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#### Abstract

Numbers of sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka smolt emigrating to sea from Ugashik River in Bristol Bay, Alaska, were estimated from sonar counts and age-weight-length samples from midMay to mid-June in 2002. Hydroacoustic equipment was used to estimate total smolt biomass, and age-weight-length samples were used to convert biomass estimates into numbers of smolt by age group. Estimated numbers of smolt emigrating from Ugashik River were 47,627,642. Age-1. smolt, the progeny of 2000 spawners, predominated at Ugashik River (81\%).

In the spring of 2002, the following changes were made to the Bristol Bay smolt sonar studies as a result of a program review that was conducted during the winter of 2001/2002: (1) Discontinued use of the Bendix upward-looking smolt sonar system on the Kvichak River. Continue development of the side-looking smolt sonar system and collection of smolt age, length, and weight data at Kvichak River. (2) Collect one last year of Bendix upward-looking smolt sonar data at Ugashik River and discontinue this project in 2003. (3) Discontinue the Egegik River smolt sonar project. (4) If the side-looking smolt sonar methodology being developed at Kvichak River is successful, the department will consider bringing the Egegik and Ugashik River smolt sonar projects back on line with similar side-looking sonar systems as funding and man power allow.


KEY WORDS: smolt, sockeye salmon, Oncorhynchus nerka, Bristol Bay, Kvichak River, Egegik River, Ugashik River, sonar, smolt emigration estimate, outmigration timing, age-length-weight relationship

## INTRODUCTION

The Bristol Bay Management Area, located in southwestern Alaska, includes all waters east of a line from Cape Newenham to Cape Menshikof (Figure 1). Bristol Bay supports the largest sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka fishery in the world. From 1982 to 2001 the commercial catch in Bristol Bay averaged 24.9 million sockeye salmon (ADF\&G 2002). To effectively manage this fishery, managers need accurate abundance forecasts of returning sockeye salmon and precise estimates of maximally sustainable spawning escapement goals. Estimates of outmigrating smolt numbers are currently used as an index of production for adult salmon; this information is used to prepare preseason forecasts of adult returns and aids in setting biological escapement goals.

Smolt sonar studies were conducted on Kvichak River and Ugashik River in 2002. A side-looking sonar system was used at Kvichak River and a traditional upward-looking Bendix sonar system was used at Ugashik River. The side-looking sonar work on Kvichak River in 2002 will be written up in a separate Regional Information Report. The upward-looking sonar work that was conducted on Ugashik River is presented in this document.

This is a two-part report. The first part summarizes upward-looking smolt sonar studies conducted on the Ugashik River in 2002. The second part presents a program review of the upward-looking smolt sonar projects on the Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik Rivers.

# ESTIMATES OF OUTMIGRATING SOCKEYE SALMON SMOLT ABUNDANCE IN UGASHIK RIVER USING UPWARD-LOOKING SONAR, 2002 

By<br>Drew Crawford<br>Alaska Department of Fish and Game<br>Division of Commercial Fisheries<br>Anchorage, Alaska

## INTRODUCTION

Hydroacoustic equipment has been used to estimate sockeye salmon smolt numbers on Ugashik River from 1983 to 1991 and from 1993 to the present. Prior to this, fyke nets were used to calculate abundance indices. Abundance estimates and age composition data have been used to forecast adult salmon returns and to estimate spawning escapement levels needed for optimum production.

Specific objectives of the 2002 Ugashik River studies were to: (1) estimate numbers of outmigrating sockeye salmon smolt; (2) describe smolt migration patterns; (3) collect smolt age, weight, and length data; and (4) record climatological and hydrological parameters which might affect migratory behavior.

## METHODS

For step-by-step procedures on the installation, operation, maintenance, troubleshooting, and retrieval of upward-looking smolt sonar and sampling equipment; plus detailed instructions on data collection, recording, and reporting techniques see Crawford and Tilly (1995).

Project Location

The Ugashik River smolt sonar site was located 50 m below the outlet of Lower Ugashik Lake ( $57^{\circ} 33.89^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude, $156^{\circ} 59.90^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ longitude, Figures 1-2). This project has operated at the same site since 1983.

The favorable characteristics of this sonar site are: 1) it is located downstream from the lakes where the sockeye salmon smolt are believed to rear which should enable us to assess a large portion of the smolt that outmigrate from this two-lake system., 2) this reach of river has a single-channel that is only 40 m wide, 3 ) the flow of the current is laminar yet swift enough that smolt pass actively by the site and do not hold or mill, 4) the water is deep enough to fit the equipment and the sonar beams 5)
there is a gradual slope with a uniform gravel bottom from the right bank out to a distance of 35 m , and 6 ) in general the site has remained physically stable over time (Figure 3).

One major problem with this sonar site is its close proximity to the outlet of Lower Ugashik Lake. When Aleutian low pressure systems funnel past this area of the Alaska Peninsula they often generate high winds and waves on this coastal lake, which make it impossible to distinguish smolt from entrained air in the water column on the smolt sonar counter. Unfortunately, we have not found a more suitable sonar sites farther downstream that would enable us to avoid this problem.

## Hydroacoustic Equipment

Bendix Corporation ${ }^{2}$ constructed the upward-looking hydroacoustic systems that we used to estimate smolt numbers at Ugashik River in 2002. The primary components of this system are listed in Table 1.

Transducers used to transmit and receive sound pulses were housed in a 3.0-m long ladder-shaped array anchored on the river bottom perpendicular to the current. Each array had 10 upward-facing single-element International Transducer Corporation ${ }^{2}$, Model 5095 transducers that operate at a frequency of 235 kHz and a half-power beam angle of $9^{\circ}$. Detected echoes from each transducer were transmitted through coaxial cables to a control unit in a wall tent on the right river bank where they were accumulated and printed out as totaled counts by array at prescribed intervals, which were summed and recorded hourly on a field data collection form. A single 12 -volt battery recharged by a pair of 43 watt, 2.9 amp solar panels, powered this smolt counting system.

Belcher (2000a) reported that the Bendix smolt counter performs an analog version of echo integration which integrates the mean-square echo voltage over a range of interest which is proportional to fish biomass.

Two arrays of transducers have been used at Ugashik River. In 2002, the inshore and offshore arrays were anchored 24 m and 30 m from the right bank (Figure 3). Each array of ten transducers can ensonify approximately $3.35 \mathrm{~m}(11 \mathrm{ft})$ of river width. Therefore, this two-array upward-looking sonar system can ensonify $16.4 \%$ of the river width at the Ugashik River sonar site. A summary of river widths and array locations at Ugashik River from 1988-2002 is presented in Appendix A.1.

Hydroacoustic equipment to monitor smolt outmigrations was operated at Ugashik River from midMay to mid-June. The smolt outmigration at Ugashik River generally peaks during late May or early June and drops off by mid-June. All arrays were removed from the water at the conclusion of the project.

The upward-looking smolt hydroacoustic system used in 2002 was calibrated with a smolt simulator by hydroacoustic consultant, Al Menin, to record one count whenever 41.5 g of biomass passed through each transducer beam during a given period. Because most smolt

[^1]migrate within the upper portion of the water column, individual arrays were calibrated independently, which allowed the operator to set the counting range as near the surface as possible. The pulse width of the smolt counter is 0.136 mS , which theoretically allows the counting range to be set within 10 cm of the surface ( $1 / 2$ pulse width). The counting range was set $1-2 \mathrm{~cm}$ below this theoretical limit to avoid common surface disturbances caused by debris, light wind, and rain. The counting range was reduced further or the system was disabled if disturbances penetrated deeper.

Sources of false counts (e.g., boats, wind, rain, snow, debris) were noted and the hydroacoustic equipment was disabled whenever false-count conditions were detected. Known false counts were subtracted from hourly totals, and linear interpolations were used to estimate counts missed while equipment was disabled. The control unit automatically recorded and stored the length of time the system was disabled. Manual control was available for adjusting printing intervals for accumulated counts, transducer pulse rate, and the portion of the water column monitored. Transducer signal characteristics were visually monitored with an oscilloscope.

Changes to the Ugashik River smolt sonar equipment over the years have been minimal. A threeway switch was added to the smolt counter in 1994 which enabled the operator to select shorter print intervals (e.g., 1.875 min or 3.750 min ) when the smolt passage was heavy (Crawford and Cross 1996).

## Estimation of Smolt Numbers

The process of generating smolt numbers was divided into three steps: (1) estimating total fish biomass emigrating past the study site; (2) sampling the emigrating fish population to estimate species, age, weight, and length composition; and (3) converting fish biomass into numbers of smolt by age and species.

## Biomass Estimation

Fish biomass was estimated using hydroacoustic equipment operated $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$. The signal pulse rate or ping rate of the smolt counter was set to correspond with the river velocity.

Belcher (2000a) reported the ping rate (pr) for the Bendix smolt sonar system was calculated as-

$$
p r=\frac{(v+0.34)}{(0.47 * h / 3)} \mathrm{pings} / \mathrm{s}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& v=\text { river velocity, and } \\
& h=\text { height of the cross beam measurement (m). }
\end{aligned}
$$

The river velocity was measured at a location referred to as the velocity index. The velocity index at Ugashik River was measured at the inshore array.

Estimation of River Velocities and Adjustments to Sonar Counts. River velocities at the Ugashik River site was nearly constant; however velocities were measured at regular intervals with a Gurley ${ }^{2}$, Model 622, flow meter and the counter was adjusted accordingly.

To account for differences in river velocities between the velocity index and the arrays (i) at Ugashik, readings were taken over each array every 7-10 days and velocity correction factors ( $v c f_{i}$ ) were then calculated as:

$$
v c f_{i}=\frac{v_{i}}{v_{\text {index }}}
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
v_{\mathrm{i}}= & \text { velocity over array } i, \text { and } \\
v_{\text {index }}= & \text { velocity over the velocity index array. }
\end{array}
$$

Adjustments to daily counts $\left(a c_{i, z}\right)$ were then made for differences in river velocity:

$$
a c_{i, z}=c_{i, z}\left(v c f_{i}\right),
$$

where $c_{i, z}=\quad$ counts for array $i$ on day $z$.

Ideally, all sonar arrays monitored fish biomass $24 \mathrm{~h} / \mathrm{d}$, so daily counts for each array represented actual sonar counts. If an array was not monitored during an hour, counts were linearly interpolated using estimated counts from several hours before and after the missing count.

Expansion of Biomass Estimates. The width of river section $\left(l_{i, z}\right)$ monitored by array $i$ on day $z$ depended on array length ( 3.03 m ), water depth over the array, and transducer signal beam width, calculated as:

$$
l_{i, z}=3.03+2\left(d_{i, z} \tan \frac{b w}{2}\right)
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& d_{i, z}= \\
& b w= \\
& \text { average water depth over array } i \text { on day } z, \text { and } \\
& \text { transducer beam width in degrees ( } 9^{\circ} \text { for all transducers). }
\end{aligned}
$$

Arrays were placed perpendicular to the river current; distances from each array to a reference point on one riverbank were measured to the nearest meter (Appendix A.1). The inshore and offshore limits of smolt passage were estimated based on past studies with side-looking hydroacoustic equipment (Bue et al. 1988; Huttunen and Skvorc 1991, 1992). Distances were calculated between inshore limit of smolt passage to first array $\left(\mathrm{D}_{1}\right)$; first to second array $\left(\mathrm{D}_{2}\right)$; and offshore array to offshore limit of smolt passage $\left(\mathrm{D}_{4}\right)$.

The estimated biomass of fish $\left(\hat{B}_{z}\right)$ passing the counting site on day $z$ was calculated as follows:

$$
\hat{B}_{z}=\frac{1}{2} D_{1}\left(\frac{a c_{1, z}}{l_{1, z}}\right)+\sum_{i=2}^{n a}\left[\frac{1}{2} D_{i}\left(\frac{a c_{i-1, z}}{l_{i-1, z}}+\frac{a c_{i, z}}{l_{i, z}}\right)\right]+\frac{1}{2} D_{n a+1}\left(\frac{a c_{n a, z}}{l_{n a, z}}\right),
$$

where

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
D_{\mathrm{i}} & =\quad \text { the distance for interval } i, \text { and } \\
n a & =\quad \text { number of transducer arrays used. }
\end{array}
$$

## Age, Weight, and Length Estimation

Data on age, weight, and length of sockeye salmon smolt were obtained from samples captured in a fyke net. Smolt weight in grams and length, from tip-of-snout to fork-of-tail, in millimeters were measured. Age was determined from visual observations of scales mounted on glass slides. European ages -- 1., 2., or 3. depending on the number of freshwater annuli -- were used. Parent year escapements that produced 2002 smolt occurred in 2000 for age-1. smolt, 1999 for age- 2 . smolt, and 1998 for age-3. smolt.

Sample size goals for Ugashik River were set at a minimum of 400 smolt/d. Based on binomial proportions for the two major age groups, a sample size of 400 smolt would simultaneously estimate the percentage of each age class within $5 \%$ of the true percentage $95 \%$ of the time (Goodman 1965; Cochran 1977). When the daily goal of 400 smolt was not obtained, samples from subsequent days were combined until a total of at least 400 were reached.

Mean length of smolt differs among fyke net samples from a single day (Minard and Brandt 1986). Thus, to ensure that daily age composition estimates were representative of the population, attempts were made daily to obtain 100 smolt from each of six different fyke net catches. Because weight and age of smolt are strongly correlated to length, the time and cost of data collection was reduced by measuring up to a maximum of 600 smolt each day for length and up to 100 of those smolt for age and weight (Bue and Eggers 1989).

Age was estimated for smolt measured only for length using an age-length key (Bue and Eggers 1989). The key used length to categorize age-1. or -2 . sockeye salmon smolt by determining a discriminant length that minimized classification error. This discriminant length was chosen such that the number of age-1. smolt classified as age-2. smolt was equal to the number of age- 2 . smolt classified as age-1. smolt. Age-3. smolt were not included in this analysis because too few samples were collected.

Weight was estimated for smolt measured only for length using a least squares linear regression. Based on paired weight-length data obtained from smolt sampled for age, weight, and length, we estimated weights ( $W_{\mathrm{j}}$ ) of age $j$ smolt measured only for length as explained by (Ricker 1975):

$$
W_{j}=\alpha L_{j}^{\beta}
$$

where
$L_{\mathrm{j}} \quad=\quad$ fork length of an age $j$ smolt, and
$\alpha$ and $\beta=$ parameters which determine the $y$-axis intercept and the slope of the line.
Due to the variability of age and size composition estimates among subsamples (e.g., fyke net catches) taken the same day, daily mean weight $(\hat{W})$ and age proportions $\left(\hat{P}_{j}\right)$ were estimated as the mean of subsampled values:

$$
\hat{W}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{\sum w_{k}}{n_{k}}\right)}{m}
$$

where
$m \quad=\quad$ number of subsamples collected during a sampling period,
$w_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=\quad$ observed weights from subsample $k$, and
$n_{\mathrm{k}} \quad=\quad$ number of observations in subsample $k$; and

$$
\hat{P}_{j}=\frac{\sum_{k=1}^{m}\left(\frac{n_{j, k}}{n_{k}}\right)}{m}
$$

where $n_{\mathrm{j}, \mathrm{k}} \quad=\quad$ number of observations of age $j$ in subsample $k$.

## Estimation of Smolt Numbers

Numbers of smolt by age were estimated by combining biomass estimates with estimates of age and weight composition. Mean weight of smolt was used to convert estimates of biomass per count to estimates of smolt per count (SPC):

$$
S \hat{P} C=\frac{B P C}{\hat{W}}
$$

where $B P C=$ biomass $(\mathrm{g})$ per count.
The estimated number of smolt passing the counting site $\left(\hat{N}_{z}\right)$ each day $(z)$ was computed:

$$
\hat{N}_{z}=\hat{B}_{z}(S \hat{P} C)
$$

The estimated contribution of age $j$ smolt on day $z\left(\hat{N}_{j, z}\right)$ was estimated by:

$$
\hat{N}_{j, z}=\hat{N}_{z}\left(\hat{P}_{j}\right) .
$$

Finally, daily estimates of smolt numbers were summed. The seasonal total of all smolt passing the sonar site $\left(\hat{N}_{t o t}\right)$ was

$$
\hat{N}_{t o t}=\sum \hat{N}_{z}
$$

and the estimated number of age $j$ smolt that passed the site during the season $\left(\hat{N}_{j t o t}\right)$ was

$$
\hat{N}_{j t o t}=\sum \hat{N}_{j, z}
$$

## Climatological Data Collection

Climatological data were recorded at the smolt sonar site. Observations of sky conditions and measurements of wind direction, wind velocity ( $\mathrm{km} / \mathrm{h}$ ), daily precipitation ( mm ), air and water temperatures $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ were recorded at 0800 and 2000 hours daily. Wind direction, wind velocity, and air temperature data were measured with a West Marine ${ }^{2}$, Model 332356, weather monitor. Precipitation data was collected with a direct-read rain gauge graduated from 0.1 mm to 15.0 mm . Water temperatures were collected with a mercury pocket thermometer graduated in $1^{\circ}$ increments from $-10^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $+110^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

## RESULTS

On April 17, local pilots reported that Upper Ugashik Lake and the SE corner of Lower Ugashik Lake were still ice covered, but there was open water on most of Lower Ugashik Lake. One month later, the Ugashik smolt crew saw no ice on Upper or Lower Ugashik Lakes when they flew into their camp on May 17. With no satellite photos or eyewitness reports to help determine a breakup date for these lakes, I estimated breakup occurred on or around April 30 this year based on a review of climatological data for King Salmon (NWS 2002d, 2002e).

Since 1977, Upper and Lower Ugashik Lakes have averaged 94 ice-covered days per year (Appendix B.1). Historically, the average freeze-up date for these lakes is January 20 and the average lake ice break-up date is April 22.

In 2002, the first two Ugashik crewmembers arrived at the study site shortly after noon on May 17 and the last crewmember arrived later that afternoon. The crew reported no signs of smolt prior to the deployment of their sonar gear.

The Ugashik smolt counter ( $\mathrm{S} / \mathrm{N} 8320004$ ) was activated at 2400 hours on May 20. Initial sonar counts indicated little or no smolt passage at the smolt sonar site for the first six days, however strong ESE winds on smolt days 5/22-23, 5/23-24, and 5/24-25 hampered our abilities to count and distinguish smolt on these days. The first daily sonar counts greater than 100,000 occurred on May 25 (Table 2).

A fyke net fished from 2319 hours on May 19 to 0100 hours on May 20 caught 197 sockeye salmon smolt (Appendix C.1). This fyke net catch indicates that smolt were present when the sonar counter was activated, but the catch per unit effort ( $\mathrm{CPUE}=2$ ) for this set suggests smolt abundance was low. Complete summaries of the 2002 Ugashik River fyke net catch by date, species, hour, and time fished are presented in Appendices C. 2 to C.4. Other species that were captured in the fyke net were: slimy sculpin Cottus cognatus, pink salmon fry Oncorhynchus gorbuscha, fourhorn sculpin Myoxocephalus quadricornis, threespine stickleback Gasterosteus aculeatus, and rainbow trout fry Oncorhynchus mykiss.

Five sockeye salmon smolt caught in the Ugashik River fyke net between May 22 and May 26, had a parasitic worm, about 15 mm long with distinct oral and caudal suckers, attached externally to their skin. ADF\&G staff at the Fish Pathology Lab in Anchorage examined a preserved specimen and identified it as Piscicola sp., commonly known as a leech.

River velocity measurements over the inshore index array ranged from 1.8 to $2.0 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{s}$ ( 5.8 to 6.5 $\mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec}$ ). The average velocity at the inshore array in 2002 was about equal to the 1983-2001 average of $1.9 \mathrm{~m} / \mathrm{sec}(6.2 \mathrm{ft} / \mathrm{sec})$ (Appendix D. 1 and D.2). Velocity correction factors ( $\mathrm{m} / \mathrm{s}$ ) used to adjust the sonar counter transmit rate for the two arrays were as follows:

| Smolt Days | Inshore | Offshore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| May 19 - May 27 | 1.00 | 0.99 |
| May 28 - Jun 02 | 1.00 | 0.97 |
| Jun 03 - Jun 09 | 1.00 | 0.89 |
| Jun 10 - Jun 12 | 1.00 | 0.96 |

A total of 4,695,065 sonar counts were recorded at the Ugashik River sonar counting site from May 19 to June 12, 2002 (Table 2). Counts were more numerous over the inshore array ( $70 \%$ ) than the offshore array (30\%). Daily sonar counts were highest from May 26 to June 3. Eighty-three percent of the total counts were recorded during these days. The peak daily sonar count of 763,024 occurred on May 31. Over the entire sampling season, $87 \%$ of all smolt sonar counts were recorded between 2100 hours and 0400 hours, with peak passages occurring at 0100 hours (Table 3).

Based on expanded sonar counts an estimated $47,627,642$ sockeye salmon smolt migrated from Ugashik River in 2002 (Table 4). Age-1. smolt (2000 brood year) comprised $81 \%$ of the total smolt estimate and they were the predominant age class in all samples. Age-2. smolt (1999 brood year) composed $19 \%$ of the total migration and they were most numerous from May 25-30. Mean weights of smolt ranged from 7.4 to 10.5 g per smolt (Table 5), resulting in an average 4.9 smolt per count adjustment factor for the expansion of sonar counts.

Age, weight, and length data were collected from 1,830 sockeye salmon smolt in 2002 (Table 6). Mean length was 91 mm for age- 1 . smolt, 110 mm for age- 2 . smolt, and 154 mm for age- 3 . smolt. Mean weight was 7.7 g for age- 1 . smolt, 12.7 g for age- 2 . smolt, and 36.1 g for age- 3 . smolt. An additional 6,896 sockeye salmon smolt were sampled for length only (Table 7). A discriminating length of 101 mm was calculated to differentiate age- 1 . smolt from age- 2 . smolt at Ugashik River.

Weather and river conditions were recorded at the counting site from May 20 to June 13 (Table 8). Weather conditions were fair for enumerating sockeye salmon smolt emigrating from Upper and Lower Ugashik Lakes in 2002. The smolt counter was disabled for $115.2 \mathrm{~h}(20 \%)$ of the 588 h it operated in 2002 because of weather (Figure 4). Wave action and entrained air in the water column from strong ESE, SE, and E winds and rainsqualls were the primary causes. Smolt days with six or more hours of disabled time because of weather were 5/22 (12 h), 5/23 (24 h), 5/24 (7h), 5/25 (10 h), $6 / 06(9 \mathrm{~h}), 6 / 07(24 \mathrm{~h})$, and $6 / 08(24 \mathrm{~h})$. Average water temperature was $7.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (range $5.5^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ to $8.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ). The water temperature during the peak of the smolt outmigration, on May 31 , was $6.0^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$.

During 2002, the Ugashik River smolt sonar counter was also disabled for 3.3 h from equipment problems (e.g., solar panel overcharged the smolt counter on $5 / 22$ and a backup smolt counter had to be flown in to replace the Ugashik counter on $6 / 11$ due to printer problems) and 2.0 h from boat-orfloatplane traffic.

## DISCUSSION

The 2002 smolt outmigration estimate of 48 million smolt was well above the 1991-2001 average of 28 million smolt (Appendices E. 1 and E.2). Only 1991 and 1993 were higher with smolt outmigration estimates of 73 million and 71 million smolt respectively. Seven of the last ten years have had smolt outmigrations composed primarily of age-1. smolt.

Comparing the percent of the total adjusted sonar count by smolt day for 2002 with the 1991-2001 mean, the timing of the peak count was 4 d early (Figure 5). A comparison of the cumulative percent of the total adjusted sonar count by smolt day with the 1991-2001 mean showed that the timing for the front end ( $25 \%$ ) of the smolt outmigration was 1 d later, the mid-point $(50 \%)$ was 1 d earlier, and the later portion (75\%) was 3 d earlier than average (Figure 6). Judging from the low sonar counts prior to May 25, we probably counted most of the smolt early in the outmigration. The percent of the total adjusted sonar count by hour for 2002 was similar to the 1991-2001 mean (Figure 7).

The dominant age groups of adult sockeye salmon from the 2002 smolt outmigration will return in 2004 (ages 1.2 and 2.2 fish) and 2005 (ages 1.3 and 2.3 fish).

Age-1. smolt in 2002 were the same length as the 1958-2001 mean and weighed 0.7 g more (Table 9). Age-2. smolts were 2 mm shorter and 0.5 g heavier than the 1958-2001 mean.

The mean water temperature in 2002 was $1.1^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ warmer than the $1983-2001$ mean of $5.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ (Table 10). The average daily water temperature when the sonar was activated this year was $0.7^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ warmer than the 1984-2001 average (Appendix F.1). At the peak of the 2002 smolt passage on May 31 the mean daily water temperature was $0.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ warmer than the 1984-2001 average. See Appendix G for other climatological factors that may have affected the freshwater survival of smolt that outmigrated in 2002.

In the Ugashik River drainage, 1998 is the most recent brood year of sockeye salmon that has spawned and outmigrated as smolt from freshwater to the marine environment. A comparison of total smolt outmigration estimates by age with the 1998 brood year escapement of 892,508 sockeye salmon showed a freshwater survival rate of approximately 9.3 smolt per spawner (Table 11). Since we expect little or no catch of age-3. smolt at Ugashik River in 2003, the freshwater survival rate for the 1999 brood year escapement of $1,647,036$ sockeye salmon should remain at 23.0 smolt per spawner. Smolt-per-spawner estimates for 1998 were below and 1999 were slightly less than the recent ten-year average for Ugashik River; mean production from brood years 1988-1997 was 26.2 smolt per spawner.

The most recent brood year of sockeye salmon to have all age groups of adults return from the marine environment to the Ugashik River drainage to spawn was 1995. A comparison of smolt outmigration estimates by age with corresponding adult returns for brood years 1986-1995 (Table 12) shows an average marine survival (i.e. adult salmon returns per smolt) of 0.14 for age-1. smolt and 0.24 for age-2. smolt. For brood year 1996, the last adult sockeye salmon (e.g., ages 2.4 and
3.3) will return to the Ugashik River in 2003 as 7-year-old fish. Seven-year-old fish historically make up $<1 \%$ of the total sockeye salmon return to the Ugashik River. Therefore, the average marine survival for age-1. smolt from brood year 1996 (0.12) will be slightly less than the 19861995 average for Ugashik River.

We did not calculate the average marine survival of age-2. smolt for the 1996 brood year due to an obvious under estimate of outmigrating age-2. smolt in 1999. Spring came late to the Ugashik area in 1999. The ice in Upper and Lower Ugashik Lakes did not break up until May 19 that spring; the latest breakup date that we have recorded. In addition, ice lingered in the lakes and ice floes in the river prevented deployment of smolt sonar gear until May 26. Even after the ice cleared the water temperatures in the river remained cold through the end of the project on June 12; the average water temperature observed $\left(2.6^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$ was $3.4^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ below the historical average. Therefore, we believe that a significant number of age-2. smolt outmigrated later in 1999 after the smolt project stopped operating and the water temperatures warmed up.

A comparison of the age composition of outmigrating smolt at Ugashik River with the freshwater age composition of the total adult salmon return showed similarities ( $\pm 5 \%$ ) for brood years 1988, 1991, 1994, and 1995 (Figure 8, Table 13). In brood years 1986, 1987, 1992, and 1993 smolt age composition data showed higher percentages of age-1. smolt and lower percentages of age-2. smolt compared to adult returns.

If the fyke net catches were a representative sample of outmigrating smolt and smolt survival rates by age are equal, then you would expect the freshwater age composition of the smolt to match the freshwater age composition of the total adult return from corresponding brood years. However, differences in freshwater age composition between smolt and their corresponding adult return can be attributed to a number of factors including: (1) differential survival rates of smolt by age; (2) errors in estimates of smolt age composition; (3) errors in estimates of adult total return age composition; and (4) inaccurate estimates of numbers of smolt by age because of not counting the early or late portions of the outmigration.

# EVALUATION OF THE UPWARD-LOOKING SMOLT SONAR PROGRAM AT KVICHAK, EGEGIK, AND UGASHIK RIVERS 

By<br>Lowell Fair<br>Alaska Department of Fish and Game<br>Division of Commercial Fisheries<br>Anchorage, Alaska

## INTRODUCTION

Fyke nets were used to estimate smolt numbers on Kvichak River from 1956 to 1970; on Naknek River from 1956 to 1978; on Egegik River during 1957, 1969, and 1978; on Ugashik River from 1955 to 1965, 1967 to 1970, and 1972 to 1975; and on Wood River from 1955 to 1966 (Burgner and Koo 1954; Rietze and Spangler 1958; Kerns 1961; Burgner 1962; Jaenicke 1963, 1968; Church 1963; Church and Nelson 1963; Nelson 1964, 1965a, 1965b, 1966a, 1966b, 1969; Marriott 1965; Nelson and Jaenicke 1965; Pennoyer and Seibel 1965; Pennoyer 1966; Pennoyer and Stewart 1967, 1969; Robertson 1967; Siedelman 1967, 1969; Paulus and McCurdy 1969, 1972; Van Valin 1969a, 1969b; Shroeder 1972a, 1972b, 1974a; McCurdy and Paulus 1972a, 1972b; Paulus 1972; McCurdy 1974a, 1974b; Bill 1975, 1976, 1977; Pella and Jaenicke 1978; Yuen 1978). Although fyke net sampling provided information on age, size, and relative abundance of smolt, it did not provide an accurate estimate of the total number of smolts. To improve estimates of smolt numbers, the department began experimenting with and using hydroacoustic (sonar) equipment in the 1970's.

Hydroacoustic equipment was used to estimate sockeye salmon smolt numbers on Kvichak River from 1971 through 2002; Wood River from 1975 to 1990; Naknek River from 1982 to 1986 and 1993 to 1994; Egegik River from 1982 through 2001; Ugashik River from 1983 to 1991 and 1993 to 2002; Nuyakuk River from 1983 to 1989; and Togiak River in 1988 (Russell 1972; Parker 1974a, 1974b; Krasnowski 1975; Randall 1976, 1977, 1978; Newcome 1978; Yuen 1980a, 1980b; Clark and Robertson 1980; Bucher 1980, 1981, 1982, 1983, 1984, 1986a, 1986b, 1987; Bergstrom and Yuen 1981; Yuen and Wise 1982; Eggers 1984; Eggers and Yuen 1984; Bue 1986a, 1986b; Bue and Fried 1987; Bue et al. 1988; Cross et al. 1990; Woolington et al. 1990, 1991; Crawford et al. 1992; Crawford and Cross 1992, 1994a, 1994b, 1995a, 1995b, 1996, 1997, 1998, 1999; Crawford 2000, 2001; Crawford and West 2001).

In 1997 and 1998, returns of adult sockeye salmon to Bristol Bay were well below forecast. For the Kvichak River, the low returns of adult salmon followed three consecutive years of record high smolt abundance estimates (greater than 300 million smolt per year). Consequently a new study was initiated in the fall of 1999 with Western Alaska Disaster Grant (WADG) monies to evaluate the existing acoustic equipment and sampling design used to estimate smolt abundance on the Kvichak

River and to investigate new equipment and techniques to see if it is possible to improve annual smolt outmigration estimates.

In order to provide a quick inseason comparison of Bendix smolt counter data with sonar counts from other hydroacoustic systems, ADF\&G contracted the Applied Physics Laboratory at the University of Washington during the winter of 1999/2000 to design and insert a computer interface into each of three smolt counters and write software to accept and store smolt count data on a computer. This new data collection system was tested and used at Kvichak River and Ugashik River smolt sonar sites in 2000 and at Kvichak River in 2001.

The 2002 field season was the third and final year of a three-year WADG study $(\$ 450,000)$ to evaluate the smolt sonar project on the Kvichak River. The objectives of this study were to: (1) Clearly document the current acoustic methodology (Bendix counter) for estimating abundance of outmigrating sockeye salmon smolt in the Kvichak River. Identify the potential sources of bias and imprecision in the current estimation method, and mechanisms by which the Bendix system may have failed in any or all of the previous 30 years. (2) Study and describe smolt behavior (e.g., fish speed, school density, and school structure) in the vicinity of the current site and evaluate the assumptions about smolt behavior that must be made to derive acoustic estimates of smolt abundance. (3) Based on (1) and (2) above, determine if the historical smolt abundance estimates are valid and, if not, whether they can be corrected. If the historical estimates can be corrected, develop the means to do so. (4) Compare sockeye salmon smolt abundance estimates among a Bendix array, a Hydroacoustic Technologies, Inc. ${ }^{2}$ (HTI) upwardlooking multiple transducer array, and a HTI side-looking split beam transducer and recommend the best system to estimate smolt abundance on the Kvichak River. (5) Design an acoustic system that improves upon weaknesses identified in the Bendix smolt counter and deploy this complete system in May 2001. Run the new and old systems side-by-side for two complete seasons and thoroughly compare the results derived from each. Results and findings from this study will be published for ADF\&G in a separate report by hydroacoustic consultant, Don Degan of Aquacoustics, Inc.

The objective of this report is to evaluate future operations of the existing Bristol Bay smolt projects, including Kvichak, Egegik, and Ugashik Rivers. There are numerous project operational alternatives available. This report will explore operational choices for 2002 and beyond.

## METHODS

On February 1, 2002, regional staff met to discuss the following smolt issues:

1. WADG Kvichak smolt sonar project evaluation
a. Findings
b. Video analysis
c. Future operations
2. Smolt data application
a. Forecasting performances
b. General escapement-to-smolt and smolt-to-adult relationships
c. Value of age composition data
d. Timeline of Kvichak River smolt project changes
3. 2002 smolt project operations
4. Funding concerns

The meeting was attended by James Brady, James Browning, Brian Bue, Drew Crawford, Doug Eggers, Lowell Fair, Nancy Gove, Suzanne Maxwell, Lee McKinley, Slim Morstad, Jeff
Regnart, Keith Weiland, Fred West, and hydroacoustic consultants Don Degan and Anna-Maria Mueller.

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

## Meeting Summary

The WADG smolt evaluation project on the Kvichak River shows promise of a Bendix replacement system that should give a better index of smolt outmigration abundance. However, the replacement system needs further testing and refinement, because similar to the Bendix gear, it is limited in windy or rainy conditions.

Based on a review of the Bendix counts collected since 1976, there are inconsistencies in the data that may be associated with multiple sites and equipment modifications. Given these inconsistencies, it appears that a meaningful correction factor between the new side-looking acoustics and the up-looking Bendix arrays is unlikely.

Video analysis has given us a greater understanding of smolt behavior and will allow a comparison of abundance estimation with the new gear at a given time and space, in addition to a small set of smolt length samples.

Historical, Bristol Bay sockeye salmon forecasting performances with smolt data showed that drastic changes have occurred in smolt-adult forecast reliability within the past 5 to 15 years (Appendices H. 1 to H.15). For the Kvichak River, smolt-adult forecasts worked well in the early 1990s, but by 1996 their value waned and began contradicting adult returns. The Egegik River smolt-adult forecasts remained fairly stable throughout the 1990s with a slight improvement in recent years. On the other hand, smolt-adult forecasts for the Ugashik River were untrustworthy in the early 1990s but have since become a reliable alternative to sibling models for some age classes.

Age composition data in conjunction with smolt outmigration numbers are essential to understanding freshwater density-dependant effects and for forecasting adult returns. However, age composition without abundance provides only general qualitative insight and lacks significance with adult returns (Appendices H. 16 to H19).

The Kvichak River smolt project has undergone the greatest changes in project operations of any smolt project in Bristol Bay (Appendix I). Sonar abundance estimation began in 1976 on the Kvichak River and continued through 1988 at the original site. In 1989, because of changing river topography and a concern that smolts were passing the site undetected in side channels, a new site was chosen. In combination with a new site, a new counter (1976 model that operated at a frequency of 118 kHz to a 1982 model that operated at a frequency of 235 kHz ) and transducer array system was instigated. In 1990, the 1976 model counter was returned to use with further changes in the transducer array system. In 1993, the 1982 model counter was redeployed and the offshore array cables were lengthened. In 1996 the cable length on the center array was also extended. The cumulative effect of these changes appears to have significantly decreased the ability of this project to accurately index smolt outmigration abundance.

## Smolt Project Alternatives

This section discusses the pros and cons of various smolt operational avenues available to the region.

## Status Quo And Move Forward With Sonar Transition Work

One approach is to continue without change. Existing smolt projects would operate the upwardlooking Bendix sonar systems and the Kvichak River project would also operate the side-looking sonar system in 2002 for comparison to the Bendix as originally intended.

Advantages: Simplicity is the advantage of this approach, because changes would not be necessary. Additionally, smolt outmigration numbers for the Ugashik River have proven helpful in recent years making additional data points worthwhile.

Disadvantages: There are multiple disadvantages to this approach. The first is cost. With tightening budgets, only projects with beneficial results should operate. Currently, given its recent poor forecast performance, the Kvichak River smolt project is clearly not justifiable and a meaningful correction factor with the new gear seems unlikely. Conversely, Ugashik River smolt has shown recent promise but funding has been piecemealed since 1993 in the form of cooperative agreements with outside agencies and ongoing funding uncertainties. Another problem with this approach is that technical support for Bendix equipment will soon dissolve. Hydroacoustic consultant, Al Menin, is getting on in years and soon will be unable to calibrate, troubleshoot, and repair the counters as he has for decades. Alternative technical support will be costly or simply unavailable.

## Discontinue Kvichak Bendix At Existing Site

Advantages: One big advantage to halting Bendix operations on the Kvichak River is cost; the current budget for this project is about $\$ 36,000$ in general funds. Furthermore, smolt outmigration estimates from the Kvichak River are suspect in recent years for all age classes.

Disadvantages: Terminating Bendix operations on the Kvichak River will truncate a data set that began in 1976; however, the cessation of Bendix technical support in the near future makes this inevitable.

## Operate Kvichak Bendix At A New Site

There were discussions at the smolt meeting about operating the Bendix gear at a new site with a better bottom profile to reduce some of the fish distribution issues present at the existing site.

Advantage: A site that allows us to sample a greater portion of the outmigration should be more reliable. Operating the Bendix at an alternate location extends the historical data series.

Disadvantages: The drawback to this approach is that new "index" counts will likely differ by an unknown magnitude from previous collections rendering the data set untrustworthy for forecasting adult returns until a new baseline is established. Also, if a new site were chosen, we would likely face land issue challenges. If we establish a new site, it makes more sense to begin using the new system, rather than moving the Bendix gear.

## Discontinue Bendix Operations For All Existing Projects

Advantages: There are many advantages for discontinuing all Bristol Bay smolt projects, the first is a tremendous cost savings. Terminating all smolt projects would save about $\$ 77,000$ in general funds and $\$ 19,000$ in test fish funds. Moreover, continuing to collect data that is not helpful for forecasting Kvichak and Egegik River adult returns, and has never been used to set escapement goals seems wasteful. And even though smolt-adult forecasts for Ugashik River have improved in recent years, overall they still play a secondary role to sibling models.

Disadvantages: The obvious disadvantage of pulling all smolt projects is that data collection will cease, along with smolt-adult forecasting capabilities and any hope of using smolt data for setting escapement goals. Additionally, there would be six permanent-seasonal technicians with shortened field seasons, but none without a job. Similarly, cutting the smolt projects will require increased operating costs (Lines 200-400) for all tower projects that split land leases and share equipment and air charters with smolt projects.

## Discontinue Bendix But Maintain Sampling Program For Age, Sex, and Size Data

Advantages: The biggest advantage is that we can stop spending money for the collection of data that is not dependable and hence, not used to any significant degree. An advantage of maintaining a fyke net sampling program is that age composition data provides an idea of future marine survival since age-2. smolt have a greater survival rate than age-1 smolt. Unfortunately, without an abundance estimate from sonar, we will only have a ratio of 1 - to 2 -freshwater fish and previous studies have shown that fyke net catch-per-unit-effort does not correlate well with sonar abundance estimates. Smolt size data would provide insight into lake productivity. Operating the fyke net sampling program ensures that age, sex, and size data series will continue. Because two technicians are necessary to operate the sampling program at each project, existing technicians would not experience a shortened field season.

Disadvantages: A disadvantage of this approach is an end to the sonar smolt abundance data series, along with smolt-adult forecasting capabilities or hope of using smolt abundance data to set escapement goals.

## Discontinue Bendix But Move Forward With The Side-Looking Sonar System And Maintain The Fyke Net Program

Advantages: We have the potential to develop a side-looking sonar system that could reliably index smolt abundance. Over time, this information may lead to more powerful forecasting abilities. The Kvichak River could serve as the pioneer for other systems where smolt data is desired. The sooner we begin to build a time series, the sooner it will become useful for forecasting and setting escapement goals.

Disadvantages: Costs similar or greater to those mentioned above plus the cost of new equipment for each river create an expensive forecast tool. We are still uncertain as to whether
or not the side-looking sonar system will work for us and definitive answers won't be available until next winter.

## Discussion

A long-term cost-benefit analysis for continuing the smolt projects is not encouraging. For smolt data to play a more important role in forecasting and setting escapement goals, major changes are necessary. Because replacement of Bendix gear is inevitable, a new side-looking sonar system is required at each smolt site.

Al Menin will soon be unable to continue the yearly maintenance of the Bendix smolt counters. The unique design of the counters and the confusing documentation that accompanied the numerous modifications made over the years make it impossible for another electronic engineer or technician to take over the maintenance.

Assuming that no additional side-looking acoustic gear is required at Kvichak River to replace the Bendix, only two additional new systems need to be purchased for Egegik and Ugashik Rivers, costing around $\$ 75,000$, excluding necessary research and development costs. Because the smolt "index" abundance series would recommence with a new hydroacoustic sampling program, it may take 10 or more years before meaningful biological relationships emerge, costing about $\$ 1,000,000$ over the next 10 years (about $\$ 97,000$ annually). Moreover, to apply smolt data in setting escapement goals, a time series of limnology data is necessary for each river-lake system. This added cost to the region could easily run into the hundreds of thousands of dollars over the next 10 years.

In summary, over a ten-year period, including initial Bendix replacement systems, the total cost could conceivably approach $\$ 1,500,000$. And, as with many things in natural resource management, the potential gains are uncertain. To complicate matters even further, it may not be technically possible to operate replacement equipment on the Ugashik River where wind and rain play a large role in down time with Bendix gear and would likely pose an even greater problem with the new side-looking gear.

Similarly, I believe that if Bendix sonar operations are halted, so too should fyke net sampling. While age, sex, and size statistics give us a gut feeling of marine or freshwater survival, without abundance information it provides only a qualitative understanding at best. Part of the problem on the Kvichak River is that the sampling is based on the assumption that an equal proportion of the smolt population is sampled annually. A single fyke net samples approximately $1 \%$ of the river's width in an area with dynamic fish distribution patterns, a tremendous variation in watercolor, size of migration, and size of fish from year-to-year. Any one of these factors could contribute to biases in the fyke net age composition, especially for larger smolt such as age-3. fish, which have been observed swimming upstream out of the nets.

## Recommendations

Based on the above analysis, all Bendix smolt-counting operations should cease. Realistically, if all smolt projects were pulled today, we would barely notice. Currently, smolt forecasts play only a small role and smolt data has never been used to any significant extent for setting escapement goals in Bristol Bay. Most escapement goals were set in the early 1960s and have remained remarkably constant since the 1970s using harvest and escapement data. Smolt and limnology data is unlikely to provide significant insight in escapement goal revisions. Monetary savings would be significant ( $\$ 96,000$ annually) and these monies could be shifted to a different project(s) that provides a greater benefit to salmon research and management in Bristol Bay.

Now is the best time to discontinue the smolt program because: 1) Currently, there is very little support or belief in the numbers evidenced by the difficulty in funding sources for Ugashik River smolt every year and the poor performance of smolt forecasts on the Kvichak River; 2) It can be said that with the WADG study on the Kvichak River, we've learned a great deal, including the harsh reality that the data we've previously collected is unreliable and uncorrectable; and 3) The general feeling of regional staff is that the benefits of the current smolt program are outweighed by the cost.

I recommend we continue the Kvichak River smolt abundance estimation and fyke netting operations with only the side-looking sonar system this spring. Keeping this project operational would provide us the opportunity to further refine the system. At the conclusion of the WADG study, we can decide whether to continue using the system on the Kvichak River or move it to another location given considerations discussed in this memo. Regardless of the choice, it is essential that a decision be made in a timely fashion so that budgetary, personnel, and other issues can be resolved prior to the upcoming field season.

The above information was presented to James Brady (Region II Supervisor), Jeff Regnart (Region II Management Coordinator), and Brian Bue (Region II Research Coordinator) and the following course of action was decided on March 18, 2002 (Bue personal communication).

1. Discontinue the Bendix portion of the Kvichak River smolt sonar project. Continue collecting smolt age, weight, and length data while continuing to develop the side-looking sonar methodology.
2. Do the Ugashik River upward-looking smolt project using Bendix equipment for the last time this year. At this time, we may not do the Ugashik Smolt project in 2003. Ugashik will most likely be the first smolt project brought back online if the side-looking sonar proves to be a suitable replacement for the Bendix system.
3. Discontinue the Egegik River smolt project. We will examine bringing Egegik smolt back online if a good method of smolt enumeration is found and monies are available.
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TABLES
Table 1. Primary parts of the upward-looking smolt sonar system used at Ugashik River in 2002.

| Quantity | Item |  |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Smolt Counter | Bendix, Model 1983 smolt counter (serial \# $8320004-5 / 20-6 / 10$; serial \# $8320003-6 / 11-6 / 13$ ) |
| 2 | Transducer Arrays | Ladder-shaped PVC platform (10' $\times 3$ '2", wi/ 10 rungs) anchored on the river bottom at set <br> intervals from shore -1 transducer mounted on each rung, 10 upward-facing transducers per <br> each array |
| 20 | Transducers | International Transducer Corporation, Model $5095-235 \mathrm{kHz}, 9^{\circ}$ half-power beam angle, <br> single element, circular; each transducer connected to the smolt counter on shore via a 330' <br> length of RG-38 coaxial cable |
| 1 | Thermal Printer | Datel Intersil, Model DPPQ7A2H (unit built into smolt counter) - prints out hard copy of <br> sonar counts at set intervals and totals each hour |
| 1 | Oscilloscope | AW Sperry, Model 315P |
| 2 | Solar Panels | Teledyne-Gurley, Model 622 flow meter - to measure river water velocities every 7 to 10 days |
| 1 | Batteries, 12V | Optima, Deep Cycle Yellow Top, gel-cell |
| 1 | Weather Base Station | West Marine, Model 332356 - digital wind direction, wind speed, and air temperature |

Table 2. Sonar counts by smolt day and array at the sockeye salmon smolt counting site on Ugashik River, 2002.

| Smolt | Sonar Count |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Transducer Array |  |  |  |
| Day ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Inshore | Offshore | Total |
| 5/19 | b | 5,009 | 3,880 | 8,889 |
| 5/20 |  | 7,014 | 3,928 | 10,942 |
| 5/21 |  | 10,096 | 8,345 | 18,441 |
| 5/22 | cd | 14,981 | 10,766 | 25,747 |
| 5/23 | cd | 37,748 | 11,279 | 49,027 |
| 5/24 | d | 28,361 | 7,984 | 36,345 |
| 5/25 | cd | 85,537 | 27,865 | 113,402 |
| 5/26 |  | 369,444 | 187,442 | 556,886 |
| 5/27 |  | 92,655 | 63,209 | 155,864 |
| 5/28 | c | 324,411 | 159,090 | 483,501 |
| 5/29 |  | 94,266 | 39,628 | 133,894 |
| 5/30 |  | 109,152 | 38,720 | 147,872 |
| 5/31 | c | 636,177 | 126,847 | 763,024 |
| 6/01 |  | 391,761 | 203,787 | 595,548 |
| 6/02 |  | 406,838 | 150,371 | 557,209 |
| 6/03 |  | 350,227 | 131,250 | 481,477 |
| 6/04 |  | 70,231 | 45,524 | 115,755 |
| 6/05 |  | 52,796 | 22,487 | 75,283 |
| 6/06 | d | 10,854 | 4,414 | 15,268 |
| 6/07 | d | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/08 | cd | 14,625 | 32,748 | 47,373 |
| 6/09 | d | 6,777 | 2,892 | 9,669 |
| 6/10 |  | 121,259 | 99,073 | 220,332 |
| 6/11 |  | 23,928 | 19,084 | 43,012 |
| 6/12 |  | 17,969 | 12,336 | 30,305 |
| Total |  | 3,282,116 | 1,412,949 | 4,695,065 |
| Percent |  | 69.9 | 30.1 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sample day began at 1200 hours and ended at 1159 hours the next calendar day.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ The sonar counter was activated at 0001 hours on smolt day $5 / 19$.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Sonar counts interpolated for one or more arrays for the following periods:
2300-1159 hours on smolt day $5 / 22$, strong ESE wind and entrained air
1900-1159 hours on smolt day 5/23, SE 25 and ESE 25-30 winds and entrained air $1800-2259$ hours on smolt day $5 / 25$, ESE $20-25$ winds
1800-1859 hours on smolt day $5 / 28$, boat traffic
1200-1559 hours on smolt day $5 / 31$, ESE $10-15$ winds
1200-2359 hours on smolt day 6/08, E 13-37 winds, waves, and rain
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Unable to interpolate sonar counts on one or more arrays for the following periods: 1300-1559 hours on smolt day $5 / 22$, false counts from solar panel overcharging smolt counter 1200-1859 hours on smolt day 5/23, ESE 25 winds
$1200-1759$ and 0800-1159 hours on smolt day $5 / 24$, ESE $20-30$ winds and waves
1200-1759 hours on smolt day $5 / 25$, ESE $20-25$ winds
0100-1159 hours on smolt day 6/06, SE 20-35 and E 20-25+ winds and heavy wave action $1200-1159$ hours on smolt day $6 / 07$, E 19-26, SE $25-35$ winds and heavy wave action 2400-1159 hours on smolt day 6/08, E 13-22 winds and waves on lake and inriver $1200-2259$ hours on smolt day 6/09, ESE 14-22 and SE 17-22 winds and large waves

Table 3. Sonar counts by hour and array at the sockeye salmon smolt counting site on Ugashik River, 2002.

| Sonar Operating Period | Hour | Sonar Count |  |  | Hourly Percent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Transducer Array |  | Total |  |
|  |  | Inshore | Offshore |  |  |
|  | 1200 | 4,938 | 5,777 | 10,714 | 0.23 |
|  | 1300 | 4,791 | 4,277 | 9,068 | 0.19 |
|  | 1400 | 12,446 | 13,619 | 26,066 | 0.56 |
|  | 1500 | 31,794 | 41,772 | 73,566 | 1.57 |
|  | 1600 | 56,683 | 24,302 | 80,985 | 1.72 |
|  | 1700 | 38,259 | 21,219 | 59,478 | 1.27 |
|  | 1800 | 47,420 | 32,353 | 79,773 | 1.70 |
|  | 1900 | 56,631 | 29,902 | 86,534 | 1.84 |
|  | 2000 | 40,093 | 29,938 | 70,031 | 1.49 |
| Smolt | 2100 | 61,496 | 71,588 | 133,084 | 2.83 |
| Days | 2200 | 59,155 | 86,771 | 145,925 | 3.11 |
| 5/19 | $2300{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 81,621 | 84,250 | 165,871 | 3.53 |
| to | $2400{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 452,444 | 127,627 | 580,071 | 12.35 |
| 6/12 | $0100{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 723,073 | 235,906 | 958,978 | 20.43 |
|  | $0200{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 616,935 | 265,679 | 882,614 | 18.80 |
|  | $0300{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 693,938 | 212,682 | 906,620 | 19.31 |
|  | $0400{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 217,038 | 73,513 | 290,551 | 6.19 |
|  | $0500{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 42,449 | 18,020 | 60,469 | 1.29 |
|  | $0600{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 8,350 | 4,355 | 12,705 | 0.27 |
|  | 0700 | 6,695 | 6,693 | 13,388 | 0.29 |
|  | 0800 | 6,048 | 5,236 | 11,283 | 0.24 |
|  | 0900 | 7,337 | 8,364 | 15,701 | 0.33 |
|  | 1000 | 6,901 | 6,218 | 13,118 | 0.28 |
|  | 1100 | 5,581 | 2,889 | 8,470 | 0.18 |
| Total |  | 3,282,116 | 1,412,948 | 4,695,064 | 100.00 |

${ }^{a}$ Daylight hours unless indicated otherwise.
${ }^{b}$ Twilight hours.
${ }^{c}$ Hours of darkness.
Table 4. Daily number of sockeye salmon smolt emigrating seaward estimated with hydroacoustic equipment, Ugashik River, 2002.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smolt } \\ & \text { Day }^{2} \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Age 1. |  |  | Age 2. |  |  | Age 3. |  |  | All Ages |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Cumulative |  | Cumulative |  |  |  | Cumulative |  | Daily | Cumulative |
|  | Number | Percent | Total | Number | Percent | Total | Number | Percent | Total | Total | Total |
| 5/19 | 56,529 | 73.3 | 56,529 | 20,612 | 26.7 | 20,612 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 77,141 | 77,141 |
| 5/20 | 72,761 | 73.3 | 129,290 | 26,531 | 26.7 | 47,143 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 99,292 | 176,433 |
| 5/21 | 116,060 | 73.3 | 245,350 | 42,319 | 26.7 | 89,462 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 158,379 | 334,812 |
| 5/22 | 172,696 | 74.0 | 418,046 | 60,645 | 26.0 | 150,107 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 233,341 | 568,153 |
| 5/23 | 361,702 | 74.0 | 779,748 | 127,018 | 26.0 | 277,125 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 488,720 | 1,056,873 |
| 5/24 | 270,063 | 74.0 | 1,049,811 | 94,837 | 26.0 | 371,962 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 364,900 | 1,421,773 |
| 5/25 | 537,756 | 54.7 | 1,587,567 | 445,704 | 45.3 | 817,666 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 983,460 | 2,405,233 |
| 5/26 | 2,485,418 | 54.7 | 4,072,985 | 2,059,969 | 45.3 | 2,877,635 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 4,545,387 | 6,950,620 |
| 5/27 | 1,325,936 | 85.3 | 5,398,921 | 229,231 | 14.7 | 3,106,866 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1,555,167 | 8,505,787 |
| 5/28 | 2,916,437 | 66.8 | 8,315,358 | 1,450,794 | 33.2 | 4,557,660 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 4,367,231 | 12,873,018 |
| 5/29 | 589,243 | 53.1 | 8,904,601 | 521,489 | 47.0 | 5,079,149 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1,110,732 | 13,983,750 |
| 5/30 | 872,007 | 64.2 | 9,776,608 | 487,318 | 35.9 | 5,566,467 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1,359,325 | 15,343,075 |
| 5/31 | 6,093,931 | 77.1 | 15,870,539 | 1,810,000 | 22.9 | 7,376,467 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 7,903,931 | 23,247,006 |
| 6/01 | 5,674,810 | 90.7 | 21,545,349 | 581,871 | 9.3 | 7,958,338 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 6,256,681 | 29,503,687 |
| 6/02 | 6,302,127 | 94.6 | 27,847,476 | 362,558 | 5.4 | 8,320,896 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 6,664,685 | 36,168,372 |
| 6/03 | 5,354,182 | 94.6 | 33,201,658 | 308,024 | 5.4 | 8,628,920 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 5,662,206 | 41,830,578 |
| 6/04 | 1,190,565 | 94.6 | 34,392,223 | 68,492 | 5.4 | 8,697,412 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 1,259,057 | 43,089,635 |
| 6/05 | 650,504 | 86.0 | 35,042,727 | 106,336 | 14.1 | 8,803,748 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 756,840 | 43,846,475 |
| 6/06 | 133,143 | 86.0 | 35,175,870 | 21,764 | 14.1 | 8,825,512 | 0 | 0.0 | 0 | 154,907 | 44,001,382 |
| 6/07 | 0 | 92.7 | 35,175,870 | 0 | 7.0 | 8,825,512 | 0 | 0.2 | 0 | 0 | 44,001,382 |
| 6/08 | 376,726 | 92.7 | 35,552,596 | 28,557 | 7.0 | 8,854,069 | 934 | 0.2 | 934 | 406,217 | 44,407,599 |
| 6/09 | 102,559 | 92.7 | 35,655,155 | 7,774 | 7.0 | 8,861,843 | 254 | 0.2 | 1,188 | 110,587 | 44,518,186 |
| 6/10 | 2,151,496 | 92.7 | 37,806,651 | 163,090 | 7.0 | 9,024,933 | 5,335 | 0.2 | 6,523 | 2,319,921 | 46,838,107 |
| 6/11 | 436,018 | 95.0 | 38,242,669 | 22,900 | 5.0 | 9,047,833 | 0 | 0.0 | 6,523 | 458,918 | 47,297,025 |
| 6/12 | 314,120 | 95.0 | 38,556,789 | 16,497 | 5.0 | 9,064,330 | 0 | 0.0 | 6,523 | 330,617 | 47,627,642 |
|  | 38,556,789 | 81.0 |  | 9,064,330 | 19.0 |  | 6,523 | 0.0 |  | 47,627,642 |  |

[^2]Table 5. Adjustment factors used to expand sonar counts into estimated numbers of sockeye salmon smolt, Ugashik River, 2002.

| Smolt <br> Day ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Mean Weight <br> of Smolt (g) | Smolt per <br> Count |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $5 / 19$ | 9.3 | 4.4 |
| $5 / 20$ | 9.3 | 4.4 |
| $5 / 21$ | 9.3 | 4.4 |
| $5 / 22$ | 9.2 | 4.5 |
| $5 / 23$ | 9.2 | 4.5 |
| $5 / 24$ | 9.2 | 4.5 |
| $5 / 25$ | 10.5 | 4.0 |
| $5 / 26$ | 10.5 | 4.0 |
| $5 / 27$ | 8.3 | 5.0 |
| $5 / 28$ | 9.5 | 4.4 |
| $5 / 29$ | 10.5 | 4.0 |
| $5 / 30$ | 9.7 | 4.3 |
| $5 / 31$ | 9.1 | 4.6 |
| $6 / 01$ | 8.1 | 5.1 |
| $6 / 02$ | 7.4 | 5.6 |
| $6 / 03$ | 7.4 | 5.6 |
| $6 / 04$ | 7.4 | 5.6 |
| $6 / 05$ | 8.5 | 4.9 |
| $6 / 06$ | 8.5 | 4.9 |
| $6 / 07$ | 7.6 | 5.5 |
| $6 / 08$ | 7.6 | 5.5 |
| $6 / 09$ | 7.6 | 5.5 |
| $6 / 10$ | 7.6 | 5.5 |
| $6 / 11$ | 7.5 | 5.5 |
| $6 / 12$ | 7.5 | 5.5 |

[^3]Table 6. Mean fork length and weight of sockeye salmon smolt captured by fyke net, Ugashik River, 2002.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smolt } \\ & \text { Day }^{\text {a }} \end{aligned}$ | Age 1. |  |  |  |  | Age 2. |  |  |  |  | Age 3. |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Length (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & \text { Error } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Weight (g) | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Std. } \\ \text { Error } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mean Length (mm) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & \text { Error } \end{aligned}$ | Mean Weight (g) | Std. <br> Error | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Mean <br> Length <br> (mm) | Std. <br> Error | Mean Weight (g) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Std. } \\ & \text { Error } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Sample } \\ \text { Size } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 5/20 | 91 | 10.3 | 8.0 | 2.78 | 70 | 108 | 14.1 | 11.8 | 3.85 | 30 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/21 | 93 | 7.4 | 8.3 | 2.29 | 57 | 115 | 31.9 | 14.5 | 13.27 | 43 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/22 | 84 | 13.3 | 8.0 | 3.07 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/23 | 95 | 16.0 | 9.0 | 5.03 | 47 | 117 | 28.4 | 15.4 | 10.63 | 53 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/24 | 95 | 8.6 | 9.4 | 2.44 | 32 | 113 | 16.1 | 14.9 | 6.24 | 62 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/25 | 92 | 16.7 | 8.7 | 4.56 | 44 | 113 | 14.2 | 14.0 | 4.65 | 56 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/26 | 90 | 13.7 | 7.6 | 3.13 | 88 | 106 | 9.5 | 10.7 | 2.21 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/27 | 92 | 10.3 | 8.0 | 2.23 | 70 | 105 | 8.1 | 11.1 | 2.78 | 30 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/28 | 92 | 8.6 | 8.5 | 2.21 | 52 | 110 | 9.4 | 12.5 | 3.13 | 48 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/29 | 92 | 12.7 | 8.2 | 2.85 | 75 | 107 | 9.8 | 11.5 | 2.91 | 25 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/30 | 92 | 10.8 | 8.1 | 2.66 | 69 | 108 | 10.2 | 11.8 | 3.87 | 31 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/31 | 91 | 11.2 | 7.6 | 2.70 | 87 | 109 | 10.2 | 11.6 | 2.38 | 13 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/01 | 88 | 12.0 | 6.4 | 2.77 | 12 | 112 |  | 11.7 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/02 | 88 | 16.2 | 7.3 | 3.92 | 99 | 88 |  | 6.4 |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/03 | 92 | 13.5 | 7.6 | 3.83 | 95 | 103 | 6.0 | 10.3 | 2.20 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/04 | 94 | 10.8 | 7.9 | 3.30 | 95 | 109 | 8.9 | 11.2 | 2.95 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/05 | 94 | 11.0 | 8.3 | 3.09 | 97 | 111 | 4.5 | 12.4 | 1.86 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/06 | 86 | 13.5 | 6.4 | 2.61 | 19 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/07 | 90 | 15.3 | 7.1 | 3.43 | 92 | 129 | 21.4 | 21.9 | 10.94 | 4 | 154 | 7.1 | 36.1 | 4.70 | 2 |
| 6/08 | 91 | 11.4 | 7.0 | 2.52 | 97 | 105 | 6.7 | 10.4 | 2.13 | 3 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/09 | 94 | 9.0 | 7.4 | 2.53 | 98 | 116 | 9.5 | 17.7 | 9.63 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/10 | 88 | 2.4 | 5.2 | 0.24 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| Total |  |  |  |  | 1,401 |  |  |  |  | 427 |  |  |  |  | 2 |
| Mean | 91 |  | 7.7 |  |  | 110 |  | 12.7 |  |  | 154 |  | 36.1 |  |  |

Table 7. Mean fork length and estimated mean weight for age-1. and -2. sockeye salmon smolt, Ugashik River, 2002.

| Smolt$\text { Day }^{\text {b }}$ | Age 1. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Age 2. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Mean Length (mm) | Std. <br> Error | Estimated Weight (g) | Sample Size | Mean Length (mm) | Std. <br> Error | Estimated Weight (g) | Sample |
| 5/20 | 92 | 11.9 | 7.7 | 82 | 109 | 7.2 | 12.4 | 15 |
| 5/21 | 92 | 14.2 | 7.7 | 201 | 110 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 59 |
| 5/22 | 88 | 23.3 | 7.0 | 280 | 107 | 3.8 | 11.7 | 4 |
| 5/23 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/24 | 94 | 14.2 | 8.2 | 348 | 110 | 25.2 | 12.8 | 232 |
| 5/25 | 95 | 8.5 | 8.3 | 41 | 113 | 21.7 | 13.8 | 71 |
| 5/26 | 91 | 24.2 | 7.7 | 395 | 110 | 17.7 | 12.7 | 183 |
| 5/27 | 91 | 20.4 | 7.5 | 500 | 110 | 13.8 | 12.7 | 79 |
| 5/28 | 94 | 14.5 | 8.2 | 364 | 109 | 14.9 | 12.4 | 202 |
| 5/29 | 95 | 11.1 | 8.3 | 261 | 112 | 19.3 | 13.2 | 285 |
| 5/30 | 93 | 15.8 | 8.1 | 354 | 110 | 17.4 | 12.7 | 234 |
| 5/31 | 93 | 14.6 | 8.0 | 439 | 110 | 36.7 | 13.0 | 120 |
| 6/01 | 92 | 15.6 | 7.7 | 516 | 109 | 11.1 | 12.4 | 32 |
| 6/02 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/03 | 87 | 15.5 | 6.8 | 80 | 115 | 8.9 | 14.5 | 2 |
| 6/04 | 91 | 18.5 | 7.5 | 544 | 106 | 8.3 | 11.6 | 19 |
| 6/05 | 93 | 13.4 | 8.0 | 200 | 109 | 15.2 | 12.5 | 50 |
| 6/06 | 91 | 9.4 | 7.7 | 43 | 110 | 6.7 | 12.6 | 12 |
| 6/07 | 80 | 9.0 | 5.6 | 5 | 109 | 0.0 | 12.2 | 1 |
| 6/08 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/09 |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 6/10 | 90 | 21.1 | 7.4 | 355 | 124 | 34.1 | 18.6 | 15 |
| 6/11 | 92 | 16.4 | 7.7 | 270 | 109 | 7.0 | 12.3 | 3 |
| Totals |  |  |  | 5,278 |  |  |  | 1,618 |
| Means | 91 |  | 7.6 |  | 111 |  | 13.0 |  |

[^4]Age 1. $a=-8.8457 b=2.4068 r^{2}=0.6134 n=1,401$
Age 2. $a=-10.4802 b=2.7669 r^{2}=0.8421 n=427$
Discriminating length $=101.07 \mathrm{~mm}$
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Sampling day began at 1200 hours and ended at 1159 hours the next calendar day.

Table 8. Climatological and hydrological observations made at sockeye salmon smolt counting site at 0800 and 2000 hours, Ugashik River, 2002.

| Date | Cloud Cover ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Precipitation } \\ & (\mathrm{mm}) \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Wind Direction \& Velocity (km/h) |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Air Temperature }{ }^{\mathrm{b}} \\ \left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right) \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |  | Water Temperature$\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}\right)$$\qquad$ |  | Water <br> Clarity ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0800 | 2000 |  | 0800 | 2000 | 0800 | 2000 | 0800 | 2000 |  |
| 5/20 | 1 | 1 | 0.0 | ESE 24 | SE 13 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | clear |
| 5/21 | 1 | 3 | 0.0 | S 0-08 | SE 24-32 | 11.0 | n | 7.0 | n | clear |
| 5/22 | 4 | 3 | 0.0 | SE 16-24 | na | 11.0 | 11.5 | 7.0 | 8.0 | clear |
| 5/23 | 2 | 3 | trace | E 32-40 | SE 40 | 7.0 | 11.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | clear |
| 5/24 | 2 | 1 | 0.0 | SE 32-40 | SE 16-24 | 7.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | murky |
| 5/25 | 3 | 2 | 0.0 | ESE 32-40 | ESE 32 | 7.0 | 11.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | clear |
| 5/26 | 4 | 3 | 0.0 | E 08-16 | ESE 08-16 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | clear |
| 5/27 | 4 | 4 | trace | E 0-08 | E 24 | 10.0 | 12.0 | 7.0 | 7.0 | clear |
| 5/28 | 3 | 3 | trace | E 0-16 | W 08 | 8.0 | 13.0 | 6.5 | 7.0 | clear |
| 5/29 | 4 | 4 | trace | SSW 16 | W 16 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | clear |
| 5/30 | 4 | 2 | 0.0 | 0 | SSE 26 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 5.5 | 6.0 | clear |
| 5/31 | 3 | 3 | 0.0 | SE 16-24 | SE 23-26 | 10.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | clear |
| 6/01 | 4 | 3 | 0.0 | 0 | SE 18-21 | 9.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 7.5 | clear |
| 6/02 | 3 | 3 | 0.0 | SE 08 | SSE 18-23 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | clear |
| 6/03 | 3 | 4 | 0.0 | S 08-16 | SSE 18-24 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 8.0 | clear |
| 6/04 | 3 | 4 | 0.0 | 0 | NW 14-18 | 8.0 | 7.5 | 7.0 | 7.0 | clear |
| 6/05 | 4 | 3 | 2.5 | NNW 13-16 | NW 13-16 | 7.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | clear |
| 6/06 | 5 | 1 | 0.0 | 0 | SE 16-24 | 6.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 7.5 | clear |
| 6/07 | 4 | 4 | 0.0 | E 32-40 | SE 40-48 | 8.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | clear |
| 6/08 | 4 | 4 | 7.1 | NE 11-13 | SSE 40-48 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 6.0 | 8.0 | murky |
| 6/09 | 4 | 4 | 0.8 | E 29-35 | SE 23-27 | 7.0 | 9.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | murky |
| 6/10 | 4 | 4 | 3.1 | 0 | SSE 10-14 | 8.0 | 8.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | clear |
| 6/11 | 3 | 3 | 0.8 | 0 | NW 08-11 | 8.0 | 10.0 | 7.0 | 8.0 | clear |
| 6/12 | 4 | 1 | 0.8 | WSW 10-16 | 0 | 7.0 | 13.0 | 6.0 | 7.0 | clear |
| 6/13 | 5 | n | 0.5 | 0 | n | 5.0 | n | 6.0 | n | clear |

[^5]| Year of Migration | Age 1. |  |  |  | Age 2. |  |  |  | Age 3. |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Total } \\ \text { Estimate }^{\text {a }} \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Percent <br> of Total <br> Estimate | Mean Length (mm) | Mean Weight <br> (g) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & \text { Year } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Percent of Total Estimate | Mean Length (mm) | Mean Weight <br> (g) | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Brood } \\ & \text { Year } \end{aligned}$ | Percent of Total Estimate | Mean Length (mm) | Mean Weight (g) |  |
| 1958 | 1956 | - | 93 | 6.4 | 1955 | - | 112 | 11.7 | 1954 | - | - | - | - |
| 1959 | 1957 | - | 90 | 6.1 | 1956 | - | 120 | 13.5 | 1955 | - | - | - |  |
| 1960 | 1958 | - | 90 | 6.6 | 1957 | - | 104 | 11.0 | 1956 | - | - |  |  |
| 1961 | 1959 | - | 90 | 6.7 | 1958 | - | 112 | 12.2 | 1957 | - | - |  |  |
| 1962 | 1960 | - | 88 | 6.1 | 1959 | - | 112 | 12.3 | 1958 | - | - |  |  |
| 1963 | 1961 | - | 90 | 6.1 | 1960 | - | 104 | 9.6 | 1959 | - | - | - |  |
| 1964 | 1962 | - | 92 | 6.9 | 1961 | - | 118 | 12.7 | 1960 | - | - | - | - |
| 1965 | 1963 | - | 94 | 6.9 | 1962 | - | 114 | 12.5 | 1961 | - | - | - |  |
| 1967 | 1965 | - | 88 | 6.0 | 1964 | - | 113 | 12.2 | 1963 | - | - | - |  |
| 1968 | 1966 | - | 93 | 6.5 | 1965 | - | 113 | 10.7 | 1964 | - | - |  |  |
| 1969 | 1967 | - | 97 | 7.5 | 1966 | - | 121 | 14.5 | 1965 | - | - | - |  |
| 1970 | 1968 | - | 97 | 7.7 | 1967 | - | 125 | 15.9 | 1966 | - | - | - | - |
| 1972 | 1970 | - | 81 | 5.0 | 1969 | - | 112 | 11.2 | 1968 | - | 129 | 14.3 |  |
| 1973 | 1971 | - | 93 | 7.2 | 1970 | - | 113 | 11.9 | 1969 | - | 132 | 20.1 |  |
| 1974 | 1972 | - | 94 | 7.4 | 1971 | - | 119 | 13.6 | 1970 | - |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 1973 | - | 96 | 7.2 | 1972 | - | 116 | 13.0 | 1971 | - | 125 | 16.7 |  |
| 1982 | 1980 | - | 88 | 6.3 | 1979 | - | 113 | 13.0 | 1978 | - | 138 | 22.5 |  |
| 1983 | 1981 | 71 | 89 | 7.6 | 1980 | 29 | 111 | 13.2 | 1979 | - | - | - | 44,033,811 |
| 1984 | 1982 | 48 | 87 | 6.8 | 1981 | 52 | 102 | 10.3 | 1980 | 0 | 103 | 11.7 | 158,174,626 |
| 1985 | 1983 | 37 | 94 | 8.3 | 1982 | 63 | 107 | 11.8 | 1981 | - | - | - | 34,101,390 |
| 1986 | 1984 | 71 | 87 | 5.8 | 1983 | 29 | 114 | 10.9 | 1982 | - | - | - | 53,076,253 |
| 1987 | 1985 | 20 | 94 | 7.9 | 1984 | 80 | 107 | 11.1 | 1983 | 0 | 138 | 24.1 | 26,947,225 |
| 1988 | 1986 | 85 | 87 | 5.7 | 1985 | 15 | 109 | 10.8 | 1984 | 0 | 128 | 15.6 | 215,968,015 |
| 1989 | 1987 | 74 | 90 | 6.5 | 1986 | 26 | 108 | 10.7 | 1985 | - | - | - | 126,298,122 |
| 1990 | 1988 | 28 | 90 | 6.7 | 1987 | 72 | 108 | 11.8 | 1986 | - | - | - | 53,627,347 |
| 1991 | 1989 | 35 | 92 | 7.7 | 1988 | 65 | 107 | 11.6 | 1987 | - | - | - | 73,769,877 |
| 1992 b | 1990 |  | - | - | 1989 | - |  | - | 1988 |  | - |  |  |
| 1993 | 1991 | 83 | 92 | 8.0 | 1990 | 17 | 109 | 12.5 | 1989 | - | - | - | 70,747,074 |
| 1994 | 1992 | 81 | 89 | 6.7 | 1991 | 19 | 109 | 11.2 | 1990 | - | - | - | 30,030,624 |
| 1995 | 1993 | 31 | 93 | 7.8 | 1992 | 69 | 106 | 11.1 | 1991 | - | - | - | 22,234,137 |
| 1996 | 1994 | 44 | 101 | 9.9 | 1993 | 56 | 114 | 13.5 | 1992 | - | - | - | 2,576,812 |
| 1997 | 1995 | 92 | 92 | 7.9 | 1994 | 8 | 109 | 12.1 | 1993 | - | - | - | 15,519,783 |
| 1998 | 1996 | 82 | 91 | 6.4 | 1995 | 18 | 110 | 11.1 | 1994 | - | - |  | 12,624,441 |
| 1999 | 1997 | 99 | 91 | 6.8 | 1996 | 1 | 125 | 17.5 | 1995 | - | - | - | 10,631,631 |
| 2000 | 1998 | 18 | 95 | 8.4 | 1997 | 82 | 112 | 12.5 | 1996 | - | - | - | 10,880,559 |
| 2001 | 1999 | 82 | 92 | 7.3 | 1998 | 18 | 108 | 11.5 | 1997 | - | - | - | 35,123,888 |

[^6]Table 10. Water temperatures at sockeye salmon smolt counting site, Ugashik River, 1983-2002.

| Year | Sample Period | Water Temp ( $\mathrm{C}^{\circ}$ ) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Minimum | Mean | Maximum |
| 1983 | May 23 - June 11 | 6.0 | 7.3 | 8.5 |
| 1984 | May 20 - June 17 | 4.8 | 6.3 | 8.5 |
| 1985 | May 17 - June 09 | -1.0 | 4.3 | 7.0 |
| 1986 | May 23 - June 28 | 2.0 | 5.6 | 7.0 |
| 1987 | May 17 - June 13 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 9.0 |
| 1988 | May 17 - June 13 | 3.5 | 6.6 | 10.0 |
| 1989 | May 21 - June 16 | 3.0 | 5.8 | 8.8 |
| 1990 | May 21 - June 14 | 3.0 | 5.9 | 8.0 |
| 1991 | May 20 - June 14 | 4.0 | 5.9 | 8.5 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | May 18 - June 11 | 5.0 | 6.5 | 9.0 |
| 1994 | May 20 - June 13 | 4.5 | 6.5 | 10.0 |
| 1995 | May 23 - June 12 | 4.0 | 6.2 | 9.0 |
| 1996 | May 19 - June 13 | 3.0 | 5.6 | 7.5 |
| 1997 | May 10 - June 13 | 3.5 | 7.1 | 12.0 |
| 1998 | May 18 - June 13 | 3.5 | 5.5 | 7.5 |
| 1999 | May 18 - June 13 | 1.0 | 2.6 | 6.0 |
| 2000 | May 20 - June 12 | 3.0 | 5.9 | 10.0 |
| 2001 | May 20 - June 12 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| Mean |  | 3.5 | 5.9 | 8.6 |
| 2002 | May 20 - June 13 | 5.5 | 7.0 | 8.0 |
| Difference from Mean |  | 2.0 | 1.1 | -0.6 |

[^7]Table 11. Sockeye salmon spawning escapement, total number of smolt produced by age class, percent of total smolt production by age class, and number of smolt produced per spawner for 1979-2000 brood years, Ugashik River.

| Brood Year | Total Spawning Escapement | Number of Smolt Produced |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Age 1. |  | Age 2. | \% ${ }^{\text {b }}$ ) | Age 3. $\left(\%{ }^{\text {b }}\right.$ ) | Total | Per <br> Spawner |
| 1979 | 1,700,904 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |
| 1980 | 3,321,384 |  |  | 12,736,379 |  | 26,384 |  |  |
| 1981 | 1,326,762 | 31,297,432 | 27 | 82,656,993 | 73 | 0 | 113,954,425 | 85.9 |
| 1982 | 1,157,526 | 75,491,249 | 78 | 21,407,762 | 22 | 0 | 96,899,011 | 83.7 |
| 1983 | 1,000,614 | 12,693,628 | 46 | 15,186,101 | 54 | 1,677 | 27,881,406 | 27.9 |
| 1984 | 1,241,418 | 37,890,152 | 64 | 21,483,727 | 36 | 9,598 | 59,383,477 | 47.8 |
| 1985 | 998,232 | 5,461,821 | 14 | 33,238,739 | 86 | 0 | 38,700,560 | 38.8 |
| 1986 | 1,001,492 | 182,719,678 | 85 | 32,278,743 | 15 | 0 | 214,998,421 | 214.7 |
| 1987 | 668,964 | 94,019,379 | 71 | 38,789,387 | 29 | 0 | 132,808,766 | 198.5 |
| 1988 | 642,972 | 14,837,960 | 24 | 47,713,086 | 76 | $-^{\text {c }}$ | 62,551,046 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $97.3{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1989 | 1,681,302 | 26,056,791 |  | $-^{\text {c }}$ |  | 0 |  | d |
| 1990 | 730,038 | - |  | 12,415,518 |  | 0 | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1991 | 2,457,306 | 58,331,556 | 91 | 5,725,543 | 9 | 0 | 64,057,099 | 26.1 |
| 1992 | 2,173,692 | 24,305,081 | 61 | 15,272,807 | 39 | 0 | 39,577,888 | 18.2 |
| 1993 | 1,389,534 | 6,961,330 | 83 | 1,429,625 | 17 | 0 | 8,390,955 | 6.0 |
| 1994 | 1,080,858 | 1,147,187 | 49 | 1,199,949 | 51 | 0 | 2,347,136 | 2.2 |
| 1995 | 1,304,058 | 14,319,834 | 86 | 2,292,099 | 14 | 0 | 16,611,933 | 12.7 |
| 1996 | 667,518 | 10,332,342 | 99 | 56,184 | 1 | 0 | 10,388,526 | 15.6 |
| 1997 | 618,396 | 10,545,429 | 54 | 8,876,726 | 46 | 0 | 19,422,155 | 31.4 |
| 1998 | 890,508 | 2,003,833 | 24 | 6,248,929 | 76 | 6,523 0 | 8,259,285 | 9.3 |
| 1999 | 1,647,036 | 28,874,959 | 76 | 9,064,330 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 24 | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 37,939,289 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | $23.0{ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 2000 | 620,040 | 38,556,789 |  |  |  | d | d | d |
| 1988-1997 Max | 2,457,306 | 58,331,556 | 99 | 47,713,086 | 76 |  | 64,057,099 | 97.3 |
| 1988-1997 Avg | 1,274,567 | 18,537,501 | 68 | 10,553,504 | 32 |  | 27,918,342 | 26.2 |
| 1988-1997 Min | 618,396 | 1,147,187 | 24 | 56,184 | 1 |  | 2,347,136 | 2.2 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ugashik River tower count only. Does not include aerial survey index counts from King Salmon River or Dog Salmon River.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Percent of total smolt production.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ No smolt data collected in 1992, therefore smolt production data for the 1988 (Age 3.), 1989 (Age 2.), and 1990 (Age 1.) brood years are incomplete.
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Incomplete returns from brood year escapements.

Table 12. Sockeye salmon spawning escapements, smolt production, adult returns, and smolt survival (number of adults produced per smolt) for
1979-2000 brood years, Ugashik River.

| Brood Year | Total Spawning Escapement ${ }^{a}$ | Age 1. |  |  | Age 2. |  |  | Age 3. |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Number of Smolt | Adult Returns ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Adult <br> Returns per Smolt | Number of Smolt | Adult <br> Returns ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Adult Returns per Smolt | Number of Smolt | Adult Returns | Adult Returns per Smolt |
| 1979 | 1,700,904 |  | 3,960,210 |  |  | 2,045,642 |  |  | 0 |  |
| 1980 | 3,321,384 |  | 3,503,629 |  | 12,736,379 | 4,262,289 | 0.33 | 26,384 | 2,600 | 0.10 |
| 1981 | 1,326,762 | 31,297,432 | 4,241,375 | 0.14 | 82,656,993 | 3,215,237 | 0.04 | 0 | 1,682 |  |
| 1982 | 1,157,526 | 75,491,249 | 1,146,491 | 0.02 | 21,407,762 | 1,345,244 | 0.06 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1983 | 1,000,614 | 12,693,628 | 995,579 | 0.08 | 15,186,101 | 957,765 | 0.06 | 1,677 | 957 |  |
| 1984 | 1,241,418 | 37,890,152 | 1,052,692 | 0.03 | 21,483,727 | 4,399,295 | 0.20 | 9,598 | 6,732 |  |
| 1985 | 998,232 | 5,461,821 | 1,233,686 | 0.23 | 33,238,739 | 1,454,422 | 0.04 |  | 0 |  |
| 1986 | 1,001,492 | 182,719,678 | 3,001,968 | 0.02 | 32,278,743 | 3,639,400 | 0.11 | 0 | 4,459 |  |
| 1987 | 668,964 | 94,019,379 | 2,478,649 | 0.03 | 38,789,387 | 4,215,483 | 0.11 | 0 | 34,612 |  |
| 1988 | 642,972 | 14,837,960 | 1,193,721 | 0.08 | 47,713,086 | 4,426,031 | 0.09 | d | 29,819 |  |
| 1989 | 1,681,302 | 26,056,791 | 1,104,400 | 0.04 | d | 3,449,364 | c | 0 | 9,880 |  |
| 1990 | 730,038 | ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 1,057,589 | c | 12,415,518 | 3,535,693 | 0.28 | 0 | 1,733 |  |
| 1991 | 2,457,306 | 58,331,556 | 5,221,578 | 0.09 | 5,725,543 | 927,616 | 0.16 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1992 | 2,173,692 | 24,305,081 | 791,283 | 0.03 | 15,272,807 | 1,852,920 | 0.12 | 0 | 1,181 |  |
| 1993 | 1,389,534 | 6,961,330 | 636,963 | 0.09 | 1,429,625 | 445,814 | 0.31 | 0 | 771 |  |
| 1994 | 1,080,858 | 1,147,187 | 676,862 | 0.59 | 1,199,949 | 976,591 | 0.81 | 0 | 1,096 |  |
| 1995 | 1,304,058 | 14,319,834 | 4,405,733 | 0.31 | 2,292,099 | 269,718 | 0.12 | 0 | $232{ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |
| 1996 | 667,518 | 10,332,342 | 1,224,353 | 0.12 | 56,184 | 118,262 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | c | 0 | 1,196 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  |
| 1997 | 618,396 | 10,575,429 | 926,831 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ | $0.09{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 8,876,726 | 1,560,817 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 0 | $0^{\text {e }}$ |  |
| 1998 | 890,508 | 2,003,833 | 205,264 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 6,248,929 | 1,413 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 6,523 |  |  |
| 1999 | 1,647,036 | 28,874,959 | 5,626 ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |  | 9,064,330 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2000 | 620,040 | 38,556,789 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1986-1995 Max | 2,457,306 | 182,719,678 | 5,221,578 | 0.59 | 47,713,086 | 4,426,031 | 0.81 | 0 | 34,612 |  |
| 1986-1995 Avg | 1,313,022 | 46,966,533 | 2,056,875 | 0.14 | 17,457,417 | 2,373,863 | 0.24 | 0 | 8,378 |  |
| 1986-1995 Min | 642,972 | 1,147,187 | 636,963 | 0.02 | 1,199,949 | 269,718 | 0.09 | 0 | 0 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Ugashik River tower count only. Does not include aerial survey index counts from King Salmon River or Dog Salmon River.
Includes estimates of adult returns through 2001.
${ }^{6}$ Insufficient smolt data to complete this calculation.
 because no smolt data were collected in 1992.
e Future adult returns will increase these values.

Table 13. Comparison of the age composition of outmigrating sockeye salmon smolt at Ugashik River with the freshwater age composition of their total adult returns by brood year, 1981-1995.

| Smolt Outmigration Year | Brood Year | Freshwater Age | Proportion of Total |  | Difference | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Smolt | Adult |  |  |
| 1983 | 1981 | Age 1. | 0.27 | 0.57 | -0.30 | No ice or weather problems noted. |
|  | 1980 | Age 2. | - | - |  |  |
| 1984 | 1982 | Age 1. | 0.78 | 0.46 | 0.32 | No ice or weather problems noted. |
|  | 1981 | Age 2. | 0.73 | 0.43 | 0.30 |  |
| 1985 | 1983 | Age 1. | 0.46 | 0.51 | -0.05 | Ice present - 5/17-5/21 intermittent |
|  | 1982 | Age 2. | 0.22 | 0.54 | -0.32 |  |
| 1986 | 1984 | Age 1. | 0.64 | 0.19 | 0.45 | No ice or weather problems noted. |
|  | 1983 | Age 2. | 0.54 | 0.49 | 0.05 |  |
| 1987 | 1985 | Age 1. | 0.14 | 0.46 | -0.32 | No ice or weather problems noted. |
|  | 1984 | Age 2. | 0.36 | 0.81 | -0.45 |  |
| 1988 | 1986 | Age 1. | 0.85 | 0.45 | 0.40 | No ice or weather problems noted. |
|  | 1985 | Age 2. | 0.86 | 0.54 | 0.32 |  |
| 1989 | 1987 | Age 1. | 0.71 | 0.37 | 0.34 | No ice or weather problems noted. |
|  | 1986 | Age 2. | 0.15 | 0.55 | -0.40 |  |
| 1990 | 1988 | Age 1. | 0.24 | 0.21 | 0.03 | Poor Weather - 199 h disabled time |
|  | 1987 | Age 2. | 0.29 | 0.63 | -0.34 |  |
| 1991 | 1989 | Age 1. | a | 0.24 |  | Poor Weather - 187 h disabled time |
|  | 1988 | Age 2. | 0.76 | 0.78 | -0.02 |  |
| 1992 | 1990 | Age 1. | b | 0.23 |  | No smolt data. |
|  | 1989 | Age 2. | b | 0.76 |  |  |
| 1993 | 1991 | Age 1. | 0.91 | 0.85 | 0.06 | Bad Weather - 264 h disabled time |
|  | 1990 | Age 2. | c | 0.77 |  |  |
| 1994 | 1992 | Age 1. | 0.61 | 0.30 | 0.31 | Good Weather - 42 h disabled time |
|  | 1991 | Age 2. | 0.09 | 0.15 | -0.06 |  |
| 1995 | 1993 | Age 1. | 0.83 | 0.59 | 0.24 | Excellent Weather - 21 h disabled time |
|  | 1992 | Age 2. | 0.39 | 0.70 | -0.31 |  |
| 1996 | 1994 | Age 1. | 0.49 | 0.41 | 0.08 | Fair Weather - 109 h disabled time |
|  | 1993 | Age 2. | 0.17 | 0.41 | -0.24 |  |
| 1997 | 1995 | Age 1. | 0.86 | 0.94 | -0.08 | Good Weather - 41 h disabled time |
|  | 1994 | Age 2. | 0.51 | 0.59 | -0.08 |  |
| 1998 | 1996 | Age 1. | 0.99 | d |  | Fair Weather - 115 h disabled time |
|  | 1995 | Age 2. | 0.14 | 0.06 | 0.08 |  |
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## FIGURES


Figure 1. Map of Bristol Bay showing the locations of smolt sonar sites.


Figure 2. Map of Ugashik River drainage showing the location of the sockeye salmon smolt sonar site.

Figure 3. River bottom profile and sonar array placement at Ugashik River smolt sonar site, 2002.



Figure 4. Ugashik River smolt sonar disabled time because of weather by smolt day and hour, May 19 to June 12, 2002.

Figure 5. Comparison of the percent of the 2002 total adjusted sonar counts by smolt day at Ugashik River smolt sonar with the

Figure 6. Comparison of the cumulative percent of the 2002 total adjusted sonar counts by smolt day at Ugashik River smolt sonar with the

Figure 7. Comparison of the percent of the 2002 total adjusted sonar counts by hour at Ugashik River smolt sonar with the 1991-2001 mean.



Figure 8. Comparison of the age composition of outmigrating sockeye salmon smolt at Ugashik River with the freshwater age composition of the total adult returns by brood year, 1986-1995.

## APPENDIX A: RIVER WIDTH AND DISTANCE BETWEEN ARRAYS

Appendix A.1. River width and distance between arrays at Ugashik River smolt sonar site ${ }^{\text {a }}$, 1988-2002.

| Year | Distance (m) |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Left Bank Shore | $\begin{gathered} \text { Offshore } \\ \text { Limit } \\ \text { Dead Zone }{ }^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | Offshore Array | Inshore Array | $\begin{gathered} \text { Inshore } \\ \text { Limit } \\ \text { Dead Zone }{ }^{\text {b }} \end{gathered}$ | Right Bank Shore |
| 1988 | 49 | na | 29 | 23 | na | 0 |
| 1989 | 43 | 34 | 28 | 23 | 12 | 0 |
| 1990 | 43 | 37 | 31 | 26 | 12 | 0 |
| 1991 | 43 | 37 | 30 | 26 | 12 | 0 |
| $1992{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 43 | 35 | 30 | 26 | 12 | 0 |
| 1994 | 43 | 37 | 32 | 27 | 12 | 0 |
| 1995 | 43 | 37 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 0 |
| 1996 | 41 | 35 | 30 | 26 | 11 | 0 |
| 1997 | 42 | 38 | 32 | 27 | 11 | 0 |
| 1998 | 44 | 38 | 33 | 27 | 14 | 0 |
| 1999 | 44 | 38 | 31 | 27 | 12 | 0 |
| 2000 | 45 | 38 | 33 | 28 | 14 | 0 |
| 2001 | 40 | 34 | 30 | 24 | 12 | 0 |
| 2002 | 41 | 36 | 31 | 27 | 13 | 0 |
| 1989-01 Max | 45 | 38 | 33 | 28 | 14 | 0 |
| 1989-01 Avg | 43 | 36 | 31 | 26 | 12 | 0 |
| 1989-01 Min | 40 | 34 | 28 | 23 | 11 | 0 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ The Ugashik River smolt sonar site was located 50 m downstream from the outlet of Lower Ugashik Lake, 1988-2002. The smolt sonar tent is located on the right bank of the river at $-57^{\circ} 33.89^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$ latitude $156^{\circ} 59.90^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$ longitude.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ na $=$ not available
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Due to budget cuts, the smolt outmigration was not monitored on the Ugashik River in 1992.

## APPENDIX B: WINTER ICE-COVER DATES

Appendix B.1. Ice-cover dates for Upper and Lower Ugashik Lakes, 1977-2002.

| Winter of | Freeze-up Date ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Break-up Date ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Total Days of Ice Cover | Comments ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | (dd-mmm) | Julian Day | (dd-mmm) | Julian Day |  |  |
| 1976-1977 |  |  | 6-Apr | 96 |  |  |
| 1977-1978 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1978-1979 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1979-1980 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1980-1981 |  |  |  |  |  | Still open 16-Dec |
| 1981-1982 |  |  | 12-May | 132 |  |  |
| 1982-1983 | 18-Jan | 18 |  |  |  | Partially open 31-Mar |
| 1983-1984 | 16-Jan ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 16 |  |  |  |  |
| 1984-1985 | 11-Feb | 42 | 14-May | 134 | 92 |  |
| 1985-1986 | 26-Feb | 57 | 9-May | 129 | 72 |  |
| 1986-1987 | 12-Mar ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 71 |  |  |  |  |
| 1987-1988 | 9-Dec | -22 | 24-Mar | 84 | 106 |  |
| 1988-1989 | 17-Jan | 17 | 10-May | 130 | 113 |  |
| 1989-1990 | 21-Feb | 52 | 25-Apr | 115 | 63 |  |
| 1990-1991 | 8-Jan | 8 |  |  |  |  |
| 1991-1992 | 27-Jan | 27 | 4-May | 125 | 98 |  |
| 1992-1993 | 20-Jan | 20 | 31-Mar | 90 | 70 |  |
| 1993-1994 | 16-Feb | 47 | 8-Apr | 98 | 51 |  |
| 1994-1995 | 24-Jan | 24 | 28-Apr | 118 | 94 |  |
| 1995-1996 | 8-Jan | 8 | 15-Apr | 106 | 98 |  |
| 1996-1997 | 13-Dec ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | -18 | 26-Apr ${ }^{\text {d }}$ | 116 | 134 |  |
| 1997-1998 | 5-Jan | 5 | 4-Apr | 94 | 89 |  |
| 1998-1999 | 22-Jan | 22 | 19-May | 139 | 117 |  |
| 1999-2000 | 25-Dec | -6 | 7-Apr | 98 | 103 |  |
| 2000-2001 | e |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2001-2002 | 10-Jan | 10 | 30-Apr | 120 | 110 | Estimated freeze-up \& break-up dates. |
| 1977-2002 Min | 9-Dec |  | 24-Mar |  | 51 |  |
| 1977-2002 Avg | 20-Jan |  | 22-Apr |  | 94 |  |
| 1977-2002 Max | 12-Mar |  | 19-May |  | 134 |  |
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## APPENDIX C: FYKE NET CATCH

Appendix C.1. Ugashik River smolt fyke net catch log, 2002.

| Smolt <br> Day | Cod <br> End <br> No. | Time ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Total Time Fished (min) |  | Smolt Catch |  | CPUE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Set | Pulled | per Set | per Smolt Day | per Set | per Smolt Day |  |
| $\begin{array}{r} 5 / 20 \\ \hline 5 / 21 \end{array}$ | 001 | 2319 | 0100 | 101 | 101 | 197 | 197 | 2 |
|  | 002 | 2325 | 2354 | 29 |  | 100 |  | 3 |
|  | 003 | 0005 | 0023 | 18 |  | 104 |  | 6 |
|  | 004 | 0025 | 0055 | 30 | 77 | 156 | 360 | 5 |
| 5/22 | 005 | 2315 | 2339 | 24 |  | 87 |  | 4 |
|  | 006 | 2342 | 2358 | 16 |  | 108 |  | 7 |
|  | 007 | 0008 | 0048 | 40 | 80 | 28 | 223 | 1 |
| 5/23 | 008 | 2318 | 0050 | 92 | 92 | 4 | 4 | 0 |
| 5/24 | 009 | 2333 | 2335 | 2 |  | 121 |  | 61 |
|  | 010 | 2337 | 2349 | 12 |  | 115 |  | 10 |
|  | 011 | 2350 | 2358 | 8 |  | 124 |  | 16 |
|  | 012 | 0001 | 0006 | 5 |  | 109 |  | 22 |
|  | 013 | 0008 | 0021 | 13 |  | 100 |  | 8 |
|  | 014 | 0022 | 0033 | 11 | 51 | 110 | 679 | 10 |
| 5/25 | 015 | 2356 | 0016 | 20 |  | 88 |  | 4 |
|  | 016 | 0018 | 0022 | 4 |  | 106 |  | 27 |
|  | 017 | 0023 | 0050 | 27 | 51 | 12 | 206 | 0 |
| 5/26 | 018 | 2311 | 2317 | 6 |  | 143 |  | 24 |
|  | 019 | 2319 | 2321 | 2 |  | 103 |  | 52 |
|  | 020 | 2323 | 2324 | 1 |  | 106 |  | 106 |
|  | 021 | 2326 | 2333 | 7 |  | 110 |  | 16 |
|  | 022 | 2334 | 2337 | 3 |  | 105 |  | 35 |
|  | 023 | 2340 | 2352 | 12 | 31 | 114 | 681 | 10 |
| 5/27 | 024 | 2321 | 2327 | 6 |  | 110 |  | 18 |
|  | 025 | 2330 | 2333 | 3 |  | 117 |  | 39 |
|  | 026 | 2335 | 2336 | 1 |  | 127 |  | 127 |
|  | 027 | 2339 | 2341 | 2 |  | 100 |  | 50 |
|  | 028 | 2344 | 2347 | 3 |  | 112 |  | 37 |
|  | 029 | 2350 | 2352 | 2 | 17 | 113 | 679 | 57 |
| 5/28 | 030 | 2332 | 2340 | 8 |  | 109 |  | 14 |
|  | 031 | 2343 | 2345 | 2 |  | 115 |  | 58 |
|  | 032 | 2347 | 2349 | 2 |  | 111 |  | 56 |
|  | 033 | 2351 | 2353 | 2 |  | 106 |  | 53 |
|  | 034 | 2355 | 2357 | 2 |  | 119 |  | 60 |
|  | 035 | 2359 | 0001 | 2 | 18 | 106 | 666 | 53 |
| 5/29 | 036 | 2333 | 2340 | 7 |  | 102 |  | 15 |
|  | 037 | 2342 | 2345 | 3 |  | 113 |  | 38 |
|  | 038 | 2347 | 2352 | 5 |  | 111 |  | 22 |
|  | 039 | 2354 | 0033 | 39 |  | 100 |  | 3 |
|  | 040 | 0035 | 0039 | 4 |  | 107 |  | 27 |
|  | 041 | 0041 | 0044 | 3 | 61 | 113 | 646 | 38 |
| 5/30 | 042 | 2321 | 2327 | 6 |  | 105 |  | 18 |
|  | 043 | 2329 | 2333 | 4 |  | 105 |  | 26 |
|  | 044 | 2336 | 2337 | 1 |  | 124 |  | 124 |
|  | 045 | 2339 | 2345 | 6 |  | 119 |  | 20 |
|  | 046 | 2349 | 0002 | 13 |  | 112 |  | 9 |
|  | 047 | 0005 | 0008 | 3 | 33 | 123 | 688 | 41 |
| 5/31 | 048 | 2326 | 2328 | 2 |  | 109 |  | 55 |
|  | 049 | 2330 | 2332 | 2 |  | 115 |  | 58 |
|  | 050 | 2334 | 2335 | 1 |  | 114 |  | 114 |
|  | 051 | 2339 | 2340 | 1 |  | 110 |  | 110 |
|  | 052 | 2342 | 2343 | 1 |  | 111 |  | 111 |
|  | 053 | 2346 | 2347 | 1 | 8 | 100 | 659 | 100 |
| 6/01 | 054 | 2316 | 2318 | 2 |  | 110 |  | 55 |
|  | 055 | 2322 | 2324 | 2 |  | 113 |  | 57 |
|  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { continued- } \\ & 60 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |

Appendix C.1. Ugashik River smolt fyke net catch log, 2002 (page 2 of 2).

| Smolt Day | Cod <br> End <br> No. | Time ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  | Total Time Fished (min) |  | Smolt Catch |  | CPUE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Set | Pulled | per Set | per Smolt Day | per Set | per Smolt Day |  |
|  | 056 | 2326 | 2327 | 1 |  | 104 |  | 104 |
|  | 057 | 2329 | 2331 | 2 |  | 106 |  | 53 |
|  | 058 | 2333 | 2337 | 4 |  | 107 |  | 27 |
|  | 059 | 2340 | 2345 | 5 | 16 | 109 | 649 | 22 |
| 6/02 | 060 | 2322 | 0055 | 93 | 93 | 13 | 13 | 0 |
| 6/03 | 061 | 2326 | 0002 | 36 |  | 174 |  | 5 |
|  | 062 | 0004 | 0050 | 46 | 82 | 8 | 182 | 0 |
| 6/04 | 063 | 2315 | 2316 | 1 |  | 117 |  | 117 |
|  | 064 | 2320 | 2326 | 6 |  | 12 |  | 2 |
|  | 065 | 2329 | 2331 | 2 |  | 105 |  | 53 |
|  | 066 | 2334 | 2336 | 2 |  | 110 |  | 55 |
|  | 067 | 2338 | 0003 | 25 |  | 110 |  | 4 |
|  | 068 | 0005 | 0030 | 25 | 61 | 109 | 563 | 4 |
| 6/05 | 069 | 2312 | 2341 | 29 |  | 108 |  | 4 |
|  | 070 | 2344 | 0004 | 20 |  | 109 |  | 5 |
|  | 071 | 0008 | 0012 | 4 |  | 114 |  | 29 |
|  | 072 | 0015 | 0050 | 35 | 88 | 19 | 350 | 1 |
| 6/06 | 073 | 2321 | 0036 | 75 |  | 100 |  | 1 |
|  | 074 | 0038 | 0050 | 12 | 87 | 55 | 155 | 5 |
| 6/07 | 075 | 2317 | 0050 | 93 | 93 | 6 | 6 | 0 |
| 6/08 | 076 | 2314 | 0050 | 96 | 96 | 19 | 19 | 0 |
| 6/09 | 077 | 2333 | 0050 | 77 | 77 | 98 | 98 | 1 |
| 6/10 | 078 | 2311 | 2329 | 18 |  | 106 |  | 6 |
|  | 079 | 2333 | 0002 | 29 |  | 104 |  | 4 |
|  | 080 | 0005 | 0012 | 7 |  | 111 |  | 16 |
|  | 081 | 0015 | 0021 | 6 |  | 108 |  | 18 |
|  | 082 | 0024 | 0050 | 26 | 86 | 41 | 470 | 2 |
| 6/11 | 083 | 2325 | 2355 | 30 |  | 106 |  | 4 |
|  | 084 | 2359 | 0009 | 10 |  | 124 |  | 12 |
|  | 085 | 0013 | 0015 | 2 |  | 115 |  | 58 |
|  | 086 | 0019 | 0050 | 31 | 73 | 28 | 373 | 1 |
| 6/12 | 087 | 2315 | 0050 | 95 | 95 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| Max |  |  |  | 101 | 101 | 197 | 688 | 127 |
| Avg |  |  |  | 18 | 65 | 98 | 357 | 31 |
| Min |  |  |  | 1 | 8 | 2 | 2 | 0 |

${ }^{a}$ Military time - 24 hour clock (hhmm).
${ }^{\text {b }}$ CPUE $=$ catch per unit effort
Appendix C.2. Ugashik River fyke net catches by smolt day and species, May 20 to June 12, 2002.

| $\begin{aligned} & \text { Smolt } \\ & \text { Day } \end{aligned}$ | Catch Estimate (No. of Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Fished (h) | Sockeye Smolt | Sticklebacks |  | Sculpin |  |  | Chinook Juvenile | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Coho } \\ \text { Juvenile } \end{array}$ | Chum <br> Fry | $\begin{gathered} \text { Pink } \\ \text { Fry } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Lamprey (Species) | Whitefish (Species) | Pond <br> Smelt | Rainbow <br> Trout (fry) | Northern |
|  |  |  | Threespine | Ninespine | Coastrange | Fourhorn | Slimy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/20 | 1.7 | 197 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $5 / 21$ | 1.3 | 360 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $5 / 22$ | 1.3 | 223 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/23 | 1.5 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/24 | 0.9 | 679 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/25 | 0.9 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/26 | 0.5 | 681 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/27 | 0.3 | 672 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/28 | 0.3 | 666 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/29 | 1.0 | 646 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/30 | 0.6 | 688 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 5/31 | 0.1 | 659 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/01 | 0.3 | 649 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $6 / 02$ | 1.6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| 6/03 | 1.4 | 182 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/04 | 1.0 | 663 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/05 | 1.5 | 350 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| $6 / 06$ | 1.5 | 155 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/07 | 1.6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/08 | 1.6 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/09 | 1.3 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/10 | 1.5 | 470 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 6/11 | 1.2 | 373 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/12 | 1.6 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 26.2 | 8,659 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| Max | 1.7 | 688 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Avg | 1.1 | 361 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Min | 0.1 | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix C.3. Ugashik River fyke net catches by hour and species, May 20 to June 12, 2002.

| Hour | a | Time Fished <br> (h) | Catch Estimate (No. of Fish) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Sockeye | Sticklebacks |  | Sculpin |  |  | Chinook Juvenile | Coho Juvenile | ChumFry | Pink Fry | Lamprey (Species) | Whitefish (Species) | Pond Smelt | Rainbow <br> Trout (fry) | Northern Pike |
|  |  |  | Smolt | Threespine | Ninespine | Coastrange | Fourhorn | Slimy |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1200 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1300 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1400 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1500 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1600 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1700 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1800 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1900 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2000 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2100 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2200 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2300 | b | 12.5 | 6,248 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 2400 | c | 13.8 | 2,411 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |
| 0100 | c | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0200 | c | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0300 | c | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0400 | c | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0500 | ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0600 | b | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0700 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0800 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 0900 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1000 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1100 |  | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| Total |  | 26.2 | 8,659 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 84 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 |

[^10]

Appendix C.4. Estimated sockeye salmon smolt fyke net catch and time fished by smolt day and hour at Ugashik River, 2002.

## APPENDIX D: RIVER VELOCITIES

Appendix D.1. Ugashik River water velocity at the inshore smolt sonar array, 1983-2002.

|  | Water Velocity (ft/sec) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | 1983 | 1984 | 1985 | 1986 | 1987 | 1988 | 1989 | 1990 | 1991 | 1992 | 1993 | 1994 | 1995 | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | $\begin{array}{r} 1983-2001 \\ \text { Average } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| 5/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.99 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.99 |
| 5/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/11 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/15 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/16 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.13 |  |  |  |  |  | 5.13 |
| 5/17 |  |  |  |  | 5.17 | 7.15 |  |  |  |  | 7.84 |  |  |  |  | 6.30 |  |  |  |  | 6.62 |
| 5/18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.91 | 5.01 |  |  | 6.12 |  | 5.81 | 5.01 |
| 5/20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.23 | 5.78 |  |  | 7.60 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.38 |  | 6.25 |
| 5/21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/22 | 8.00 |  |  | 3.16 |  |  | 4.13 |  |  |  |  |  | 9.48 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.19 |
| 5/23 |  |  | 4.10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.86 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.48 |
| 5/24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.49 | 6.12 |  |  |  | 6.31 |
| 5/25 | 7.63 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.12 |  |  | 7.36 |
| 5/26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.78 |  | 4.52 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.15 |
| 5/27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.38 |  | 5.38 |
| 5/28 |  | 5.56 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.73 | 7.82 |  |  |  | 8.93 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.08 | 7.26 |
| 5/29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.82 |  |  |  |  |  | 4.82 |
| 5/30 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.90 |
| 5/31 |  |  |  | 3.89 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.74 | 6.30 | 5.93 |  |  | 5.97 |
| 6/01 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.19 |
| 6/02 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.12 |  |  |  |  | 7.23 |  | 4.45 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5.60 |
| 6/03 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.19 |  |
| 6/04 |  |  | 4.93 |  |  |  | 6.17 | 6.84 |  |  |  |  | 8.72 |  | 4.88 |  |  |  | 5.75 |  | 6.21 |
| 6/05 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.70 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.70 |
| 6/06 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.59 |  |  |  |  | 8.59 |
| 6/07 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.91 |  |  |  | 6.91 |
| 6/08 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.34 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.67 |  |  | 7.51 |
| 6/09 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.04 | 7.53 | 4.47 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.35 |
| 6/10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.47 |  |
| 6/11 |  |  |  | 3.80 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7.60 |  |  |  | 5.70 |
| 6/12 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.51 | 6.67 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.59 |
| 6/13 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/14 |  |  |  |  | 4.94 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4.94 |
| 6/15 |  |  |  |  |  | 6.95 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6.95 |
| Max | 8.00 | 5.56 | 4.93 | 3.89 | 5.17 | 7.15 | 6.51 | 6.84 | 7.82 |  | 8.34 | 7.78 | 9.48 | 4.52 | 5.13 | 8.59 | 7.60 | 6.67 | 5.75 | 6.47 | 9.48 |
| Avg | 7.82 | 5.56 | 4.51 | 3.62 | 5.06 | 7.05 | 5.37 | 6.62 | 7.10 |  | 8.18 | 7.41 | 8.67 | 4.34 | 4.95 | 7.28 | 6.73 | 6.21 | 5.50 | 6.14 | 6.22 |
| Min | 7.63 | 5.56 | 4.10 | 3.16 | 4.94 | 6.95 | 4.13 | 6.23 | 5.78 |  | 7.84 | 7.04 | 7.53 | 3.91 | 4.82 | 6.30 | 6.12 | 5.93 | 5.38 | 5.81 | 3.16 |


Appendix D.2. Average water velocity at Ugashik River smolt sonar inshore array, May 15 to June 15, 1983-2002.

# APPENDIX E: SMOLT ESTIMATE DATA BY OUTMIGRATION YEAR 

Appendix E.1. Total smolt outmigration estimates for Ugashik River by outmigration year, 1983-2002.

| Year of Outmigration | Operating <br> Dates | Total Days Operated | Cumulative Percent by Date |  |  | Peak Daily |  | Total Smolt Estimate | Comments |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Smolt |  |  |
|  |  |  | 10\% | 50\% | 90\% | Date | Estimate |  |  |
| 1983 | 5/21-6/16 | 27 | 5/26 | 6/01 | 6/13 | 6/07 | 5,355,409 | 44,033,811 |  |
| 1984 | 5/22-6/16 | 26 | 5/24 | 6/01 | 6/08 | 6/01 | 26,771,956 | 158,174,626 |  |
| 1985 | 5/22-6/17 | 27 | 5/24 | 6/05 | 6/11 | 6/04 | 5,498,113 | 34,101,390 | Intermittent ice floes - 5/17-5/21 |
| 1986 | 5/21-6/13 | 24 | 5/30 | 6/02 | 6/10 | 5/30 | 9,142,549 | 53,076,253 |  |
| 1987 | 5/17-6/13 | 28 | 5/21 | 6/03 | 6/06 | 6/03 | 4,944,521 | 26,947,225 |  |
| 1988 | 5/17-6/13 | 28 | 5/28 | 6/06 | 6/10 | 6/07 | 55,816,902 | 215,968,015 |  |
| 1989 | 5/22-6/15 | 25 | 5/25 | 5/31 | 6/09 | 5/25 | 22,376,115 | 126,298,122 |  |
| 1990 | 5/20-6/13 | 25 | 5/26 | 5/30 | 6/07 | 5/29 | 13,459,723 | 53,627,347 | Poor Weather - 199 h disabled time |
| 1991 | 5/20-6/13 | 25 | 5/25 | 6/02 | 6/06 | 6/02 | 11,905,863 | 73,769,877 | Poor Weather - 187 h disabled time |
| 1992 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 5/17-6/11 | 26 | 5/26 | 5/30 | 6/06 | 5/26 | 12,360,357 | 70,747,074 | Bad Weather - 264 h disabled time |
| 1994 | 5/20-6/12 | 24 | 5/28 | 6/04 | 6/07 | 6/04 | 6,914,049 | 30,030,624 | Excellent Weather - 44 h disabled time |
| 1995 | 5/22-6/12 | 22 | 5/24 | 5/26 | 6/01 | 5/25 | 4,355,545 | 22,234,137 | Excellent Weather - 21 h disabled time |
| 1996 | 5/19-6/11 | 24 | 5/25 | 5/30 | 6/04 | 6/04 | 627,517 | 2,576,812 | Fair Weather - 105 h disabled time |
| 1997 | 5/10-6/12 | 34 | 5/18 | 5/24 | 5/30 | 5/24 | 4,065,127 | 15,519,783 | Excellent Weather - 31 h disabled time |
| 1998 | 5/17-6/12 | 27 | 5/27 | 6/05 | 6/11 | 6/05 | 2,058,183 | 12,624,441 | Fair Weather - 148 h disabled time |
| 1999 | 5/17-6/12 | 27 | 5/29 | 6/10 | 6/11 | 6/10 | 4,171,058 | 10,631,631 | Intermittent to heavy ice floes - 5/18-5/23; good weather - 62 h disabled time |
| 2000 | 5/19-6/11 | 24 | 5/25 | 5/31 | 6/05 | 6/01 | 1,908,369 | 10,880,559 | Good Weather - 88 h disabled time |
| 2001 | 5/20-6/12 | 24 | 5/29 | 6/03 | 6/07 | 6/02 | 6,018,400 | 35,123,888 | Excellent Weather - 15 h disabled time |
| 1991-01 Max |  | 34 | 5/29 | 6/10 | 6/11 | 6/10 | 12,360,357 | 73,769,877 |  |
| 1991-01 Avg |  | 23 | 5/25 | 6/01 | 6/05 | 6/01 | 5,438,447 | 28,413,883 |  |
| 1991-01 Min |  | 0 | 5/18 | 5/24 | 5/30 | 5/24 | 627,517 | 2,576,812 |  |
| 2002 | 5/19-6/12 | 25 | 5/26 | 5/31 | 6/04 | 5/31 | 7,903,931 | 47,627,642 | Fair Weather - 115 h disabled time |


Appendix E.2. Age composition of smolt outmigration estimates for Ugashik River by outmigration year, 1991-2002.

## APPENDIX F: MEAN WATER TEMPERATURES

Appendix F.1. Comparison of Ugashik River mean water temperatures at the start of the smolt sonar project and at the time of peak smolt passage, 1984-2002.

| Year | Sonar Startup |  | Peak Smolt Passage |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Smolt Day | Mean Water Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Smolt Day | Mean Water Temperature ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ |
| 1984 | 22-May | 4.5 | 1-Jun | 6.5 |
| 1985 | 22-May | 3.8 | 4-Jun | 5.5 |
| 1986 | 21-May | 3.0 | 30-May | 5.3 |
| 1987 | 17-May | 5.5 | 3-Jun | 7.3 |
| 1988 | 17-May | 6.0 | 7-Jun | 8.3 |
| 1989 | 22-May | 3.5 | 25-May | 4.8 |
| 1990 | 20-May | 3.0 | 29-May | 6.8 |
| 1991 | 20-May | 4.3 | 2-Jun | 6.5 |
| 1992 |  |  |  |  |
| 1993 | 17-May | 6.0 | 26-May | 7.5 |
| 1994 | 20-May | 5.9 | 4-Jun | 8.0 |
| 1995 | 22-May | 4.5 | 25-May | 5.3 |
| 1996 | 19-May | 4.0 | 4-Jun | 7.0 |
| 1997 | 10-May | 6.0 | 24-May | 6.5 |
| 1998 | 17-May | 3.5 | 5-Jun | 6.0 |
| 1999 | 21-May | 1.0 | 10-Jun | 5.0 |
| 2000 | 19-May | 4.5 | 1-Jun | 7.5 |
| 2001 | 20-May | 4.5 | 6 -Jun | 5.0 |
| Max |  | 6.0 |  | 8.3 |
| Avg |  | 4.3 |  | 6.4 |
| Min |  | 1.0 |  | 4.8 |
| 2002 | 19-May | 5.0 | 31-May | 7.0 |

${ }^{a}$ Project not conducted. No data collected.

## APPENDIX G. CLIMATOLOGICAL FACTORS THAT MAY HAVE AFFECTED THE FRESHWATER SURVIVAL OF 2002 SMOLT

The freshwater survival of sockeye salmon smolt from brood years 1998, 1999, and 2000 may have been affected by climatic factors outlined below; however, we have no direct information indicating the magnitude or direction of the effect.

|  | Juvenile sockeye salmon life stages by 12 month periods |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Brood <br> Year | July 1998 to June 1999 | July 1999 to June 2000 | July 2000 to June 2001 | July 2001 to June 2002 |
|  |  |  |  |  |
| 1998 |  | Egg / alevin / Age 0. fry/smolt | Age 1. fry/smolt | Age 2. fry/smolt |
| 1999 |  | Egg / alevin / Age 0. fry/smolt | Age 1. fry/smolt | Age 3. smolt |
| 2000 |  |  | Egg / alevin / Age 0. fry/smolt | Age 2.smolt |

## Air Temperature

According to air temperature data collected by the National Weather Service (1998; 1999; 2000; 2001; 2002a,b,c,d,e,f) the overall annual temperatures for King Salmon and vicinity from July through June in 1998-1999 was $-3.1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ colder, in 1999-2000 was $-1.6^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ colder, in 2000-2001 was $3.9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ warmer, and in 2001-2002 was $-0.3^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ colder than the 30 -year mean (Appendix G.1).

Average monthly temperatures for the same time periods are shown in (Appendix G.2). Some colder months which may have adversely impacted salmon eggs, fry, and smolt in the Kvichak and Ugashik River drainages were December $1998\left(7.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ below average); January, February, March, and December $1999\left(7.4^{\circ} \mathrm{F}, 6.1^{\circ} \mathrm{F}, 12.3{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}, 11.0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}, 15.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ below average $)$, January $2000\left(12.9^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right.$ below average); and December 2001 ( $9.4{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ below average). Temperatures during the remaining months were near or above the 30 -year mean, which should have been favorable for the development and survival of juvenile salmon. The spring and the fall of 2000, the winter of 2000/2001, and the spring of 2001 had very favorable temperatures for juvenile salmon.

Air temperatures during the winter of 1998-1999 were the coldest that the Bristol Bay area has experienced in the last 10-years. Between October 1998 and April 1999 there were 142 d with average daily air temperatures less than or equal to $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 45 d with average daily temperatures less than $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Appendix G.3). The winter of 1998-1999 had only 70 d with average daily air temperatures greater than $32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ which may have slowed development of salmon eggs and fry from the 1998 brood year. Below normal temperatures predominated from late November to midDecember (18 d), late December to early January (10 d), mid-January to mid- February (22 d) and late February to mid-March (19d).

During the winter of 1999-2000, air temperatures from October through January were colder than 1998-1999, but the remainder of the winter was much warmer. Between October 1999 and April 2000 there were 137 d with average daily air temperatures less than or equal to $32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 31 d with average daily temperatures less than $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Appendix G.4). The winter of 1999-2000 had 76 d with average daily air temperatures greater than $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ which may have benefited fry and smolt from the 1998 brood year as well as salmon eggs and fry from the 1999 brood year. Below normal temperatures predominated from late October to mid-January ( 67 d), late January to early February (5d), and late March to early April (7d).

The winter of 2000-2001 was one of the warmest winters in the last 30-years. Air temperatures from November through February were all well above normal. Between October 2000 and April 2001 there were 119 d with average air temperatures above $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}, 93 \mathrm{~d}$ with average daily air temperatures less than or equal to $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 2 d with average daily temperatures less than $0^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Appendix G.5). These milder winter temperatures may have created more favorable rearing conditions for salmon eggs and fry from the 2000 brood year as well as smolt and fry from the 1998-1999 brood years.

The winter of 2001-2002 was one of the coolest winters in the last 10-years. Air temperatures from mid-October through mid-November were below normal and December was the coldest month of the winter. Between October 2001 and April 2002 there were only 48 d with average air temperatures above $32^{\circ} \mathrm{F}, 114 \mathrm{~d}$ with average daily air temperatures less than or equal to $32{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ and 20 d with average daily temperatures less than $0{ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ (Appendix G.6). These cooler temperatures may have slowed development and decreased survival of salmon eggs and fry from the 2001 brood year as well as smolt and fry from the 1998-2000 brood years.

## Precipitation

Precipitation data collected by the National Weather Service (1998; 1999; 2000; 2001; 2002a,b,c,d,e,f) for King Salmon and vicinity from July through June in 1998-1999, 1999-2000, 2000-2001, and 2001-2002 were 1.2 in more, 0.8 in less, 0.1 in more, and 0.9 in less than the $30-$ year mean annual precipitation of 19.2 in (Appendix G.7).

Average monthly precipitations during the 1998-1999 season fluctuated above and below the 30year mean (Appendix G.8). The average monthly precipitations for August and October were 3.59 in and $3.96 \mathrm{in} ; 27 \%$ and $86 \%$ greater than the 30 -year mean. This increased precipitation in the fall may have caused some flooding which could decrease freshwater survival of eggs from the 1998 brood year because of scouring and siltation of salmon redds. The months in which low precipitation may have impacted freshwater survival of sockeye salmon in east side Bristol Bay river systems were December through April. The precipitation for these months was $36 \%, 55 \%, 32 \%, 56 \%$, and $33 \%$ less than the 30 -year mean. It is unknown how this increase followed by decreases in precipitation may have effected the eggs, alevin, and age-0. fry (1998 brood year).

Average monthly precipitations during the 1999-2000 season were less than the 30-year mean in 7 out of 12 months (Appendix G.8). The months in which precipitation probably did not impact the
freshwater survival of sockeye salmon in east side Bristol Bay river systems were July through October, December through February, and June. The average monthly precipitations for the remaining 5 months were below the 30 -year mean. Low water levels may have reduced access to and availability of suitable adult salmon spawning habitat and juvenile rearing habitat. Lower than usual precipitation in the spring may also have dewatered some smaller tributaries and prevented fry from entering rearing areas in the lakes.

Average monthly precipitations during the 2000-2001 season were greater than or equal to the 30year mean in 6 out of 12 months (Appendix G.8). The months in which precipitation probably had the greatest impact upon freshwater survival of sockeye salmon in east side Bristol Bay river systems were July, November, February, and April. The average monthly precipitations for these months were $44 \%, 46 \%, 156 \%$, and $43 \%$ greater than the 30 -year means. The increase in precipitation may have caused some flooding, although we have no direct information that significant flooding occurred.

Average monthly precipitations during the 2001-2002 season fluctuated above and below the 30year mean (Appendix G.8). The average monthly precipitations for July, October, and January were $3.51 \mathrm{in}, 3.61 \mathrm{in}$, and $2.40 \mathrm{in} ; 63 \%, 70 \%$, and $123 \%$ greater than the 30 -year mean. The increased precipitation mid-summer and in the fall may have caused some flooding which could decrease freshwater survival of eggs from the 2001 brood year because of scouring and siltation of salmon redds. The months in which low precipitation may have impacted freshwater survival of sockeye salmon in east side Bristol Bay river systems were September, November, December February, March, and May. The precipitation for these months was $40 \%, 91 \%, 38 \%, 33 \%, 81 \%$, and $45 \%$ less than the 30 -year mean. It is unknown how these increases followed by decreases in precipitation may have effected the eggs, alevin, and age-0. fry (2001 brood year).

## Snowfall

Snowfall data collected for King Salmon and vicinity by the National Weather Service (1998; 1999; 2000; 2001; 2002a,b,c,d,e,f) from July through June in 1998-1999, 1999-2000, 2000-2001, and 2001-2002 were 8.2 in more, 9.5 in more, 11.8 in less, and 15.4 in more than the 30 -year mean annual snowfall of 46.4 in . (Appendix G.9).

Overall, snowfall during the winter of 1998-1999 season was above normal (Appendix G.10). The total monthly snowfalls for October, February, and April were $258 \%, 90 \%$, and $154 \%$ respectively, above the 30 -year mean. The warm spell that occurred in late October and early November melted most if not all of the October snow. Snowfalls in November, December, January, and March were below normal. It is unknown how the lack of insulating snow in the early half of the winter may have affected the incubating salmon eggs (1998 brood year) and rearing fry in east side Bristol Bay streams and lakes.

Average monthly snowfalls during the winter of 1999-2000 were above normal (Appendix G.10). The average monthly snowfalls during October and November were slightly less than normal, but
were probably adequate to provide an insulating layer to protect developing salmon eggs and emerging fry (1999 brood year) from sharp changes in temperature. The insulating effects of the above average snowfall in December and January may have cancelled out the negative effects of the below normal temperatures (Appendix G.2).

During the winter of 2000-2001 the snowfall from October through January and the month of March were well below normal (Appendix G.10). Above average snowfalls did occur in February and April, however the insulating qualities of these later snowfalls and whether or not they provided any protection to developing eggs (2000 brood year) and rearing age-1. and -2. fry (1998 and 1999 brood years) from exposure to winter temperatures is unknown.

The winter of 2001-2002 is one of the snowiest winters in the King Salmon area in the last 10-years (Appendix G.10). Most of the snow came mid-winter; the monthly snowfalls from December through February were $50 \%$ above, $119 \%$ above, and $178 \%$ above normal. Snowfalls during the early and later months of the winter were well below normal. The insulating qualities of the heavy snowfalls may have protected developing eggs (2001 brood year) from exposure to severe temperatures.

Appendix G.1. Average monthly air temperature for King Salmon, July 1972 to June 2002.

| Smolt Year | Air Temperature $\left({ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}\right)^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Average |  |
|  | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Annual |
| 1972-73 | 55.2 | 54.4 | 45.5 | 36.0 | 25.4 | 16.2 | 1.8 | 19.5 | 19.3 | 35.9 | 42.9 | 51.4 | 33.6 |
| 1973-74 | 55.6 | 54.6 | 47.2 | 34.1 | 24.7 | 17.9 | 9.5 | 0.4 | 23.2 | 35.6 | 45.5 | 51.2 | 33.3 |
| 1974-75 | 55.4 | 57.0 | 50.6 | 33.4 | 20.1 | 8.0 | 4.7 | 3.9 | 14.5 | 25.0 | 39.4 | 47.1 | 29.9 |
| 1975-76 | 54.7 | 53.6 | 47.1 | 32.4 | 12.7 | 10.2 | 12.3 | 7.3 | 15.3 | 29.5 | 39.5 | 46.9 | 30.1 |
| 1976-77 | 53.2 | 53.1 | 45.3 | 31.5 | 24.2 | 19.3 | 34.4 | 30.1 | 18.8 | 25.7 | 39.5 | 50.5 | 35.5 |
| 1977-78 | 54.3 | 56.8 | 47.0 | 31.7 | 14.1 | 10.6 | 28.6 | 24.8 | 25.6 | 37.5 | 45.2 | 49.5 | 35.5 |
| 1978-79 | 54.2 | 57.1 | 47.7 | 36.5 | 30.0 | 28.0 | 30.1 | 6.2 | 30.3 | 39.6 | 47.3 | 52.0 | 38.3 |
| 1979-80 | 57.8 | 56.0 | 50.0 | 39.4 | 29.4 | 4.5 | 9.0 | 20.7 | 27.6 | 36.4 | 41.7 | 48.9 | 35.1 |
| 1980-81 | 55.1 | 51.1 | 47.0 | 35.2 | 26.3 | 5.3 | 29.8 | 21.9 | 34.4 | 35.8 | 46.8 | 50.3 | 36.6 |
| 1981-82 | 55.1 | 54.8 | 44.9 | 33.2 | 23.4 | 13.3 | 17.0 | 12.8 | 23.9 | 25.5 | 40.3 | 48.9 | 32.8 |
| 1982-83 | 51.5 | 52.3 | 46.2 | 28.1 | 26.1 | 24.0 | 11.9 | 18.7 | 33.2 | 36.5 | 46.6 | 53.8 | 35.7 |
| 1983-84 | 57.4 | 54.1 | 45.5 | 28.8 | 30.1 | 27.2 | 17.4 | -2.1 | 36.3 | 29.2 | 43.0 | 52.3 | 34.9 |
| 1984-85 | 53.7 | 53.5 | 48.0 | 30.1 | 22.5 | 24.7 | 32.6 | 10.6 | 22.6 | 20.8 | 39.9 | 47.4 | 33.9 |
| 1985-86 | 54.3 | 52.4 | 47.4 | 26.7 | 25.1 | 34.2 | 16.9 | 22.1 | 21.5 | 28.1 | 42.1 | 49.9 | 35.1 |
| 1986-87 | 53.7 | 52.2 | 48.8 | 36.1 | 26.3 | 30.6 | 21.1 | 24.3 | 29.8 | 32.3 | 42.8 | 49.3 | 37.3 |
| 1987-88 | 55.9 | 57.0 | 45.4 | 37.5 | 16.5 | 9.4 | 25.6 | 26.6 | 24.8 | 31.1 | 44.5 | 52.8 | 35.6 |
| 1988-89 | 56.8 | 53.5 | 45.8 | 30.9 | 13.9 | 20.8 | -2.9 | 28.8 | 23.6 | 36.1 | 42.0 | 51.6 | 33.4 |
| 1989-90 | 56.3 | 57.1 | 51.7 | 36.7 | 18.1 | 19.5 | 16.8 | -1.8 | 25.4 | 39.3 | 45.8 | 51.4 | 34.7 |
| 1990-91 | 56.0 | 55.9 | 47.5 | 31.5 | 17.3 | 20.4 | 17.5 | 14.2 | 25.7 | 36.4 | 44.5 | 50.4 | 34.8 |
| 1991-92 | 55.2 | 53.7 | 50.7 | 37.2 | 23.1 | 15.1 | 17.7 | 3.1 | 22.0 | 32.4 | 42.7 | 52.6 | 33.8 |
| 1992-93 | 55.6 | 53.9 | 41.0 | 31.7 | 23.5 | 19.2 | 15.0 | 22.7 | 31.1 | 41.0 | 48.3 | 53.1 | 36.3 |
| 1993-94 | 57.9 | 56.0 | 48.6 | 38.1 | 29.6 | 24.6 | 21.2 | 14.3 | 19.5 | 36.0 | 45.4 | 51.7 | 36.9 |
| 1994-95 | 55.7 | 55.9 | 48.6 | 29.9 | 19.3 | 14.3 | 19.5 | 23.1 | 17.4 | 40.3 | 46.4 | 53.2 | 35.3 |
| 1995-96 | 57.3 | 54.8 | 52.5 | 35.1 | 18.4 | 25.0 | 15.2 | 14.0 | 33.1 | 34.9 | 46.5 | 52.0 | 36.6 |
| 1996-97 | 55.3 | 52.9 | 43.6 | 29.4 | 25.6 | 6.3 | 12.8 | 30.3 | 20.8 | 37.7 | 47.8 | 54.0 | 34.7 |
| 1997-98 | 59.8 | 57.4 | 50.4 | 27.6 | 26.4 | 7.8 | 12.7 | 22.1 | 33.1 | 36.9 | 42.3 | 51.7 | 35.7 |
| 1998-99 | 56.1 | 51.7 | 47.2 | 35.1 | 28.4 | 9.6 | 11.0 | 4.4 | 14.0 | 31.8 | 40.1 | 51.0 | 31.7 |
| 1999-00 | 54.5 | 53.9 | 47.6 | 28.4 | 18.7 | 1.6 | 4.2 | 30.3 | 30.4 | 34.9 | 42.5 | 50.6 | 33.1 |
| 2000-01 | 54.2 | 54.2 | 45.9 | 34.7 | 32.8 | 33.9 | 25.2 | 28.5 | 25.5 | 35.8 | 40.5 | 53.0 | 38.7 |
| 2001-02 | 54.5 | 55.6 | 48.5 | 27.7 | 19.0 | 7.6 | 23.3 | 19.3 | 26.9 | 33.4 | 45.9 | 52.4 | 34.5 |
| Max | 59.8 | 57.4 | 52.5 | 39.4 | 32.8 | 34.2 | 34.4 | 30.3 | 36.3 | 41.0 | 48.3 | 54.0 | 38.7 |
| 30-Year Mean | 55.4 | 54.6 | 47.4 | 32.8 | 23.0 | 17.0 | 17.1 | 16.7 | 25.0 | 33.7 | 43.6 | 51.0 | 34.8 |
| Min | 51.5 | 51.1 | 41.0 | 26.7 | 12.7 | 1.6 | -2.9 | -2.1 | 14.0 | 20.8 | 39.4 | 46.9 | 29.9 |


| a |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Source | National Weather Service (1998; 1999; 2000; 2001; 2002a,b,c,d,e,f) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1998-99$ | 0.69 | -2.85 | -0.24 | 2.28 | 5.37 | -7.37 | -6.06 | -12.30 | -10.99 | -1.91 | -3.49 | -0.03 |
| $1999-00$ | -0.91 | -0.65 | 0.16 | -4.42 | -4.33 | -15.37 | -12.86 | 13.60 | 5.41 | 1.19 | -1.09 | -0.43 |
| $2000-01$ | -1.21 | -0.35 | -1.54 | 1.88 | 9.77 | 16.93 | 8.14 | 11.80 | 0.51 | 2.09 | -3.09 | 1.97 |
| $2001-02$ | -0.91 | 1.05 | 1.06 | -5.12 | -4.03 | -9.37 | 6.24 | 2.60 | 1.91 | -0.31 | 2.31 | 1.37 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1998-99$ | 0.01 | -0.05 | -0.01 | 0.07 | 0.23 | -0.43 | -0.36 | -0.74 | -0.44 | -0.06 | -0.08 | 0.00 |
| $1999-00$ | -0.02 | -0.01 | 0.00 | -0.13 | -0.19 | -0.91 | -0.75 | 0.81 | 0.22 | 0.04 | -0.03 | -0.01 |
| $2000-01$ | -0.02 | -0.01 | -0.03 | 0.06 | 0.42 | 1.00 | 0.48 | 0.71 | 0.02 | 0.06 | -0.07 | 0.04 |
| $2001-02$ | -0.02 | 0.02 | 0.02 | -0.16 | -0.18 | -0.55 | 0.37 | 0.16 | 0.08 | -0.01 | 0.05 | 0.03 |







Appendix G.2. Comparison of monthly air temperature to the 30-year mean at King Salmon, July 1998 to June 2002.


Appendix G.3. Daily air temperatures (normal, mean and extreme) for King Salmon, October 1998 to April 1999.

Dates


Dates
Appendix G.5. Daily air temperature (normal, mean and extreme) for King Salmon, October 2000 to April 2001.

Dates
Appendix G.6. Daily air temperatures (normal, mean and extreme) for King Salmon, October 2001 to April 2002.

Appendix G.7. Average monthly precipitation for King Salmon, July 1972 to June 2002.

| Smolt Year | Precipitation (in) ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Total Annual |
| 1972-73 | 1.08 | 1.95 | 2.95 | 2.57 | 1.35 | 0.59 | 0.62 | 0.11 | 1.25 | 0.43 | 1.83 | 1.48 | 16.21 |
| 1973-74 | 2.43 | 3.80 | 1.41 | 1.52 | 0.97 | 1.10 | 0.86 | 0.55 | 1.27 | 1.18 | 0.57 | 2.40 | 18.06 |
| 1974-75 | 2.01 | 3.19 | 1.56 | 2.90 | 1.20 | 1.23 | 2.14 | 0.76 | 0.93 | 2.65 | 0.86 | 2.69 | 22.12 |
| 1975-76 | 0.74 | 1.05 | 3.90 | 2.10 | 0.46 | 1.38 | 1.24 | 0.97 | 0.78 | 0.58 | 1.47 | 1.34 | 16.01 |
| 1976-77 | 2.60 | 1.71 | 2.64 | 0.81 | 2.06 | 1.77 | 0.85 | 1.35 | 1.99 | 1.68 | 1.72 | 0.99 | 20.17 |
| 1977-78 | 1.60 | 3.16 | 2.58 | 3.29 | 0.58 | 1.04 | 0.70 | 0.28 | 0.26 | 0.58 | 0.98 | 2.81 | 17.86 |
| 1978-79 | 1.66 | 2.03 | 1.87 | 2.84 | 1.77 | 3.65 | 1.00 | 0.29 | 0.39 | 1.20 | 0.46 | 1.80 | 18.96 |
| 1979-80 | 2.24 | 2.50 | 0.91 | 2.71 | 2.89 | 1.09 | 1.46 | 0.83 | 1.51 | 0.42 | 1.61 | 2.19 | 20.36 |
| 1980-81 | 2.97 | 2.36 | 2.00 | 2.46 | 1.19 | 0.49 | 1.76 | 2.26 | 1.83 | 0.49 | 0.73 | 2.27 | 20.81 |
| 1981-82 | 2.17 | 3.93 | 1.82 | 1.59 | 1.31 | 0.59 | 1.48 | 0.15 | 1.37 | 1.20 | 1.55 | 3.04 | 20.20 |
| 1982-83 | 1.98 | 1.99 | 5.14 | 1.41 | 0.83 | 1.37 | 0.42 | 0.25 | 0.22 | 2.22 | 1.37 | 1.20 | 18.40 |
| 1983-84 | 1.53 | 2.33 | 2.36 | 2.82 | 0.98 | 0.48 | 1.17 | 0.55 | 0.44 | 0.43 | 1.08 | 1.59 | 15.76 |
| 1984-85 | 1.30 | 2.41 | 0.89 | 0.57 | 1.00 | 1.79 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 1.27 | 0.34 | 1.16 | 1.23 | 13.64 |
| 1985-86 | 1.31 | 3.24 | 2.64 | 2.29 | 3.35 | 1.58 | 1.33 | 0.19 | 0.24 | 0.98 | 1.01 | 0.93 | 19.09 |
| 1986-87 | 2.44 | 3.22 | 4.03 | 2.50 | 1.91 | 0.65 | 2.38 | 0.54 | 0.55 | 0.81 | 1.74 | 1.49 | 22.26 |
| 1987-88 | 1.94 | 2.73 | 2.99 | 2.47 | 2.75 | 1.07 | 0.56 | 0.75 | 0.74 | 1.02 | 2.95 | 1.11 | 21.08 |
| 1988-89 | 2.73 | 2.88 | 2.17 | 1.68 | 1.52 | 1.60 | 0.84 | 0.93 | 0.19 | 0.99 | 2.32 | 1.10 | 18.95 |
| 1989-90 | 3.04 | 3.15 | 5.90 | 2.86 | 1.58 | 1.31 | 1.44 | 1.61 | 1.71 | 0.89 | 1.52 | 1.22 | 26.23 |
| 1990-91 | 5.08 | 2.02 | 2.75 | 2.38 | 2.10 | 3.26 | 0.55 | 0.58 | 1.56 | 0.86 | 1.24 | 1.63 | 24.01 |
| 1991-92 | 1.02 | 1.79 | 2.10 | 1.99 | 1.34 | 1.26 | 0.79 | 0.92 | 1.40 | 0.19 | 0.74 | 2.53 | 16.07 |
| 1992-93 | 3.02 | 4.73 | 1.35 | 1.11 | 1.45 | 1.77 | 1.48 | 0.35 | 0.26 | 0.50 | 0.70 | 0.50 | 17.22 |
| 1993-94 | 1.01 | 3.21 | 4.53 | 1.98 | 3.00 | 2.15 | 1.35 | 1.22 | 0.91 | 1.35 | 1.74 | 1.71 | 24.16 |
| 1994-95 | 3.77 | 3.17 | 3.46 | 2.41 | 2.98 | 2.28 | 0.35 | 0.49 | 0.17 | 1.51 | 1.44 | 0.81 | 22.84 |
| 1995-96 | 2.27 | 4.73 | 2.74 | 1.46 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.70 | 0.75 | 0.38 | 0.87 | 0.84 | 2.41 | 17.42 |
| 1996-97 | 1.27 | 2.61 | 2.60 | 1.06 | 0.62 | 0.64 | 0.25 | 0.72 | 0.13 | 0.38 | 0.67 | 1.14 | 12.09 |
| 1997-98 | 1.07 | 3.65 | 3.52 | 0.03 | 1.63 | 0.75 | 0.95 | 0.34 | 0.75 | 0.98 | 3.05 | 2.22 | 18.94 |
| 1998-99 | 1.90 | 3.59 | 3.28 | 3.96 | 1.62 | 0.83 | 0.48 | 0.50 | 0.35 | 0.63 | 1.18 | 2.01 | 20.33 |
| 1999-00 | 1.91 | 3.07 | 3.46 | 2.22 | 0.31 | 1.63 | 0.95 | 0.73 | 0.32 | 0.63 | 1.18 | 1.99 | 18.40 |
| 2000-01 | 3.11 | 2.28 | 3.30 | 2.13 | 2.20 | 0.69 | 0.85 | 1.88 | 0.58 | 1.35 | 0.63 | 0.21 | 19.21 |
| 2001-02 | 3.51 | 2.37 | 1.64 | 3.61 | 0.14 | 0.80 | 2.40 | 0.49 | 0.15 | 0.99 | 0.71 | 1.46 | 18.27 |
| Max | 5.08 | 4.73 | 5.90 | 3.96 | 3.35 | 3.65 | 2.40 | 2.26 | 1.99 | 2.65 | 3.05 | 3.04 | 26.23 |
| 30-Year Mean | 2.16 | 2.83 | 2.75 | 2.12 | 1.51 | 1.30 | 1.08 | 0.74 | 0.80 | 0.94 | 1.30 | 1.65 | 19.17 |
| Min | 0.74 | 1.05 | 0.89 | 0.03 | 0.13 | 0.14 | 0.25 | 0.11 | 0.13 | 0.19 | 0.46 | 0.21 | 12.09 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source - National Weather Service (1998; 1999; 2000; 2001; 2002a,b,c,d,e,f)

| $1998-99$ | -0.26 | 0.76 | 0.53 | 1.84 | 0.11 | -0.47 | -0.60 | -0.24 | -0.45 | -0.31 | -0.12 | 0.36 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $1999-00$ | -0.25 | 0.24 | 0.71 | 0.10 | -1.20 | 0.33 | -0.13 | -0.01 | -0.48 | -0.31 | -0.12 | 0.34 |
| $2000-01$ | 0.95 | -0.55 | 0.55 | 0.01 | 0.69 | -0.61 | -0.23 | 1.14 | -0.22 | 0.41 | -0.67 | -1.44 |
| $2001-02$ | 1.35 | -0.46 | -1.11 | 1.49 | -1.37 | -0.50 | 1.32 | -0.25 | -0.65 | 0.05 | -0.59 | -0.19 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $1998-99$ | -0.12 | 0.27 | 0.19 | 0.86 | 0.07 | -0.36 | -0.55 | -0.32 | -0.56 | -0.33 | -0.09 | 0.22 |
| $1999-00$ | -0.11 | 0.09 | 0.26 | 0.05 | -0.79 | 0.25 | -0.12 | -0.01 | -0.60 | -0.33 | -0.09 | 0.21 |
| $2000-01$ | 0.44 | -0.19 | 0.20 | 0.00 | 0.46 | -0.47 | -0.21 | 1.56 | -0.27 | 0.43 | -0.52 | -0.87 |
| $2001-02$ | 0.63 | -0.16 | -0.40 | 0.70 | -0.91 | -0.38 | 1.23 | -0.33 | -0.81 | 0.05 | -0.45 | -0.12 |



Appendix G.8. Comparison of monthly precipitation to the 30-year mean at King Salmon, July 1998 to June 2002.

Appendix G.9. Average monthly snowfall for King Salmon, July 1972 to June 2002.

| Smolt <br> Year | Snowfall (in) ${ }^{\text {ab }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Jul | Aug | Sep | Oct | Nov | Dec | Jan | Feb | Mar | Apr | May | Jun | Total Annua |
| 1972-73 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 0.8 | 8.0 | 2.1 | 3.0 | 0.8 | 8.1 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 25.6 |
| 1973-74 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 2.0 | 2.1 | 12.7 | 11.9 | 5.3 | 4.6 | 5.1 | T | 0.0 | 43.7 |
| 1974-75 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 4.3 | 10.9 | 19.1 | 6.3 | 8.7 | 14.3 | 2.9 | 0.0 | 66.5 |
| 1975-76 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.8 | 3.9 | 13.9 | 12.0 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 6.2 | 3.2 | 0.0 | 49.9 |
| 1976-77 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.0 | 10.9 | 11.0 | 2.1 | 11.9 | 20.0 | 4.6 | T | 0.0 | 62.5 |
| 1977-78 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 4.3 | 5.3 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 3.7 | 2.2 | 0.6 | T | 0.0 | 24.5 |
| 1978-79 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.0 | 2.2 | 14.1 | 4.4 | 0.2 | 1.1 | T | T | 0.0 | 23.0 |
| 1979-80 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 8.5 | 9.7 | 11.5 | 11.1 | 9.0 | T | 0.8 | 0.0 | 50.6 |
| 1980-81 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 6.1 | 6.8 | 10.5 | 11.3 | 15.8 | 0.6 | T | T | 51.4 |
| 1981-82 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 0.3 | 4.8 | 5.9 | 5.7 | T | 8.3 | 8.3 | T | 0.0 | 33.8 |
| 1982-83 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.8 | 2.0 | 2.9 | 4.0 | 2.0 | T | 6.0 | 0.1 | 0.0 | 19.8 |
| 1983-84 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 9.9 | 2.3 | 2.8 | 8.4 | 5.5 | T | 4.0 | 0.3 | 0.0 | 33.2 |
| 1984-85 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 7.3 | 3.8 | 3.7 | 6.4 | 8.9 | 3.4 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 43.0 |
| 1985-86 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.5 | 9.3 | 3.6 | 13.5 | 1.8 | 2.5 | 9.8 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 44.3 |
| 1986-87 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 2.5 | 4.8 | 24.7 | 2.7 | 2.7 | 9.4 | T | 0.0 | 49.1 |
| 1987-88 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 0.1 | 13.2 | 8.9 | 3.3 | 10.1 | 9.4 | 4.4 | 1.2 | 0.0 | 50.6 |
| 1988-89 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 3.4 | 12.7 | 9.2 | 14.9 | 3.7 | 5.1 | 1.5 | 2.1 | 0.0 | 52.6 |
| 1989-90 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 0.4 | 12.3 | 12.4 | 14.9 | 20.3 | 13.5 | 3.4 | 0.2 | 0.0 | 77.4 |
| 1990-91 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 15.7 | 6.7 | 18.9 | 3.1 | 4.3 | 14.0 | 2.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 65.5 |
| 1991-92 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 9.0 | 9.4 | 7.2 | 8.6 | 8.7 | 0.5 | T | T | 43.4 |
| 1992-93 | 0.0 | 0.0 | T | 0.9 | 7.9 | 8.0 | 30.6 | 5.5 | 5.2 | 1.8 | T | T | 59.9 |
| 1993-94 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 2.0 | 5.1 | 28.4 | 11.0 | 3.2 | 7.7 | 5.6 | 0.2 | 0.1 | 63.4 |
| 1994-95 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 8.4 | 17.9 | 16.0 | 5.9 | 2.0 | 2.0 | 0.4 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 52.8 |
| 1995-96 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 2.4 | 1.5 | 2.9 | 7.3 | 1.7 | 5.7 | 1.9 | 0.3 | 25.8 |
| 1996-97 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 2.6 | 0.1 | 8.5 | 3.7 | 5.2 | 2.3 | T | T | 0.0 | 22.7 |
| 1997-98 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.6 | 10.4 | 13.1 | 17.3 | 1.9 | 4.4 | 2.2 | 0.6 | 0.0 | 50.5 |
| 1998-99 | 0.0 | T | T | 11.3 | 5.1 | 3.4 | 8.0 | 12.2 | 2.5 | 11.7 | 0.4 | T | 54.6 |
| 1999-00 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 4.1 | 24.9 | 14.2 | 4.4 | 3.7 | 1.0 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 55.9 |
| 2000-01 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.3 | 4.9 | 0.6 | 6.5 | 7.3 | 4.5 | 7.9 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 34.6 |
| 2001-02 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 2.2 | 14.4 | 22.2 | 17.8 | 2.3 | 1.0 | T | T | 61.8 |
| Max | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 | 15.7 | 17.9 | 28.4 | 30.6 | 20.3 | 20.0 | 14.3 | 6.1 | 0.3 | 77.4 |
| 30-Year Mean | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.2 | 6.5 | 9.6 | 10.1 | 6.4 | 6.6 | 4.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 46.4 |
| Min | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.1 | 0.1 | 0.6 | 2.1 | 0.2 | 1.1 | 0.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.8 |








Appendix G.10. Comparison of monthly snowfall to the 30-year mean at King Salmon, July 1998 to June 2002.

# APPENDIX H: EVALUATION OF SMOLT DATA AS A FORECASTING TOOL FOR PREDICTING FUTURE RETURNS OF ADULT SOCKEYE SALMON TO KVICHAK, EGEGIK, AND UGASHIK RIVERS 



Appendix H.1. Kvichak River sockeye salmon smolt production, brood years 1976-1998.





Appendix H.2. Marine survival of age-1. and -2. sockeye salmon smolt, Kvichak River, brood years 1976-1995.

Appendix H.3. Egegik River sockeye salmon smolt production, brood years 1980-1998.


Appendix H.4. Marine survival of age-1. and -2. sockeye salmon smolt, Egegik River, brood years 1980-1995.


Appendix H.5. Ugashik River sockeye salmon smolt production, brood years 1981-1998.




Appendix H.6. Marine survival of age-1. and -2. sockeye salmon smolt, Ugashik River, brood years 1981-1995.





Appendix H.8. Forecasting model using Egegik River smolt data for brood years 1980-1998 .




Appendix H.10. Comparison of various forecasting models for Kvichak River. Forecast model evaluation based on 1999-2001 hindcasts of Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) and Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE). Smolt model accuracy based on sum of MAD and average MAPE by age class and year. Highlights: (a) Smolt model error (MAD) is 2.5 times greater than sibling model error. (b) Smolt model error (MAPE) is 2.4 times greater than sibling model error.



Appendix H.11. Comparison of various forecasting models for Egegik River. Forecast model evaluation based on 1999-2001 hindcasts of Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) and Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE). Smolt model accuracy based on sum of MAD and average MAPE by age class and year. Highlights: (a) Smolt model error (MAD) is 1.4 times greater than sibling model error. (b) Smolt model error (MAPE) is 1.3 times greater than sibling model error.



Appendix H.12. Comparison of various forecasting models for Ugashik River.
Forecast model evaluation based on 1999-2001 hindcasts of Mean Absolute Deviation (MAD) and Mean Absolute Percent Error (MAPE). Smolt model accuracy based on sum of MAD and average MAPE by age class and year. Highlights: (a) Smolt model error (MAD) is 1.4 times greater than sibling model error. (b) Smolt model error (MAPE) is similar to the sibling model error.


Appendix H.13. Comparison of which forecasting models (e.g., escapementrecruit, sibling, or smolt) forecasted closest to the actual returns for Kvichak River, 1991-2000. Note: without a time series approach, many smolt models were not significant using the traditional method, and were thus not forecasted for all years.



Appendix H.14. Comparison of which forecasting models (e.g., escapementrecruit, sibling, or smolt) forecasted closest to the actual returns for Egegik River, 1991-2000. Note: without a time series approach, many smolt models were not significant using the traditional method, and were thus not forecasted for all years.



Appendix H.15. Comparison of which forecasting models (e.g., escapementrecruit, sibling, or smolt) forecasted closest to the actual returns for Ugashik River, 1991-2000. Note: without a time series approach, many smolt models were not significant using the traditional method, and were thus not forecasted for all years.


Appendix H.16. Plot of the average annual sockeye salmon smolt length versus the total smolt outmigration estimate by age, Kvichak River, brood years 1976-1998.

Appendix H.17. Plot of the average age-1. sockeye salmon smolt length versus the proportion of age-1. smolt in



Appendix H.18. Plot of the average annual sockeye salmon smolt length versus the total smolt outmigration estimate by age, Egegik River, brood years 1980-1998.


Appendix H.19. Plot of the average annual sockeye salmon smolt length versus the total smolt outmigration estimate by age, Ugashik River, brood years 1981-1998.

APPENDIX I: LIST OF CHANGES AT KVICHAK RIVER SMOLT SONAR


Appendix I. List of major equipment and project changes at the Kvichak River smolt sonar,

| Year | Changes |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 1976 \\ \text { to } \\ 1988 \end{gathered}$ | 1976-1988 site - smolt sonar counter \& arrays located on the Kvichak R, 5 km below the outlet of Lake lliamna. <br> Bendix, Model 1976 smolt counter - used 3 arrays with 7 - upward-facing \& 7 -downstream-facing $118 \mathrm{kHz}, 18$ beam width tranducers on all array cables were $330^{\prime}$ long, 1 count per 83.0 g of biomass |
| 1989 | Location Change - the smolt sonar site on the Kvichak R was relocated 6 km below the outlet of Lk lliamna, $\sim 1 \mathrm{~km}$ below the 1976-1988 The former site was deemed unusable due to changes in the river channel. The 1976-1988 site was located on an island and increased flows in side channels on both sides of the island had raised concerns that smolt were passing the sonar site undetected. Equipment Changes - the depth of the river at the new site was deeper than the 1976-1988 site, therefore the following smolt counting equipment changes were implemented: <br> 1. The Bendix, Model 1982 smolt counter was set up and operated from the right bank of the river. This system used 3 arrays with 10 - upward-facing $235 \mathrm{kHz}, 9^{\circ}$ beam width transducers on each. All array cables were $330^{\prime}$ long. 1 count per 41.5 g of biomass <br> 2. The Bendix, Model 1976 smolt counter was modified in 1989 by Al Menin to operated in deeper water. It was then set up and operat from the left bank of the river. This system used 1 array with 7 - upward-facing \& 7 -downstream-facing $118 \mathrm{kHz}, 18^{\circ}$ beam width tran The array cables were 330 ' long. 1 count per 83.0 g of biomass <br> 1989 System Conclusions: Because the left bank smolt counter (Bendix, Model 1976) was not monitored continuously for false counts a the smolt outmigration estimate was not changed significantly by including the counts from the fourth array ( 14 transducers), only counts from the Bendix, Model 1982 system were used in the final estimate for Kvichak River in 1989. |
| 1990 | 1989 site. <br> Equipment Changes - In 1990, Bendix, Model 1976 smolt counting system was modified based on advise from former project leaders and AI Menin. <br> 1. Al Menin modified the Bendix, Model 1976 smolt counter in 1990 to accommodate the following changes: <br> 2. The offshore array cables were extended to 415 ' to help enumerate smolt in the deep, fast water near the left bank. <br> To compensate for the additional 85' of cable on the offshore transducers, Al Menin installed 10-150 Uh inductors in the center array components of the Bendix, Model 1976 smolt counter. <br> 3. All downstream-facing transducers were disconnected and data was collected using only the 7 upward-facing transducers on each a Bendix, Model 1976 smolt counter - used 3 modified arrays with 7 - upward-facing $118 \mathrm{kHz}, 18$ beam width tranducers on each, All downstream-facing transducers ( $\mathrm{n}=7$ ) were removed from each array. Offshore array cables extended to $415^{\prime}$, inshore \& center array cable length $=330^{\prime} .1$ count per 83.0 g of biomass |
| 1991 | 1989 site. No changes - same as 1990 |
| 1992 | 1989 site. No changes - same as 1990 |
| 1993 | 1989 site. <br> Equipment Changes - <br> 1. Had to switch from the Bendix Model 1976 to the Bendix Model 1982 smolt counter because of uncorrectable problems with the Benc Model 1976 smolt counter's Practical Automation, Inc., Model C4-265 moduprint printer. This unit can not be repaired or replaced. <br> 2. Prior to the 1993 field season, Al Menin extended each of the offshore array cables on the Bendix, Model 1982 system (e.g., previou used at Nuyakuk R 1983-1989) from 330' to 415' and installed 10-150 Uh inductors in the offshore array components of the smolt coun <br> Bendix, Model 1982 smolt counter - used 3 arrays with 10 - upward-facing $235 \mathrm{kHz}, 9$ beam width transducers on each. Offshore array cable length $=415^{\prime}$, inshore \& center array cable length $=330^{\prime} .1$ count per 41.5 g of biomass |
| 1994 | 1989 site. No changes - same as 1993 |
| 1995 | 1989 site. No changes - same as 1993 |
| 1996 | 1989 site. <br> Equipment Changes - <br> 1. After the 1995 field season, Al Menin extended each of the center array cables on the Bendix, Model 1982 system from $330^{\prime}$ to $415^{\prime}$ The additional $85^{\prime}$ of cable on the center array transducers allowed for easier deployment and better placement of the array in the river. <br> 2. Al also installed 10-150 Uh inductors in the center array components of the smolt counter. <br> Bendix, Model 1982 smolt counter - used 3 arrays with 10 - upward-facing 235 kHz , 9 beam width transducers on each, Offshore \& center array cable length $=415^{\prime}$, inshore array cable length $=330^{\prime} .1$ count per 41.5 g of biomass |
| 1997 | 1989 site. No changes - same as 1996 |
| 1998 | 1989 site. <br> Equipment Changes - AI Menin installed a boat detector/inhibitor system that would disable the smolt counter for a preset period of time $(\sim 2 \mathrm{~min})$ each time the system detected the outboard motor noise from a passing boat |
| 1999 | 1989 site. No changes - same as 1998 |
| 2000 | 1989 site. <br> Equipment Change - <br> In order to provide a quick inseason comparison of Bendix smolt counter data with counts from other hydroacoustic systems, ADF\&G contracted the Applied Physics Laboratory at the University of Washington during the winter of 1999/2000 to design and insert a comput interface into each of three smolt counters and write software to accept and store smolt count data on a computer. This new smolt coun system generated one file every hour with counts for each array in 1 -second intervals. The new system operated independent of the no smolt counter printer system which continued to print out counts on a paper tape at prescribed intervals every hour. This system was te and used at Kvichak and Ugashik in 2000. |
| 2001 | 1989 site. No changes - same as 200C |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ The Regional Information Report Series was established in 1987 to provide an information access system for all unpublished divisional reports. These reports frequently serve diverse ad hoc informational purposes or archive basic uninterpreted data. To accommodate timely reporting of recently collected information, reports in this series undergo only limited internal review and may contain preliminary data; this information may be subsequently finalized and published in the formal literature. Consequently, these reports should not be cited without prior approval of the author or the Commercial Fisheries Division.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Use of a company's name does not constitute endorsement.

[^2]:    a Sample day began at 1200 hours and ended at 1159 hours the next calendar day.

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Sample day began at 1200 hours and ended at 1159 hours the next calendar day.

[^4]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Length-weight parameters by age group and discriminating length used to separate ages from were:

[^5]:    a 1 = Cloud cover not more than $1 / 10$
    $2=$ Cloud cover not more than $1 / 2$
    3 = Cloud cover more than 1/2
    4 = Completely overcast
    $5=$ Fog
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ na $=$ not available
    c Water clarity at 0800 hours

[^6]:    | Mean |  | 91 | 7.0 | 112 | 12.2 | 128 | 17.9 |  |  |  |
    | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
    | 2002 | 2000 | 81 | 91 | 7.7 | 1999 | 19 | 110 | 12.7 | 1998 | 0 |
    | Difference from Mean |  | 0 | 0.7 |  | -2 | 0.5 |  | 364 | $47,627,642$ |  |

    a No estimates of smolt numbers from 1958-1982 fyke net catches; estimates of smolt numbers from 1983-1991 and 1993-present based on hydroacoustic techniques.
    b Project not operated in 1992. No smolt data collected.

[^7]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Project not operated in 1992. No data collected.

[^8]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Unable to calculate the proportion of Age-1. smolt for brood year 1989 because the Age-2 smolt for brood year 1989 were not counted in 1992.
    ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ The Ugashik Smolt project was not operated in 1992; no smolt data collected that year.
    ${ }^{\text {c }}$ Unable to calculate the proportion of Age-2. smolt for brood year 1990 because the Age-1 smolt for brood year 1990 were not counted in 1992.
    ${ }^{\text {d }}$ Incomplete adult returns from brood year escapement.

[^9]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Most data is anecdotal, provided by pilots from local air charter companies (R. Russell, ADF\&G retired, King Salmon, personal communication). ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Last date area was observed with open water; may have frozen over even later.
    c Mostly frozen on 13-Dec except SW shoreline of Upper Ugashik Lake by Blue Mt and the NW shore of Lower Ugashik Lake between the outlet
    and the Narrows.
    d Upper Ugashik Lake ice free by 24-Apr. Lower Ugashik Lake $90 \%$ open by 26 -April. Lake ice flows cleared in Ugashik River about 4-May.
    e Some new ( $<5 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick), thin ( $5-15 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick), and medium ( $15-30 \mathrm{~cm}$ thick) ice may have formed on the protected bays and shores of Upper
     because of unseasonably warm temperatures and prevailing winds, open water was observed on most of the lake throughout the winter of 2000-2001.

[^10]:    a Daylight hours unless indicated otherwise
    ${ }^{c}$ Hours of darkness

