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#### Abstract

A creel survey will be conducted to estimate sport angler effort, catch, and harvest of early- and late-run Chinook salmon in the lower Kenai River between the Warren Ames Bridge (river mile [RM] 5.1) and Slikok Creek (RM 18.9) in 2017. Creel survey estimates will be geographically stratified in relation to the RM 13.7 Kenai River Chinook salmon sonar to provide angler effort, catch, and harvest of large Chinook salmon ( $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ mid eye to tail fork [METF] length) upstream and downstream of the RM 13.7 sonar. A standardized inriver drift gillnetting study will be conducted in the Kenai River at RM 8.6 from 16 May to 20 August to estimate the age, sex, and length composition of early- and late-run Chinook salmon. A tangle net (4.0-inch stretched mesh) will be incorporated into the existing gillnetting study to examine possible ASL bias and incidental harm to fish during the late run. Data collected from the creel survey and inriver netting study, combined with sonar estimates of abundance, will be used for inseason management and postseason stock assessment of Kenai River Chinook salmon.


Key words: Kenai River, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, Chinook salmon, creel survey, effort, harvest, gillnet, CPUE, age composition, tangle net

## INTRODUCTION

## Purpose

The primary goal of the creel survey is to estimate sport angler effort, and catch and harvest of Kenai River Chinook salmon (Oncorhynchus tshawytscha). Catch and harvest estimates of Chinook salmon are required for inseason management and for postseason stock assessment. The primary goal of the inriver netting project is to collect age, sex, and length composition data of Kenai River Chinook salmon and length composition data for other salmon. Data collected by the inriver gillnetting project are used inseason to monitor Chinook salmon run size and timing, and postseason for age and stock composition analysis. Data collected by both the creel survey and inriver gillnetting study, in conjunction with sonar passage estimates, are critical to maintain sustained yield and fishing effort for this resource.

## BACKGROUND

The Kenai River (Figure 1) has been one of the largest and most intensively managed sport fisheries in Alaska (Jennings et al. 2015). Anglers fish for Chinook salmon (Onchorhynchus tshawytscha) during mid-May through July, sockeye salmon (O. nerka) from June through early August, coho (O. kisutch) and pink salmon (O. gorbusha) from August through October, and rainbow trout (O. mykiss) and Dolly Varden (Salvelinus malma) from mid-June through April. The Kenai River will probably receive substantial angler effort into the foreseeable future due to its proximity to major population centers, relative ease of access, and large-sized Chinook salmon.

Chinook salmon returning to the Kenai River exhibit 2 distinct run-timing patterns: "early" (late April-late June) and "late" (late June-early August) (Bendock and Alexandersdottir 1992; Burger et al. 1985; Reimer 2013; Eskelin and Reimer 2017). For management purposes, the early run is composed of Chinook salmon entering the river before 1 July and the late run is composed of those entering on or after 1 July. During the 1988 Alaska Board of Fisheries (BOF) meeting, management policies were adopted to govern management of both runs. These policies, amended many times since, establish escapement goal ranges for both runs and specify the management actions available to achieve those goals.


Figure 1.-Map of the Kenai River drainage.
To manage for escapement goals, the Division of Sport Fish began using sonar at RM 8.6 to estimate the inriver runs of Kenai River Chinook salmon before relocating to RM 13.7 to more accurately estimate Chinook salmon passage ${ }^{1}$ (Figure 2). Since 2010, the deployment of imaging sonar (dual-frequency identification sonar [DIDSON] and adaptive resolution imaging sonar [ARIS]) made it possible to distinguish Chinook salmon measuring $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ from smaller fish of other species (Miller et al. 2013). In order to distinguish Kenai River Chinook salmon less than 75 cm from other salmon, complex statistical methods using lengths of captured salmon in inriver nets was found to be imprecise, time consuming, and difficult to obtain inseason (Fleischman and Reimer 2017). As a result, based on escapement goal recommendations by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) during the 2017 BOF meeting, management policies were changed from total-fish to size-based "large fish" escapement goals ( $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ mid eye to tail fork [METF] length) primarily because "large fish" estimates constitute the most reliable information available for inseason management (Fleischman and Reimer 2017). The BOF adopted the early-run optimum escapement goal range (OEG) of 3,900 to 6,600 Chinook salmon $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ ( 5 AAC 57.160 Kenai River and Kasilof River Early-run King Salmon Management Plan), and the late-run OEG of 13,500 to 27,000 Chinook salmon $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ (5 AAC 21.359 Kenai River Late-run King Salmon Management Plan). Because small Chinook salmon

[^0]provide yield and affect stock productivity by competing and spawning with large Chinook salmon, ADF\&G will continue to collect data for postseason assessment of Chinook salmon of all sizes for both runs.


Figure 2.-Map of the Kenai River creel survey and inriver gillnetting study areas.
The management plans for each run require timely predictions of escapement for inseason management. Daily sonar passage estimates of abundance in conjunction with creel survey estimates of daily harvest provide fishery managers with inseason estimates of escapement. The inriver gillnetting study provides daily catch rates to monitor run size and timing, and fish length information necessary for the RM 13.7 sonar to estimate total abundance of Chinook salmon postseason. In addition to inseason management, these projects provide data used to develop management plans and escapement goals for Kenai River Chinook salmon.

## Creel Survey

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) implemented a creel survey in 1974 in response to an increase in the number of boat anglers targeting Chinook salmon, and to monitor the age, sex, and length (ASL) composition of harvested Chinook salmon. The sonar estimated the inriver run of Chinook salmon while the creel survey provided harvest estimates for managing the sport fishery to meet escapement goals. Prior to 1991, anglers were surveyed in the entire area open to Chinook salmon fishing (downstream of Skilak Lake). During 1991 to 2010, the creel survey has been used to estimate sport angler effort, harvest, and catch (since 1994) of Chinook salmon between the Warren Ames Bridge (RM 5.1) ${ }^{2}$ and the Soldotna Bridge (RM 21.1) where the majority of sport fishing effort occurred ${ }^{3}$ (Figure 2). During the 2010 BOF meeting, the downstream end of the Slikok Creek sanctuary area (approximately RM 18.9) was designated as the upper demarcation point of the lower Kenai River sport fishery. During 20112016, the Kenai River Chinook salmon fishery upstream of the Slikok Creek sanctuary was closed to harvest by emergency orders (EOs) for a majority of the time during those years.
In 2017, the new BOF size-based "large fish" OEGs require the creel survey to estimate catch and harvest of Chinook salmon measuring greater than or equal to 75 cm mid eye to tail fork (METF) length for inseason management, and catch and harvest of all-sized Chinook salmon for postseason analysis. Daily estimates of effort, catch, and harvest occurring upstream and downstream of the RM 13.7 sonar are required for inseason management decisions that may affect sport, commercial, and personal use fisheries.

## Inriver Gillnetting

Beginning in the mid-1980s, mark-recapture studies using gillnets for the marking phase were used to estimate the inriver run of Chinook salmon (Hammarstrom and Larson 1984). Various adult Chinook salmon capture techniques have been evaluated and the use of drift gillnets (7.5inch mesh nets) were found to be the most effective to date. The Division of Sport Fish (SF) used the sonar in 1987 to estimate the inriver run of Chinook salmon while the inriver gillnetting study provided age-sex-length (ASL) compositions of the inriver run. The gillnetting program was standardized in 1998 to include catch rates (CPUE) and further standardized in 2002 (with the addition of a 5.0 -inch mesh net) to include species composition of fish passing through the insonified (midriver) area of the RM 8.6 Chinook salmon sonar site (Reimer 2004b).

During 2002-2012, the inriver gillnetting program remained relatively unchanged and was conducted exclusively within the midriver area insonified by the RM 8.6 sonar. Although the netting program provided an estimate of the ASL composition of fish passing through the midriver insonified area, ASL composition was not always representative of the Chinook salmon runs. For example, during 2012, weirs operated by the United States Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) on the Killey River (Gates and Boersma 2013) and the Funny River (Boersma and Gates 2013) sampled relatively larger numbers of small Chinook salmon than the sonar and gillnetting program could account for. In addition, data collected by Miller et al. (2014) found significant numbers of Chinook salmon migrated shoreward of the transducers (noninsonified

[^1]nearshore area) during high tide, and Chinook salmon captured while netting the nearshore area were found to be shorter in length than those captured midriver (Perschbacher 2015).

In 2014, several modifications were made to the RM 8.6 inriver gillnetting study in order to capture a more representative sample of returning Chinook salmon (Perschbacher and Eskelin 2016). These changes were incorporated to examine size discrepancies of Chinook salmon captured midriver and nearshore, to examine whether it was possible to net shoreline to shoreline with equal effort, to examine tidal effects on catch rates and sizes of Chinook salmon captured in inriver gillnets, to explore the possibility of using a 4.5 -inch mesh tangle net, and to test the feasibility of netting an upstream site closer to the RM 13.7 Chinook salmon sonar. Based on the results of these studies, the inriver gillnetting study was modified in 2015 to be conducted at RM 8.6, during the morning hours (7:00 AM-1:00 PM), regardless of tidal stage, and netting nearshore and midriver areas with equal frequency. The 4.5 -inch mesh net used at RM 11.5 was unsuccessful because the mesh size was too large and gilled smaller salmon, and because the $8: 1$ hang ratio (length of stretched mesh to length of cork line) resulted in too much mesh, possibly creating net avoidance issues in the clearer water of the upstream site (Perschbacher and Eskelin 2016). Ideally, the netting program would operate just below the RM 13.7 sonar, but it was concluded that an upstream netting area was not conducive for an intensive inriver gillnetting study because of social issues, heavy boat traffic, and possible net avoidance problems.
In 2016, a separate pilot study used a smaller 4.0 -inch mesh tangle net to examine the issue of reducing both size-selective sampling and harm to fish. Research conducted by Vander Haegen et al. (2004), and Ashbrook et al. (2004) have shown that the use of smaller-sized mesh tangle nets can reduce incidental mortality by capturing fish by the teeth, fins, or body, whereas traditional gillnets capture fish around the head or gills. Similar results were observed in the 2016 pilot study with the 4.0 -inch tangle net, which captured a wide range of Chinook salmon sizes while reducing incidental harm to other fish by approximately $64 \%$ (i.e., sockeye salmon gilled in the 5.0 -inch mesh compared to the 4.0 -inch mesh; Perschbacher In prep b). The $7.5-$ inch mesh also had low incidental harm to sockeye salmon because it is large enough to allow smaller fish to pass through the mesh while still capturing larger Chinook salmon.
During 2017, the sampling design for the early-run inriver gillnetting study will be the same as 2016. During the late run, a multi- panel 4.0 - and 7.5 -inch mesh net will be incorporated into the existing gillnetting study for approximately one-third of the time to investigate catch rates, incidental harm, and length compositions of fish compared to those captured in the mixed panel $5.0-$ and 7.5 -inch mesh net. Although there will be less time to use the $5.0-$ and 7.5 -inch mesh nets compared to previous years (because of sampling time needed for the smaller mesh net), sample size goals using the 5.0 - and 7.5 -inch mesh nets will still be met and the collection of data used for inseason management will be the same. This operational plan describes the creel survey and inriver gillnetting project design for the 2017 field season.

## OBJECTIVES

This project provides parameter estimates necessary for inseason management and postseason stock-recruit analysis of Kenai River Chinook salmon. These parameters include catch and harvest of Chinook salmon by the inriver sport fishery ${ }^{4}$ (for inseason monitoring of escapement),

[^2]and size and age of the harvest and inriver run required in part for estimating the total return ${ }^{5}$ of Chinook salmon by brood year for stock-recruit analysis (McKinley and Fleischman 2013; Fleischman and McKinley 2013).

## Primary ObJectives

1) Provide inseason catch and harvest estimates of large Chinook salmon ( $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ METF) in the lower Kenai River sport fishery between the Warren Ames Bridge and the RM 13.7 sonar, and between the RM 13.7 sonar and Slikok Creek (RM 18.9) from 16 May through 30 June (early run) and from 1 July through 31 July (late run) such that the estimates for each geographic strata are within $30 \%$, or 1,000 fish, of the true value $90 \%$ of the time ${ }^{6}$.
2) Provide age compositions required in part to estimate total return for the early and late runs by brood year. Subordinate objectives ${ }^{7}$ for the components of this operational plan that are associated with total run estimation are as follows:
a) Estimate the proportion by age of Chinook salmon captured in inriver gillnets from 16 May through 20 August such that all age-proportion estimates for each run are within 0.10 percentage points of the true values $95 \%$ of the time ${ }^{8}$.
b) Estimate the proportion by age of Chinook salmon harvested by the sport fishery in the mainstem Kenai River between Warren Ames Bridge and the Soldotna Bridge such that all age-proportion estimates for each run are within 0.20 percentage points of the true values $90 \%$ of the time.

## SECONDARY OBJECTIVES

Tasks are of secondary importance and can be accomplished without driving study design or sample size.

1) Estimate daily angler effort (in angler-hours), and catch and harvest of all-sized Chinook salmon for each geographic stratum, during each run.
2) Estimate daily CPUE of large ( $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ METF) and small ( $<75 \mathrm{~cm}$ METF) Chinook salmon captured in inriver gillnets for each run.
3) Spaghetti tagged early-run sockeye salmon captured in inriver nets in conjunction with the Russian River Early Run Sockeye Salmon Tagging Study (Eskelin 2017).
4) Collect tissue samples from Kenai River Chinook salmon sampled from inriver gillnets

[^3]and the sport fish harvest for genetic analysis ${ }^{9}$.
5) Collect secchi disk and water temperature readings midchannel at RM 15.3 during creel survey sampling days, and collect daily secchi disk readings and tidal conditions at RM 8.6.
6) Remove the heads of Chinook salmon with missing adipose fins sampled in the sport harvest and inriver gillnets and send to the ADF\&G lab for coded wire tag (CWT) examination.
7) During the late run, examine length compositions and incidental harm of fish captured in the 4.0 - and 7.5 -inch mesh nets and those captured in 5.0 - and 7.5 -inch mesh nets.

## METHODS

## Study Design

## Creel Survey: Inriver Sport Effort, Catch, and Harvest

A stratified 2-stage roving-access creel survey (Bernard et al. 1998) will be used to estimate sport fishing effort, catch, and harvest of Chinook salmon from the Warren Ames Bridge to Slikok Creek. First-stage sampling units will be days. Daily catch and harvest of Chinook salmon by size ( $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ ) will be estimated as the product of effort (angler-hours) and catch per unit effort (CPUE) or harvest per unit effort (HPUE), respectively. Second-stage units for estimating effort will be counting trips, during which counts of anglers are made from a boat. Second-stage units for estimating CPUE and HPUE will be angler-trips; samples will be obtained by interviewing anglers who have completed fishing for the day and are exiting the fishery.
The creel survey is scheduled from 16 May through 31 July between the Warren Ames Bridge and the Slikok Creek sanctuary area (Figure 2). A fishing day is defined as 4:00 AM-11:59 PM (20 hours); however, guided anglers are restricted to a 12-hour fishing day (6:00 AM-6:00 PM) by regulation.

## Creel Survey Stratification

In 2017, angler counts to estimate effort (angler-hours) for guided and unguided anglers will be counted separately and geographically stratified into the following 2 areas related to the RM 13.7 sonar:

1) between the Warren Ames Bridge (RM 5.0) and the Chinook salmon sonar site (RM 13.7)
2) between the Chinook salmon sonar site (RM 13.7) and Slikok Creek (RM 18.9)

During the angler interview process, guided and unguided CPUEs and HPUEs will also be estimated for these same geographic areas. In order to manage the fishery with the size-based OEGs for Chinook salmon, harvest and catch estimates will be stratified for fish measuring $\geq 75$ cm METF, and $<75 \mathrm{~cm}$ METF. Angler effort, CPUE, and HPUE have differed significantly by

[^4]week, between weekdays and weekend-holidays, between guided ${ }^{10}$ and unguided user groups, and geographic location (Reimer 2004b; Perschbacher 2014a). Therefore, the creel survey will be temporally stratified into weekly intervals by day type (weekdays and weekends-holidays), geographically stratified by location (upstream and downstream of the RM 13.7 sonar), and stratified by fish size (large $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ and small $<75 \mathrm{~cm}$ METF). The survey will be stratified postseason for angler type (guided and unguided). Based on these factors, the strata listed in Table 1 will be used for estimating creel statistics.

Table 1.-Strata used for estimating 2017 creel statistics.

| Stratum | No. of <br> strata | Description |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| Fish size | 2 | Catch and harvest of large $(\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm})$ and small $(<75 \mathrm{~cm})$ Chinook salmon |
| Geographic | 2 | Warren Ames Bridge to RM 13.7 sonar, and RM 13.7 sonar to Slikok Creek |
| Temporal | 13 | Weekly |
| Day type | 2 | Weekdays, Weekends-holiday |
| Angler type | 2 | Guided and unguided |

Each week the fishery is open, 2 of the 4 available powerboat fishing weekdays (TuesdayFriday) will be sampled, and both weekend days will be sampled each week. The current objective criterion ${ }^{11}$ for precision of catch and harvest estimates has been met for each run since 2015, when precision estimates were estimated upstream and downstream of the RM 13.7 sonar (Table 2).

The 4 days-per-week sampling schedule will be modified during the week of 24-30 May when 2 days will be randomly selected from the 3 weekend-holiday days available (Saturday, 27 May; Sunday, 28 May; and Monday, 29 May [Memorial Day]). Nonholiday Mondays, when only unguided fishing from drift boats is allowed, will not be sampled nor indexed during the early run because angler effort, catch, and harvest have been observed to be less than $1 \%$ of total early-run angler effort, catch, and harvest. Thus, sampling during the early run in each geographic stratum will be composed of strata based on time, day types, and angler types (Table 3). The creel survey will sample approximately $64 \%$ of the days when fishing from powerboats is allowed.

During the late run, the sampling design will be the same as the early run: 2 of the 4 available powerboat fishing weekdays will be randomly selected and both weekend days sampled. Mondays sampled during the late run, when only unguided drift-boat fishing is allowed, will consist of a single boat count between 10:00 AM and 2:00 PM to index angler effort, catch, and harvest. Sampling during the late run in each geographic stratum will be composed of strata based on time, day types, and angler types (Table 4). The creel survey will sample approximately $69 \%$ of days when fishing from powerboats is allowed.

[^5]Table 2.-Estimates of harvest and catch, with estimated absolute precision (AP) and estimated relative precision (RP) based on $90 \%$ confidence intervals, for early and late runs of Kenai River Chinook salmon from upstream and downstream of the RM 13.7 Chinook salmon sonar, 20152016.

| Run | Year | Harvest |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Catch |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Upstream of RM 13.7 |  |  |  | Downstream of RM 13.7 |  |  |  | Upstream of RM 13.7 |  |  |  | Downstream of RM 13.7 |  |  |  |
|  |  | $N$ | SE | AP | RP | $N$ | SE | AP | RP | $N$ | SE | AP | RP | $N$ | SE | AP | RP |
| Early |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2015 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | $2016$ | 99 | 42 | 69 | 0.83 | 13 | 11 | 18 | 1.66 | 351 | 105 | 173 | 0.59 | 33 | 16 | 26 | 0.95 |
| Late |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2015 | 1,823 | 279 | 460 | 0.30 | 2,073 | 327 | 538 | 0.31 | 3,495 | 401 | 660 | 0.22 | 3,027 | 375 | 617 | 0.24 |
|  | 2016 | 2,469 | 420 | 691 | 0.28 | 3,712 | 497 | 818 | 0.26 | 3,130 | 502 | 826 | 0.31 | 4,683 | 516 | 849 | 0.22 |

[^6]Table 3.-Early run sampling strata for each geographic stratum based on time, day, and angler type.

| Stratum | Time stratum | Dates | Day type | Angler type |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 16-21 May | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 2 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 3 |  | 20, 21 May | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 4 |  | 20 May |  | Guided |
| 5 | 23-29 May | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 6 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 7 |  | tbd, tbd May | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 8 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 9 | 30 May-4 June | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 10 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 11 |  | 3, 4 June | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 12 |  | 3 June |  | Guided |
| 13 | 6-11 June | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 14 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 15 |  | 10, 11 June | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| $16$ |  | $10 \text { June }$ |  | Guided |
| 17 | 13-17 June | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 18 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 19 |  | 16, 17 June | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 20 |  | 16 June |  | Guided |
| 21 | 20-25 June | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 22 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 23 |  | 24, 25 June | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 24 |  | 24 June |  | Guided |
| 25 | 27-30 June | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 26 |  |  |  | Guided |

Note: Sample dates to be decided "tbd" will be randomly selected prior to field season.

Table 4.-Late run sampling strata for each geographic stratum based on time, day, and angler type.

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Stratum | Time stratum | Dates | Day type | Angler type |
| 1 | 1-2 July | 1, 2 July | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 2 |  | 1 July |  | Guided |
| 3 | 4-9 July | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 4 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 5 |  | 8, 9 July | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 6 |  | 8 July |  | Guided |
| 7 | $11-16$ July | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 8 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 9 |  | 15,16 July | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 10 |  | 15 July |  | Guided |
| 11 |  | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 12 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 13 |  | 22,23 July | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 14 |  | 22 July |  | Guided |
| 15 | $25-30$ July | tbd, tbd | Weekday | Unguided |
| 16 |  |  |  | Guided |
| 17 |  | 29,30 July | Weekend-holiday | Unguided |
| 18 |  | 29 July |  | Guided |

Note: Sample dates to be decided "tbd" will be randomly selected prior to the field season.

## Creel Survey Sampling

Completed-trip angler interviews will be conducted at access locations between angler counts. Angler interviews will not begin until after the first count of the day has been completed. This should not bias the data; when this schedule was implemented in 2001, few angler interviews were missed before the first count, and the mean CPUE and HPUE of anglers interviewed before 8:00 AM were similar to the overall means(Reimer 2003). This was also true when the schedule was re-evaluated in 2009. Furthermore, creel estimates of Chinook salmon catch and harvest for guided anglers have been similar to the catch and harvest reported in guide logbooks since 2001 (Perschbacher In prep a,b).
Technicians will attempt to interview all anglers exiting the fishery at the scheduled locations. If more anglers are leaving the fishery than can be interviewed, the technician will select anglers to interview in the order they arrived at the launch. It is critical that the decision to interview an angler is not based on fishing success.
Unguided and guided anglers that are randomly sampled within the current study design will be interviewed at the following 5 access locations:

1) Pillars Boat Launch (RM 12.3)
2) Centennial Campground (RM 20.3)
3) River Bend Campground (RM 14.0)
4) Poacher's Cove (RM 17.4)
5) Eagle Rock Boat Launch (RM 11.4)

Due to shallow water, anglers primarily access the early-run fishery in May at Pillars Boat Launch. As water levels increase, anglers begin utilizing the other access locations listed above. Early in the season, modifications to the schedule may be done depending on the amount of use observed at each access location. Typically, all access locations are used during late June and July.

Angler counts will be conducted from a boat and 4 counts will be made during each sampling day. The start time of the first count (4:00 AM, 5:00 AM, 6:00 AM, 7:00 AM, or 8:00 AM) will be chosen at random, and all remaining counts in a day will be done systematically every 5 hours thereafter. This schedule guarantees at least 2 counts will occur during the guided-angler hours of 6:00 AM-6:00 PM. Although each angler count may take up to 1 hour to complete, they will be treated as instantaneous counts of the entire study area. To maximize interview time, the direction (upstream or downstream) that the technician travels to conduct angler counts will be selected to minimize travel distance and time.

With 4 equally spaced angler counts per day, 3 periods for conducting angler interviews will always be available between the angler counts, plus 1 possible additional period after the last count. When fewer than 4 access locations are available because of low water levels, each location will be sampled before any are repeated, with time and location paired randomly. When there are more available access locations than sampling periods, access locations will be sampled without replacement, with time and access location paired randomly.

Nonholiday Mondays will be excluded from the regular creel survey. The results from surveys conducted during 2009 and 2010, when Mondays were included into the regular creel survey, indicate that less than $5 \%$ of the harvest occurs on nonholiday Mondays during the late run. A shift in angler effort towards midday, compared to angler counts conducted in 1999-2001, warranted recalibration of the index (Perschbacher 2012c). In 2017, a single index angler count will be conducted during the middle of the day (10:00 AM-2:00 PM) on nonholiday Mondays at a time and in a direction that is convenient to the project biologist.

## Inriver Drift Gillnetting

Collection of a representative ASL sample of returning Chinook salmon to the Kenai River with the 5.0 -inch mesh and 7.5 -inch mesh net will continue to be the primary objective of the inriver gillnetting study. During the late run (1 July to 20 August), the use of a 4.0 -inch mesh and 7.5 inch mesh net for approximately one-third of each day's netting shift (2 out of 6 hours) should still allow enough time for achieving the sample size goal of 127 Chinook salmon for brood year reconstruction while using the 5.0 -inch mesh and 7.5 -inch mesh net. If we had followed this sampling schedule during the 2016 late run (netting with the 5.0 -inch and 7.5 -inch mesh net only two-thirds of each shift), the 5.0 -inch mesh and 7.5 -inch mesh net would have caught approximately 172 late-run Chinook salmon.
During 2017, the 5.0 -inch and 7.5 -inch mesh nets used for inseason management and postseason stock assessment will be referred to as "panel nets" hereafter. Data collected from the 4.0 -inch and 7.5 -inch mesh nets, referred to as "alternative panel nets" hereafter, will be analyzed separately and be compared to length compositions, catch rates, and incidental harm of fish captured in the "panel nets."

## Gillnet Specifications

Panel nets are constructed of a 5.0-inch mesh and 7.5-inch mesh within the same net. Each 60 ft long panel net will comprisea 30 ft long, 5.0 -inch mesh panel seamed to a 30 ft long, 7.5 -inch mesh panel. To ensure each net maintains contact with the bottom of the river, panel nets fished midriver in deeper water will be approximately 30 ft deep, and nearshore panel nets fished in shallow water will be approximately 15 ft deep. Depths of nets were determined based on river bottom profiles of the RM 8.6 sonar area conducted by ADF\&G during 2013 (Jim Miller, Fishery Biologist, ADF\&G, Anchorage, personal communication).
Alternative panel nets will be constructed in the same fashion except a 4.0 -inch mesh panel will be seamed to a 7.5 -inch mesh panel. Alternative panel net depths and length will be the same as panel nets.
Inriver nets are multi-fiber mesh in colors that closely match Kenai River water. Specifications of each mesh type are shown below:

1) 5.0 inch (stretched mesh) multifilament ( 80 -meshes deep for midriver net, 40-meshes deep for nearshore net), R44 color, MS73 (14 strand) twine
2) 7.5 inch (stretched mesh) multifilament (52-meshes deep for midriver net, 26-meshes deep for nearshore net), R44 color, MS93 (18 strand) twine
3) 4.0 inch (stretched mesh) multifilament ( 90 -meshes deep for midriver net, 45 -meshes deep for nearshore net), HJ65 color, (8 strand) twine

## Gillnetting Schedule and Area

Inriver netting will be conducted every day from 16 May through 20 August, unless daily sonar passage declines to less than $1 \%$ of the total late run for 3 consecutive days before 20 August. Panel nets will be used during both runs and alternative panel nets will be used only during the late run ( 1 July through 20 August). The gillnetting crew will be composed of 3 fishery technicians, with 2 technicians working each shift (6:00 AM-2:00 PM). Each technician will be scheduled 5 days per week for 8 hours per day of which approximately 6 hours (7:00 AM-1:00 PM) will be spent netting. The first 4 hours of netting (7:00 AM-11:00 AM) will be spent using the panel nets, and the last 2 hours of netting (11:00 AM-1:00 PM) will be spent using the alternative panel nets. The remainder of the time will be for travel to and from the work site, required maintenance, and a 0.5 hr lunch break. The RM 8.6 gillnetting area will be approximately 0.5 mi in length (Figure 2).
Inriver nets will be fished with equal frequency both nearshore and midriver. Midriver sets will be deployed in the section of the channel that was previously insonified by the RM 8.6 sonar to maintain historical comparability. Nearshore sets will be deployed from the shoreline to a point where the midriver sets begin in depths less than 15 ft deep. Rangefinders will be used to ensure the net is within the specified area. Nets will be deployed perpendicular to each bank and a drift will be terminated if any of the following occur: 1) a Chinook salmon is known to be captured in the net, 2) the net becomes snagged on the bottom or is not fishing properly, 3) the net is not fishing in the appropriate area (midriver or nearshore), 4) the end of the study area is reached, 5) the maximum drift time is reached, or 6) the net is determined to be saturated with sockeye or pink salmon (usually greater than 10 fish).

Because each net will have a 5.0 -inch mesh panel (or 4.0 -inch mesh panel) on one end and a 7.5 inch mesh panel on the other, the crew will alternate the mesh size deployed closest to shoreline
(i.e., to avoid having the same size mesh panel always set closest to the shoreline). One sampling "replicate" will consist of 8 drifts: 2 nearshore drifts alternating the mesh size closest to the north bank, 2 nearshore drifts alternating the mesh size closest to south bank, 2 midriver drifts alternating the mesh size closest to the north bank, and 2 midriver drifts alternating the mesh size closest to the south bank. The first drift for each day will alternate by location (nearshore or midriver), mesh size deployed closest to shoreline ( 5.0 inch or 7.5 inch), and direction deployed (left bank or right bank) such that each of the 8 possibilities will be completed before beginning the pattern again.

## Proportion by Age: Sport Harvest and Inriver Run

## Sport Harvest by Age

The sport harvest will be sampled for age composition by collecting scale samples from Chinook salmon encountered during creel survey angler interviews. Assuming a simple random (not stratified) sample and $15 \%$ unreadable scales, a minimum of 29 fish in each run will be required for age class estimates to be within .20 percentage points of the true value $90 \%$ of the time (Thompson 1987). This is equivalent to 25 valid ages for each run. Since 2002, objective criteria have been met for both the early and late runs except for the 2012 late run. The early-run sport fishery was closed by regulation during 2013-2015, and for a majority of 2016 (Table 5).

Table 5.-Number ( $n$ ) and percentage (\%) of Kenai River Chinook salmon sampled from the creel survey for age-1.2, $-1.3,-1.4$, and -1.5 fish during the early and late runs, 2002-2016.

| Year | Early run |  |  |  |  | Late run |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | $n$ | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 2002 | 31 | 12.9\% | 41.9\% | 45.2\% | 0.0\% | 275 | 5.0\% | 23.1\% | 67.6\% | 1.8\% |
| 2003 | 81 | 17.8\% | 42.7\% | 39.5\% | 0.0\% | 311 | 15.0\% | 18.5\% | 64.0\% | 0.9\% |
| 2004 | 99 | 11.1\% | 50.5\% | 38.4\% | 0.0\% | 305 | 8.9\% | 27.5\% | 59.3\% | 3.1\% |
| 2005 | 134 | 6.6\% | 44.1\% | 47.8\% | 0.0\% | 429 | 2.5\% | 18.3\% | 76.1\% | 2.7\% |
| 2006 | 129 | 15.5\% | 38.5\% | 44.8\% | 0.0\% | 313 | 11.5\% | 21.4\% | 60.2\% | 6.5\% |
| 2007 | 106 | 20.0\% | 57.3\% | 21.8\% | 0.0\% | 237 | 11.5\% | 29.9\% | 52.0\% | 6.6\% |
| 2008 | 198 | 11.4\% | 56.5\% | 31.8\% | 0.0\% | 218 | 5.0\% | 27.7\% | 58.7\% | 8.5\% |
| 2009 | 66 | 19.2\% | 33.5\% | 46.3\% | 0.0\% | 195 | 16.4\% | 20.1\% | 61.1\% | 2.4\% |
| 2010 | 59 | 22.1\% | 50.8\% | 24.9\% | 0.0\% | 184 | 13.9\% | 39.9\% | 38.0\% | 4.1\% |
| 2011 | 56 | 19.6\% | 35.7\% | 44.6\% | 0.0\% | 233 | 15.9\% | 21.5\% | 57.9\% | 3.4\% |
| 2012 | 38 | 2.6\% | 23.7\% | 73.7\% | 0.0\% | 4 | - | - | - | - |
| 2013 | NA | - | - | - | - | 50 | 28.2\% | 23.5\% | 43.0\% | 3.4\% |
| 2014 | NA | - | - | - | - | 30 | 26.7\% | 30.0\% | 33.3\% | 0.0\% |
| 2015 | NA | - | - | - | - | 117 | 18.8\% | 28.2\% | 47.0\% | 2.6\% |
| 2016 | NA | - | - | - | - | 189 | 19.0\% | 50.8\% | 25.9\% | 2.1\% |

Source: Reimer et al. (2002); Reimer (2003, 2004 a, 2004 b, 2007); Eskelin (2007, 2009, 2010); Perschbacher (2012 a-d, 2014, 2015, In prep a,b); Perschbacher and Eskelin (2016).

## Inriver Run by Age

Chinook salmon captured in 5.0 -inch and 7.5 -inch mesh panel nets will constitute the ASL sample for the inriver run. Samples will be stratified temporally postseason into approximately 3week time intervals ${ }^{12}$ ( 2 strata during each run) if the strata are found to be significantly different within each run:

1) 16 May- 6 June
2) 7 June- 30 June
3) 1 July- 26 July
4) 26 July- 20 August

Assuming a simple random (not stratified) sample and $15 \%$ unreadable scales, a minimum of 149 fish in each run will be required for age class estimates to be within 10 percentage points of the true value $95 \%$ of the time (Thompson 1987). This is equivalent to 127 valid ages for each run. Since 2002, the sample size goal has been met for both the early and late runs except for the 2012, 2013, and 2015 early runs (Table 6).

Table 6.-Number ( $n$ ) and percentage (\%) of Kenai River Chinook salmon with valid ages sampled with gillnets for age-1.2, $-1.3,-1.4$, and -1.5 fish during the early and late runs, 2002-2016.

| Year | Early run |  |  |  |  | Late run |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $n$ | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | $n$ | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |
| 2002 | 306 | 15.7\% | 37.3\% | 39.5\% | 3.6\% | 945 | 17.1\% | 18.7\% | 58.9\% | 3.2\% |
| 2003 | 724 | 31.6\% | 19.6\% | 46.7\% | 0.9\% | 1,114 | 29.5\% | 19.9\% | 48.9\% | 0.5\% |
| 2004 | 351 | 14.8\% | 33.3\% | 46.4\% | 4.3\% | 933 | 14.0\% | 24.6\% | 58.9\% | 1.3\% |
| 2005 | 362 | 12.4\% | 30.2\% | 52.8\% | 3.5\% | 519 | 6.9\% | 18.5\% | 70.5\% | 4.2\% |
| 2006 | 251 | 31.6\% | 21.2\% | 42.6\% | 3.5\% | 703 | 27.5\% | 14.6\% | 49.6\% | 7.0\% |
| 2007 | 213 | 30.8\% | 35.3\% | 32.6\% | 90.0\% | 437 | 20.4\% | 27.4\% | 43.0\% | 8.8\% |
| 2008 | 163 | 13.7\% | 42.1\% | 42.3\% | 1.1\% | 496 | 7.5\% | 20.6\% | 62.1\% | 7.8\% |
| 2009 | 128 | 14.8\% | 24.2\% | 56.3\% | 1.6\% | 338 | 29.5\% | 11.2\% | 54.8\% | 4.2\% |
| 2010 | 137 | 25.1\% | 47.5\% | 20.0\% | 0.5\% | 221 | 20.1\% | 34.0\% | 35.7\% | 6.2\% |
| 2011 | 182 | 25.8\% | 30.8\% | 40.7\% | 1.1\% | 327 | 29.9\% | 19.2\% | 46.4\% | 2.1\% |
| 2012 | 82 | 9.4\% | 35.9\% | 47.9\% | 1.3\% | 232 | 9.9\% | 40.1\% | 44.4\% | 3.9\% |
| 2013 | 41 | 19.5\% | 26.8\% | 43.9\% | 2.4\% | 149 | 19.5\% | 26.8\% | 43.9\% | 2.4\% |
| 2014 | 146 | 39.7\% | 38.4\% | 8.2\% | 0.7\% | 283 | 23.0\% | 34.6\% | 35.3\% | 1.8\% |
| 2015 | 122 | 41.0\% | 33.6\% | 18.0\% | 1.6\% | 238 | 24.4\% | 22.7\% | 44.5\% | 3.8\% |
| 2016 | 143 | 26.6\% | 48.3\% | 19.6\% | 0.7\% | 258 | 16.3\% | 42.6\% | 36.8\% | 3.9\% |

Source: Reimer et al. (2002); Reimer (2003, 2004 a, 2004 b, 2007); Eskelin (2007, 2009, 2010); Perschbacher (2012 a-d, 2014, 2015, In prep a,b); Perschbacher and Eskelin (2016).
Note: The 2014 and 2015 samples were collected by drifting gillnets midriver and nearshore, whereas during other years, samples were only collected midriver.

## Brood Year Return Reconstruction

In practice, only major components need be sampled for age composition, and the estimates need not be overly precise ${ }^{13}$. This operational plan outlines 2 of 7 early-run components (McKinley

[^7]and Fleischman 2013: Table 4), and 2 of 8 late-run components (Fleischman and McKinley 2013: Table 3) required for brood year reconstruction. Study design and analysis used to reconstruct brood years is described in this operational plan, but overall results and analyses are presented in Fleischman and Reimer (2017), the most recent stock-recruit analysis report. Recent run reconstructions based upon synthesis of all relevant Chinook salmon abundance data reported in Fleischman and Reimer (2017: Tables 1 and 4), estimated the total run from the 1999-2010 brood years with coefficients of variation (CVs) of 0.10 to 0.28 (early run) and 0.09 to 0.24 (late run). The stated precision objective (within $20 \%$ of true value $90 \%$ of time) was met for 10 of 12 years for both the early and late runs.

## Data Collection

## Creel Survey of Inriver Sport Fishery

The creel survey crew will be composed of 2 fishery technicians working each sampling day. Each technician is responsible for conducting angler interviews and angler counts during their shift. Each technician will also take Secchi disk and water temperature readings in the main river channel adjacent to River Quest Resort (RM 15.3) at the beginning of their shift to monitor river conditions that affect the sport fishery. Information regarding any other condition that technicians think is noteworthy or might otherwise affect the fishery will be recorded in a field notebook. Finally, technicians will return their data sheets and field computer to the Soldotna office daily to be downloaded into a computer database.

## Angler Counts

Angler counts are conducted as the boat is driven through the entire length of the survey area. Upon arrival at Slikok Creek (RM 18.9), RM 13.7 Chinook salmon sonar, or Warren Ames Bridge, the technician will record the count data for that river section. Each technician will conduct 2 angler counts during their scheduled shift, for a total of 4 angler counts per day. A count is usually accomplished in approximately 1 hour.
The total number for each of the following categories will be tallied using 8 thumb counters:

1) unguided anglers fishing from power boats
2) unguided anglers fishing from drift boats
3) guided anglers fishing from power boats (excluding the guide)
4) guided anglers fishing from drift boats (excluding the guide)
5) unguided power boats
6) unguided drift boats
7) guided power boats
8) guided drift boats

Only the sum of count categories 1-2 and 3-4 are required for this project; categories 5-8 will be collected as ancillary information for management and historical comparisons. A person will be tallied as an angler if he or she is fishing or rigging a rod. If a boat is traveling with no lines in the water, none of the people in that boat will be considered to be angling. Upon completion of each angler count for a given area, the values will be recorded electronically using data entry software on a Juniper Systems Inc. Allegro CX field computer. If the field computer is not
functioning properly, angler count data will be recorded manually on an angler count data form (Appendix A1).

## Angler Interviews

Between angler counts, the technician will travel by boat to the scheduled access location and interview anglers who have finished angling for the day (completed-trip interviews). A potential bias with the current study design is that anglers may include time they spent not actively fishing (short trips between fishing holes, time spent launching boat, bathroom breaks, etc.). This would result in overestimating angling time (hours actively fishing), leading to underestimation of catch or harvest rates. The amount of time an angler is considered actively fishing for Chinook salmon is the total time the angler's line is in the water or being rigged, but does not include travel time or time after an angler has harvested a fish. Due to differences in angling experience, the tendency to overestimate effort may be greater for unguided anglers than guided anglers (Perschbacher 2014b). Creel survey technicians will stress that "actively fishing for Chinook salmon" does not include time spent launching the boat, traveling upstream or downstream, fishing for other species, or other activities that do not include actively fishing.
During each completed-trip interview, the following information will be recorded from each angler contacted:

1) time of interview
2) boat type (power or drift)
3) angler type (guided or unguided)
4) total hours actively fishing downstream of the RM 13.7 sonar, rounded to the nearest one-quarter hour
5) total hours actively fished upstream of the RM 13.7 sonar to Slikok Creek, rounded to the nearest one-quarter hour
6) location and number of Chinook salmon harvested in each area (downstream or upstream of RM 13.7 to Slikok Creek)
7) location and number of Chinook salmon released in each area (downstream or upstream of RM 13.7 to Slikok Creek)
8) the number of Chinook salmon released by length category: less than 34 inches total length (TL; 75 cm METF), or 34 inches TL or greater
Data will be recorded electronically on a field computer. If the computer is not working properly, data will be entered on an angler interview data form (Appendix A2).
Chinook salmon present during angler interviews ${ }^{14}$ will be sampled for METF length, total length, sex, and genetic tissue. For more details on biological sampling, see "Scale Sampling" and "Genetic Sampling" sections below. Biological data will be recorded on data forms (Appendix A3).
[^8]
## Inriver Drift Gillnetting

Primary responsibilities will be to drift gillnets in the specified areas, sample captured Chinook salmon for ASL data and genetic tissue, count other captured species (measure a subset for length), and record data directly into a handheld computer. The start and stop time will be recorded for each drift. The start time will be the time the crew begins setting the net. The stop time will be the time the crew begins pulling the net.

As the net is retrieved after a set, fish will be untangled and the "manner of capture" (e.g., tangled by teeth or mouth, gilled [net past the gill plate], mouth clamped [net clamping the mouth closed] or wedged [web around body or past pectoral fins]) will be recorded for all salmon sampled for length.

If the captured fish is a Chinook salmon, it will be untangled from the net and tethered to the boat with a cotton color-coded "tail tie" (e.g., red for capture in 5.0 or 4.0 inch mesh, blue for capture in 7.5 inch mesh) placed around the caudal peduncle with the other end affixed to the boat gunwale with a bungee cord (to minimize handling effects). While other fish are untangled, Chinook salmon tethered to the boat will remain in the water while the boat drifts downstream. Because small Chinook salmon (approximately 600 mm METF or less) have a higher tendency to escape from a tail tie, they will be placed into a water-filled tote on the boat for sampling purposes. Once all fish are untangled and the net is inside of the boat, each Chinook salmon will be placed in a padded restraint cradle (Larson 1995) for ASL and genetic tissue sampling. For more detail, see "Scale Sampling" and "Genetic Sampling" sections below. During sampling, the cradle will hang from the side of the boat with its base approximately 15 cm below the water line; thus, tethered Chinook salmon will not be removed from the water at any time. The capture mesh size will be recorded based on the color-coded tail ties. The METF and TL ${ }^{15}$ will be measured to the nearest 5 mm . The METF and "manner of capture" will be recorded for other salmon species captured in the first 8 sets of the day. For captured nonsalmon species, the species and the number of fish will be recorded, and all captured rainbow trout or steelhead and Dolly Varden will be measured for TL.
To prevent resampling, all sampled Chinook salmon captured in the panel net will be given an upper caudal fin hole-punch and those captured in the alternative panel net will be given a ventral caudal hole-punch. When using the panel net, fish recaptured with a hole-punch in the upper caudal fin will be released without being sampled while those with a hole-punch in the lower caudal fin will be sampled for ASL and capture type, but not genetics. When using the alternative panel net, fish recaptured with a hole-punch in the lower caudal fin will be released without being sampled whereas those with a hole-punch in the upper caudal fin will be sampled for ASL and capture type, but not genetics.

After Chinook salmon are sampled and released, the condition in which it swam away will be recorded as either: vigorous, vigorous and bleeding, lethargic, lethargic and bleeding, cut or scraped, or other (e.g., seal bite).
Data will be recorded electronically using data entry software on a Juniper Systems Inc. Allegro CX field computer ${ }^{16}$. After sampling, the crew will download the data onto a desktop PC. If the field computer is not functioning properly, data will be recorded on a data form (Appendix A4).

[^9]In addition, crews will also fill out a field notebook daily to document observations not covered by the electronic data entry system.

## Scale Sampling

For all sport harvested Chinook salmon sampled in the creel survey and captured during inriver netting, 3 scales will be taken from the left side of the body of each sampled fish at a point on a diagonal from the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin to the anterior insertion of the anal fin, 2 rows above the lateral line (Clutter and Whitesel 1956; Welander 1940), and placed on an adhesive-coated card. An impression will be made of the scales on the card using a press under 25,000 pounds per square inch and then the scales growth patterns will be viewed with a $40 \times$ microfiche reader to determine freshwater and marine residence times.

## Genetics Sampling

In the creel survey, tissue samples (tip of axillary process) will be taken from harvested fish for genetics analysis. In the inriver gillnetting study (panel and alternative panel nets), tissue samples will be collected from dorsal finclips because the axillary process, on the ventral side of the fish, is difficult to remove from Chinook salmon held in a cradle suspended in the water. Detailed methods including those prior to sampling and during sampling, and those related to postsampling storage, shipping, and supplies are given in Appendix B1. The genetics tissue samples stored at the Soldotna office until the end of the season will be sent to the Anchorage ADF\&G Gene Conservation Lab for archiving.

## Coded Wire Tag (CWT) Recovery

All Chinook salmon sampled during the course of the creel survey and captured in inriver nets will be examined for the absence of the adipose fin. A missing adipose fin indicates the fish is either missing the fin naturally or received a CWT as a juvenile. Presence of a CWT may identify a hatchery-produced Chinook salmon stray or a wild Chinook salmon tagged in another river system that strayed to the Kenai River. Technicians will remove the head of all adipose finclipped Chinook salmon encountered, affix a numerical cinch strap to the jaw, and store it in a Soldotna office freezer. Permission must be obtained from anglers if encountered during the creel survey. All data, including the number of Chinook salmon examined and the number observed missing the adipose fin, will be recorded. The cinch strap number will also be recorded alongside ASL data to enable cross-referencing between datasets. At the end of the field season, head samples and collected data will be sent to the ADF\&G Mark, Tag and Age Laboratory located in Juneau for dissection and CWT recovery.

## Environmental Variables

A Secchi disc depth reading will be recorded at the beginning and end of each gillnetting shift to monitor river conditions that could affect netting catch rates. The Secchi disc readings will be taken at the same location, midriver near the center of the gillnetting area. The netting crews will also record the direction of river flow for each midriver and nearshore set. Once the net is deployed, the crew will record the direction the net drifts in relation to the shoreline. Each set will be recorded as either a downstream, slack, or upstream set.
Each creel technician will take a Secchi disk and water temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ) reading in the main river channel adjacent to River Quest Resort (RM 15.3) during his or her first boat count to monitor river conditions that could affect sport angler catch rates.

## SAMPLE SIZE

## Catch and Harvest (Objective 1)

In 2016, sport-fish anglers caught 4,683 (SE 516) Chinook salmon and harvested 3,712 (SE 497) on the Kenai River between Warren Ames Bridge and RM 13.7 during the late run of July 1 to July 31 (Perschbacher In prep b). During the same time period, sport-fish anglers caught 3,130 (SE 502) Chinook salmon and harvested 2,469 (SE 420) between RM 13.7 and Soldotna Bridge. Approximately 80\% (SE 3\%) of the fish harvested in 2016 were greater than 75 cm (METF). Assuming similar Chinook salmon late-run abundance, similar sport fishing activities, and the same effort to interview anglers, a simulation predicted the total catch and harvest estimates of large Chinook salmon ( $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ METF) during the late run should be within $30 \%$ of the true value $90 \%$ of the time. During inseason evaluation, it is impossible for the partial catch and harvest estimate to meet the above standard. However, the absolute precision should be within 1,000 fish of the true value $90 \%$ of time. Thus the criterion in Objective 1 should be met.

## Age Compositions (Objective 2)

According to the criteria developed by Thompson for multinomial proportions (1987), 127 fish from each run during the inriver gillnetting need to be successfully sampled for age in order to meet the precision criteria in Objective 2(a). In 2016, 143 and 258 fish were successfully sampled for age during the early run and late run, respectively. If the sampling efforts remain the same as in 2016, enough fish will be sampled for age in 2017 to meet the objective precision criteria.

To estimate the age compositions of Chinook salmon harvest by the sport fishery between Warren Ames Bridge and Slikok Creek for each run, 25 fish need to be successfully sampled for age during each run. In 2016, the early-run sport fishery was closed by regulation for a majority of the run and 189 fish were successfully sampled for age in the late run (Table 5). If the sampling efforts remain the same as in 2016, and the early run is not closed to harvest, enough fish will be sampled for age in 2017 to meet the precision criteria in Objective 2(b).

## DATA REDUCTION

Creel and netting technicians will return their scale cards, genetics samples, and field ASL data forms to the Soldotna office daily and will be responsible for ensuring the data is legible and accurate. Technicians will also be responsible for entering most data (except for age data) into the field computer and downloading data to the project biologist desktop computer that will output the datasets into a comma separated text (.txt) format for analysis. Age data will be entered directly into master electronic data files after age is determined by scale reading. Data maps for all the information to be collected in this project are shown in Appendices C1-C5.

The Technician Manual (Appendix D1) has expectations, responsibilities, and general operating procedures for creel and netting crews to reference and follow. Crews will be required to read this manual and keep it in their clipboard for reference while on duty.
The project biologist will edit creel survey, inriver gillnetting, and biological data to ensure values of counts, interview data, age, and length-at-age are within regular bounds. The biologist will also edit the data for obvious coding errors, prepare inseason data summaries daily, conduct postseason data analyses, and write a Division of Sport Fish Fishery Data Series report. All creel survey, inriver gillnetting, and biological data will be kept in computer files and edited by 1

December. Data files (and relevant data maps) of interest to project staff will be posted to the ADF\&G Research and Technical Services (RTS) DocuShare ${ }^{17}$ website.

## DATA ANALYSIS

## Creel Survey: Inriver Effort, Catch, and Harvest

Estimates of angler effort, catch, and harvest of Chinook salmon downstream of Slikok Creek will be calculated by following the procedures outlined in Bernard et al. 1998). Angler effort estimates, estimates of catch and harvest rates, and estimates of catch and harvest will be conducted in a poststratified manner for each angler type. The adjustments in the variance estimates for covariances due to poststratifying by angler type are expected to be minor and will be ignored (Bernard et al. 1998).

## Angler Effort

The mean number of anglers on day $i$ in stratum $h$ will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{x}_{h i}=\frac{\sum_{g=1}^{r_{h i}} x_{h i g}}{r_{h i}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$x_{\text {hig }}=$ the number of anglers observed in the $g$ th count of day $i$ in stratum $h$, and
$r_{h i}=$ the number of counts on day $i$ in stratum $h$.
Stratum $h$ is defined by Table 1.
Angler counts will be conducted systematically within each sample day. The variance of the mean angler count will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\bar{x}_{h i}\right)=\frac{\sum_{g=2}^{r_{h i}}\left(x_{h i g}-x_{h i(g-1)}\right)^{2}}{2 r_{h i}\left(r_{h i}-1\right)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Daily estimates of angler effort (angler-hours) will be the product of total hours in the sampled period ( 12 for guided and 20 for unguided) and the average number of anglers over the counting survey. Effort (angler-hours) during day $i$ in stratum $h$ will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{h i}=L_{h i} \bar{x}_{h i}, \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $L_{h i}$ is the length of the sample day ( 20 hours for unguided anglers, 12 hours for guided anglers).

The within-day variance (effort) will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{E}_{h i}\right)=L_{h i}^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\bar{x}_{h i}\right) . \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^10]The mean effort for stratum $h$ will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\bar{E}_{h}=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d_{n}} \hat{E}_{h i}}{d_{h}}, \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $d_{h}$ is the number of days sampled in stratum $h$.
The sample variance of daily effort for stratum $h$ will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
S^{2}\left(E_{h}\right)=\frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}}\left(\hat{E}_{h i}-\bar{E}_{h}\right)^{2}}{\left(d_{h}-1\right)} \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

Total effort for stratum $h$ will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{E}_{h}=D_{h} \bar{E}_{h}, \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $D_{h}$ is the total number of days the fishery will be open in stratum $h$.
The variance of total effort for each stratum in a 2-stage design, omitting the finite population correction factor for the second stage, will be estimated as follows (Bernard et al. 1998):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{E}_{h}\right)=(1-f) D_{h}^{2} \frac{S^{2}\left(E_{h}\right)}{d_{h}}+f D_{h}^{2} \frac{\sum_{i=1}^{d_{h}} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{E}_{h i}\right)}{d_{h}^{2}}, \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f$ is the fraction of days sampled $\left(d_{h} / D_{h}\right)$.

## Catch and Harvest

Catch and harvest per unit (hour) of effort (CPUE and HPUE) for day $i$ will be estimated from angler interviews for large Chinook salmon ( $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ METF) and all Chinook salmon, respectively. The estimate of CPUE (similarly HPUE) on day $i$ in stratum $h$ will be estimated as follows ${ }^{18}$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathrm{CPUE}_{h i}=\frac{\sum_{a=1}^{m_{h i}} c_{h i a}}{\sum_{a=1}^{m_{h i}} e_{h i a}} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

[^11]where
$c_{\text {hia }}=$ catch of angler $a$ interviewed on day $i$ in stratum $h$,
$e_{h i a}=\operatorname{effort}$ (hours fished) by angler $a$ interviewed on day $i$ in stratum $h$, and
$m_{h i}=$ number of anglers interviewed on day $i$ in stratum $h$.
The variance of CPUE (similarly HPUE) on day $i$ in stratum $h$ will be estimated as follows:
\[

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(C P U E_{h i}\right)=\frac{\sum_{a=1}^{m_{h i}}\left(c_{h i a}-e_{h i a} \cdot C P U E_{h i}\right)^{2}}{m_{h i}\left(m_{h i}-1\right) \bar{e}_{h i}^{2}}, \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

\]

where $\bar{e}_{h i}$ is the average of effort (hours fished) of all anglers interviewed on day $i$ in stratum $h$.
Daily estimates of catch (similarly for harvest) will then be calculated as the product of the daily estimate of angler effort and catch (or harvest) rates:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}_{h i}=\hat{E}_{h i} C P U E_{h i} . \tag{11}
\end{equation*}
$$

Its variance will be estimated as follows (Goodman 1960):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{C}_{h i}\right)=\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{E}_{h i}\right)\left(C P U E_{h i}\right)^{2}+\operatorname{var}\left(C P U E_{h i}\right) \hat{E}_{h i}^{2}-\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{E}_{h i}\right) \operatorname{var}\left(C P U E_{h i}\right) \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

HPUE for large Chinook salmon ( $\geq 75 \mathrm{~cm}$ METF) and all Chinook salmon will be estimated by substituting angler harvest for angler catch in Equations 9-10, respectively. Harvest during sample day $i$ will be estimated by substituting the appropriate $H P U E_{h i}$ statistics into Equations 11 and 12. Total catch and harvest for both large fish and all fish during stratum $h$ will be estimated using Equations $5-8$, substituting estimated catch $\left(\hat{C}_{h i}\right)$ and harvest $\left(\hat{H}_{h i}\right)$ during sample day $i$ for the estimated effort $\left(\hat{E}_{h i}\right)$ during day $i$.

## Angler Effort, Catch, and Harvest on Mondays

During the early and late run, a single angler count and no interviews will be conducted on nonholiday Mondays in 2017. The following ad hoc estimation procedure will be used to obtain rough estimates of Monday effort, catch, and harvest; these estimates are not intended to conform to the same standard of statistical rigor as those for the remainder of the week:

1) The relationship between index counts and mean count on Mondays for 2009-2010 will be used to estimate the relationship between index counts and mean counts on Mondays for 2017. Based on previous studies, the mean number of anglers is approximately $52 \%$ of the number of anglers counted during the "index" period (1000-1400 hours).
2) To estimate angler-hours of effort $E$, the estimated mean count will be multiplied by the length of the unguided angler day ( 20 hours).
3) To estimate CPUE and HPUE on Mondays without angler interviews, we exploit the tendency for angler success to exhibit an autocorrelated time trend. CPUE and HPUE will be plotted versus time for days sampled with angler interviews, and then we will impute CPUE and HPUE values for each Monday.
4) Catch and harvest will be estimated as the product of the imputed values of CPUE and HPUE and the estimate of $E$ derived from the index count.

## Inriver Gillnetting CPUE

Two midriver (and nearshore) drifts, originating from each side $(k)$ of the river, will be conducted with 1 mesh size deployed from the boat; the sequence will then repeated with the other mesh size deployed from the boat. A repetition $j$ consists of a complete set of 8 drifts ( 4 midriver and 4 nearshore) with the panel net. Daily CPUE $r$ of species $s$ in mesh size $m$ for day $i$ will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{r}_{s m i}=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{2} c_{s m j k}}{\sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}} \sum_{k=1}^{2} e_{m i j k}}, \tag{13}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{r}_{\text {smi }}\right)=\frac{\sum_{j=1}^{J_{i}}\left(c_{s m i j}-\hat{r}_{\text {smi }} e_{m i j}\right)^{2}}{\bar{e}_{m i}^{2} J_{i}\left(J_{i}-1\right)}, \tag{14}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $c_{\text {smijk }}$ is the catch of species $s$ in mesh $m$ during a drift originating from bank $k$ during repetition $j$ on day $i, e_{m i j k}$ is the effort (soak time in minutes) for that drift, $J_{\mathrm{i}}$ is the number of repetitions completed on day $i, c_{s m i j}$ is the catch of species $i$ in mesh $m$ summed across drifts on both banks conducted during repetition $j$ of day $i, e_{m i j}$. is the effort for mesh $m$ summed across drifts on both banks conducted during repetition $j$ of day $i$, and $\bar{e}_{m i}$ is the mean of $e_{m i j}$ across all repetitions $j$ for mesh $m$ on day $i$. The variance follows Cochran (1977: page 66).

For the 2017 alternative panel net, a complete repetition $j$ will consist of complete set of 8 drifts ( 4 midriver and 4 nearshore). Daily CPUE and associated variances will follow Equations 1 and 2 , respectively, for the late run.

## Chinook Salmon Length Comparisons

Nonparametric Kolmogorov-Smirnov (K-S) tests will be used to test for differences between length distributions of Chinook salmon captured in inriver gillnets by location (nearshore vs. midriver), between panel and alternative panel nets, and between fish captured in inriver gillnets and those sampled at Kenai River tributary weirs. The D statistics and the associated $P$-value will be reported for the following K-S test comparisons:

1) The cumulative length distribution of Chinook salmon captured in nearshore gillnets vs. the cumulative length distribution of those captured in midriver gillnets for each run (panel and alternative panel nets, respectively).
2) The cumulative length distribution of late-run Chinook salmon sampled in panel nets vs. the cumulative length distribution of late-run Chinook salmon sampled in alternative panel nets.
3) The cumulative length distributions of early-run Chinook salmon sampled in gillnets at RM 8.6 vs. the cumulative length distribution of Killey River weir and Funny River weir combined.

A 2-sample K-S test will compare the cumulative length distributions of 2 samples (Tests 1-2) whereas a 1 -sample K-S test (Test 3) will compare the cumulative length distribution of a sample with a reference distribution (Killey River weir and Funny River weir combined length distribution). The Killey River and Funny River account for a majority of spawning early-run Chinook salmon, and in the 1 -sample K-S tests we assume the Killey River weir and Funny River weir combined length distribution will be an adequate representation of Kenai River earlyrun Chinook salmon.

## Age and Sex Composition of Sport Harvest and Inriver Netting

Age and sex compositions of the Chinook salmon harvest, and RM 8.6 midriver and nearshore netting were estimated for each run by time or geographic stratum $t$. The proportion of Chinook salmon in age or sex group $b$ in stratum $t$ was estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{b t}=\frac{n_{b t}}{n_{t}} \tag{15}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$n_{b t} \quad=$ the number of Chinook salmon of age or sex group $b$ sampled during stratum $t$, and
$n_{t} \quad=$ the number of successfully aged Chinook salmon sampled during stratum $t$.
The variance of $\hat{p}_{b t}$ was approximated as follows (Cochran 1977):

$$
\begin{equation*}
V\left(\hat{p}_{b t}\right)=\frac{\hat{p}_{b t}\left(1-\hat{p}_{b t}\right)}{\left(n_{t}-1\right)} \tag{16}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Total Return by Brood Year

Data analysis used to reconstruct brood years is described in this operational plan but overall results and analyses will be presented in separate stock-recruit analysis reports. Total return originating from brood year $y$ for each of the early and late runs will be the sum of age-specific total returns across 5 calendar years bracketing 3-through 7-year-old fish:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R}_{y}=\sum_{a=3}^{7} \hat{R}_{y+a, a} \tag{17}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{R}_{y+a, a}$ is the sum of the estimates of inriver run $I_{a}$ at RM 13.7 (estimated by sonar; Miller et al. 2016), plus commercial harvest $C_{a}$ (late run, censuses from Eastside setnet and Upper Cook Inlet drift gillnet fisheries), the Kenai River personal use harvest $P_{a}$ (late run), the late-run marine sport harvest $M_{a}$, and sport harvest $S_{a}$ downstream of the RM 13.7 sonar (estimated by creel survey), each restricted to the appropriate age $a$ and calendar year $t=y+a$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{R}_{t, a}=\hat{I}_{t, a}+\hat{C}_{t, a}+\hat{P}_{t, a}+\hat{M}_{t, a}+\hat{S}_{t, a} . \tag{18}
\end{equation*}
$$

Omitting $t$ for simplicity, age-specific commercial harvest and its variance will be estimated as the product of the commercial harvest $C$ and the estimate of age proportion $p$ as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{C}_{a}=C \hat{p}_{C a} \tag{19}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{C}_{a}\right)=C^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{C a}\right) \tag{20}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{C a}=\frac{n_{C a}}{n_{C}} \tag{21}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{C a}\right)=\frac{\hat{p}_{C a}\left(1-\hat{p}_{C a}\right)}{n_{C}-1} \tag{22}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $n_{C}$ is the number of valid ages sampled from the commercial harvest, of which $n_{C a}$ are age $a$.
Similarly, age-specific sport harvest below the sonar will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{S}_{a}=\hat{S} \hat{p}_{S a} \tag{23}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{S}$ is the estimate of sport harvest below the sonar from the creel survey, with variance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{S}_{a}\right)=\hat{S}^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{S a}\right)+\hat{p}_{S a}^{2} \operatorname{var}(\hat{S})-\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{S a}\right) \operatorname{var}(\hat{S}) \tag{24}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{S a}=\frac{n_{S a}}{n_{S}} \tag{25}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{S a}\right)=\frac{\hat{p}_{S a}\left(1-\hat{p}_{S a}\right)}{n_{s}-1} \tag{26}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n_{S}$ is the number of valid ages sampled from the sport harvest, of which $n_{S a}$ are age $a$.
Age-specific personal use $P$ will be estimated using Equations $19-22$ and substituting $P$ for $C$. Age-specific marine sport harvest $M$ will be estimated using Equations 23-26 and substituting $M$ for $S$.
Finally, the estimate of age-specific inriver return will be stratified into two 3-week periods (subscript h):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{I}_{a}=\sum_{h=1}^{2} \hat{I}_{h} \hat{p}_{\text {Iha }} \tag{27}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variance

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{I}_{a}\right)=\sum_{h=1}^{2}\left[\hat{I}_{h}^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{\text {Iha }}\right)+\hat{p}_{\text {Iha }}^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{I}_{h}\right)-\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{\text {Iha }}\right) \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{I}_{h}\right)\right] \tag{28}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{I h a}=\frac{n_{I h a}}{n_{I h}} \tag{29}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{\text {Iha }}\right)=\frac{\hat{p}_{\text {Iha }}\left(1-\hat{p}_{\text {Iha }}\right)}{n_{\text {Ih }}-1} \tag{30}
\end{equation*}
$$

and $n_{I h}$ is the number of valid ages sampled from the inriver run during stratum $h$, of which $n_{\text {Iha }}$ are age $a$. All analyses will be conducted separately for the early and late runs. Variance estimates for species proportions (Equations 20, 22, 24, 26, 28, and 30) assume that each sampled fish is an independent observation (i.e., that simple random sampling [SRS] was employed). In reality, the sport harvest will be sampled with a multistage design (creel survey), and the inriver return with a cluster design (netting), and technically, the age proportion variances should be estimated in the context of those designs. However age composition changes very slowly over time, and in the past we have assumed that variability between sampling stages and among clusters is negligible. To verify this, we re-analyzed the 2006 netting data, calculated the age proportions (equivalently Equations 3.31 to 3.34 in Cochran 1977: p. 66) and compared them to the simple random sampling estimators in Equations 25 and 26. The point estimates and their standard errors were essentially equivalent. Based on this evidence, we will continue to use the SRS equations for convenience.

## SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES

| Dates | Activity | Personnel |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 15 May | 2017 Operational Plan | Perschbacher |
| 1 Apr-15 May | Prepare equipment for the field season | Perschbacher |
| 1 Apr-15 May | Field season preparation and preseason training | All staff |
| 16 May-31 Jul | Creel surveys | Karic, Vacant |
| 16 May-20 Aug | RM 8.6 inriver gillnetting | Elkins, Duran, Vacant |
| Daily | Inseason angler effort, harvest, and netting CPUE estimates | Perschbacher |
| Daily | Interview and count data edited | Perschbacher |
| Weekly | Interview and count data summarized | Perschbacher |
| $15-30$ Aug | Prepare equipment for winter storage | Perschbacher |
| 1 Oct | Scales read | Perschbacher |
| 1 Nov | Age composition summary | Pinal creel estimates |
| 1 Dec |  | Perschbacher |

The results of this project will be presented in an Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Fishery Data Series report. The estimates of catch, harvest, and age will also be presented in separate Fishery Data Series reports describing assessment of each run of Chinook salmon.

## RESPONSIBILITIES

## Principle Investigator

## Jeff Perschbacher, Project Leader, Fishery Biologist I (1 April-30 November)

Duties: The project leader is responsible for writing the operational plan. This position will serve as the project biologist and will be responsible for removing equipment from winter storage, readying it for use, for hiring and training any new personnel, and completion of Monday index boat counts. The project biologist will be responsible for inseason data reduction and conducting daily data analysis, postseason data analysis, and writing the ADF\&G fishery data series report. This position will also ensure all data are in proper format and posted on DocuShare at the completion of the field season and will be expected to generate all harvest and effort estimates and will post regular summaries inseason on DocuShare. This position is also responsible for ensuring all pressing and aging of Chinook salmon scale samples from the creel survey and inriver gillnets is accomplished and will summarize the age composition data and forward the information to the area research biologist. All related data files and scale cards will be archived. It will also be the responsibility of this position to keep the area research biologist informed of any problems with equipment or personnel affecting the completion of this project.

## Area Research Supervisor

## Robert Begich, Fishery Biologist III

Duties: This position will serve as the overall supervisor for the project. When necessary, the Area Research Supervisor will assist project personnel with all aspects of this project.

## Consulting Biometrician

## Jiaqi Huang, Biometrician III

Duties: Provide guidance on sampling design and data analysis; assist with preparation of operational plan and report.

## Project Leader Supervisor

## Tony Eskelin, Fishery Biologist II

Duties: This position will serve as the direct supervisor of the project leader and will assist the project leader when necessary in all aspects of crew supervision, field season preparation and collection of data, data analysis, report writing, and operational planning.

## Creel Survey Crew

Ivan Karic and Vacant, Fish and Wildlife Technician III (10 May-31 July)
Duties: Primary responsibilities of these positions when the sport fishery is open include interviewing and counting sport anglers and boats while adhering to strict sampling schedule, sampling harvested Chinook salmon for ASL and CWT information, recording data accurately, entering data into a computerized database in a timely manner, and answering questions from the
public on a variety of subjects such as sport fishing regulations and local fishery issues. Fishery violations observed during the course of normal duties will be documented and forwarded to the project leader and other enforcement agencies as needed.

## Inriver Gillnetting Crew

Kirsten Duran, Johnna Elkins, and Vacant, Fish and Wildlife Technician II (10 May-20 August)
Duties: Capturing and sampling salmon in gillnets while adhering to strict sampling schedules and protocols. Further duties are preventative maintenance and repair of assigned equipment.

## BUDGET SUMMARY

FY 17 allocation and proposed FY18 costs:

| Line item | Category | FY 17 budget (\$K) | FY 18 budget (\$K) |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | Personnel Services | 381.9 | 386.3 |
|  | Other | 1.0 | 1.2 |
| 200 | Travel | 1.6 | 1.6 |
| 300 | Contractual | 20.9 | 20.7 |
| 400 | Commodities | 5.8 | 5.4 |
| 500 | Equipment | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| Total |  | 411.2 | 415.2 |

Funded personnel FY 17/FY18:

| PCN | Name | Level | Funded man months |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| 114023 | Begich, Robert | Fishery Biologist III | 12.0 |
| 115244 | Eskelin, Anthony | Fishery Biologist II | 12.0 |
| 114190 | Perschbacher, Jeff | Fishery Biologist I | 8.0 |
| 114249 | Vacant | FWT III | 2.7 |
| 114253 | Karic, Ivan | FWT III | 2.7 |
| 114306 | Elkins, Johnna | FWT II | 3.1 |
| 114213 | Duran, Kirsten | FWT II | 3.1 |
| 115239 | Vacant | FWT II | 3.1 |
| Total |  |  | 46.7 |
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## APPENDIX A: KENAI RIVER CHINOOK SALMON CREEL SURVEY AND INRIVER GILLNETTING FORMS, 2017

Appendix A1.-Kenai River Chinook salmon creel count form.
KENAI RIVER CHINOOK CREEL COUNT FORM
Water Conditions by Ciechanski State Park
Date:
Morning shift time: $\qquad$
Secchi (m): $\qquad$
$\qquad$

Evening shift time: $\qquad$
Secchi (m): $\qquad$
Water Temp (F): Water Temp (F): $\qquad$

| Technician Initials | $\begin{gathered} \text { Count } \\ \text { Time } \end{gathered}$ | River Section * | Non Guided |  |  |  | Guided |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Power |  | Drift |  | Power |  | Drift |  |
|  |  |  | Boats | Anglers | Boats | Anglers | Boats | Anglers | Boats | Anglers |
| Count \#1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Warren Ames Bridge RM 13.7 Chinook sonar |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | RM 13.7 Chinook sonarSlikok Creek |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |



Time: Military time at start of count River Section: reset counters at each section. Angler: count a person as an angler if actively fishing or rigging a line.
Do not count Guides as anglers, only fisherman actively fishing or rigging a line

Appendix A2.-Kenai River Chinook salmon creel interview form.

## KENAI RIVER CHINOOK CREEL INTERVIEW FORM

Page $\qquad$ of $\qquad$ Date:_Crew Name(s):

|  | Time | Site | Fishing Method | Boat \# | Angler Type | Angler <br> \# | Hours Fished |  | Harvest Loc. <br> (A) or (B) | Release Loc. <br> (A) or (B) | $\begin{gathered} \text { Release size } \\ (\geq 34 \text { ") or ( (<34") } \end{gathered}$ | Chinook Salmon |  |  |  |  | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Above 13.7 | Below 13.7 |  |  |  | Card \# | Fish \# | Length (metf) | Sex | Genetics \# |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Site: 1=Centennial, 3=Riverbend, 5= Eagle rock, 6=Pillar's, 7=Poachers Cove Fishing method: Power (P) or Drift (D) Angler type: Guided (G) or Unguided (U) Angler \#: Restart at 1 with each new boat. Time Fished: time line was in the water actively fishing to the nearest 15 minutes Below RM 13.7 and Above RM 13.7

Harvest Loc.: Harvested above RM 13.7 (A), or below RM 13.7 (B) Released Loc.: Released above RM 13.7 (A) or below RM 13.7 (B). Release Size: >=34 inches, or <34 inches Total Length Chinook Salmon Section Scale Card \# and Fish\# (ex. 1-1, 1-2...) Length METF, Genetics \#, Sex male/female
Comments: Adipose fin clipped AFC\# or "no head" collected or other relevant comments regarding the interview or fish kept or released

Appendix A3.-Kenai River Chinook salmon age, sex, and length (ASL) sampling form.
KENAI RIVER CHINOOK CREEL ASL SAMPLING FORM


Appendix A4.-Kenai River inriver gillnetting sampling form.

## KENAI RIVER CHINOOK NETTING FORM

CREW:
$\square$

| Set \# | $\begin{gathered} \text { Net } \\ 4,5,7 \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Bank } \\ \text { L or } R \end{gathered}$ | Area <br> NS or MR | Flow u/d/s | Start <br> Time | Stop <br> Time | Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  | Other fish |  |  |  |  | Comments <br> King Escapes/Recap/Other |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Capture | Mesh | Scale \# | Sex | METF (mm) | TL (mm) | Gen.\# | Species | \# | Capture | Mesh | METF (mm) |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Set\#: start at \#1, Bank: Left or Right Area: Midriver or Nearshore Mesh: 4, 5, or 7.5" Start time: start of drift Stop time: end of drift Tide: direction of drift (upstream, downstream, or slack)
Chinook salmon (use one line per fish) Capture: Tangle/Gill/Wedge/Clamped, Mesh: 4, 5 or 7, Scale card\#, Sex (M/F), Length Mid eye to tail fork (mm) and Total Length ( mm ), Genetics Tissue \#.
Other Fish: Species: $\mathrm{S}=$ sockeye, $\mathrm{C}=$ coho, $\mathrm{P}=$ pink, $\mathrm{DV}=$ dolly varden, $\mathrm{H}=$ holligan, $\mathrm{SF}=$ starry flounder: \# caught, capture type, and length if needed. Secchi/Tide section. Time: record Secchi: to nearest 5 cm

## APPENDIX B: GENETICS SAMPLING INSTRUCTIONS

Appendix B1.-Genetics sampling instructions.

# Adult Finfish Tissue Sampling for DNA Analysis <br> ADF\&G Gene Conservation Lab, Anchorage 

## I. General Information

We use fin tissues as a source of DNA to genotype fish. Genotyped fish are used to determine the genetic characteristics of fish stocks or to determine stock compositions of fishery mixtures. The most important thing to remember in collecting samples is that only quality tissue samples give quality results. If sampling from carcasses: tissues need to be as "fresh" and as cold as possible.

Preservative used: Silica desiccant bead packet and salt dries and preserves tissues for later DNA extraction. Quality DNA preservation requires Fast drying (under 5 hours at $65^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ); Dry storage (with 2 desiccant packs) in weathertight file box.
II. Sampling Method

IV. Supplies included in sampling kit:

1. Clippers - for cutting a portion of selected fin
2. Whatm an genetics card-hol ds 10 fish/card
3. Pelican case - $1^{12}$ stage of drying and holding card samples.
4. Non-iodized salt - distribute 1 tsp. non-iodized salt over each card
5. Silica packs - desiccant removes moi sture from samples.
6. Blotter paper - covers full sample card for drying: multiple use.

Watertight file box - dry storage prior to return shipment.
8. Plastic photo page -10 cards per page for return shipment.
9. Manila envelope - pack dried cards in manila envelope.
10. Shipping box -put seal ed $m$ arila envel ope inside box.
11. Stapler - extra protection secure sample to rumbered grid.
12. Staples - only use staples provided specific for stapler.
13. Dehydrator - oven-dry desiccant packs overri ght (share w/CF).
14. Laminated "return address" labels.
15. Sampling instructions.
16. Pencil

## III. Sampling Instructions

- Every morning: before sampling, rotate 3 desiccant packs (2Pelican micro, 1-file box) into dehydrator @ $160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for 12 hrs . (NOT SAMPLES)!
- Prior to sampling: Set up work space, fill out required collection information (upper left hand corner only) and place Whatman genetics card (10WGC) flat for easy access; ready to sample.
- Sampling:
- Wipe fin prior to sampling.
- Briefly wipe or rinse clippers with water between samples to reduce cross contaminating.
- Using clippers, cut one axillary fin per fish.
- Place one clipped fin tissue onto appropriate grid space. Follow sampling order printed on card - do not deviate. If large tissue sample, center tissue diagonally on grid space.
- Only one fin clip per fish into each numbered grid space.
- Staple each sample to 10 WGC (see photo).
- Sampling complete, dust tissues with $\mathbf{1}$ tsp non-iodized salt to promote the preservation process.
- Staple landscape cloth "rain fly" to paper edge (2 staples max).
- Loading Pelican Case:
- $1^{\text {st }}$ card: Remove blotter papers and desiccant packs from Pelican case. Place first card in Pelican case with tissues facing up. Next, place blotter paper directly over card and place one desiccant pack on top. Close and secure lid so drying begins.
- Up to 4 cards can be added per case. Add them so tissıe samples always face the desiccant pack through blotter paper: $2^{\text {rd }}$ card facing down between desiccant packs; $3^{\text {rd }}$ card facing up between desiccant packs; and $4^{\text {th }}$ card facing down on top of second desiccant pack. Close and secure Pelican case after inserting each card.
- All Whatman cards remain in Pelican overnight to dry flat.
- Post-sampling storage: Every morning, store dried tissue cards in weathertight file box at room temperature. Two desiccant packs are allocated for file box: every morning rotate 3 desiccant packs (2-Pelican, 1file box) into dehydrator @ $160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for 12 hours. (NOT SAMPLES)!
- Shipping at end of the season: Pack 10 dried cards per plastic photo page, slide in manila envelope; pack inside priority mailing box. Tape box shut and tape retum address on box.
V. Shipping: Address the sealed mailer box for return shipment to ADF\&G Genetics lab.

| Retum to ADF \&G Anchorage hab: | ADF\&G-Genetics | Labstaff: 907-267-2247 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
|  | 333 Raspberry Road | JudyBerger: 907-267-2175 |
|  | Anchorage, Alaska99518 | Freight code: |



## APPENDIX C: DATA MAPS FOR KENAI RIVER CHINOOK SALMON CREEL SURVEY AND INRIVER GILLNETTING STUDY, 2017.

Appendix C1.-Data map for file Kscnt2017.dta.

| Data field name | Start <br> column | End <br> column | Comma <br> column | Codes and comments |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :--- |
| Month | 1 | 2 | 3 |  |
| Day | 4 | 5 | 6 |  |
| Year | 7 | 10 | 11 | Four digit year |
| Location | 12 | 12 | 13 | 1 = Warren Ames Bridge to RM 13.7 Chinook salmon sonar site, $2=$ RM 13.7 sonar to Slikok |
|  |  |  |  | Creek |
| Count time | 14 | 17 | 18 | Military time when count began |
| Unguided power boat count | 19 | 22 | 23 | A boat was counted if it contained at least one angler |
| Unguided power angler count | 24 | 27 | 28 | Anglers were defined as people who had a line in the water or were rigging a line |
| Unguided drift boat count | 29 | 32 | 33 | A boat was counted if it contained at least one angler |
| Unguided drift angler count | 34 | 37 | 38 | Anglers were defined as people who had a line in the water or were rigging a line |
| Guided power boat count ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 39 | 42 | 43 | A boat was counted if it contained at least one angler |
| Guided power angler count ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 44 | 47 | 48 | Anglers were defined as people who had a line in the water or were rigging a line |
| A | 49 | 52 | 53 | A boat was counted if it contained at least one angler |
| Guided drift boat count ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | End |  |  |
| Guided drift angler count ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 54 | 57 | End | Anglers were defined as people who had a line in the water or were rigging a line |

[^12]Appendix C2.-Data map for file Ksint2017.txt.


Appendix C2.-Page 2 of 2.

| Data field name | Start column | End column | Comma column | Codes and comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| METF length of Harvested Chinook salmon | 72 | 76 | 77 | (mm's) |
| Sex of harvested Chinook | 78 | 78 | 79 | $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{F}=$ female |
| Species released above RM 13.7 | 80 | 82 | 83 | 410 = Chinook salmon |
| Released | 84 | 84 | 85 | $\mathrm{R}=$ Chinook released |
| Species released below RM 13.7 | 86 | 88 | 89 | $410=$ Chinook salmon |
| Released | 90 | 90 | 91 | $\mathrm{R}=$ Chinook salmon released |
| Number of Chinook released above RM 13.7 | 92 | 93 | 94 | Typically 1, but could be more |
| Number of Chinook released below RM 13.7 | 95 | 96 | 97 | Typically 1, but could be more |
| Number released <34 inches | 98 | 98 | 99 | Released Chinook salmon estimated to be $<34$ inches total length |
| Number released $\geq 34$ inches | 100 | 100 | 101 | Released Chinook salmon estimated to be $\geq 34$ inches total length |
| Fishing location | 102 | 102 | 103 | Has been set to " 1 " since 2000 |
| Boat type | 104 | 105 | 106 | 1 = power boat, $2=$ drift boat, "blank" = shore |
| Adipose fin clip \# | 107 | 112 | 113 | $\mathrm{N}=$ no adclip, $\mathrm{C}=$ adclip present |
| Age | 114 | 115 | 116 | Postseason age of Chinook salmon (11, 12, 13 ...) |
| Age error | 117 | 117 | 118 | $\mathrm{R}=$ regen, $\mathrm{M}=$ missing, $\mathrm{I}=$ inverted, $\mathrm{A}=$ absorbed |
| Genetics sample | 119 | 123 | End | 5-digit Whatman Card \# |

Appendix C3.-Data map for file ksintage 17.txt.

| Data field name | Start column | End column | Comma column | Codes and comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| (Blank) | 1 | 1 | 2 |  |
| Date code | 3 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Year | 3 | 4 |  | Two digit year |
| Month | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Day | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| (Blank) | 10 | 13 | 12,14 |  |
| Survey area code | 15 | 16 | 17 | $\mathrm{P} 0=$ Kenai Peninsula fresh water (not Kenai/Kasilof) |
| Site code | 18 | 20 | 21 | $001=$ Kenai River, Cook Inlet to Soldotna Bridge |
| (Blank) | 22 | 23 | 24 |  |
|  | 25 | 26 | 27 |  |
| Species | 28 | 30 | 31 | $410=$ Chinook salmon |
| (Blank) | 32 | 44 | 35,39,43,45 |  |
| (Blank) | 46 | 57 | 47,49,58 |  |
| Collector | 59 | 60 | 61 | Initials of sampler |
| Sex | 62 | 62 | 63 | $\mathrm{M}=$ male, $\mathrm{F}=$ female |
| (Blank) | 64 | 64 | 65 |  |
| METF length | 66 | 69 | 70 | METF, millimeters |
| Total length | 71 | 75 | 76 | TL, inches |
| Genetics sample | 77 | 80 | 81 | 5-digit Whatman Card \# |
| Chinook harvested above RM 13.7 | 82 | 83 | 84 | 1 = Chinook was harvested above RM 13.7 |
| Chinook harvested below RM 13.7 | 85 | 86 | 87 | 1 = Chinook was harvested below RM 13.7 |
| (Blank) | 88 | 93 | 94 |  |
| Angler type | 95 | 97 | 98 | $\mathrm{G}=$ guided, $\mathrm{NG}=$ unguided |
| Scale card number | 99 | 99 | 100 | Scale cards collected per day (1 scale card holds 10 fish samples) |
| Fish number | 101 | 102 | 103 | Fish number on scale card (Values 1-10) |
| Age | 104 | 105 | 106 | column $104=$ freshwater age, column 105 = marine age |
| Age error | 107 | 107 | End | $\mathrm{R}=$ regen, $\mathrm{M}=$ missing, $\mathrm{I}=$ inverted, $\mathrm{A}=$ absorbed |

Appendix C4.-Data map for file creelsecchi2017.txt.

| Data field name | Start column | End column | Comma column | Codes and comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date Code | 1 | 8 | 9 |  |
| Year | 1 | 4 |  | Four digit year |
| Month | 5 | 6 |  |  |
| Day | 7 | 8 |  |  |
| Time | 10 | 13 | 14 | 24-hour time system |
| Secchi | 15 | 18 | 19 | Secchi depth (meters) midchannel at RM 15.3, \#.\#\# format |
| Water temperature | 20 | 23 | End | Water temperature (degrees C) midchannel at RM 15.3 \#\#.\# format |

Appendix C5.-Data map for file Ksawl2017.txt.

| Data field name | Start column | End column | Comma column | Codes and comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Crew number | 1 | 2 | 3 | 1,2,3 or 4 |
| Date code | 4 | 11 | 12 |  |
| Year | 4 | 7 |  | Four digit year |
| Month | 8 | 9 |  |  |
| Day | 10 | 11 |  |  |
| Statewide location/stat | 13 | 16 | 17 | "Always" $=009$ (Kenai River) |
| Length type | 18 | 19 | 20 | $\mathrm{EF}=$ Mid-eye-fork length, $\mathrm{TL}=$ Total length |
| (Blank) | 21 | 23 | 24 |  |
| Manner of capture | 25 | 25 | 26 | $1=$ tangled by teeth/head, $2=$ gilled, $3=$ mouth clamped, $4=$ wedged (captured by body) |
| Net mesh size deployed | 27 | 27 | 28 | Net mesh deployed towards shoreline (4, 5, or 7 inch mesh) |
| Mesh size captured in | 29 | 29 | 30 | Mesh size fish was captured in (4, 5, or 7 inch mesh) |
| Drift start time (hour) | 31 | 33 | 34 | 24-hour system |
| Drift start time (minutes) | 35 | 37 | 38 |  |
| Drift start time (seconds) | 39 | 41 | 42 |  |
| Drift stop time (hour) | 43 | 45 | 46 | 24-hour system |
| Drift stop time (minutes) | 47 | 49 | 50 |  |
| Drift stop time (seconds) | 51 | 53 | 54 |  |
| (Blank) | 55 | 56 | 57 |  |
| Scale card number | 58 | 58 | 59 | Scale card of the day (1 scale card holds 10 fish samples) |
| Fish number | 60 | 62 | 63 | Number on scale card (Values 1-10) |
| Age | 64 | 65 | 66 | Column $104=$ Freshwater, Column $105=$ Marine |
| Age error | 67 | 68 | 69 | $\mathrm{R}=$ regen, $\mathrm{M}=$ missing, $\mathrm{I}=$ inverted, $\mathrm{A}=$ absorbed, $\mathrm{D}=$ dirty |
| Repetition number | 70 | 70 | 71 | Begins at 1 each day and increments by 1 every 8 drifts |
| Drift number | 72 | 73 | 74 | Begins at 1 each day and increments with every drift |

Appendix C5.-Page 2 of 2.


APPENDIX D: TECHNICIAN MANUAL AND SCHEDULES FOR THE KENAI RIVER CHINOOK SALMON CREEL SURVEY AND INRIVER GILLNETTING STUDY, 2017

Appendix D1.-Technician manual for the 2017 Kenai River Chinook salmon creel survey and inriver gillnetting study.

## INTRODUCTION and BACKGROUND

This manual provides the specific procedures for technicians conducting the 2017 Kenai River Chinook Salmon Creel Survey and Inriver Gillnetting Project. These projects are critical to effective inseason and postseason management of Chinook salmon in the Kenai River. The data collected from these projects are highly scrutinized and used daily in projecting returns, assessing run strength, harvest, effort, and escapement of Kenai River Chinook salmon.
Creel survey personnel will be counting boats and anglers, interviewing sport anglers, and collecting biological samples from harvested Chinook salmon. The information collected in this survey will be used to estimate the sport harvest of Kenai River Chinook salmon between the Slikok Creek closed area and Warren Ames Bridge. The harvest estimate is used to make both inseason and postseason management decisions regarding the Kenai River Chinook salmon fishery.
The netting crew will be capturing salmon using gillnets to collect species composition information and relative abundance (CPUE) as well as biological information from captured Chinook salmon (i.e., genetics samples, sex, age, length, and CWT information) and length and abundance information from other salmon species. This information is used inseason to estimate the age composition of returning Chinook salmon.

## DUTIES

## Creel Personnel:

- Conduct angler and boat counts and interview anglers on the Kenai River while adhering to a rigid sampling schedule.
- Sample Chinook salmon harvested by sport anglers for ASL and CWT information and record the appropriate information on a handheld computer and sampling forms.
- Download collected data on the Allegro CE handheld computer to the project biologist's personal computer. This is to be done at the end of the day after returning to the office.
- Answer questions from the public on a variety of subjects such as sport fishing regulations and local fishery information.
- Carefully document fishery violations observed during the course of normal duties and forward information to the project leader and other enforcement agencies.


## Both Creel and Inriver Netting Personnel:

- Carefully edit all data forms and computer-entered data before turning in to the immediate supervisor.
- Maintain and repair provided state equipment such as boats, motors, trailers, and state highway vehicles. Only minor maintenance and repair will be done at the discretion of the project biologist. Major maintenance and repair will be forwarded to the maintenance supervisor for boats, motors, and trailers and the Alaska Department of Transportation for highway vehicles.
- Complete time sheets no later than the 15 th and 30th or 31st of each month.
- Clean and maintain appropriate areas of the ADF\&G warehouse and shed.
- Ensure all boats and vehicles are kept clean.
- Report any problems to your immediate supervisor.


## SAMPLING, INTERVIEWS, and ANGLER COUNTS

Interviews: Interviews are to be conducted at the times and locations in the interview schedule. When conducting interviews, always identify yourself as working for the Alaska Department of Fish and Game and only interview boats that are leaving the fishery and anglers that are done fishing for that trip (completed trip anglers). Anglers should be randomly selected for interview; i.e., do not target only anglers with fish, but do attempt to interview all anglers exiting the fishery at your selected location. If you cannot interview all anglers, then document the number and type of anglers that you missed.

While completing the interview, record the information into the handheld computer. When sampling harvested Chinook salmon, record the sex, mid eye to tail fork (METF) length, and total length (TL) on the AWL sampling form in addition to entering all necessary data into the computer. The METF length measurement, to the nearest 1 mm , is from the mid eye to the fork of tail. The total length measurement, to the nearest one-quarter inch, is from the snout to tip of tail. Laying the tape stretched out on the ground above the fish will prevent the girth of the body from overestimating the total length. Collect 3 scales on the left side of the fish 3 rows above the lateral line at a 45 degree line posterior of the dorsal fin to the tail; place them concave (curled) side down on the scale card and label each fish with the METF length. Be sure to label the form and card correctly (date, location, sampler, species, etc.). In addition, a genetics sample will be taken from the axillary process of all sampled fish. Genetics sample numbers will be entered into the computer and samples will be stored in the project biologist's office.
All sampled Chinook salmon will be inspected for a missing adipose fin and an esophageal radio transmitter. If the adipose fin is missing, the technician will collect the head of the Chinook salmon (provided the angler approves), affix the supplied cinch strap, and store it in an ADF\&G freezer at the Soldotna office. If a radio transmitter is present, the creel technician will remove the tag, record the pulse code, frequency number, and the RM where the Chinook salmon was caught, and return the radio tag to the Soldotna office.
Boat and angler counts: Counts are to begin on the whole hour as designated on the schedule and should not take more than 1 hour to complete. Plan your schedule so that you are at the designated end of the study area at the designated time and location. Direction of travel is labeled in the schedule to minimize travel distance.
Categories to be tallied during each count include the following:

1) guided power boats
2) guided power anglers
3) guided drift boats
4) guided drift anglers
5) unguided power boats
6) unguided power anglers
7) unguided drift boats
8) unguided drift anglers

Four individual counts will be conducted during each scheduled count period. These areas include the following:

1) between Warren Ames Bridge and the Chinook salmon sonar site (RM 13.7)
2) between Chinook salmon sonar site (RM 13.7) and Slikok Creek Closed area (RM 18.9)

For example, a count will be made from Slikok Creek downstream to the RM 13.7 Chinook salmon sonar site then entered into the handheld computer. Thumbcounters will be reset and the next count will be from the RM 13.7 sonar site to Warren Ames Bridge. Each creel personnel will take a Secchi disc reading and water temperature (in degrees F) in front of Ciechanski State Park during their shift and enter it into the computer. If the handheld computer is not functioning properly, data will be entered onto data forms and turned into the project biologist at the end of his or her shift.

Inriver Gillnetting: Each day a crew of 2 people will be scheduled to net from 0600 to 1400 hours. Netting will take place in the 0.5 m section of river at RM 8.6. The mesh size to be deployed from the boat and the bank from which to set the net will be specified by the handheld computer. It is critical that the net is only drifted in the area that would be deemed nearshore or midriver. This will be stressed to you all season and if you have any questions regarding where the nearshore or midriver areas are do not hesitate to ask the project biologist. The time that each set begins and ends is automated and recorded on the handheld computer as well as all the biological information on sampled salmon. If the computer is functioning properly, the only writing you will have to do for sampling will be to record the length on the scale card and to fill out the back of the scale card. The METF length measurement, to the nearest 5 mm , is from the mid eye to the fork of tail on Chinook salmon and is the length that is recorded on the scale card. The total length (tip of snout to end of tail) will also be measured to the nearest 5 mm . On each sampled Chinook salmon, collect 3 scales and place them on the scale card concave side down, oriented vertically from the scale insertion point of the fish. If the Chinook salmon is small (i.e., $<600 \mathrm{~mm}$ ), then put the fish in a water-filled tote on your boat. Small Chinook salmon have a tendency to slip out of tail ties and we want to reduce the number of escapes. Be sure to label the form and card appropriately (date, location, sampler, species, etc.). Before releasing the fish, mark every fish with a "hole punch" on the dorsal side of the caudal fin, and do not sample a fish that already has a hole punched in that area; record it as a recapture. Do not tag or sample recaptures. Chinook salmon with a ventral caudal hole punch (from the alternative panel net) will only be sampled for ASL. Be sure to examine all captured Chinook salmon for the presence of an adipose fin and sacrifice all Chinook salmon without an adipose fin. Once a fish without an adipose fin is on board, cut the head off and affix a cinch strap to the head. There won't be many Chinook salmon without an adipose fin so be sure to examine every one. An escape is a fish that got out of the net without being sampled only if it was positively identified as a Chinook salmon (e.g., 4 bobbing corks do not count if you did not visually see that it was a Chinook salmon). Each day, the netting crew will take both a Secchi disc reading at the beginning and end of their shift and enter it in the handheld computer. Each week 1 crewmember will spend 1 day mending nets, repairing equipment, and conducting various odd tasks such as scale pressing, editing data, and potentially working on other projects as time allows. This office day will be alternated so that each crewmember will have an office day every third week.

[^13]
## EQUIPMENT NEEDED

At the start of the season, each crew member will be issued and responsible for a clipboard. At the start of each sampling period you should make sure that it contains the following at a minimum:

- Cell phone (either provided by state, or use of personal phone)
- 20-30 scale cards and acetates in a ziplock bag
- $31 / 4$ in hole punches
- 3 sets of tweezers
- 2 standard pencils
- 2 measuring tapes
- Sampling forms (At least 5 of each)
- 1 rite in the rain logbook
- 2 pair of sharp scissors
- 2 Knife (heads)
- 5 statement forms
- Laminated State Parks, ABWE, and ADF\&G contact list
- Sport fish regulation booklets
- Copy of State Parks Permit for over-horsepower motors (netting crew)
- A copy of this manual
- Digital Camera

In addition, you will need the handheld Allegro computer and genetics sampling equipment listed in Appendix B1. Be sure and double check you have what you need before leaving the office area. The netting crew will have an additional clipboard of radio transmitters to be deployed sequentially, and 3 radio transmitter deploying devices that will be required every day.

## UNIFORMS

Your uniform is your hat. Please try and wear an ADF\&G issued hat during your fieldwork. ADF\&G patches sewn on your personal floatation device (PFD) may be another form of identification. You will be held to a higher standard than the public, so when on duty, act professional, represent the department well, and be aware that you are being watched a lot closer than you may think.

## PERSONAL FLOATATION DEVICES (LIFE JACKETS)

Life jackets are to be worn at all times when on the boat. There will be no exceptions to this rule and crews are instructed to notify the project biologist if there is any noncompliance to this rule. You may take off your PFD to change clothes but must promptly put your life jacket back on.

## SAMPLING GEAR

You will be issued a high quality rain coat and bibs, rubber boots, a PFD, both arm length and short rubber coated gloves, as well as a dry bag for each crewmember. You will be instructed to turn in all sampling gear at the end of the field season.

## CELL PHONES

The netting crew and creel crew will each be issued a cell phone. At a minimum, all the numbers on the Kenai Chinook contact list should be entered into the phone book. The cell phone is to be on and easily accessible at all times when on duty. Charge the cell phone in the project biologist's office at the end of the workday and bring the phone with you when you start your workday. Limit phone use to state business; however, you can use the phone in an emergency. Please keep track of the phone while on duty and notify the project biologist if the phone is lost or is not functioning properly. The phones are not waterproof, nor do they float so keep them dry and in a zippered or snapped pocket when getting in and out of the boat. Waterproof phone bags will be supplied. You can also use your personal phone if desired.

## SAFETY

Safety is the utmost priority. Please try and be safe and aware of your surroundings. Do not do anything to jeopardize your or members of your crews' safety. There is no piece of data that is worth jeopardizing safety. If you feel uncomfortable doing a task that could potentially jeopardize your safety, do not do it and contact your supervisor.

## TIME SHEETS

Time sheets must be completed twice monthly, one for the 1st through the 15th and one for the 16th through the 30th or 31st. This is your responsibility and you will be reminded when they are due. You will be instructed as to how to properly fill out your timesheet online. Save and review the timesheet with your supervisor, but do not press the submit button. The website address is http://www.tears.adfg.state.ak.us/tears/help/\#. Print out both the timesheet and project accounting detail sheet. Don't forget to sign your timesheet. You do not need to sign the project accounting detail sheet but turn in both to the project biologist. You will be paid for grave and swing shifts if you work during these times along with regular time and will be compensated overtime if you work more than 37.5 hours per week. You need to fill in start/stop times and the number of hours worked each day. Lunch is one-half hour per day and is not compensable. There are two 15minute compensable breaks per day. The payroll officer will determine how many hours of grave, etc. that you have worked. The netting crew should try and take lunch at different times of day. The creel crew should try and take lunch at a break in sampling.

OVERTIME is any time worked in excess of 37.5 hours per week. The workweek always begins on Monday and ends on Sunday at midnight.
SWING shift pay is any shift that begins between 1200 (noon) and 1959 (7:59 p.m.). Employees working this shift are entitled to an additional 0.0375 times their hourly rate for the hours worked.
GRAVE shift pay is any shift that begins between 2000 (8:00 p.m.) and 0559 (5:59 a.m.). Employees working this shift are entitled to an additional 0.075 times their hourly rate for the hours worked.

## PURCHASING and INVOICES

You may be instructed to make purchases at various local stores. You must sign the invoice when you receive the goods. Make sure the itemized invoice or receipt states exactly what you purchased (i.e., sporting goods is not specific enough). You should also print your name below your signature, put Kenai River Chinook somewhere on the invoice, and turn it in promptly to the appropriate bin in the project biologist's office. Let the project biologist know if you need something to do your job effectively (e.g., gloves, boots, sampling equipment, rain gear).

## TIMELINESS and TIME OFF

It is very important to show up on time for your scheduled workday; timing is critical and it is important to follow the specified sampling schedule. Please notify the project biologist if for some reason you will not be able to complete your regular workday at the times specified by your schedule. The netting crew will work 5 consecutive days with 2 consecutive days off. The creel crew will work 4 out of 7 days per week with no guarantee of 2 consecutive days off. The creel crew will work all weekend days (unless the fishery is closed), 2 of the 4 days between Tuesday through Friday and will not work Mondays. If you need time off, contact the project biologist and he will try and find someone to fill in for you. Please try and give some time in advance if you know you need the time off and most of the time it shouldn't be a problem. In an emergency, contact the project biologist.

## SPORTFISHING VIOLATIONS

Fish and wildlife law enforcement is not a primary job responsibility of ADF\&G employees; however, during the course of your fieldwork you may come across sport fishing violations. If you come across violations, you are instructed to promptly call the project biologist; in the event that you cannot contact him, call either State Parks or the Alaska Division of Wildlife Troopers (DWT). Laminated cell phone lists are provided and should be in the sampling clipboard. You are not to check fishing licenses or do any type of enforcement. The creel crew will be taking total length on fish and may come across harvested fish within the restricted slot limit in the early run. In this situation, promptly notify the project biologist. Carefully note what you witnessed and take down boat numbers, license plates, physical descriptions, and document all witnessed violations in your logbook. Enforcement is not your responsibility, so use discretion and should you come across violations, promptly notify your supervisor.

## EVALUATION

Data collection and editing are the primary duties of these positions. Each person will be evaluated on the quality, cleanliness, and thoroughness of the data that they turn in as well as dependability and timeliness arriving to work. Also, it is important to act professionally and communicate regularly with your supervisor and crewmembers to discuss problems, suggestions, etc.

Appendix D2.-Survey schedule
Survey schedules are for internal use only and may be found at http://docushare.sf.adfg.state.ak.us/dsweb/homepage.


[^0]:    1 See Key et al. (2016), and Miller et al. (2016) for comprehensive histories of sonar research and development at Kenai RMs 8.6 and 13.7 , respectively.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Warren Ames Bridge is traditionally the demarcation point between the lower end of the sport fishery and the beginning of the personal use dipnet fishery. We assume negligible catch and harvest in sport fisheries below the Warren Ames Bridge.
    ${ }^{3}$ Similar estimates are also obtained postseason from the Statewide Harvest Survey and since 2006 from Freshwater Guide Log Books. However, the creel survey provides estimates inseason, which allows for more effective inseason management.

[^2]:    4 Total inriver sport fishery catch and harvest are the sum of creel survey estimates below Slikok Creek (RM 18.9) and State Wide Harvest Survey estimates reported above the Soldotna Bridge.

[^3]:    5 Total return for each brood year consists of the inriver run as estimated by the sonar at RM 13.7 plus all commercial, subsistence, and sport harvest.
    6 High precision is neither possible nor necessary during the early run. Catch and harvest of large early-run Chinook salmon will only include fish approximately 34 in TL ( 75 cm METF) to 36 inches TL. By regulation, all early-run Chinook salmon measuring 36 inches TL or greater must be released.
    7 Sample sizes required to meet these subordinate objective criteria are sufficient to meet the primary objective of total return estimation (McKinley and Fleishman 2013; Fleischman and McKinley 2013).
    ${ }^{8}$ 'Within $d$ of the true value $A \%$ of the time' implies: $P\left(p_{i}-d \leq \hat{p}_{i} \leq p_{i}+d\right)=A / 100$ for all i , where $p_{i}$ denotes population age proportion for age class $i$.

[^4]:    9 Standard protocol for collecting genetics tissue is removal of the axillary process. The tip of the dorsal fin will be taken from Chinook salmon sampled in the inriver gillnetting study due to difficulties in sampling the underside of the fish while it's in a cradle suspended in the river.

[^5]:    ${ }^{10}$ Guides must register and place a decal on their boat(s), making guide boats easily identifiable on the river.
    ${ }^{11}$ Harvest and catch within 0.25 , or 1,000 fish, $90 \%$ of the time.

[^6]:    Source: Perschbacher In prep a,b.

[^7]:    12 Previous experience has shown that age composition changes relatively slowly; thus, 2 strata per run are sufficient to reduce bias.
    13 Simulation studies show that stock-recruit analysis is relatively insensitive to moderate errors in age composition estimates. For example, a simple random sample size of less than 100 has been shown to produce a sufficiently precise age composition for each run.

[^8]:    14 Very rarely, during the peak of the late run, it may become difficult to sample all harvested fish leaving at some access locations. To the extent that this occurs, sampling for age composition and genetic tissue can be slightly depensatory if data are pooled across time strata.

[^9]:    15 Total length of captured Chinook salmon will be measured to update a TL-METF regression formula.
    ${ }^{16}$ Product names used in this publication are included for completeness and do not constitute product endorsement.

[^10]:    $17 \mathrm{http}: / /$ docushare.sf.adfg.state.ak.us/dsweb/HomePage

[^11]:    18 The jackknife estimating procedure as outlined in Bernard et al. (1998: Appendix D) may be used in lieu of these procedures if sample sizes are deemed to be low (i.e., less than 5 anglers interviewed in a day).

[^12]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Count fields will be left blank if fishing was closed at that time for guided anglers, or a scheduled count was missed.

[^13]:    -continued-

