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## Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used in Division of Sport Fish Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications without definition. All others must be defined in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables and in figures or figure captions.

| Weights and measures (metric) |  | General |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centimeter | cm | All commonly accepted | e.g., Mr., Mrs., |
| deciliter | dL | abbreviations. | a.m., p.m., etc. |
| gram | g | All commonly accepted professional titles. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { e.g., Dr., Ph.D., } \\ & \text { R.N., etc. } \end{aligned}$ |
| hectare | ha |  |  |
| kilogram | kg | and |  |
| kilometer | km | at | @ |
| liter | L | Compass directions: |  |
| meter | m | east | E |
| metric ton | mt | north | N |
| milliliter | ml | south | S |
| millimeter | mm | west | W |
|  |  | Copyright | © |
| Weights and measures (English) |  | Corporate suffixes: |  |
| cubic feet per second | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | Company | Co. |
| foot | ft | Corporation | Corp. |
| gallon | gal | Incorporated | Inc. |
| inch | in | Limited | Ltd. |
| mile | mi | et alii (and other people) | et al. |
| ounce | oz | people) |  |
| pound | lb | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. |
| quart | qt | exempli gratia (for example) | e.g., |
| yard | yd | id est (that is) |  |
| Spell out acre and ton. |  | latitude or longitude | lat or long |
|  |  | lat. or long. $\$, \not \subset$ |  |
| Time and temperature |  |  | monetary symbols (U.S.) |  |
| day | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | months (tables and figures): first three letters | Jan,...,Dec |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |  |  |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ |  |  |
| hour (spell out for 24-hour clock) | h | number (before a | \# (e.g., \#10) |
| minute | min | number) |  |
| second | S | pounds (after a number) | \# (e.g., 10\#) |
| Spell out year, month, and week. |  | registered trademark | ${ }^{\text {® }}$ |
|  |  | trademark | TM |
| Physics and chemistry all atomic symbols |  | United States (adjective) | U.S. |
| alternating current | AC | United States of | USA |
| ampere | A | America (noun) |  |
| calorie | cal | U.S. state and District | use two-letter |
| direct current | DC |  |  |
| hertz | Hz |  |  |
| horsepower | hp |  |  |
| hydrogen ion activity | pH |  |  |
| parts per million | ppm |  |  |
| parts per thousand | ppt, \%o |  |  |
| volts | V |  |  |
| watts | W |  |  |


| Mathematics, statistics, fisheries |  |
| :---: | :---: |
| alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ |
| base of natural logarithm | e |
| catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| coefficient of variation | CV |
| common test statistics | $\mathrm{F}, \mathrm{t}, \chi^{2}$, etc. |
| confidence interval | C.I. |
| correlation coefficient | R (multiple) |
| correlation coefficient | r (simple) |
| covariance | cov |
| degree (angular or temperature) | - |
| degrees of freedom | df |
| divided by | $\begin{aligned} & \div \text { or } / \text { (in } \\ & \text { equations) } \end{aligned}$ |
| equals | = |
| expected value | E |
| fork length | FL |
| greater than | > |
| greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| harvest per unit effort | HPUE |
| less than | < |
| less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
| logarithm (natural) | 1 n |
| logarithm (base 10) | $\log$ |
| logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$, etc. |
| mideye-to-fork | MEF |
| minute (angular) | , |
| multiplied by | X |
| not significant | NS |
| null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{O}}$ |
| percent | \% |
| probability | P |
| probability of a type I error (rejection of the null hypothesis when true) | $\alpha$ |
| probability of a type II error (acceptance of the null hypothesis when false) | $\beta$ |
| second (angular) | " |
| standard deviation | SD |
| standard error | SE |
| standard length | SL |
| total length | TL |
| variance | Var |
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#### Abstract

A creel survey to estimate angler effort, and catch and harvest of chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha was conducted on the Kenai River between the Soldotna Bridge and Cook Inlet from 5 May through 31 July 1998. During the early run (May and June), estimated angler-effort was 56,137 ( $\mathrm{SE}=2,806$ ) angler-hours and harvest was $648(\mathrm{SE}=89)$ chinook salmon. During the late run (July), estimated angler effort was 188,726 ( $\mathrm{SE}=4,924$ ) anglerhours and harvest was 5,981 ( $\mathrm{SE}=392$ ) chinook salmon. Unguided anglers exerted $31 \%$ of the fishing effort and took $24 \%$ of the harvest during the early run, while guided anglers exerted $69 \%$ of the effort and took $76 \%$ of the harvest. During the late run, unguided anglers advanced $48 \%$ of the effort and garnered $40 \%$ of the harvest. Guided anglers had $52 \%$ of the effort and $60 \%$ of the harvest.

The predominant age class in the recreational harvest as well as the inriver return during both runs was age-1.4 chinook salmon, followed by age-1.3 fish and age- 1.2 fish.


Key words: Kenai River, chinook salmon, creel survey, effort, harvest, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha.

## INTRODUCTION

The Kenai River supports the largest freshwater recreational fishery in Alaska with an average annual effort of almost 340,000 angler-days from 1990-1997 (Mills 1991-1994, Howe et al. 1995-1998). This represents about $13 \%$ of the state's total recreational fishing effort. The majority of sport fishing effort on the Kenai River occurs during the chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha fishery (May through July) between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet (Figure 1). Angler effort in the chinook salmon fisheries increased from 1974 through 1988. Effort and harvest dropped during 1989-1992 because of decreased run sizes which necessitated restrictions to the fishery. Effort and harvest since 1992 have been similar to historical averages (Figures 2 and 3). Although coho salmon $O$. kisutch, sockeye salmon $O$. nerka, pink salmon $O$. gorbuscha, Dolly Varden Salvelinus malma, and rainbow trout $O$. mykiss are also harvested by anglers in the Kenai River, this report focuses on the chinook salmon fisheries.

Prior to 1970, the recreational fishery in the Kenai River was composed of shorebased anglers targeting sockeye salmon in July and coho salmon in August and early September. In 1973, anglers began experimenting with new fishing techniques which proved very effective for harvesting chinook salmon in the Kenai River; thus, the chinook salmon fishery began to rapidly expand (Figures 2 and 3).

Chinook salmon return to the Kenai River in two distinct temporal components: an early run, typically entering the river in early May until late June; and a late run, typically entering the river from late June through early August. Recreational anglers value fish from both runs due to their large size, especially those from the late run which average about $18 \mathrm{~kg}(40 \mathrm{lb})$ and may exceed $36 \mathrm{~kg}(80 \mathrm{lb})$. The world record sport-caught chinook salmon, which weighed $44.1 \mathrm{~kg}(97 \mathrm{lb})$, was taken from the Kenai River in May 1985.

The early and late chinook salmon returns to the Kenai River are managed by separate management plans adopted by the Board of Fisheries (BOF) in 1988. Both plans rely on estimates of inriver abundance obtained with sonar (Bosch and Burwen 1999, In prep; Burwen and Bosch 1995a, 1995b, 1996, 1998). The Kenai River Early King Salmon Management Plan stipulates that the use of bait is prohibited from 1 January until an estimated optimum spawning escapement level of 9,000 fish is projected. If the projected spawning escapement is between


Figure 1.-Map of the Kenai River drainage.

5,300 and 9,000 fish, the department shall, by emergency order, restrict the fishery through bag limit reduction and/or time/area closure to achieve 9,000 fish in the escapement. If the projected escapement is less than 5,300, chinook salmon fishing is to be prohibited until 1 July downstream of the Funny River and 10 July upstream of the Funny River (Figure 4). A 1990 amendment to the plan, which was implemented in 1992, allowed retention of fish 132 cm (52 in) or larger if hook-and-release (trophy) fishing was imposed.

Management of the late-run recreational fishery in the Kenai River is complicated by the relatively large commercial harvest of returning chinook salmon. Chinook salmon are commercially harvested primarily by the setnet fishery along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet (McBride et al. 1985). User-group conflicts have required the Department of Fish and Game to manage the salmon resources of the Kenai River with increasing accuracy and precision.

In 1998, a creel survey was conducted to estimate angler effort and catch and harvest of chinook salmon by the recreational fishery in the Kenai River. Chinook salmon were sampled to estimate the length, age and sex composition of the harvest as well as the inriver return. This program provides relevant data used for inseason management decisions appropriate to the recreational fishery. The information is also used by the Board of Fisheries to refine long-term management objectives for Kenai River chinook salmon stocks as well as to allocate these salmon resources among user groups. Previous information on the chinook salmon fisheries in the Kenai River has


Figure 2.-Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for early-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, 1974-1998.


Figure 3.-Historical harvest and effort in the recreational fishery for late-run chinook salmon, Kenai River, 1974-1998.


Figure 4.-Escapement levels and required actions according to the Kenai River Early Run Chinook Salmon Management Plan.
been presented by Hammarstrom (1975-1981, 1988-1994), Hammarstrom and Larson (19821984, 1986), Hammarstrom et al. (1985), Conrad and Hammarstrom (1987), King (1995-1997), and Marsh (1999). Additional harvest statistics for angler-effort and harvest by species for the Kenai River recreational fishery have been estimated by Mills (1979-1994) and Howe et al. (1995-1998) in the Alaska Statewide Sport Fish Harvest Survey.

## Fishing Regulations

Regulations for the chinook salmon fishery in the Kenai River are among the most restrictive of any open waters in Alaska. The river is open to fishing for chinook salmon between the outlet of Skilak Lake and Cook Inlet, with the exception of the confluence areas of the Funny River and Slikok Creek with the Kenai River. These waters are closed to fishing for chinook salmon until

15 July to protect early-run chinook salmon that stage in these locations prior to entering their natal streams. The season for chinook salmon is from 1 January through 31 July, but the fishery effectively begins in mid-May when the fish begin entering the river in significant numbers and the river becomes navigable for power-boat anglers. For management purposes the early run is defined as all chinook salmon entering the river prior to 1 July and the late run is defined as fish entering on or after 1 July.
The daily bag and possession limit are one chinook salmon per day greater than 41 cm (16 in) in length and a seasonal limit of two chinook salmon greater than 41 cm . Fishing from boats downstream from the outlet of Skilak Lake is prohibited on Mondays in May, June, and July, except Memorial Day Monday. Anyone retaining a chinook salmon that is 41 cm in length or greater is prohibited from fishing from a boat in the Kenai River downstream of Skilak Lake for the remainder of that day. The early-run fishery is further restricted from using bait, which is prohibited until the department is able to project an escapement of at least 9,000 fish or 1 July, whichever occurs first.

There are further restrictions for guided anglers. As well as prohibiting fishing from boats on Mondays, fishing from a registered guide vessel on Sundays in July is also prohibited. Fishing from a guided boat is only allowed between 0600 and 1800 hours during June and July. Guides are also prohibited from personally engaging in fishing during May, June, and July while conducting clients.

In 1998, the early-run fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm ( 52 inches) by emergency order on 5 June. This management action was required to curtail harvest in response to low numbers of returning chinook salmon as estimated by sonar. The late-run fishery was also curtailed to "no bait" single-hook, artificial lure use only on 23 July and further restricted on 27 July to "trophy" fishing with catch-and-release fishing for all chinook salmon less then 132 cm ( 52 inches) in length. These emergency orders were issued in response to the magnitude of the early and late inriver returns, but allowed continued fishing opportunity while insuring that escapement goals were achieved.

## METHODS

## Creel Survey

A stratified, two-stage roving-access site creel survey (Bernard et al. 1998a, 1998b) was utilized to estimate sport fishing effort, in angler-hours, and catch and harvest of chinook salmon in the Kenai River from Cook Inlet (river mile [rm]/river kilometer [rkm] 0) to the Soldotna Bridge (rm 21 or rkm 34) (Figure 5). Angler effort was estimated by conducting angler counts. Harvest per unit of effort (HPUE) and catch per unit of effort (CPUE) for chinook salmon were estimated from completed-trip angler interviews. The number of chinook salmon caught or harvested by the fishery was estimated as the product of the effort and harvest or catch rate estimates. Harvest refers to fish legally hooked and retained by anglers as part of their creel. Catch refers to fish legally hooked and retained plus those reported to be released by anglers, but not those fish that broke the line or escaped before being brought to the boat.

Regulations and the inherent character of the chinook salmon fishery determined how the stratification of the creel survey was implemented. The chinook salmon sonar site was originally


Figure 5.-Map of the Kenai River creel survey study area.
located downstream of the sport fishery. This allowed the returning chinook salmon to be enumerated prior to harvest by the recreational fishery. However, over time the fishery expanded downstream of the sonar site. Significant harvest downstream of the sonar site would conceivably affect the estimate of the inriver return. Thus, angler counts were stratified geographically by: (1) downstream of the Soldotna Bridge to the sonar site; and (2) downstream of the sonar site to the Warren Ames Bridge.

Both unguided and guided anglers participate in the Kenai River chinook salmon fishery, generally fishing from boats (Hammarstrom 1977). By regulation, guides are required to register and place a decal on their boat(s), making these two groups easily identifiable on the river. The times and days when guides may participate in the fishery are restricted, and harvest and catch rates between guided and unguided anglers are significantly different (King 1995-1997); therefore, angler counts and interviews were stratified by angler type.

Geographic location of effort, catch, and harvest and angler type (above or below the sonar site) was determined during completed-trip angler interviews and estimates were poststratified by these two factors. Harvest and catch rates have also differed significantly by time intervals and between weekdays and weekend/holidays (King 1995-1997). Therefore, the creel survey in 1998 was further stratified into approximate weekly time intervals and by day type (weekdays and weekends/holidays).

The creel survey began 5 May and continued through 31 July. The two-stage design consisted of periods, 12 or 20 hours in length (the entire angler-day) as the first stage and angler-trips the second stage. The entire fishing day was sampled to minimize problems with length-of-stay bias (Bernard et al. 1998a). The unguided angler-day was 20 hours long, from 0400 to 2400 hours during May, June and July. In May, the guided angler-day was also 20 hours long, but in June and July the guided angler-day is restricted by regulation from 0600 to 1800 hours. The guided angler-day is very structured during these 2 months because guides are limited to a 12-hour fishing day and the basic unit of charter time is generally one-half day.

Based upon these factors, the following strata were used for conducting angler counts and estimating creel statistics:

| Geographic <br> Temporal | 2 strata <br> 13 strata | Upstream and downstream of the chinook salmon sonar site |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Early Run: | $\begin{aligned} & \text { 5-10 May, 11-17 } \\ & \text { 1-7 June, 8-14 Ju } \end{aligned}$ |
|  |  | Late Run: | 1-5 July, 6-12 Jul 27-31 July |
| Day Type | 2 strata | Weekday and Weekend/Holiday |  |
| Angler Type | 2 strata | Guided and | nguided |

This resulted in a total of 104 strata. All weekend/holiday days and one less than half of all possible weekday days (excluding Mondays when no boats were allowed on the river) were sampled within each temporal stratum. Weekday days to sample were chosen at random from all possible weekday days in each temporal stratum.

Anglers who had completed fishing were interviewed at the following seven popular campground/boat launch areas (Figure 5):
A) Centennial Campground
B) River Quest
C) Riverbend Campground
D) Stewart's Landing
E) Eagle Rock Launch Area
F) Poacher's Cove
G) Pillar's Launch Area.

## Angler Counts

Five counts were made during each sample day. Time to begin the first count was chosen at random from a whole hour between 0400 to 0700 hours. All remaining counts in a day were made systematically, resulting in an angler count occurring every 4 hours. In June and July, when guided anglers were restricted to fishing from 0600-1800 hours, at least three counts of guided anglers were made. However, some deviation from the schedule did occur as a result of mechanical breakdown and/or other duties such as public assistance or enforcement activities.
Counts of anglers were conducted from a boat downstream of the Soldotna Bridge to the Warren Ames Bridge on the Kenai River. Two boat technicians, each working a 7.5 -hour shift per day, conducted the angler counts downstream of the Soldotna Bridge. The starting point of each count (upstream or downstream extremity of the river section) was chosen at random. The technician counted anglers while attempting to drive the boat at a constant rate of speed through the survey area to the opposite end of the river section. The technician made a complete count for each geographic stratum. The entire count period usually required about 45 minutes to finish and every effort was made to ensure that the trip was completed in less than 1 hour. Angler counts were considered instantaneous and to reflect fishing effort at the time of the count. The boat technicians used multiple-station "tally-whackers" during each count. The following information was recorded for each count: (1) total number of unguided power boats; (2) total number of unguided drift boats; (3) total number of guided power boats; (4) total number of guided drift boats; (5) total number of unguided anglers in power boats; (6) total number of unguided anglers in drift boats; (7) total number of guided anglers in power boats (excluding the guide); (8) total number of guided anglers in drift boats (excluding the guide); and (9) total number of shore anglers.

Boats and anglers were considered engaged in fishing and were counted if the boat was in operation, regardless of whether or not an angler's line was in the water when the count was conducted. Guides were not included in the counts during the chinook salmon fishery as they are prohibited from fishing while guiding.

## Angler Interviews

Two campground technicians, each working a 7.5 -hour shift per day, conducted angler interviews at the designated access sites. The two boat technicians also conducted angler interviews when they were not engaged in angler counts, but only during times when the access technicians were not conducting interviews.

For each angler interviewed who had completed fishing, the technician inquired which geographic stratum the angler fished: downstream of the chinook salmon sonar site to the Warren Ames Bridge or upstream of the chinook salmon sonar site to the Soldotna Bridge. The technician obtained an interview for each stratum fished (possibly two interviews per angler) and
recorded the following information for each interview: (1) powered or nonpowered boat; (2) location fished (upstream or downstream, in reference to the chinook salmon sonar site); (3) guided or unguided angler; (4) number of hours spent fishing (to the nearest 0.5 hour); (5) number of fish, by species, retained; (6) number of fish, by species, released. All data were entered into a Hewlett-Packard HP95LX computerized data recorder.

During the interview, technicians inspected all harvested fish for an adipose finclip indicating that the fish had been tagged with a coded wire tag. This sampling was done to provide data for other projects, including estimating the proportion of chinook salmon marked with coded wire tags as juveniles in the Kenai River and interception of straying stocks marked with coded wire tags elsewhere in Cook Inlet. Flesh color (red or white) from fish missing the adipose fin was noted. Permission was requested from the angler to remove the fish head so that the coded wire tag could be recovered and decoded. Creel technicians marked the sampled fish observed during the interview procedure with a hole punch in the dorsal or caudal fin to prevent resampling. Data from coded wire tagged chinook salmon are presented in King and Breakfield (In prep).

## Biological Data

## Recreational Harvest

Harvested chinook salmon were sampled for age, sex, and length during angler interviews. Mideye to fork-of-tail length was measured to the nearest one-half centimeter, the sex of the fish was identified, and scales were removed from the preferred area (Clutter and Whitesel 1956; Welander 1940). Three scales were collected from each fish and placed on an adhesive-coated card. Impressions of the scales were made on acetate, and the images, observed with a microfiche reader, were used to age the fish.

## Inriver Return

To estimate the age and sex composition of the inriver return, chinook salmon were captured with 7 1/4-inch mesh gillnets in the intertidal area immediately downstream of the chinook salmon sonar counter (rm 8.4 to rm 7.9), using the techniques described by Hammarstrom and Larson (1984). Two, 2-person crews, each working a 9.5 hour shift per day, using a v-hull river boat conducted the sampling. Sampling was stratified into two 3-week strata during each run.

Fish were untangled from a drift gillnet and placed in a tagging cradle (Larson 1995) for sampling and released. Biological data collected included length (mid-eye to fork of tail), sex (using external characteristics) and three scales which were taken from the preferred area. Scale samples were prepared in the same manner as those from the creel survey. Each fish was also examined for the presence/absence of the adipose fin.

## Data Analyses

Total effort, catch, and harvest were estimated by expanding means over all days sampled in a stratum (i.e., location, weekly, day type, and angler type). During each sample day, five counts were made and interviews collected for the entire angler-day.

Angler effort, harvest and catch rates for chinook salmon, harvest and catch of chinook salmon, and associated variances were estimated using the same procedures for guided and unguided anglers.

## Effort

The mean number of anglers counted on day $i$ in stratum $h$ was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{g}=1}^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hig}}}{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}}, \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{x}_{\text {hig }} & =\text { the number of anglers observed in the gth count of day } \mathrm{i} \text { in stratum } \mathrm{h}, \text { and } \\
\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}} & =\text { the number of counts on day } \mathrm{i} \text { in stratum } \mathrm{h} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Angler counts were conducted systematically within each sample day. The variance of the mean angler count was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{g}=2}^{\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}}\left(\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hig}}-\mathrm{x}_{\mathrm{hi}(\mathrm{~g}-1)}\right)^{2}}{2 \mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}\left(\mathrm{r}_{\mathrm{hi}}-1\right)} \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Effort (angler-hours) during day i in stratum h was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{hi}} \overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{hi}}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{hi}}= & \text { length of the sample day }(=20 \text { hours for unguided anglers, }=20 \text { hours for } \\
& \text { guided anglers in May, and }=12 \text { hours for guided anglers in June and July }) \text { in } \\
& \text { each stratum. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The within day variance (effort) was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)=\mathrm{L}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{2} \hat{\mathrm{~V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{x}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

The mean effort of stratum $h$ was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}} \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}}{\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{h}}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}=\text { number of days sampled in stratum } \mathrm{h} .
$$

Days were sampled at random in each stratum; however, every weekend/holiday day was sampled. The variance of mean effort among days was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}-\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)^{2}}{\left(\mathrm{~d}_{\mathrm{h}}-1\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Total effort of stratum $h$ was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}=\mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}} \overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
D_{h}=\text { total number of days the fishery was open in stratum } h .
$$

The variance of total effort of each stratum in a two-stage design, omitting the finite population correction factor for the second stage, was estimated by (Cochran 1977):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)=(1-\mathrm{f}) \mathrm{D}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} \frac{\hat{\mathrm{~V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{h}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}}+\mathrm{fD}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2} \frac{\sum_{\mathrm{i}=1}^{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}} \hat{\mathrm{~V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)}{\mathrm{d}_{\mathrm{h}}^{2}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
f=\text { finite population correction factor for days sampled }\left(=d_{h} / D_{h}\right) .
$$

## Harvest and Catch

Catch and harvest per unit of effort of each day sampled was estimated from angler interviews using the jackknife method to minimize the bias of these ratio estimators (Efron 1982). A jackknife estimate of CPUE (similarly HPUE) was made for each angler by:

CPUE $_{\text {hij }}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{a}=1 \\ \mathrm{a} \neq \mathrm{j}}}^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {hi }}} \mathrm{c}_{\text {hia }}}{\sum_{\substack{\mathrm{a}=1 \\ \mathrm{~m} \neq \mathrm{ji}}}^{\mathrm{m}_{\text {hia }}},}$
where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
c_{\text {hia }} & =\text { catches of all anglers interviewed on day } i \text { in stratum } h \text { except angler } j, \\
e_{\text {hia }}= & \text { effort (hours fished) of all anglers interviewed on day } i \text { in stratum } h \text { except angler } \\
& j, \text { and }
\end{aligned}
$$

$m_{\text {hi }}=$ number of anglers interviewed on day $i$ in stratum $h$.
The jackknife estimate of mean CPUE of day i was the mean of the angler estimates:
$\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}=\frac{\sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \mathrm{CPUE}_{\mathrm{hij}}^{*}}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{hi}}}$,
and the bias corrected mean was:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}=\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}-\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}\right)+\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}, \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}= & \text { the standard estimate of CPUE, or the sum of all catches over the sum of all } \\
& \text { hours fished in a day. }
\end{aligned}
$$

The variance of the jackknife estimate of CPUE was estimated by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *}\right)=\frac{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{hi}}-1}{\mathrm{~m}_{\mathrm{hi}}} \sum_{\mathrm{j}=1}^{\mathrm{m}_{\mathrm{h}}}\left(\text { CPUE }_{\mathrm{hij}}^{*}-\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{*}\right)^{2} . \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Catch during each sample day was estimated as the product of effort and CPUE by:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{hi}}=\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}} \overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *} \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

and the variance by (Goodman 1960):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)=\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right)\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *}\right)^{2}+\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *}\right) \hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{2}-\hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\hat{\mathrm{E}}_{\mathrm{hi}}\right) \hat{\mathrm{V}}\left(\overline{\mathrm{CPUE}}_{\mathrm{hi}}^{* *}\right) \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

HPUE was estimated by substituting angler harvest for angler catch in equations (9) through (12). Harvest during sample day i was estimated by substituting the appropriate HPUE hii statistics into equations (13) and (14). Total catch and harvest during stratum $h$ was estimated using equations (5) through (8), substituting estimated catch ( $\hat{\mathrm{C}}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ ) and harvest ( $\hat{\mathrm{H}}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ ) during sample day $i$ for the estimated effort ( $\hat{E}_{\mathrm{hi}}$ ) during day i .

The estimate of total effort, catch, and harvest, and their respective variances, were summed across the strata within each run as these estimates were considered independent. Covariances that arise because geographic locale and angler type were poststratified (i.e., estimates of these strata are not statistically independent) are likely too small to affect the precision of the estimates.

## Biological Data

Age and sex composition of the chinook salmon harvest and inriver return was estimated for each run. The proportion of chinook salmon in age/sex group $b$ in stratum $t$ was estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}=\frac{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{bt}}}{\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:
$n_{b t}=$ the number of fish of age group $b$ sampled during stratum $t$, and
$n_{t}=$ the number of legible scales read from chinook salmon sampled during stratum $t$.
The variance of $\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}$ was estimated as (Scheaffer et al. 1979):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{V}\left(\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}\right)=\frac{\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}\left(1-\hat{\mathrm{p}}_{\mathrm{bt}}\right)}{\left(\mathrm{n}_{\mathrm{t}}-1\right)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Secchi Disc Measurements

During each day of the recreational fishery, the two boat technicians recorded a water clarity measurement using a Secchi disc at the beginning of their work shift. All measurements were made at approximately river mile 15.6. The average of the two daily measurements was used to reflect the water conditions for that particular day (Figure 6) and incorporated into the historical database. These historical data are utilized inseason for comparative purposes when reviewing seasonal catch rates between different years.


Figure 6.-Historic Kenai River Secchi transparency readings, 1987-1998.

## RESULTS

## Creel Survey

The creel survey commenced on 5 May 1998. Angler counts were conducted on 60 of the 77 possible days: 35 of the 50 possible days during the early run were sampled and 25 of the possible 27 days during the late run were sampled. Because of the regulatory restrictions in place for guided anglers, there were only 24 possible sampling days during the late run for guided anglers and sampling efforts were made during 22 of those days. A total of 3,463 completed-trip angler interviews were collected during both early and late-run fisheries; 1,387 interviews during the early-run and 2,067 interviews during the late-run (Tables 1 and 2).
Relatively few anglers were observed fishing downstream of the sonar site, and on many days no anglers were counted in this area (Appendices A1 and A2). Estimates of effort showed that less than $0.5 \%$ of the total effort during the early run and about $5 \%$ of the total effort during the late

Table 1.-Estimated effort, and catch and harvest of chinook salmon by boat anglers during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon on the Kenai River, Soldotna Bridge to Cook Inlet, 1998.

| Angler Day Type | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Effort <br> (Angler-hours) |  | Catch |  | Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Est. | SE | Est. | SE | Est. | SE |
| 04-10 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 3 | 384 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 24 | 356 | 76 | 11 | 6 | 6 | 4 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 0 | 248 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 9 | 220 | 76 | 4 | 5 | 4 | 5 |
| 11-17 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 12 | 704 | 93 | 25 | 23 | 25 | 23 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 69 | 1,064 | 246 | 7 | 5 | 2 | 2 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 32 | 1,208 | 487 | 50 | 31 | 50 | 31 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 53 | 1,652 | 248 | 22 | 12 | 22 | 12 |
| 18-24 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 46 | 680 | 102 | 26 | 11 | 17 | 6 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 73 | 1,404 | 238 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 9 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 81 | 2,344 | 728 | 87 | 28 | 87 | 28 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 38 | 2,528 | 418 | 57 | 31 | 57 | 31 |
| 25-31 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 50 | 1,848 | 297 | 75 | 26 | 42 | 16 |
| Unguided weekends | 3 | 3 | 124 | 1,712 | 231 | 36 | 14 | 27 | 13 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 82 | 4,376 | 1,182 | 114 | 41 | 107 | 44 |
| Guided weekends | 3 | 3 | 66 | 2,800 | 455 | 76 | 31 | 63 | 26 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 17 | 25 | 401 | 8,152 | 550 | 195 | 41 | 131 | 33 |
| Guided | 17 | 25 | 361 | 15,376 | 1,623 | 410 | 74 | 390 | 74 |
| May Total | 17 | 25 | 762 | 23,528 | 1,714 | 605 | 85 | 521 | 81 |

-continued-

Table 1.-Page 2 of 2.

| Angler Day Type | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | (Angle | ours) | Catch |  | Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Est. | SE | Est. | SE | Est. | SE |
| 01-04 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 3 | 3 | 39 | 1,016 | 204 | 14 | 8 | 9 | 7 |
| Unguided weekends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekdays | 3 | 3 | 90 | 3,236 | 378 | 73 | 24 | 73 | 24 |
| Guided weekends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 05-07 June ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 1 | 1 | 11 | 144 | 47 | 12 | 9 | 0 | 0 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 13 | 376 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekdays | 1 | 1 | 7 | 472 | 51 | 10 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 29 | 864 | 154 | 5 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 08-14 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 4 | 448 | 150 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 14 | 856 | 156 | 66 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 29 | 1,464 | 179 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 57 | 1,644 | 178 | 50 | 15 | 3 | 3 |
| 15-21 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 28 | 1,968 | 260 | 276 | 195 | 0 | 0 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 48 | 1,208 | 145 | 20 | 10 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 52 | 7,280 | 1,789 | 342 | 67 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 37 | 2,628 | 267 | 40 | 20 | 14 | 15 |
| 22-30 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 5 | 31 | 2,238 | 285 | 122 | 35 | 17 | 17 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 40 | 1,100 | 251 | 31 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 5 | 74 | 4,355 | 999 | 170 | 50 | 11 | 11 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 22 | 1,312 | 313 | 33 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 18 | 25 | 228 | 9,354 | 580 | 541 | 203 | 26 | 18 |
| Guided | 18 | 25 | 397 | 23,255 | 2,145 | 723 | 93 | 101 | 31 |
| June Total | 18 | 25 | 625 | 32,609 | 2,222 | 1,264 | 223 | 127 | 36 |
| Early Run Total | 35 | 50 | 1,387 | 56,137 | 2,806 | 1,869 | 239 | 648 | 89 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of days during which interviews were collected.
${ }^{b}$ Number of days possible for interviewing.
${ }^{c}$ Complete trip interviews only.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch and release fishing for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length by emergency order on 5 June.

Table 2.-Estimated effort, and catch and harvest of chinook salmon by boat anglers during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon on the Kenai River, Soldotna Bridge to Cook Inlet, 1998.

| Angler Day Type | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Effort <br> (Angler-hours) |  | Catch |  | Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Est. | SE | Est. | SE | Est. | SE |
| 01-05 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 28 | 3,462 | 547 | 178 | 87 | 20 | 20 |
| Unguided weekends | 3 | 3 | 106 | 5,152 | 683 | 40 | 20 | 9 | 9 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 59 | 7,104 | 1,503 | 473 | 163 | 315 | 115 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 90 | 5,568 | 749 | 199 | 56 | 101 | 37 |
| 06-12 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 70 | 8,976 | 1,626 | 209 | 99 | 118 | 67 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 155 | 10,216 | 924 | 276 | 63 | 248 | 60 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 4 | 126 | 18,728 | 3,020 | 1,074 | 259 | 710 | 148 |
| Guided weekends | 1 | 1 | 61 | 3,528 | 437 | 151 | 43 | 94 | 30 |
| 13-15 July ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 113 | 8,960 | 1,155 | 526 | 117 | 400 | 108 |
| Unguided weekends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 102 | 12,316 | 737 | 804 | 144 | 687 | 136 |
| Guided weekends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 16-19 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 156 | 10,316 | 943 | 419 | 95 | 264 | 74 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 149 | 15,464 | 1,057 | 732 | 152 | 579 | 123 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 90 | 11,324 | 808 | 993 | 182 | 462 | 114 |
| Guided weekends | 2 | 2 | 35 | 5,096 | 245 | 291 | 87 | 202 | 78 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 15 | 17 | 777 | 62,546 | 2,758 | 2,380 | 260 | 1,638 | 202 |
| Guided | 13 | 15 | 563 | 63,664 | 3,659 | 3,985 | 400 | 2,571 | 274 |

-continued-
run occurred downstream of the sonar site. Because so few people fished downstream of the sonar site, very few completed-trip interviews were collected from anglers who fished in this area of the river. Based upon a lack of fishing effort and the potential for biases in estimating harvest and catch rates downstream of the sonar site, count and interview data were combined across spatial strata to provide more accurate estimates of total effort, catch, and harvest for both early and late runs.

Table 2.-Page 2 of 2.

| Angler Day Type | $\mathrm{n}^{\text {a }}$ | $\mathrm{N}^{\text {b }}$ | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Effort (Angler-hours) |  | Catch |  | Harvest |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Est. | SE | Est. | SE | Est. | SE |
| 20-22 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 113 | 12,060 | 844 | 398 | 94 | 203 | 64 |
| Unguided weekends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 135 | 12,004 | 816 | 701 | 117 | 613 | 112 |
| Guided weekends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 23-26 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 40 | 4,080 | 479 | 342 | 104 | 260 | 93 |
| Unguided weekends | 2 | 2 | 111 | 6,028 | 471 | 279 | 57 | 262 | 57 |
| Guided weekdays | 2 | 2 | 122 | 8,284 | 611 | 182 | 52 | 157 | 49 |
| Guided weekends | 1 | 1 | 38 | 3,420 | 361 | 206 | 65 | 206 | 65 |
| 27-31 July |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided weekdays | 4 | 4 | 49 | 5,140 | 508 | 264 | 99 | 43 | 46 |
| Unguided weekends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Guided weekdays | 4 | 4 | 119 | 11,500 | 813 | 1,178 | 167 | 28 | 20 |
| Guided weekends | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Subtotals: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Unguided | 10 | 10 | 313 | 27,308 | 1,192 | 1,283 | 181 | 768 | 135 |
| Guided | 9 | 9 | 414 | 35,208 | 1,353 | 2,267 | 220 | 1,004 | 140 |
| Late Run Total | 25 | 27 | 2,067 | 188,726 | 4,924 | 9,915 | 556 | 5,981 | 392 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Number of days during which interviews were collected.
${ }^{b}$ Number of days possible for interviewing.
${ }^{c}$ Complete trip interviews only.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Poststratification on 15 July to reflect significant differences in age composition of sampled harvest.

During the early run, angler counts ranged from 0 to 82 for unguided anglers and from 0 to 277 for guided anglers (Appendix A1). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 24 May and for guided anglers on 16 June. During the late run, angler counts ranged from 0 to 649 for unguided anglers and from 42 to 621 for guided anglers (Appendix A2). The largest count of unguided anglers occurred on 19 July and for guided anglers on 22 July.

Estimated effort during the early run was 56,137 ( $\mathrm{SE}=2,806$ ) angler-hours (Table 1). The relative precision of the total effort estimate ( $9.8 \%$ ) for the early run was within the levels desired for this survey. Estimated effort during the late run was 188,726 ( $\mathrm{SE}=4,924$ ) angler-hours (Table 2). The relative precision $(5.1 \%)$ of the total effort estimate for the late run was also within the levels desired for the survey.

Daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0 to 0.221 ( $\mathrm{SE}=$ $0.169)$ fish per hour and from 0 to $0.075(\mathrm{SE}=0.023)$ fish per hour for anglers employing guides (Appendices B1 and B2). Peak daily catch rates of early-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 16 June and on 17 June for guided anglers. Daily catch rates of late-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers ranged from 0 to 0.098 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.049$ ) fish per hour and from $0.016(\mathrm{SE}=0.005)$ to $0.149(\mathrm{SE}=0.055)$ fish per hour for guided anglers (Appendices B3 and B4). Peak daily catch rates of late-run chinook salmon by unguided anglers occurred on 29 July and by guided anglers on 30 July. During both runs, catch rates were generally higher for guided anglers than for unguided anglers (Appendices B1-B4).
An estimated $648(\mathrm{SE}=89)$ chinook salmon were harvested during the early run (Table 1 ). Unguided anglers harvested $24 \%$ of the total and guided anglers the remaining $76 \%$. The estimated catch of early-run chinook was $1,869(\mathrm{SE}=239)$. The relative precision for total catch and harvest ( $25 \%$ and $27 \%$, respectively) exceeded the desired levels of precision ( $15 \%$ ). The catch-and-release emergency order for 5 June through 30 June (regulatory end of the early-run) increased the proportion of chinook salmon released by anglers. Prior to the emergency order, only $37 \%$ of the catch was released, but afterwards, $65 \%$ of the total early-run catch was released.

An estimated 5,981 ( $\mathrm{SE}=392$ ) chinook salmon were harvested during the late run (Table 2). Unguided anglers accounted for $40 \%$ of the harvest and guided anglers $60 \%$. The estimated catch of chinook salmon was $9,915(\mathrm{SE}=556)$. The relative precision for total catch and harvest ( $11 \%$ and $13 \%$, respectively) was within desired levels of precision (15\%). Approximately 40\% of the catch was voluntarily released during the late run.

The majority of the 1998 late-run effort was by guided anglers (52\%). In general, catch per unit of effort (CPUE) and harvest per unit of effort (HPUE) for guided anglers was greater than that which unguided anglers reported during both runs.

## Biological Data

## Recreational Harvest

Because the sport fishery was limited to catch-and-release fishing on 5-30 June, there was essentially no recorded harvest during the last time stratum. The age distribution of the early-run harvest differed significantly between temporal strata (5 May-24 May, 25 May-5 June) with differences $\left(\chi^{2}=4.8, \mathrm{df}=1, \mathrm{P}=0.03\right)$ in the age composition between the major age classes. These differences were largely due to an increase in the number of fish aged 1.3 in the harvest. However, the overall estimates for the sport harvest were nearly identical between a poststratified approach and those estimates obtained without additional stratification. Therefore, with no change in either accuracy or precision of the estimates, the biological data from the temporal strata were combined to estimate the age composition of the harvest. The most abundant age group in the early-run harvest was age-1.4 fish, which comprised $72.7 \% ~(\mathrm{SE}=5.1)$ of the total

Table 3.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1998.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male |  | 7.8 | 37.7 | 5.2 | 50.7 |
| Percent |  | 3.1 | 5.6 | 2.6 | 5.7 |
| SE |  | 795 | 1,013 | 1,068 |  |
| Mean Length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE |  | 22 | 17 | 29 |  |
| Sample size |  | 6 | 29 | 4 | 39 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 1.3 | 11.7 | 35.1 | 1.3 | 49.4 |
| Percent | 1.3 | 3.7 | 5.5 | 1.3 | 5.7 |
| SE | 590 | 842 | 971 | 1,015 |  |
| Mean Length (mm) |  | 20 | 8 |  |  |
| SE | 1 | 9 | 27 | 1 | 38 |
| Sample size |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined | 1.3 | 19.5 | 72.7 | 6.5 | 100 |
| Percent | 1.3 | 4.5 | 5.1 | 2.8 |  |
| SE | 1 | 15 | 56 | 5 | 77 |
| Sample size |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mid-eye to fork-of-tail.
sampled harvest (Table 3). The only other major age class was 1.3 aged chinook salmon (19.5\%; $\mathrm{SE}=4.5)$. Chinook salmon aged 1.2 and 1.5 composed $1.3 \%(\mathrm{SE}=1.3)$ and $6.5 \%(\mathrm{SE}=2.8)$ of the harvest, respectively.

During the late-run, the age composition of the sampled harvest also differed significantly ( $\chi^{2}=$ $6.0, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{P}=0.05$ ) between temporal strata ( 1 July-15 July and 16 July-31 July). However, the overall estimates for the sport harvest were nearly identical between a poststratified approach and those estimates obtained without additional stratification. Therefore, with no change in either accuracy or precision of the estimates, the biological data from the temporal strata were combined to estimate the age composition of the harvest. The most abundant age class in the late-run harvest was age-1.4 fish which comprised $71.0 \%(\mathrm{SE}=2.5)$ of the total sampled harvest (Table 4). Other age classes of significance were 1.3 and 1.2 aged fish which each comprised $12.4 \%(\mathrm{SE}=1.8)$ and $12.1 \%(\mathrm{SE}=1.8)$ of the harvest, respectively.

Table 4.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled from the recreational harvest during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1998.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 1.2 | 7.3 | 4.2 | 27.8 | 0.9 | 41.4 |
| Percent | 0.6 | 1.4 | 1.1 | 2.5 | 0.5 | 2.6 |
| SE | 365 | 667 | 815 | 1,050 | 1,115 |  |
| Mean Length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 25.3 | 8 | 18 | 8 | 32 |  |
| Sample size | 4 | 24 | 14 | 92 | 3 | 137 |
| Female |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.3 | 4.8 | 8.2 | 43.2 | 2.1 | 58.6 |
| Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0.3 | 1.2 | 1.5 | 2.7 | 0.8 | 2.6 |
| SE | 490 | 635 | 834 | 1,002 | 1,091 |  |
| Sample size | 14.6 | 19 | 5 | 20 |  |  |
| Combined | 16 | 27 | 143 | 7 | 194 |  |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 1.5 | 12.1 | 12.4 | 71.0 | 3.0 | 100 |
| Sample size | 0.7 | 1.8 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 0.9 |  |

## Inriver Return

For the early run, there was no significant difference $\left(\chi^{2}=0.9, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{P}=0.63\right)$ in the age composition of the inriver return between the first 3-week stratum and second 3-week stratum (15 May-8 June, 9-30 June). Thus, it was not necessary to temporally stratify the netting data to estimate the age structure of the inriver return during the early run (Table 5). The most abundant age class was 1.4 aged fish, representing $41.1 \% ~(S E=2.9)$ of the sampled fish. Age 1.3 (36.8\%, $\mathrm{SE}=2.9$ ) was the second largest contributor, with age classes $1.2(18.9 \%, \mathrm{SE}=2.3)$ and 1.5 ( $3.2 \%, \mathrm{SE}=1.0$ ) also present.
During the late run, there was a detectable difference ( $\chi^{2}=20.0, \mathrm{df}=2, \mathrm{P}=0.01$ ) in the age composition of the major age classes of the inriver return. The most abundant age class was 1.4 age fish, representing $67.8 \%(S E=2.5)$ of the inriver return (Table 6). The inriver return also included age-1.3 (14.1\%; SE = 1.9) and -1.2 fish (14.9\%; SE = 1.9).

Table 5.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large-mesh gillnets during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1998.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Total |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Male | 18.2 | 19.6 | 14.4 | 1.4 | 53.6 |
| Percent | 2.3 | 2.4 | 2.1 | 0.7 | 3.0 |
| SE | 643 | 794 | 1,008 | 1,130 |  |
| Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5 | 8 | 14 | 43 |  |
| SE | 52 | 56 | 41 | 4 | 153 |
| Sample size |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Female | 0.7 | 17.2 | 26.7 | 1.8 | 46.4 |
| Percent | 0.5 | 2.2 | 2.6 | 0.8 | 3.0 |
| SE | 643 | 830 | 963 | 1,114 |  |
| Mean Length (mm) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 43 | 8 | 7 | 18 |  |
| SE | 2 | 49 | 76 | 5 | 132 |
| Sample size |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Combined | 18.9 | 36.8 | 41.1 | 3.2 | 100 |
| Percent | 2.3 | 2.9 | 2.9 | 1.0 |  |
| SE | 54 | 105 | 117 | 9 | 285 |
| Sample size |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mid-eye to fork-of-tail.

Analysis-of-variance was used to test for differences in mean length-at-age by sex, run, and sampling method (recreational harvest or inriver gillnetting) for the predominate age classes. For age-1.3 fish, those sampled from the late run were significantly ( $\mathrm{F}=5.31 ; \mathrm{df}=1,201 ; \mathrm{P}=0.022$ ) larger than those sampled during the early run from both the sport harvest and the inriver return captured with gillnets. For age-1.4 fish, the mean length for late-run fish was also significantly larger than for early-run fish ( $\mathrm{F}=68.30$; $\mathrm{df}=1,625 ; \mathrm{P}<0.001$ ) from both the sport harvest as well as fish captured with gillnets.

Table 6.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of chinook salmon sampled with large-mesh gillnets during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon in the Kenai River, 1998.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Parameter | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | Total |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 14.6 | 8.5 | 31.9 | 2.6 | 57.6 |
| SE | 1.9 | 1.5 | 2.5 | 0.9 | 2.6 |
| Mean Length (mm) |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 666 | 853 | 1,072 | 1,115 |  |
| Sample size | 4 | 16 | 6 | 15 |  |
|  | 50 | 29 | 109 | 9 | 197 |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent |  |  |  |  |  |
| SE | 0.3 | 5.6 | 36.0 | 0.6 | 42.5 |
| Mean Length (mm) | a | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.6 | 0.4 |
| SE | 650 | 874 | 1,012 | 1,073 |  |
| Sample size |  | 15 | 5 | 8 |  |
|  | 1 | 19 | 123 | 2 | 145 |
| Combined |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 14.9 | 14.1 | 67.8 | 3.2 | 100.0 |
| SE | 1.9 | 1.9 | 2.5 | 1.0 |  |
| Sample size | 51 | 48 | 232 | 11 | 342 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Lengths measured mid-eye to fork-of-tail.

## DISCUSSION

This was the second year that a stratified, two-stage roving-access creel design (Bernard et al. 1998a, 1998b) was used on the Kenai River. The study design replaced a roving creel survey (Neuhold and Lu 1957) used on the river for more than a decade. The new design was adopted so that effort, catch, and harvest could be estimated for each, individually sampled day. The previous creel design did not provide the necessary survey elements in order to estimate daily statistics inseason. In designing the survey, it was determined that sampling all weekend/holiday days and 1 day less than half of all possible weekdays of each biweekly stratum would provide estimates with the necessary accuracy and precision from which to base any management decisions. However, during the 1997 season, this sampling intensity was not adequate to provide
managers sufficient information during a critical period in the early run. The harvest was higher than expected and the final escapement was below the mandated escapement goal.

Therefore, the design was further modified for the 1998 season with the sampling stratification increased from biweekly to weekly strata. This effectively doubled the amount of sampling effort and provided managers additional information during critical decision periods. This increased sampling effort in the creel survey played a key role in the management actions exercised during the 1998 season. The 5 June implementation of "trophy" fishing was the earliest that such a decision has been made in the history of the fishery. Additional actions implemented during the late run to utilize a "no bait-artificial lure only, single-hook" restriction on 23 July and the "trophy" fishing restriction on 27 July were also influenced by the additional information provided by the creel survey.

In 1990-1992 and 1997 and 1998, emergency orders restricting the early-run fishery to catch-andrelease fishing, or to a bag limit of one fish 132 cm or greater (trophy fishing) were implemented to meet escapement goals. These management actions reduced angler participation in the recreational fishery (Figure 2). For those years when trophy fishing has been implemented, total fishing effort has consistently declined below levels of previous historical estimates. During 1998, total fishing effort declined dramatically after the implementation of the emergency order on 5 June (Figure 7) and remained below levels experienced earlier in the season until 13 June when levels of angler participation began increasing with an upswing in guided fishing effort (Figure 8). Allowing fishermen to catch-and-release chinook salmon with the possibility of retaining a trophy $52 \mathrm{inch}(132 \mathrm{~cm})$ or larger fish apparently does not persuade as many unguided anglers to fish on the Kenai River as anglers employing guides. The increase in angler participation closely accompanied increasing numbers of chinook salmon entering the system as estimated by split-beam sonar (Bosch and Burwen In prep).
Angler effort during the 1998 early run was approximately 46,000 angler-hours (45\%) less than the early-run fishery in 1997 (Marsh 1999). The most likely explanation for this reduction is the extended catch-and-release "trophy" fishing period during 5-30 June that was 12 days longer than the trophy fishing period during the 1997 early run. Unguided anglers had the greatest decrease in effort (54\%) while effort by guided anglers declined by $40 \%$. In 1998, guided anglers contributed $69 \%$ of the total effort and unguided anglers $31 \%$.

Fishing effort during the 1998 late run declined by approximately $28 \%$ from the level of angler participation in 1997 (Marsh 1999). Unguided anglers had the greatest decrease in effort (34\%), and guided angler participation declined by $22 \%$ from 1997 levels. A likely explanation for the reduction in fishing effort from the previous season is the management actions implemented on 23 and 27 July. The "no bait, artificial lure only, single hook" period beginning on 23 July and the catch-and-release trophy fishing on 27 July played a key role in the level of angler participation. The decrease in angler participation began on the first day of the 23 July emergency order and continued to gradually decline through the end of the season on 31 July (Figure 9).

The creel survey was geographically stratified to estimate harvest from the Warren Ames Bridge to the sonar site and from the sonar site to the Soldotna Bridge. This effort was made to provide


Figure 7.-Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon (bottom) and angler effort (top) during the early run, Kenai River, 1998.
a more accurate and precise estimate of total inriver return (Hammarstrom and Timmons In prep $a$, In prep $b$ ). However, the estimated harvest downstream of the sonar site was virtually nonexistent, with 0 fish estimated for the early run and 268 fish for the late run. The early-run harvest in this river section was approximately $0 \%$ of the total inriver return of 13,103 ( $\mathrm{SE}=$ 230) and the late-run harvest in the downstream area was approximately $0.7 \%$ of the total inriver return of $34,877(\mathrm{SE}=500)$ (Bosch and Burwen In prep). The estimates of harvest and effort in this river section are very similar to the 1996 and 1997 results when the estimated early-run harvests were five and one fish, respectively. The late-run harvest estimates of 304 fish for 1996 and 473 fish for 1997 were also quite small in this section.

## RECOMMENDATIONS

Although harvest downstream of the sonar site has remained a small part of the total harvest during the past three seasons, the creel survey should continue to estimate effort in this river section for the foreseeable future. This will provide fishery managers inseason information


Figure 8.-Catch and effort for guided and unguided anglers in the recreational fishery for chinook salmon during the early run, Kenai River, 1998.
regarding the level of fishing pressure downstream of the sonar site. If the number of anglers fishing downstream of the sonar site increases in the future, such that the potential harvest would be a concern, the spatial stratification of angler interviews could be re-instated in order to estimate the harvest in this section of the river.

Sampling of the creel survey should be continued with weekly intervals. Maintaining this year's sampling levels of weekly stratification will provide additional information and allow fishery managers greater flexibility to insure that management objectives are accomplished. Increased sampling of the fishery during pivotal periods when historical data indicate that peak escapements and catches generally occur would also improve the department's ability to accurately project final harvests and escapements. Such measures would further refine the department's ability to provide for continued opportunity while meeting goals for spawning escapements.


Figure 9.-Daily sonar counts of chinook salmon, recreational catch of chinook salmon (bottom) and angler effort (top) during the late run, Kenai River, 1998.
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## APPENDIX A. COUNTS OF BOAT ANGLERS DURING THE CREEL SURVEY OF THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON ON THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1998

Appendix A1.-Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers, by stratum, during the fishery for early-run chinook salmon on the Kenai River, Soldotna Bridge to Cook Inlet, 1998.


## Appendix A1.-Page 2 of 2.


${ }^{\text {a }}$ Downstream $=$ downstream of the chinook salmon sonar counters to the Warren Ames Bridge. Upstream $=$ upstream of the chinook salmon sonar counters to the Soldotna Bridge.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 5 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

Appendix A2.-Counts of unguided and guided boat anglers, by stratum, during the fishery for late-run chinook salmon on the Kenai River, Soldotna Bridge to Cook Inlet, 1998.

|  | Downstream ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\text { Upstream }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Combined Strata |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Unguided Anglers |  |  |  |  | Guided Anglers |  |  |  |  |
| Date Type ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $A^{\text {c }}$ | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E | A | B | C | D | E |
| $01-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 176 | 78 | 48 | 88 |  | 511 | 239 | 42 |  |  | 176 | 78 | 48 | 88 |  | 511 | 239 | 42 |  |
| 02 -Jul Wd | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 |  |  | 115 | 133 | 63 | 67 | 0 | 432 | 411 | 139 |  |  | 115 | 133 | 65 | 67 | 0 | 432 | 413 | 139 |  |  |
| 03 -Jul We | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 4 |  |  | 78 | 96 | 43 | 47 | 57 | 301 | 331 | 180 |  |  | 78 | 96 | 45 | 47 | 57 | 301 | 358 | 184 |  |  |
| 04-Jul We | 0 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 4 |  | 17 | 19 | 0 |  | 15 | 135 | 106 | 131 | 38 |  | 251 | 153 | 109 |  | 15 | 146 | 118 | 142 | 42 |  | 268 | 172 | 109 |  |
| $05-\mathrm{Jul}$ We | 0 | 20 | 7 | 0 | 0 | CLOS |  |  |  |  | 90 | 151 | 130 | 87 | 17 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | 90 | 171 | 137 | 87 | 17 | CLOSE |  |  |  |  |
| $06-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  |
| $07-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd | 0 | 20 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 7 | 16 | 0 |  | 39 | 167 | 76 | 67 | 56 |  | 529 | 226 | 162 |  | 39 | 187 | 91 | 67 | 56 |  | 536 | 242 | 162 |  |
| $08-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd | Not S | mpled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $09-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd | Not S | mpled |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $10-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 4 |  |  | 133 | 190 | 129 | 118 | 104 | 500 | 475 | 417 |  |  | 133 | 190 | 137 | 118 | 104 | 500 | 480 | 421 |  |  |
| 11-Jul We | 0 | 0 | 52 | 11 | 35 | 0 | 4 | 20 |  |  | 171 | 192 | 249 | 244 | 231 | 332 | 334 | 192 |  |  | 171 | 192 | 301 | 255 | 266 | 332 | 338 | 212 |  |  |
| 12-Jul We | 0 | 10 | 29 | 22 | 0 | CLOS |  |  |  |  | 166 | 387 | 328 | 248 | 179 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | 166 | 397 | 357 | 270 | 179 | CLOSE |  |  |  |  |
| 13-Jul Wd | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  |
| 14-Jul Wd | 0 | 7 | 4 | 0 | 3 | 4 | 12 | 45 |  |  | 310 | 327 | 150 | 277 | 61 | 609 | 584 | 359 |  |  | 310 | 334 | 154 | 277 | 64 | 613 | 596 | 404 |  |  |
| 15-Jul Wd | 0 | 0 | 30 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |  |  | 218 | 222 | 234 | 270 | 102 | 550 | 493 | 355 |  |  | 218 | 222 | 264 | 295 | 102 | 550 | 493 | 423 |  |  |
| 16-Jul Wd | 7 | 10 | 53 | 11 | 25 |  | 17 | 23 | 21 |  | 229 | 276 | 251 | 177 | 342 |  | 553 | 545 | 318 |  | 236 | 286 | 304 | 188 | 367 |  | 570 | 568 | 339 |  |
| 17 -Jul Wd | 26 | 41 | 16 | 15 | 0 | 57 | 27 | 12 |  |  | 243 | 251 | 292 | 110 | 204 | 404 | 441 | 413 |  |  | 269 | 292 | 308 | 125 | 204 | 461 | 468 | 425 |  |  |
| 18 -Jul We | 8 | 41 | 38 | 21 | 12 | 36 | 64 | 79 |  |  | 235 | 256 | 347 | 304 | 183 | 374 | 394 | 327 |  |  | 243 | 297 | 385 | 325 | 195 | 410 | 458 | 406 |  |  |
| $19-\mathrm{Jul}$ We | 8 | 33 | 43 | 28 | 11 | CLOS |  |  |  |  | 444 | 616 | 477 | 448 | 313 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | 452 | 649 | 520 | 476 | 324 | CLOSE |  |  |  |  |
| 20-Jul Wd | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  |
| 21-Jul Wd | 18 | 25 | 8 | 4 | 12 | 36 | 30 | 0 |  |  | 375 | 274 | 233 | 278 | 81 | 537 | 504 | 476 |  |  | 393 | 299 | 241 | 282 | 93 | 573 | 534 | 476 |  |  |
| 22-Jul Wd | 0 | 39 | 63 | 8 | 11 |  | 47 | 69 | 0 |  | 327 | 352 | 226 | 301 | 380 |  | 574 | 327 | 401 |  | 327 | 391 | 289 | 309 | 391 |  | 621 | 396 | 401 |  |
| $23-\mathrm{Jul} \mathrm{Wd}{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 56 | 0 |  | 131 | 102 | 60 | 119 | 67 |  | 466 | 295 | 254 |  | 131 | 112 | 60 | 119 | 67 |  | 466 | 351 | 254 |  |
| 24-Jul Wd | 0 | 8 | 30 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 45 |  |  | 100 | 90 | 137 | 74 | 92 | 373 | 350 | 220 |  |  | 100 | 98 | 167 | 74 | 92 | 385 | 350 | 265 |  |  |
| $25-\mathrm{Jul}$ We | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 4 |  |  | 129 | 136 | 88 | 91 | 21 | 322 | 314 | 207 |  |  | 129 | 136 | 88 | 91 | 21 | 330 | 314 | 211 |  |  |
| 26-Jul We | 0 | 11 | 12 | 11 | 4 | CLOS |  |  |  |  | 15 | 135 | 106 | 131 | 38 | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | 15 | 146 | 118 | 142 | 42 | CLOSE |  |  |  |  |
| $27-\mathrm{Jul} \mathrm{Wd}{ }^{\text {d }}$ | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOS |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  | CLOSED |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  | CLOSE |  |  |  |  |
| $28-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 22 |  |  | 83 | 69 | 48 | 59 | 5 | 360 | 365 | 208 |  |  | 83 | 69 | 52 | 59 | 5 | 360 | 371 | 230 |  |  |
| $29-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd | 0 | 3 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |  |  | 62 | 88 | 73 | 102 | 7 | 280 | 275 | 185 |  |  | 62 | 91 | 83 | 102 | 7 | 280 | 275 | 187 |  |  |
| $30-\mathrm{Jul}$ Wd | 0 | 7 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 77 | 58 | 59 | 54 | 247 | 151 | 89 |  |  | 0 | 84 | 63 | 59 | 54 | 247 | 151 | 89 |  |  |
| 31-Jul Wd | 3 | 4 | 14 | 7 | 6 | 30 | 0 | 29 |  |  | 120 | 75 | 67 | 39 | 77 | 244 | 265 | 117 |  |  | 123 | 79 | 81 | 46 | 83 | 274 | 265 | 146 |  |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Downstream $=$ downstream of the chinook salmon sonar site to the Warren Ames Bridge. Upstream $=$ upstream of the chinook salmon sonar site to the Soldotna Bridge.
${ }^{\text {b }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekday, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekend/holiday.
c Fishery was restricted to "no bait" artificial lures use only by emergency order on 23 July.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 27 July for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

# APPENDIX B. EFFORT, CATCH AND HARVEST OF CHINOOK SALMON ESTIMATED DURING THE CREEL SURVEY OF THE FISHERY FOR CHINOOK SALMON ON THE KENAI RIVER, ALASKA, 1998 

Appendix B1.-Effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by unguided boat anglers and other summary statistics estimated during each sampled day of the fishery for earlyrun chinook salmon of the Kenai River, Soldotna Bridge to Cook Inlet, 1998.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Counts | Mean <br> Count | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Effort (hours) |  | Catch |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | SE | Total | SE | CPUE | SE | Total | SE | HPUE | SE |
| 05/05/1998 | Wd | 5 | 3 | 0 | $60^{\text {a }}$ | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 05/07/1998 | Wd | 5 | 7 | 3 | 132 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 05/09/1998 | We | 5 | 7 | 2 | 136 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 05/10/1998 | We | 5 | 11 | 22 | 220 | 70 | 11 | 6 | 0.052 | 0.023 | 6 | 4 | 0.026 | 0.015 |
| 05/12/1998 | Wd | 5 | 8 | 3 | 164 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 05/15/1998 | Wd | 5 | 9 | 9 | 188 | 46 | 12 | 10 | 0.066 | 0.052 | 12 | 10 | 0.066 | 0.052 |
| 05/16/1998 | We | 5 | 30 | 46 | 604 | 130 | 2 | 2 | 0.004 | 0.004 | 2 | 2 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| 05/17/1998 | We | 5 | 23 | 23 | 460 | 209 | 4 | 4 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 05/19/1998 | Wd | 5 | 9 | 31 | 176 | 27 | 9 | 4 | 0.053 | 0.021 | 5 | 3 | 0.027 | 0.015 |
| 05/20/1998 | Wd | 5 | 8 | 15 | 164 | 66 | 4 | 3 | 0.022 | 0.016 | 4 | 3 | 0.022 | 0.016 |
| 05/23/1998 | We | 5 | 28 | 52 | 564 | 70 | 6 | 4 | 0.010 | 0.008 | 3 | 3 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 05/24/1998 | We | 5 | 42 | 21 | 840 | 228 | 9 | 9 | 0.010 | 0.010 | 9 | 9 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 05/25/1998 | We | 5 | 28 | 29 | 568 | 104 | 21 | 12 | 0.037 | 0.021 | 21 | 12 | 0.037 | 0.021 |
| 05/27/1998 | Wd | 5 | 26 | 31 | 524 | 80 | 15 | 9 | 0.029 | 0.017 | 10 | 7 | 0.020 | 0.014 |
| 05/28/1998 | Wd | 4 | 20 | 19 | 400 | 150 | 22 | 15 | 0.056 | 0.033 | 11 | 8 | 0.027 | 0.020 |
| 05/30/1998 | We | 5 | 34 | 61 | 688 | 176 | 11 | 6 | 0.017 | 0.007 | 2 | 2 | 0.003 | 0.003 |
| 05/31/1998 | We | 5 | 23 | 34 | 456 | 108 | 4 | 4 | 0.009 | 0.009 | 4 | 4 | 0.009 | 0.009 |
| 06/02/1998 | Wd | 5 | 18 | 5 | 352 | 119 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/03/1998 | Wd | 5 | 21 | 19 | 424 | 155 | 9 | 7 | 0.022 | 0.016 | 9 | 7 | 0.022 | 0.016 |
| 06/04/1998 | Wd | 5 | 12 | 15 | 240 | 58 | 4 | 4 | 0.018 | 0.019 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/05/1998 | Wd | 5 | 7 | 11 | 144 | 47 | 12 | 9 | 0.082 | 0.060 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/06/1998 | We | 5 | 9 | 10 | 188 | 66 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/07/1998 | We | 5 | 9 | 3 | 188 | 89 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/09/1998 | Wd | 5 | 3 | 2 | 64 | 29 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/12/1998 | Wd | 5 | 8 | 2 | 160 | 35 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/13/1998 | We | 5 | 18 | 4 | 364 | 118 | 17 | 17 | 0.046 | 0.045 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/14/1998 | We | 5 | 25 | 10 | 492 | 102 | 50 | 31 | 0.101 | 0.062 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/16/1998 | Wd | 5 | 27 | 10 | 540 | 147 | 119 | 94 | 0.221 | 0.169 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/17/1998 | Wd | 5 | 22 | 18 | 444 | 56 | 19 | 13 | 0.042 | 0.028 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/20/1998 | We | 5 | 34 | 24 | 672 | 95 | 16 | 9 | 0.024 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/21/1998 | We | 5 | 27 | 24 | 536 | 110 | 4 | 4 | 0.008 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/25/1998 | Wd | 4 | 22 | 19 | 435 | 88 | 27 | 14 | 0.062 | 0.030 | 7 | 7 | 0.016 | 0.016 |
| 06/26/1998 | Wd | 5 | 23 | 12 | 460 | 154 | 22 | 16 | 0.047 | 0.032 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/27/1998 | We | 5 | 29 | 17 | 576 | 187 | 25 | 19 | 0.044 | 0.031 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/28/1998 | We | 5 | 26 | 23 | 524 | 167 | 6 | 6 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekdays, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekends.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Complete trip interviews only.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 5-30 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.
${ }^{d}$ Inferential values for effort, harvest and catch based upon ratio of guided and unguided CPUE, HPUE for early run.

Appendix B2.-Effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by guided boat anglers and other summary statistics estimated during each sampled day of the fishery for early-run chinook salmon of the Kenai River, Soldotna Bridge to Cook Inlet, 1998.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Counts | Mean Count | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Effort (hours) |  | Catch |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | SE | Total | SE | CPUE | SE | Total | SE | HPUE | SE |
| 05/05/1998 | Wd | 5 | 1 | 0 | $24^{\text {d }}$ | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 05/07/1998 | Wd | 5 | 5 | 0 | $100{ }^{-}$ | 70 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 05/09/1998 | We | 5 | 6 | 9 | 116 | 54 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 05/10/1998 | We | 5 | 5 | 0 | $104{ }^{-}$ | 54 | 4 | 3 | 0.040 | 0.018 | 4 | 3 | 0.042 | 0.024 |
| 05/12/1998 | Wd | 5 | 9 | 6 | 176 | 86 | 4 | 4 | 0.021 | 0.023 | 4 | 4 | 0.021 | 0.023 |
| 05/15/1998 | Wd | 5 | 21 | 26 | 428 | 219 | 21 | 13 | 0.050 | 0.020 | 21 | 13 | 0.050 | 0.020 |
| 05/16/1998 | We | 5 | 43 | 39 | 868 | 143 | 14 | 7 | 0.016 | 0.008 | 14 | 7 | 0.016 | 0.008 |
| 05/17/1998 | We | 5 | 39 | 14 | 784 | 203 | 8 | 9 | 0.011 | 0.012 | 8 | 9 | 0.011 | 0.012 |
| 05/19/1998 | Wd | 5 | 25 | 24 | 496 | 212 | 23 | 14 | 0.046 | 0.021 | 23 | 14 | 0.046 | 0.021 |
| 05/20/1998 | Wd | 5 | 34 | 57 | 676 | 433 | 21 | 14 | 0.031 | 0.010 | 21 | 14 | 0.031 | 0.010 |
| 05/23/1998 | We | 5 | 75 | 14 | 1,496 | 354 | 33 | 27 | 0.022 | 0.018 | 33 | 27 | 0.022 | 0.018 |
| 05/24/1998 | We | 5 | 52 | 24 | 1,032 | 222 | 23 | 14 | 0.023 | 0.013 | 23 | 14 | 0.023 | 0.013 |
| 05/25/1998 | We | 5 | 31 | 9 | 616 | 244 | 38 | 26 | 0.061 | 0.037 | 24 | 20 | 0.040 | 0.031 |
| 05/27/1998 | Wd | 5 | 72 | 43 | 1,448 | 314 | 39 | 16 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 39 | 16 | 0.027 | 0.010 |
| 05/28/1998 | Wd | 4 | 37 | 39 | 740 | 314 | 18 | 11 | 0.024 | 0.011 | 14 | 9 | 0.019 | 0.010 |
| 05/30/1998 | We | 5 | 57 | 22 | 1,144 | 346 | 15 | 12 | 0.013 | 0.010 | 15 | 12 | 0.013 | 0.010 |
| 05/31/1998 | We | 5 | 52 | 35 | 1,040 | 166 | 23 | 12 | 0.022 | 0.011 | 23 | 12 | 0.022 | 0.011 |
| 06/02/1998 | Wd | 3 | 100 | 25 | 1,200 | 156 | 33 | 17 | 0.028 | 0.014 | 33 | 17 | 0.028 | 0.014 |
| 06/03/1998 | Wd | 3 | 75 | 34 | 904 | 243 | 9 | 6 | 0.010 | 0.007 | 9 | 6 | 0.010 | 0.007 |
| 06/04/1998 | Wd | 3 | 94 | 31 | 1,132 | 243 | 31 | 16 | 0.027 | 0.013 | 31 | 16 | 0.027 | 0.013 |
| 06/05/1998 ${ }^{-}$ | Wd | 3 | 39 | 7 | 472 | 51 | 10 | 10 | 0.020 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/06/1998 | We | 3 | 57 | 20 | 688 | 149 | 5 | 5 | 0.007 | 0.007 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/07/1998 | We | 3 | 15 | 9 | 176 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/09/1998 | Wd | 3 | 27 | 21 | 324 | 88 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/12/1998 | Wd | 3 | 34 | 8 | 408 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/13/1998 | We | 3 | 69 | 23 | 824 | 137 | 27 | 13 | 0.033 | 0.014 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/14/1998 | We | 3 | 68 | 34 | 820 | 113 | 22 | 9 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 3 | 3 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| 06/16/1998 | Wd | 3 | 201 | 29 | 2,408 | 375 | 78 | 29 | 0.033 | 0.011 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/17/1998 | Wd | 3 | 103 | 23 | 1,232 | 276 | 93 | 35 | 0.075 | 0.023 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/20/1998 | We | 3 | 127 | 16 | 1,528 | 264 | 14 | 15 | 0.009 | 0.010 | 14 | 15 | 0.009 | 0.010 |
| 06/21/1998 | We | 3 | 92 | 21 | 1,100 | 41 | 26 | 14 | 0.024 | 0.013 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/25/1998 | Wd | 2 | 58 | 32 | 690 | 390 | 40 | 25 | 0.059 | 0.017 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/26/1998 | Wd | 3 | 88 | 42 | 1,052 | 225 | 28 | 12 | 0.026 | 0.010 | 5 | 5 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| 06/27/1998 | We | 3 | 68 | 8 | 816 | 271 | 19 | 18 | 0.023 | 0.021 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 06/28/1998 | We | 3 | 41 | 14 | 496 | 156 | 14 | 9 | 0.029 | 0.016 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekdays, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekends.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Complete trip interviews only.
${ }^{c}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 5-30 June for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.
${ }^{d}$ Inferential values for effort, harvest and catch based upon ratio of guided and unguided CPUE, HPUE for early run.

Appendix B3.-Effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by unguided boat anglers and other summary statistics estimated during each sampled day of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon of the Kenai River, Soldotna Bridge to Cook Inlet, 1998.

| Date | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{Wd} / \\ & \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{aligned}$ | Number <br> Counts | Mean Count | Number of Interviews | Effort (hours) |  | Catch |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Total | SE | Total | SE | CPUE | SE | Total | SE | HPUE | SE |
| 07/01/1998 | Wd | 4 | 98 | 19 | 1,950 | 449 | 178 | 87 | 0.091 | 0.040 | 20 | 20 | 0.010 | 0.010 |
| 07/02/1998 | Wd | 5 | 76 | 9 | 1,512 | 312 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 07/03/1998 | We | 5 | 65 | 12 | 1,292 | 174 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 07/04/1998 | We | 5 | 93 | 44 | 1,852 | 534 | 22 | 16 | 0.012 | 0.008 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 07/05/1998 | We | 5 | 100 | 50 | 2,008 | 389 | 18 | 13 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 9 | 9 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| 07/07/1998 | Wd | 5 | 88 | 22 | 1,760 | 564 | 79 | 42 | 0.045 | 0.020 | 46 | 31 | 0.026 | 0.017 |
| 07/10/1998 | Wd | 5 | 136 | 48 | 2,728 | 257 | 25 | 18 | 0.009 | 0.006 | 13 | 13 | 0.005 | 0.005 |
| 07/11/1998 | We | 5 | 237 | 55 | 4,740 | 382 | 120 | 42 | 0.025 | 0.009 | 103 | 39 | 0.022 | 0.008 |
| 07/12/1998 | We | 5 | 274 | 100 | 5,476 | 841 | 156 | 47 | 0.029 | 0.007 | 145 | 45 | 0.027 | 0.007 |
| 07/14/1998 | Wd | 5 | 228 | 58 | 4,556 | 967 | 307 | 101 | 0.067 | 0.017 | 307 | 101 | 0.067 | 0.017 |
| 07/15/1998 | Wd | 5 | 220 | 55 | 4,404 | 632 | 219 | 60 | 0.050 | 0.012 | 93 | 40 | 0.021 | 0.009 |
| 07/16/1998 | Wd | 5 | 276 | 82 | 5,524 | 695 | 260 | 80 | 0.047 | 0.013 | 185 | 64 | 0.034 | 0.011 |
| 07/17/1998 | Wd | 5 | 240 | 74 | 4,792 | 637 | 158 | 51 | 0.033 | 0.010 | 78 | 38 | 0.016 | 0.008 |
| 07/18/1998 | We | 5 | 289 | 67 | 5,780 | 558 | 200 | 63 | 0.035 | 0.010 | 182 | 60 | 0.031 | 0.010 |
| 07/19/1998 | We | 5 | 484 | 82 | 9,684 | 897 | 532 | 139 | 0.055 | 0.013 | 397 | 108 | 0.041 | 0.010 |
| 07/21/1998 | Wd | 5 | 262 | 72 | 5,232 | 704 | 277 | 78 | 0.053 | 0.013 | 82 | 37 | 0.016 | 0.007 |
| 07/22/1998 | Wd | 5 | 341 | 41 | 6,828 | 465 | 121 | 53 | 0.018 | 0.008 | 121 | 53 | 0.018 | 0.008 |
| 07/23/1998 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Wd | 5 | 98 | 12 | 1,956 | 304 | 168 | 84 | 0.086 | 0.041 | 124 | 75 | 0.064 | 0.038 |
| 07/24/1998 | Wd | 5 | 106 | 28 | 2,124 | 371 | 174 | 62 | 0.082 | 0.026 | 135 | 54 | 0.064 | 0.023 |
| 07/25/1998 | We | 5 | 93 | 50 | 1,860 | 269 | 102 | 32 | 0.055 | 0.015 | 84 | 30 | 0.045 | 0.015 |
| 07/26/1998 | We | 5 | 208 | 61 | 4,168 | 386 | 177 | 48 | 0.043 | 0.011 | 177 | 48 | 0.043 | 0.011 |
| 07/28/1998" | Wd | 5 | 54 | 13 | 1,072 | 186 | 54 | 32 | 0.051 | 0.029 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 07/29/1998 | Wd | 5 | 69 | 9 | 1,380 | 321 | 135 | 73 | 0.098 | 0.049 | 43 | 46 | 0.031 | 0.033 |
| 07/30/1998 | Wd | 5 | 52 | 18 | 1,040 | 275 | 15 | 15 | 0.015 | 0.015 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 07/31/1998 | Wd | 5 | 82 | 9 | 1,648 | 213 | 60 | 57 | 0.036 | 0.035 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

${ }^{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekdays, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekends.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Complete trip interviews only.
c Fishery was restricted to "no bait" artificial lures use only fishing by emergency order on 23 July for all chinook salmon.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 27 July for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

Appendix B4.-Effort, catch, and harvest of chinook salmon by guided boat anglers and other summary statistics estimated during each sampled day of the fishery for late-run chinook salmon of the Kenai River, Soldotna Bridge to Cook Inlet, 1998.

| Date | $\begin{gathered} \mathrm{Wd} / \\ \mathrm{We}^{\mathrm{a}} \end{gathered}$ | Number Mean Counts Count |  | Number of Interviews ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Effort (hours) |  | Catch |  |  |  | Harvest |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Total | SE | Total | SE | CPUE | SE | Total | SE | HPUE | SE |
| 07/01/1998 | Wd | 3 | 264 |  | 35 | 3,168 | 1,163 | 385 | 157 | 0.122 | 0.023 | 248 | 108 | 0.078 | 0.020 |
| 07/02/1998 | Wd | 3 | 328 | 24 | 3,936 | 951 | 88 | 46 | 0.023 | 0.011 | 67 | 39 | 0.017 | 0.009 |
| 07/03/1998 | We | 3 | 281 | 45 | 3,372 | 634 | 140 | 51 | 0.042 | 0.013 | 76 | 34 | 0.023 | 0.009 |
| 07/04/1998 | We | 3 | 183 | 45 | 2,196 | 398 | 58 | 23 | 0.027 | 0.010 | 25 | 15 | 0.011 | 0.007 |
| 07/07/1998 | Wd | 3 | 313 | 55 | 3,760 | 1,055 | 191 | 70 | 0.051 | 0.012 | 143 | 57 | 0.038 | 0.011 |
| 07/10/1998 | Wd | 3 | 467 | 71 | 5,604 | 216 | 346 | 69 | 0.062 | 0.012 | 212 | 54 | 0.038 | 0.010 |
| 07/11/1998 | We | 3 | 294 | 61 | 3,528 | 437 | 151 | 43 | 0.043 | 0.011 | 94 | 30 | 0.027 | 0.008 |
| 07/14/1998 | Wd | 3 | 538 | 80 | 6,452 | 668 | 461 | 94 | 0.071 | 0.013 | 431 | 91 | 0.067 | 0.012 |
| 07/15/1998 | Wd | 3 | 489 | 22 | 5,864 | 313 | 343 | 108 | 0.059 | 0.018 | 256 | 101 | 0.044 | 0.017 |
| 07/16/1998 | Wd | 3 | 492 | 57 | 5,908 | 793 | 318 | 86 | 0.054 | 0.013 | 261 | 78 | 0.044 | 0.012 |
| 07/17/1998 | Wd | 3 | 451 | 33 | 5,416 | 151 | 675 | 160 | 0.125 | 0.029 | 200 | 84 | 0.037 | 0.015 |
| 07/18/1998 | We | 3 | 425 | 35 | 5,096 | 245 | 291 | 87 | 0.057 | 0.017 | 202 | 78 | 0.040 | 0.015 |
| 07/21/1998 | Wd | 3 | 528 | 61 | 6,332 | 242 | 387 | 87 | 0.061 | 0.014 | 328 | 84 | 0.052 | 0.013 |
| 07/22/1998 | Wd | 3 | 473 | 74 | 5,672 | 780 | 314 | 77 | 0.055 | 0.011 | 285 | 74 | 0.050 | 0.011 |
| 07/23/1998 ${ }^{\text {² }}$ | Wd | 3 | 357 | 85 | 4,284 | 521 | 67 | 25 | 0.016 | 0.005 | 58 | 23 | 0.014 | 0.005 |
| 07/24/1998 | Wd | 3 | 333 | 37 | 4,000 | 318 | 116 | 46 | 0.029 | 0.011 | 99 | 43 | 0.025 | 0.011 |
| 07/25/1998 | We | 3 | 285 | 38 | 3,420 | 361 | 206 | 65 | 0.060 | 0.018 | 206 | 65 | 0.060 | 0.018 |
| 07/28/1998 ${ }^{\text {u }}$ | Wd | 3 | 320 | 44 | 3,844 | 490 | 306 | 63 | 0.080 | 0.013 | 15 | 15 | 0.004 | 0.004 |
| 07/29/1998 | Wd | 3 | 247 | 40 | 2,968 | 305 | 268 | 64 | 0.090 | 0.019 | 13 | 13 | 0.005 | 0.004 |
| 07/30/1998 | Wd | 3 | 162 | 19 | 1,948 | 396 | 291 | 121 | 0.149 | 0.055 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |
| 07/31/1998 | Wd | 3 | 228 | 16 | 2,740 | 413 | 314 | 72 | 0.115 | 0.020 | 0 | 0 | 0.000 | 0.000 |

${ }^{\text {a }} \mathrm{Wd}=$ weekdays, $\mathrm{We}=$ weekends.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Complete trip interviews only.
c Fishery was restricted to "no bait" artificial lures use only fishing by emergency order on 23 July for all chinook salmon.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Fishery was restricted to catch-and-release fishing by emergency order on 27 July for all chinook salmon less than 132 cm in length.

