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## Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

| Weights and measures (metric) |  | General |  | Mathematics, statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centimeter | cm | Alaska Administrative |  | all standard mathematical |  |
| deciliter | dL | Code | AAC | signs, symbols and |  |
| gram | g | all commonly accepted |  | abbreviations |  |
| hectare | ha |  | e.g., Mr., Mrs., | alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {A }}$ |
| kilogram | kg |  | AM, PM, etc. | base of natural logarithm | $e$ |
| kilometer | km | all commonly accepted |  | catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| liter | L | professional titles | e.g., Dr., Ph.D., | coefficient of variation | CV |
| meter | m |  | R.N., etc. | common test statistics | (F, t, $\chi^{2}$, etc.) |
| milliliter | mL | at | @ | confidence interval | CI |
| millimeter | mm | compass directions: east | E | correlation coefficient (multiple) | R |
| Weights and measures (English) |  | north | N | correlation coefficient |  |
| cubic feet per second | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | south | S | (simple) | r |
| foot | ft | west | W | covariance | cov |
| gallon | gal | copyright | © | degree (angular) | - |
| inch | in | corporate suffixes: |  | degrees of freedom | df |
| mile | mi | Company | Co. | expected value | E |
| nautical mile | nmi | Corporation | Corp. | greater than | $>$ |
| ounce | oz | Incorporated | Inc. | greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| pound | lb | Limited | Ltd. | harvest per unit effort | HPUE |
| quart | qt | District of Columbia | D.C. | less than | < |
| yard | yd | et alii (and others) | et al. | less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
|  |  | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. | logarithm (natural) | ln |
| Time and temperature |  | exempli gratia |  | logarithm (base 10) | $\log$ |
| day | d | (for example) | e.g. | logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$, etc. |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Federal Information |  | minute (angular) | ' |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Code | FIC | not significant | NS |
| degrees kelvin | K | id est (that is) | i.e. | null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ |
| hour | h | latitude or longitude | lat or long | percent | \% |
| minute | min | monetary symbols |  | probability | P |
| second | S | (U.S.) months (tables and | \$, ¢ | probability of a type I error (rejection of the null |  |
| Physics and chemistry |  | figures): first three |  | hypothesis when true) | $\alpha$ |
| all atomic symbols |  | letters | Jan,...,Dec | probability of a type II error |  |
| alternating current | AC | registered trademark | ${ }^{\circledR}$ | (acceptance of the null |  |
| ampere | A | trademark | тм | hypothesis when false) | $\beta$ |
| calorie | cal | United States |  | second (angular) | " |
| direct current | DC | (adjective) | U.S. | standard deviation | SD |
| hertz | Hz | United States of |  | standard error | SE |
| horsepower | hp | America (noun) | USA | variance |  |
| hydrogen ion activity (negative log of) | pH | U.S.C. | United States Code | population sample | Var var |
| parts per million | ppm | U.S. state | use two-letter |  |  |
| parts per thousand | ppt, |  | abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA) |  |  |
| volts | V |  |  |  |  |
| watts | W |  |  |  |  |
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#### Abstract

Genetic tissue and age, sex, and length composition information will be collected from harvested Chinook salmon in the Upper Cook Inlet Eastside set gillnet (ESSN) commercial fishery in 2016. The primary goals of the study are to estimate the proportion and harvest by genetic reporting group of Chinook salmon in the ESSN commercial fishery both within temporal and geographic strata and for the entire 2016 season. The harvest will be apportioned by 4 genetic reporting groups: Kenai River mainstem, Kasilof River mainstem, Kenai River tributaries, and Cook Inlet other. Age, sex, and length composition will also be estimated for each temporal and geographic stratum and for the entire 2016 season.


Key words: Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, Kenai River, Eastside set gillnet, commercial fishery, ESSN, Upper Cook Inlet, mixed stock analysis, MSA, stock-specific harvest, Chinook Salmon Research Initiative, CSRI.

## INTRODUCTION

## Purpose

The Kenai River Chinook salmon stock has been chosen as 1 of 12 indicator stocks part of the Chinook Salmon Research Initiative (CSRI) (ADF\&G Chinook Salmon Research Team 2013), and the lack of stock-specific harvest information from commercial harvests of Chinook salmon in Cook Inlet has been identified as an information gap. Stock-specific harvest and age, sex, and length (ASL) composition data are needed to more accurately represent harvest rates and production trends. Genetic samples are needed to estimate the relative proportions and, along with harvest data, the number of Chinook salmon harvested by genetic reporting group (including Kenai River mainstem and tributary populations) in the ESSN commercial fishery for particular temporal and geographic strata. This project will collect and analyze ASL and genetic tissue samples of Chinook salmon harvested in the Upper Cook Inlet (UCI) Eastside set gillnet (ESSN) commercial fishery. The Division of Sport Fish (SF) is responsible for the collection of genetic tissue samples and ASL data. Tissue samples will be sent to the Division of Commercial Fisheries (CF) Gene Conservation Lab (GCL), which will be responsible for mixed stock analysis (MSA).

## BACKGROUND

All 5 species of Pacific salmon are harvested in UCI. Sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) make up the majority of the harvest (Shields and Dupuis 2015) but Chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha) are also harvested. Recent low Chinook salmon runs in UCI have heightened interest in stock-specific harvest of Chinook salmon in these fisheries. A Chinook salmon genetic baseline that includes representative populations in UCI is available for MSA applications in fisheries using genetic stock identification (GSI) techniques (Barclay et al. 2012). Obtaining information about stock-specific harvest of Chinook salmon is needed to improve understanding of stock productivity, brood table development, and for setting and attaining escapement goals.

Most of the UCI commercial Chinook salmon harvest occurs in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fishery of the Central District. This fishery is commonly referred to as the Eastside set gillnet (ESSN) fishery and is located along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet between Ninilchik and Boulder Point (Figure 1). Since 1966, annually on average, the ESSN fishery has accounted for 65\% of all Chinook salmon harvested in UCI commercial fisheries (Eskelin and Barclay 2016).


Figure 1.-Map of Upper Cook Inlet commercial fishing districts and subdistricts.
Note: Thick black lines indicate district borders and thin lines indicate subdistrict borders. The maroon line denotes the ESSN fishery.

The ESSN fishery is composed of 3 sections (Kasilof, Kenai, and East Foreland) and 7 statistical areas: Ninilchik Beach (244-22), Cohoe Beach (244-22), South K-Beach (244-31), North KBeach (244-32), Salamatof Beach (244-41), East Foreland (244-42), and Kasilof River special harvest area (KRSHA, 244-25) (Figure 2). The recent 10-year (2006-2015) average ESSN Chinook salmon harvest is 6,394 fish and the historical (1966-2015) average is 9,418 fish. The 3 lowest documented harvests of Chinook salmon in the ESSN fishery were during 2012-2014 with 704, 2,988, and 2,301 fish in those years, respectively. While low Chinook salmon runs and subsequent reduced fishing time reduced Chinook salmon harvest substantially, in 2015 there was an increase in Chinook salmon abundance and, subsequently, more fishing time was given to the ESSN fishery, which resulted in an average harvest of 7,781 fish. In 2015, the Kasilof Section was fished on 28 days during 22 June-10 August including 1 day (18 July) that was restricted to fishing within one-half mile of the mean high tide line; the Kenai and East Foreland sections were fished on 20 days during 9 July-12 August. The KRSHA was fished 20 days during 7 July-2 August. In addition, there were 6 days during 15-31 July when the Kasilof Section was restricted to fishing within 600 ft of the mean high tide line.

The ESSN Chinook salmon harvest has been sampled by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) for ASL composition information since 1986. In many years, 1 technician sampled harvested Chinook salmon at the receiving sites for fish processors both on regular period openings and opportunistically to collect ASL samples. Beginning in 2010, genetic tissue samples were added to the collection effort. The sampling effort has been increased since 2013 with funding from the CSRI to increase coverage and provide more informative temporally and geographically stratified estimates by reporting group. A sufficient number of representative samples were collected to allow for MSA in all years since 2010, except for 2012. Temporally and geographically stratified estimates have been produced since 2013 due to the increased funding and sampling effort whereas in 2010 and 2011, only seasonal MSA estimates were produced.
Reporting groups were defined based on 1 or more of the following criteria: 1) the genetic similarity among populations, 2 ) the expectation that proportional harvest would be greater than $5 \%$, or 3 ) the applicability to answer fishery management questions. Reporting groups used in the MSA for each year were: "Kenai River mainstem," "Kasilof River mainstem," "Kenai River tributaries," and "Cook Inlet other." The Kenai River supports 2 genetically divergent population aggregates (Barclay et al. 2012). Those that spawn in Kenai river tributaries enter the river prior to those that spawn in the mainstem Kenai River, although there is some overlap from late June to early July (Reimer 2013; Reimer et al. 2016). Results of genetic sampling thus far have shown that the ESSN Chinook salmon harvest is composed of almost entirely Kenai River mainstem and Kasilof River mainstem fish (Eskelin et al. 2013; Eskelin and Barclay 2015, 2016). MSA results by reporting group have been similar from year to year (Table 1), with the Kenai River mainstem reporting group having the greatest average proportional contribution (0.691), followed by Kasilof River mainstem (0.292), Cook Inlet other (0.014) and lastly, the Kenai River tributaries reporting group (0.008).

This project in 2016 will be the same as those in 2013-2015, which involved expanded sampling of the Chinook salmon harvest for ASL composition and genetic tissue. A total of 3 technicians will be assigned to sample the ESSN Chinook salmon harvest, providing coverage of the fishery during every regular period opening and also allowing for sampling of most fishing periods that may be opened by emergency order (EO).


Figure 2.-Map of Upper Cook Inlet Eastside set gillnet commercial fishing statistical areas.
Note: Small circles represent approximate locations of processing plants or receiving sites. KRSHA (244-25) is the Kasilof River special harvest area.

Table 1.-Proportion of ESSN Chinook salmon harvest by reporting group and year.

| Reporting group | Year | Proportion | SD | Credibility interval |  | Harvest | SD | Credibility interval |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |  |  | 5\% | 95\% |
| Kenai River tributaries | 2010 | 0.011 | 0.010 | 0.001 | 0.031 | 75 | 73 | 4 | 220 |
|  | 2011 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.008 | 9 | 33 | 0 | 59 |
|  | 2013 | 0.001 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 30 |
|  | 2014 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.012 | 4 | 12 | 0 | 28 |
|  | 2015 | 0.002 | 0.005 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 19 | 38 | 0 | 86 |
|  | Average | 0.003 |  |  |  | 22 |  |  |  |
|  | Range | 0.001-0.011 |  |  |  | 4-75 |  |  |  |
| Kenai River mainstem | 2010 | 0.643 | 0.037 | 0.581 | 0.703 | 4,536 | 263 | 4,100 | 4,963 |
|  | 2011 | 0.667 | 0.040 | 0.601 | 0.733 | 5,135 | 309 | 4,624 | 5,641 |
|  | 2013 | 0.766 | 0.023 | 0.727 | 0.804 | 2,289 | 69 | 2,173 | 2,401 |
|  | 2014 | 0.609 | 0.033 | 0.555 | 0.664 | 1,401 | 76 | 1,276 | 1,527 |
|  | 2015 | 0.770 | 0.032 | 0.709 | 0.814 | 5,988 | 248 | 5,519 | 6,330 |
|  | Average | 0.691 |  |  |  | 3,870 |  |  |  |
|  | Range | 0.609-0.770 |  |  |  | 1-5,988 |  |  |  |
| Kasilof River. mainstem | 2010 | 0.326 | 0.034 | 0.271 | 0.383 | 2,305 | 239 | 1,915 | 2,701 |
|  | 2011 | 0.330 | 0.040 | 0.265 | 0.395 | 2,538 | 306 | 2,038 | 3,042 |
|  | 2013 | 0.213 | 0.022 | 0.178 | 0.250 | 637 | 66 | 530 | 748 |
|  | 2014 | 0.387 | 0.033 | 0.333 | 0.441 | 891 | 76 | 766 | 1,015 |
|  | 2015 | 0.201 | 0.031 | 0.160 | 0.260 | 1,564 | 239 | 1,242 | 2,025 |
|  | Average | 0.292 |  |  |  | 1,587 |  |  |  |
|  | Range | 0.201-0.387 |  |  |  | 37-2,538 |  |  |  |
| Cook Inlet other | 2010 | 0.020 | 0.014 | 0.003 | 0.047 | 144 | 100 | 19 | 334 |
|  | 2011 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.011 | 14 | 34 | 0 | 84 |
|  | 2013 | 0.019 | 0.006 | 0.010 | 0.030 | 57 | 19 | 29 | 89 |
|  | 2014 | 0.002 | 0.004 | 0.000 | 0.010 | 4 | 9 | 0 | 22 |
|  | 2015 | 0.027 | 0.009 | 0.014 | 0.042 | 211 | 67 | 112 | 327 |
|  | Average | 0.014 |  |  |  | 86 |  |  |  |
|  | Range | 0.002-0.027 |  |  |  | 4-211 |  |  |  |

## OBJECTIVES

## Primary Objectives

1) Estimate the proportion of Chinook salmon harvested in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery by reporting group (Kenai River mainstem, Kasilof River mainstem, Kenai River tributaries, and Cook Inlet other) for each temporal and geographic stratum for the season such that the estimated proportions are within 13 percentage points of the true values $90 \%$ of the time.
2) Estimate the harvest of Kenai River mainstem and Kasilof River mainstem Chinook salmon in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery for each temporal and geographic stratum such that the estimates are within $30 \%$ of the true value $90 \%$ of the time.
3) Estimate the age composition of Chinook salmon harvested by the ESSN fishery such that the estimates are within 10 percentage points of the true values $95 \%$ of the time.

## SECONDARY OBJECTIVES

1) Estimate the harvest of Chinook salmon for the reporting groups Kenai River tributaries and Cook Inlet Other in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery for each temporal and geographic stratum ${ }^{1}$.
2) Sample $30 \%$ of the Chinook salmon harvested in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery for tissue, CWTs, scales, sex, and mid eye to tail fork (METF) length.
3) Estimate the sex and length compositions of Chinook salmon harvested in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery overall and for each temporal and geographic stratum.
4) Determine the sex of fish less than 750 METF length by internal examination.

## METHODS

## Study Design

Regular period openings in the ESSN fishery are from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM on Mondays and Thursdays. The first scheduled regular period in the Kasilof section (statistical areas 244-21, 244-22, and 244-31) for the 2016 season is Monday, June 27. The fishery could be opened as early as June 20 dependent on cumulative sockeye salmon passage in the Kasilof River. The first Kasilof section regular period or EO opening and all subsequent openings prior to the first opening of the Kenai section for the season will be sampled. The first scheduled regular period in the Kenai and East Foreland sections (statistical areas 244-32, 244-41, and 244-42) for the 2016 season is Monday, July 11. The Kenai and East Foreland sections will be sampled less vigorously than other area openings due to MSA results consistently showing that nearly all ( $>95 \%$ ) of the harvest is Kenai River mainstem fish.

All regular fishing periods and up to 2 additional fishing periods opened by EO per week are budgeted to be sampled. If it is foreseen that there will be more than 2 openings by EO in a given week, which openings to sample will be chosen from recent harvests and insight from

[^0]commercial fishery managers based on likely scenarios of future openings. The fishery is scheduled to end August 15, with only regular fishing periods allowed after August 10. The regular period sampling schedule is shown in Appendix A1, but the sampling schedule will be modified inseason based on emergency openings.
During a fishing period, as many Chinook salmon as possible will be sampled, especially within the Kasilof section, while distributing sampling effort to allow for collection of a representative sample of the harvest. Each technician will be assigned an area to sample; however, overlap in sampling areas is likely among technicians, and modifications to assigned areas will probably occur during the season. Inseason analyses of the sampling rate by statistical area will be conducted and modifications will be made to the sampling strategy as necessary to ensure sampling rates are similar by statistical area. In past years, additional effort is needed at times to increase the sampling rate of Chinook salmon harvested from Ninilchik Beach and South KBeach statistical areas.
Technicians will begin sampling on the southern end of their sampling area after the first round of deliveries to each buying station. Sampling at the southern end first and moving northward will follow the fish deliveries as they occur to maximize the number of samples collected. This sampling strategy should not introduce bias. If the technicians were to start at the northern beaches and move south, they would miss samples and have a lower sampling rate due to the later timing of northern deliveries. It is likely that Chinook salmon will be delivered to over 20 receiving sites spread throughout each statistical area. Technicians will sample at receiving sites during each opening until the fish are transported to processing plants. The day following each fishing period, additional Chinook salmon samples may be collected at fish processors if necessary. Most KRSHA openings will be sampled if opened by EO. If the Kasilof Section is open but restricted in area, such as within 600 ft or within one-half mile of the mean high tide line, all openings will be sampled.
Because the number and location of receiving sites changes each year, prior to the 2016 season, the project biologist will develop a list with contact information and a map showing locations to sample, which will be distributed to each technician. Technicians will be instructed to sample at receiving sites on their way north up the beach. There will be no set schedule for times to sample at each location. Schedules will depend on tides and the times of fishing periods.

## Geographic and Temporal Stratification

Proposed temporal and geographic stratification was determined by management criteria and past MSA results. Depending on how the fishery is prosecuted and how many samples are collected, temporal and geographic strata will likely be modified, but for planning purposes 5 strata were chosen (Table 2).

Table 2.-Proposed strata for ASL and MSA in 2016.

| Stratum no. | Temporal stratum | Geographic area |
| :---: | :---: | :--- |
| 1 | 25 June-10 July | Kasilof Section |
| 2 | 11-31 July | Kasilof Section |
| 3 | Entire 2016 season | Kenai and East Forelands Sections |
| 4 | $1-15$ August | Kasilof Section |
| 5 | Entire 2016 season | KRSHA |

Note: KRSHA is the Kasilof River special harvest area.

In 2016, Kasilof sections openings that are restricted in area, such as within 600 ft or one-half mile of the mean high tide line, will be considered individual strata if enough representative samples are collected to meet sample size requirements. All Kasilof section openings restricted in area will be rigorously sampled because MSA results for these openings would be new and very informative to managers in developing management strategies. Because past MSA results have shown that nearly all ( $>95 \%$ ) of the harvest in the Kenai and East Foreland sections is composed of Kenai River mainstem fish, we may not analyze tissue samples collected in that stratum; the decision to analyze those samples will be dependent on how the fishery is prosecuted, the number of samples collected in other strata, and any budgetary constraints. However, a minimum of 2 fishing periods per week will be sampled in the Kenai and East Foreland sections and the ASL composition of those samples will be analyzed.

Sampling results and chosen temporal and geographic strata from 2015 were used to determine expected sampling and selection rates for 2016. Samples must be collected to represent the harvest, which is seldom possible, so subsampling of collections is required postseason to ensure the analyses accurately represent the harvest. In 2015, technicians were able to collect 2,241 tissue samples out of a harvest of 7,781 for a $29 \%$ sampling rate. After subsampling representatively when possible, 623 samples ( $8 \%$ of the harvest) were used in MSA. The goal for 2016 will be to sample at least $30 \%$ of the harvest and to select samples from $15 \%$ of the total harvest for MSA after subsampling representatively by date and statistical area. However, sampling goals will not drive the study design because the number of samples collected and the sampling rate is largely dependent on harvest. Strata used in the MSA will depend on the number of representative samples collected within each possible stratum. Length will be incorporated into the subsample selection criteria such that the length distribution of subsampled fish will be approximately equivalent to the length distribution of all fish sampled within each grouping. A grouping will usually be 1-2 fishing periods within each stratum.

Proof tests conducted by the GCL demonstrated that with a fishery mixture of 100 samples, we can estimate stock composition for the 4 reporting groups (Kenai River tributaries, Kenai River mainstem, Kasilof River mainstem, and Cook Inlet other) within 0.13 of the true values $90 \%$ of the time (Eskelin and Barclay 2014). These tests followed the same protocol as reported in Eskelin et al. (2013) for baseline evaluation tests; however, instead of using test mixtures with $100 \%$ of 1 reporting group, test mixtures were created with proportions from each reporting group that represented a realistic scenario for what might be expected in these fisheries. Taking into account the reporting group proportions from the analysis of the 2010, 2011, and 2013 ESSN harvests (Eskelin et al. 2013), tests were conducted under a realistic scenario for reporting group proportions in ESSN fishery mixtures: 0.02 for Kenai River tributaries, 0.58 for Kenai River mainstem, 0.38 for Kasilof River mainstem, and 0.02 for Cook Inlet other. With this precision of stock composition estimates and an anticipated sample size of 100 fish, we will be able to estimate the harvest of Kenai River mainstem and Kasilof River mainstem Chinook salmon in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery in each stratum within 30\% of the true values $90 \%$ of the time.

All samples that are used in the MSA will be analyzed for ASL composition and reported by stratum and for the entire season. The objective criterion ( $\pm 0.10$ with $95 \%$ confidence level) for estimating the age composition of Chinook salmon harvested in the ESSN fishery should be achieved with approximately 170 scale samples. To arrive at this sample size, we assumed a worst-case scenario of $25 \%$ scale regeneration rate with multinomial proportions of equality
among ages (Thompson 1987). Because we plan to collect and analyze substantially more samples in 2016 than previously, we are likely to achieve much higher precision for the age composition estimates than the objective states. New in 2016, technicians will verify sex of fish less than 750 mm METF by internal examination, granted permission is given from the processor. The primary goal will be to determine the minimum length of females in the sample.

## Data Collection

## Tissue Sampling for MSA

All fish sampled for ASL will also be sampled for tissue suitable for genetic analysis. A $11 / 3-\mathrm{cm}$ (half-inch) piece of the axillary process will be removed from each fish and placed on a Whatman ${ }^{2}$ paper card in its own grid space and then stapled in place. Whatman cards with tissue samples will be placed in an airtight case with desiccant beads to preserve the tissue for DNA extraction. Sampling instructions are found in Appendix B1. Each Whatman card will have a unique barcode and a numbered grid. Card barcodes and grid position numbers will be recorded on data sheets for each sample (Appendix C1). All Whatman cards will be stored at the Soldotna office until the end of the season then sent to the GCL for analysis.

## Age, Sex, and Length Sampling

Three scales will be removed from the preferred area of each fish and placed on an adhesivecoated card (Welander 1940; Clutter and Whitesel 1956). Acetate impressions will made of the scales on the card using a press under 25,000 pounds per square inch and the scale growth patterns viewed with a $40 \times$ power microfiche reader to determine freshwater and marine residence times. Sex will generally be identified from external morphometric characteristics (i.e., protruding ovipositor on females or a developing kype on males). METF length will be measured to the nearest half-centimeter. Fish less than 750 mm METF will be examined internally for positive sex identification by cutting a small slit in the anal opening using a plastic gut hook, if permission is granted by the processor or receiving site.

Chinook salmon will be sampled for ASL composition without regard to size, sex, length, or location. All ASL composition data and positive sex identification of smaller fish will be recorded on data sheets (Appendix C1).

## CWT Sampling

All sampled Chinook salmon will be examined for an adipose finclip and if one is found, the technician will remove the head. A cinch strap will be attached to the head, which will be returned to the office for storage in a freezer. All data, including the number of Chinook salmon examined and the number observed missing the adipose fin, will be recorded on a tag recovery form (Appendix C2). The cinch strap number will also be recorded alongside ASL data (Appendix B1) to enable cross-referencing between datasets. Collected data will be returned to the Project Leader (Anthony Eskelin). CWT forms and heads of all adipose finclipped fish will be shipped at the end of the season to the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory for CWT recovery, determination of stock of origin, and for archiving data.

[^1]
## Laboratory Analysis

## Assaying Genotypes

DNA extraction and genotyping will generally follow the methods described in detail in Barclay and Habicht (2015). Briefly, genomic DNA will be extracted from tissue samples using a DNeasy 96 Tissue Kit by QIAGEN (Valencia, CA). Fluidigm 192.24 Dynamic Arrays (http://www.fluidigm.com) will be used to screen 40 SNP markers; this differs from the methods of Barclay et al. (2012) where 96.96 Dynamic Arrays were used. The Dynamic Arrays will be read on a Fluidigm EP1 System or BioMark System after amplification and scored using Fluidigm SNP Genotyping Analysis software. Assays that fail to amplify on the Fluidigm system will be reanalyzed on the Applied Biosystems platform. The plates will be scanned on an Applied Biosystems Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System after amplification and scored using Applied Biosystems' Sequence Detection Software version 2.2.
Genotypes produced on both platforms will be imported and archived in the Gene Conservation Laboratory (GCL) Oracle database, LOKI.

## Laboratory Failure Rates and Quality Control

Overall failure rate will be calculated by dividing the number of failed single-locus genotypes by the number of assayed single-locus genotypes. An individual genotype will be considered a failure when a locus for a fish cannot be satisfactorily scored.
Quality control (QC) measures will be used to identify laboratory errors and to determine the reproducibility of genotypes. In this process, 8 of every 96 fish (1 row per 96-well plate) will be re-extracted and reanalyzed for all markers by staff not involved with the original analysis. Laboratory errors found during the QC process will be corrected, and genotypes will be corrected in the database. Inconsistencies not attributable to laboratory error will be recorded, but original genotype scores will be retained in the database.

## Data Reduction

Technicians will return their genetic cards, scale cards, and field data sheets to the Soldotna office daily and will be responsible for ensuring the recorded data are legible and accurate. The project biologist will ensure all data are returned, legible, and entered correctly. All data will be keypunched directly into a master electronic data file. Age data will be entered upon scale reading. CWT forms will be edited to ensure accuracy and mailed to Juneau ADF\&G for data entry. A final edited copy of all data files along with a data map will be sent to the ADF\&G Research and Technical Services (RTS) for archiving.

## Data Analysis

## Baseline and Reporting Groups

The current UCI Chinook salmon genetic baseline used for MSA applications is an update of the baseline reported in Barclay et al. (2012) and includes 62 additional collections and 25 new populations (Barclay and Habicht 2015; Table 3). The updated baseline includes the same set of SNP markers except that locus Ots_FGF6B was excluded because of its association with locus Ots_FGF6A.

Table 3.-Populations of Chinook salmon in the Upper Cook Inlet genetic baseline, including the sampling location, collection years, number of individuals sampled from each population ( $n$ ), and the reporting groups used for mixed stock analysis of ESSN harvest.

| Map no. | Reporting group | Location | Added after baseline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Collection year(s) | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cook Inlet other | Straight Creek |  | 2010 | 95 |
| 2 |  | Chuitna River |  | 2008, 2009 | 134 |
| 3 |  | Coal Creek |  | 2009, 2010, 2011 | 118 |
| 4 |  | Theodore River | X | 2010, 2011, 2012 | 190 |
| 5 |  | Lewis River | X | 2011, 2012 | 87 |
| 6 |  | Red Creek | X | 2012, 2013 | 111 |
| 7 |  | Hayes River | X | 2012, 2013 | 50 |
| 8 |  | Canyon Creek | X | 2012, 2013 | 91 |
| 9 |  | Talachulitna River |  | 1995, 2008, 2010 | 178 |
| 10 |  | Sunflower Creek |  | 2009, 2011 | 123 |
| 11 |  | Peters Creek | X | 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 | 107 |
| 12 |  | Portage Creek | X | 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013 | 162 |
| 13 |  | Indian River | X | 2013 | 79 |
| 14 |  | Middle Fork Chulitna R. |  | 2009, 2010 | 169 |
| 15 |  | East Fork Chulitna R. | X | 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013 | 77 |
| 16 |  | Byers Creek | X | 2013 | 55 |
| 17 |  | Spink Creek | X | 2013 | 56 |
| 18 |  | Troublesome Creek | X | 2013 | 71 |
| 19 |  | Bunco Creek | X | 2013 | 98 |
| 20 |  | Upper Talkeetna no name creek | X | 2013 | 69 |
| 21 |  | Prairie Creek |  | 1995, 2008 | 161 |
| 22 |  | East Fork Iron Creek | X | 2013 | 57 |
| 23 |  | Disappointment Creek | X | 2013 | 64 |
| 24 |  | Chunilna Creek |  | 2009, 2012 | 123 |
| 25 |  | Montana Creek |  | 2008, 2009, 2010 | 213 |
| 26 |  | Little Willow Creek | X | 2013 | 54 |
| 27 |  | Willow Creek |  | 2005, 2009 | 170 |
| 28 |  | Deshka River |  | 1995, 2005, 2012 | 303 |
| 29 |  | Sucker Creek | X | 2011, 2012 | 143 |
| 30 |  | Little Susitna River |  | 2009, 2010 | 228 |
| 31 |  | Moose Creek - Matanuska R. |  | 1995, 2008, 2009, 2012 | 149 |
| 32 |  | Eagle River | X | 2009, 2011, 2012 | 77 |
| 33 |  | Ship Creek |  | 2009 | 261 |
| 34 |  | Campbell Creek | X | 2010 | 110 |
| 35 |  | Carmen River | X | 2011, 2012 | 50 |
| 36 |  | Resurrection Creek | X | 2010, 2011, 2012 | 98 |
| 37 |  | Chickaloon River |  | 2008, 2010, 2011 | 128 |

Table 3.-Page 2 of 2.

| Map no. | Reporting group | Location | Added after baseline | Collection year(s) | $n$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | Kenai R. tributaries | Grant Creek | X | 2011, 2012 | 55 |
| 39 |  | Quartz Creek |  | 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, | 131 |
| 40 |  | Crescent Creek |  | 2006 | 164 |
| 41 |  | Russian River |  | 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 | 214 |
| 42 |  | Benjamin Creek |  | 2005, 2006 | 204 |
| 43 |  | Killey River |  | 2005, 2006 | 255 |
| 44 |  | Funny River |  | 2005, 2006 | 219 |
| 45 | Kenai R. mainstem | Juneau Creek |  | 2005, 2006, 2007 | 140 |
| 46 |  | Upper Kenai R. mainstem |  | 2009 | 191 |
| 47 |  | Middle Kenai R. mainstem |  | 2003, 2004, 2006 | 299 |
|  |  | Lower Kenai R. mainstem | X | 2010, 2011 | 118 |
| 49 | Kasilof R. mainstem | Kasilof River mainstem |  | 2005 | 321 |
| 50 | Cook Inlet other | Crooked Creek |  | 2005, 2011 | 306 |
| 51 |  | Ninilchik River weir |  | 2006, 2010 | 209 |
| 52 |  | Deep Creek |  | 2009, 2010 | 196 |
| 53 |  | Stariski Creek | X | 2011, 2012 | 104 |
| 54 |  | Anchor River weir |  | 2006, 2010 | 249 |

Note: Map numbers correspond to sampling sites in Figure 3.
a "X" indicates populations that have been added since the Barclay et al. (2012) baseline.
Reporting groups are defined based on 1 or more of the following criteria: 1) the genetic similarity among populations, 2) the expectation that proportional harvest would be greater than $5 \%$, or 3 ) the applicability to answer fishery management questions. Based on these criteria, reporting groups chosen to apportion the harvest for this study are: "Kenai River mainstem," "Kenai River tributaries," "Kasilof River mainstem," and "Cook Inlet other." The Cook Inlet other reporting group represents all remaining Cook Inlet Chinook salmon baseline populations not included in the 3 other reporting groups (Table 3 and Figure 3)
To minimize misallocation between MSA reporting groups, the Slikok Creek (a Kenai River tributary) population was removed from the baseline because it is very small and is genetically similar to the Crooked Creek (a Kasilof River tributary) population (Barclay and Habicht 2015). In addition, Juneau Creek, a Kenai River tributary, was grouped with the Kenai River mainstem reporting group due to genetic similarity.


Figure 3.-Sampling locations for Chinook salmon populations included in the genetic baseline.
Note: Numbers correspond to map numbers on Table 3.

## Mixed Stock Analysis

The stock composition of the commercial ESSN fishery harvest for each stratum will be estimated using the software package BAYES (Pella and Masuda 2001). BAYES employs a Bayesian algorithm to estimate the most probable contribution of the baseline populations to explain the combination of genotypes in the mixture sample. The final analysis will consist of the results from 5 separate Monte Carlo Markov chains where each chain will begin with different initial values. A random number generator will be used to create the initial values which will sum to 1 over all reporting groups. The Dirichlet prior distribution for the composition parameters in BAYES will be based upon the best available information for each mixture analysis. We believe the best available information for the prior to be the results of MSA of similar mixtures. For the 2016 ESSN mixtures, the best available information will be the stock proportions estimates from the analysis of the 2015 ESSN Chinook salmon samples. The sum of the Dirichlet prior parameters will equal 1, thus minimizing the overall influence of the prior distribution. The chains will be run until convergence is reached (shrink factor less than 1.2) for the 5 chains (Pella and Masuda 2001). The first half of each chain will be discarded in order to remove the influence of the initial values; the rest will be used to estimate the posterior distribution of stock composition proportions. The point estimates of stock composition and the variance of these estimates will be calculated from the mean and standard deviation of the posterior distributions.

## Harvest of Chinook Salmon by Reporting Group

The number ( $\hat{H}^{g}$ ) of Chinook salmon from reporting group $g$ harvested in the commercial ESSN fishery between the first opening as early as late June and the last opening on or before August 15 will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{S} H_{i, j} \hat{p}_{i, j}^{g} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\hat{p}_{i, j}^{g}=$ estimated proportion of ESSN harvest in time stratum $i$ and geographic stratum $j$ comprising Chinook salmon from reporting group $g$ (Kenai River mainstem, Kasilof River mainstem, Kenai River tributaries, or Cook Inlet other) and based on Bayesian mixed stock analysis as described in the previous section,
$H_{i, j}=$ ESSN Chinook salmon harvest in time stratum $i$ and area stratum $j$ obtained from fish ticket data,
$T=$ number of time strata (prior to 8 July, 8-31 July, and after 31 July), and
$S \quad=$ number of geographic strata (Kenai-East Foreland and Kasilof sections)
The variance of $\hat{H}^{g}$ will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{H}^{g}\right)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left(H_{i, j}\right)^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{i, j}^{g}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{i, j}^{g}\right)$ will be available from the Bayesian mixed stock analysis (Pella and Masuda 2001).

## Age and Sex Composition of Chinook Salmon in the ESSN Harvest

The age (or sex) proportions of Chinook salmon harvested in the commercial ESSN fishery by sampling stratum will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}=\frac{n_{i, j}^{z}}{n_{i, j}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}=\begin{aligned} & \text { the estimated proportion of salmon of age (or sex) category } z \text { from sampling stratum } \\ & (i, j),\end{aligned}$ $\begin{aligned} n_{i, j}^{z}= & \text { the number of fish sampled from sampling stratum }(i, j) \text { that were classified as age (or } \\ & \text { sex) category } z,\end{aligned}$
$=$ the number of Chinook salmon sampled for age (or sex) determination from sampling $n_{i, j} \quad$ stratum $(i, j)$.

The variance of $\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}$ will be estimated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}\right]=\left(1-\frac{n_{i, j}}{H_{i, j}}\right) \frac{\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}\left(1-\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}\right)}{n_{i, j}-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{i, j}$ is the number of Chinook salmon harvested in a sampling stratum (i,j).
The estimates of harvest by age (or sex) categories in each sampling stratum will be calculated by

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{i, j}^{z}=H_{i, j} \hat{p}_{i, j}^{z} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{H}_{i, j}^{z}\right]=H_{i, j}^{2} * \operatorname{var}\left[\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}\right] \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total harvest by age (or sex) category and its variance will then be estimated by summation:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{z}=\sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{S} \hat{H}_{i . j}^{z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{H}^{z}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{S} \operatorname{var}\left[\hat{H}_{i, j}^{z}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $T$ and $S$ are the number of time and geographic strata, respectively.

Finally, the total proportion of the ESSN harvest by age (or sex) category and its variance will be estimated by the following:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}^{z}=\frac{\hat{H}^{z}}{H} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{p}^{z}\right]=\frac{\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{H}^{z}\right]}{H^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## CWT Recoveries

With the low numbers of CWT recoveries expected, no direct estimates of CWT recoveries by stock will be made, but the data will be archived with Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau.

## SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES

| Dates | Activity |
| :--- | :--- |
| Mid to late June 2016 | Hiring and preseason training (Eskelin) |
| Late June to mid-August | ESSN Chinook salmon harvest sampling (3 FWT II) |
| September 2016 | Data edited, tissue collection transferred to GCL (Eskelin) |
| September 2016 | Tissue, age, sex, and length subsamples selected and scales aged (Eskelin) |
| October 2016 | Draft ASL composition estimates completed (Eskelin and Huang) |
| December $2016^{\text {a }}$ | Tissues analyzed by GCL (Barclay) |
| January $2017^{\text {a }}$ | Draft MSA and harvest estimates complete by temporal and geographic <br> strata, and reporting group (Barclay, Eskelin, and Huang) |
| February $2017^{\text {a }}$ | Memo summarizing draft results disseminated to regional staff (Eskelin <br> and Barclay |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Due to multiple projects associated with upcoming Alaska Board of Fisheries meetings, MSA analyses for ESSN Chinook salmon reporting group compositional estimates may not be provided as early as detailed in this schedule but will be completed and disseminated as soon as possible thereafter.

## RESPONSIBILITIES

## Principle Investigator <br> Tony Eskelin, Project Leader, Fishery Biologist II

Duties: The project leader is responsible for writing the operational plan, hiring and training personnel, and supervising data collection. The project biologist will be responsible for collating data and transferring tissue samples to Anchorage for MSA and associated data, and any CWT heads and data forms to the Mark, Tag and Age Lab in Juneau. This position will be responsible for all scale aging. This position will also ensure all data are in proper format and archived with RTS at the completion of the field season and will be primary author on any reporting.

## Coprincipal Investigator <br> Andy Barclay, Fishery Biologist III

Duties: This position is the Gene Conservation Lab representative and is responsible for the analysis of tissue samples for MSA and providing estimates to the project biologist and biometrician. This position will be co-author on FDS reports and memos.

## Consulting Biometrician

Jiaqi Huang, Biometrician III
Duties: This position will provide guidance on sampling design and data analysis, prepare estimates of harvest of Chinook salmon by reporting group, and assist with preparation of the operational plan and any reports.

## Sampling Crew

Madeline Fox, Fish and Wildlife Technician II, 20 June-16 August
Fish and Wildlife Technician II (non-perm), 20 June-16 August
Fish and Wildlife Technician II (non-perm), 20 June-16 August
Duties: These positions are responsible for operating state of Alaska vehicles, adhering to the sampling schedule, sampling harvested Chinook salmon for ASL and tissue, recording data accurately, and entering data into a computerized database in a timely manner.

## BUDGET SUMMARY

Budget for FY16 and FY17

| Line item | Category | FY16 Budget <br> $(\$ \mathrm{~K})$ | FY17 Budget <br> $(\$ \mathrm{~K})$ |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | Personal Services | 8.5 | 25.3 |
| 200 | Travel | - |  |
| 300 | - | 26.4 |  |
| 300 | Contractual | Commodities | 1.0 |
| 500 | Equipment | - |  |
| Total |  | 8.7 | - |

Funded personnel

| PCN | Name | Level | Funded man months (2016 field season) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| NP | Vacant | FWT II | 1.8 |
| NP | Vacant | FWT II | 1.8 |
| 11-4062 | Fox, Madeline | FWT II | 1.8 |
| Total |  |  | 5.4 |
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## APPENDIX A: PRELIMINARY 2016 ESSN CHINOOK SALMON SAMPLING SCHEDULE

Appendix A1.-Preliminary 2016 ESSN Chinook salmon sampling schedule.


Note: Schedule is subject to change during the season as fishery openings occur.

## APPENDIX B: INSTRUCTIONS FOR TISSUE SAMPLING

Appendix B1.-Instructions for tissue sampling.

## Adult Finfish Tissue Sampling for DNA Analysis <br> ADF\&G Gene Conservation Lab, Anchorage

## I. GeneralInformation

We use fin tissues as a source of DNA to genotype fish. Genotyped fish are used to determine the genetic characteristics of fish stocks or to determine stock compositions of fishery mixtures. The most important thing to remember in collecting samples is that only quality tissue samples give quality results. If sampling from carcasses: tissues need to be as "fresh" and as cold as possible.

Preservative used Silica desiccant bead packet and salt dries and preserves tissues for later DNA extraction. Quality DNA preservation requires Fast drying (under 5 hours $a 65^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ ); Dry storage (with 2 desiccant packs) in weathertight file bax.

## II. Sampling Method


IV. Supplies included in sampling kit:

1. Clippers - for cuting a porticn of selected fin

Whaman genetics card-holds 10 fish card.
Peican case - $1^{\text {E }}$ stage of dying and holding card amples
Noniodized salt -distribue 1 tpp, non-iodzed salt over each card Slica packs - desiccart removes maisure from sanples.
Blozer p¥ea - covers full smple cardfor dying; muliple use.
Watertight file box - dry storage prior to retum shipmerz.
Rastic photo page - 10 cards per page for retum shipmerr. Nanila emvelope - podk died cards in manila emvelope
10. Shipping box - put ses ed marila envelope inside bot.
11. Stapler-extra protection secure sample to nambered gid.
12. Staples - only use staples protided specific for staple.
13. Detydratox - over dy desiccant padks ovemigtt (hare WCF).
14. Laminated "retum address" labels.
15. Sampling intructions.
16. Pencil

## III. Sampling Instructions

- Every morning: before sampling, rotate 3 desiccant packs (2Pelican micro. 1-file bos) into dehydrator @ $160^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ for 12 hrs . (NOT SAMPLES)!
- Prior to sampling Set up work space, fill out required collection information (upper left hand corner only) and place Whatman genetics card (10WGC) flat for easy access; ready to sample.
- Sampling:
- Wipe fin prior to sampling.
- Briefly wipe or rinse clippers with water between samples to reduce cross contaminating.
- Uaing clippers, cut one acillary fin per fish.
- Place one clipped in tissue onto appropriate gid space. Follow sampling order printed on card - do not deviate. If large tissue sample, center tissue diagonally on gnd apace.
- Only one fin clip per fish into each numbered grid space.
- Staple each sample to 10WGC (see photo).
- Sampling complete, dust tissues with 1 tsp. non-iodized salt to promote the preservation process.
- Staple landscape cloth "rain fly" to paper edge (2 staples max).
- Loading Pelican Case:
- $1^{n}$ card: Remove blotter papers and desiocant packs from Pelican case. Place first card in Pelican case with tissues facing up. Next, place blotter paper directly over card and place one desiccant pack on top. Close and secure lid so drying begins.
- Up to 4 cards can be added per case. Add them so tisane samples always face the desiccant pack through blotter paper. $2^{\text {nd }}$ card facing down between desiccant packs, $3^{\text {nh }}$ card facing up between desiocant packs; and $4^{2 \mathrm{~h}}$ card facing down on top of second desiocant pack. Close and secure Pelican case after inserting each card.
- All Whatman cards remain in Pelican overnight to dry flat.
- Post-sampling stor age: Every morning, store dried tissue cards in weathertight sle box at room temperature. Two desiccant packs are allocated for file box every morning rotate 3 desiccant packs (2-Pelican, 1 fie box) into dehydrator (8) $160^{6} \mathrm{~F}$ for 12 hours. (NOT SAMPLES)!
- Shipping at end of the season: Pack 10 dried cards per plastic photo page, slide in manila envelope, pack inside priority mailing box. Tape box shut and tape retum address on box.
V. Shipping: Address the sealed mailer bex for retum shipment to ADF\&G Genetics lab

| Retam to ADF \&G Anchorage lb: | ADFEO -Genetics 333 Rapponry Rosd Anchorage Alaka99518 | Labsteff: 907.267 .2247 Judy Berger: 907.267.2175 Freight code: $\qquad$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |



## APPENDIX C: SAMPLING FORMS

Appendix C1.-2016 ESSN Chinook salmon sampling form.

## 2016 ESSN Chinook salmon sampling form

Date:
Start Time:

| Scale |  | METF <br> Length | Sex | Sex Verify? | Genetics |  | Sample Location | Stat <br> Area | CWT \# |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Card\# | Scale\# |  |  |  | Card\# | Box\# |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Sex: 1-male 2-female, verify sex for fish less than 750 mm METF (if granted permission)
Length: mid eye to fork-of-tail in nearest 5 mm .

Appendix C2.-Coded wire tag sampling form.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on previous MSA results, it is anticipated that Chinook salmon harvest of the reporting groups Kenai River tributaries and Cook Inlet Other will be low ( $<150$ fish) so no precision criteria are set for estimation of these reporting groups. Sample size is driven by Primary Objectives 1 and 2.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Product names used in this publication are included for completeness but do not constitute product endorsement.

