19,085 | 39,730 |
| 1985 | 33,110 | 600 | 224 | 33,934 | 12,010 | 45,944 |
| 1986 | 16,267 | 700 | 525 | 17,492 |  |  |
| 1987 | 14,555 | 700 | 137 | 15,392 | 7,170 | 22,562 |
| 1988 | 13,212 | 429 |  |  | 6,390 |  |
| 1989 | 9,049 | 551 | 234 | 9,834 | 6,640 | 16,474 |
| 1990 | 9,651 | 480 | 172 | 10,303 | 6,473 | 16,776 |
| 1991 | 6,019 | 470 | 284 | 6,773 | 8,380 | 15,153 |
| 1992 | 11,806 | 1,361 | 271 | 13,438 | 7,410 | 20,848 |
| 1993 | 10,054 | 784 | 225 | 11,063 | 10,210 | 21,273 |
| 1994 | 9,350 | 904 | 663 | 10,917 | 15,117 | 26,034 |
| 1995 | 10,768 | 448 | 581 | 11,797 | 12,600 | 24,397 |
| 1996 | 8,113 | 471 | 790 | 9,374 | 8,299 | 17,673 |
| 1997 | 5,357 | 667 | 1,165 | 7,189 | 10,300 | 17,489 |
| All Years Avg. | 18,698 | 624 | 333 | 19,901 | 9,902 | 26,207 |
| Percent | 94\% | 3\% | 2\% |  |  |  |
| 1993 to 1997 Avg. | 8,728 | 655 | 685 | 10,068 | 11,305 | 21,373 |
| Percent | 87\% | 7\% | 7\% |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 12,867 | 782 | 763 | 14,412 | 9,856 | 24,268 |
| Percent | 89\% | 5\% | 5\% |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Togiak River Section commercial harvests. Obtained from a run of the CFD Fish Ticket Database 10/19/00. Statistical areas 326-70, 326-71, 326-72, and 326 with blank sub areas were included. All gear types, including blank and 0 , were used. Harvest codes of both 0 and 11 were included. Fish retained for personal use (Delivery = 95) were excluded.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Togiak District subsistence harvest. Sources: 1974-1978 ADF\&G 1991, Appendix Table 46; 1979-1999 ADF\&G 2000, Appendix Table 31.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Source: SWHS for Togiak River System Nushagak Area table); for 1989-1998; sources are Mills 1990-1994 and Howe et al. 1995-1999. 1996-1998 estimates presented here are the revised estimates. Estimates for 1977-1988 are unpublished.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Togiak River drainage total, estimated by aerial survey and expanded for missed fish. Biological escapement goal is 10,000 fish.

Table 2.-Commercial, subsistence, and sport harvests plus escapements of coho salmon from the Togiak River, 1977 to 1998.

| Year | Harvest |  |  |  | Escapement ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Total } \\ \text { Run } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Commercial | Subsistence ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Sport ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Total |  |  |
| 1977 | 33,824 | 1,100 | 114 | 35,038 |  |  |
| 1978 | 36,959 | 500 | 214 | 37,673 |  |  |
| 1979 | 19,201 | 700 | 300 | 20,201 |  |  |
| 1980 | 111,829 | 1,200 | 258 | 113,287 | 65,130 | 178,417 |
| 1981 | 19,504 | 2,200 | 119 | 21,823 | 43,500 | 65,323 |
| 1982 | 108,000 | 1,300 | 524 | 109,824 | 69,900 | 179,724 |
| 1983 | 4,978 | 800 | 829 | 6,607 |  |  |
| 1984 | 111,631 | 3,800 | 1,154 | 116,585 | 60,840 | 177,425 |
| 1985 | 35,765 | 1,500 | 0 | 37,265 | 33,210 | 70,475 |
| 1986 | 28,030 | 500 | 2,851 | 31,381 | 21,400 | 52,781 |
| 1987 | 1,284 | 1,600 | 183 | 3,067 | $16,000{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 19,067 |
| 1988 | 7,974 | 792 | 1,238 | 10,004 | $25,770{ }^{\text {e }}$ | 35,774 |
| 1989 | 35,814 | 976 | 416 | 37,206 |  |  |
| 1990 | 2,672 | 1,111 | 367 | 4,150 | 21,390 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | 25,540 |
| 1991 | 4,531 | 1,238 | 87 | 5,856 | 25,260 | 31,116 |
| 1992 | 4,396 | 1,231 | 251 | 5,878 | 80,100 | 85,978 |
| 1993 | 12,613 | 743 | 330 | 13,686 |  |  |
| 1994 | 88,823 | 910 | 531 | 90,264 |  |  |
| 1995 | 8,864 | 703 | 408 | 9,975 |  |  |
| 1996 | 58,369 | 199 | 1,382 | 59,950 | 64,980 | 124,930 |
| 1997 | 2,776 | 260 | 780 | 3,816 | 20,625 | 24,441 |
| All Years Avg. | 35,135 | 1,113 | 587 | 36,835 | 42,162 | 82,384 |
| Percent | 95\% | 3\% | 2\% |  |  |  |
| 1993-1997 Avg. | 34,289 | 563 | 686 | 35,538 | 42,803 |  |
| Percent | 96\% | $2 \%$ | 2\% |  |  |  |
| 1998 | 52,846 | 310 | 1,020 | 54,176 | 25,335 | 78,809 |
| Percent | 98\% | 1\% | $2 \%$ |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Togiak River Section commercial harvests. Obtained from a run of the CFD Fish Ticket Database $10 / 24 / 00$. Statistical areas $326-70,326-71,326-72$, and 326 with blank sub areas were included. All gear types were used. Harvest codes of both 0 and 11 were included. Fish retained for personal use ( Delivery $=95$ ) were excluded.
-continued-
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${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Togiak District subsistence harvest (includes Togiak Village and Togiak River). Sources: 1977-1979 ADF\&G 1997, Appendix Table 31; 1980-1999 ADF\&G 2000, Appendix Table 26.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Source: SWHS Mills 1979-1994; Howe et al. 1995-1999. 1996-1998 estimates presented here are the revised estimates.
${ }^{\mathrm{d}}$ Escapement estimates are based on fixed-wing aerial surveys. Peak counts are expanded by a factor of 3 to account for missed fish. In 1985-1987 expansion factors were greater due to incomplete surveys or poor survey conditions. Source: ADF\&G 2000, Appendix Table 26. Peak aerial counts are in Glick et al. 2000, Appendix Table 31.
${ }^{e}$ USF\&WS used a sonar located 1 mile upriver from the Pungokepuk River to estimate salmon returns to the Togiak River in 1987, 1988, and 1990. Estimated coho salmon escapement was 68,428; 78,589; and 28,290 fish for 1987, 1988, and 1990, respectively (Irving et al. 1995, Table 2). Sonar counts for sockeye salmon were higher than corresponding tower counts, so were apparently overestimates. Sonar counts of coho salmon are also likely overestimates.

## METHODS

## Study Design

Systematic surveys of the chinook and coho salmon sport fisheries were conducted on the Togiak River from its confluence with the Pungokepuk Creek downstream 29 km to its outlet into Togiak Bay (Figure 2). For the chinook salmon fishery, sampling occurred from 28 June-23 July 1998, 5 days per week (Sunday through Thursday), from 1000 to 1830 hours. Each day, the technician spent about 7 hours conducting angler interviews (complete and incomplete trips) and collecting biological data from sportharvested chinook salmon encountered, and about 1.5 hours conducting the angler count. The same sampling regime occurred 8-31 August 1999 during the coho salmon survey.

The schedule for collecting interviews and conducting counts was selected to correspond to seasonal, weekly and daily peaks in the sport fishery for chinook and coho salmon as determined from previous surveys (Dunaway 1990; Dunaway and Bingham 1991) and unpublished crew leader reports. It was determined that most anglers fish for chinook during July between the hours of 1000 and 1830. To obtain a representative sample of all anglers, the sample days were selected to access weekend anglers (typically using float trips or fly-ins) and weekday anglers (characterized as using local lodges).

## CPUE as an Index of Abundance

This survey design and corresponding schedule were directed at obtaining a consistent proportional sample of the fishery throughout the progression of the sampled season. Accordingly, "weekly" estimates of CPUE should be unbiased as indices of abundance of salmon as they pass through the fishery (Bernard et al. 1998) ${ }^{1}$. Therefore, it is expected that the estimates of CPUE will be reflective

[^0]

Figure 2.-Togiak River and chinook and coho salmon angler survey site.
of gross changes in fish abundance (with the usual proviso that catchability remains constant) ${ }^{2}$. However, estimates of CPUE are not expected to be unbiased estimates of the catch rate of the fishery as a whole, because not all days of the week and all hours of the angling day were sampled with equal probability.
Interviews were obtained by roving the fishery, which can result in "length of stay" (LOS) bias. The bias could be substantial because the probability of interviewing anglers is proportional to the length of their daily fishing trip. The duration of the trip can be affected by the daily bag limit, which may result in an arrest of angling when achieved. However, the likelihood of severe LOS bias and its affects are ameliorated because the Togiak River fishery is remote, which results in trips of specific duration due to travel constraints. Therefore, anglers tend to switch to catch-and-release fishing or different species after filling their bag limits. However, the estimates of CPUE may not accurately reflect overall catch rates because the entire fishing day is not covered and exit locations and methods of access are extensive.

## Angler Effort Index

One angler count was conducted each day at the same time. These counts will represent an unbiased index of the angler effort during the days and time sampled if the distribution of angler effort throughout the sampling day does not vary during the course of the survey. Accordingly, the count was not used to estimate angler effort for the fishery since not all possible count times were surveyed.

## Angler Characteristics

Since all angling days were not covered, data describing the composition of angler-trips (by terminal gear use and angler-type) were expected to be reflective of the fishery only on the sampled days and periods. If different types of anglers fish during the days of the week and/or during the hours of the day not sampled, then estimates of angler-trips by angler-type will not be representative of the whole fishery.

## Data Collection

## Angler Interviews

The technician on duty traveled (roved) throughout the fishery via motorboat to conduct interviews and count all anglers participating in the fishery. Interviews were conducted from 1000-1830 hours excluding time used for angler counts. Interviews consisted of obtaining catch, harvest, effort (time duration), angler-type (guided, unguided, guides), terminal tackle and general demographic information from anglers encountered in the fishery.
Both complete-trip (anglers who had suspended fishing for the day) and incomplete-trip interviews were collected. Technicians attempted to distribute their interview effort uniformly among all angling groups and throughout the survey area. Effort was expended to interview a high proportion (>70\%) of the anglers present on a given sampling day.

[^1]
## Angler Counts

A single daily angler count was used to index fishing effort. Angler counts took no more than 90 minutes, and were considered instantaneous and representative of angler effort when conducted. The starting time for the daily count was 1045 hours. The technician counted all active anglers while driving the boat at a constant rate of speed through the fishery. Active anglers were individuals who were fishing and included those handling rods and tackle, repositioning a boat, landing a fish, repairing gear or assisting another angler. Active anglers did not include people solely operating boats, eating lunch or engaging in another activities not associated with angling.

## Biological Sampling of Harvested Fish

Sport harvested chinook salmon encountered during the angler interviews were sampled for age, sex, length and weight data. When possible, all chinook retained by interviewed anglers were sampled (i.e., no subsampling of the creel). The sampling design is expected to yield a proportional sample of the harvest through the progression of the fishery (i.e., equal proportion of the harvest). The resultant data were treated as if collected from a simple random sample.

Harvested chinook and coho salmon were measured to the nearest millimeter for mid-eye to fork-of-tail length, weighed to the nearest 0.25 (chinook) or 0.1 (coho) kilograms and sexed based on external characteristics. In addition, three scales were removed from the preferred area ${ }^{3}$ and mounted on an adhesive-coated card. Standard age determination procedures were used (see Jerald 1983 for a general description of the principles used). The European system of age designation was used, where the number of freshwater winter annuli precedes the decimal and the number of marine winter annuli follows. Total age from the brood year is the sum of the two numerals plus one.

## DATA ANALYSIS

## Catch Rate

Overall and weekly estimates and an overall estimate of CPUE were calculated according to the procedures outlined below. All of the individual angler interview data collected during the 5 days sampled in a week were combined to obtain these estimates. The first step involved calculating the CPUE for each angler interviewed:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\text { cpue }_{\mathrm{hi}}=\frac{\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{hi}}}{\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where, $\mathrm{c}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ equals the number of fish caught (both kept and released) by the $\mathrm{i}^{\text {th }}$ angler interviewed during the $\mathrm{h}^{\text {th }}$ week of the survey, and $\mathrm{e}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ is the effort of the angler.

Then the weekly mean estimate of CPUE is simply:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\text { cpue }}_{\mathrm{h}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}}} \mathrm{cpue}_{\mathrm{hi}}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{h}}} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^2]where, $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}}$ equals the number of anglers interviewed within each week of the survey.
Estimates of the variance of the mean CPUE estimates were calculated as follows:
and SE was calculated as the square root of the variance. Confidence intervals ( $95 \%$ ) were calculated to compare CPUE from week to week.

## Angler Effort

As noted above, the single angler count conducted each day represents an index of angler effort, and no analysis was performed.

## Angler Characteristics

The proportion of angler-trips as defined by the categories of terminal gear type use and/or angler-type (e.g., guided versus unguided) were calculated as:
$\mathrm{p}_{\mathrm{z}}=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}}{\mathrm{m}}$,
where $\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{z}}$ equals the number of the interviewed anglers whose trips are categorized as z ; and m equals the total number of classifiable anglers interviewed.

No estimates of the sampling variance were calculated, since these proportions are merely descriptive in nature and can not be used to make inferences about the fishery.

## Assumptions

The assumptions necessary for unbiased point and variance estimates for the various parameters obtained by the procedures outlined above include the following:

1. Interviewed anglers accurately reported their fishing time and the number of fish by species kept and released;
2. The technician accurately classified anglers and the interviewed anglers accurately reported their residency, trip type (guided, unguided), and the terminal gear type used during their fishing trip;
3. Catch rate and duration of fishing trip were independent (necessitated by the use of a roving method of interviewing - anglers with longer fishing trips have a greater probability of being intercepted for interview);
4. The distribution of angler effort within the angling day did not vary substantially during the course of the survey (necessary for CPUE to be an unbiased index of fish abundance, and for the single angler count to be an unbiased index of angler effort); and
5. Catchability of the salmon did not vary substantially during the course of the survey (necessary for CPUE to be an unbiased index of fish abundance).

There are no direct ways of evaluating or testing any of the assumptions. For assumptions 1 and 2, anglers are expected to have fairly good recollection of the total number of fish caught and to accurately report their fishing trip characteristics. Validation of assumptions 3, 4 and 5 were addressed previously (see subsection CPUE as an Index of Abundance, above).

## Biological Composition

The proportion of harvested chinook salmon that are age $u$ was estimated as:
$\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{u}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{u}}}{\mathrm{n}}$,
where $\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{u}}$ equals the number of the sampled chinook or coho salmon harvested that are age u ; and n equals the total number of chinook or coho salmon sampled.
For samples collected $\hat{V}\left[\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right]$ was calculated without the finite population correction factor, since we do not have harvest estimates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left[\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right]=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{u}}\left(1-\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{u}}\right)}{\mathrm{n}-1} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

and SE was calculated as the square root of the variance. Mean length-at-age and mean weight of harvested chinook salmon were estimated, following standard procedures (Sokal and Rohlf 1981, Boxes 4.2 and 7.1, pages 56 and 139).

Data files and programs used to produce this report are listed in Appendix B1.

## RESULTS

## CHINOOK SALMON IN 1998

We collected CPUE data from 630 anglers in 1998. Estimates of weekly CPUE ranged from 0.41 to 1.03 fish $/ \mathrm{h}$ (Table 3) and averaged $0.72 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{SE}=0.03)$. Angler index counts were conducted on 17 days of the 26-day study period. Angler index counts ranged from a low of 13 on 28 June and 9 July to a high of 42 on 20 July (Appendix A1).
Angler characteristics were recorded for 634 anglers. Approximately $84 \%$ of the anglers were guided, $11 \%$ were unguided and $6 \%$ were guides who were fishing (Table 4). Most anglers were not residents of Alaska ( $94 \%$ ) and $19 \%$ were not U.S. residents. Most anglers used spinning gear exclusively ( $34 \%$ ), followed by bait ( $23 \%$ ) and flies ( $16 \%$ ). A combination of spinning and fly gear was used by $19 \%$ of the anglers and a combination of spinning tackle and bait was used by $8 \%$ of anglers.

Biological data were collected from 148 harvested chinook salmon (Table 5). Males comprised 54\% $(\mathrm{SE}=4.3)$ of the harvest, while females made up the other $46 \%(\mathrm{SE}=4.3)$. The predomi-nant age groups among all fish sampled were age $1.4(48.2 \% ; \mathrm{SE}=4.3)$ and age $1.3(41.6 \% ; \mathrm{SE}=4.2)$. Overall average length was $819 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{SE}=8.9)$ and overall average weight was $9.7 \mathrm{~kg}(\mathrm{SE}=0.3)$. The largest fish sampled was $1,028 \mathrm{~mm}$ long, weighed 18.6 kg and was caught on 21 July. Anglers also caught chum salmon, Dolly Varden, and rainbow trout (Appendix A2).

Table 3.-Catch per unit effort for the chinook salmon sport fishery in the lower Togiak River, 28 June through 23 July 1998.

|  | Sample |  | 95\% Confidence Interval |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Temporal Component | Size | CPUE $^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Lower | Upper |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 (26 June-02 July) | 170 | 0.41 | 0.05 | 0.31 | 0.51 |
| 2 (03-09 July) | 173 | 0.52 | 0.04 | 0.43 | 0.61 |
| 3 (10-16 July) | 76 | 1.03 | 0.09 | 0.86 | 1.20 |
| 4 (17-23 July) | 211 | 1.01 | 0.07 | 0.85 | 1.16 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entire Season | 630 | 0.72 | 0.03 | 0.65 | 0.78 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of fish caught per angler-hour of effort.

## Coho Salmon in 1999

In 1999, CPUE data were collected from 530 anglers. Estimates of weekly CPUE ranged from 0.01 to $0.99 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{h}$ (Table 6) and averaged $0.37 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{h}(\mathrm{SE}=0.03)$. Angler index counts ranged from a low of 10 on 9 August to a high of 31 on 15, 18, 22 and 24 August (Appendix A3).

Angler characteristics were recorded for 529 anglers. Approximately $95 \%$ of the anglers were guided, while $2 \%$ were unguided and $3 \%$ were guides who were fishing (Table 7). Most anglers were not residents of Alaska (95\%) and 5\% were residents of another country. Most anglers used either fly fishing ( $45 \%$ ) or spinning gear ( $40 \%$ ). A combination of spinning and fly fishing gear was used by $13 \%$ of anglers.

Biological data were collected from 100 harvested coho salmon (Table 8). Of 81 fish aged, males comprised $80.2 \% ~(\mathrm{SE}=4.5)$, females made up $18.5 \%(\mathrm{SE}=4.3)$, and the sex of one ( $1.3 \%$ ) coho salmon could not be determined. The predominant age groups among all fish sampled were age 2.1 $(54.3 \%$; $\mathrm{SE}=5.6)$ followed by age $2.2(27.2 \% ; \mathrm{SE}=5.0)$. Overall average length was $586 \mathrm{~mm}(\mathrm{SE}=$ 4.3) and overall average weight was 3.7 kg ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.1$ ). The largest coho salmon sampled was 680 mm long, weighed 5.5 kg and was caught on 19 August. Anglers also caught chum salmon and Dolly Varden (Appendix A4).

## DISCUSSION

The chinook salmon fishery on the lower Togiak River had not been surveyed since 1990 (Dunaway and Bingham 1991), and, with respect to catch and effort, that survey was conducted and analyzed using substantially different methods than this survey. Therefore, the two surveys are not comparable. Catch rates increased from 0.5 to $1 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{h}$ after the first two temporal components. Most of the interviewed anglers were non-residents using local lodges or fly-in services from other lodges. The age composition of harvested chinook males during 1990 and 1998 were similar, except there were fewer age-1.2 and more 1.3- and 1.4-age males in 1998 (Tables 5 and 9). The average size of 1998

Table 4.-Number and percent of angler trips by angler and gear type during the chinook salmon sport fishery on the lower Togiak River, 28 June through 20 July 1998.
Characteristic Angler Trips Percent

ANGLER TYPE

| Guided | 531 | 84 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Unguided | 68 | 11 |
| Guide who is fishing | 35 | 6 |

RESIDENCY

| Alaskan Residents | 37 | 6 |
| :---: | ---: | :---: |
| Local Alaskan Residents $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | 35 | 6 |
| Nonlocal Alaskan Residents $^{\mathrm{b}}$ | 2 | 0 |
|  |  |  |
| Non-Alaskan Residents | 597 | 94 |
| U.S. Resident | 478 | 75 |
| Non-U.S. Resident | 119 | 19 |

SEX

| Male | 604 | 95 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Female | 30 |  |

TACKLE TYPE

| Spin | 214 | 34 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: |
| Bait | 144 | 23 |
| Fly | 103 | 16 |
| Spin and Fly | 120 | 19 |
| Spin and Bait | 49 | 8 |

Total Angler Trips 634
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Alaskan resident living in Togiak and Twin Hills area.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All other Alaskan residents.

Table 5.-Mean lengths (millimeters) and weights (kilograms) of chinook salmon, by sex and age group, from samples collected from the lower Togiak sport harvest, 28 June through 23 July 1998.

|  | Unknown | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  |  |  | 18 | 27 | 2 | 46 |
| SE |  |  |  | 3 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Sample size |  |  |  | 24 | 37 | 2 | 63 |
| Mean length | 845 |  |  | 824 | 863 | 917 | 849 |
| SE | 34 |  |  | 9 | 11 | 30 | 8 |
| Sample size | 5 |  |  | 24 | 37 | 2 | 68 |
| Mean weight | 10.6 |  |  | 9.0 | 11.0 | 14.3 | 10.4 |
| SE | 1.1 |  |  | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.4 | 0.3 |
| Sample size | 5 |  |  | 24 | 37 | 2 | 68 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 4 | 4 | 24 | 21 | 2 | 54 |
| SE |  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 4 |
| Sample size |  | 5 | 5 | 33 | 29 | 2 | 74 |
| Mean length | 704 | 522 | 569 | 808 | 868 | 945 | 793 |
| SE | 65 | 34 | 44 | 11 | 14 | 27 | 15 |
| Sample size | 6 | 5 | 5 | 33 | 29 | 2 | 80 |
| Mean weight | 6.9 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 8.9 | 11.4 | 15.6 | 9.1 |
| SE | 1.7 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.4 | 0.6 | 2.0 | 0.4 |
| Sample size | 6 | 5 | 5 | 33 | 29 | 2 | 80 |
| All Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 4 | 4 | 42 | 48 | 3 | 100 |
| SE |  | 2 | 2 | 4 | 4 | 1 |  |
| Sample size |  | 5 | 5 | 57 | 66 | 4 | 137 |
| Mean length | 768 | 522 | 569 | 815 | 865 | 931 | 819 |
| SE | 43 | 34 | 44 | 7 | 9 | 18 | 9 |
| Sample size | 11 | 5 | 5 | 57 | 66 | 4 | 148 |
| Mean weight | 8.6 | 2.9 | 3.4 | 9.0 | 11.2 | 15.0 | 9.7 |
| SE | 1.2 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 0.9 | 0.3 |
| Sample size | 11 | 5 | 5 | 57 | 66 | 4 | 148 |

harvested fish was larger. Harvested females had a more similar age composition, but 1990 fish were slightly larger on average.
Catch rates of coho salmon from 1989 and 1999 surveys are not comparable for the same reasons as above. During 1999, the catch rate increased each week from 0.01 to 0.99 , but overall the fishing was considered poor. This was no exception Bristol Bay-wide, where on 23 August an emergency order restricted daily bag limits to no more than one coho salmon per day (Dunaway In prep). Ages, weights
and lengths of harvested fish were similar to those harvested in 1989 (Tables 8 and 10). One exception is the 2.2-age class of which there were relatively more harvested in 1999.

For both surveys, the methodologies were most useful for characterizing the utilization of the fisheries by non-resident guided anglers who either used local lodges or were flown in from another lodge for the day. These anglers tend to fish on scheduled patterns easily captured by this survey method. Local resident anglers tended to fish at different hours without pattern and many were never interviewed (unpublished crew reports). Though they constitute a small segment of sport anglers, they were characterized insufficiently.

These surveys documented the largest sport-angling segment (non-residents) and by using similar methods in the future we will have comparable figures to monitor use and its change over time. It is advisable to continue periodic surveys so that this important sport fishery will be well understood. With greater understanding of the fishery and its participants, the department will be more prepared to face management issues in the future.

Table 6.-Catch per unit effort for the coho salmon sport fishery in the lower Togiak River, 8 through 31 August 1999.

|  | Sample |  |  | $95 \%$ Confidence Interval |  |
| :---: | ---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Temporal Component | Size | CPUE $^{\text {a }}$ | SE | Lower | Upper |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 (06-12 August) | 120 | 0.01 | 0.01 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| $2(13-19$ August) | 158 | 0.23 | 0.02 | 0.19 | 0.27 |
| $3(20-26$ August) | 168 | 0.45 | 0.04 | 0.37 | 0.54 |
| $4(27-31$ August) | 84 | 0.99 | 0.11 | 0.78 | 1.20 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Entire Season | 530 | 0.37 | 0.03 | 0.32 | 0.42 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{a}$ Number of fish caught per angler-hour of effort.
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Table 7.-Number and percent of angler trips by angler and gear type during the coho salmon sport fishery on the lower Togiak River, 8 through 31 August 1999.

| Characteristic | Angler Trips | Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| ANGLER TYPE |  |  |
| Guided | 495 | 95 |
| Unguided | 11 | 2 |
| Guide who is fishing | 16 | 3 |
| RESIDENCY |  |  |
| Alaskan Residents | 28 | 5 |
| Local Alaskan Residents ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 11 | 2 |
| Nonlocal Alaskan Residents ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 17 | 3 |
| Non-Alaskan Residents | 494 | 95 |
| U.S. Resident | 468 | 90 |
| Non-U.S. Resident | 26 | 5 |
| SEX |  |  |
| Male | 487 | 93 |
| Female | 35 | 7 |
| TACKLE TYPE |  |  |
| Spin | 212 | 40 |
| Bait | 3 | 1 |
| Fly | 239 | 45 |
| Spin and Fly | 68 | 13 |
| Spin and Bait | 7 | 1 |
| Total Angler Trips | 529 |  |

[^3]Table 8.-Mean lengths (millimeters) and weights (kilograms) of coho salmon, by sex and age group, from samples collected from the lower Togiak River sport harvest, 8 through 31 August 1999.

|  | Unknown | Age Group |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.1 | 3.2 |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 3 |  | 10 | 5 |  |  | 1 | 19 |
| SE |  | 2 |  | 3 | 2 |  |  | 1 | 4 |
| Sample size |  | 2 |  | 8 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 15 |
| Mean length | 560 | 561 |  | 582 | 538 |  |  | 535 | 563 |
| SE | 26 | 14 |  | 8 | 14 |  |  |  | 9 |
| Sample size | 6 | 2 |  | 8 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 21 |
| Mean weight | 2.9 | 3.3 |  | 3.4 | 2.7 |  |  | 3.1 | 3.1 |
| SE | 0.4 | 0.7 |  | 0.2 | 0.2 |  |  |  | 0.2 |
| Sample size | 6 | 2 |  | 8 | 4 |  |  | 1 | 21 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 5 | 4 | 44 | 21 | 1 | 5 |  | 80 |
| SE |  | 2 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 |  | 5 |
| Sample size |  | 4 | 3 | 36 | 17 | 1 | 4 |  | 65 |
| Mean length | 594 | 602 | 591 | 602 | 563 | 529 | 611 |  | 591 |
| SE | 11 | 17 | 7 | 7 | 12 |  | 10 |  | 5 |
| Sample size | 13 | 4 | 3 | 36 | 17 | 1 | 4 |  | 78 |
| Mean weight | 3.8 | 4.1 | 3.7 | 4.1 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.2 |  | 3.8 |
| SE | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.3 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.1 |
| Sample size | 13 | 4 | 3 | 36 | 17 | 1 | 3 |  | 77 |
| All Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 7 | 4 | 54 | 27 | 1 | 5 | 1 | 100 |
| SE |  | 3 | 2 | 6 | 5 | 1 | 2 | 1 |  |
| Sample size |  | 6 | 3 | 44 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 81 |
| Mean length | 583 | 588 | 591 | 598 | 561 | 529 | 611 | 535 | 586 |
| SE | 11 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 10 |  | 10 |  | 4 |
| Sample size | 19 | 6 | 3 | 44 | 22 | 1 | 4 | 1 | 100 |
| Mean weight | 3.6 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 4.0 | 3.3 | 2.4 | 4.2 | 3.1 | 3.7 |
| SE | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 |  | 0.6 |  | 0.1 |
| Sample size | 19 | 6 | 3 | 44 | 22 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 99 |

Table 9.-Mean lengths (millimeters) and weights (kilograms) of chinook salmon, by sex and age group, from samples collected from the lower Togiak sport harvest, 21 June through 29 July 1990.

|  | Unknown | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  |  |  | 3 | 34 | 4 | 41 |
| SE |  |  |  | 2 | 5 | 2 | 5 |
| Sample size |  |  |  | 2 | 24 | 3 | 31 |
| Mean length | 770 |  |  | 812 | 873 | 919 | 867 |
| SE | 15 |  |  | 43 | 11 | 34 | 11 |
| Sample size | 2 |  |  | 2 | 24 | 3 | 31 |
| Mean weight | 8.5 |  |  | 8.6 | 11.9 | 12.5 | 11.53 |
| SE | 1.4 |  |  | 1.0 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.4 |
| Sample size | 2 |  |  | 2 | 24 | 3 | 31 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 4 | 26 | 14 | 13 | 1 | 59 |
| SE |  | 2 | 5 | 4 | 4 | 1 | 5 |
| Sample size |  | 3 | 18 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 44 |
| Mean length | 633 | 374 | 561 | 619 | 912 | 998 | 648 |
| SE | 80 | 11 | 11 | 28 | 23 |  | 26 |
| Sample size | 3 | 3 | 18 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 44 |
| Mean weight | 4.5 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 4.0 | 12.7 | 16.5 | 5.5 |
| SE | 1.8 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 0.9 |  | 0.7 |
| Sample size | 3 | 3 | 18 | 10 | 9 | 1 | 44 |
| All Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 4 | 26 | 17 | 47 | 6 | 100 |
| SE |  | 2 | 5 | 4 | 6 | 3 |  |
| Sample size |  | 3 | 18 | 12 | 33 | 4 | 75 |
| Mean length | 688 | 374 | 561 | 651 | 883 | 939 | 738 |
| SE | 55 | 11 | 11 | 32 | 10 | 31 | 20 |
| Sample size | 5 | 3 | 18 | 12 | 33 | 4 | 75 |
| Mean weight | 6.1 | 0.9 | 3.1 | 4.8 | 12.1 | 13.5 | 8.0 |
| SE | 1.5 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.7 | 0.4 | 1.4 | 0.6 |
| Sample size | 5 | 3 | 18 | 12 | 33 | 4 | 75 |

Table 10.-Mean lengths (millimeters) and weights (kilograms) of coho salmon, by sex and age group, from samples collected from the lower Togiak River sport harvest, 11 August through 14 September 1989.

|  | Unknown | Age Group |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 2.2 | 3.1 |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 8 |  | 30 |  | 1 | 39 |
| SE |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A | N/A |
| Sample size |  | N/A |  | N/A |  | N/A | N/A |
| Mean length | 625 | 582 |  | 601 |  | 598 | 598 |
| SE | 16 | 13 |  | 5 |  |  | 5 |
| Sample size | 3 | 11 |  | 41 |  | 1 | 56 |
| Mean weight | 4.2 | 3.8 |  | 4.0 |  | 3.8 | 3.9 |
| SE | 0.4 | 0.7 |  | 0.2 |  |  | 10.2 |
| Sample size | 6 | 2 |  | 8 |  | 1 | 54 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 13 | 2 | 41 | 3 | 2 | 60 |
| SE |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Sample size |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Mean length | 601 | 602 | 601 | 617 | 594 | 597 | 610 |
| SE | 17 | 13 | 36 | 5 | 34 | 23 | 4 |
| Sample size | 11 | 17 | 2 | 56 | 4 | 3 | 93 |
| Mean weight | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.3 | 4.7 | 4.4 | 4.2 | 4.5 |
| SE | 37.1 | 26.3 | 20.0 | 13.5 | 91.4 | 37.6 | 10.87 |
| Sample size | 11 | 16 | 2 | 55 | 3 | 3 | 90 |
| All Samples |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  | 21 | 2 | 72 | 3 | 3 | 100 |
| SE |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Sample size |  | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A | N/A |
| Mean length | 606 | 594 | 601 | 610 | 594 | 597 | 606 |
| SE | 14 | 10 | 36 | 3 | 34 | 16 | 3 |
| Sample size | 14 | 28 | 2 | 98 | 4 | 4 | 150 |
| Mean weight | 4.3 | 4.1 | 4.3 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 4.1 | 4.3 |
| SE | 29.6 | 20.4 | 20.0 | 9.7 | 91.4 | 28.7 | 8.08 |
| Sample size | 14 | 27 | 2 | 95 | 3 | 4 | 145 |
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## APPENDIX A. SUPPORTING STATISTICS

| Appendix A1.-Angler counts by <br> day during the survey on the lower <br> Togiak River, 28 June through 23 |  |
| :--- | ---: |
| July 1998. |  |
|  |  |
| Date |  |
|  | Count |
| 28-Jun-98 | 13 |
| 29-Jun-98 | 30 |
| 30-Jun-98 | 23 |
| 01-Jul-98 | 25 |
| 02-Jul-98 | 19 |
| 03-Jul-98 | Scheduled off |
| 04-Jul-98 | Scheduled off |
| 05-Jul-98 | 21 |
| 06-Jul-98 | 22 |
| 07-Jul-98 | 21 |
| 08-Jul-98 | 23 |
| 09-Jul-98 | 13 |
| 10-Jul-98 | Scheduled off |
| 11-Jul-98 | Scheduled off |
| 12-Jul-98 | No survey |
| 13-Jul-98 | No survey |
| 14-Jul-98 | No survey |
| 15-Jul-98 | 28 |
| 16-Jul-98 | 39 |
| 17-Jul-98 | Scheduled off |
| 18-Jul-98 | Scheduled off |
| 19-Jul-98 | 32 |
| 20-Jul-98 | 42 |
| 21-Jul-98 | 36 |
| 22-Jul-98 | 36 |
| 23-Jul-98 | 23 |
|  |  |

Appendix A2.-Cumulative catches (kept and released) of all species caught by interviewed anglers during the chinook salmon survey on the lower Togiak River, 28 June through 23 July 1998.

| Species | Kept | Released |
| :---: | :---: | ---: |
| Chinook Salmon | 289 | 1,935 |
| Chum Salmon | 66 | 530 |
| Dolly Varden | 5 | 114 |
| Rainbow Trout | 1 | 95 |
| Sockeye Salmon | 34 | 65 |
| Pink Salmon | 4 | 33 |
| Arctic Grayling | 0 | 3 |
| Arctic Char | 1 | 0 |
| Northern Pike | 0 | 1 |

Appendix A3.-Angler counts by day during the survey on the lower Togiak River, 8 through 31 August 1999.

|  | Count |
| :---: | ---: |
|  |  |
| Date | 11 |
| 09-Aug-99 | 10 |
| 10-Aug-99 | 16 |
| 11-Aug-99 | 15 |
| 12-Aug-99 | 12 |
| 13-Aug-99 | Scheduled off |
| 14-Aug-99 | Scheduled off |
| 15-Aug-99 | 31 |
| 16-Aug-99 | 29 |
| 17-Aug-99 | 28 |
| 18-Aug-99 | 31 |
| 19-Aug-99 | 18 |
| 20-Aug-99 | Scheduled off |
| 21-Aug-99 | Scheduled off |
| 22-Aug-99 | 31 |
| 23-Aug-99 | 29 |
| 24-Aug-99 | 31 |
| 25-Aug-99 | 19 |
| 26-Aug-99 | 18 |
| 27-Aug-99 | Scheduled off |
| 28-Aug-99 | Scheduled off |
| 29-Aug-99 | 17 |
| 30-Aug-99 | 24 |
| 31-Aug-99 | 29 |
|  |  |

Appendix A4.-Cumulative catches (kept and released) of all species caught by interviewed anglers during the coho salmon survey on the lower Togiak River, 1 through 31 August 1999.

| Species | Kept | Released |
| :---: | ---: | ---: |
| Coho Salmon | 375 | 551 |
| Chum Salmon | 9 | 669 |
| Dolly Varden | 3 | 142 |
| Sockeye Salmon | 7 | 23 |
| Northern Pike | 1 | 1 |
| Rainbow Trout | 0 | 21 |
| Pink Salmon | 0 | 9 |
| Chinook Salmon | 0 | 8 |
| Arctic Char | 0 | 1 |

## APPENDIX B. COMPUTER FILES AND SOFTWARE

Appendix B1.-Data files and computer programs used to produce this report.

## Data Files

T-000301i011998.dta
T-000301c011998.dta
T-000301b011998.dta

T-000301i011999.dta
T-000301c011999.dta
T-000301b011999.dta

Angler interview data from 28 June through 23 July 1998.
Angler count data from 28 June through 23 July 1998.
Togiak River chinook salmon AWL data

Angler interview data from 8 through 31 August 1999.
Angler count data from 8 through 31 August 1999.
Togiak River coho salmon AWL data

## Analysis Programs

 BBX.SASA series of programs that use biological data files to produce tables of mean lengths and weights by sex and age group.


[^0]:    1 With the proviso that catchability of the salmon remains constant throughout the course of the fishery.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Estimates of CPUE as an index of abundance may be calculated separately for anglers who use guides versus anglers who do not use guides. These two types of anglers typically exhibit substantial differences in catch rates. If the make-up of the fishery in terms of guided versus unguided anglers changes through the course of the survey, then estimates of CPUE that ignore this distinction will not accurately reflect changes of fish abundance.

[^2]:    3 The left side of the fish approximately two rows above the lateral line and on a diagonal line downward from the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin to the anterior insertion of the anal fin (Scarnecchia 1979 and Welander 1940).

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Alaskan resident living in Togiak and Twin Hills area.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ All other Alaskan residents.

