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## INTRODUCTION

Accurate assignmert of salmon catches to stock or system of origin is necessary for evaluation of productivity trends, estimating smolt-to-adult survival, and preseason forecasting. For the purpose of estimating stock specific production within the Kodiak Management Area, a comprehensive salmon catch and escapement sampling program was initiated in 1985. This run reconstruction program initially focused on four major Kodiak sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka stocks, including Karluk, Ayakulik, Upper Station, and Frazer (Barrett and Nelson 1995; Swanton 1992). During 1994, it was anticipated that an enhancement project at Spiridon Lake would be realizing it's first substantial sockeye return ( $>100,000$ fish), which were expected to be harvested in traditional westside fishing areas. In order to maintain consistency in run reconstruction programs for wild stocks as well as quantify returns from the Spirióon Lake enhancement project, a novel stock identification program was implemented (Nelson and Barrett 1994).

Scale pattern analysis along with visual identification of freshwater growth patterns have been employed since 1994 to estimate the contribution of Spiridon Lake sockeye salmon to the Northwest, and Southwest Kodiak Districts' commercial catches. The objective of using scale pattern analysis (SPA) is to develop a statistical model that accurately identifies individual scales from known stocks within mixed stock fisheries or unknown samples. The freshwater scale pattern of Spiridon Lake sockeye salmon has remained consistent and easily distinguishable, lending itself to the application of this approach.

During 1994, a Spiridon stock contribution of 263,750 fish was estimated using visual freshwater scale pattern identification (Nelson and Barrett 1994). Scale pattern analysis incorporated in conjunction with visual scale patten identification in 1995 resulted in a Spiridon sockeye salmon harvest estimate of 96,705 (Nelson and Swanton 1996a). Over $90 \%$ of these harvests occurred in the Northwest Kodiak District. Estimates were considered to be minimum values as this stock may have contributed to other Kodiak fisheries outside the Northwest Kodiak and Southwest Kodiak Districts, specifically the Southwest (SW) Afognak Section.

The migration and run timing of the Spiridon Lake stock appear to be very similar to that of the Upper Station late run brood stock source (Nelson and Swanton 1996a). Tagging experiments conducted in 1981 as well as catch estimates from 1994 and 1995 suggest that a majority of this nun migrates from north to south along Kodiak Island's westside peaking in mid to late August (Nelson and Swanton 1996a, Nelson and Barrett 1994, Tyler et al. 1986).

Unlike the migration timing, the age composition of Spiridon Lake sockeye salmon does not appear to be consistent with the brood stock source. During both 1994 and 1995, age 1.2 was the dominant age class of sockeye salmon sampled in the Spiridon Lake Terminal Harvest Area (SLTHA) while the majority of Upper Station late run sockeye salmon were classified as age 2.2 fish.

This report serves as the third in a series of annual run reconstruction estimates of Spiridon Lake sockeye salmon (Nelson and Swanton 1996a, Nelson and Barrett 1994). The objectives of this report are threefold: first to estimate the number of Spiridon sockeye salmon harvested in the SW Afognak Section and Northwest (NW) Kodiak District; second, to monitor run timing based on commercial
harvest estimates; and finally, to compare and quantify annual freshwater growth of Spiridon sockeye salmon from scales collected during 1994, 1995, and 1996.

## METHODS

## Study Area

Spiridon Lake, located in the Central Section of the NW Kodiak District, is the third largest lake (9.6 km long, 1.6 km maximum width) on Kodiak Island (Figure 1). Prior to 1991, a series of barrier falls prevented access to the lake outlet and precluded the presence of anadromous fish (Kyle et al 1990). Introduction of sockeye salmon fry to this system coupled with the construction of a smolt bypass system resulted in an artificial run. In 1993, the State Board of Fisheries (BOF) adopted the Spiridon Lake Sockeye Salmon Management Plan (5 AAC 18.366). In accordance with this plan, the Spiridon Lake sockeye run is intended to be harvested primarily in traditional conmercial fishing areas of the NW Kodiak District during openings directed on local stocks (ADF\&G 1996). The remainder is to be taken in an exclusive purse seine and beach seine terminal harvest area in Telrod Cove within Spriidon Bay (Figure 2).

The catch areas and time frame considered for this study (SW Afognak Section and NW Kodiak. District; post-11 July) were based on spatial and temporal Spiridon harvest estimates from 1994 and 1995 (Nelson and Barrett 1994; Nelson and Swanton 1996a). The Southwest Kodiak District was not included in the 1996 study based on negligible estimates of Spiridon fish observed in this district during 1994 and 1995.

## Stock Selection

Selection of the sockeye stocks to include in this analysis was based on historic run timing within the commercial catch areas of interest, and evaluation of the 1996 escapement age composition estimates post-14 July. All major Kodiak sockeye systems with a westside run potential of greater than 50,000 fish during July and August combined, and an age 1.2 escapement component of greater than $10 \%$ within any given week were considered (Barrett and Nelson 1994).

## Escapement Sampling

Sockeye salmon escapements were sampled weekly for age (scales) at weir sites in the Kodiak Management Area (KMA; ADF\&G 1995). These systems include Karluk, Ayakulik (Red Lake), Frazer, and Upper Station (Figure 1). Terminal catches were sampled weekly in the Spiridon Lake Terminal Harvest Area at Telrod Cove (statistical area 254-50; Figures 2 and 3) and were assumed to represent Spiridon escapement. The targeted sample size was 240 fish per system per week (Nelson and Swanton 1996b).

## Commercial Catch Sampling

During July and August, commercial sockeye salmon catches were sampled for age with a targeted sample size of 600 fish per week from the following areas:

## Afognak District (Figure 1)

Southwest Afognak Section (Statistical Areas 251-10 through 251-20);

## Northwest Kodiak District (Figure 1)

Central Section
Uyak Catch Area (Statistical Areas 254-10 through 254-40), and Uganik Catch Area (Statistical Areas 253-11 through 253-35);

## Age Designation of Catches and Escapements

Scales were collected from the preferted area following procedures outlined in INPFC (1963), mounted on gum cards, and impressions were made on cellulose acetate (Clutter and Whitesel 1956). Fish ages were assigned by examining scale impressions for annual growth increments using a microfiche reader fitted with a 48X lens following designation criteria established by Mosher (1968). Ages were recorded on sampling forms using European notation (Koo 1962). Age composition estimates of stock specific escapements and catches by area were assigned based on samples collected. Catch-at-age by area and day was estimated by multiplying the daily age composition of a particular sample by the daily catch from the corresponding catch area. Age composition of the catch from days not sampled was estimated using linear interpolation between sampling events (Blackburn 1993). Estimated age composition of escapements from major Kodiak systems followed similar procedures.

## Scale Pattern Analysis and Stock Composition Estimation

Maximum sample sizes of 200 scales from the dominant age class per stock were selected for establishing standards (Cook 1982). The Spiridon Lake standard was constructed using terminal area catch samples collected from 29 July through 9 September. The Upper Station late run standard was constructed from weir escapement samples collected from 23 July to 30 August.

Mixed stock fishery samples ("unknowns") meeting the selection criteria had a minimum desired weekly sample size of 30 and a maximum of 100 scales by harvest area. Scale measures were obtained by starting with the first scale of the selected age class within the sample and continuing until all scales suitable for measurement had been exhausted or the sample size was met.

Scale measurement data were collected using the Biosonics ${ }^{1}$ optical pattern recognition system (OPRS), which integrates a compound microscope, ocular lens, frame grabber, digitizing tablet, and microcomputer.

[^1]Scale-data collection procedures consisted of:
(1) establishing a horizontal reference line below the scale focus through the reticulated region;
(2) identifying the center of the scale focus or measurement initiation point;
(3) measuring incremental distances from scale focus to each circuli within the first freshwater annular zone off an axis perpendicular to the reference line (Narver 1963);
(4) saving measured data to a unique computer file.

All scale measurements were specific to a single age class (age 1.2) utilizing 200 X magnification. Scales with poorly defined images and those collected from a non-preferred region (Clutter and Whitesel 1956) were not measured.

Raw OPRS scale measures were transformed into individual variable format for both standard and unknown files using a BASIC program, REFORM1 (written by Larry Greer, ADF\&G, Kodiak, AK). Variables constructed were circuli counts (C.C.) and incremental distances (I.D.) which start at the scale focus and end with the last circulus of the first freshwater annulus. These variables reflect the growth that occurred during the freshwater phase (lake residence) of each stock's life history. The maximum number of variables available for model development was constrained to the fewest number of circuli counted on any of the stocks included (e.g., if a stock had one scale with only 10 circuli, then the maximum number of potential variables describing the freshwater growth of that stock would be 11; one circuli count variable and 10 incremental distance variables).

A linear discriminate function (LDF; Fisher 1936) was employed for classifying unknown mixed stock fishery samples to stock of origin (Dillon and Golstein 1984). Assumptions associated with using both SPA and the LDF were (1) all probable stocks contributing to the commercial fishery samples were represented in the model; and (2) scale variables from each stock were multivariate normal; and (3) variance-covariance matrices between groups were equal. Evaluation of univariate normality was assessed using frequency histograms for all variables of each stock considered. All variables assumed normal in distribution were included in the discriminant model. Accuracy of a model in correctly classifying individuals to actual stock of origin was determined by the "leaving-one-out" approach of Lachenbruch (1967). Stock composition estimates for unknown samples (by area and time period) were corrected for misclassification error using the matrix correction approach of Cook and Lord (1978), with $90 \%$ confidence coefficients calculated using the variance formula of Pella and Robertson (1979). Confidence coefficients for the two stock model were generated assuming a chi-square distribution. All discriminant modeling was completed using PROC DISCRIM (SAS Institute 1987).

During weeks when stock contribution estimates were not available (no catch sample collected) we employed linear interpolation between adjacent weekly stock composition estimates. To derive stock composition estimates for a maximum of two weeks following the last sample obtained (e.g. sample obtained on 15 July with no sample on 21 July) then the prior weeks stock contribution estimate was assumed static and applied. The harvest by area and week was then apportioned based on these stock composition estimates.

## Spinidon Catch Assignment

Sockeye salmon catch numbers by area were obtained from the Alaska Department of Fish and Garne (ADF\&G) fish ticker database on 28 January 1997. Apportionment of the age 1.2 component of the commercial catch by week within the aforementioned commercial fishing areas was accomplished by multiplying the estimated weekly age 1.2 component of the catch by the weekly stock composition estimate of Spiridon Lake sockeye salmon. The expansion of this estimate to include other age classes was based on the weekly ratio of age 1.2 fish to all other age classes in the Spiridon stock using the following formulae:
$R S_{i}=\frac{E 12_{i}}{E o_{i}}$
$\hat{C s o_{i j}}=\frac{\hat{C} s 12_{i j}}{R S_{i}}$
where:
$i=$ sampling week
$j=$ catch area
$R S_{i}=$ the ratio of age 1.2 fish to fish of all other ages combined in the SLTHA area during week $i$
$E 12_{i}=$ the number of age 1.2 fish in the SLTHA sample during week $i$
$E o_{j}=$ the number of fish sampled in the SLTHA classiffied to ages other than age 1.2 during week $i$
$\hat{C} s o_{i j}=$ the estimated number of Spirdon fish in the catch of all other age classes combined during week $i$ in catch area $j$
$\hat{C} s l 2_{i j}=$ the estimated number of Spiridon age 1.2 fish in the catch during week $i$ in catch area $j$

## Freshwater Growth Comparison

A single-factor ANOVA was computed (Microsoft Excel ${ }^{2}$ 5.0, 1985-1994) to compare annual freshwater scale growth of age 1.2 Spiridon sockeye salmon between years (1994, 1995, 1996). A total of 200 age 1.2 scales ( 100 per year) were measured from samples collected from SLTHA during 1994 and 1995 while age 1.2 scales measured for the 1996 Spiridon standard were used to represent this years annual growth. Scale measures were obtained by starting with the first age 1.2 scale within the sample and continuing until 100 age 1.2 scales suitable for measurement had been met. All measurements were collected by a single reader and scale data collection followed procedures described previously. The studentized range test (T Method; Devore 1995) was used to identify significant differences in a multiple comparison analysis between yearly freshwater growth while maintaining an $\alpha$ value of 0.05 . This test was performed only if the value of the computed F statistic in the ANOVA was significant.
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## RESULTS

## Stock Selection

Stocks meeting the run timing criteria included Karluk late run, Ayakulik, Upper Station late run, and Frazer. However, based on age composition estimates, Upper Station late run was the only stock included in this analysis (Appendix A1-4, Figure 4). Although Karluk late run is a substantial contributor to westside Kodiak catches during July and August (Barrett and Nelson 1995), based on escapement age composition estimates, it was not contributing to the age 1.2 component of the catch (Appendix Al). Age composition estimates of Ayakulik (Red River) sockeye salmon escapement post14 July consisted primarily of age 2.2 , and 2.3 fish with an overall age 1.2 component of less than $1 \%$ (Appendix A2). The Upper Station post 14 July escapement consisted of three age classes (0.2, 1.2, and 2.2) representing $83 \%$ of the escapement (Appendix A3). Age 1.2 fish represented $10.0 \%$ (range $3.7 \%-17.2 \%$ ) of the escapement post-14 July. The dominant age classes contributing to the Frazer Lake escapement were age $2.1,2.2$, and 2.3 (Appendix A4).

## Age Composition Estimates of Selected Catches

Based on commercial catch sampling efforts, over $30 \%$ of the overall commercial harvest in each of the three catch areas were estimated to be age 1.2 fish (Appendix A5-8). A total of 1,157 sockeye salmon were sampled from the SW Afognak section post 14 July with 1.2 and 2.2 fish representing an estimated $74 \%$ of the catch (Appendix A5). Of the 3,201 scales collected from the Uganik area post-14 July, age 1.2 was the dominant age class ( $39.3 \%$ ) and three age classes combined (age 1.2, 1.3, and 2.2) composed greater than $87 \%$ of the catch (Appendix A6). For the Uyak commercial catch area, 2,388 fish were sampled during the study period, and age 1.2 and 2.2 fish represented $77.5 \%$ of the commercial sockeye salmon catch post-14 July (Appendix A7). Harvests within the SLTHA were sampled from 26 July through 12 September. Age 1.2 fish contributed an estimated $79 \%$ of the catch followed by age 2.2 fish which represented an additional 14.3\% (Appendix A8).

## Stock Separation Model

All scale measurement variables were approximately univariate normal for each stock (Upper Station and Spinidon). The mean number of freshwater circuli for Spiridon was 18 (SE =0.11), while the mean number of freshwater circuli for Upper Station was 12 ( $\mathrm{SE}=0.41$; Appendix B).

A two stock (Upper Station and Spiridon) linear discriminant model which included variables 1 through 7 was developed with sample sizes of 243 age 1.2 scales measured from Spiridon and 78 scales from Upper Station late run. The overall mean classification accuracy was $93.1 \%$, with individual classification accuracy's of $93.9 \%$ for the Spiridon stock and $91.1 \%$ for Upper Station Table 1).

## Stock Composition Estimates

Spiridon stock composition estimates were generated for weeks 31,33 , and 34 for the SW Afognak Section (Table 2). In all cases, Spiridon contributed an estimated $100 \%$ to the age 1.2 component of the SW Afognak catch. The mean stock composition estimate from week 31 and week 33 was used to estimate the stock composition estimate for week 32 as samples were not available from the SW Afognak Section during week 32.

Stock composition estimates derived for the Uganik harvest area spanned the time period 12 July through 29 August (Table 2). The Spiridon stock composition estimates ranged from $69 \%$ during week 29 to $100 \%$ during weeks 30 , and 32,33 , and 35 . The mean stock composition estimate from week 33 and week 35 was used to estimate the stock composition estimate for week 34 and the stock composition estimate from week 35 was applied to catches from week 36 as scale samples were unavailable from weeks 34 and 36.

Uyak harvest area stock composition estimates closely mirrored those for Uganik (Table 2). The Spiridon stock composition estimate was $74 \%$ during week 29 and increased steadily reaching $100 \%$ by week 32 . The stock composition estimate from week 33 was applied to week 34 and 35 catches as scale samples were unavailable from the Uyak harvest area post 15 August.

## Estimated Spiridon Sockeye Salmon Catch and Run Timing

In 1996, an estimated total of 386,956 Spiridon sockeye salmon were harvested in the SW Afognak Section and NW Kodiak District combined (Table 3; Figure 5). The largest component of the catch ( $42 \%$; 162,118 fish) occurred in the SLTHA in Telrod Cove followed by an additional 153,292 fish (40\%) harvested in the Uganik harvest area (253-11 to 35). The majority of these fish ( 251,815 ; 80\%) were assigned to Spiridon based on the age 1.2 stock composition estimates and an additional 63,596 fish (all other ages combined) were estimated based on the terminal harvest weekly ratio of age 1.2 fish to all other age classes (Table 2). An estimated $62,670(48,109$ age 1.2) Spiridon bound sockeye salmon were harvested in the Uyak Bay catch area (254-10 to 40) and 8,876 fish were caught within the SW Afognak Section (Table 3; Figure 6).

Peak run timing based on commercial catches varied by area, with peak catches occurning from 26 July to 1 August in the SW Afognak Section, 2-8 August in the Uganik harvest area, 16-22 August in Uyak, and 16-22 August in the SLTHA (Table 3; Figure 7). For the SW Afognak Section and NW Kodiak District combined, the largest catches of Spiridon sockeye salmon were attained during 2-8 August (Figure 8).

## Freshwater Growth

The ANOVA analysis indicated that Spiridon sockeye freshwater scale growth measurements collected from 1994, 1995, and 1996 were significantly different among years ( $p<0.0001$ ). Further analysis (studentized range test) indicated that there was no significant difference in freshwater scale growth between 1994 and 1995. However, the multiple comparison procedure
results showed 1996 annual freshwater scale growth to be significantly less than that in 1994 and 1995 ( $\mathrm{p}<0.05$ ).

## DISCUSSION

The 1996 Spiriton Lake sockeye salmon harvest estimate ( 386,956 ) was about 1.5 X larger than the 1994 estimate $(263,750)$ and about 4X greater than the 1995 estimated Spiridon run $(96,705$; Figure 6). Sockeye harvests from the SW Afognak Section were included in the 1996 study because of findings from both 1994-95 that partially confirmed that the migration corridor followed by this stock was north to south. The estimated harvest of Spiridon bound sockeye salmon within the SW Afognak Section was minimal ( $2 \%, 8,876$ fish) relative to the overall catch. In all years, nearly all of the Spiridon return was harvested in sections composing the NW Kodiak District. This is not surprising as a majority of Kodiak's wild stocks migrate along this corridor in route to natal spawning streams (Tyler et al. 1986). This is also the case for Frazer Lake sockeye which were introduced during the 1960's into previously barren Ftazer Lake (Blackett 1979; Kyle et al. 1988).

Between $33 \%$ and $44 \%$ of the total Spiridon sockeye harvest in 1994, 1995, and 1996 occurred in the SLTHA. During $1996,40 \%(158,400)$ of the Spiridon sockeye salmon run was harvested in the Uganik harvest area compared to $36 \%$ (1995) and $26 \%$ in 1994. The apparent shift in harvest between areas from 1994 to 1996 is confounded by several factors including the substantial size reduction in the SLTHA that occurred in 1995. Clearly, with only three years of data, any comparisons in harvest trends would be premature.

The methods employed to generate stock contribution estimates for the Spiridon Lake sockeye stock continue to evolve as this program matures. In 1994, the age 1.2 scale pattern was unique enough (mean number of freshwater circuli $=19$ ) and the relative number of age 1.2 fish within the SLTHA was large enough ( $99.5 \%$ ) to obtain a reasonable estimate using only visual scale pattern identification. Estimates generated using scale pattern analysis in 1995 and 1996 showed little variability with the largest standard errors of weekly stock composition estimates being $20.7 \%$ and $7.8 \%$, respectively (Nelson and Swanton 1996a). Since SPA has been used, classification accuracy's have ranged from $82.4 \%$ (1995) to $93.1 \%$ (1996). A portion of the difference between these years can be attributed to the use of a three stock model in 1995 versus a two stock model in 1996. Generally, classification accuracy decreases as the number of stocks included in a model increases( Swanton 1992).

Run timing of the Spiridon Lake stock appears to remain similar to the run timing of the Upper Station late run (Figure 8). During both 1994 and 1995, peak catches correspond to timing of the brood stock source. Harvest estimates during 1996 suggest a slight change in run timing which may be an artifact of shifts in commercial fishing patterns. Weekly catch estimates by area clearly suggest that a majority of this run migrates from north to south along Kodiak Islands westside. This is consistent with what Nelson and Barrett (1994) and Nelson and Swanton (1995) reported, as well as results from 1981 tagging experiments (Tyler et al 1986). This is not surprising given that run timing is considered to be a conservative genetic trait central to the long term success of a salmon stock.

Based on returns to Spiridon in 1994, 1995, and 1996, the sibling relationship (age 1.2 to 1.3 ) does not appear to be consistent with the brood stock source. Various mechanisms have been associated with age at maturity including genetic inheritance, environmental influences, and maternal effects (Bradford and Peterman 1987). Enhanced freshwater environmental factors can positively affect size at smolting which in many stocks is inversely correlated with ocean age at maturity.

Although there are not enough data at present to determine a trend, the annual freshwater growth of Spiridon sockeye salmon may be decreasing. The fact that freshwater growth in 1996 as measured by incremental scale measures was statistically significant from previous years (1994 and 1995) points to potential biological changes which maybe occurring within the freshwater environment. Although additional data and analyses are required, this decrease in annual freshwater scale growth may be associated with fry stocking densities that have occurred within the lake. During the freshwater rearing years (1991 and 1992) which resulted in the adult returns from 1994-95, fry stocking levels were 3.5 and 2.2 million fall fry of which the freshwater growth between these two years was not different. However, the freshwater growth experienced by the 1996 returning adults (age 1.2) which were the result of a fry stocking level of 4.3 million fry during [993, was significantly less than returning adults in 1994 and 1995. This decrease in annual freshwater growth as measured from scales may be associated with the increased fry loadings in the lake coupled with a possible reduction in the integrity of the forage base. Because of this potential concern, yearly nutrient inputs, zooplankton biomass, species composition and annual freshwater growth should continue to be monitored and rigorously analyzed

The estimated 386,956 fish harvest of Spiridon origin sockeye salmon is a minimum number. Possible sources of error in accounting for the total number of adult Spiridon sockeye salmon are: fish harvested in areas not considered as part of this study; fish harvested after 5 September within the Uganik harvest area and post 29 August within the Uyak harvest area; and non-local sockeye stocks that have a dominant age 1.2 component, similar run timing, and a migration route along the westside of Kodiak Island. However, it is our opinion that potential numbers associated with these errors are negligible relative to the estimated Spiridon run numbers presented in this report.
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Table 1. Classification accuracy, by stock, of the age 1.2 scale pattern analysis model.
$\left.\begin{array}{ccccc}\hline & & & & \\ \text { Actual Stock } & & \begin{array}{c}\text { Sample } \\ \text { of Origin }\end{array} & & \text { Size }\end{array}\right)$

Table 2. Stock composition estimates and estimated harvest of Spiridon sockeye salmon by area and week, 1996

| Catch Area |  | Catch |  | Sample |  | Stock Composition Estimates |  |  | other <br> catch | total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | age 1.2 |  |  |
| Week | Dates |  |  | Total | age 1.2 | Date | Size | percent |  |  | 90\%cc | catch |
| SW Afognak (251-10-20) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 7/12-7/18 | 6855 | 1516 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 | 7/19.7/25 | 1897 | 533 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 7/26-8/01 | 11763 | 4051 | $7 / 31$ | 79 | 100\% | 0.056 | 4051 | 595 | 4646 |
| 32 | 802-8/08 | 2896 | 1247 |  |  |  |  | [247 | 195 | 1442 |
| 33 | 8/09-8/15 | 1911 | 984 | $8 / 14$ | 69 | 100\% | 0.050 | 984 | 238 | 1222 |
| 34 | 8/16-8/22 | 2460 | 1075 | 8/20 | 65 | 100\% | 0.032 | 1075 | 493 | 1566 |
| 35 | 8/23-8/29 | 587 | 251 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 36 | 8730-9/05 | 99 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Total |  | 28468 | 9699 |  | 213 |  |  | 7357 | 1519 | 8876 |
| Uganik ( $253-11-35$ ) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 7/12-7/18 | 57683 | 6762 | 717 | 52 | 69\% | 0.129 | 4652 | 683 | 5335 |
| 30 | 7/19-7/25 | 25194 | 6167 | 7/22-7/23 | 75 | 100\% | 0.048 | 6167 | 906 | 7073 |
| 31 | 7/26-8/01 | 81883 | 24425 | 7/30 | 65 | 91\% | 0.086 | 22276 | 3271 | 25547 |
| 32 | 8/02-8/08 | 78106 | 51303 | 8/7 | 63 | 100\% | 0.032 | 51303 | 8025 | 59328 |
| 33 | 8/09-8/15 | 24732 | 13382 | 8/12-8/14 | 73 | 100\% | 0.032 | 13382 | 3230 | 16612 |
| 34 | 8/16-8/22 | 22168 | 10333 |  |  |  |  | 10333 | 4724 | 15057 |
| 35 | 8/23-8/29 | 23852 | 10225 | 8/23-8/24 | 68 | 100\% | 0.032 | 10225 | 4486 | 14711 |
| 36 | 8/30-9/05 | 12539 | 5354 |  |  |  |  | 5354 | 4275 | 9629 |
| 37 | 9/06-9/12 | 2067 | 883 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Total |  | 328224 | 1288.34 |  | 395 |  |  | 123692 | 29601 | 153292 |
| Uyak (254-10-40) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 | 7/12-7/18 | 12736 | 1756 | $7 / 15$ | 53 | 74\% | 0.123 | 1298 | 191 | 1488 |
| 30 | 7/19-7/25 | 5841 | 1266 | 7/24 | 75 | 99\% | 0.063 | 1258 | 185 | 1443 |
| 31 | 7/26-8/01 | 37986 | 8083 | 7/29 | 73 | 94\% | 0.076 | 7622 | 1119 | 8742 |
| 32 | 802-8/08 | 21256 | 6724 | $8 / 6$ | 74 | 100\% | 0.054 | 6724 | 1052 | 7776 |
| 33 | 809-8/15 | 23183 | 9546 | 8/12 | 79 | 100\% | 0.046 | 9546 | 2304 | 11850 |
| 34 | 8/16-8/22 | 27138 | 11174 |  |  |  |  | 11174 | 5108 | 16282 |
| 35 | 8/23-8/29 | 25469 | 10487 |  |  |  |  | 10487 | 4609 | 15088 |
| 36 | 8/30-9/05 | 20802 | 8566 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 37 | 9/06-9/12 | 14104 | 5808 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Area Total |  | 188515 | 63410 |  | 354 |  |  | 48109 | 14560 | 62670 |
| Telrod Cove (254-50) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 | 7/26-8/01 | 27066 | 23589 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 27060 |
| 32 | 8/02-8/08 | 34309 | 29483 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 34309 |
| 33 | 8/09-8/15 | 42586 | 34513 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 42586 |
| 34 | 8/16-8/22 | 45242 | 32033 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 45242 |
| 35 | 8/23-8/29 | 9023 | 6791 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9923 |
| 36 | 8/30-9/05 | 2648 | 1529 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2648 |
| 37 | 9/06-9/12 | 344 | 185 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 344 |
| Area Total |  | 1621.18 | 128123 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 162118 |
| Combined Total |  | 707325 | 330066 |  |  |  |  | 179158 |  | 386956 |

Table 3. Estimated number of Spiridon Lake sockeye salmon harvested by area and week, 1996.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Area |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch |  |  | Afogna <br> 1-10-20 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uganik } \\ & 53-11-3 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Uyak } \\ & 54-10-4 \end{aligned}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { elrod Co } \\ & (254-50 \end{aligned}$ |  | Total |
|  | week | Dates | 1.2 | other | total | 1.2 | other | total | 1.2 | other | total | 1.2 | other | total |  |
|  | 29 | 7/12-7/18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4652 | 683 | 5335 | 1298 | 191 | 1488 |  |  |  | 6824 |
|  | 30 | 7/19-7/25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6167 | 906 | 7073 | 1258 | 185 | 1443 |  |  |  | 8516 |
|  | 31 | 7/26-8/01 | 4051 | 595 | 4646 | 22276 | 3271 | 25547 | 7622 | 1119 | 8742 | 23589 | 3477 | 27066 | 66000 |
|  | 32 | 8/02-8/08 | 1247 | 195 | 1442 | 51303 | 8025 | 59328 | 6724 | 1052 | 7776 | 29483 | 4826 | 34309 | 102855 |
|  | 33 | 8/09-8/15 | 984 | 238 | 1222 | 13382 | 3230 | 16612 | 9546 | 2304 | 11850 | 34513 | 8073 | 42586 | 72270 |
|  | 34 | 8/16-8/22 | 1075 | 491 | 1566 | 10333 | 4724 | 15057 | 11174 | 5108 | 16282 | 32033 | 13209 | 45242 | 78147 |
|  | 35 | 8/23-8/29 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10225 | 4486 | 14711 | 10487 | 4601 | 15088 | 6791 | 3132 | 9923 | 39723 |
|  | 36 | 8/30-9/05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5354 | 4275 | 9629 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1529 | 1119 | 2648 | 12277 |
| v | 37 | 9/06-9/12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 159 | 344 | 344 |
| $\checkmark$ | Area Total |  | 7357 | 1519 | 8876 | 123692 | 29601 | 153292 | 48109 | 14560 | 62670 | 128123 | 33995 | 162118 | 386956 |



Figure 1. Map of the Kodiak Management Area showing fishing districts and major sockeye systems including Spiridon Lake.


Figure 2. Map identifying the approximate boundaries of the Spiridon Lake Terrninal Harvest Area in Telrod Cove.


Figure 3. Photograph of the Spiridon Lake Terminil Harvest Area at Tefod Cove, 1996.



Figure 4. Estimated age 1.2 component of selected escapements by system and week, 1996.


Figure 5. Estimated Spiridon sockeye salmon commercially harvested in the SW Afognak Section and NW Kodiak District, 1996.

1994


Total $=263,750$

1995


Total $=96,705$


Figure 6. Estimated percentage of Spiridon Lake sockeye salmon havested in the SW Afognak Section, and the NW Kodiak, and SW Kodiak Districts by catch area and year, 1994-1996.


Figure 7. Estimated number of Spiridon sockeye salmon harvested by area and week, 1996.


Figure 8. A comparison of the 1996 Spiridon run timing based on harvest estimates (in number of fish) and Upper Station late run escapement timing (1974-1996 average percent).

## APPENDIX

Appendix A.1. Estimated age composition of Karluk sockeye salmon escapement by week, post 14 July 1996.

| Sample |  |  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Size |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 29 \\ (7 / 15-7 / 18) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Percent | 1.1 | 2.2 | 9.7 | 18.4 | 30.8 | 1.1 | 0 | 22.2 | 9.2 | 3.2 | 1.6 | 0.5 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 40 | 79 | 357 | 674 | 1,129 | 40 | 0 | 812 | 337 | 119 | 59 | 20 | 3,665 |
| $\begin{gathered} 30 \\ (7 / 19-7 / 25) \end{gathered}$ | 185 | Percent | 1.3 | 1.5 | 7.2 | 15.2 | 32.9 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 22.4 | 12.7 | 4.5 | 1.2 | 0.3 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 83 | 91 | 446 | 944 | 2,040 | 38 | 15 | 1,392 | 787 | 279 | 72 | 19 | 6,205 |
| $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (7 / 26-8 / 01) \end{gathered}$ | 180 | Percept | 3.8 | 2 | 10.1 | 13.3 | 32.3 | 1.4 | 0.3 | 21.8 | 20.9 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 32 | 16 | 83 | 110 | 267 | 12 | 3 | 180 | 91 | 31 | 3 | 0 | 828 |
| $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (8,02-8 / 08) \end{gathered}$ | 177 | Percent | 4.4 | 2.6 | $\{2.1$ | 12.3 | 38.1 | 2.1 | 0 | 20.6 | 5.8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 619 | 375 | 1,711 | 1,747 | 5,407 | 293 | 0 | 2,917 | 825 | 281 | 0 | 0 | 14,174 |
| $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (8 / 09-8 / 15) \end{gathered}$ | 167 | Percent | 0.5 | 0.5 | 1.8 | 5.2 | 56.1 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 12.2 | 3.7 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 165 | 165 | 594 | 1,687 | 18,123 | 0 | 0 | 6,444 | 3,926 | 1,191 | 0 | 0 | 32,296 |
| $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (8 / 16-8 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | 143 | Percent | 0 | 0 | 2.1 | 1.1 | 64.8 | 0 | 0 | 14.6 | 16.3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 6 | 6 | 256 | 140 | 8,004 | 0 | 0 | 1,798 | 2,018 | 122 | 0 | 0 | 12,350 |
| $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (8 / 23-8 / 29) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Percent | 0 | 0.1 | 1.7 | 0.7 | 67.4 | 0 | 0 | 12.9 | 16.2 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 0 | 28 | 369 | 151 | 14,645 | 0 | 0 | 2,797 | 3,513 | 235 | 0 | 0 | 21,738 |
| $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (8 / 30-9 / 05) \end{gathered}$ | 148 | Percent | 0 | 0.6 | 0.2 | 0.6 | 73.7 | 0.2 | 0 | 8.5 | 13.6 | 2.5 | 0.1 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 0 | 27 | 11 | 27 | 3,190 | 7 | 0 | 368 | 591 | 108 | 2 | 0 | 4,331 |
| $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (9 / 06-9 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | 155 | Percent | 0 | 0.7 | 0.9 | 0.2 | 73.9 | 1.4 | 0 | 7.7 | 12.7 | 2.1 | 0.4 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 0 | 702 | 897 | 173 | 75,313 | 1,465 | 0 | 7,862 | 12,948 | 2,159 | 448 | 0 | 101,967 |
| $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (9 / 13-9 / 19) \end{gathered}$ | 170 | Percent | 0 | $0.9$ | $0.6$ | 0 | 74.1 | 1.9 | 0 | 6.7 | 13.7 | 1.8 | 0.3 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 11 | $540$ | $340$ | 11 | 42,359 | 1.060 | 0 | 3,814 | 7,848 | 1,049 | 148 | 0 | 57,180 |
| $\begin{gathered} 39 \\ (9 / 20-9 / 26) \end{gathered}$ | 167 | Percent | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 59.3 | 2.4 | 0 | 7.2 | 25.1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 263 | 263 | 1,052 | 263 | 26,028 | 1,052 | 0 | 3,155 | 11,042 | 789 | 0 | 0 | 43,905 |
| $\begin{gathered} 40 \\ (9 / 27-10 / 3) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Percent | 0.6 | 0.6 | 2.4 | 0.6 | 59.3 | 2.4 | 0 | 7.2 | 25.1 | 1.8 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 180 | 180 | 719 | 180 | 17,784 | 719 | 0 | 2,156 | 7,545 | 539 | 0 | 0 | 30,000 |
| Total | 1,492 | Percent | 0.4 | 0.8 | 2.1 | 1.9 | 65.2 | 1.4 | 0 | 10.3 | 15.7 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 1,399 | 2,472 | 6,835 | 6,107 | 214,289 | 4,686 | 18 | 33,695 | 51,471 | 6,902 | 732 | 39 | 328,639 |

Appendix A.2. Estimated age composition of Ayakulik sockeye salmon escapement by week, post 14 July 1996.

| Week | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \end{gathered}$ |  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 |  |
| 29 | 79 | Percent | 0 | 1.6 | 7 | 28.6 | 37.8 | 24.4 | 0 | 0.5 | 100 |
| (7/15-7/18) |  | Numbers | 0 | 135 | 577 | 2,343 | 3,092 | 2,000 | 0 | 37 | 8,184 |
| 30 | 193 | Percent | 0 | 2.1 | 5.3 | 21.5 | 48.7 | 21 | 0 | 1.4 | 100 |
| (7/19-7/25) |  | Numbers | 0 | 565 | 1,455 | 5,891 | 13,327 | 5,742 | 0 | 387 | 27,367 |
| 31 | 194 | Percent | 0 | 0.3 | 2.4 | 8.8 | 73.2 | 14.8 | 0 | 0.5 | 100 |
| (7/26-8/01) |  | Numbers | 0 | 35 | 292 | 1,068 | 8,923 | 1,803 | 0 | 66 | 12,187 |
| 32 | 195 | Percent | 0.1 | 0 | 1.2 | 4.1 | 82.3 | 12.2 | 0 | 0.1 | 100 |
| (8/02-8/08) |  | Numbers | 25 | 3 | 286 | 951 | 19,159 | 2,831 | 0 | 30 | 23,284 |
| 33 | 199 | Percent | 0.4 | 0.2 | 3 | 2.8 | 82.2 | 10.7 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 100 |
| (8/09-8/15) |  | Numbers | 199 | 119 | 1,515 | 1,435 | 41,968 | 5,461 | 60 | 319 | 51,077 |
| 34 | 191 | Percent | 0.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 2.4 | 85.9 | 8.4 | 0.4 | 1 | 100 |
| (8/16-8/22) |  | Numbers | 7 | 18 | 24 | 58 | 2,115 | 208 | 9 | 24 | 2,463 |
| 35 | 103 | Percent | 0.9 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 2.9 | 89.9 | 5.1 | 0 | 1 | 100 |
| (8/23-8/29) |  | Numbers | 5 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 459 | 26 | 0 | 5 | 510 |
| Total | 1,154 | Percent | 0.2 | 0.7 | 3.3 | 9.4 | 71.2 | 14.4 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 236 | 875 | 4,150 | 11,761 | 89,043 | 18,071 | 69 | 868 | 125,072 |

Appendix A.3. Estimated age composition of Upper Station sockeye salmon escapement by week, post 14 July 1996.

| Sample |  |  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Size |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.3 |  |
| 29 | 0 | Percent | 0 | 6.2 | 0.5 | 5.7 | 11.9 | 2.6 | 25.8 | 46.9 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/15-7/18) |  | Numbers | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 6 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 24 |
| 30 | 194 | Percent | 0 | 6.5 | 0.8 | 5.7 | 12.5 | 2.6 | 24.7 | 46.7 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/19-7/25) |  | Numbers | 0 | 422 | 49 | 370 | 817 | 171 | 1,618 | 3,056 | 31 | 3 | 6,538 |
| 31 | 196 | Percent | 0 | 8.3 | 3 | 3.9 | 17.2 | 3.2 | 11.8 | 52.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| (7/26-8/01) |  | Numbers | 0 | 2,180 | 787 | 1,033 | 4,527 | 851 | 3,107 | 13,811 | 0 | 90 | 26,385 |
| 32 | 225 | Percent | 0.2 | 7.2 | 2 | 1.6 | 10.1 | 2.4 | 8.4 | 67.9 | 0 | 0.3 | 100 |
| (8/02-8/08) |  | Numbers | 114 | 3,655 | 999 | 839 | 5,144 | 1.198 | 4,274 | 34,514 | 0 | 129 | 50,866 |
| 33 | 203 | Percent | 0.7 | 12.9 | 2.5 | 2.8 | 10 | 4 | 5.6 | 60.7 | 0 | 0.8 | 100 |
| (8/09-8/15) |  | Numbers | 642 | 12,630 | 2,414 | 2,748 | 9,782 | 3,897 | 5,424 | 59,226 | 0 | 796 | 97,557 |
| $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (8 / 16-8 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | 193 | Percent | 1.6 | 23.2 | 4.2 | 2.6 | 13.5 | 13.5 | 35 | 37.5 | 0 | 0.9 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 180 | 2,594 | 467 | 291 | 1,513 | 1,509 | 335 | 4,189 | 0 | 106 | 11,185 |
| $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (8 / 23-8 / 29) \end{gathered}$ | 199 | Percent | 1.2 | 11.2 | 2.4 | 0.7 | 5.7 | 8.1 | 2.4 | 68.3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 450 | 4,176 | 901 | 259 | 2,134 | 3,020 | 915 | 25,521 | 0 | 0 | 37,377 |
| $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (8 / 30-9 / 05) \end{gathered}$ | 187 | Percent | 0.5 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 72 | 576 | 144 | 72 | 504 | 432 | 360 | 11,295 | 0 | 0 | 13,453 |
| $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (9 / 06-9 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Percent | 0.5 | 4.3 | 1.1 | 0.5 | 3.7 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 5 | 43 | 11 | 5 | 37 | 32 | 27 | 840 | 0 | 0 | 1,000 |
| Total | 1,397 | Percent | 0.6 | 10.8 | 2.4 | 2.3 | 10.0 | 4.5 | 6.6 | 62.4 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 100.0 |
|  |  | Numbers | 1,463 | 26,277 | 5,772 | 5,618 | 24,461 | 11,111 | 16,066 | 152,463 | 31 | 1,124 | 244,385 |

Appendix A.4. Estimated age composition of Frazer sockeye salmon escapement by week, post 14 July 1996.

| WeekSample <br> Size |  |  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 3.3 |  |
| 29 | 0 | Percent | 2.5 | 0.5 | 51.5 | 0 | 20.1 | 0 | 16.2 | 2.9 | 6.4 | 100 |
| (7/15-7/18) |  | Numbers | 406 | 81 | 8,533 | 0 | 3,332 | 0 | 2,682 | 488 | 1,056 | 16,578 |
| 30 | 204 | Percent | 1.5 | 0.5 | 44.2 | 0.3 | 23.1 | 0.3 | 19.9 | 3 | 7.3 | 100 |
| (7/19-7/25) |  | Numbers | 310 | 104 | 9,111 | 52 | 4,772 | 52 | 4,099 | 622 | 1,504 | 20,625 |
| 31 | 194 | Percent | 0.3 | 1.4 | 34.5 | 0.3 | 25.5 | 0.3 | 24.7 | 2.6 | 10.5 | 100 |
| (7/26-8/01) |  | Numbers | 36 | 173 | 4,324 | 36 | 3,189 | 36 | 3,089 | 327 | 1,317 | 12,527 |
| 32 | 201 | Percent | 0 | 1.8 | 48.9 | 0 | 16.3 | 0 | 21 | 3.1 | 8.9 | 100 |
| (8/02-8/08) |  | Numbers | 0 | 189 | 5,215 | 0 | 1,739 | 0 | 2,236 | 330 | 952 | 10,661 |
| 33 | 207 | Percent | 0.2 | 0.8 | 73.6 | 0 | 7.6 | 0 | 11.9 | 3.2 | 2.7 | 100 |
| (8/09-8/15) |  | Numbers | 5 | 24 | 2,232 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 360 | 97 | 83 | 3,031 |
|  | 208 | Percent | 0.5 | 0.5 | 84.6 | 0 | 8.2 | 0 | 4.8 | 1 | 0.5 | 100 |
| (8/16-8/22) |  | Numbers | 6 | 6 | 1,095 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 62 | 12 | 6 | 1,294 |
| Total | 1,014 | Percent | 1.2 | 0.9 | 47.1 | 0.1 | 20.7 | 0.1 | 19.4 | 2.9 | 7.6 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 763 | 577 | 30,510 | 88 | 13,367 | 88 | 12,528 | 1,876 | 4,918 | 64,716 |

Appendix A.5. Estimated age composition of Southwest Afognak Section (251-10-20) sockeye salmon catch by week, post 14 July 1996.

| Sample |  |  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Size |  | 0.2 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 4.2 |  |
| 29 | 0 | Percent | 1 | 0 | 34.5 | 1.3 | 17.6 | 36.8 | 0 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 0.7 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/15-7/18) |  | Numbers | 67 | 0 | 2,367 | 89 | 1,206 | 2,523 | 0 | 335 | 156 | 45 | 67 | 0 | 6,855 |
| 30 | 0 | Percent | 1 | 0 | 34.5 | 1.3 | 17.6 | 36.8 | 0 | 4.9 | 2.3 | 0.7 | J | 0 | 100 |
| (719-7/25) |  | Numbers | 19 | 0 | 655 | 25 | 334 | 698 | 0 | 93 | 43 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 1,897 |
| 31 | 307 | Percent | 1 | 0 | 34.9 | 1.3 | 17.3 | 36.8 | 0 | 4.8 | 2.3 | 0.6 | 1 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/26-8/01) |  | Numbers | 113 | 0 | 4,100 | 157 | 2,033 | 4,327 | 0 | 569 | 275 | 75 | 114 | 0 | 11,763 |
| 32 | 0 | Percent | 0.6 | 0 | 43 | 2.1 | 9.5 | 36.3 | 0.1 | 3.6 | 3.7 | 0.3 | 0.7 | 0 | 100 |
| (8/02-8/08) |  | Numbers | 18 | 0 | 1,247 | 61 | 276 | 1,050 | 3 | 104 | 107 | 10 | 20 | 0 | 2,896 |
| 33 | 499 | Percent | 0.2 | 0 | 51.5 | 3 | 1.5 | 35.7 | 0.2 | 2.3 | 5.2 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 100 |
| (8/09-8/15) |  | Numbers | 5 | 0 | 984 | 57 | 28 | 683 | 4 | 44 | 99 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 1,911 |
| 34 | 351 | Percent | 0.5 | 0.5 | 43.7 | 4.9 | 1.9 | 36.1 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| (8/16-8/22) |  | Numbers | 13 | 13 | 1,075 | 121 | 46 | 889 | 0 | 50 | 221 | 0 | 26 | 6 | 2,460 |
| 35 | 0 | Percent | 0.6 | 0.6 | 42.7 | 5.1 | 2 | 36.2 | 0 | 2 | 9.4 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| (8/23-8/29) |  | Numbers | 3 | 3 | 251 | 30 | 12 | 212 | 0 | 12 | 55 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 587 |
| 36 | 0 | Percent | 0.6 | 0.6 | 42.7 | 5.1 | 2 | 36.2 | 0 | 2 | 9.4 | 0 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 100 |
| (8/30-9/05) |  | Numbers | 1 | 1 | 42 | 5 | 2 | 36 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 99 |
| Total | 1,157 | Percent | 0.8 | 0.1 | 37.7 | 1.9 | 13.8 | 36.6 | 0 | 4.2 | 3.4 | 0.5 | 0.9 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 239 | 17 | 10,721 | 545 | 3,937 | 10,418 | 7 | 1,209 | 965 | 142 | 262 | 8 | 28,468 |

Appendix A.6. Estimated age composition of Uganik harvest area (253-11-35) sockeye salmon catch by week, post 14 July 1996.

| Sample |  |  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Size |  | 0.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 1.4 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 |  |
| 29 | 606 | Percent | 0 | 0 | 0.2 | 1 | 12.2 | 0.2 | 61.6 | 10.3 | 0 | 13.9 | 0.7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/15-7/18) |  | Numbers | 0 | 2 | 94 | 564 | 7,051 | 94 | 35,512 | 5,968 | 2 | 7,997 | 388 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 57,683 |
| 30 | 470 | Percent | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0.2 | 24.5 | 0 | 40.3 | 18.4 | 0.2 | 13.4 | 1.5 | 0 | 1.1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/19-7/25) |  | Numbers | 0 | 50 | 5 | 61 | 6,167 | 11 | 10,155 | 4,645 | 61 | 3,371 | 383 | 5 | 280 | 0 | 0 | 25,194 |
| 31 | 773 | Percent | 0 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 29.8 | 0.3 | 35.7 | 21.4 | 0.4 | 9.6 | 1.6 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/26-8/01) |  | Numbers | 9 | 178 | 125 | 296 | 24,425 | 269 | 29,234 | 17,515 | 296 | 7,857 | 1,345 | 106 | 229 | 0 | 0 | 81,883 |
| 32 | 468 | Percent | 0.2 | 1.6 | 0.6 | 0 | 65.7 | 1.7 | 2.7 | 24.9 | 0 | 1 | 1.4 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| (8/02-8/08) |  | Numbers | 151 | 1,221 | 477 | 7 | 51,303 | 1,298 | 2,083 | 19,460 | 18 | 781 | 1,117 | 164 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 78,106 |
| 33 | 521 | Percent | 0 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 0 | 54.1 | 1.6 | 0.3 | 33.8 | 0.2 | 2.4 | 6.4 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| (8/09-8/15) |  | Numbers | 0 | 49 | 86 | 6 | 13,382 | 395 | 62 | 8,361 | 43 | 604 | 1,582 | 43 | 105 | 6 | 6 | 24,732 |
| 34 | 0 | Percent | 0 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 0.2 | 46.6 | 2 | 0.6 | 37.7 | 0.1 | 2.1 | 9.3 | 0.1 | 0.7 | 0.2 | 0.2 | 100 |
| (8/16-8/22) |  | Numbers | 0 | 55 | 26 | 42 | 10,333 | 442 | 139 | 8,348 | 13 | 467 | 2,051 | 13 | 153 | 42 | 42 | 22,168 |
| 35 | 363 | Percent | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 42.9 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 39.6 | 0 | 1.9 | 10.7 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100 |
| (8/23-8/29) |  | Numbers | 0 | 65 | 1 | 65 | 10,225 | 524 | 195 | 9,441 | 1 | 462 | 2,547 | 1 | 196 | 65 | 65 | 23,852 |
| 36 | 0 | Percent | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 42.7 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 39.7 | 0 | 1.9 | 10.7 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100 |
| (8/30-9/05) |  | Numbers | 0 | 35 | 0 | 35 | 5,354 | 276 | 104 | 4,974 | 0 | 242 | 1,347 | 0 | 104 | 35 | 35 | 12,539 |
| 37 | 0 | Percent | 0 | 0.3 | 0 | 0.3 | 42.7 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 39.7 | 0 | 1.9 | 10.7 | 0 | 0.8 | 0.3 | 0.3 | 100 |
| (9/06-9/12) |  | Numbers | 0 | 6 | 0 | 6 | 883 | 46 | 17 | 820 | 0 | 40 | 222 | 0 | 17 | 6 | 6 | 2,067 |
| Total | 3,201 | Percent | 0 | 0.5 | 0.2 | 0.3 | 39.3 | 1 | 23.5 | 24.2 | 0.1 | 6.6 | 3.3 | 0.1 | 0.3 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 160 | 1,661 | 814 | 1,082 | 129,123 | 3,355 | 77,501 | 79,532 | 434 | 21,821 | 10,982 | 332 | 1,121 | 154 | 154 | 328,224 |

Appendix A.7. Estimated age composition of Uyak harvest area (254-10-40) sockeye salmon catch by week, post 14 July 1996.

| Sample |  |  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week | Size |  | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.3 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.4 | 3.3 | 4.2 | 4.3 |  |
| 29 | 480 | Percent | 0.5 | 0 | 1.6 | 13.8 | 0.2 | 30.7 | 24.3 | 0 | 19.3 | 3.3 | 0.2 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/15-7/18) |  | Numbers | 59 | 5 | 206 | 1,756 | 31 | 3,914 | 3,091 | 5 | 2,462 | 417 | 24 | 763 | 3 | 0 | 12,736 |
| 30 | 439 | Percent | 0.9 | 0.4 | 1.2 | 21.7 | 2.5 | 16.6 | 34.6 | 0.4 | 11.4 | 4.6 | 0 | 5.5 | 0.2 | 0 | 100 |
| (7/19-7/25) |  | Numbers | 50 | 24 | 69 | 1,266 | 145 | 970 | 2,021 | 24 | 664 | 271 | 1 | 322 | 12 | 0 | 5,841 |
| 31 | 468 | Percent | 0.1 | 0 | 1 | 21.3 | 0.1 | 14 | 34.1 | 0 | 14.5 | 9.5 | 0 | 5 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 100 |
| (7/26-8/01) |  | Numbers | 19 | 0 | 367 | 8,083 | 30 | 5,317 | 12,955 | 0 | 5,493 | 3,623 | 0 | 1,885 | 71 | 143 | 37,986 |
| 32 | 491 | Percent | 0.4 | 0 | 0.2 | 31.6 | 0.6 | 2.6 | 54.2 | 0 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 0 | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| (8/02-8/08) |  | Numbers | 87 | 0 | 47 | 6,724 | 119 | 558 | 11,528 | 0 | 722 | 1,302 | 0 | 163 | 2 | 3 | 21,256 |
| $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (8 / 09-8 / 15) \end{gathered}$ | 510 | Percent | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 41.2 | 0 | 1 | 47.8 | 0 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 182 | 0 | 0 | 9.546 | 0 | 227 | 11,091 | 0 | 273 | 1,773 | 0 | 91 | 0 | 0 | 23,183 |
| $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (8 / 16-8 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Percent | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 41.2 | 0 | 1 | 47.8 | 0 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 213 | 0 | 0 | 11,174 | 0 | 266 | 12,984 | 0 | 319 | 2,075 | 0 | 106 | 0 | 0 | 27,138 |
| $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (8 / 23-8 / 29) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Percent | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 41.2 | 0 | 1 | 47.8 | 0 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 200 | 0 | 0 | 10,487 | 0 | 250 | 12,185 | 0 | 300 | 1,948 | 0 | 100 | 0 | - | 25,469 |
| $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (8 / 30-9 / 05) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Percent | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 41.2 | 0 | 1 | 47.8 | 0 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 163 | 0 | 0 | 8,566 | 0 | 204 | 9,952 | 0 | 245 | 1,591 | 0 | 82 | 0 | 0 | 20,802 |
| $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (9 / 06-9 / 12) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Percent | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 41.2 | 0 | 1 | 47.8 | 0 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 111 | 0 | 0 | 5,808 | 0 | 138 | 6,748 | 0 | 166 | 1,079 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 14,104 |
| $\begin{gathered} 38 \\ (9 / 13-9 / 19) \end{gathered}$ | 0 | Pexcent | 0.8 | 0 | 0 | 41.2 | 0 | 1 | 47.8 | 0 | 1.2 | 7.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 11 | 0 | 0 | 574 | 0 | 14 | 667 | 0 | 16 | 107 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 1,394 |
| Total | 2,388 | Percen 1 | 0.6 | 0 | 0.4 | 33.7 | 0.2 | 6.2 | 43.8 | 0 | 5.6 | 7.5 | 0 | 1.9 | 0 | 0.1 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 1,095 | 29 | 689 | 63,984 | 325 | 11,858 | 83,222 | 29 | 10,660 | 14,186 | 25 | 3,572 | 88 | 146 | 189,909 |

Appendix A.8. Estimated age composition of Telrod Cove (254-50) sockeye salmon catches by week, 1996.

| Sample |  |  | Ages |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Week |  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 2.1 | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.1 | 3.2 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} 31 \\ (7 / 26-8 / 01) \end{gathered}$ | 328 | Percent | 0.6 | 87.2 | 0.5 | 0 | 11.5 | 0 | 0.3 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 163 | 23,589 | 123 | 8 | 3,106 | 0 | 78 | 27.066 |
| $\begin{gathered} 32 \\ (8 / 02-8 / 08) \end{gathered}$ | 207 | Percent | 0.7 | 85.9 | 2.7 | 0.4 | 10.2 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 232 | 29,483 | 936 | 141 | 3,506 | 0 | 11 | 34.309 |
| $\begin{gathered} 33 \\ (8 / 09-8 / 15) \end{gathered}$ | 360 | Percent | 1.9 | 81 | 3.8 | 0.3 | 12.9 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 818 | 34,513 | 1,639 | 128 | 5,488 | 0 | 0 | 42,586 |
| $\begin{gathered} 34 \\ (8 / 16-8 / 22) \end{gathered}$ | 204 | Percent | 2.1 | 70.8 | 6.5 | 0 | 20.5 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 945 | 32,033 | 2,953 | 23 | 9,280 | 9 | 0 | 45,242 |
| $\begin{gathered} 35 \\ (8 / 23-8 / 29) \end{gathered}$ | 423 | Percent | 4.2 | 68.4 | 12 | 0 | 14.9 | 0.5 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 417 | 6,791 | 1,187 | 0 | 1,475 | 53 | 0 | 9,923 |
| $\begin{gathered} 36 \\ (8 / 30-9 / 05) \end{gathered}$ | 241 | Percent | 9 | 57.7 | 20.4 | 0 | 11 | 1.8 | 0 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 240 | 1.529 | 541 | 0 | 290 | 48 | 0 | 2,648 |
| $\begin{gathered} 37 \\ (9 / 06-9 / 12) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | 112 | Percent | 9.1 | 53.9 | 20 | 0.7 | 13.6 | 0.3 | 2.2 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 31 | 185 | 69 | 3 | 47 | 1 | 8 | 344 |
| Total | 1,875 | Percent | 1.8 | 79 | 4.6 | 0.2 | 14.3 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 100 |
|  |  | Numbers | 2,846 | 128,123 | 7,448 | 303 | 23,192 | 111 | 97 | 162,118 |

Appendix B.I. Descriptive statistics for age 1.2 scale variables from Spiridon and Upper Station stocks, 1996.

| Freshwater Variable ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Stock |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Spiridon } \\ & n=243 \end{aligned}$ |  | Upper Station$\mathrm{n}=78$ |  |
| number | name | mean | SE | mean | SE |
| 1 | circuli counts | 18.5 | 0.11 | 12.1 | 0.41 |
| 2 | 1st I.D. | 37.8 | 0.45 | 58.3 | 1.03 |
| 3 | 2nd I.D. | 55.6 | 0.56 | 87.6 | 1.34 |
| 4 | 3 rd I.D. | 74.4 | 0.70 | 113.4 | 1.58 |
| 5 | 4th I.D. | 91.1 | 0.83 | 136.7 | 1.80 |
| 6 | 5th 1.D. | 106.7 | 0.97 | 157.8 | 1.92 |
| 7 | 6th I.D. | 121.5 | 1.10 | 177.2 | 2.04 |

${ }^{2}$ Incremental distances (ID) for variables 2-7 were measured in .001 mm at 200 X magnification.


Appendix B.2. Scale pattem of age 1.2 sockeye salmon collected at Spiridon Lake Terminal Harvest Area (SLTHA), 4 August 1996.


Appendix B.3. Scale pattern of age 1.2 sockeye salmon collected at Upper Station weir, 30 July 1996.
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