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#### Abstract

A sonar-estimated escapement of 75,867 salmon was obtained for the Toklat River upstream of Barton Creek for the period 14 August through 4 October 1994. Eighty-nine percent of the estimated passage was along the left bank and $11 \%$ along the right bank. The mode and median day of passage both occurred on 21 September. Approximately, $99 \%$ of the sonar estimate $(75,108)$ was apportioned to fall-run chum salmon Oncorhynchus keta with the remainder considered as coho salmon $O$. kisutch. Apportionment was based upon species composition observed during ground surveys of the major spawning area at Toklat Springs in mid-October. Although this passage estimate is considered conservative due to an unknown number of salmon which passed the sonar counting site prior and subsequent to counting operations, it compares exceptionally well with the total abundance estimate of 76,057 chum salmon made from intensive ground surveys of Toklat Springs during peak of spawning. An additional 39 chum and approximately 2,000 coho salmon passed Barton Creek weir during the same period (mid-August through early October), in addition to three chinook salmon $O$. tshawytscha.

Variations in water levels and velocities, together with migration behavior of upstream migrant Toklat River salmon, affected the ability of the hydroacoustic equipment to accurately estimate salmon passage. However, this factor was addressed by adjusting fish passage estimates as necessary based upon daily calibrations of the hydroacoustic equipment. Sonar counting range was considered adequate for the detection of the majority of fish passing the sonar site as most were oriented nearshore. Daily passage was greatest during periods of darkness, with the greatest movement occurring on the average between 2200 and 2400 hours.
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## INTRODUCTION

Although five species of Pacific salmon Oncorhynchus are found in the Yukon River drainage, chum salmon $O$. keta are the most abundant and occur in genetically distinct summer and fall runs (Wilmot et al. 1992; Seeb et al. 1995). Fall chum salmon are larger, spawn later, and are less abundant than their summer chum counterpart. They primarily spawn in the upper portion of the drainage in streams which are spring fed, usually remaining ice-free during the winter (Buklis and Barton 1984). Major fall chum salmon spawning areas include the Tanana, Chandalar, and Porcupine River systems, as well as selected Canadian portions of the Yukon River (Figure 1).

Fall chum salmon are harvested commercially along the entire mainstem Yukon River in Alaska as well as in the Canadian portion of the river near Dawson, Y.T. Commercial harvest is also permitted in the lower portion of the Tanana River in Alaska, but no commercial fishing is permitted in other tributaries, including the Koyukuk and Porcupine River systems. While the majority of commercially taken fish come from the lower river, downstream of the village of Anvik, fall chum salmon use for subsistence is greatest throughout the upper river drainage, upstream of the village of Koyukuk. In some more recent years estimated drainage-wide subsistence use has rivaled or exceeded the commercial harvest.

The Alaskan commercial fishery for Yukon River fall chum salmon developed in the early 1960's, with annual harvests remaining relatively low through the early to mid-1970's (JTC 1995). Estimated total inriver utilization (U.S. and Canada commercial and subsistence) of Yukon River fall chum salmon was below 300,000 fish per year prior to the mid 1970's (Table 1). The inriver commercial fisheries became more fully developed during the late 1970's and early 1980's, with total utilization averaging 536,000 fish for the 5 year period 1979-1983. Harvest peaked in 1979 at 615,000 and in 1981 at 677,000 fish. Since the mid-1980's management strategies have been implemented to reduce commercial exploitation on fall chum salmon stocks in order to improve upon low escapements observed throughout the drainage during the early 1980's. In 1987 a complete closure of the commercial fall chum salmon fishery occurred in the Alaskan portion of the drainage, while in 1992 commercial fishing in Alaska was restricted to only a portion of the Tanana River during the fall season. In addition to a commercial fishery closure, 1993 marked the first year in State history that a total river closure to subsistence fishing for chum salmon occurred in the Yukon River during the latter portion of the fall season. The closure was in response to an extremely weak fall chum salmon return in that year.

A substantial portion of Yukon River fall chum salmon production originates from the Tanana River. Important spawning stocks in that drainage include those utilizing numerous spring areas of the upper mainstem river itself between approximately Little Delta River and Delta Clearwater River (Barton 1992), the lower Delta River, as well as the Toklat River in the Kantishna River drainage (Figure 2).

Documentation of salmon spawning in the Toklat River dates back to January 1908 when Charles Sheldon reported finding several channels of open water filled with dead salmon at a place known as the "Cutoff--the beginning of an old Indian trail from the Toklat to the Nenana River" (Sheldon 1930). This trail crossing is located approximately 65 river $\mathrm{km}(\mathrm{rkm})$ upstream from the mouth of
the Toklat River. Gudgel-Holmes (1990) states this native trail from Rex [Kobi(e)] on the Nenana River to the Toklat River, more recently referred to as Rex Trail, was customarily used by members of the Toklat/Nenana band to obtain fish due to the abundance of chum salmon in the fall. Apart from Sheldon's documentation, no information on fall chum spawning abundance or distribution in the Toklat River was available prior to the early 1970s. Throughout the next decade however, observations on Toklat River chum salmon escapement were made by the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (department) and consisted of limited aerial and ground surveys conducted during periods of anticipated peak spawning (Barton 1984a). Beginning in 1980, a special effort was made to conduct a thorough ground survey each year of the major fall chum spawning area at Toklat Springs during periods of peak spawning. It was not until 1985 however, that the first attempt was made to prepare detailed notes on the distribution of spawners throughout the floodplain sloughs.

The existing historic escapement database for Toklat River chum salmon consists of estimates of total spawning abundance dating back to 1974; estimates derived from expanded aerial or ground survey counts of the major spawning area at Toklat Springs, using streamlife and migratory time density data collected from the Delta River fall chum stock (Table 2). The current fall chum salmon biological escapement goal (BEG) for the Toklat River of $>33,000$ spawners was first established in November 1986. This BEG of total spawning abundance was re-examined in both November 1990 and January 1994 using larger historical databases, but no revision was considered warranted during either of those reviews.

The Toklat River fall chum salmon stock was identified as a conservation concern at the spring 1990 Alaska Board of Fisheries (BOF) meeting because escapements had been less than the BEG since 1979, despite numerous management actions taken by both the department and the BOF during the preceding several years over concern not only for Toklat River fall chum salmon, but for Canadian stocks as well. Such actions ranged from reductions in commercial fishing time throughout the drainage to both commercial and subsistence fishing closures/restrictions. In the spring of 1992 the BOF issued a "charge" to the Yukon River Drainage Fisheries Association (YRDFA) to work with the department in the development of a rebuilding management plan for Toklat River fall chum salmon. Based upon a YRDFA proposal presented to the BOF in the spring of 1993, the BOF adopted the 1993 Toklat River Fall Chum Salmon Rebuilding Management Plan. A similar rebuilding plan with only slight modifications, was adopted by the BOF prior to the 1994 fishing season. Key elements of these rebuilding management plans included:

- Close Toklat River drainage to sport, personal use, and subsistence fishing,
- Restrict subsistence fishing in the Kantishna River to a maximum of 2,000 chum salmon (via permit system), and
- Require managing commercial harvests in all Yukon River districts to a lower level than the maximum that could otherwise be supported by the return.

Due to the high degree of concern over the Toklat River fall chum salmon stock, the department initiated a feasibility study in 1994 using hydroacoustic techniques to obtain a more comprehensive assessment of fall chum salmon escapement into the river, in addition to maintenance of intensive ground surveys of the Toklat Springs spawning area. This report presents results of that study.

## Study Area

## Toklat River Basin

The Toklat River heads in the glacial ice fields of the Alaska Range near Mount Pendleton in Denali National Park, draining an area of approximately $3,300 \mathrm{sq} . \mathrm{km}$ on the north side of the Alaska Range. Two large branches of the river in its upper basin converge at the base of Divide Mountain to form the main river, the rather flat glacial valley of which exceeds half a kilometer in width at places. The river flows north approximately 140 km to its terminus on the Kantishna River some 90 km upstream of the Tanana River (Figure 3). Excluding the East Fork, all other tributaries are clear water, the largest of which is the Clearwater Fork.

The Toklat River is a typical Alaskan glacial river with turbid, silt-laden water and broad, braided, gravel-bedded channels. Though detailed studies have not been made, discontinuous permafrost is known to underlie much of the basin lowlands (USNPS 1985 as cited in Karle 1989). While most of the surface flow volume is from snow and glacier melt, which gradually diminishes as freezeup approaches, upwelling ground water composes a significant proportion of the river flow volume during the winter months. These up-welling spring areas provide important spawning habitat for fall chum and coho salmon.

## Toklat Springs

In 1909 Richard Knight constructed a roadhouse on the Toklat River near the mouth of the Sushana River at the location Sheldon (1930) referred to as "the Cutoff". It became an important stop along the Nenana to McGrath mail trail during the 1920s (Gudgel-Holmes 1990). Murie (1920) writing about the physiography of the Toklat River region in December 1920, noted that water in the Toklat River practically disappeared underground, only to reappear at Knight's Roadhouse near the mouth of the Sushana River. He reported that water from the Sushana River was warm and icefree, resulting in open water on the Toklat River for "some distance below that point". Sheldon (1930) reported that, "during the whole winter, even in the coldest weather, there is always open water ... from that point (Cutoff) downstream for four or five miles...(and) this place marks the upper end of the salmon run....".

In addition to the springs which surface in channels of the mainriver floodplain in the vicinity of Knight's Roadhouse or "the Cutoff", upwelling spring water also keeps the lower several hundred meters of the Sushana River open in the winter months. Farther upstream the streambed dries up during the late fall to early winter. Geiger Creek, also known as Bear Creek, is a small clearwater tributary entering the Toklat floodplain from the west, across from the mouth of the Sushana River. It too, remains relatively ice-free during the winter months from upwelling spring water. Both of these areas (lower Sushana River and Geiger Creek) are also important fall chum and coho salmon spawning areas. Together, the generalized geographical region encompassing the mainriver floodplain channels in vicinity of Knight's Roadhouse, the lower Sushana River, and Geiger Creek are referred to as Toklat Springs (Figure 4). It is this concentrated area of upwelling spring water, together with time of spawning, which gives rise to some of the most unique salmon spawning habitat in Interior Alaska. However, high-flow summer runoff carrying heavy sediment loads results in scouring and shifting of individual floodplain channels, influencing the amount of
available spawning area from year to year. Within the past decade, a channel from the Toklat River breached timber during high flow run-off on the right side of the floodplain approximately 1.5-2 km upstream of the Sushana River mouth. This has resulted in an influx of turbid water into the lower Sushana River in recent years between breakup and late fall, when the influx of turbid water subsides due to falling water levels in the main river.

## Barton Creek

Barton Creek is a clearwater tributary of the Toklat River which heads in the foothills south of the old Stampede Trail, paralleling the Sushana River for some distance before entering the Toklat River from the east at approximately rkm 25. Like Toklat Springs, a major source of water flow in this stream originates from upwelling springs located in vicinity of the Rex Trail crossing, likely from the same underground aquifer which gives rise to the open water areas found at Toklat Springs. Barton Creek supports one of the largest chinook salmon runs in the Kantishna River drainage with spawning occurring during late July and August from the mouth upstream to the vicinity of Birch Hill. Later, coho salmon and lesser numbers of fall chum salmon ascend the creek and spawn near the source of the springs, upstream from chinook salmon spawning areas.

## Objectives

The main goal of the 1994 study was to determine the feasibility of using hydroacoustic techniques to monitor timing and magnitude of fall chum salmon escapement in the Toklat River. Depending upon project success, a secondary goal was to compare the sonar-estimated escapement to an independent total abundance estimate obtained from intensive ground surveys of Toklat Springs during peak of spawning. Design of the 1994 study was predicated upon two major assumptions. First, while the extent of mainstem spawning is not known with certainty, based upon historic information, it was presumed that little to no chum salmon spawning occurs upstream of Toklat Springs with only limited spawning below that region in most years. Second, it was presumed that species apportionment of mainriver sonar counts upstream of Barton Creek can reasonably be based upon species composition subsequently observed at Toklat Springs during peak of spawning. Given these assumptions, the following specific objectives were identified:

- document timing and magnitude of salmon escapement in the mainstem Toklat River upstream of Barton Creek using hydroacoustic techniques,
- apportion sonar counts to salmon species based upon subsequent ground surveys of Toklat Springs during the period of peak spawning,
- document timing and magnitude of salmon escapement by species in Barton Creek using a counting fence (weir), and
- monitor selected climatological and hydrological parameters daily at the project site for use as baseline data.


## METHODS

## Hydroacoustic Equipment and Site Selection

The 1994 sonar project site for assessing the salmon run in the Toklat River was located near the terminus of Barton Creek where it debouches onto the Toklat River floodplain (Figures 5 and 6). A bottom profile of the main river channel at this location had been obtained in August 1993 identifying it as potentially favorable for sonar deployment. Camp facilities were established between 4 and 11 August on the eastern side (right bank) of the floodplain between Barton Creek and the main channel of the Toklat River, which allowed a single two-person crew to monitor salmon passage in both the Toklat River and in Barton Creek. Spruce poles were cut, peeled and assembled to frame several canvas wall tents for mess and sleeping quarters as well as to house sonar electronics.

Two sonar fish counters developed by the Hydrodynamics Division of Bendix Corporation were used to monitor salmon passage in the mainstem Toklat River in 1994: a 1978 model counter and a 1979 model counter. ${ }^{2}$ Bendix side-scan transducers have co-axil, circular cross-section narrow $\left(2^{\circ}\right)$ and wide $\left(4^{\circ}\right)$ beam widths. Sampling ranges for the narrow and wide beams are variable and maximum at 18.3 and 9.2 m , respectively. Although each counter can be operated on either the narrow or wide beam independently, counters were generally operated by alternating acoustic pulse transmissions between the two beams. In this mode fish passage in the outer half and inner half of the sampling range is monitored by the narrow and wide beam, respectively.

Each counter maintained a record of the spatial distribution of fish counts based upon distance of the acoustic target from the face of the transducer. Fish counts were tallied and stored into memory by 12 electronic range intervals (sectors). Both counters were modified to allow use with a Biosonics Model 115 chart recorder to aid in calibration procedures. Operating characteristics of Bendix counters as well as installation and operational procedures can be found in Bendix Corporation (1978) and Ehrenberg (undated). The modular aluminum substrates designed for use with Bendix counters were not used in this study.

Actual location of sonar transducers in 1994 was based upon the best of several river bottom profiles made of the Toklat River main channel with a recording depth sounder shortly after arrival at the project site. Once the most favorable location had been identified, a detailed profile of the river bottom was obtained by stretching a rope across the river and measuring water depth with a pole every 3 m . The left bank sonar counter, sheltered in a $3 \mathrm{mx4m}$ canvas wall tent, was operated from the right bank. This counter was not housed on the left bank point bar due to increased risk of loss from sudden, unexpected high water events. The right bank sonar counter was housed in a separate $4 \mathrm{~m} \times 4.5 \mathrm{~m}$ wall tent on the right bank. Wood burning stoves were operated in each sonar tent as required to prevent printer malfunction during periods of dampness and cold weather. Access between river banks was provided by means of a 5 m rubber raft. Personnel pulled themselves across the river in the raft by means of a 1.6 cm rope which had been strung across the river for that purpose. A safety line from the boat was secured to the rope while crossing. A bipod
${ }^{2}$ Use of company names in this report does not constitute endorsement.
was used to elevate the rope high enough above the river when not in use, so as to avoid floating debris or boat traffic.

The left bank transducer was mounted on a housing made of galvanized steel water pipe (Figure 7). This pod was designed to permit raising and lowering of the sonar beam by using the two riser pipes which extended above the water. Finer adjustments were made with the knurled knobs which attached the transducer plate to the pod. The transducer pod was held in place with sand bags. The left bank transducer cable, supported by a 1.3 cm rope, was elevated across the river to the sonar counter using nylon tie straps spaced about 1 m apart and in such a manner so as to eliminate tension on the cable ends. The rope and transducer cable were suspended high enough above the river to avoid floating debris and boat traffic. The right bank transducer was deployed from the adjacent bank approximately three meters upstream of the left bank transducer. This transducer was mounted on a pod constructed with 2.5 cm PVC pipe, of a design similar to that of Barton (1986a). Aiming was accomplished using the knurled knobs which attached the transducer plate to the pod. Both transducers were deployed in water ranging from approximately 0.5 to 1 m in depth and aimed perpendicular to the current, along the bottom of the river. An attempt was made to maintain deployment at a location with minimum surface water velocity of approximately $30-45 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{s}$ for each transducer.

The system operator used an artificial acoustic target during deployment to adjust the aim of each transducer, ensuring they were aimed low enough to prevent salmon from passing undetected beneath the acoustic beam. The target, a 250 ml weighted plastic bottle, was allowed to drift downstream along the river bottom and through the acoustic beam. Several drifts were made with the target in an attempt to pass it through each electronic sector of the counting range. When a transducer was properly aimed, the target appeared as a vertical deflection (spike) on an oscilloscope screen as it transected the acoustic beam at any given distance. The target may or may not have simultaneously registered a count (or multiple counts) on the sonar counter, depending upon the length of time it remained in the acoustic beam as it drifted downstream along the river bottom.

A fish lead was constructed shoreward from each transducer to prevent upstream salmon passage inshore of the transducers. Each lead was constructed using $5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ by 1.2 m high fencing and 2.5 m metal "T" stakes. Leads were constructed so as to include the nearfield "dead range" of each sonar transducer. The inshore lead was shortened or lengthened as appropriate whenever a transducer was relocated because of rising or falling water level, and the artificial target used to ensure proper re-aiming.

## Sonar Calibrations and Count Adjustments

Daily comparisons (calibrations) were made between oscilloscope observations and automated counter output to determine if the number of fish registered by the sonar counter equaled the number of fish observed passing through the sonar beam. A minimum of seven 15 - to 30 -minute calibrations were scheduled daily for the left bank sonar counter within the following time periods: $0000-0030 ; 0600-0630 ; 1100-1130 ; 1600-1630 ; 1800-1830 ; 2100-2130$; and $2300-2330$ hours. Duration of calibrations for the left bank counter was based upon the following criteria: 1) Stop
calibration at 15 minutes if less than 10 fish are observed; and, 2) Extend 15 -minute calibration to 30 minutes if 10 or more fish are observed in the first 15 minutes. The calibration schedule for the right bank counter included four 15-minute calibrations during the time periods: 0030-0100; 06300700; 1830-1900; and 2330-2400 hours. This reduced schedule for the right bank counter was a function of manpower constraints as well as reduced fish passage observed along the right bank.

Bank-specific calibration results were used to adjust passage estimates for each sonar counter on a daily basis. Hourly blocks of a day's count included in an adjustment (adjustment period) were defined by the time between individual bank-specific calibrations. An associated adjustment factor $(A)$, specific to each adjustment period (i) was calculated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
A_{i}=\frac{O C}{S C} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& O C=\text { oscilloscope count; and }, \\
& S C=\text { sonar count. }
\end{aligned}
$$

Adjustment factors were applied to the unadjusted sonar counts for each hour within the associated adjustment period for each bank. The resulting corrected sonar counts for each hour within a day for a given bank were summed, yielding the estimated daily passage ( $D$ ) of salmon, and is represented by

$$
\begin{equation*}
D=\sum\left(A_{i} \times S C_{i}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Counts registered as "debris" were deleted and replaced by interpolated values prior to making adjustments. Interpolated values for a given electronic sector were based upon registered counts for that sector in the preceding and following hour. Daily fish passage was determined by summing the daily bank estimates. Sonar counts caused by fish other than salmon were assumed to be insignificant. Whereas the adjusted (corrected) hourly counts were used to determine temporal distribution of salmon passing the sonar site, spatial distribution was estimated from the unadjusted (raw) sector counts.

Over-counting or under-counting was minimized by adjusting the pulse repetition rate (PRR) or ping rate of each counter as needed. Over- and under-counting primarily results from changes in salmon swimming speeds which may be related to fluctuations in water level and velocity, photoperiod, or fish densities (Barton 1985, 1986a, 1987, 1995). Although a few occasions arose (generally in early season) when the counter's ping rate was subjectively changed based upon a qualitative evaluation of fish passage rates, the ping rate was generally changed at the end of any calibration if the oscilloscope count was in excess of 59 per hour and differed by more than $15 \%$ from the sonar count. The new ping rate was calculated as: (sonar count / oscilloscope count) x current PRR setting. If salmon passage rates during calibrations of a given counter, on a given day never exceeded 59 fish per hour, the ping rate of that counter was changed at 2400 hours of that particular day, if the sum of the sonar counts during the day's calibrations exceeded the sum of the oscilloscope counts during the day's calibrations by more than $15 \%$.

A chart recorder was operated with the left bank sonar counter on an experimental basis in 1994. The recorder was programmed to automatically record on-the-hour for a duration of 15 minutes. Early in the season, the chart recorder was only operated at selected times during hours of suppressed light and darkness, approximately 2100 to 0900 hours. However, it was operated $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$ during the peak of the run. Tracings on the chart paper were subsequently examined to compare sonar counts to the number of fish estimated passing from the chart recordings. Chart tracings were used to help identify oscilloscope images as fish during calibration periods and to evaluate if overcounting problems were encountered as a result of salmon holding in the acoustic beam.

## Barton Creek Weir

A weir was installed in Barton Creek where it debouches onto the Toklat River floodplain approximately 0.5 km upstream from its confluence with the Toklat River (Figures 5 and 6). Barton Creek was approximately 20 m wide at the weir site with water depth about one meter at the deepest location. A $4.5-\mathrm{m}$ span of the weir consisted of six, 75 cm panels butted together and positioned where water was the deepest and current the most swift. Each panel consisted of twentyfive 1.5 cm diameter by 3 m long metal conduit, spaced on 3 cm centers in angle iron supports. These panels were held in place by large tripods constructed from spruce poles and secured with sandbags. Outer wings of the weir were constructed of $5 \mathrm{~cm} \times 10 \mathrm{~cm}$ by 1.2 m high fencing and 2.5 m metal " T " stakes. Fencing was secured to " T " stakes with nylon tie straps and sand-bagged along the stream bottom.

A holding pen was constructed in the weir with additional fencing material and provided entry for upstream bound salmon through a fyke opening. The holding pen was checked a minimum of two to four times daily, but frequency of checks increased with increasing numbers of salmon. Adult salmon were dip-netted from the holding pen, counted by species, sexed, and released upstream. Additional daily inspections of the weir were made as needed to remove beaver cuttings and accumulation of autumn foliage to prevent the weir from washing out. Salmon carcasses washed downstream were removed from the weir and the number of salmon retained in the holding pen held to a minimum to help avoid bear problems.

## Climatological and Hydrological Observations

A gauge was installed in the main channel of the Toklat River and changes in water level monitored to the nearest centimeter. Surface water temperature was measured with a pocket thermometer to the nearest degree Centigrade (C). Other observations included recording the occurrence of precipitation, estimated wind velocity and direction, and percent cloud cover. All climatological and hydrological observations were recorded twice daily at approximately 1200 and 2200 hours.

## Spawning Ground Surveys and Population Estimate

Intensive ground surveys of the spawning area at Toklat Springs were conducted in mid-October. An updated map of floodplain channels and salmon distribution was prepared. Individual channel
locations and wetted areas were estimated from several aerial photographs collected in 1994 and the number of live and dead chum and coho salmon recorded by location. The chum salmon ground count was subsequently expanded to an estimate of total abundance based upon the percentage of live chum salmon actually observed, using an estimated streamlife curve (SLC) and migratory time density curve (MTDC) developed for Toklat Springs. These curves were developed as part of the most recent review of the Toklat River BEG in January 1994 and consisted of the following procedures.

The historic escapement database (1974-1993) comprised of spawning ground survey observations at Toklat Springs was examined (Appendices A, B, and C) and the percentage of live fish observed from all ground surveys was tabulated (Appendix D). Aerial survey observations were omitted from this exercise since aerial estimates of the percentage of live fish were considered to be less accurate due to carcasses often being concealed by ice, frost, snow, or silt. On occasion, carcass counts obtained during ground surveys have included only the anterior (heads) or posterior (caudal fin) sections of fish left as a result of predation; a situation which cannot be accurately assessed from the air. Next, several point estimates of the average percentage of live fish on a given date were then identified and a Toklat River SLC plotted using these point estimates and interpolating values for days between the point estimates (Table 3). A Toklat Springs MTDC was then estimated using the SLC just described and a Delta River fall chum salmon SLC and MTDC developed in 1985 (Barton 1986b). The Toklat Springs MTDC was estimated using the same relationship between the proportion of the run which had entered the Delta River, given a certain percentage of live fish remaining in the stream. For example, on 14 October, an average of $81.18 \%$ of the fish are estimated to be alive at Toklat Springs (from Toklat Springs SLC). Using the Delta River SLC and MTDC, on the average, $83.76 \%$ of the Delta River fall chum salmon run is estimated (by interpolation) to be in the river when $81.18 \%$ of the fish are alive. Thus, on the average, $83.76 \%$ of the Toklat River run is estimated to be at Toklat Springs by 14 October, or when $81.18 \%$ of the fish are alive.

Clearly, the assumption is that fall chum salmon stream residence time is similar in the Toklat and Delta Rivers. Once fish enter the Delta River they are essentially on the spawning grounds, since the spawning area is at the mouth of the Delta River. Observations at Toklat Springs are of fish which are also on the spawning grounds. From this standpoint, "streamlife" as used in this exercise is not total steam residence time. Such would obviously differ between the two rivers as Toklat Springs is some $60+\mathrm{rkm}$ upstream from the mouth of the Toklat River. "Streamlife" as used here is taken more as the average time fish live once they reach the spawning ground, or "spawner residence time". This is assumed to be similar for these two rivers.

## RESULTS

## River and Sonar Counting Conditions

Upon arrival of the field crew at the project site on the evening of 3 August, numerous vacant redds and several pair of chinook salmon were observed spawning in lower Barton Creek, and the first chum salmon was observed in the mainstem Toklat River. Water flow in the Toklat River was primarily confined to one channel which traversed the floodplain, leaving exposed a large gravel-
bedded point bar on the western side (left bank). A much smaller channel with restricted flow cut behind the point bar. Water flow in this channel fluctuated in response to that of the main river throughout the 1994 season.

Two profiles of the main Toklat River were made on 5 August. The first approximated the same location as the one obtained in August 1993 (Figures 6 and 8). The second was made about 30 m farther downstream where the left bank transducer was eventually deployed on 14 August. River width at the latter location measured 50 m with the bottom sloping gently from the point bar to the thalweg (a distance of 41 m ) at a rate of approximately 3.5 to $4.5 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{m}$ for a bottom slope of approximately $2.0^{\circ}$ to $2.5^{\circ}$. River bottom from the thalweg to the right bank was steeper, rising approximately $18 \mathrm{~cm} / \mathrm{m}\left(\sim 10^{\circ}\right.$ bottom slope).

The Toklat River at the project site experienced moderate variations in water level in 1994 (Appendix E). Minimum and maximum water level differed by 75 cm between 5 August and 4 October. With exception of a single high water event which occurred on 26 and 27 August, the overall trend was a decline in water level throughout duration of the project (Figure 9). The high water event, accompanied by an extremely heavy debris load, was responsible for suspending sonar counting operations for nearly 3 days beginning at 1930 hours on 27 August. Although the river crested at approximately 0230 hours on 28 August, counting was not resumed until noon on 30 August. During this high water event, much of the west bank point bar was submersed and the smaller channel behind the bar was of sufficient depth to permit passage of salmon. However, no salmon were observed in this slough based upon ground surveys conducted daily during the period of high water. Apart from the one high water event, water levels in this channel were generally too low to allow salmon passage. A decline in water level was observed throughout September, and by the end of the month it was 33 cm lower than recorded on 5 August. Left bank counting operations were also suspended between 0200 and 1500 hours on 17 August, due to extremely high winds which created a silt storm and reduced visibility to zero. All electronics were powered down and securely sealed to prevent damage from airborne silt particles.

## Abundance Estimation

The original strategy was to monitor salmon passage in the mainstem Toklat River with a single transducer deployed from the left bank point bar. Its acoustic beam would extend to the adjacent bank where a diversion weir (fish lead) would direct right-bank oriented salmon offshore through the left bank counter's acoustic beam. Although a left bank transducer was deployed on 14 August, hydrologic conditions prevailing for the remainder of the month prevented a lead from being installed on the right bank of a size sufficient to accomplish this. Only a small lead about 2 m in length could be installed and proved to be of little value. A distance of approximately 8 m , extending from the right bank to the end of the left bank acoustic beam, was uninsonified during this period. However, a 4 m lead was successfully constructed on the right bank on 2 September following a drop in water level from the high water event in late August. A second sonar counter, with its transducer deployed from the right bank, became operational on 6 September to investigate salmon passage along the right bank. Initially, this counter was only operated during hours of suppressed light or darkness; the period of greatest upstream movement observed along the left bank. It was operated $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$ subsequent to 19 September.

The sonar-estimated passage in the Toklat River upstream of Barton Creek was 75,867 fish (salmon) for the period 14 August through 4 October 1994 (Table 4). This estimate includes expansions for those days only partially monitored by either counter, as well as two days when counting was suspended during the high water event. For example, only 34 fish were counted on the first day of operations with the left bank counter (14 August) between 1800 and 2400 hours. That count was subsequently expanded to a total of 49 based upon the percentage of counts observed the following day between 1800 and 2400 hours. This same method was used to estimate fish passage on the left bank for 17 August, using data from 18 August. Passage for 27 and 30 August was based upon the average proportion of counts for the missing time blocks on these two days, that were observed during the first three full days of sonar counting after the high water event, i.e., 31 August through 2 September. The 4 October partial-day count obtained with both counters was expanded using temporal passage data collected from the preceding day from respective counters. Daily passage for 28 and 29 August was taken as the average passage estimated from 27 and 30 August. Finally, on days when only the left bank was in operation (14 August through 6 September), daily passage estimates for the right bank were estimated using the average daily proportion that right bank counts comprised of the combined daily total during the period when both sonar counters operated $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$ ( 20 September through 3 October).

The sonar-estimated escapement consists of adjusted daily counts made for each counter based upon oscilloscope calibration data collected throughout the season. A total of 304 calibrations averaging 22.1 min in duration were made to the left bank counter during the period 14 August through 4 October (Appendix F). For the right bank counter, 84 calibrations averaging 15.4 min in duration were made between 6 September and 4 October (Appendix G). Total effort amounted to more than 133 h of calibration time between the two sonar counters. An attempt was made to increase calibration effort during periods of the day when upstream migration was heaviest (Figure 10).

## Temporal and Spatial Distribution

The entry pattern of salmon in the Toklat River subsequent to mid-August was protracted for more than 1.5 months in 1994 based upon hydroacoustic fish passage assessment (Figure 11). Although the first chum salmon was observed in the main river near the project site as early as 3 August, relatively few were judged present when sonar operations were initiated on 14 August. Only 54 fish were estimated passing the project site on that day. Passage remained low through the end of August ranging from 54 to 1,209 fish per day. Estimated total passage during that period was 6,424 fish or $8 \%$ of the run, with an average passage rate of only 356 fish per day. Daily passage increased to an average of $1,174 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{d}$ during the first 19 days of September when approximately $29 \%$ of the run ( 22,323 fish) was estimated to have passed the project site. However, during the period 20 September through 4 October, 47,120 fish were estimated passing, representing $62 \%$ of the total sonar-estimated escapement. The average passage rate was $3,141 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{d}$ with the highest daily estimate made on 21 September ( 5,920 fish). Fish were still passing the project site at a rate of 484 per day when operations terminated on 4 October.

Some 67,454 fish, or $89 \%$ of the total sonar-estimated escapement, was estimated to have passed on the left bank, with the remaining $11 \%$ ( 8,413 fish) estimated on the right bank. Spatial distribution of sonar counts by electronic sector indicates that most fish passage occurred nearshore, although some counts were observed in all sectors of each acoustic beam (Figure 12). For example, not only did the majority of fish swim upstream along the left bank point bar, but $92 \%$ of those passed through the first two nearshore sectors. The average length of each sector was 1.3 m based upon an average counting range of 16.5 m for the left bank counter. This results in more than 62,000 fish passing within $2-2.5 \mathrm{~m}$ of the left bank transducer. Similarly, $82 \%$ of the right bank passage estimate was confined to the first three nearshore sectors, each of which averaged 0.5 m in length based upon an average counting range of 6.4 m for that counter.

Distribution of sonar counts by hour revealed a distinct diel pattern in passage along both banks (Appendices H and I). Fish passage primarily occurred during periods of darkness or hours of suppressed light (Figure 13). Peak passage along the left bank occurred between 2200 and 2400 hours while peak hourly passage along the right bank was between 2200 and 2300 hours. Night time passage along each bank gradually subsided with the ensuing hours of daylight and remained low until twilight approached.

## Weir Passage

A total of 3 chinook, 39 chum ( 24 male, 15 female) and 295 coho salmon ( 191 male, 104 female) were passed through the weir in Barton Creek between 17 August and 4 October (Table 5). Thirtythree of the chum salmon (85\%) had been passed by 4 September, but the first coho salmon was not passed until 18 September. Although a foot survey of that portion of the stream below the weir on 23 September did not reveal any salmon to be present, a helicopter survey of the same section on 27 September resulted in a count of 7 chum and 699 coho salmon in several large pools $100-150 \mathrm{~m}$ downstream of the weir. By late afternoon on 3 October, approximately 1,500-2,000 coho salmon were observed tightly schooled below the weir. These were rapidly-maturing fish as evidenced by their dark-red body color and blackish tails. Within the next 24 hours this large school of fish literally destroyed portions of the weir fencing and passed upstream.

Due to the tremendous load of autumn foliage carried downstream and resulting leaf accumulation on the weir, the fencing portion of the weir had to be removed on 13 September. The weir was once again fish-proof by 1300 hours on 16 September. Although four chum salmon were passed on 16 September, few (if any) salmon are believed to have passed the weir site during the time it was inoperable. For example, no salmon had been passed from 5 through 13 September and only four chum and four coho salmon were passed from 16 to 22 September.

Other fish species observed at the Barton Creek weir in 1994 included longnose sucker (Catostomus catostomus), burbot (Lota lota), Arctic grayling (Thymallus arcticus), and "whitefish". All of these species were of the size that allowed them to pass unharmed through the fencing portion of the weir. Unfortunately no voucher collection was made of the "whitefish" to ascertain the exact species. These fish may have been round whitefish (Prosopium cylindraceum) or ciscos (Coregonus spp). Although occasionally observed throughout the season, the largest school of "whitefish" was observed at the weir on 30 September.

## Spawning Ground Surveys - Toklat Springs

Prior to the ground surveys scheduled to be conducted in mid-October of the main spawning area at Toklat Springs, a helicopter survey was flown of the Toklat River on 27 September upstream of the sonar site. The survey was rated "poor" due to high turbidity levels. The surveyor could only see along the sides of channels in the shallowest water zones. Many floodplain channels were not examined and several bends were omitted during the survey. An estimated 2,640 chum and 3 coho salmon were observed between the sonar site and the vicinity of Mallard Slough (lower end of Toklat Springs). These fish were not observed in large schools but were somewhat scattered, and moving upstream. No spawning was observed. A grizzly sow with three cubs was also observed on the floodplain just downstream of Mallard Slough.

A poor and incomplete examination of the floodplain sloughs at Toklat Springs during this helicopter survey revealed the presence of several thousand chum salmon (Figure 14). Although 6,090 chum salmon ( $19 \%$ carcasses) were actually counted in several shallow-water sloughs where visibility was good, many more fish were observed and judged to be fairly well distributed throughout the central floodplain. For example, 950 live and 255 dead chum salmon were counted in a small slough immediately below Wolf Island. In upper Wolf Slough 1,250 live chum salmon and 435 carcasses were counted. A total of 1,032 live and 437 dead chums were counted in other central floodplain sloughs, while in excess of 1,691 live and 40 dead chums were counted in sloughs on the eastern side of the floodplain. Some degree of spawning was observed to be occurring in most of the areas examined.

The upper extent of the 27 September aerial survey was at a large island in the central floodplain located approximately $1.5-2 \mathrm{~km}$ upstream of Knight's Roadhouse and adjacent to where the Toklat River breaches to the Sushana River. A few hundred chum salmon were observed in sloughs at the lower end of this island. Flyovers of both Geiger Creek and the lower portion of the Sushana River also revealed the presence of a few thousand more chum salmon on the 27 September survey.

Intensive ground surveys of the Toklat Springs index area were conducted during the period 12-19 October. Foot surveys of Geiger Creek, Sushana River, and clearwater floodplain slough index areas were successfully completed (Table 6). There was little snow cover upon arrival and only 1215 cm of additional accumulation. Although snow did conceal some carcasses during the latter surveys, all surveys conducted of floodplain sloughs as well as of Sushana River were rated either "good" or "fair". The Geiger Creek survey was rated "good". Chum salmon spawning was judged to be at peak and timing of surveys considered good. Several floodplain sloughs and the Sushana River were surveyed twice. Total count for the Toklat Springs index area was 71,504 chum salmon of which $43.9 \%$ were carcasses. A total of 617 coho salmon were also counted, representing less than $1 \%$ of the total number of salmon counted at Toklat Springs. Updated maps of floodplain channels and salmon distribution were prepared (Figures 15 and 16). The chum salmon ground count was subsequently expanded to a total abundance estimate of 76,057 fish using the Toklat Springs MTDC previously described. The coho salmon count was not expanded.

## DISCUSSION

Overall, the Toklat River project ran smoothly in 1994 with only a few problems encountered. Although it was hoped that salmon passage could be monitored in the main river using a single sonar transducer, two units were necessary, and were successfully deployed and operated to estimate timing and abundance of the salmon run. Future studies at the project site should be specifically designed to monitor the salmon run with two counting units housed and operated from the higher elevation right bank, with transducers deployed from both banks. Thus, it will be important to ensure that ample transducer cable is available to operate in this manner. In 1994 some difficulty associated with length of the left bank transducer cable was encountered, while operating the counter from the right bank. Longer cables will facilitate transducer moves necessitated by fluctuating river water levels and/or increases in debris loads, while at the same time lessen the chance of equipment and/or data loss.

The proportion of the river insonified in 1994 varied throughout the season, depending upon range of the acoustic beams and actual placement of transducers as necessitated by fluctuations in river water level. The uninsonified portion of the river was greatest prior to 6 September when only one unit was operating from the left bank point bar. However, only $17 \%$ of the total passage estimate for the season was made during this period, including an estimate for fish passing along the right bank through the uninsonified zone. The right bank estimate during this period was based upon the proportion right bank counts were of the total count on days when both counters were functional. Once both counters became operational $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$ in mid-September, an uninsonified area averaging less than two meters in width existed between the outer ends of the two acoustic beams. No attempt was made to estimate fish passage for this small area but it is believed to have been negligible based upon a review of the spatial distribution of counts by electronic sector.

The diel salmon migration pattern observed in the Toklat River has also been observed with fall-run chum salmon in the Sheenjek River (Barton 1983, 1984b, 1985, 1987, and 1995). Although the pattern was very similar along both banks in 1994, increased passage on the left bank during the two hours subsequent to the hour ending at 0800 is somewhat anomalous. It is conjectured that this increase was a function of cleaning the left bank fish lead each morning between 0800 and 0900 hours. Floating/suspended debris such as leaves, beaver cuttings, root wads, small sticks, and cottonwood bark accumulated on the fish lead throughout night-time hours. By morning, accumulated debris had often created a head of 15 cm or more along the upstream side of the lead, allowing salmon to hold in slack water on the downstream side. Once cleaned of debris however, water velocity greatly increased through the lead, perhaps inducing salmon to move upstream.

Debris was always present in the river and its accumulation on fish leads and Barton Creek weir varied throughout the season. However, it was particularly troublesome during the latter part of September from the enormous load of deciduous foliage carried downstream in both the Toklat River and Barton Creek. This increased debris load, together with material selection used for fish leads and part of the weir in Barton Creek, necessitated a high daily vigil and frequent repairs to ensure leads were not breached and salmon allowed to pass upstream undetected. However, excessive accumulation of autumn foliage on Barton Creek weir necessitated its removal for nearly three days in mid-September until the debris load lessened. On the last day of field operations,
portions of the weir did collapse from weakened fencing material allowing free salmon passage. Fortunately an estimate was made for the number of coho salmon which passed during that period. The weir and fish lead fencing material used in 1994 must be upgraded to something stronger during future work at this project site.

A preseason fall chum salmon run projection of only 605,000 fish for the Yukon River in 1994 was due largely to an anticipated age-5 shortfall from the 1989 brood year (JTC 1994). Fall chum commercial fishing opportunities were not anticipated in the Alaskan portion of the drainage if the run materialized at that level. In brief, fall chum salmon run strength in 1994 was assessed inseason to be much weaker than it in fact was, due to poor performance of the lower Yukon River sonar project at Pilot Station during the fall season. This resulted in closures or restrictions to various fall season fisheries throughout the drainage on a run size much larger than originally believed. In effect, low exploitation on Yukon River fall chum salmon resulted in excellent escapements throughout the drainage in 1994, and the Toklat River was no exception.

The sonar-estimated escapement in the Toklat River was 75,867 salmon. Based upon results of subsequent ground surveys of Toklat Springs, $99 \%$ of the estimate, or 75,108 fish, were considered to be fall-run chum salmon. While this estimate is considered conservative due to an unknown number of salmon which passed the sonar counting site prior and subsequent to counting operations, it compares exceptionally well with the subsequent fall chum population estimate made for Toklat Springs. That estimate of 76,057 fall chum salmon revealed the minimum escapement goal $(33,000)$ was exceeded by more than $130 \%$ in 1994 . This was the largest escapement estimate for this river since 1979.

Results from this first year study indicate that sonar is a feasible means of monitoring salmon escapement in the Toklat River, given the river characteristics and hydrologic conditions that prevailed at the project site in 1994. Further, results also suggest that the assumptions outlined in the objectives section appear to have held true, at least for 1994, and that past estimates of fall chum salmon escapement to the Toklat River, obtained from expanded ground survey observations, are reasonable. Although no other major spawning areas apart from Toklat Springs were manifested in 1994, it is recommended that sonar operations be continued in order to compare the two independent annual abundance estimates (sonar versus expanded ground surveys) over years with differing run sizes.
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Table 1. Alaskan and Canadian total utilization of Yukon River fall chum salmon, 1961-1994 (from JTC 1995).

| Year | Canada ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Alaska ${ }^{\text {b,d }}$ | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1961 | 9,076 | 144,233 | 153,309 |
| 1962 | 9,436 | 140,401 | 149,837 |
| 1963 | 27,696 | 99,031 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 126,727 |
| 1964 | 12,187 | 128,707 | 140,894 |
| 1965 | 11,789 | 135,600 | 147,389 |
| 1966 | 13,192 | 122,548 | 135,740 |
| 1967 | 16,961 | 107,018 | 123,979 |
| 1968 | 11,633 | 97,552 | 109,185 |
| 1969 | 7,776 | 183,373 | 191,149 |
| 1970 | 3,711 | 265,096 | 268,807 |
| 1971 | 16,911 | 246,756 | 263,667 |
| 1972 | 7,532 | 188,178 | 195,710 |
| 1973 | 10,135 | 285,760 | 295,895 |
| 1974 | 11,646 | 383,552 | 395,198 |
| 1975 | 20,600 | 361,600 | 382,200 |
| 1976 | 5,200 | 228,717 | 233,917 |
| 1977 | 12,479 | 340,757 | 353,236 |
| 1978 | 9,566 | 331,250 | 340,816 |
| 1979 | 22,084 | 593,293 | 615,377 |
| 1980 | 22,218 | 466,087 | 488,305 |
| 1981 | 22,281 | 654,976 | 677,257 |
| 1982 | 16,091 | 357,084 | 373,175 |
| 1983 | 29,490 | 495,526 | 525,016 |
| 1984 | 29,267 | 383,055 | 412,322 |
| 1985 | 41,265 | 474,216 | 515,481 |
| 1986 | 14,543 | 303,485 | 318,028 |
| 1987 | 44,480 | 361,663 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 406,143 |
| 1988 | 33,565 | 319,677 | 353,242 |
| 1989 | 23,020 | 518,157 | 541,177 |
| 1990 | 33,622 | 316,478 | 350,100 |
| 1991 | 35,418 | 403,678 | 439,096 |
| 1992 | 20,815 | 128,031 ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 148,846 |
| 1993 | 14,090 | 76,925 ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 91,015 |
| $1994{ }^{\text { }}$ | 38,008 | 131,217 | 169,225 |
| Average |  |  |  |
| 1961-84 | 14,957 | 280,840 | 295,796 |
| 1985-89 | 31,375 | 395,440 | 426,814 |
| 1990-94 | 28,391 | 211,266 | 239,656 |

a Commercial, Indian Food, and Domestic catches combined.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Catch in number of salmon. Includes estimated number of salmon harvested for commercial production of salmon roe.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Commercial, subsistence, and personal-use catches combined.
${ }^{\text {f }}$ Subsistence catch only; commercial fishery did not operate.
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Commercial fishery operated only in District 6, the Tanana River.
${ }^{g}$ Data are preliminary.

Table 2. Toklat River fall chum salmon total spawning abundance estimates based upon surveys of the spawning area at Toklat Springs, 1974-1993.

| Year | Toklat Springs |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Floodplain Sloughs | Sushana River | Geiger Creek |  |
| 1974 | 34,348 | 3,622 | 3,828 | 41,798 |
| 1975 | 63,088 | 23,766 | 5,411 | 92,265 |
| 1976 | 38,902 | 9,845 | 4,144 | 52,891 |
| 1977 | 24,507 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 7,232 | 3,148 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 34,887 |
| 1978 | 21,144 | 5,286 | 10,571 | 37,001 |
| 1979 | 112,890 | 20,749 | 24,697 | 158,336 |
| 1980 | 9,378 | 13,556 | 3,412 | 26,346 |
| 1981 | 3,421 | 8,500 | 3,702 | 15,623 |
| 1982 | 343 | 2,429 | 852 | 3,624 |
| 1983 | 7,753 | 5,801 | 8,315 | 21,869 |
| 1984 | 7,037 | 6,167 | 3,554 | 16,758 |
| 1985 | 15,538 | 5,360 | 1,852 | 22,750 |
| 1986 | 15,615 | 1,001 | 1,360 | 17,976 |
| 1987 | 11,983 | 2,742 | 7,392 | 22,117 |
| 1988 | 11,305 | 51 | 2,080 | 13,436 |
| 1989 | 24,743 | 3,167 | 2,511 | 30,421 |
| 1990 | 17,752 | 14,415 | 2,572 | 34,739 |
| 1991 | 7,616 | 1,514 | 4,217 | 13,347 |
| 1992 | 10,649 | 1,544 | 1,877 | 14,070 |
| 1993 | 18,100 | 3,571 | 6,167 | 27,838 |

[^1]Table 3. Estimated stream life curve (SLC) and migratory time density curve (MTDC) for Toklat River fall chum salmon based upon Delta River studies (Barton 1986).

|  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Estimated SLC and MTDC for Delta River (from Barton 1986).
${ }^{b}$ Estimated SLC for Toklat Springs (Sushana River, Geiger Creek, and mainstem floodplain sloughs in vicinity of Knight's Roadhouse). Point estimates (single outlined boxes) are from Appendix A. 4.
${ }^{d}$ Estimated MTDC for Toklat Springs (Sushana River, Geiger Creek, and mainstem floodplain sloughs in vicinity vicinity of Knight's Roadhouse). Point estimates (double outlined boxes) are from Delta River SLC and MTDC.

Table 4. Sonar-estimated fish passage in the Toklat River, 1994.

| Date | Estimated Fish Passage ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  | Proportion (Both Banks) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left Bank |  | Right Bank |  | Both Banks |  |  |  |
|  | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum | Daily | Cum ${ }^{\text {E }}$ |
| 14-Aug | $49{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 49 | $5^{\text {d }}$ | 5 | 54 | 54 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 15-Aug | 76 | 125 | 7 | 12 | 83 | 137 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 16-Aug | 57 | 182 | 5 | 17 | 62 | 199 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 17-Aug | $91{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 273 | 8 | 25 | 99 | 298 | 0.00 | 0.00 |
| 18-Aug | 91 | 364 | 8 | 34 | 99 | 398 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 19-Aug | 164 | 528 | 15 | 49 | 179 | 577 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 20-Aug | 292 | 820 | 27 | 76 | 319 | 896 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 21-Aug | 179 | 999 | 17 | 93 | 196 | 1,092 | 0.00 | 0.01 |
| 22-Aug | 155 | 1,154 | 14 | 107 | 169 | 1,261 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 23-Aug | 101 | 1,255 | 9 | 117 | 110 | 1,372 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 24-Aug | 210 | 1.465 | 20 | 136 | 230 | 1,601 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 25-Aug | 265 | 1,730 | 25 | 161 | 290 | 1.891 | 0.00 | 0.02 |
| 26-Aug | 908 | 2,638 | 84 | 245 | 992 | 2,883 | 0.01 | 0.04 |
| 27-Aug | $545{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 3,183 | 51 | 296 | 596 | 3,479 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| 28-Aug ${ }^{\text {f }}$ | 534 | 3,717 | 50 | 345 | 583 | 4,052 | 0.01 | 0.05 |
| 29-Aug if | 534 | 4,250 | 50 | 395 | 583 | 4,645 | 0.01 | 0.06 |
| 30-Aug | $\square^{+, 522}{ }^{\circ}$ | 4,772 | 48 | 443. | 570 | 5,215 | 0.01 | 0.07 |
| 31-Aug | 1.106 | 5,878 | 103 | 546 | 1,209 | 6.424 | 0.02 | 0,08 |
| 01-Sep | 1,258 | 7,136 | 117 | 663 | 1,375 | 7,799 | 0.02 | 0.10 |
| 02-Sep | 1,289 | 8,425 | 120 | 783 | 1,409 | 9,208 | 0.02 | 0.12 |
| 03-Sep | 808 | 9,233 | 75 | 858 | 883 | 10,091 | 0.01 | 0.13 |
| 04-Sep | 815 | 10,048 | 76 | 933 | 891 | 10,981 | 0.01 | 0.14 |
| 05-Sep | 1.004 | 11,052 | 93 | 1,027. | 1,097 | 12,079 | 0.01 | 0.16 |
| 06-Sep | 830 | 11,882 | 77 | 1,104 | 907 | 12,986 | 0.01 | 0.17 |
| 07-Sep | 438 | 12,320 | 46 | 1,150 | 484 | 13,470 | 0.01 | 0.18 |
| 08-Sep | 254 | 12,574 | 88 | 1,238 | 342 | 13,812 | 0.00 | 0.18 |
| 09-Sep | 912 | 13,486 | 163 | 1,401 | 1,075 | 14,887 | 0.01 | 0.20 |
| 10-Sep | 899 | 14,385 | 106 | 1,507. | 1,005 | 15,892 | 0.01 | 0,21 |
| 11-Sep | 1,158 | 15,543 | 40 | 1,547 | 1,198 | 17,090 | 0.02 | 0.23 |
| 12-Sep | 1,786 | 17,329 | 76 | 1,623 | 1,862 | 18,952 | 0.02 | 0.25 |
| 13-Sep | 1,746 | 19,075 | 71 | 1,694 | 1,817 | 20,769 | 0.02 | 0.27 |
| 14-Sep | 873 | 19,948 | 44 | 1,738 | 917 | 21,686 | 0.01 | 0.29 |
| 15-Sep | 811 | 20.759 | 629 | 2,367 | 1.440 | 23,126 | 0.02 | 0.30 |
| 16-Sep | 665 | 21,424 | 489 | 2,856 | 1,154 | 24,280 | 0.02 | 0.32 |
| 17-Sep | 904 | 22,328 | 660 | 3,516 | 1,564 | 25,844 | 0.02 | 0.34 |
| 18-Sep | 772 | 23,100 | 430 | 3,946 | 1,202 | 27,046 | 0.02 | 0.36 |
| 19-Sep | 1.445 | 24,545 | 256 | 4,202 | 1,701 | 28,747 | 0.02 | 0.38 |
| 20-Sep | 3,932 | 28,477 | 119 | 4,321 | 4,051 | 32,798 | 0.05 | 0.43 |
| 21-Sep | 5,794 | 34,271 | 126 | 4,447 | 5,920 | 38,718 | 0.08 | 0.51 |
| 22-Sep | 4,905 | 39,176 | 168 | 4,615 | 5,073 | 43,791 | 0.07 | 0.58 |
| 23-Sep | 4,298 | 43,474 | 168 | 4,783 | 4.466 | 48,257 | 0.06 | 0.64 |
| $24-$ Sep | 2,759 | 46,233 | 178 | 4,961 | 2,937 | 51,194 | 0.04 | 0.67 |
| 25-Sep | 4,217 | 50,450 | 225 | 5,186 | 4,442 | 55,636 | 0.06 | 0.73 |
| 26-Sep | 3,848 | 54,298 | 348 | 5,534 | 4,196 | 59,832 | 0.06 | 0.79 |
| 27 -Sep | 4,094 | 58,392 | 303 | 5,837 | 4,397 | 64,229 | 0.06 | 0.85 |
| 28-Sep | 2,427 | 60,819 | 401 | 6,238 | 2,828 | 67,057 | 0.04 | 0.88 |
| 29-Sep | 2,360 | 63,179 | 427 | 6,665 | 2,787 | 69,844 | 0.04 | 0.92 |
| 30-Sep | 1.570 | 64,749 | 483 | 7148 | 2,053 | 71,897 | 0.03 | 0.95 |
| 01-Oct | 1,275 | 66,024 | 361 | 7,509 | 1,636 | 73,533 | 0.02 | 0.97 |
| 02-Oct | 690 | 66,714 | 318 | 7,827 | 1,008 | 74,541 | 0.01 | 0.98 |
| 03-Oct | 526 | 67,240 | 316 | 8,143 | 842 | 75,383 | 0.01 | 0.99 |
| 04-Oct | $214{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 67,454 | $270{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8,413 | 484 | 75,867 | 0.01 | 1.00 |
| Totals | 67,454 |  | 8,413 |  | 75,867 |  | 1.00 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ No species apportionment has been made.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Expanded or interpolated value.
${ }^{d}$ Daily right bank passage estimates for 14 August to 6 September were taken as the average proportion (0.085) right bank counts were of both banks when both units operated $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$ ( 20 September through 3 Ocotber).
${ }^{1}$ Did not operate due to high water and excessive debris loads in river. Daily estimates taken as average of estimated passage on 27 and 30 August.
g Daily right bank passage estimates for period 7-19 September were derived from daily temporal distribution (on respective days) observed among left bank counts
${ }^{n}$ First and third quartiles are shown as well as median day of passage.

Table 5. Daily chum and coho salmon passage at Barton Creek weir (Toklat River drainage), 1994.


- By 1200 hours on 4 October, the large schoots of coho salmon that had been holding in several pools well downstream of weir had moved up behind weir. The coho salmon were all moving upsteam at once and literally tore the weir fencing apart, creating huge holes. By 1750 hours the darnage was unrepairable and coho salmon were flooding through. It was estimated that an additional $1,500-2,000$ coho salmon passed the weir site in a $24-$ hour period subsequent to approximately 1700 hours on 4 October.

Table 6. Abundance and distrbution of chum and coho salmon at Toklat Springs based upon ground surveys conducted in mid-October 1994.

|  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

[^2]

Figure 1. Important Yukon River fall chum salmon spawning areas.


Figure 2. The Tanana River drainage.


Figure 3. The Toklat River drainage.


Figure 4. That portion of the Toklat River known as Toklat Springs. Photo by L. Barton, 27 October 1989.


Figure 5. The Toklat River and Barton Creek terminus. (Photo by L. Barton, June 1992)


Upstream view showing left (west) bank sonar fish lead in Toklat River main channel.


Downstream view with Barton Creek weir in background.

Figure 6. Toklat River sonar site and Barton Creek weir location, 1994. (Photos by R. Holder)


Figure 7. Schematic of prefabricated transducer pod using $1 \frac{1}{2}$-in $(3.8 \mathrm{~cm})$ and $\frac{3}{4}-\mathrm{in}(1.9 \mathrm{~cm})$ galvanized water pipe.


Figure 8. Main channel depth profiles made at the Toklat River sonar project site in August 1993 and 1994.


Figure 9. Daily water levels observed in the main channel Toklat River at the sonar project site, 1994.


Figure 10. Average daily percent calibration effort versus average daily percent fish passage along the left bank (top) and right bank (bottom) Toklat River, 1994.


Figure 11. Daily sonar fish passage estimates (by bank) in the Toklat River, 1994.


Figure 12. Estimated average proportion of fish passing the Toklat River sonar project site by electronic sector, 1994.


Figure 13. Average temporal migration pattern of fish passing the Toklat River sonar project site, 1994.
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Figure 14. Downstream view(s) of Toklat Springs, 27 September 1994. Photo by L. Barton.


Figure 15. Salmon counts made during ground surveys of Sushana River, Geiger Creek, and selected floodplain sloughs of Toklat Springs, October 1994.


Figure 16. Salmon counts made during ground surveys of selected floodplain sloughs of Toklat Springs, October 1994.

APPENDIX A
TOKLAT RIVER HISTORIC CHUM SALMON GROUND SURVEY DATA

APPENDIX A: TOKLAT RIVER HISTORIC CHUM SALMON GROUND SURVEY DATA
Appendix A. 1 Fall chum salmon survey observations and expanded escapement estimates for Toklat River floodplain sloughs within the index area known as Toklat Springs, 1974 - 1993. The portion of the floodplain included extencs from approximately 0.5 km upstream to 2.0 km downstream of Knight 's Roadhouse.


- cointinued -

Appendix A. 1 (page 2 of 2)

| Year | Date | Survey Type | Survey Rating | Live Fish Count | Dead Fish Count | Total <br> Number <br> Counted | Percent Live ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Percent Dead | Using 20 -year database (1974-1993) |  |  |  | Total Abunclance Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Proportion of Run ${ }^{\text { }}$ | Cumulative Estimate | Proportion of Run ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Cumulative Estimate |  |
| 1987 | 06-Oct | A | Good | 3,090 | 19 | 3,109 | 99.39\% | 0.61\% | 1.26\% | 246,746 | 59.49\% | 5,226 |  |
|  | [21-Oct] | F | Good | 7,727 | 2,775 | 10,502 | 73.58\% | 26.42\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | [24-Oct] | A | Fair | 500 | 0 | 500 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | 21/24-Oct | $F+A$ | Go-Fr | 8,227 | 2,775 | 11,002 | 74.78\% | 25.22\% | 91.81\% | 11,983 | 94.04\% | 11,699 | 11,983 |
| 1988 | 07-Sep | A | Fair | 120 | 0 | 120 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | 11-Oct | A | Fair | 12,091 | 2,134 | 14,225 | 85.00\% | 15.00\% | 64.42\% | 22,082 | 74.66\% | 18,053 |  |
|  | 19-Oct | F | Good | 3,781 | 7,005 | 10,786 | 35.05\% | 64.95\% | 99.44\% | 10,847 | 91.69\% | 11,764 | 11,305 |
| 1989 | [23/24 Oct] | F | Good | 9,281 | 14,054 | 23,335 | 39.77\% | 60.23\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | [26-Oct] | A | Good | 200 | 500 | 700 | 28.57\% | 71.43\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | 24-26 Oct | $F+A$ | Good | 9,481 | 14,554 | 24,035 | 39.45\% | 60.55\% | 98.93\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 24,295 | 95.41\% | 25,191 | 24,743 |
| 1990 | 16-19 Oct | F | $\mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{Fr}$ | 10.467 | 6,614 | 17,081 | 61.28\% | 38.72\% | 96.22\% | 17,752 | 88.75\% | 19,246 | 17,752 |
| 1991 | [17-19 Oct] | F ${ }^{\prime}$ | $\mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 5,077 | 1.608 | 6,683 | 75.97\% | 24.03\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | [21-Oct] | A) | Poor | 180 | 0 | 180 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | 17-210ct | $F+A^{1}$ | $\mathrm{Gd}-\mathrm{Pr}$ | 5,257 | 1.606 | 6,863 | 76.60\% | 23.40\% | 90.11\% | 7,616 | 89.73\% | 7,649 | 7,616 |
| 1992 | 16-Oct | F | Good | 5,738 | 751 | 6,489 | 88.43\% | 11.57\% | 47.05\% | 13,792 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 86.44\% | 7,507 ${ }^{\text {m }}$ | 10,649 m |
| 1993 | 20-24 Oct | F | Fr -Gd | 11,325 | 3,426 | 14,751 | 76.77\% | 23.23\% | 89.95\% | 16,399 | 94.44\% | 15,619 |  |
|  | 12-Nov | F+A | Pr | 07 | 625 | 722 | -- | -- | -- | 1,701 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ | -- | - - | 18,100 ${ }^{\text {n }}$ |

"Aerial ( A ) and foot ( F ) surveys.
" Porcent live fish actually observed unless otherwise indicated
' Proportion of run estimated from Toklat River MTDC; based upon the percentage of live fish actually observed and not date of the observation (ie., not average proportion of run on date of survey)
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Proportion of run estimated from Toklat River MTDC; based upon the proportion of the run observed on date of the observation
: Average percentage of live fish on date of observation, estimated from the Toklat River spawner stream-life curve (1974-87 database).
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Proportion of run estimated from Delta RIver MTDC; based upon the percentage of live fish actually observed.
*Porportion of run estimated from the Toklat River MTDC but subjectively shifted 10 days [from 29 September ( $36.20 \%$ ) to October 9 (e8, $89 \%$ )] to aceount for early timing in 1086 . Eatimate made from a single aerial survey.
I Partial or incomplete survey of index area(s).
${ }^{\mathrm{m}}$ The average of these estimates was used based upon the following assumption: Percent dead is greater in floodplain sloughs than in Sushana or Geiger Cr, i.e., earlier spawning in floodplain and no more fish were believed moving into Geiger or Sushana subsequent to ground surveys. Since it was unknown whether more fish moved into floodplain sloughs, the average was used. "Based upon results of the 12 November survey of portions of Woff and Mallard Sloughs, an expanded estimate of 1,701 chum salmon was made for these areas and is included in the total estimate $(16,399+1,701=18,100)$.

Appendix A.2. Fall chum salmon survey observations and expanded escapement estimates for Geiger Creek, 1974-1993.

| Year | Date | Survey Type | Survey Rating | Live Fish Count | Dead Fish Count | Total <br> Number <br> Counted | Percent Live ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Percent Dead | Using 20-year database (1974-1993). |  |  |  | Total Abuncance Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Proportion of Run ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cumulative Estimate | Proportion of Run ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Cumulative Estimate |  |
| 1974 | 17-Sep | A | Poor | 350 | 0 | 350 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | - | - | -- | -- |  |
| 1974 | 11-Oct | A | Fair | 2,362 | 788 | 3,150 | 74.98\% | 25.02\% | 91.62\% | 3,438 | 74.66\% | 4,219 | 3,828 |
| 1975 | 29-Sep | A | Fair | 1,885 | ? | 2,070 | 91.06\% | 8.94\% | 38.26\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 5,411 | 38.26\% | 5,411 | 5,411 |
| 1976 | 05-Oct | A | Good | 1,100 | ? | 1,250 | 88.00\% | 12.00\% | 58.48\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 2,214 | 56.46\% | 2,214 |  |
| 1976 | 13-Oct | F | Fair | 1,300 | 130 | 1,430 | 90.91\% | 8.09\% | 34.51\% | 4,144 | 80.73\% | 1,771 | 4,144 |
| 1976 | 21 -Oct | A | Good | 790 | 0 | 790 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- |  | 94.04\% | 840 |  |
| 1977 | 10-Oct | A | Poor | 1,100 | 200 | 1,300 | 84.62\% | 15.38\% | 66.37\% | 1,959 | 71.63\% | 1,815 |  |
| 1977 | 27-Oct | F | Poor | 2,000 | 1,000 | 3,000 | 86.67\% | 33.33\% | 95.29\% | 3,148 | 98.40\% | 3,109 | 3,148 |
| 1978 | 06-Oct | A | Good | 1,993 | ? | 2,278 | 87.49\% ${ }^{\text {8 }}$ | 12.51\% | 59.49\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 3,829 | 59.49\% | 3,829 |  |
| 1978 | 13-Oct | A | Fair | 1,204 | 301 | 1,505 | 80.00\% | 20.00\% | 86.06\% | 1,749 | 80.73\% | 1,864 |  |
| 1978 | 24-Oct | F | Fair | 7,000 | 3,000 | 10,000 | 70.00\% | 30.00\% | 94.60\% | 10,571 | 95.34\% | 10,489 | 10,571 |
| 1978 | 25-Oct | A | Good | 2,184 | 936 | 3,120 | 70.00\% | 30.00\% | 94.60\% | 3,298 | 95.83\% | 3,256 |  |
| 1979 | 25-Sep | A | Poor | 3,300 | $?$ | 3,545 | 93.10\% | 6.90\% | 26.12\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 13,570 | 26.12\% | 13,570 |  |
| 1979 | 04-Oct | A | Fair | 15,000 | ? | 16,947 | 88.51\% | 11.49\% | 53.42\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 31,725 | 53.42\% | 31,725 |  |
| 1979 | 10-Oct | A | Good | 10,815 | $?$ | 12,657 | 85.45\% | 14.55\% | 71.63\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 17,669 | 71.63\% | 17,669 | 24,697 |
| 1980 | 09-Oct | A | Poor | 1,200 | 300 | 1,500 | 80.00\% | 20.00\% | 88.06\% | 1,743 | 68.59\% | 2,187 |  |
| 1980 | 14-Oct | F | Good | 2,000 | 700 | 2,700 | 74.07\% | 25.03\% | 92.46\% | 2,020 | 83.76\% | 3,223 |  |
| 1980 | 24-Oct | A | Fair | 995 | 995 | 1,990 | 50.00\% | 50.00\% | 97.01\% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 2,039 | 95.34\% | 2,087 |  |
| 1980 | 30-Oct | F | Good | 1,900 | 1,400 | 3,300 | 57.58\% | 42.42\% | 96.73\% | 3,412 | 96.98\% | 3,403 | 3,412 |
| 1981 | 20-Oct | A | Good | 2,685 | 850 | 3,135 | 82.46\% | 17.64\% | 77.28\% | 4,057 | 93.04\% | 3,348 | 3,702 |
| 1982 | 21 -Oct | F | Good | 563 | 244 | 807 | 69.76\% | 30.24\% | 94.67\% | 852 | 94.04\% | 858 | 852 |
| 1983 | 19-Sep | $F+A$ | Good | 112 | 6 | 118 | 94.92\% | 5.08\% | 14.19\% | 832 | 7.92\% | 1,490 |  |
| 1883 | 18-Oct | F | ? | 3,700 | 519 | 4,219 | 87.70\% | 12.30\% | 50.74\% | 8,316 | 89.73\% | 4,702 | 8,315 |
| 1984 | 17-Oct | A | Good | 1,251 | 139 | 1,390 | 90.00\% | 10.00\% | 39.11\% | 3,654 | 87.78\% | $1,584$ | 3,584 |
| 1984 | 28-Oct | A | Poor | 2,250 | 750 | 3,000 | 75.00\% | 25.00\% | 91.60\% | 3,275 | 88.86\% | 3,104 |  |
| 1985 | 28-Oct | A | Fair | 1,350 | 337 | 1,687 | 80.02\% | 19.98\% | 86.06\% | 1,960 | 96.66\% | 1,745 | 1,852 |
| 1986 | 29-Sep | A | Good | 235 | 0 | 235 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 38.26\% | 614 |  |
| 1986 | 16-Oct | F | Fair | 900 | 387 | 1,287 | 69.93\% | 30.07\% | 94.61\% | 1,360 | 88.44\% | 1,489 | 1,360 |

Appendix A.2. (page 2 of 2)

| Year | Date | Survey Type * | Survey <br> Rating | Live Fish Count | Dead Fish Count | Total <br> Number Counted | Percent Live ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Percent Dead | Using 20-year database (1974-1993). |  |  |  | Total Abundance Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Proportion of Run ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cumulative Estimate | Proportion of Run ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Cumulative Estimate |  |
| 1987 | 22-Oct | F | Good | 5,114 | 1,536 | 6,650 | 76.90\% | 23.10\% | 89.96\% | 7,392 | 94.44\% | 7,042 | 7,392 |
| 1988 | 07-Sep | A | Fair | 25 | 0 | 25 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
|  | 20-Oct | F | Good | 1,410 | 542 | 1,952 | 72.23\% | 27.77\% | 93.83\% | 2,080 | 93.64\% | 2,085 | 2,080 |
| 1989 | 24-Oct | F | Good | 1,394 | 1,038 | 2,430 | 57.37\% | 42.63\% | 96.76\% | 2,511 | 95.34\% | 2,549 | 2,511 |
| 1990 | 17-Oct | $F$ | Good | 1,741 | 673 | 2,414 | 72.12\% | 27.88\% | 93.87\% | 2,572 | 87.78\% | 2,750 | 2,572 |
| 1991 | 18-Oct | $F$ | Fair | 1,896 | 269 | 2,165 | 87.58\% | 12.42\% | 51.34\% | 4,217 | 89.73\% | 2,413 | 4,217 |
| 1992 | 17-Oct | F | Good | 1,552 | 96 | 1,648 | 94.17\% | 5.83\% | 17.97\% | 8,171 | 87.78\% | $1,877{ }^{\text {k }}$ | 1,877 |
| 1993 | 21 -Oct | F | Good | 4,264 | 1,094 | 5,358 | 79.58\% | 20.42\% | 86.88\% | 6,167 | 94.04\% | 5,698 | 6,167 |

- Aerial ( $A$ ) and foot $(F)$ surveys.
"Percent live fish actually observed unless otherwise indicated.
Proportion of run estimated fom Toklat River MTDC; based upon the percentage of ilve fish actually observed and not date of the observation (i.e., not average proportion of run on date of survey).
${ }^{d}$ Proportion of run estimated from Toklat Rive MTDC, based upon the average proportion of the run observed on date of the observation.
* Average percentage of live fish on date of observation, estimated from the Toklat River spawner stream-life curve (1974-87 database).
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Proportion of run estimated from Delta River MTDC, based upon the average percentage of live fish actually observed
* This estimate was used as it was judged that no more fish were entering the river subsequent to the ground survey.

Appendix A.3. Fall chum salmon survey observetions and expanded escapement estimates for Sushana River, 1974-1993.

| Year | Date | Survey Type * | Survey Rating |  | Dead Fish Count | Total Number Counted | Percent Live ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Percent Dead | Using 20-year database (1974-1993) |  |  |  | Total <br> Abundance Estimate |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Proportion of Run ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Cumulative Estimate | Proportion of Run ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | Cumulative Estimate |  |
| 1974 | 11-Od | A | Fair | 2,100 | 925 | 3,025 | 69.42\% | 30.58\% | 94.76\% | 3,192 | 74.66\% | 4,052 | 3,622 |
| 1975 | 29-Sep | A | Fair | 8,280 | -- | 9,093 | 91.06\% | 8.94\% | $38.20 \%$ d | 23,766 | 38.26\% | 23,766 | 23,766 |
| 1975 | 06-Od | A | Poor | 6,325 | 225 | 6,550 | 96.56\% | 3.44\% | 7.09\% | 92,384 | 59.49\% | 11,010 |  |
| 1976 | 05-Oct | A | Good | 3,600 | ? | 4,091 | 88.00\% ${ }^{\text {\% }}$ | 12.00\% | 56.46\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 7,246 | 56.46\% | 7,248 |  |
| 1976 | 13-Od | F | Fair | 3,350 | 1,005 | 4,355 | 76.92\% | 23.08\% | 89.81\% | 4,849 | 80.73\% | 5,385 |  |
| 1976 | 21-Oct | A | Good | 4,891 | 543 | 5,434 | 90.01\% | 9.89\% | 39.06\% | 13,912 | 94.04\% | 5,778 | 9,845 |
| 1977 | 10-Od | A | Poor | 4,500 | 1,000 | 5,500 | 81.82\% | 18.18\% | 80.52\% | 6,831 | 71.03\% | 7,078 |  |
| 1977 | 19-Oct | A | Poor | 3,720 | 2,480 | 6,200 | 60.00\% | 40.00\% | 96.41\% | 6,431 | 91.69\% | 6,762 |  |
| 1977 | 26-Oct | F | Good | 4,000 | 3,000 | 7,000 | 57.14\% | 42.86\% | 96.79\% | 7,232 | 98.33\% | 7,267 | 7,232 |
| 1978 | 06-Oct | A | Good | 1,645 | ? | 1,880 | 87.49\% * | 12.51\% | 59,40\% d | 3,101 | 59.49\% | 3,101 |  |
| 1978 | 13-Oct | A | Fair | 1,112 | 278 | 1,390 | 80.00\% | 20.00\% | 86.06\% | 1,615 | 80.73\% | 1,722 |  |
| 1978 | 24-Oct | F | Fair | 3,500 | 1,500 | 5,000 | 70.00\% | 30.00\% | 94.59\% | 5,286 | 95.34\% | 5,244 | 5,280 |
| 1978 | 25-Oct | A | Good | 2,075 | 889 | 2,964 | 70.01\% | 29.99\% | 94.59\% | 3,134 | 95.83\% | 3,093 |  |
| 1979 | 25-Sep | A | Poor | 5,905 | ? | 6,343 | 93.10\% 2 | 6.90\% | 28.12\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 24,283 | 28.12\% | 24,283 |  |
| 1979 | 04-Oct | A | Fair | 20,000 | ? | 22,506 | 88,51\% * | 11.40\% | 53.42\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 42,209 | 53,42\% early | 42,290 |  |
| 1979 | 10-Od | A | Good | 12,700 | $?$ | 14,862 | 85.45\% : | 14.55\% | 71.83\% ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 20,749 | 71.63\% | 20,749 | 20,749 |
| 1980 | 09-Oct | A | Poor | 7,638 | 1,910 | 9,548 | 80.00\% | 20.00\% | 86.06\% | 11,095 | 68.59\% | 13,920 |  |
| 1980 | 14-Oct | F | Good | 8,758 | 2,778 | 11,536 | 75.92\% | 24.08\% | 90.74\% | 12,713 | 83.76\% | 13,773 |  |
| 1980 | 24-Oct | A | Fair | 4,803 | 4,802 | 9,605 | 50.01\% | 49.99\% | 97.61\% ${ }^{\text {h }}$ | 9,840 | 95.34\% | 10,074 |  |
| 1980 | 30-Od | F | Good | 8,758 | 4,128 | 12,886 | 87.97\% | 32.03\% | 95.00\% | 13,550 | 90.08\% | 13,287 | 13,550 |
| 1981 | 20-Oct | A | Good | 6,100 | 1,500 | 7,600 | 80.26\% | 19.74\% | 85.55\% | 8,884 | 93.64\% | 8,110 | 8,500 |
| 1982 | 21-Oct | F | Good | 1,325 | 1,029 | 2,354 | 58.29\% | 43.71\% | 96.90\% | 2,429 | 94.04\% | 2,503 | 2,429 |
| 1983 | 19-Sep | A | Good | 38 | 0 | 38 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 7.92\% | 480 |  |
| 1083 | 18-Oct | F | $?$ | 2,960 | 482 | 3,442 | 80.00\% | 14.00\% | 59.33\% | 5,801 | 89.73\% | 3,830 | 5,801 |
| 1984 | 13-Sep | A | Fair | 350 | 0 | 350 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- | -- | -- | -- |  |
| 1984 | 17-Oct | A | Good | 2,991 | 332 | 9,323 | 90.01\% | 9.99\% | 39.06\% | 8,507 | 87.78\% | 3,786 |  |
| 1984 | 27-Oct | F | $?$ | 3.469 | 2,491 | 5,960 | 58.20\% | 41.80\% | 96.65\% | 6,167 | 96.49\% | 6,177 | 8,167 |
| 1985 | 25-Od | F | Good | 3,356 | 1,762 | 5,118 | 65.57\% | 34.43\% | 95.48\% | 5,360 | 95.83\% | 5,341 | 6,360 |
| 1986 | 29-Sep | A | Good | 39 | 0 | 39 | 100.00\% | 0.00\% | -- | -- | 38.26\% | 102 |  |
| 1986 | 17-Oct | A | Good | 611 | 100 | 711 | 85.94\% | 14.06\% | 59.64\% | 1,192 | 87.78\% | 810 | 1,001 |
| 1987 | 20-Od | F | Good | 647 | 51 | 698 | 92.69\% | 7.31\% | 25.46\% | 2,742 | 93.64\% | 745 | 2,742 |

- corkinued -


- Aerial (A) and foot (F) surveys.
${ }^{6}$ Percent live fish actually observed uniess otherwise indicated.
- Proportion of run estimated from Toklat River MTDC; based upon the percentage of live fish actually observed and not date of the observation (i.e., not average proportion of run on date of survey).
${ }^{4}$ Proportion of run estimated from Toklat River MTDC; based upon the average proportion of the run observed on date of the observation.
: Average per
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Proportion of run estimated from Delta River MTDC; based upon the average percertage of live fish actually observed.
*This estimate was used as it was fudged that no more fish were entering the river subsequent to the ground survey.

Appendix A.4. Percent live chum salmon observed from ground surveys conducted at Toklat Springs, 1976-1993. Numbers in parentheses represent year of survey.

|  |  | Floodplain Sloughs | Sushana River |  | Geiger Crea |  | Average | Point Estimate |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 1 \\ & 2 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 19-\text { Sep } \\ & 20-\text { Sep } \end{aligned}$ | 97.40\% (83) |  |  | 94.92\% | (83) | 96.16\% | 96.16\% | $\begin{aligned} & 19-\text { Sep } \\ & 20-\text { Sep } \end{aligned}$ |
| 17 | 05-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 05-Oct |
| 18 | 06-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 06-Oct |
| 19 | 07-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 07-Oct |
| 20 | 08-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 08-Oct |
| 21 | 09-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 09-Oct |
| 22 | 10-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10-Oet |
| 23 | 11-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 11-Oct |
| 24 | 12-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 12-Oct |
| 25 | 13-Oct |  | 76.92\% | (76) | 90.91\% | (76) | 83.92\% |  | 13-Oct |
| 26 | 14-Oct |  | 75.92\% | (80) | 74.07\% | (80) | 75.00\% | 81.18\% | 14-Oct |
| 27 | 15-Oct | [34.5\% (86) omit-early] | 88.06\% | (90) |  |  | 88.06\% |  | 15-Oct |
| 28 | 16-Oct | 59.91\% (80) | 83.05\% | (92) | [69.9\% (86) ${ }^{\text {or }}$ | t-early] | 71.48\% |  | 16-Oct |
| 29 | 17-Oct |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 72.12 \% \\ & 94.17 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { (90) } \\ & (92) \end{aligned}$ | 83.15\% | 79.12\% | 17-Oct |
| 30 | 18-Oct | 62.43\% (83) | 86.00\% | (83) | $\begin{aligned} & 87.70 \% \\ & 87.58 \% \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} (83) \\ (91) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 80.93\% |  | 18-Oct |
| 31 | 19-Oct | $35.05 \%$ $(88)$ <br> $61.28 \%$ $(90)$ <br> $76.50 \%$ $(91)$ | 81.73\% | (91) |  |  | 63.67\% |  | 19-Oct |
| 32 | 20-Oct |  | 92.69\% | (87) | 72.23\% | (88) | 82.46\% | 72.80\% | 20-0ct |
| 33 | 21-Oct | 89.19\% (82) | $\begin{aligned} & 56.29 \% \\ & 88.00 \% \end{aligned}$ $71.23 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & (82) \\ & (88) \\ & (89) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 69.76 \% \\ & 79.58 \% \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & (82) \\ & (93) \end{aligned}$ | 75.68\% |  | 21-Oct |
| 34 | 22-Oct | 74.78\% (87) |  |  | 76.90\% | (87) | 75.84\% |  | 22-Oct |
| 35 | 23-Oct |  | 87.95\% | (93) |  |  | 87.95\% | 69.28\% | 23-Oct |
| 36 | 24-Oct | $\begin{array}{ll} 70.00 \% & (78) \\ 76.77 \% & (93) \\ 39.77 \% & (89) \\ \hline \end{array}$ | 70.00\% | (78) | $\begin{aligned} & 70.00 \% \\ & 57.37 \% \end{aligned}$ | (78) <br> (89) | 63.99\% |  | 24-Oct |
| 37 | 25-Oct |  | 65.57\% | (85) |  |  | 65.57\% |  | 25-Oct |
| 38 | 26-Oct | 55.66\% (85) | 57.14\% | (77) |  |  | 56.40\% | 60.65\% | 26-Oct |
| 39 | 27-Oct | [29.6\%(84)omit-outier) | 58.20\% | (84) | 66.67\% | (77) | 62.44\% |  | 27-Oct |
| 40 | 28-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28-Oct |
| 41 | 29-Oct |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29-Oct |
| $\begin{aligned} & 42 \\ & 43 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-O c t \\ & 31-O c t \end{aligned}$ | 41.57\% (80) | 67.97\% | (80) | 57.58\% | (80) | 55.71\% | $55.7 \%$ | $\begin{aligned} & 30-0 c t \\ & 31-0 c t \end{aligned}$ |
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APPENDIX B: TOKLAT RIVER CLIMATOLOGICAL AND HYOROLOGIC OBSERVATIONS
Appendix B.1. Climatological and hydrologic observations made at the Tokat River sonar project site, 1994.
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| Date | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Precip } \\ & \text { (code) } \end{aligned}$ | Cloud Cover (code) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Wind } \\ & \text { (Direction } \\ & \text { and Velocity } \end{aligned}$ | Temperatre (C) |  | Water Level (cm) |  | Surface Water veloclty (fioaling chip method) |  | Water (code) | Remarks ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Air | Water Surface | $\begin{gathered} 24 \mathrm{~h} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | relaive to zero datum | Time of day | $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |  |
| 27-Jan | 1210 | A | s | Calm | 12 | 10 |  |  | 1230 | 188 | c | Lots of sun today. Woll on west benk bar - green eyes. Bull 30 yd behind camp. |
|  | 2200 | A | o | Caim | 10 | 11 | 0.0 | -12.8 |  |  | c |  |
| 28-Jan | 1300 | A | c | Calim. | 15. | 1 |  |  | 1400 | 184 | c | Bright suiniy day; successtilly in tall $1 f$ fleacion fight bank at end of left benk couning: sange, |
|  | 2200 | A | 0 | WSW 0-5 | 11 | 11 | -24 | -15.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 29-Jan | 1200 | B | B | Calm | 12 | 10 |  |  | 1300 | 176 | c | Uight rain heay overcast; water insing slowly. |
|  | 2200 | B | 0 | $\xrightarrow{\text { Calm }}$ | ${ }^{8}$ | 11 | 1.8 | -13.4 |  |  | $\stackrel{\square}{\circ}$ |  |
| 30-Jan | 1230 | A | 8 | SW S-10 | 10 | 8 |  |  | 1800 | 184 | 0. | Bright sunny day; low passage in daylight hours, Increasing with aundown. Deploy ifgt benk sonar xducer 5 th from shore; operating on 2 degree only; no fish lead installed; xducer /a about 25 tt up stream of ifight bank lead which wes ins talled on 38 ep. |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Camm | 3 | 8 | 1.8 | -11.8 |  |  | c |  |
| 31-Jen | 1200 | A | c | Calm | 13 | 7 |  |  | 1520 | 144 |  |  |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Calm | 3 | 8 | -3.7 | -15.2 |  |  | c.C, |  |
| 01-Feb | 1300 | A | $\bigcirc$ | Camm | 7 | ${ }^{6}$ |  |  | 1300 | 192 |  |  |
|  | 2200 | A | $\bigcirc$ | Camm | 7 | 6 | -4.3 | -19.5. |  |  |  |  |
| 02-Feb | 1230 | A | 0 | Calm | 9 | 7 |  |  | 1300 | 138 | c | Fish passage low. |
|  | 2200 | B | 0 | Calm | 8 | 7 | 4.3 | -152 |  |  | c |  |
| 03-Feb | 1200 | B | $\delta$ | N5-10 | 8 | 7 |  |  | 1430 | 180 | 0 | Observed 1 chum ker behina wers working fonce paseage siaring to plek up in evering. |
|  | 2200 | A | c | N $5-10$ | 4. | 8 | 4.9 | -10.4 |  |  | l |  |
| 04-Feb | 1230 |  | s | N 5-10 | 4 | 5 |  |  | 1230 | 174 |  |  |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Calm | 7 | ${ }^{6}$ | -4.9 | -15.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 05-Feb | 1200 | A | $\bigcirc$ | NW $5-10$ | 4 | 6. |  |  | 1300 | 165 | c | Move xotice out 3 th more swift cument to move tst along. |
|  | 2200 | A | 8 | Calim | 4 | 7 | -1.8 | -17.1. |  |  |  |  |
| 06-Feb | 1200 |  | c | Calm | 10 | ${ }_{7}$ |  |  | 1400 | 170 | c | Passage increasing; observed 1 coho $\mu \mathrm{mp}$ downstream of lead and 1 about 20 ti off east bank. |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Calm | 2 | 7 | 2.4 | -14.6 |  |  | c |  |
| 07-Feb | 1800 |  | B | N30-40 | 8 | ${ }^{8}$ |  |  | 1600. | 155 | c |  |
|  | 2200 | A | 5 | N $30-35$ | 7 | 8 | -4.3 | -18.9 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 08-Feb | 1200 | A | B | N 20-30/35-40 | 8 | 5 |  |  | 1300 | 171 | 8 | Wind still howilng; has not abatod in 30 hrs . |
|  | 2200 | A | 8 | N25-30 | 4 | ${ }^{6}$ | -1.5 | -20.4 |  |  | ${ }^{\text {B }}$ |  |
| 09-Feb | 1200 | A | 8 | N 15-20. | 5 | 4 |  |  | 1200 | 174 | 8 |  |
|  | 2200 | A | 8 | N 15-20 | 3 | 7 | -0.0 | -21.3 |  |  | B |  |
| 10-Feb | 1200 | A | s | N 10-15 | 4 | 4 |  |  | 1430 | 188 | 8 |  |
|  | 2200 | A | s | N0-5 | 3 | 4 | -0.3 | -21.6 |  |  | B |  |
| 11-Feb | 1200 | A | S | N 10-15 | ${ }^{8}$ | 4 |  |  | 1430 | 159 | B | Leaves choking lead During evening eleanhg of lead could leol fencing vibrata from simon hitting downatream side, was aloo hif th log a couple tmos. Right benk tead insitalied by night bank xducer @ 2030n. |
|  | 2200 | A | c | $\mathrm{N} 20-25 / 30$ | 2 | 4 | 0.3 | - -213 |  |  | 8 |  |
| 12-Fab | 1230 | A | 8 | N 5-10 | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1800 | 182 | 8 |  |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Calm | 4 | 4 | -1.8 | -23.2 |  |  |  | Eagie on opposite alde of ivercoliecting bronchas to make a neat. <br> Move lath bank xducur out 1 n ; Oly allghty hypothermic from holet in chent wadert. Pansage |
| 13-Fob | 1200 | A | c | Calm | 2. | 3 |  |  | 1400 | 170 | 8 |  |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Calk | 4 |  | 0.0 | -23.2 |  |  |  | increabed in evening. observed 1 chum in ahallowi above xducar. Observed 2 coho on downatreem slde of lat bank lead; atill good passage; the fight benk sonap became operational $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$; hit in leg by samon white cieaning nght bank lead. |
| 14-Feb | 1200 | A | 0 | N 2 S-30 | 6 | 3 |  |  | 1300 | 171 | 8 |  |
|  | 2200 | A | $\bigcirc$ | Calm | 4 | 6 | -1.8 | -250 |  |  | 8 |  |
| 15-Feb | 1230 | A | c | N 5-10 |  | 5 |  |  | 1230 | 168 | B | became operational $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$; hit in leg by samon while cieaning ight benk lead. Leads choked whe leavet In moming: fish passege stif goods Observed 4 chum workng downstrean alde of laft biank lead. |
|  | 2200 | A | c | NO-6 | 2 | 5 | -0.3 | -25.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 10-Fab | 1300 | A | 8 | N0-6 | 8 | 4 |  |  | 1300 | 178 | 8 | downetreem eide of batibank lead. <br> A lot of leaves in leade. |
|  | 2200 | A | s | Calm | - | 4 | -0.3 | -25.6 |  |  | 8 | Young bull moose in Barton creak.Beutitis aunny day, Hit by fan in the lege whille cleening lett bunk lead. |
| 17-Feb | 1200 | A | c | Camm | 0 | 4 |  |  | 1400 | 185 | 8 |  |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Calk | 3 | 5 | $-0.8$ | -26,2 |  |  |  |  |
| 18-Feb | 1200 | A | c | Calm | 2 | 3 |  |  | 1200 | 171 | 8 | Observed 4-5chum above and 4-5 balow letl benk lead in ahallow water. |
|  | 2200 | A | s | $\mathrm{NO}-5$ | 4 | 4 | -0.9 | -27.1 |  |  | B |  |
| 19~Feb | 1300 | A | c | Calm | 7 | 4 |  |  | 1400 | 176 | B | Looks the snow clou de Passage inoressed in ovening spotted largenimber of chum In shallows above loth bank xducer, aho 5 on upstram of right baik xducer Observed 11 chum upatream of right bank xducer end 5 downtream @ 2205 h . |
|  | 2200 | A | c | NO-5 | $\therefore 0$ | , | 1.5 | -25.6: |  |  | B |  |
| 20-Feb | 1200 | A | c | Calm | -3 | 2 |  |  | 1200 | 152 | 8 |  |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Calm | -2 | 3 | -1.8 | -27.4 |  |  | B |  |
| 21-Fab |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 183 | B | Bright sunriy day; pasbegge decriend: $50+$ buillin Berran creek, Obsurved 9 churn about 200 h upstroam of night bank xducer and 4 below lead (@) 2215 h <br> Observed 14 chum end 1 coho upatream of right bank xducerend 8 chum beiow xducor. |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Calm | -3 | 3. | -0.9 | -28.3 |  |  | B |  |
| 22-Feb | 1200 | A | c | SSW 5 | 4 | 1 |  |  | 1200 |  |  |  |
|  | 2200 | A | $\bigcirc$ | Calm |  | , | -2.1 | -30.5 |  |  | B |  |
| 23-Feb | 1200 | A | c | Calm | 4 | 2 |  |  | 1200 | 143 | $8$ | Observed 14 chum and 1 coho above lead in shallowe. |
|  | 2200 | A | c | Cabn | -2 | 2. | -0.8 | -31.4. |  |  |  |  |
| 24-Feb | $\begin{aligned} & 1330 \\ & 2200 \end{aligned}$ | ${ }_{\text {A }} \mathrm{A}$ | c c | $\xrightarrow{\text { Calmm }}$ Calm | 6 -3 | 1 | -1.8 | -32.9 | 1300 | 167 | $\begin{aligned} & \mathrm{B} \\ & \mathrm{~B} \end{aligned}$ | Bright sunny day. Brown bear tracks on west bar. Counted 22 chums along ight bank to about 200 ft upatream. |
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| Date | Time | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Precip } \\ & \text { (code) } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Cloud } \\ \text { Cover } \\ \cdot(\text { code }) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Wind } \\ \text { (Direction } \\ \text { and Velocity) } \end{gathered}$ | Temperaure (C) |  | Water Level (cm) |  | Surface Water velocity (floaing chip method) |  | Water Color (code) | Remarks ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Alr | Water Surface | $\begin{gathered} 24 \mathrm{~h} \\ \text { Change } \end{gathered}$ | relaive to zero datum | Tirne of day | $\mathrm{cm} / \mathrm{sec}$ |  |  |
| 25-Fab | 1430 | A | S | 815-20 | 6 | , |  |  | 1630 | 163 | $8{ }^{8}$ | Spotted 19 chum in shalowe above |
|  | 2200 | A | 0 | \$5-10 | 4 | 1 | 0.0 | - -32.9 |  |  | 8 | Above and 5 below nith benk xduce |
| 26-Feb | 1200 | B | - | S 15-20 | 9 | 2 |  |  | 1530 | 173 | B | Saw 6 chum above left bank lead and |
|  | 2200 | B | ${ }_{0}^{\text {c }}$ | Calm | -18 | $\begin{array}{r}3 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 1.2 | -317 |  |  | 8 |  |
| 27-Feb | 1200 | A | 0 | $\mathrm{NO}-5$ | 6 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 8 | Observed 9 chum ebove lott bank xa |
|  | 2200 | A | - | Calm | 11 | 3 | -0.6. | - -32.3 |  |  |  | xducer end 9 below, \% \% © 1000 h |
| 28-Feb | 1330 2200 | A | $\bigcirc$ | NW 10-15 | ${ }_{3}$ | 4 | 0.3 | -32.0 |  |  | B | Power down both counters © 1000h |
| 29-Feb | 1200 | A | 8 | $\mathrm{N} 20-25$ | 7 | 4 |  |  |  |  | B | $\square$ |
| Average |  |  |  |  | 9 | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Precipitation code for the preceding 24-hour pertod: $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{None} ; \mathrm{B}=\operatorname{Interrittent~raln;~} \mathrm{C}=$ Continuous raln; $\mathrm{D}=$ Snow and rain mixed; $\mathrm{E}=$ Light anowfall; $\mathrm{F}=$ Continuous anowall; $\mathrm{G}=$ Thunderatorm w/ or w/o precipltation.

instinterieous water color code: $\mathrm{A}=\mathrm{Clear} ; \mathrm{B}=\mathrm{Silighty}$ murky or glacial; $\mathrm{C}=$ Moderately murky or glacial; $\mathrm{D}=$ Heally murky or glacial; $\mathrm{E}=\mathrm{Brown}$, tenic exid etail.
${ }_{4}{ }^{4}$ All hydrologic observations reter to the main channel Toklat River uniess othermise specified.
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APPENDIX C: TOKLAT RIVER SONAR CALIBRATION DATA
Appendix C.1. Oscilloscope data used to calibrate the left bank sonar counter at the Toklat River project site, 1994.
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| Date | Time Start | Duration (min) | Scope Count | Sonar Count | Adjustment Factor | PRR | Dead Range | Ctng Range | Total Range | Passage Rate (Fish/hour) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 28-A u g \\ & 29-A u g \end{aligned}$ |  |  | Sonar Powered Down Sonar Powered Down |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30-Aug | 1305 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.492 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 0 |
|  | 1510 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.492 | 3.0 | 47 | 50.0 | 0 |
|  | 2010 | 15 | 5 | 2 | 2.500 | 0.492 | 3.0 | 55 | 58.0 | 20 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 4 | 1 | 4.000 | 0.492 | 3.0 | 55 | 58.0 | 16 |
|  | 2301 | 15 | 6 | 2 | 3.000 | 0.492 | 3.0 | 55 | 58.0 | 24 |
| 31-Aug | 1 | 15 | 7 | 3 | 2.333 | 0.492 | 3.0 | 50 | 53.0 | 28 |
|  | 615 | 30 | 26 | 18 | 1.444 | 0.492 | 3.0 | 50 | 53.0 | 52 |
|  | 1420 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2.000 | 0.492 | 4.0 | 47 | 51.0 | 8 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 2 | 0 | -- | 0.492 | 4.0 | 47 | 51.0 | 8 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 3 | 1 | 3.000 | 0.492 | 4.0 | 47 | 51.0 | 12 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 12 | 2 | 6.000 | 0.492 | 4.0 | 47 | 51.0 | 24 |
|  | 2301 | 40 | 49 | 15 | 3.257 | 0.492 | 4.0 | 42 | 46.0 | 74 |
| 01-Sep | 1 | 30 | 40 | 30 | 1.333 | 0.086 | 4.0 | 42 | 46.0 | 80 |
|  | 605 | 30 | 30 | 34 | 0.882 | 0.086 | 4.0 | 42 | 46.0 | 60 |
|  | 1105 | 15 | 4 | 17 | 0.235 | 0.086 | 4.0 | 42 | 46.0 | 16 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 8 | 14 | 0.571 | 0.086 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 32 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 0.727 | 0.086 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 32 |
|  | 2101 | 40 | 52 | 83 | 0.627 | 0.086 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 78 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 79 | 67 | 1.179 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 158 |
| 02-Sep | 5 | 30 | 52 | 46 | 1.130 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 104 |
|  | 615 | 30 | 24 | 26 | 0.923 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 48 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 6 | 7 | 0.857 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 24 |
|  | 1605 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 0.625 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 20 |
|  | 1810 | 15 | 5 | 4 | 1.250 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 20 |
|  | 2105 | 30 | 49 | 50 | 0.980 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 98 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 43 | 41 | 1.049 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 86 |
| 03-Sep | 1 | 30 | 38 | 40 | 0.950 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 76 |
|  | 610 | 15 | 6 | 10 | 0.600 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 24 |
|  | 1110 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 4 |
|  | 1605 | 15 | 5 | 8 | 0.625 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 20 |
|  | 1810 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 0.778 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 28 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 28 | 31 | 0.903 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 56 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 29 | 38 | 0.763 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 58 |
| 04-Sep |  |  | 36 | 50 | 0.720 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 72 |
|  | 610 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 8 |
|  | 1125 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 0.400 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 8 |
|  | 1605 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 1.333 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 16 |
|  | 1805 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 0.571 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 16 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 9 | 11 | 0.818 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 48 | 52.0 | 36 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 37 | 59 | 0.627 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 44 | 48.0 | 74 |
| 05-Sep | 1 | 30 | 45 | 80 | 0.563 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 44 | 48.0 | 90 |
|  | 625 | 30 | 20 | 22 | 0.909 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 44 | 48.0 | 40 |
|  | 1105 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 8 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 1.333 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 16 |
|  | 2105 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 12 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 55 | 62 | 0.887 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 110 |
| 06-Sep |  | 30 | 42 | 48 | 0.875 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 84 |
|  | 610 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 20 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 0 |
|  | 1605 | 15 | 5 | 22 | 0.227 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 20 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 0 |
|  | 2105 | 15 | 4 | 7 | 0.571 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 16 |
|  | 2305 | 30 | 25 | 38 | 0.658 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 50 |
| 07-Sep | 15 | 30 | 25 | 27 | 0.926 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 50 |
|  | 615 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 4 |
|  | 1102 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 0.600 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 12 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 4 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 0 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 0 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 4 | 2 | 2.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 8 |

Appendix C.1. (page 3 of 5)

| Date | Time Start | Duration (min) | Scope Count | Sonar Count | Adjustment Factor | PRR | Dead Range | Ctng Range | Total Range | Passage Rate (Fish/hour) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 08-Sep | 10 | 30 | 3 | 1 | 3.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 45 | 50.0 | 6 |
|  | 610 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 8 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 0 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.155 | 4.0 | 45 | 50.0 | 0 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 4 |
|  | 2102 | 15 | 5 | 5 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 20 |
|  | 2320 | 30 | 29 | 46 | 0.630 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 58 |
| 09-Sep | 1 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 0.667 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 32 |
|  | 705 | 15 | 7 | 14 | 0.500 | 0.155 | 4.0 | 46 | 50.0 | 28 |
|  | 1105 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2.000 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 8 |
|  | 1605 | 30 | 19 | 21 | 0.905 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 38 |
|  | 1825 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 8 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 27 | 36 | 0.750 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 54 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 42 | 59 | 0.712 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 84 |
| 10-Sep | 1 | 30 | 48 | 65 | 0.738 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 96 |
|  | 701 | 30 | 24 | 24 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 48 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 4 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 4 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.155 | 3.5 | 46 | 49.5 | 0 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 47 | 50.5 | 8 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 24 | 42 | 0.571 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 47 | 50.5 | 48 |
| 11-Sep | 1 | 30 | 37 | 52 | 0.712 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 47 | 50.5 | 74 |
|  | 601 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 0.833 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 47 | 50.5 | 20 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 1.333 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 47 | 50.5 | 16 |
|  | 1601 | 30 | 17 | 37 | 0.459 | 0.155 | 3.5 | 47 | 50.5 | 34 |
|  | 1905 | 15 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.155 | 4.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 0 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 56 | 58 | 0.965 | 0.155 | 4.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 112 |
|  | 2301 | 40 | 102 | 141 | 0.723 | 0.155 | 4.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 153 |
| 12-Sep | 1 | 30 | 106 | 104 | 1.019 | 0.231 | 4.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 212 |
|  | 604 | 30 | 22 | 25 | 0.880 | 0.231 | 4.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 44 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1.500 | 0.231 | 4.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 12 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.231 | 4.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 8 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 6 | 5 | 1.200 | 0.231 | 4.5 | 45 | 49.5 | 24 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 78 | 53 | 1.472 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 156 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 66 | 68 | 0.971 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 132 |
| 13-Sep | 1 | 30 | 99 | 100 | 0.990 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 198 |
|  | 605 | 30 | 22 | 20 | 1.100 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 44 |
|  | 1240 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 8 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 1 | 0 | -- | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 4 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 8 | 14 | 0.571 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 32 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 55 | 38 | 1.447 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 110 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 90 | 85 | 1.059 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 180 |
| 14-Sep | 1 | 30 | 75 | 72 | 1.042 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 150 |
|  | 605 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 0.600 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 12 |
|  | 1105 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2.000 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 8 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 0 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 0 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 26 | 25 | 1.040 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 52 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 49 | 47 | 1.043 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 98 |
| 15-Sep | 1 | 30 | 29 | 30 | 0.967 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 58 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 23 | 20 | 1.150 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 46 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1.500 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 12 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 4 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 6 | 9 | 0.567 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 24 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 8 | 9 | 0.889 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 32 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 33 | 35 | 0.943 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 66 |
| 16-Sep | 1 | 30 | 32 | 35 | 0.889 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 64 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 20 | 15 | 1.250 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 40 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 0 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 4 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1.500 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 12 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 8 | 8 | 1.000 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 32 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 30 | 29 | 1.034 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 50 |


| Date | Time Start | Duration (min) | Scope Count | Sonar Count | Adjustment Factor | PRR | Dead Range | Cing <br> Range | Total Range | Passage Rate (Fish/hour) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 17-Sep | 5 | 15 | 9 | 12 | 0.750 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 36 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 21 | 14 | 1.500 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 42 |
|  | 1103 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.231 | 3.0 | 45 | 48.0 | 0 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 0 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 0.800 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 16 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 45 | 23 | 1.957 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 90 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 31 | 35 | 0.886 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 62 |
| 18-Sep | 1 | 30 | 43 | 41 | 1.049 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 86 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 35 | 30 | 1.167 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 70 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 8 | 12 | 0.667 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 32 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 0 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 9 | 16 | 0.563 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 36 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 7 | 5 | 1.400 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 28 |
|  | 2301 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 1.000 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 28 |
| 19-Sep | 1 | 15 | 5 | 3 | 1.667 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 20 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 16 | 13 | 1.231 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 32 |
|  | 1103 | 15 | 0 | 0 |  | 0.231 | 3.0 | 48 | 51.0 | 0 |
|  | 1445 | 15 | 8 | 54 | 0.148 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 46 | 49.0 | 32 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 0.667 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 46 | 49.0 | 16 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 8 | 25 | 0.320 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 46 | 49.0 | 32 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 145 | 225 | 0.644 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 46 | 49.0 | 290 |
|  | 2304 | 30 | 171 | 193 | 0.886 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 46 | 49.0 | 342 |
| 20-Sep | 1 | 30 | 205 | 256 | 0.801 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 46 | 49.0 | 410 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 76 | 85 | 0.894 | 0.231 | 3.0 | 46 | 49.0 | 152 |
|  | 1101 | 40 | 90 | 117 | 0.769 | 0.2311 | 3.0 | 46 | 49.0 | 135 |
|  | 1601 | 30 | 25 | 23 | 1.087 | 0.302 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 50 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 27 | 31 | 0.871 | 0.302 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 54 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 49 | 50 | 0.980 | 0.302 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 98 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 69 | 72 | 0.958 | 0.302 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 138 |
| 21-Sep | 1 | 30 | 79 | 65 | 0.929 | 0.302 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 158 |
|  | 601 | 45 | 94 | 72 | 1.306 | 0.302 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 125 |
|  | 1101 | 30 | 85 | 88 | 0.977 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 172 |
|  | 1601 | 30 | 66 | 99 | 0.667 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 132 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 160 | 231 | 0.593 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 320 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 213 | 204 | 1.044 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 426 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 244 | 310 | 0.787 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 488 |
| 22-Sep | 1 | 30 | 213 | 236 | 0.903 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 426 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 52 | 43 | 1.209 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 104 |
|  | 1101 | 30 | 60 | 62 | 0.958 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 120 |
|  | 1601 | 30 | 51 | 70 | 0.729 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 102 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 121 | 164 | 0.738 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 242 |
|  | $2101$ | 30 | 183 | 207 | 0.884 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 366 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 309 | 328 | 0.942 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 618 |
| 23-Sep | 1 | 30 | 223 | 248 | 0.899 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 446 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 95 | 94 | 1.011 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 190 |
|  | 1101 | 30 | 93 | 106 | 0.877 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 186 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1.000 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 12 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 54 | 59 | 0.915 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 108 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 120 | 142 | 0.845 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 240 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 142 | 145 | 0.979 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 284 |
| 24-Sep | 1 | 30 | 97 | 109 | 0.890 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 194 |
|  | 601 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 1.333 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 16 |
|  | 1116 | 30 | 47 | 52 | 0.904 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 94 |
|  | 1501 | 30 | 50 | 68 | 0.735 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 100 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 64 | 87 | 0.736 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 128 |
|  | 2101 | 40 | 194 | 323 | 0.601 | 0.204 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 291 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 96 | 112 | 0.857 | 0.397 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 192 |
| 25-Sep | 1 | 30 | 138 | 172 | 0.302 | 0.397 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 276 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 41 | 46 | 0.691 | 0.397 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 82 |
|  | 1101 | 30 | 53 | 62 | 0.855 | 0.397 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 106 |
|  | 1501 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 0.750 | 0.397 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 24 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 88 | 92 | 0.357 | 0.397 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 176 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 223 | 203 | 1.099 | 0.397 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 446 |
|  | 2301 | 40 | 251 | 180 | 1.354 | 0.397 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 377 |


| Date | Time Start | Duration (min) | Scope Count | Sonar Count | Adjustment Factor | PRR | Dead Range | Cling Range | Total Range | Passage Rate (Fish/hour) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26-Sep | 1 | 30 | 164 | 179 | 0.916 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 328 |
|  | 601 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 0.833 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 20 |
|  | 1101 | 30 | 44 | 64 | 0.688 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 88 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 4 | 3 | 1.333 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 16 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 46 | 54 | 0.852 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 92 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 141 | 166 | 0.849 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 282 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 379 | 431 | 0.879 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 41 | 46.0 | 758 |
| 27-Sep | 1 | 30 | 242 | 272 | 0.890 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 41 | 46.0 | 484 |
|  | 801 | 30 | 23 | 18 | 1.278 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 41 | 46.0 | 46 |
|  | 1101 | 30 | 19 | 19 | 1.000 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 41 | 46.0 | 38 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1.000 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 42 | 47.0 | 12 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 30 | 22 | 1.364 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 42 | 47.0 | 60 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 149 | 160 | 0.931 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 42 | 47.0 | 298 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 277 | 308 | 0.899 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 42 | 47.0 | 554 |
| 28-Sep | 1 | 30 | 181 | 212 | 0.854 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 42 | 47.0 | 362 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 34 | 30 | 1.133 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 42 | 47.0 | 68 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 6 | 8 | 0.750 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 42 | 47.0 | 24 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 4 | 18 | 0.222 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 16 |
|  | 1825 | 15 | 8 | 14 | 0.571 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 32 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 43 | 46 | 0.935 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 86 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 108 | 115 | 0.939 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 216 |
| 29-Sep | 1 | 30 | 79 | 82 | 0.963 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 158 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 42 | 18 | 2.333 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 84 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1.000 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 12 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2.000 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 8 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 32 | 33 | 0.970 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 64 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 121 | 137 | 0.883 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 242 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 137 | 148 | 0.926 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 274 |
| 30-Sep | 1 | 30 | 68 | 76 | 0.895 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 136 |
|  | 701 | 30 | 19 | 17 | 1.118 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 38 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 0.600 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 12 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 0 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 0.750 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 12 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 64 | 64 | 1.000 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 128 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 73 | 86 | 0.849 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 146 |
| 01-Oct | 1 | 40 | 118 | 153 | 0.771 | 0.261 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 177 |
|  | 601 | 30 | 59 | 63 | 0.937 | 0.370 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 118 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 7 | 7 | 1.000 | 0.370 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 28 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.370 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 0 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.370 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 0 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 5 | 7 | 0.714 | 0.370 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 20 |
|  | 2301 | 40 | 51 | 33 | 1.545 | 0.370 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 77 |
| 02-Oct | 1 | 30 | 37 | 35 | 1.057 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 74 |
|  | 601 | 15 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 0 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 8 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1.000 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 12 |
|  | 1801 | 30 | 15 | 22 | 0.682 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 30 |
|  | 2101 | 30 | 20 | 26 | 0.769 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 40 |
|  | 2301 | 30 | 26 | 36 | 0.722 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 52 |
| 03-Oct | 1 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 0.667 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 16 |
|  | 601 | 15 | 4 | 4 | 1.000 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 16 |
|  | 1101 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 8 |
|  | 1601 | 15 | 1 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 4 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 7 | 11 | 0.636 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 28 |
|  | 2101 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 0.667 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 16 |
|  | 2301 | 15 | 8 | 14 | 0.571 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 32 |
| 04-Oct | 1 | 15 | 7 | 12 | 0.583 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 28 |
|  | 601 | 15 | 4 | 6 | 0.667 | 0.195 | 5.0 | 44 | 49.0 | 16 |
| Total | 304 | 6,705 | 10,838 | 12,366 | 0.876 |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C.2. Oscilloscope data used to calibrate the right bank sonar counter at the Tokdat River project site, 1994.

| Date | Time Start | Duration | Scope Count | Sorar Count | Adjustment Factor | PRR | Dead Range | Cting Range | Total Range | Passage Rate (Fish/hour) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06-Sep | 2345 | 10 | 2 | 18 | 0.111 | 0.155 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
| 07-Sep | 640 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.155 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 2340 | 15 | 3 | 6 | 0.500 | 0.200 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
| 08-Sep | 630 | 30 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.200 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
| 09-Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 10-Sep | 40 | 15 | 4 | 61 | 0.066 | 0.300 | $t .0$ | 20 | 21 | 16 |
|  | 645 | 15 | 2 | 8 | 0.250 | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
|  | 2335 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 0.250 | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
| 11-Sep | 635 | 25 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
| 12-Sep | 45 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 101 | 15 | 1 | 5 | 0.200 | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 640 | 15 | 2 | 5 | 0.400 | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
| 13-Sep | 40 | 20 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 101 | 10 | 1 | 2 | 0.500 | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 6 |
|  | 640 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
| 14-Sep | 40 | 20 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 630 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 0.375 | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
| 15-Sep |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16-Sep | 35 | 15 | 5 | 16 | 0.313 | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
|  | 645 | 15 | 9 | 21 | 0.429 | 0.300 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 36 |
|  | 2335 | 15 | 7 | 12 | 0.583 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 28 |
| 17-Sep | 640 | 20 | 3 | 5 | 0.600 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 9 |
| 18-Sep | 45 | 15 | 8 | 11 | 0.727 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 19 | 20 | 32 |
|  | 640 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 19 | 20 | 8 |
| 19-Sep | 45 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 545 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
| 20-Sep | 45 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
|  | 645 | 15 | 3 | 8 | 0.375 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
|  | 1501 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 10 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 1801 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 2335 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 0.333 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
| 21-Sep | 40 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
|  | 701 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 1145 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 1845 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
|  | 2335 | 15 | 1 | 3 | 0.333 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
| 22-Sep |  | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 |  |
|  | 645 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 1845 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 2335 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 0.750 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
| 23-Sep | 45 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
|  | 645 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
|  | 1545 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 2340 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1.500 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
| 24-Sep | 45 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 630 | 15 | 4 | 5 | 0.800 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 16 |
|  | 1930 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 2335 | 15 | 7 | 6 | 1.167 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 28 |
| 25-Sep | 45 | 15 | 5 | 6 | 0.833 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
|  | 630 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 1845 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 2345 | 10 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |

- continued -

Appendix C.2. (page 2 of 2)

| Date | Time Start | Duration | Scope Count | Sonar Count | Adjustment Factor | PRR | Dead Range | Ctng Range | Total Range | Passage Rate (Fishhour) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 26-Sep | 45 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 630 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
|  | 1845 | 13 | 1 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 5 |
|  | 2340 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1.500 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
| 27-Sep | 43 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
|  | 845 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 1843 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 2335 | 15 | 2 | 2 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
| 28-Sep | 43 | 15 | 3 | 4 | 0.750 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
|  | 643 | 15 | 1 |  | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 1901 | 15 | 2 | 1 | 2.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
|  | 2340 | 15 | 8 | 7 | 1.143 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 32 |
| 29-Sep | 43 | 15 | 7 | 4 | 1.750 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 28 |
|  | 643 | 15 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 1835 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 2343 | 15 | 10 | 12 | 0.833 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 40 |
| 30-Sep | 43 | 15 | 3 | 2 | 1.500 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
|  | 735 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 1835 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 2340 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
| 01-Oct | 101 | 15 | 5 | 9 | 0.556 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 20 |
|  | 640 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21. | 8 |
|  | 1725 | 15 | 0 | 0 | -- | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 2345 | 10 | 2 | 5 | 0.400 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
| 02-Oct | 40 | 15 | 3 | 5 | 0.600 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
|  | 625 | 15 | 7 | 59 | 0.119 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 28 |
|  | 1910 | 30 | 5 | 39 | 0.154 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 12 |
|  | 2335 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
| 03-Oct | 101 | 15 | 7 | 9 | 0.778 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 28 |
|  | 635 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0.78 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 0 |
|  | 1916 | 15 | 1 | 1 | 1.000 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 4 |
|  | 2325 | 15 | 2 | 4 | 0.500 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
| 04-Oct | 30 | 15 | 5 | 7 | 0.714 | $0.400$ | 1.0 | 20 | 21 |  |
|  | 635 | 15 | 2 | 3 | 0.667 | 0.400 | 1.0 | 20 | 21 | 8 |
| Total | 84 | 1,293 | 192 | 437 | 0.439 |  |  |  |  |  |

## APPENDIX D

## TOKLAT RIVER TEMPORAL SONAR COUNT DATA

APPENDIXD: TOKLATRIVER TEMPORAL SONAR COUNTDATA
Appendix D.1. Temporal distribution of daily sonar counts along the lef bank Toklat fiver, 1994.

| Printer Printout Time |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 14-Aug | 15-Aug | 16-Aug | 17-Aug | 18-Aug | 19-Aug | 20-Aug | 21-Aug | 22-Aug | 23-Aug | 24-Aug | 25-Aug | 26-Aug | 27-Aug | 28-Aug | 29-Aug | 30-Aug | 31-Aug |
| 0100 |  | 5 |  |  | $\dagger$ | 0 | 21 | 23 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 29 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 62 |
| 0200 . |  | $\square 1$ | ¢ |  | 5 | $\bigcirc$ | \% 4 | $\bigcirc 17$ | $\bigcirc$ | \% ${ }^{4}$ | \% 3 | $\bigcirc 10$ | \% 27 | ¢ 2.25 | \%) |  | \% $\%$ | $\bigcirc 1.152$ |
| 0300 | A total or 34 | 2 | + 3 |  | 3 | 0 | . 5 | -15 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 7 | 5 | - 29 |  |  | A Coth of 318 | 32 |
| 0400 | fish were. | 2 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\square$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | \% $\times 16$ | 1 | \% 12 | \% 1.15 | 7 | 010 | \% 23 |  | W\% | ratwere | 02 |
| 0500 | stimated | 3 | 1 | A total ot 77 | 4 | 1 | 6 | - 16 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 9 | 8 | $\begin{array}{r}46 \\ \hline 4\end{array}$ |  |  | sotimmed | 45 |
| 0600 | for this tine | 5 | \% $\%$ \% | rehwere. | 2 | 1, 1 , 0 | $\square \square$ |  | 1. | \% $\quad$ O $\quad 0$ | \% $\quad 1 \times 13$ | \%10 23 | + $\quad 12$ |  |  |  | tortis time: | 21 |
| 0700 | prriod. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 2 | 6 | stimuted | 2 | 2 | 4 | 13 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 10 | 1 | 19 | 534 filh | 534 fith | priod. ${ }^{\text {! }}$ | 63 |
| 0800 |  | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | tor thit time | 9 | $\bigcirc$ | W 12 | 3 | - 13 | $0 \times 4$ | ¢ 18 | $\theta$ | $\$$ | $\cdots$ | ¢thtured | ominued | Pan | 79 |
| 0000 |  | , | - 8 | period. ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 7 | 0 | 13 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 8 | 40 |  | pming | puming |  | 24 |
| 1000 |  |  | $\therefore$ \%2 |  | 2 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 18 4 | \% 2 | $\square 1$ | 3 | \% 8.25 |  | (iverge of | (averite of | \% | \% 29 |
| 1100 |  | 3 | … 1 |  | 1 | 4 | 18 | 4 | 6 | $\cdots$ | 1 | 3 | + 14 | Atotalo 2997 | Aus $27 \times 309$ | A4S 27830) |  | 8 |
| 1200 |  | 2 | + 1 |  | \% 19 | $\square$ | \% 17 | \% | \% 9 | \% 4 | 5 | 15 | \% 20.20 | fish were |  |  | $\square$ | 8 |
| 1300 |  | 3 | 1 |  | 8 | + 8 | 12 | 3 | + 3 | 1 | 6 | 12 | 28 | stimated |  |  | 4 | 7 |
| 1400 |  | 1 | $\bigcirc 1$ | \% | 6 | \% 14 | 12 | 3 | ¢ 6 | $\bigcirc$ | - ${ }^{6}$ | 17 | + $\quad .26$ | for thit trae) |  | \% $\%$ \% | 0 | 5 |
| 1500 |  | 1 | 1 |  | 1 | -9 | 12 | 3 | - 1 | 5 | 3 | 8 | 72 | period dt |  |  | 12 | ${ }^{\circ}$ |
| 1000 |  | 1 | $\cdots \quad 1$ |  | 4 | $\square 8$ | \% 17 |  | \% 2 | 06 | $\bigcirc$ | - 3 | - 28 |  |  |  | $\therefore 0$ | 28 |
| 1700 |  | 7 | - 1 |  | 1 | 25 | 10 | 1 | -15 | 3 | 2 | 2 | $\begin{array}{r}15 \\ \hline \quad 15\end{array}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r}4 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 8 |
| 1800 | 8 | 0 |  |  | 1 | - 20 | \% 13 | 1 | \% 12 | 6 | \% 2 | 4 | $\bigcirc \bigcirc 55$ |  |  | $\square$ | 0 | 5 |
| 1900 | 1 | 11 | 1 |  | 2 | 2 | 22 | 2 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 62 |  |  |  | 2 | 15 |
| 2000 | 2 | 4 | $\bigcirc$ | 1 | 2 | $\bigcirc 7$ | \% 14 | $\square$ | \%) 19 | \% 3 |  | \% 1.4 | \% 0.41 |  |  | \% | $\square$ | $\bigcirc 30$ |
| 2100 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 7 | 5 | 8 | 28 | 14 | 72 |  |  |  | 25 | 70 |
| 2200 | 0 | $\because 1$ | 2 | \% 3 | 1 | \% 17 | 29 | 13 | \% 20 | Q 0 | $\bigcirc 30$ | \% 25 | \% 102 | \% |  | क\% | 16. | 00 |
| 2300 | 0 | 17 | , | 3 | ${ }^{6}$ | 23 | 11 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 8 | 12 | +190 |  |  |  | 53 | 109 |
| 2400 | 3 | 1 | $\therefore 1$ | 5 | 1 | 15 | 12 | 0 | \% 3 | 8 | \% 24 | 18. | \% 112 |  |  |  | \% 76 | 140 |
| \% Total | \% 49 | . 76 | $\bigcirc 57$ | 91. | $0 \times 1$ | \% $\quad 164$ | \% $2 \times 292$ | 1778 | W\% 155 | \% 101. | 20. 210 | , 2685 | 908 | 7, \%. 5451 | 2. 6.634 | 6, 6.634 | 522 | $1 ; 106$ |
| Percont | $0.1 \%$ | 0.1\% | $0.1 \%$ | 0.1\% | $0.1 \%$ | 0,3\% | 0,4\% | 0.3\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 0.3\% | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 0.6\% | 0.8\% | 1.7\% |

- Intial hookup of sonar counter al 1800 hours Estimated passage based upon proportion observed for this time block on 15 August.
- Powerad down between $0100-2000$ hours due to extremely heavy silk storm. Estimatad passage based upon proportion obsorvad for this time block on 18 August.
"Powerad down dua to hlgh watar
Evilmetad passage based upon average proportion observed during these time blookn for fird 3 days after the high weter everk ( $\mathbf{3 1}$ Auguit $\mathbf{- 2}$ Seplember).
- Powered down lor season al tooo hounc. Esilmated parsage based upon the average proponion observed for this ime blook during 1-3 October
"Totals only Inoluda day" with 24 hour counts (lia., excludes 14, 17, 27-30 Auguit, and 4 October)
- Tatal estimated passage, Including days whit expanded counts

AppendlxD.1. (page 2013 )

| Printer Printout Time | 01-Sep | 02-Sep | 03-Sep | 04-Sep | 05-Sep | 06-Sep | 07-Sep | 08-Sep | 0S-Sep | 10-Sep | 11-Sep | 12-Sep | 13-Sep | 14-Sep | 15-Sep | 16-Sep | 17-Sep | 18-Sep |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0100 | 103 | 122 | 80 | 85 | 70 | 74 | 63 | 9 | 81 | 105 | 92 | 172 | 215 | 105 | 51 | 43 | 51 | 78 |
| 0200 | 83 | 153 | 81. | 47 | $\bigcirc 82$ | 131 | 60 | 8 | 54 | 128. | 112 | 166. | 242 | 89 | 46 | 69 | 66 | 68 |
| 0300 | 78 | 118 | 84 | 15 | 91 | 78 | 42 | 17 | 32 | 114 | 101 | 139 | 170 | 90 | 68 | 68 | 62 | 63 |
| 0400 | 49 | 123 | $\bigcirc 53$ | \% 55 | 94 | 108 | 43 | 20 | 72 | . 117 | 64 | 127 | 126 | 115 | 69 | 51 | 81. | \% 58 |
| 0500 | 57 | 67 | 43 | 42 | 47 | 93 | 64 | 18 | 66 | 61 | 62 | 148 | 163 | 83 | 85 | 38 | 83 | 85 |
| 0600 | 93 | 68 | - 65 | 32 | 46 | 39 | 41. | $\bigcirc 14$ | 44. | 54 | 64 | 88 | 63 | 48 | - $\times 27$ | \% 0 47 | 54 | \% 58 |
| 0700 | 63 | 46 | 27 | 53 | 57 | 50 | 3 | 2 | 44 | 40 | 14 | 38 | 52 | 13 | 36 | 28 | 30 | 45 |
| 0800 | 41. | 74 | 10 | 14 | 13 | 14 | - 15 | 3 | 18. | 18. | 0 | 38 | 18 | 3. | $\bigcirc 14$ | 2 | 3 | 2 |
| 0900 | 89 | 39 | 10 | 17. | 21. | 14 | 4 | 0 | 54 | 28 | 4 | 19. | 18 | 10 | 15 | 17 | 10 | 0 |
| 1000 | \% 55 | 36 | 40 | 13 | 14 | 19 | 5 | 0 | \% 11 | 14. | 0 | 10 | 20 | 6 | 8. | $\square$ | 3 | 37 |
| 1100 | 34 | 14 | 10 | 14 | 24 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 13 | 26 | 12 | 16 | 20 | 2 | 14 | 1 | 9 | 28 |
| 1200 | 16 | $\bigcirc 22$ | 4 | 13 | 32 | 1 | ¢ 5 | $\square 1$ | 15. | $\bigcirc 8$ | 7 | \% 15 | 8 | 10 | 30 | \% \% 11 | \%) 10 | \% 20 |
| 1300 | 24 | 22 | 11 | 33 | 19 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 22 | 5 | 0 | 27 | 4. | 1 | 11 | 2 | 18. | 12 |
| 1400 | 8 | 25 | 4 | 26 | 29 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 16 | 0 | 1 | $\bigcirc 51$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | , 23 | 16 | 0 | 29 |
| 1500 | 4 | 31 | 4 | 21. | 1 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 8 | 11 | 12 | 18 | 5 | 5 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 11 |
| 1600 | 8 | 20 | 14 | 23 | 16 | $\square 13$ | 4 | 0 | \% 19 | 13. | 3 | 15. | $\square$ | 3 | 16. | 0 | 2 | 5 |
| 1700 | 11 | 7 | 12 | 29 | 22 | 7 | 18 | 0 | 46 | 3 | 13 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 10 | 14 |
| 1800 | 12 | 22 | 1 | \% 30 | 28 | 7 | \%3 | 1 | $\bigcirc$ | 7 | $\bigcirc 18$ | 15 | 111 | 1 | 31 | + 15 | 45 | [417 |
| 1900 | 47 | 20 | 18 | 24 | 7 | 8 | 6 | 5 | 4 | 8 | 21 | 28 | 26 | 5 | 13 | 37 | 53 | 20 |
| 2000 | 32 | 25 | 25 | 20 | 21. | 9 | +3 | 3 | 20 | \% 11 | 28 | +63 | 35 | 9 | 22 | 4 | \% 14 | 12 |
| 2100 | 31 | 13 | 41 | 41 | 24 | 8 | 3 | 12 | 22 | 3 | 67 | 119 | 41 | 28 | 34 | 1 | 32 | 7 |
| 2200 | 86 | 71 | 54 | \%\% 52 | \% 37 | 21. | $\square 8$ | 128 | 42 | $\bigcirc 35$ | 199 | ¢123. | \% 128 | 49. | (1) 44 | 55. | , 111 | -39. |
| 2300 | 111 | 71 | 50 | 62 | 92 | 71 | 24 | 40 | 125 | 41 | 109 | 177 | 184 | 95 | 83 | 64 | 75 | 51 |
| 2400 | 125 | 80 | 71 | 54 | 117 | 57. | . 12 | 72 | $\bigcirc 100$ | 45 | 157. | $\bigcirc 186$ | 182 | 80 | \% 78 | 86 | 52. | 25 |
| Total | 1,258 | 1,289 | 808 | 815 | 1,004 | 830 | 438 | 254 | 012 | \%889 | 11150 | 1,788. | 1,746 | \% 873 | 81.1 | 665 | 904 | \% 772 |
| Percent: | 1.9\% | 2.0\% | 1.2\% | 1.3\% | 1.5\% | 1.3\% | . $0.7 \%$ | 0.4\% | 1.4\% | 14\% | 1.8\% | 2.7\%. | 27\% | 103\% | 12\% | 1.0\% | 1, 1.4\% | 1.2\% |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | continued |  |

[^3]| Printer Printout Time | 19-Sep | 20-Sep | 21-Sep | 22-Sep | 23-Sep | 24-Sep | 25-Sep | 26-Sep | 27-Sep | 28-Sep | 29-Sep | 30-Sep | 01-Oct | 02-Oct | 03-Oct | 04-Oct | Total ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | passage by time |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0100 | 36 | 316 | 181 | 344 | 460 | 168 | 235 | 278 | 418 | 325 | 164 | 129 | 167 | 78 | 28 | 32 | 5,086 | 0.078 |
| 0200 | 24. | 300 | 152 | 270 | 480 : | 140 | 225. | 205. | 435 | 280 | 122 | 103 | 134 | 47. | 46 | \% 21 | 4,848 | 0.075 |
| 0300 | 10 | 294 | 192 | 165 | 503 | 117 | 213 | 240 | 300 | 215 | 154 | 96. | 134 | 18 | 24 | 17 | 4,194 | 0.065 |
| 0400 | 24 | 216 | 147 | 122 | 299. | 72 | 243 | 158 | 265. | 182 | 150 | 44 | 157. | 25 | \% 16 | 9 | 3.677 | 0.057 |
| 0500 | 22 | 173 | 137 | 100 | 232 | 46 | 99 | 113 | 259 | 166 | 91. | 65 | 86 | 23 | 24 | 18 | 3,060 | 0.047 |
| 0600 | 28 | 138 | 96 | 101 | 184. | \% 22 | 108 | 55 | 159 | $\bigcirc 85$ | 48. | \% 70 | 18 | \%) 12 | \% 17 | 8 | K,288 | 0,035 |
| 0700 | 24 | 143 | 149 | 157 | 160 | 18 | 81 | 30 | 146 | 64 | 83 | 44 | 71 | 6 | 10 | 9 | 1,947 | 0.030 |
| 0800 | 1 | 75 | 57. | 33. | 37. | 1 | 30. | 19 | 41 | 60. | 21. | 29 | 54 | \% 21 | 3 | 2 | 948 | 0.015 |
| 0900 | 4 | 252 | 149 | 55 | 22 | 50 | 175 | 154 | 52 | 159 | 178 | 92 | 62 | 29 | 34 | 8 | 1,944 | 0.030 |
| 1000 | 3 | 349 | 220. | 253. | 188 | 101 | \% 145 | 92 | 1116 | 108 | 145. | 99 | , \% . 47 | + 40 | \% 1 \% 18 | 9 | 2,254 | 0.035 |
| 1100 | 1 | 229 | 212 | 223 | 191 | 98 | 68 | 53 | 68 | 48 | 79 | 25 | 22 | 15 | 8 |  | 1,641 | 0.025 |
| 1200 | 1 | 126 | 4. 161 | 107 | 148. | 74 | 70 | 71. | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | +10. | 7 | \% $\square^{\circ}$ Q | 110 | \% |  | 1193: | 0.018 |
| 1300 | 8 | 214 | 170 | 101 | 57 | 48 | 88 | 85 | 12 | $\cdots 10$ | 42 | 11 | 2 | 6 | - 11 | A total or 81 | 1,175 | 0.018 |
| 1400 | 8 | \% 140 | 242 | 190 | 7. | 14 | 61. | 33. | +29 | ¢ 11 | 26. | $\bigcirc 15$ | $\square$ | $\theta$ | \%, | Fith weres | 1,101 | 0.018 |
| 1500 | 9 | 66 | 174 | 152 | 43 | 48 | 27 | 59 | 41 | 5 | 51 | 11 | 2 | 18 | $\cdots$ | ertimed | 087 | 0.015 |
| 1600 | 25 | 56 | 155 | 122 | 21 | 111 | 33 | 30 | 34 | 4 | 17 | 10 | $\bigcirc$ | \% 6 | $\square 2$ | (or thin tinse | 919 | 0,014 |
| 1700 | 42 | 48 | 104 | 77 | 18 | 103 | 58 | 101 | 27 | 4 | 32 | 13 | 0 | 8 | + 11 | pariod: | 957 | 0.015 |
| 1800 | 25 | 85 | 452. | 202 | 82 | 123 | 94. | 75 | 56 | +19 19 | 43. | 17. | 1. | \% 28 | \% $\quad 24$ |  | 1,896 | 0.026 |
| 1900 | 38 | 76 | 430 | 185 | 125 | 92 | 152 | 101 | 81 | 54 | 68 | 22 | 8 | 34 | 23 |  | 1,962 | 0.030 |
| 2000 | 182 | \% 97 | 290 | 257. | 172. | +124 | 261. | 142 | 109 | 35. | 62 | 50 | 5. | 31. | +1\% 40 |  | 2328 | 0,038 |
| 2100 | 160 | 83 | 344 | 262 | 218 | 374 | 464 | 282 | 242 | 83 | 230 | 134 | 13 | 52 | 64 |  | 3,748 | 0.058 |
| 2200 | 281 | 96 | 394 | 277 | 173 | 409 | 394 | 257 | 285 | 90. | 185 | 145. | \% 38 | 37. | + 419 |  | 4,585 | 0.071 |
| 2300 | 160 | 200 | 680 | 550 | 195 | 208 | 531 | 573 | 456 | 193 | 188 | 185 | 81 | 76 | - 39 |  | 0,168 | 0.095 |
| 2400 | 348 | 180 | 500 | 600 | 302 | 197 | 368 | 642 | 442 | 102 | 198 | 145 | 63 | 62 | 88 |  | 6,102 | 0.095 |
| Total | 1,445 | 3,932 | 5,794 | 4,905. | 4,298 | 2,759 | 4,217 | 3,848 | 4,094 | 2,427. | 2,360 | 1,570 | \%1,275 | 680 | 526 | 2214 | $\begin{gathered} 64,968{ }^{2} \\ 67,454 \end{gathered}$ |  |
| Paroent | 2.2\% | 8.1\% | 8.9\% | 7.6\% | 0.6\% | 4.2\% | 6.5\% | 6.9\% | 0.3\% | \% 3.7\% | 3.6\% | 2.4\% | 2,0\% | \% $111 \%$ | 0.8\% | 0.3\% | 100.0\% |  |

- Intial hooklp of sonar counter at 1800 hours. Estimated passaga based upon proportion observed tor this tlmablock on 15 August.
- Powered down between 0100-2000 hours due to extremely heavy sill storm. Estimated passage based upon proportion observed for this time block on 18 August.
${ }^{4}$ Powered down due to high witer
'Estlmated passage based upon average proportlon observed during these time blocks for first 3 days after the high water evert (31 August - 2 September),
: Powered down for season at 1000 hours. Estimated passage based upon the average proporion observed for this time block during 1-3 October.
Tdal only include days with 24 hout courts (l.., oxdudes 14, 17, 27-30 August, and 4 October)
Tdal estimeted passage, including days wh expanded counts.

Appendix D.2. Temporal distribution of daily sonar courts along the IIght bank Toklat River, 1994


Percent

- Totals only include days with 24 hours of counts (i.e., September 20 thorugh October 3). Double outlined areas indicate when sonar was not operating

Estimated passage based upon the average proportion observed for this time block during y-3 October.
${ }^{\text {d Estimated dally passage during mis sing time periods on right bank were estimet od trom the dally temporal distrbution (on respective days) ob served among left bank courts. }}$

Appendix D.2. (page 2 of 2)

"Totals only include days with 24 hours of counts (i.e., Soptember 20 thorugh Octobor 3). Doublo outined areas indibate when eonar was not operating.
${ }^{6}$ Estimated passage based upon the average proportion obsenved lor this tima block during 1-3 October.
"Eslimated daily passage during missing time periods on fight bank were estimeted from the dally temporal distribution (on respective days) observed among lett bank counts.


[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Regional Information Report Series was established in 1987 to provide an information access system for all unpublished divisional reports. These reports frequently serve diverse ad hoc informational purposes or archive basic uninterpreted data. To accommodate timely reporting and recently collected information, reports in this series undergo only limited internal review and may contain preliminary data; this information may be subsequently finalized and published in the formal literature. Consequently, these reports should not be cited without prior approval of the author or the Commercial Fisheries Management and Development Division.

[^1]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Expanded from observations made under "poor" survey conditions.

[^2]:    * Survey observations included in totals.

[^3]:    - Intial hookup of sonar counter at 1800 houra Estimated passaga based upon proportion observed for this time block on 15 August
    "Fowered down batween 0100 -2000 houre due to extremaly hoavy sill storm. Estimatod passage based upon propartion observed for this time block on 18 August.
    ${ }^{1}$ Fowered down due to high weter
    Estimeted passage based upon average proporton observed duing these ilme blooks for life 3 days after the high water evert (31 August - 2 September)
    *Powerad down for season at 1000 hours. Estlmated passaga based yon the average proportion observed for this tlme block during $1-3$ October.
    *Totals only include days with 24 hour courts (i.e., excludes 14, 17, 27-30 August, and 4 October)
    *Tatal estimeted passage, inctuding days whi expanded courts.

