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## Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

| Weights and measures (metric) |  | General |  | Mathematics, statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centimeter | cm | Alaska Administrative |  | all standard mathematical |  |
| deciliter | dL | Code | AAC | signs, symbols and |  |
| gram | g | all commonly accepted |  | abbreviations |  |
| hectare | ha | abbreviations | e.g., Mr., Mrs., | alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {A }}$ |
| kilogram | kg |  | AM, PM, etc. | base of natural logarithm | $e$ |
| kilometer | km | all commonly accepted |  | catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| liter | L | professional titles | e.g., Dr., Ph.D., | coefficient of variation | CV |
| meter | m |  | R.N., etc. | common test statistics | (F, t, $\chi^{2}$, etc.) |
| milliliter | mL | at | @ | confidence interval | CI |
| millimeter | mm | compass directions: east | E | correlation coefficient (multiple) | R |
| Weights and measures (English) |  | north | N | correlation coefficient |  |
| cubic feet per second | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | south | S | (simple) | r |
| foot | ft | west | W | covariance | cov |
| gallon | gal | copyright | © | degree (angular) | - |
| inch | in | corporate suffixes: |  | degrees of freedom | df |
| mile | mi | Company | Co. | expected value | E |
| nautical mile | nmi | Corporation | Corp. | greater than | $>$ |
| ounce | oz | Incorporated | Inc. | greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| pound | lb | Limited | Ltd. | harvest per unit effort | HPUE |
| quart | qt | District of Columbia | D.C. | less than | < |
| yard | yd | et alii (and others) | et al. | less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
|  |  | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. | logarithm (natural) | ln |
| Time and temperature |  | exempli gratia |  | logarithm (base 10) | $\log$ |
| day | d | (for example) | e.g. | logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$, etc. |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Federal Information |  | minute (angular) | ' |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Code | FIC | not significant | NS |
| degrees kelvin | K | id est (that is) | i.e. | null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ |
| hour | h | latitude or longitude | lat or long | percent | \% |
| minute | min | monetary symbols |  | probability | P |
| second | S | (U.S.) months (tables and | \$, ¢ | probability of a type I error (rejection of the null |  |
| Physics and chemistry |  | figures): first three |  | hypothesis when true) | $\alpha$ |
| all atomic symbols |  | letters | Jan,...,Dec | probability of a type II error |  |
| alternating current | AC | registered trademark | ${ }^{\circledR}$ | (acceptance of the null |  |
| ampere | A | trademark | тм | hypothesis when false) | $\beta$ |
| calorie | cal | United States |  | second (angular) | " |
| direct current | DC | (adjective) | U.S. | standard deviation | SD |
| hertz | Hz | United States of |  | standard error | SE |
| horsepower | hp | America (noun) | USA | variance |  |
| hydrogen ion activity (negative log of) | pH | U.S.C. | United States Code | population sample | Var var |
| parts per million | ppm | U.S. state | use two-letter |  |  |
| parts per thousand | ppt, |  | abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA) |  |  |
| volts | V |  |  |  |  |
| watts | W |  |  |  |  |
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#### Abstract

Genetic tissue and age, sex, and length composition information will be collected from harvested Chinook salmon in the Upper Cook Inlet Eastside set gillnet (ESSN) commercial fishery in 2015. The primary goals of the study are to estimate the proportion and harvest of Chinook salmon in the ESSN commercial fishery by reporting group for each temporal and geographic stratum and for the entire 2015 season. The 4 reporting groups to apportion the harvest are as follows: Kenai River mainstem, Kasilof River mainstem, Kenai River tributaries, and Cook Inlet other. Age, sex, and length composition will be estimated for each temporal and geographic stratum and for the entire 2015 season.


Key words: Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, Kenai River, Eastside set gillnet, commercial fishery, ESSN, Upper Cook Inlet, mixed stock analysis, MSA, stock-specific harvest, Chinook Salmon Research Initiative, CSRI.

## INTRODUCTION

The Chinook Salmon Research Initiative (CSRI) has chosen Kenai River Chinook salmon as 1 of 12 indicator stocks to assess recent declines in Chinook salmon across the state (ADF\&G Chinook Salmon Research Team 2013). There is a lack of stock-specific harvest and age, sex, and length (ASL) composition information from commercial harvests in Cook Inlet, which are needed to more accurately represent harvest rates and production trends. Genetic samples of this stock are also needed to estimate the relative proportions and, along with harvest data, the number of Chinook salmon harvested in the ESSN commercial fishery by temporal and geographical strata and by reporting groups (e.g., Kenai River mainstem or tributary populations). This project will collect and analyze ASL and genetic tissue samples of Chinook salmon harvested in the Upper Cook Inlet (UCI) Eastside set gillnet (ESSN) commercial fishery. The Division of Sport Fish (SF) will be responsible for the collection of genetic tissue samples and ASL data. Tissue samples will be sent to the Division of Commercial Fisheries (CF) Gene Conservation Lab (GCL), which will be responsible for mixed stock analysis (MSA).

## BACKGROUND

All five species of Pacific salmon are harvested in UCI. Sockeye salmon (Oncorhynchus nerka) make up the majority of the harvest (Shields and Dupuis 2015) but Chinook salmon (O. tshawytscha) are also harvested. Recent low Chinook salmon runs in UCI have heightened interest in stock-specific harvest of Chinook salmon in these fisheries. A Chinook salmon genetic baseline that includes representative populations in UCI is available for MSA applications in fisheries using genetic stock identification (GSI) techniques (Barclay et al. 2012). Obtaining information about stock-specific harvest of Chinook salmon is needed to improve understanding of stock productivity, brood table development, and for setting and attaining escapement goals.

Most of the UCI Chinook salmon harvest occurs in the Upper Subdistrict set gillnet fishery of the Central District. This fishery is commonly referred to as the Eastside set gillnet (ESSN) fishery and is located along the eastern shore of Cook Inlet between Ninilchik and Boulder Point (Figure 1). Since 1966, the ESSN fishery has accounted for $65 \%$ of all Chinook salmon harvested annually on average in UCI commercial fisheries (Eskelin et. al 2013). The ESSN fishery is composed of 3 sections (Kasilof, Kenai, and East Foreland) and 8 statistical areas (Ninilchik Beach, Cohoe Beach, Kasilof River Special Harvest area [KRSHA], South K-Beach, North KBeach, Salamatof Beach, and East Foreland (Figure 2). The 2005-2014 average ESSN Chinook salmon harvest is 7,776 fish; however, harvest has declined recently and the recent 3 -year average is 1,998 fish. The 3 lowest documented harvests of Chinook salmon in the ESSN fishery were in the past 3 years: 704 fish in 2012, 2,988 fish in 2013, and 2,301 fish in 2014. In 2014,
the ESSN fishery was opened for 13 fishing periods in the Kasilof section and for 6 fishing periods in the Kenai and East Foreland sections. In addition, the KRSHA was opened during 17 days. Low Chinook salmon runs and subsequent reduced fishing time has reduced Chinook salmon harvest substantially.
The ESSN Chinook salmon harvest has been sampled by the Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) for ASL composition information since 1986. In many years, 1 technician would sample harvested Chinook salmon at fish processor receiving sites on regular period openings and opportunistically collect ASL samples. Beginning in 2010, genetic tissue samples were added to the collection effort. With only 1 technician assigned to collect samples, it was difficult to representatively sample all areas of the ESSN fishery during each tide or fishing period. Some areas were targeted for sampling because they were expected to have larger Chinook salmon harvests, while some areas with lower harvest were not sampled as effectively due to time constraints and not being able to sample at receiving sites prior to fish being mixed from all areas at fish processing plants. The sampling effort was increased in 2013 and 2014 with funding from the Chinook Salmon Research Initiative (CSRI) to provide temporally and geographically stratified estimates and more precise estimates by reporting group.

A sufficient number of representative samples were collected in 2010, 2011, 2013, and 2014 to allow for MSA, but not in 2012. Tissues were generally subsampled postseason in proportion to the harvest within 1 or 2 fishing periods and statistical areas. Sample size was insufficient for temporal or geographic stratification in 2010 and 2011, so only seasonal estimates were produced. The increased sampling effort and subsequent higher sampling rate in 2013 and 2014 have allowed for temporal and geographic stratification during those years.

The Kenai River supports 2 genetically divergent population aggregates (Barclay et al. 2012): mainstem- and tributary-spawning populations. Those that spawn in Kenai River tributaries enter the river prior to those that spawn in the mainstem Kenai River, although there is some overlap from late-June to early July (Reimer 2013). The ESSN fishery primarily harvests Chinook salmon bound for the Kenai and Kasilof rivers (Eskelin et al. 2013). Reporting groups were defined based on one or more of the following criteria: 1) the genetic similarity among populations, 2) the expectation that proportional harvest would be greater than $5 \%$, or 3 ) the applicability to answer fishery management questions. Reporting groups used in the MSA for each year were: "Kenai River mainstem," "Kasilof River mainstem," "Kenai River tributaries," and "Cook Inlet other." The 2010, 2011, 2013, and 2014 composition estimates by reporting group were similar from year to year (Table 1), with the Kenai River mainstem reporting group having the greatest average proportional contribution (0.671), followed by Kasilof River mainstem (0.314), Cook Inlet other (0.011) and lastly, the Kenai River tributaries reporting group (0.004). This project in 2015 will be similar to 2013 and 2014 and will include expanded sampling of the Chinook salmon harvest for ASL composition and genetic tissue. A total of 3 technicians will be assigned to sample the ESSN Chinook salmon harvest and will provide coverage of the fishery during every regular period opening and also allow for sampling of most fishing periods that may be opened by emergency order (EO).


Figure 1.-Map of Upper Cook Inlet commercial fishing districts and subdistricts.
Note: Thick black lines indicate district borders and thin lines indicate subdistrict borders; the thick maroon line near the eastern shore of Cook Inlet denotes the ESSN fishery.


Figure 2.-Map of Upper Cook Inlet Eastside set gillnet commercial fishing statistical areas.
Note: Small circles represent approximate locations of processing plants or receiving sites. KRSHA (244-25) is the Kasilof River Special Harvest Area.

Table 1.-Proportion of Chinook salmon harvested in the ESSN fishery by reporting group for 2010, 2011, 2013, and 2014.

| Reporting group | Year | Proportion by year | 5\% | 95\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Kenai River tributaries | 2010 | 0.011 | 0.001 | 0.031 |
|  | 2011 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.008 |
|  | 2013 | 0.001 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
|  | 2014 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.012 |
|  | Average | 0.004 |  |  |
| Kenai River mainstem | 2010 | 0.643 | 0.581 | 0.703 |
|  | 2011 | 0.667 | 0.601 | 0.733 |
|  | 2013 | 0.766 | 0.727 | 0.804 |
|  | 2014 | 0.609 | 0.555 | 0.664 |
|  | Average | 0.671 |  |  |
| Kasilof River mainstem | 2010 | 0.326 | 0.271 | 0.383 |
|  | 2011 | 0.330 | 0.265 | 0.395 |
|  | 2013 | 0.213 | 0.178 | 0.250 |
|  | 2014 | 0.387 | 0.333 | 0.441 |
|  | Average | 0.314 |  |  |
| Cook Inlet other | 2010 | 0.020 | 0.003 | 0.047 |
|  | 2011 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.011 |
|  | 2013 | 0.019 | 0.010 | 0.030 |
|  | 2014 | 0.002 | 0.000 | 0.010 |
|  | Average | 0.011 |  |  |

## OBJECTIVES

## Primary Objectives

1) Estimate the proportion of Chinook salmon harvested in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery by reporting group (Kenai River mainstem, Kasilof River mainstem, Kenai River tributaries, and Cook Inlet other) for each temporal and geographic stratum for the season such that the estimated proportions are within 13 percentage points of the true values $90 \%$ of the time.
2) Estimate the harvest of Kenai River mainstem and Kasilof River mainstem Chinook salmon in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery for each temporal and geographic stratum such that the estimates are within $30 \%$ of the true value, $90 \%$ of the time.
3) Estimate the age composition of the Chinook salmon harvested by the ESSN fishery such that the estimates are within 10 percentage points of the true values $95 \%$ of the time.

## SECONDARy ObJECTIVES

1. Estimate the harvest of Chinook salmon for the reporting groups Kenai River tributaries and Cook Inlet other in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery for each temporal and geographic stratum. ${ }^{1}$
2. Sample $35 \%$ of the Chinook salmon harvested in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery for tissue, CWTs, scales, sex, and mid eye to tail fork (METF) length.
3. Estimate the sex and length compositions of Chinook salmon harvested in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery overall and for each temporal and geographic stratum.

## METHODS

## Study Design

Regular period openings in the ESSN fishery are from 7:00 AM to 7:00 PM on Mondays and Thursdays. The first scheduled regular period in the Kasilof section (statistical areas 244-21, $244-22$, and 244-31) for the 2015 season is Thursday, June 25 . The fishery could be opened as early as June 20 dependent on cumulative sockeye salmon passage in the Kasilof River. The first Kasilof section regular period or EO opening and subsequent openings will be sampled. The first scheduled regular period in the Kenai and East Foreland sections (statistical areas 244-32, 24441, and 244-42) for the 2015 season is Thursday, July 9. All regular fishing periods and up to 2 additional fishing periods opened by EO per week are budgeted to be sampled. If it is foreseen that there will be more than 2 openings by EO in a given week, then the particular openings to be sampled will be chosen from harvest rates and insight from commercial fishery managers based on probable scenarios of future openings. The fishery is scheduled to end August 15, with only regular fishing periods allowed after August 10. The regular period sampling schedule is in Appendix A1.
To collect a representative sample, as many Chinook salmon as possible will be sampled and sampling effort will be distributed appropriately. Each technician will be assigned 1 of 3 areas to sample: receiving sites for 1) Ninilchik-Cohoe beaches, 2) K-Beach (north and south), and 3) Salamatof Beach and East Foreland (Figure 1). Among technicians, sampling areas will probably overlap, and modifications to assigned areas may occur during the season. Inseason analyses of the proportions of the Chinook salmon harvest sampled by beach will be will be used to make modifications to the sampling strategy as necessary. Technicians will begin sampling on the southern end of their sampling area after the first round of deliveries to each buying station. Sampling at the southern end first and moving northward will follow the fish deliveries as they occur to maximize the number of samples collected. This sampling strategy should not introduce bias. If technicians started at the northern beaches and moved south, they would miss samples and have a lower sampling rate due to the later timing of northern deliveries. Chinook salmon will probably be delivered to over 20 receiving sites spread throughout the statistical areas. Technicians will sample during each opening at receiving sites until the fish are transported to processing plants. The day following each fishing period, additional Chinook salmon samples will be collected at a fish processing plant paying premium prices, where fish from all areas are delivered the day following the fishery. A technician will be stationed at that plant to get samples

[^0]as they are delivered so that location of harvest by statistical area can be determined. KRSHA openings will be sampled if opened by EO.

Because the number and location of receiving sites changes each year, the project biologist will develop a list with contact information and a map showing locations to sample that will be distributed to each technician prior to the 2015 season. Technicians will be instructed to sample at receiving sites on their way north up the beach. There will be no set schedule for times to sample at each location. Schedules will depend on tides and the times of fishing periods.

## GEOGRAPHIC AND TEMPORAL STRATIFICATION

Proposed temporal and geographic stratification was determined by management criteria and MSA results from 2010, 2011, and 2013 tissue samples. The 2014 tissue samples have yet to be analyzed. Depending on how the fishery is prosecuted and how many samples are collected in 2015, choices for temporal and geographic strata could change, but for planning purposes 5 strata were chosen (Table 2).

Table 2.-Proposed strata for ASL analysis and MSA in 2015.

| Stratum number | Temporal stratum | Geographic area |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | 25 June - 8 July | Kasilof Section |
| 2 | $9-31$ July | Kasilof Section |
| 3 | $9-31$ July | Kenai and East Forelands Sections |
| 4 | $1-15$ August | All Sections |
| 5 | 2015 season | KRSHA |

In Stratum 4, the geographic area is "All sections" because sample size in that stratum is likely to be low. There may be more than 5 strata chosen if Chinook salmon harvest and the number of samples collected are sufficient to produce statistically valid results.

Sampling results and chosen temporal and geographic strata from 2013 were used to determine expected harvests and also expected sampling and selection rates for 2015 (Table 3). Samples must be collected to represent the harvest, which is seldom possible, so subsampling of collections is required postseason to ensure equivalent representation of the harvest. In 2014, technicians were able to collect 966 tissue samples, which constituted $47 \%$ of the harvest. After subsampling representatively when possible, 471 samples ( $23 \%$ of the harvest) will be used in MSA. The goal for 2015 will be to sample at least $35 \%$ of the harvest and to select samples from $24 \%$ of the harvest for MSA after subsampling representatively by date and statistical area. Length will be incorporated into the subsample selection such that the length distribution of subsampled fish will be equivalent to the length distribution of fish not selected after subsampling, within each grouping. A grouping will usually be 1-2 days of samples within each geographic stratum.

Table 3.-Reported Chinook salmon harvest, number and proportion sampled, and number and proportion of harvest selected for MSA by temporal and geographic stratum in the Upper Cook Inlet, Eastside set gillnet fishery, 2013.
$\left.\begin{array}{llrrrrr}\hline & & & & \begin{array}{r}\text { Number } \\ \text { selected } \\ \text { for }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Proportion of } \\ \text { harvest }\end{array} \\ \text { Dates } & \text { Geographic area } & \begin{array}{r}\text { Reported } \\ \text { harvest }\end{array} & \begin{array}{r}\text { Number } \\ \text { sampled }\end{array} & \begin{array}{rlrrr}\text { MSA }\end{array} \\ \hline \text { 27 June-6 July } & \text { Kasilof Section } & 404 & 162 & 0.40 & 162 & 0.40 \\ \text { sampled }\end{array} \quad \begin{array}{rlrl}\text { MSA }\end{array}\right]$

Proof tests conducted by the GCL demonstrated that with a fishery mixture of 100 samples, we can estimate stock composition for the 4 reporting groups (Kenai River tributaries, Kenai River mainstem, Kasilof River mainstem, and Cook Inlet other) within 0.13 of the true values $90 \%$ of the time (Barclay et al. In prep). These tests followed the same protocol as reported in Eskelin et al. (2013) for baseline evaluation tests; however, instead of using test mixtures with $100 \%$ of one reporting group, test mixtures were created with proportions from each reporting group that represented a realistic scenario for what might be expected in these fisheries. Given that there are 3 years of reporting group proportion estimates for the ESSN Chinook salmon harvest, tests were conducted under a realistic scenario for reporting group proportions in ESSN fishery mixtures: 0.02 for Kenai River tributaries, 0.58 for Kenai River mainstem, 0.38 for Kasilof River mainstem, and 0.02 for Cook Inlet other.

With this precision of stock composition estimates and an anticipated sample size of 100 fish, we will be able to estimate the harvest of Kenai River mainstem and Kasilof River mainstem Chinook salmon in the UCI ESSN commercial fishery in each stratum within $30 \%$ of the true values $90 \%$ of the time.

The objective criterion ( $\pm 0.10$ with $95 \%$ confidence level) for estimating the age composition of Chinook salmon harvested in the ESSN fishery should be achieved with approximately 170 scale samples. To arrive at this sample size, we assumed a worst-case scenario of $25 \%$ scale regeneration rate with multinomial proportions of equality among ages (Thompson 1987). We plan to collect substantially more samples in 2015 than in previous years so we will probably achieve a higher precision for the age composition estimates.

## Data Collection

## Age, Sex, and Length Sampling

Three scales will be removed from the preferred area of each fish and placed on an adhesivecoated card (Clutter and Whitesel 1956; Welander 1940). Acetate impressions will be made of the scales using a press with $25,000 \mathrm{PSI}$, and the scale growth patterns will be viewed with a $40 \times$ microfiche reader to determine freshwater and marine residence times. Sex will be identified from external morphometric characteristics (i.e., protruding ovipositor on females or a developing kype on males). METF length will be measured to the nearest half-centimeter. Chinook salmon will be sampled for ASL composition without regard to size, sex, length, or location. ASL composition data will be recorded on data sheets (Appendix B1).

## Tissue Sampling for MSA

All fish sampled for ASL will also be sampled for tissue suitable for genetic analysis. A $1 / 3-\mathrm{cm}$ (half-inch) piece of the axillary process will be removed from each fish and placed in a 2 ml plastic vial filled until the tissue samples are completely submerged with a Sigma ${ }^{2}$ reagent grade $95 \%$ alcohol buffer solution such that the liquid-to-tissue ratio is approximately 3:1. Sampling instructions are found in Appendix C1. Each plastic vial will be sequentially numbered and vial numbers recorded on data sheets (Appendix B1). All vials will be stored at the Soldotna office until the end of the season and then sent to the GCL for analysis.

## CWT Sampling

All sampled Chinook salmon will be examined for an adipose finclip. Technicians will remove the head of any Chinook salmon encountered with an adipose finclip. A cinch strap will be attached to the head and the head will be taken to the ADF\&G office for storage in a freezer. All data, including the number of Chinook salmon examined and the number observed missing the adipose fin, will be recorded on a tag recovery form (Appendix D1). The cinch strap number will also be recorded alongside ASL data (Appendix D1) to enable cross-referencing between datasets. Data will be returned to the project leader (Anthony Eskelin). CWT forms and the heads of all adipose finclipped fish will be shipped at the end of the season to the ADF\&G Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory for CWT recovery, determination of stock of origin, and for archiving data.

## Laboratory Analysis

## Assaying Genotypes

DNA extraction and genotyping will generally follow the methods described in detail in Barclay et al. (2012). Briefly, genomic DNA will be extracted from tissue samples using a DNeasy 96 Tissue Kit by QIAGEN (Valencia, CA). Fluidigm 192.24 Dynamic Arrays (http://www.fluidigm.com) will be used to screen 40 SNP markers; this differs from the methods of Barclay et al. (2012) where 96.96 Dynamic Arrays were used. The Dynamic Arrays will be read on a Fluidigm EP1 System or BioMark System after amplification and scored using Fluidigm SNP Genotyping Analysis software. Assays that fail to amplify on the Fluidigm system will be reanalyzed on the Applied Biosystems platform. The plates will be scanned on an Applied Biosystems Prism 7900HT Sequence Detection System after amplification and scored using Applied Biosystems’ Sequence Detection Software version 2.2.

Genotypes produced on both platforms will be imported and archived in the Gene Conservation Laboratory (GCL) Oracle database, LOKI.

## Laboratory Failure Rates and Quality Control

Overall failure rate will be calculated by dividing the number of failed single-locus genotypes by the number of assayed single-locus genotypes. An individual genotype will be considered a failure when a locus for a fish cannot be satisfactorily scored.
Quality control (QC) measures will be used to identify laboratory errors and to determine the reproducibility of genotypes. In this process, 8 of every 96 fish (1 row per 96-well plate) will be

[^1]re-extracted and reanalyzed for all markers by staff not involved with the original analysis. Laboratory errors found during the QC process will be corrected, and genotypes will be corrected in the database. Inconsistencies not attributable to laboratory error will be recorded, but original genotype scores will be retained in the database.

## Data Reduction

Technicians will return their genetic vial boxes, scale cards, and field data to the Soldotna office daily and will be responsible for ensuring the recorded data are legible and accurate. The project biologist will ensure all data are returned, are legible, and are entered correctly. All data will be keypunched directly into a master electronic data file. Age data will be entered upon scale reading. CWT forms will be edited to ensure accuracy and mailed to Juneau ADF\&G for data entry. A final edited copy of all data files along with a data map will be sent to the ADF\&G Research and Technical Services (RTS) for archiving.

## DATA ANALYSIS

## Baseline and Reporting Groups

The current UCI Chinook salmon genetic baseline used for MSA applications is an update of the baseline reported in Barclay et al. (2012) and includes 62 additional collections and 25 new populations (Barclay et al. In prep; Table 4). The updated baseline includes the same set of SNP markers except that locus Ots_FGF6B was excluded because of its association with locus Ots_FGF6A.

Reporting groups are defined based on 1 or more of the following criteria: 1) the genetic similarity among populations, 2) the expectation that proportional harvest would be greater than $5 \%$, or 3 ) the applicability to answer fishery management questions. Based on these criteria, reporting groups chosen to apportion the harvest for this study are: "Kenai River mainstem," "Kenai River tributaries," "Kasilof River mainstem," and "Cook Inlet other." The Cook Inlet other reporting group represents all remaining Cook Inlet Chinook salmon baseline populations not included in the 3 other reporting groups (Table 4 and Figure 3)

To minimize misallocation between MSA reporting groups, the Slikok Creek (a Kenai River tributary) population was removed from the baseline because it is very small and is genetically similar to the Crooked Creek (a Kasilof River tributary) population (Barclay et al. 2012). In addition, Juneau Creek, a Kenai River tributary, was grouped with the Kenai River mainstem reporting group due to genetic similarity (Barclay et al. 2012).


Figure 3.-Sampling locations for Chinook salmon populations included in the genetic baseline.
Note: Numbers correspond to map numbers in Table 4.

Table 4.-Populations of Chinook salmon in the Upper Cook Inlet genetic baseline, including the sampling location, collection years, the number of individuals sampled from each population ( $N$ ), and the reporting groups used for mixed stock analysis of ESSN harvest.

| Map no. | Reporting group | Location | Added after baseline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Collection year(s) | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | Cook Inlet other | Straight Creek |  | 2010 | 95 |
| 2 |  | Chuitna River |  | 2008, 2009 | 134 |
| 3 |  | Coal Creek |  | 2009, 2010, 2011 | 118 |
| 4 |  | Theodore River | X | 2010, 2011, 2012 | 190 |
| 5 |  | Lewis River | X | 2011, 2012 | 87 |
| 6 |  | Red Creek | X | 2012, 2013 | 111 |
| 7 |  | Hayes River | X | 2012, 2013 | 50 |
| 8 |  | Canyon Creek | X | 2012, 2013 | 91 |
| 9 |  | Talachulitna River |  | 1995, 2008, 2010 | 178 |
| 10 |  | Sunflower Creek |  | 2009, 2011 | 123 |
| 11 |  | Peters Creek | X | 2009, 2010, 2011, 2012 | 107 |
| 12 |  | Portage Creek | X | 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013 | 162 |
| 13 |  | Indian River | X | 2013 | 79 |
| 14 |  | Middle Fork Chulitna River |  | 2009, 2010 | 169 |
| 15 |  | East Fork Chulitna River | X | 2009, 2010, 2011, 2013 | 77 |
| 16 |  | Byers Creek | X | 2013 | 55 |
| 17 |  | Spink Creek | X | 2013 | 56 |
| 18 |  | Troublesome Creek | X | 2013 | 71 |
| 19 |  | Bunco Creek | X | 2013 | 98 |
| 20 |  | Upper Talkeetna no name | X | 2013 | 69 |
| 21 |  | Prairie Creek |  | 1995, 2008 | 161 |
| 22 |  | East Fork Iron Creek | X | 2013 | 57 |
| 23 |  | Disappointment Creek | X | 2013 | 64 |
| 24 |  | Chunilna Creek |  | 2009, 2012 | 123 |
| 25 |  | Montana Creek |  | 2008, 2009, 2010 | 213 |
| 26 |  | Little Willow Creek | X | 2013 | 54 |
| 27 |  | Willow Creek |  | 2005, 2009 | 170 |
| 28 |  | Deshka River |  | 1995, 2005, 2012 | 303 |
| 29 |  | Sucker Creek | X | 2011, 2012 | 143 |
| 30 |  | Little Susitna River |  | 2009, 2010 | 228 |
| 31 |  | Moose Creek - Matanuska River |  | 1995, 2008, 2009, 2012 | 149 |
| 32 |  | Eagle River | X | 2009, 2011, 2012 | 77 |
| 33 |  | Ship Creek |  | 2009 | 261 |
| 34 |  | Campbell Creek | X | 2010 | 110 |
| 35 |  | Carmen River | X | 2011, 2012 | 50 |
| 36 |  | Resurrection Creek | X | 2010, 2011, 2012 | 98 |
| 37 |  | Chickaloon River |  | 2008, 2010, 2011 | 128 |

-continued-

Table 4.-Page 2 of 2.

| Map | Reporting group | Location | Added after baseline ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Collection year(s) | $N$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 38 | Tributary | Grant Creek | X | 2011, 2012 | 55 |
|  |  |  |  | 2006, 2007, 2008, 2009, 2010, |  |
| 39 |  | Quartz Creek |  | 2011 | 131 |
| 40 |  | Crescent Creek |  | 2006 | 164 |
| 41 |  | Russian River |  | 2005, 2006, 2007, 2008 | 214 |
| 42 |  | Benjamin Creek |  | 2005, 2006 | 204 |
| 43 |  | Killey River |  | 2005, 2006 | 255 |
| 44 |  | Funny River |  | 2005, 2006 | 219 |
| 45 | Kenai R. mainstem | Juneau Creek |  | 2005, 2006, 2007 | 140 |
| 46 |  | Upper Kenai R. mainstem |  | 2009 | 191 |
| 47 |  | Middle Kenai R. mainstem |  | 2003, 2004, 2006 | 299 |
| 48 |  | Lower Kenai R. mainstem | X | 2010, 2011 | 118 |
| 49 | Kasilof R. mainstem | Kasilof River mainstem |  | 2005 | 321 |
| 50 | Cook Inlet other | Crooked Creek |  | 2005, 2011 | 306 |
| 51 |  | Ninilchik River weir |  | 2006, 2010 | 209 |
| 52 |  | Deep Creek |  | 2009, 2010 | 196 |
| 53 |  | Stariski Creek | X | 2011, 2012 | 104 |
| 54 |  | Anchor River weir |  | 2006, 2010 | 249 |

Note: Map numbers correspond to sampling sites on Figure 3.
a " $X$ " indicates populations that have been added since the Barclay et al. (2012) baseline.

## Mixed Stock Analysis

The stock composition of the commercial ESSN fishery harvest for each stratum will be estimated using the software package BAYES (Pella and Masuda 2001). BAYES employs a Bayesian algorithm to estimate the most probable contribution of the baseline populations to explain the combination of genotypes in the mixture sample. The final analysis will consist of the results from 5 separate Monte Carlo Markov chains where each chain will begin with different initial values. A random number generator will be used to create the initial values which will sum to 1 over all reporting groups. The Dirichlet prior distribution for the composition parameters in BAYES will be based upon the best available information for each mixture analysis. We believe the best available information for the prior to be the results of MSA of similar mixtures. For the 2015 ESSN mixtures, the best available information will be the stock proportion estimates from the analysis of the 2014 ESSN Chinook salmon samples. The sum of the Dirichlet prior parameters will equal 1, thus minimizing the overall influence of the prior distribution. The chains will be run until convergence is reached (shrink factor less than 1.2) for the 5 chains (Pella and Masuda 2001). The first half of each chain will be discarded in order to remove the influence of the initial values; the rest will be used to estimate the posterior distribution of stock composition proportions. The point estimates of stock composition and the variance of these estimates will be calculated from the mean and standard deviation of the posterior distributions.

## Harvest of Chinook salmon by Reporting Group

The number of Chinook salmon $\hat{H}^{g}$ from reporting group $g$ harvested in the commercial ESSN fishery between the first opening (as early as late June) and the last opening (on or before August 15) will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{g}=\sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{s} H_{i, j} \hat{p}_{i, j}^{g} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where
$\hat{p}_{i, j}^{g} \quad=$ estimated proportion of ESSN harvest in time stratum $i$ and geographic stratum $j$ comprising Chinook salmon from reporting group $g$ (Kenai River mainstem, Kasilof River mainstem, Kenai River tributaries, or Cook Inlet other) and based on Bayesian mixed stock analysis as described in the previous section
$H_{i, j}=\underset{\text { ESSN Chinook salmon harvest in time stratum } i \text { and area stratum } j \text { obtained from fish }}{\text { ticket data }}$
$T \quad=$ number of time strata (prior to 9 July, 9-31 July, and after 31 July)
$S \quad=$ number of geographic strata (Kenai-East Foreland and Kasilof sections)
The $\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{H}^{g}\right)$ will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{H}^{g}\right)=\sum_{i} \sum_{j}\left(H_{i, j}\right)^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{i, j}^{g}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{i, j}^{g}\right)$ will be available from the Bayesian mixed stock analysis (Pella and Masuda, 2001).

## Age and Sex Composition of Chinook Salmon in the ESSN Harvest

The age (or sex) proportions of Chinook salmon harvested in the commercial ESSN fishery by sampling stratum will be estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}=\frac{n_{i, j}^{z}}{n_{i, j}} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}$ is the estimated proportion of salmon of age (or sex) category $z$ from sampling stratum ( $i, j$ ), $n_{i, j}^{z}$ equals the number of fish sampled from sampling stratum ( $i, j$ ) that were classified as age (or sex) category $z$, and $n_{i, j}$ equals the number of Chinook salmon sampled for age (or sex) determination from sampling stratum ( $i, j$ ).
The variance of $\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}$ will be estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}\right]=\left(1-\frac{n_{i, j}}{H_{i, j}}\right) \frac{\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}\left(1-\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}\right)}{n_{i, j}-1} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $H_{i, j}$ is the number of Chinook salmon harvested in a sampling stratum $(i, j)$.
The estimates of harvest by age (or sex) categories in each sampling stratum will be calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}_{i, j}^{z}=H_{i, j} \hat{p}_{i, j}^{z} \tag{5}
\end{equation*}
$$

with its variance estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{H}_{i, j}^{z}\right]=H_{i, j}^{2} * \operatorname{var}\left[\hat{p}_{i, j}^{z}\right] . \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

The total harvest by age (or sex) category and its variance will then be estimated by summations

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{H}^{z}=\sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{S} \hat{H}_{i . j}^{z} \tag{7}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{H}^{z}\right]=\sum_{i=1}^{T} \sum_{j=1}^{s} \operatorname{var}\left[\hat{H}_{i, j}^{z}\right] \tag{8}
\end{equation*}
$$

where: $T=3$ and $S=2$ are the number of time and geographic strata respectively.
Finally, the total proportion of the ESSN harvest by age (or sex) category and its variance will be estimated as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{p}^{z}=\frac{\hat{H}^{z}}{H} \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

and

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{p}^{z}\right]=\frac{\operatorname{var}\left[\hat{H}^{z}\right]}{H^{2}} \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

## CWT RECOVERIES

With low numbers of CWT recoveries expected, no direct estimates of CWT recoveries by stock will be made, but the data will be archived with Mark, Tag, and Age Laboratory in Juneau.

## SCHEDULE AND DELIVERABLES

Project activities are scheduled as follows:

| Dates | Activity |
| :---: | :---: |
| Mid-late June 2015 | Hiring and preseason training (Eskelin) |
| Late June-mid August | ESSN Chinook salmon harvest sampling (3 FWT) |
| September 2015 | Data edited, tissue collection transferred to GCL, and CWT forms and heads mailed to Juneau Mark, Tag, and Age Lab (Eskelin) |
|  | Tissue, age, sex, and length subsamples selected and scales aged (Eskelin) |
| October 2015 | Draft ASL composition estimates completed (Eskelin) |
| December 2015 | Tissues analyzed by GCL and draft MSA results disseminated (Barclay) |
| January 2016 | Harvest estimates completed by temporal, geographic strata, and reporting group (Eskelin and Antonovich) |
| February 2016 | Draft report complete (Eskelin and Barclay) |

## RESPONSIBILITIES

## Principal Investigator

Tony Eskelin, Project Biologist, Fishery Biologist II: Responsible for writing the operational plan, hiring and training personnel, supervision of data collection, collating data, and transferring tissue samples and associated data to Anchorage for MSA, and any CWT heads and data forms to the Mark, Tag and Age lab in Juneau. This position will be responsible for all scale aging. This position will also ensure all data is in proper format and archived with RTS at the completion of the field season and will be primary author on any reporting.

## Coprincipal Investigator

Andy Barclay, Gene Conservation Lab, Fishery Biologist III: Responsible for the analysis of tissue samples for MSA and providing estimates to the project biologist and biometrician. This position will be coauthor on FDS reports and memos.

## Consulting Biometrician

Anton Antonovich, Biometrician III: Provides guidance on sampling design and data analysis, prepares estimates of harvest of Chinook salmon by reporting group, and assists with preparation of the operational plan and any reports.

## Sampling Crew

Jenna Storms, Fish and Wildlife Technician II, 20 June-16 August
Fish and Wildlife Technician II (non-perm), 24 June-16 August
Fish and Wildlife Technician II (non-perm), 24 June-16 August
Responsibilities of these positions include operating State of Alaska vehicles, adhering to sampling schedule, sampling harvested Chinook salmon for ASL and tissue, recording data accurately, and entering data into a computerized database in a timely manner.

## BUDGET SUMMARY FY 15

Proposed FY15 and FY16 costs:

| Line item | Category | FY15 budget (\$K) | FY16 budget (\$K) |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 100 | Personal Services | 8.5 | 24.2 |  |
| 200 | Travel | - | - |  |
| 300 | Contractual | 3.0 | 28.0 |  |
| 400 | Commodities | 1.0 | 2.0 |  |
| 500 | Equipment | - | - | 58.5 |
| Total |  | 8.7 | - |  |

Funded personnel for FY15:

| PCN | Name | Level | Funded Man Months |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| NP | Vacant | FWT II | 0.25 |
| NP | Vacant | FWT II | 0.25 |
| 114062 | Storms, Jenna | FWT II | 0.33 |
| Total |  | 0.83 |  |

Funded personnel for FY16:

| PCN | Name | Level | Funded Man Months |
| :---: | :--- | :--- | ---: |
| NP | Vacant | FWT II | 1.5 |
| NP | Vacant | FWT II | 1.5 |
| 114062 | Storms, Jenna | FWT II | 1.5 |
| Total |  | 4.5 |  |
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## APPENDIX A: PRELIMINARY 2015 CHINOOK SALMON SAMPLING SCHEDULE

Appendix A1.-2015 ESSN Chinook salmon sampling schedule (preliminary).

| Sunday | Monday | Tuesday | Wednesday | Thursday | Friday | Saturday |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 21-Jun | 22-Jun | 23-Jun | 24-Jun | 25-Jun | 26-Jun | 27-Jun |
|  | Training |  |  | Regular Period Kasilof section |  |  |
| 28-Jun | 29-Jun | 30-Jun | 1-Jul | 2-Jul | 3-Jul | 4-Jul |
|  | Regular Period <br> Kasilof section |  |  | Regular Period <br> Kasilof section |  |  |
| 5-Jul | 6-Jul | 7-Jul | 8-Jul | 9-Jul | 10-Jul | 11-Jul |
|  | Regular Period <br> Kasilof section |  |  | Regular Period <br> All Sections |  |  |
| 12-Jul | 13-Jul | 14-Jul | 15-Jul | 16-Jul | 17-Jul | 18-Jul |
|  | Regular Period <br> All sections |  |  | Regular Period <br> All Sections |  |  |
| 21-Jul | 22-Jul | 23-Jul | 24-Jul | 25-Jul | 26-Jul | 27-Jul |
|  | Regular Period <br> All sections |  |  | Regular Period <br> All Sections |  |  |
| 28-Jul | 29-Jul | 30-Jul | 31-Jul | 1-Aug | 2-Aug | 3-Aug |
|  | Regular Period <br> All sections |  |  | Regular Period <br> All Sections |  |  |
| 4-Aug | 5-Aug | 6-Aug | 7-Aug | 8-Aug | 9-Aug | 10-Aug |
|  | Regular Period <br> All sections |  |  | Regular Period <br> All Sections |  |  |
| 11-Aug | 12-Aug | 13-Aug | 14-Aug | 15-Aug |  |  |
|  | Regular Period <br> All sections |  |  | Regular Period <br> All Sections |  |  |

Note: If there is an EO, up to 2 fishing periods per week will be sampled.

## APPENDIX B: 2015 ESSN CHINOOK SALMON SAMPLING FORM

Appendix B1.-2015 ESSN Chinook salmon sampling form.

| ESSN Chinook Salmon Sampling Form |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Length | VIAL | Sampler(s): |  |  |  |
| Start Time: |  |  |  |  | End Time: |  |  |  |
| Card | Scale | Sex |  |  | Sample Location/Comment | Stat <br> AREA | CWT \# | Age |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\square$ | 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Sex: 1-male 2-female |  |  |  | Length: mid eye to fork-of-tail in nearest 5 mm . |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX C: INSTRUCTIONS FOR TISSUE SAMPLING

Appendix C1.-Nonlethal sampling of finfish tissue for DNA analysis.

## General Information

We use axillary tissue samples from individual fish to determine the genetic characteristics and profile of a particular run or stock of fish or in or reporting group proportions in mixed-stock sampling.
Preservative used: Isopropanol/Methanol/Ethanol (EtOH) preserves tissues for later DNA extraction. Avoid extended contact with skin.

## Sampling Method



- Wipe excess water and/or slime off the axillary process "spine" prior to sampling to avoid getting either water or fish slime into the 2.0 ml vial (see diagram).
- Prior to sampling, fill the tubes half way with EtOH. Fill only the tubes that you will use for each sampling period. The squirt bottle is for day use only since it will leak overnight when unattended.
- Clip off the axillary "spine" using dog nail clippers or scissors to get roughly a $1 / 2-1$ " inch maximum piece and/or about the size of a small fingernail.
- Place axillary process into EtOH . The ethanol/tissue ratio should be slightly less than $3: 1$ to thoroughly soak the tissue in the buffer.
- Top up tubes with EtOH and screw cap on securely. Invert tube twice to mix EtOH and tissue. Periodically, wipe or rinse the clippers with water so not to cross contaminate samples.
- Data to record: Record each vial number to paired data information (i.e. location, lat./long., sample date(s), etc.).
- Discard remaining ethanol from the 500 ml bottles before shipping. Tissue samples must remain in $2 \mathbf{m l ~ E t O H}$, these small quantities require HAZMAT paperwork. Please follow packing instructions for HAZMAT items. Store vials containing tissues at room temperature, but away from heat. In the field: keep samples out of direct sun, rain and store capped vials in a dry, cool location. Freezing not required.


## APPENDIX D: CODED WIRE TAG SAMPLING FORM

Appendix D1.-Coded wire tag sampling form.



[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Based on MSA results from 2010, 2011, and 2013, it is anticipated that Chinook salmon harvest of the reporting groups Kenai River tributaries and Cook Inlet other will be low ( $<150$ fish) so no precision criteria are set for estimation of these reporting groups. Sample size is driven by Objectives 1 and 2.

[^1]:    2 Product names used in this publication are included for completeness but do not constitute product endorsement.

