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## PREFACE

The 1994 Bristol Bay Management Report is the thirty-fifth consecutive annual volume reporting on management activities of the Division of Commercial Fisheries Management and Development staff in Bristol Bay. The report emphasizes a descriptive account of the information, decisions, and rationale used to manage the Bristol Bay commercial salmon and herring fisheries, and outlines basic management objectives and procedures. We have included all information deemed necessary to fully explain the rationale behind management decisions formulated in 1994. All narrative and data tabulations in this volume are combined under separate SALMON and HERRING sections to aid in the use of this document as a reference source. The extensive set of tables has been updated to record previously unlisted data for easy reference. Fisheries data in this report supersedes information in previous reports. Corrections or comments should be directed to the Anchorage office, Attention: Editor.
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## INTRODUCTION

## Management Area Description

The Bristol Bay management area includes all coastal waters and inland drainages east of a line from Cape Newenham to Cape Menshikof (Figure 1). The area includes six major river systems: Naknek, Kvichak, Egegik, Ugashik, Nushagak, and Togiak. Collectively, these rivers are home to the largest commercial sockeye salmon fishery in the world. Sockeye salmon are by far the most abundant salmon species that return to Bristol Bay each year, but chinook, chum, coho, and (in even-years) pink runs are important to the fisheries as well.


Figure 1. Bristol Bay Area Commercial Fisheries Salmon Management
Districts.

The Bristol Bay area is divided into five management districts (Naknek-Kvichak, Egegik, Ugashik, Nushagak, and Togiak) that correspond to the major river drainages. The management objective for each river is to achieve desired escapement goals for the major salmon species while harvesting all fish in excess of the escapement requirement through orderly fisheries. In addition, regulatory management plans have been adopted by species for some districts.

## Overview of the Bristol Bay Salmon Fisheries

The five species of Pacific salmon found in Bristol Bay are the focus of major commercial, subsistence and sport fisheries.

Legal gear for the commercial salmon fishery includes both drift (150f) and set ( 50 f ) gillnets. Drift fishermen are the most numerous, and 1,877 drift permits were registered in 1994. Setnet permits registered in 1994 totaled 999 (Appendix Table 3). Annual commercial catches (1974-1993) average 20,231,282 million sockeye salmon, 109,360 chinook, $1,182,306$ chum, 196,761 coho, and $1,690,377$ (even years only) pink salmon (Appendix Tables 5-9). The value of the annual commercial salmon harvest in Bristol Bay has averaged $\$ 152$ million since 1984, and sockeye salmon are the most valuable, worth an average $\$ 146$ million.

Annual subsistence catches average approximately 173,000 salmon and are also comprised primarily of sockeye salmon (Appendix Table 39). Sport fisheries operate to varying degrees of intensity on all species of salmon, with ir st effort directed toward chinook and coho stocks.

## 1994 COMMERCIAL SALMON FISHERY

## Run Strength Indicators

Fishery managers in Bristol Bay have several early indicators of sockeye run size, including: the preseason forecast, the False Pass fishery, the Port Moller test boat, the district test program, and the early performance of the commercial fishery. Evaluated individually, each of these pieces of information may not give a correct assessment of run size. Collectively they form patterns such as missing year classes, discrepancies with the forecast, or variances in run timing that can be important to the successful management of the commercial fishery. Management success is easily measured after the season by comparing actual escapements to the goals published for the individual river systems and species.

## Preseason Forecasts

Total inshore sockeye salmon production for Bristol Bay in 1994 was forecasted to be 52.4 million fish (Table 1). A run of that size would be $36 \%$ above the 20 -year (1974-93) mean inshore run ( 33.3 million), and $27 \%$ greater than recent 10-year mean inshore run ( 38.4 million; Appendix Table 20). The inshore sockeye harvest was predicted to reach approximately 39.6 million fish. Runs were expected to exceed spawning escapement goals for all river systems. The projected inshore harvest for sockeye salmon was $35 \%$ greater than the previous 10 -year mean ( 25.5 million; Appendix Table 5).

The 1994 forecast was based on spawner-return, sibling-return, and smolt-return relationships for each river where data were available. Return information prior to 1978 was omitted in calculations for east side river systems, but was included in calculations for west side river systems. Using recent years production data rather than all data reduced prediction errors for east side rivers during years tested (1984-93). To further correct this tendency of under forecasting, the 1994 forecasts by river were increased by their respective prediction errors for the years 1984-93. The 1994 adjustments by river resulted in an overall increase of $39.4 \%$ for the total Bristol Bay forecast.

## South Unimak/Shumagin Island Fishery

The inseason development of the South Unimak/Shumagin Island intercept sockeye fishery is closely monitored by Bristol Bay fishery managers for indications of migration timing, relative abundance, age composition and fish size in the incoming Bristol Bay run. Indications from these fisheries give the terminal fisheries managers notice of what to expect, and provides advanced warning of any potential differences that may exist between actual and forecasted run statistics. However, data obtained from these two fisheries have not always given an accurate picture of the Bristol Bay run size. Onshore winds tend to move the fish into areas more accessible to the fleet, resulting in a higher catch per unit of effort, and high winds affect the fleet's ability to harvest their quota. Those variables in addition to unusual fish size or run timing can make the information difficult to interpret.

These fisheries are managed under a guideline harvest (quota) specified in 5 AAC 09.365, the South Unimak/Shumagin Islands June Fishery Management Plan, initially adopted in 1974 by the Alaska Board of Fisheries. The original intent of the Alaska Board of Fisheries was to prevent over harvest of sockeye runs bound for individual river systems in Bristol Bay.

The management plan was brought before the Board for review in February 1988. At that time the Board elected to maintain a traditional harvest pattern, and set maximum allowable harvest levels for the South Unimak and Shumagin Island fisheries at $6.8 \%$ and $1.5 \%$, respectively, of the forecasted inshore harvest for Bristol Bay. In
addition the Board set a maximum allowable catch of chums that could occur during the South Unimak/Shumagin Islanc. June Fishery. The "chum cap" has changed a great deal over the years, but presently it is set at 700,000 chums.

The sockeye harvest allocation for the South Peninsula June fishery this season was $3,586,000(2,938,000$ for South Unimak and 648,000 for the Shumagins), based on the 1994 projected harvest in Bristol Bay. Preliminary catch information indicates that the Shumagin Island fishery landed 460,000 sockeye, and the South Unimak fishery landed $1,001,000$ sockeye. The total catch for the June fishery of $1,461,000$ was just $41 \%$ of the total allocation. Due to the low incidental harvest of chum salmon $(582,000)$ in the directed sockeye fishery, the allowable cap of 700,000 was not exceeded. A total of 262 hours of fishing time was allowed during a total of 14 days at South Unimak. The Shumagin fishery was allowed a total of 249 hours of fishing time during 13 days. In summary, even though the amount of fishing time was the greatest allowed in the last ten years of the fishery less than half the allocation was caught. The Bristol Bay sockeye run was below forecast level but by just $7.8 \%$. The sockeye were not available in large numbers to the June South Peninsula fishery. A possible explanation could have been the severe changes in currents and colder inshore water temperatures than normal reported by the fishers fishing in the area.

## Port Moller Test Fishery

For many years the Department of Fish and Game ran a test fish program out of the community of Port Moller. A large vessel fished specific loran stations on a transect line across the migration path of sockeye on route, Bristol Bay. Data collected was used to estimate run strength, timing, age and size composition. Though the performance was not always good, the project was very popular with salmon processors as it gave an additional indication of run size, which influences production capacity and the price paid to fishermen.

Through voluntary funding from the industry, the Port Moller test fish project was resumed and has been recently operated by staff from the Fisheries Research Institute (FRI), University of Washington. When the project changed leadership a newer more modern type of gear was employed, and a different method of fishing was used. Though the program is still plagued with gaps in the data due to unfishable weather and equipment breakdowns, recent data collected has provided a more accurate assessment of run size. Information concerning the project is shared with the department on a daily basis inseason and analyzed extensively by the Commercial Fisheries research staff in King Salmon.

## Economics and Market Production

Until 1991, price disputes had not been a factor in the Bristol Bay salmon fishery for many years. This was due to the large increase in the number of floating fish processors and the establishment of individual market agreements with small groups of fishermen. However, a large expected reduction in the sockeye price in 1991 resulted in a major price dispute between fishermen and processors. A settlement was achieved and the fishery ultimately enjoyed a sockeye harvest of 25.8 million (Appendix Table 5) from a total run of 41.9 million (Appendix Table 20). There have been no further price disputes since 1991.

The value of the combined commercial salmon inshore harvest in 1994 was estimated at $\$ 140.4$ million to participating fishermen. Less than the record $\$ 202.3$ million paid during the 1990 season, but considerably better than the $\$ 110.3$ million paid on average for the years 1974 to 1993 . This was the twelfth consecutive year that the exvessel value has exceeded $\$ 100$ million.

During the 1994 season in Bristol Bay, 8 companies canned, 28 companies froze and 4 companies cured salmon. In addition, 9 companies exported fresh fish by air, and 10 companies shipped salmon out by sea in refrigerated sea water (RSW) or brine (Table 34). A total of 36 processors/buyers reported catches in Bristol Bay 1994 compared with $72,62,59,59,48,30,57,42,37,36,32$ and 33 in the years 1982-1993 (ADF\&G 1982-93).

Run and Harvest Performance by Species

The combined commercial salmon harvest in Bristol Bay totaled 36.5 million fish in 1994 . That catch was the third largest in the past 20 years (Appendix Table 10).

## Sockeye Salmon

The 1994 inshore sockeye run of 50.5 million fish was approximately $4 \%$ less than the preseason forecast (Table 1). Runs to individual districts were: $14 \%$ more than the forecast for the Naknek/Kvichak District, $48 \%$ less than tne forecast for the Egegik District, $2 \%$ less than the forecast for the Ugashik District, $9 \%$ greater than the forecast for the Nushagak District, and $5 \%$ less than the forecast for the Togiak District (Table 1).

Sockeye salmon dominated the inshore commercial harvest, and totaled 35.2 million fish (Table 4). Sockeye escapement goals were met or exceeded in all river systems where spawning requirements have been defined except the Naknek River and the Nushagak-Mulchatna drainage (Table 1).

## Chinook Salmon

Chinook catches in 1994 were below the 20 year averages in all districts except in Ugashik and Nushagak (Appendix Table 6). The 1994 bay-wide commercial harvest of 140,000 chinook was the largest reported since 1983.

## Chum Salmon

The inshore commercial harvest of 833,000 chum salmon was the fourth smallest since 1974 and well below the 20-year average of 1.2 million (Appendix Table 7). Chum catches in all districts of Bristol Bay were less than average in 1994 (Appendix Table 7).

## Pink Salmon

Bristol Bay has a dominant even-year pink run, preseason it was thought that the pink runs would return in strength in 1994. However the commercial harvest of 91,000 pinks Bay wide is the lowest recorded in the last 20 years.

## Coho Salmon

The 1994 bay-wide commercial harvest of coho salmon totaled 179,000 fish, which was close to the 20 year average of 197,000 (Appendix Table 9). Coho catches were average in all districts of Bristol Bay, except Nushagak were the total catch was $8 \%$ of the 20 year average and Togiak were the catch was twice the 20 year average.

## Season Summary By District

## Naknek-Kvichak District

The total run of sockeye salmon to the Naknek-Kvichak District was projected at nearly 22.2 million fish (Table 1). Escapement goals were set at 8.0 million (range $6.0-10.0$ million) for the Kvichak River and 1.0 million (range 0.8-1.4 million) for the Naknek River. The district harvest forecast totaled nearly 13 million sockeye. The actual run to the district totaled 25.8 million sockeye, and the actual harvest totaled over 16.2 million. The 1994 catch was the third largest in the Naknek-Kvichak District over a twenty year period from 1974-1994 (Appendix Table 13).

Preseason management strategy for sockeye salmon called for some openings early in the season to monitor both run size and age composition in the District. Catches and age composition at False Pass and Port Moller were monitored for marked differences from the forecast. Commercial catches and age class in the Egegik and Ugashik Districts were also closely monitored.

No forecast is made for chinook salmon in the Naknek-Kvichak District. Chinook catches have been declining in the district in recent years, though effort levels have increased (Appendix Table 6). Due to a $500 \%$ increase in effort over the last twenty years observed during the pre-emergency order fishery and a $200 \%$ increase noted in the post-cmergency order fishery, it was necessary to reduce the weckly fishing schedule from five to four days per week. In addition, on June 1, 1994 an emergency order went into effect that prohibited the use of gillnet mesh larger than 5.5 inches until July 17, to afford additional protection to the chinook salmon stocks.

The 1994 salmon season in the Naknek-Kvichak District started by regulation on June 1, but the first recorded commercial landings did not occur until June 9, and consisted of small catches of chinook and chum salmon (Table 14). The first significant catches of sockeye occurred on June 20 after the three-day weekend closure. The weekly fishing schedule ended at 9:00 a.m. Thursday, June 23 with the harvest totaling 37,414 sockeye, 1,997 chinook and 2,045 chums. The sockeye catch for the pre-emergency order period was just $30 \%$ of normal. With such a small harvest in the district during the pre-emergency order period there was concern that the run could be three days or more bchind historical run timing.

The emergency order period in the Naknek-Kvichak District started at 9:00 a.m. on June 23. On June 21 the Naknek Tower project started counting, and the Kvichak Tower began their counts on June 23 (Table 25). The
inside test fish project started drifting on June 24, one sockeye was caught. A test boat was sent out on June 24 to assess the build up of fish in the district (Table 8). The indices showed very little strength anywhere in the district. The test fishing exercise was repeated on June 25 with very similar results. On June 26 the concentrations of fish both in the Kvichak section near Gravel Spit and in the middle of the Naknek section increased compared to the previous days test fishing effort. The following day (June 27) an index of over 700 occurred near Gravel spit. Two test fish boats were sent out on June 28. The largest indices occurred in the middle of the Naknek section and on the Johnson Hill line (Table 8). A similar test fishing effort was conducted on June 29. Indices had increased significantly over the previous day, in the Ships Anchorage and along Johnson Hill the indices were over 2,000 . As of 9:00 p.m. June 29 the fleet was put on short notice with the possibility of an opening occurring with only a 2 -hour notice. Two test boats were sent out on the 30 of June, a similar pattern of test sets were conducted. The indices dropped as compared to the day before. The Naknek Tower passage through June 30 was 18,078 sockeye, the Kvichak Tower had an escapement of 24,948 sockeye.

Early in the day on July first the fleet was told that they were still on short notice and that two test boats were in the district fishing. The indices in the Naknek section had increased dramatically over the previous day. One of the test boats fished in the river during the flood. The indices around the Peter Pan dock showed a substantial movement of fish into the river. In addition to the test fish indices there were several reports of a significant show of jumpers at the mouth of the Naknek during the flood. At 9 p.m. a short notice announcement was made for an 8 hour period starting at 11:00 p.m.. Because of the short notice announcement the King Salmon and Dillingham offices stayed open until 10 p.m. to accept blue cards. The catch for the period totaled 690,000 sockeye (Table 14), the escapement past the Naknek tower for the next 24 hours totaled more than 150,000 fish. With escapement rates of 4,000 fish an hour past the Naknek tower a period was announced for the Naknek Section starting at 8:30 p.m. July 2 for 13 hours.

The Port Moller test fishery on July 2 estimated that 32 million fish had passcd in route to Eristol Bay (Table 6). The Kvichak inside test fish project showed a large push of fish on July 2. An aerial survey in the morning of July 3 confirmed the inriver fish with an estimate of 1.2 million. With the additional fish on the afternoon tide, $10 \%$ of the escapement goal would have been assured. A district wide opening was announced to begin at 9:30 p.m., July 3 for 10 hours. The Newhalen Tower project that was operated by FRI began on July 4, the first daily count totaled 500 sockeye.

The Naknek River escapement through July 4 was 374,000 fish, the Kvichak River escapement totaled 1.5 million. The Naknek Section was reopened at 8:30 a.m. July 5 for 10 hours. An aerial survey of the Kvichak river in the morning of July 4 produced an estimate of 1.8 million fish (Table 27). Since the Kvichak River escapement had increased by 750,000 fish since the last Kvichak Section opening, which was in excess of the minimum of 600,000 ,
per the "Kvichak Section Set Gill Net Allocation Plan" an 11-hour opening was announced for Kvichak Section setnets only. The setnet only period would open at $10: 30$ p.m. July 5 . A district wide opening was announced at the same time to begin at 9:30 a.m. July 6 and continue for 10 hours.

Through July 5 the Naknek escapement was 1.5 days ahead of schedule and the Kvichak escapement was 2 days ahead. A survey of the Kvichak River on the morning of July 5 estimated 1.3 million (Table 27). The district wide opening that occurred on July 6 produced the first catch over a million fish, it totaled 1.17 million (Table 14). An extension was announced for the district for an additional 16 hours. The Newhalen Tower project had their peak count on July 6 with a daily of 319,819 for an accumulative count of 320,991 fish. The catch for July 7 totaled more than 1.5 million fish. An aerial survey of the Kvichak river estimated 1.35 million inriver fish when combined with a tower count of over 4.0 million (Table 25) put the overall escapement 3 days ahead of schedule. The Naknek river escapement had fallen off to the point where it was lagging a half day behind schedule. A commercial fishing period was announced for the Kvichak section only for 22 hours. This was the first time since 1979 that a Kvichak section only fishing period had been warranted. The Naknek section opened at 11:00 a.m. July 8 for an 11 hour period, this opening was based on the section being closed for two tides to allow fish to enter the escapement. The catch for July 8 was the highest of the season, a total of 1.9 million fish were harvested (Table 14). The Kvichak section was extended 24 hour based on the escapement being 3 days ahead of schedule and the inside test fish indices still showing strong pushes of fish on each tide.

An aerial survey of the Kvichak river was flown in the morning of July 9, 1.3 million fish were observed. It became obvious that the 600 drift boats registered to fish the district could not sufficiently slow the Kvichak run to the point that a closure would be justified. With this in mind the Kvichak section was extended an additional 24 hours until 10:00 p.m. July 10. The Naknek section was opened at 12 noon July 9 for 10 hours. The escapement past the tower through July 8 was 622,000 fish, placing the escapement on schedule. The catch for July 9 was 1.3 million sockeye (Table 14). July 9 was the iast day that the Port Moller test boat fisned, through July 9 the cumulative passage was 47.9 million fish. In shore through July 9, 29.4 million fish had been accounted which using the Port Moller numbers left an approximate 18 million yet to come.

The Naknek river escapement as of 6:00 a.m. July 10 was 690,000 fish, placing the escapement on schedule. The Naknck section was given a 10 hour period starting at 1:00 p.m. July 10 . The district wide catch for July 10 was 1.4 million fish, this was the fifth day in a row that the district wide daily catch exceeded 1 million fish. The total districts harvest know totaled 9.2 million. The Kvichak section was extended again, this time for 26 hours until 12 midnight July 11. The Kvichak tower count through July 10 , was 6.5 million fish which was three and a balf days ahead of schedule.

By July 11 the drift fleet had increased in numbers to 800 boats. The catch for July 11 was 955,000 fish which brought the total catch to 10.1 million. The catch totaled more than $75 \%$ of the preseason forecasted catch. The Naknek section had been closed for two tides, the escapement totaled 735,000 fish by the morning of July 11 which was still on schedule. A 12.5 hour opening for the Naknek section was announced to begin at 3:00 p.m. July 12. The Kvichak section was extended an additional 25 hours until 1:00 a.m. July 13. The July 12 catch for the district totaled 640,000 fish. Through July 12 the Kvichak rivers escapement totaled 7.3 million fish, which was over $90 \%$ of the end of the season goal of 8.0 million. The Newhalen Tower project had their second largest passage on July $12,315,000$ fish were counted bringing the cumulative count to 1.6 million. This appeared to be the second peak in counts, the first being on July 6.

The Kvichak section was allowed to close as scheduled at 1:00 a.m. July 13. This was the first closure since July 7. Over 3.5 million sockeye escaped into the Kvichak River between July 7 and July 12 despite continuous fishing in the Kvichak section. Poor visibility prevented an accurate aerial survey of the Kvichak River. The inside test fish project estimated 200,000 fish in the river. The fleet was told to standby on short notice and told if escapement rates increased into to the Kvichak River a short notice announcement could be possible. The next tides drifts from the inside test fish project showed very little movement into the lower river. An announcement was made at 12:00 noon July 13 for a 25 hour period in the Kvichak section and a 12 hour period for the Naknek section, both would begin at 4:00 p.m. July 13. The Naknek River escapement was 800,000 , a half day behind schedule. The Kvichak escapement totaled 7.45 million including in-river fish which was 4 days ahead of schedule.

The total catch for the district on July 14 was 687,000 fish, this was the largest catch for that day during the last 20 years. The total districts catch now exceeded 12.0 million. The Kvichak section was extended until 7:00 p.m. July 16. The Naknek section was opened on July 15 and 16 for two ten hour periods. The Kvichak section was extended beyond the end of the regular E.O. period until 9:00 a.m. July 18 when the regular weekly fishing schedule oî four days a week would resume. Tue Nakūk escapement through July 21 was 985,000 fish, just 15,000 fish short of the escapement goal of 1.0 million. The Kvichak escapement through July 21 totaled 8.2 million fish which was 200,000 past the escapement goal of 8.0 million. Catches for the previous three days had averaged more than 175,000 fish. Based on the need to harvest the fish that are in excess of the escapement needs, commercial fishing in the entire district was extended 51 hours until 12 noon Sunday, July 24 . The cumulative catch through July 24 was 15.8 million which was $20 \%$ greater than the preseason forecasted catch. The Kvichak escapement totaled 8.34 million, the Naknek escapement totaled 991,000 .

Effective July 25 the regular weekly fishing schedule of $9: 00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. Monday uniil $9: 00 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. Friday was reduced by a day. The reduction in fishing time was a response to a recent trend of declining coho numbers. After July 27, historically coho salmon catches have become significant. With the current fishing effort being $20 \%$ higher than
average and the fact that the season was closed completely last year on August 6, a conservative approach was warranted for this season.

The last deliveries in the district occurred on August 16. A total of 24 buyers purchased fish in the Naknek-Kvichak District in 1994. The sockeye harvest totaled 16.3 million, the third highest catch in the last twenty years (Appendix Table 13). The chum harvest totaled 200,823 fish, which is just below the recent 20 -year average of 266,000 (Appendix Table 7). The commercial harvest of 6,127 chinook was the second highest catch since 1984 (Appendix Table 6). Subsistence catches are listed in Table 36 are average and do not reflect anything out of the ordinary.

## Egegik District

The 1994 sockeye salmon run to the Egegik District totaled 12.8 million fish, the third largest run on record (high was 23.1 million in 1993). In spite of the large magnitude of the run it fell well short of the preseasin forecast of 18.8 million sockeye, but yielded the third largest commercial harvest recorded over the 100 -year history of the fishery, 10.8 million fish (Table 1). An escapement of approximately 2.0 million fish was attained, the fourth largest on record, well above the 1.0 million fish point goal. Total Egegik District sockeye runs during the past eight comparable cycle years dating back to 1954 have ranged from 1.4 to 11.0 million fish with a mean of 3.8 million, so the 1994 run ranks largest on record for this cycle-year (approximately 3 times the cycle-year average).

The 1994 ADF\&G preseason Bristol Bay sockeye salmon forecast projected a total inshore run of 52.4 million fish, and a harvestable surplus of approximately 39.6 million fish. The Egegik District forecast harvest of 17.8 million sockeye comprised $45 \%$ of the projected bay-wide harvest, the largest harvestable surplus in the bay (Table 1). This represented the largest sockeye harvest ever predicted for the Egegik District and hence the fishing public was very interested in the management philosophy to be employed in the district for the season. There were no new Board of Fisheries actions pertaining to the district over the winter so the regulations in effect were the same as in 1992 and 1993. The only anticipated management changes announced for the season were; 1) an experimental shortening of early season fishing periods from 10 hours to 8 hours by fishing less of the ebb tides, and 2) some slight adjustments in the timing of openings versus tide stage per results of a survey conducted over winter amongst the setnet gear group (drifters were not surveyed as they have fishable waters somewhere in the district at any tide stage). As the season approached, fishermen were informed that due to some improvement in Egegik chinook salmon escapements the past two years the fishing season during June would start on schedule, but with use of large mesh gillnets prohibited.

The commercial salmon season commenced in the district on June 1 with the first landings recorded June 6 (Table
15). Only a very limited fishing effort and small catches of sockeye, chinook, and chum salmon were reported through 9:00 a.m. June 16, when district management went under emergency order.

Daily test fishing to provide estimates of sockeye passage into the lower portions of Egegik River began June 15 at the usual sites just upstream of Wolverine Creek (Table 28). Initial test fishing drifts yielded modest sockeye catches. A June 14 aerial survey of Egegik River/Lagoon yielded an estimate of approximately 500 sockeye in the lagoon, an average showing for the earliest fish in the escapement. None were noted in Egegik River upstream of the lagoon. The Egegik River salmon counting towers, providing daily estimates of sockeye passage into Becharof Lake, 'began operation June 20 (Table 25).

The commercial fishery was kept closed from the onset of the emergency order period through June 22 as escapement indicators were modest and a management goal of obtaining $10 \%$ of the sockeye escapement from the early portion of the run had not yet been met. Inriver test fishing results began to increase June 21 and by the morning of June 22 it appeared fairly certain that 100,000 sockeye would be safely in Egegik River shortly, so a short commercial "shakedown" opening (8 hours) was scheduled for June 23.

Participation in the June 23 opening was high with approximately 691 drift and 163 set net deliveries reported. The catch was very modest totaling only 52,000 sockeye (Table 15). An aerial survey of Egegik Lagoon late in the afternoon of June 23 confirmed approximately 9,000 sockeye present, but survey conditions were too poor to permit an estimate of fish in Egegik River proper. A survey of the fistung district was conducted just prior to close of the period and there did not appear to be any setnetters unable to access their gear for purposes of removing it in time to comply with the announced closing time (an important factor impacting the use of short openings). Inriver test fishing results June 23 dropped below previous levels. Given that drop in escapement rate and the low harvest indications for the period the fishery closed on schedule June 23 and remained closed June 24.

Sockeye harvest results through June 23 from the South Unimak and Shumagin Islands intercept fisheries were quite modest leading to uncertainty regarding overall run characteristics. Fish size was reportedly unusually small in some of the daily catches and some of the test fisheries from the South Unimak and Shumagin Islands areas. Additionally, through June 23 the Port Moller sockeye test fishery results were running below recent years' levels, and in fact were below average for all years in the data base. Based on these signals a very conservative approach to early management of the Egegik sockeye run might have appeared appropriate, however, given the huge Egegik forecast. and the fact that Egegik District sockeye escapements the past six years have been well above desired point goals, a more hiberal appruach to fishing time was the management tactic selected for late-June. Another 8-hour fishing period was announced for the district commencing at 1:00 p.m. June 25.

The June 25 opening was about twice as productive as the preceding one (Table 15). It yielded a catch of 123,000 sockeye bringing the cumulative catch up to 178,000 , slightly below the 1960-1992 average June 25 cumulative catch of 201,000 sockeye. Most of the harvest took place in outer district waters along the west line in spite of $S W$ winds at about 20 mph which produced a moderate surf. Effort was similar to that of June 23 . A mid-afternoon aerial survey of Egegik Lagoon yielded an estimate of 22,000 sockeye in the lagoon but survey conditions were too marginal to permit an estimate of fish in the river downstream. Given the indications of a relatively small catch and the modest escapement observations the fishery was allowed to close on schedule.

Historically the cumulative Egegik River sockeye escapement count past the counting towers through June 25 has averaged 33,000 fish, with a high of 621,000 in 1993 . Through June 25,1994 it totaled 38,000 fish, just a little above average. Given this fairly average sockeye escapement, the slightly below average cumulative catch, and the continuing below average Port Moller test fishing indices there was no significant data apparent at this point to confirm Egegik having an abnormally large sockeye run. The fishery remained closed June 26.

The escapement rate increased again on June 26 with inriver test fishing suggesting approximately 169,000 sockeye had entered Egegik River thus far and with 109,000 counted past Egegik River counting towers. The June 26 Port Moller test fish results improved to slightly above average levels indicating the main body of sockeye was perhaps a little later than usual. Given these factors another 8-hour fishing period was scheduled for June 27.

The June 27 opening commenced at 3:00 p.m. under light northerly winds and partly sunny skies. Catches were again lower than expected with 188,000 sockeye landed. Best catches were recorded by the drift fleet (averaged 191 sockeye/delivery) from outer district waters while setnets did poorly throughout the district (averaged 51 sockeye per delivery). Once again the fishery closed on schedule...and it remained closed June 28.

Peak drift gillnet registration for the Egegik District occurred June 28 at SS1 drifters (Table 13). The cumulative sockeye escapement count past Egegik River tower through 2:00 p.m. June 28 totaled 132,000 fish, a level normally reached historically on or about July $2 \ldots$ indicating the escapement was about 4 days ahead of schedule. The cumulative commercial sockeye harvest totaled 366,000 fish, slightly above the historic level of 336,000 . With additional fish indicated present inriver( test fishing suggested 44,000 between the fishery and the counting tower), another 8 -hour fishing period was announced scheduled to commence at 4:30 a.m. June 29. The interval between openings was reduced from three tide cycles to 2 tide cycles for this opening due to the above average escapement level.

The June 29 opening commenced :nder sunny nearly calm conditions. Fishing success was reportedly very poor during the early hours of the period but improved after the turn of the tide, particularly at the south line. Drift and
set deliveries averaged 178 and 37 sockeye respectively. A total catch of 153,000 sockeye was reported. The fishery closed on schedule but due to escapement being well ahead of schedule another 8 -hour period was announced for June 30.

The June 30 opening commenced at 6:00 p.m. under sunny skies and $15-25 \mathrm{mph}$ SE winds. Reports from the opening during the late evening of June 30 indicated reasonably good drift catches were taken from outer Egegik Bay waters all along the west line. Drift gillnet deliveries averaged 553 sockeye while setnet deliveries improved a little to 78 sockeye/delivery. Inriver test fishing results indicated a little greater level of sockeye entry into Egegik River but not a large surge of fish so the opening appeared to have been timed successfully. Fishermen caught 494,000 sockeye during the opening bringing the cumulative district sockeye harvest to 1.0 million fish, $6 \%$ of the district forecast harvest. The period closed on schedule at 2:00 a.m. July 1.

By 6:00 p.m. July 1 the sockeye count past Egegik River counting towers totaled 209,000 fish, a level normal for July 3. Cumulative Port Moller test fishing index values were improving quickly with indices suggesting a total cumulative passage of 31 million fish, with more coming. The Naknek-Kvichak sockeye run had not yet materialized in strength and commercial fishing was still on hold in that district pending arrival of some early escapement components. However, a huge school of sockeye was repeatedly reported by spotter pilots during the day, milling just west of Deadman Sands and the Naknek-Kvichak District. It was described by one experienced spotter as being "so large that it was a once in a lifetime spectacle". Fishing in the Ugashik District thus far had been limited but had produced a catch of 112,000 sockeye. Escapement was estimated at 5,000 sockeye in Ugashik River. With these factors in mind another 8 -hour commercial fishing period was announced for the Egegik District commencing at 6:00 a.m. July 2.

The July 2 opening began under overcast skies and SW winds at $10-15 \mathrm{mph}$. Catch success was good throughout the outer district drift nets aiuc in setnets aiong the outer beaches. It was poor from inner bay setnets. Drifters averaged 1,633 sockeye/delivery while outer beach setnets averaged $325 /$ delivery and inner bay setnets averaged 30/delivery. In total the opening yielded $1,455,000$ sockeye, the single largest daily catch of the season, bringing the cumulative catch to 2.5 million sockeye, a level normally reached on approximately July 9 . The period closed on schedule at $2: 00$ p.m. July 2.

The sockeye run to inshore Bristol Bay waters really began to manifest itself on July 3. Fish began pouring into escapements in many Bay systems, including Egegik River (Table 28). The Port Moller test fishery results suggested neariy 40 million sockeye had passed into the bay and thus far approximately 8 milion of these had bean accounted for either in catches or escapements, so roughly 32 million sockeye were expected to make an appearance inshore within a few days.

During the morning of July 3 several persons reported visually observing large numbers of sockeye moving into inner Egegik Bay and Egegik River. Based on these observations, and based on the progress of both the catch and escapement in the district, an 8-hour fishing period was scheduled commencing at 8:30 p.m. July 3. An aerial survey of Egegik Lagoon/River at 8:30 p.m. confirmed the presence of approximately 278,000 sockeye moving up the river.

The July 3 opening commenced under drizzle and SE winds at 10 mph . Initial sets throughout the district were good but catch success quickly tailed-off thereafter. The fishery operated on the tail of a strong push of fish that entered the river on the preceding flood tide. It yielded a catch of 438,000 sockeye, with drifters averaging 416 sockeye/delivery and setnetters registering 213/delivery. Inner and outer beach setnets averaged about the same delivery totals. The period closed on schedule at 4:30 a.m. July 4.

The July 3-4 catch from the district brought the cumulative Egegik sockeye catch to 2.9 million, a level normally attained on or about July 12. The surge of fish into Egegik River noted July 3 arrived at the counting towers on July 4. By 2:00 p.m. on July 4 the cumulative count past the towers totaled 363,000 sockeye, a level normally achieved on or about July 6 . With both the catch and escapement occurring at above normal levels another 8 -hour fishing period was scheduled for July 5, and the interval between openings was reduced further from two tide cycles to one tide cycle to slow sockeye escapement rates.

The July 5 opening began at $8: 15 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. under 10 mph SW winds. It was a productive opening with fish moderately well distributed through-out the district at its onset. An aerial survey of the district was flown about 2 hours into the period and fish were noted in both inner and outer district nets. Driftnet catches appeared best in the outer entrance channel (Ships Channel) while the best setnet catches were noted from the outer beaches and along the "Cutbank" just downstream of Egg Island. Aerial observations and inriver test fishing indicated another pulse of fish inio Egegik River had just occurred and the fishery caught the tail of that movement. A catch of 932,000 . sockeye was achieved with drift gillnets averaging 1,063 /delivery and set gillnets averaging $132 /$ delivery. In spite of improving catch levels in adjacent districts the Egegik fleet continued to hold at early season levels with 842 drift deliveries and 223 setnet deliveries recorded.

By 2:00 p.m. July 5 the cumulative sockeye escapement past Egegik River counting tower totaled 576,000 fish and another 173,000 had been visually confirmed downstream during the morning's aerial survev. With approximately 750,000 sockeye virtually assured in the escapement another 8 -hour fishing period was scheduled for July 6 .

The July 6 opening commenced at 9:00 a.m. with fair-to-good catch success reported. An aerial survey of the district was conducted about two hours into the opening and inner district setnets were observed performing
moderately well. Outside beach setnet success appeared moderate from Red Bluff to Coffee Point, but was poor north of Red Bluff. Initial drift success was noticeably highest from the outer west line, the outer north line, and the South Channel (inside Goose Point). Approximately 75,000 sockeye were observed upriver in Egegik Lagoon. The opening yielded a catch of 882,000 sockeye bringing the cumulative catch to 4.7 million fish, $27 \%$ of the preseason harvest forecast. The period closed on schedule at 5:00 p.m. July 6.

Through 2:00 p.m. July 6 the cumulative sockeye escapement count past Egegik River tower totaled 755,000 fish. Adding the 75,000 noted during the day's aerial survey brought the visually assured total to 830,000 fish. Inriver test fish indices suggested 921,000 had entered the river to date. With the lower range of the sockeye escapement goal ( 800,000 fish) obtained and the escapement point goal of 1.0 million fish being approached another adjustment to the fishing schedule appeared warranted at this point...so the next fishing period was scheduled as a 21 -hour opening beginning at 10:00 a.m. July 7, following a one tide cycle "window closure". The major considerations affecting continuation of short window closures at this juncture were; the need for escapement re-building from a chronically weak Egegik chum run, the need for continued escapement components from a rebuilding Egegik chinook run, the need to allow Egegik sockeye to distribute throughout the district for the benefit of all user groups, and the need to provide opportunity for northbound and southbound sockeye to pass by the Egegik District.

Through midnight July 6 the Naknek-Kvichak District sockeye harvest totaled 3.7 million fish. The Kvichak River sockeye escapement totaled 3.5 million fish (on schedule), and the Naknek River escapement totaled 469,000 fish (about 2 days behind schedule). The Ugashik District sockeye harvest totaled 387,000 fish and the Ugashik sockeye escapement was just getting started, a normal situation. Port Moller test fishing results suggested approximately 43 million sockeye had passed headed for inshore Bristol Bay waters, indicating either a total run somewhat smaller than the 52.4 million predicted, or a run much later than usual if forecast was to be reached. Approximately 16 million sockeye had been accounted for from inshore Bristol Bay waters thus far so roughly 27 million were antic.pated inshore over the next 7-10 days.

The July 7 opening was very productive. An aerial survey of the district was conducted at noon and catch success appeared good from inner district drift and setnets. Setnets south of Red Bluff along the outer beach appeared to be doing well as were west line drift nets. North flats setnets were doing poorly. Fish were observed in abundance north and west of the district. Fish were continuing to pass into Egegik River and an estimated 155,000 were observed between the upriver fishing boundary and Egegik counting towers. A daily harvest of just over 1 million sockeye was recorded. Drift gilinet deliveries averaged 1,006 sockeye while setnet deliveries averaged 210 . The period continued through 7:00 a.m. July 8 with another 488,000 sockeye landed July 8.

By 9:00 a.m. July 8 the Egegik District sockeye escapement point goal of 1 million fish had been reached so
fishermen were advised the 48 -hour waiting period for transfers into the Egegik District was waived, and another 21-hour fishing period was announced commencing at 11:00 p.m. July 8. This opening was scheduled an hour earlier than usual into the incoming flood tide due to forecasted $20-25$ knot $S W$ winds which were expected to push the big flood tide ( 19 ft ) inshore more quickly than normal.

Per Murphy's Law the July 8-9 period opened under SE winds $20-30$ knots which held the tide out rather than accelerating it as the earlier weather forecast had suggested. Many setnetters were very disappointed in having to set their nets an hour before prime fishing time arrived at their sites and seeing the drift fleet operating farther offshore catching the fish that might have been more available to setnets an hour later. That's what happens when one tries to outguess the weather when it comes to setting fishing schedules to maximize fishermen's safety. An aerial survey of the district at 10:30 a.m. July 9 documented good drift and setnet catch success from the innermost waters of Egegik Bay. Outer district success appeared "spotty". Fishing effort in the district was noticeably less than previously with district registration totaling only 523 drift fishermen (Table 13) due to fishermen dispersing to other districts (primarily the Naknek-Kvichak). The period yielded a catch of $1,049,000$ sockeye bringing the cumulative catch to 7.3 million fish ( $41 \%$ of the preseason district harvest forecast). The opening closed on schedule at 8:00 p.m. July 9.

Through midnight July 9 the Kvichak River sockeye escapement totaled 5.8 million fish, still slightly ahead of schedule for an 8.0 million escapement. The Naknek River escapement totaled 676,000 sockeye, about 3 days behind schedule for a 1 million fish escapement. The Naknek-Kvichak catch totaled 8.3 million sockeye. The Ugashik River sockeye escapement totaled approximately 7,000 fish past the counting towers with another 85,000 estimated in the river, based on inriver test fishing. The Ugashik District sockeye catch totaled 1.2 million fish. None of these adjacent district sockeye runs appeared to be facing any serious biological problems. The cumulative Egegik sockeye escapement had risen to 1.3 million fish. The next Egegik District fishing period ( 21 hours) was scheduled for July 10-11.

The July 10 opening commenced at $12: 15 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and an aerial survey conducted at the onset of the period yielded observations of moderate initial drift and setnet catches from inner district waters. Setnet catches appeared moderate from Bishop Creek on the outer north beach to the Cutbank near Egg Island. There were also some good initial drift net catches at the north line and south line. North flats setnets did poorly and some north beach setnetters/buyers suggested longer window closures to put more fish into the inner district waters. Although longer window closures would have provided a greater opportunity for chum salmon to escape they would also have added substantially to the sockeye escapement which was aiready weil above the desired point goal. Given those considerations, and the migratory pattern being exhibited by sockeye this season (more movement through main channels as opposed to movement along beaches), the recommendation was not implemented. The July 10 daily catch totaled 539,000
sockeye and another 289,000 were delivered at the close of the period July 11. Another one tide cycle "window closure" was subsequently employed and the next fishing period ( 20 hours) was scheduled for July 12.

By the morning of July 12 the Kvichak River sockeye escapement count had reached 7.1 million fish. The Naknek River escapement totaled 750,000 sockeye, and the Ugashik River escapement totaled 313,000 past counting towers with another 110,000 estimated inriver. The Egegik River sockeye escapement had reached 1.5 million, the point at which continuous fishing in the district can be implemented. However, due to the previously stated concerns regarding attainment of better chum and chinook escapements, and due to the continuing need to distribute fish as well as possible throughout the district this option was not selected and the practice of alternating 20-21 hour openings with one tide cycle closures was continued. This tactic was employed four times over the interval from July 12-17 as the sockeye run began to tail-off and it afforded fishermen a lot of opportunity to harvest excess sockeye while still providing some "windows of opportunity" for chum escapement to occur. Additionally, it rotated. openings from the larger flood tide to the smaller flood tide every other period, which helps distribute the catch through-out the district. Inriver test fishing was discontinued after July 12 as sockeye escapement needs were met.

By the end of the emergency order period, July 17, the district cumulative sockeye catch totaled approximately 9.9 million fish, $56 \%$ of the preseason district harvest forecast. After one last short window closure on the afternoon of Sunday July 17 the fishery reverted to its normal fall fishing schedule (9:00 a.m. Mondays until 9:00 a.m. Fridays).

Sockeye landings continued in the district throughout July and August (Table 15), reaching a preliminary seasonal cumulative total of $10,798,450$ fish. ADF\&G personnel continued sockeye escapement counts at Egegik River tower through July 21 recording a total count of $1.897,932$ fish. Counting was then turned over to personnel of the U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service and they continued counts through September 11 registering a final cumulative count for the season of $1,967,730$ sockeye. Aerial surveys oí Shosky Creek and King Saimon River added another 45 fish to this, bringing the total Egegik drainage sockeye escapement to $1,967,775$ fish. There was one peak passage period at Egegik tower, the 6 -day interval from July 4-9 yielding an average daily passage of 182,000 fish. There were eight days during which daily total counts at Egegik River tower exceeded 100,000 fish this season. Each segment of the run was fairly well represented in the escapement. The escapement sex ratio was comprised of $43 \%$ males and $57 \%$ females.

The age composition of the 1994 Egegik District sockeye run was as follows:

| Age Group | Catch | Escapement |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1.2 | $3 \%$ | $6 \%$ |


| 2.2 | $44 \%$ | $62 \%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1.3 | $4 \%$ | $1 \%$ |
| 2.3 | $46 \%$ | $25 \%$ |
| Other | $\frac{3 \%}{2}$ | $6 \%$ |
|  | Totals | $100 \%$ |

The run was about equally comprised of progeny from the 1988 escapement of 1.61 million sockeye (6-year old) fish) and the 1989 escapement of 1.61 million (5-year old fish). A fairly substantial showing of Age Group 2.1 jacks ( $3.3 \%$ of the escapement) from the 1990 escapement of 2.2 million fish was evident.

Egegik District fishermen harvested $84.6 \%$ of the Egegik inshore sockeye run, well above the 1952-1993 (42-year) average of $\mathbf{7 7 . 1 \%}$. Preliminary catch data indicates drift gillnets took $92 \%$ of the sockeye harvest while set gillnets took $8 \%$. Historically, over the period 1960-1993, drift gillnets have taken an average of $89 \%$ of the catch while set gillnets have averaged $11 \%$. The $9,954,000$ sockeye delivered by drift fishermen was the third largest volume on record for that gear type over the history of the district. The 844,000 sockeye delivered by setnet fishermen was the seventh largest on record for that gear group. Peak day in the harvest based on volume landed ( 1.46 million sockeye), and catch per hour, was July 2. Peak catch per delivery for drift and set gillnets occurred July 2 with averages of 1,633 and 251 sockeye per delivery respectiveiy. During the Emergency Order Period (June 16-July 17), a total of 210 hours were fished in the district, $28 \%$ of the 744 hours available. This total was down considerably from the 305 hours fished in 1993.

The commercial harvest of other salmon species in the Egegik District totaled 107,000 fish, $1 \%$ of the total harvest. The chinook harvest totaled approximately 1,200 fish, less than half the 1974-1993 (20-year) average of 2,900 (Appendix Table 6). Part of this below average chinook harvest was due to the prohibition of gillnets with mesh sizes larger than 5.5 inches in the fishery from June 1-July 9. Additionally, keeping the district closed to fishing from 9:00 a.m. June 16 until 11:00 a.m. June 23 provided the peak of the chinook run the opportunity to enter the escapement (part of the chinook escapement rebuilding program). Historically, roughly $30 \%$ of the chinook harvest has occurred during days that were closed during this time period in 1994. The district chum harvest totaled 57,000 fish, the second lowest harvest since 1979 and only $61 \%$ of the 20 -year average of 94,000 (Appendix Table 7). Window closures were provided throughout the commercial fishery during late June and most of July, partially implemented to promote chum escapement. and these may have contributed in limiting the chum catch to some extent. However, the overall chum run was well below average. Essentially no pink salmon were harvested this season. Normaily a small harvest averaging around 5,000 pinks is obtained during even-numbered years. Pinks were available in the district but the low price offered for them was probably the main reason they were not targeted by fishermen. The district coho salmon harvest totaled 48,000 fish, well above the 20 -year average of 31,000 but close
to the recent 10 -year average (1984-19)3) of 44,000 (Appendix Table 9).

Aerial surveys were conducted of the Egegik and King Salmon Rivers to provide escapement indices for chinook, chum, and coho salmon. The resultant escapement indices totaled 2,328 chinook, 4,179 chum, and 7,412 coho salmon. The chinook index is the highest recorded since surveys were begun in 1982, nearly twice the 1981-1993 mean index of 1,234 . The chum index (the sum of two surveys), is far below the 1982-1993 mean index of 13,325 . While "window closures" probably helped the chum escapement somewhat the escapement is still in need of rebuilding assistance. The coho index (funded by the U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service) represents the first system-wide index on record for this species. It was comprised of 978 coho noted in the King Salmon River drainage and 6,434 observed in the Egegik River drainage ( 6,203 of these observed in areas upstream of the Egegik River counting tower). Additionally, the U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service conducted escapement counts at Egegik River from ADF\&G counting towers during the interval from July 22 through September 11. Based on ADF\&G counts from June 20-July 21 and the U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service counts thereafter, a total of 10,140 coho and 21,282 pink salmon escaped into the Egegik River rapids during the counting period.

A total of 31 buyers operated in the district this season (Table 34). Most of the harvest was taken aboard floating freezer processors or tendered to other districts for processing. There were no additional high volume shore-based buyers. There were no reports this season of individual buyers in the district reaching processiag capacity limits leading to suspensions of buying, or of any processors placing fishermen on delivery limits at any time.

In retrospect, the season at Egegik was very productive when compared to historic levels, but somewhat disappointing to some fishermen and buyers in that it fell far short of the preseason harvest forecast. Companies that geared up for extra production prior to the season had to compete hard to meet more normal production levels. Additionally, the migration pattern of sockeye through the district was different in 1994 than that shown in 19921993. Fish were not abundant right along the north beach as in 1992-1993 but instead seemed to enter Egegik Bay more often in the Ships Channel and even at times from the south past Goose Spit. They were distributed a little more equitably on both sides of the bay than during 1992-1993 when most were caught along the north side of the bay. The shorter openings during the early part of the sockeye season were blamed by some north outside beach fishermen for a dramatic drop in their catch performance. However, their performance did not measure up to previous years' levels even during the longer openings later in the season when curtailment of the last two hours of the ebb was not in effect. The problem they faced was mainly the different migratory path of the fish. The shorter periods did cut down to some degree on the anti-social behavior exhibited by fishermen at the north line. It also protected the first northerly push of fish at the south line as the end or the ebb was in progress at the north line, it led to shorter delivery times for the harvested product, and it probably helped limit interception to a limited degree. It really did not get as true a test of its impact on north line activity as it would have had the bulk of fish
entering the Egegik District been along the north beach as it was in 1992 and 1993. It did not seem to present a serious obstacle to setnet fishermen being able to retrieve their nets legally prior at the end of the shorter periods (prior to low water).

After obtaining three reasonably good chinook escapements consecutively the early season (pre-June 16) fishing schedule in 1995 should be 4-days per week as was done this year. Emphasis on obtaining chum salmon escapement whenever it can be accomplished without generating too large a loss to the sockeye fishery is necessary in 1995 after below average escapements three of the last four years. The sockeye escapement of approximately 2.0 million this season was again greater than the desired upper range, but in light of the massive volume of the run and the degree to which it was exploited an escapement of this magnitude is probably not unhealthy for the system. A reevaluation of the district's escapement goal in light of large returns during the past decade is planned for this coming fall. Limited limnological sampling visits to Becharof Lake during the fall of 1994 were conducted by a team from the University of Alaska Juneau, with assistance from ADF\&G and U.S. Fish \& Wildlife Service. Baseline water chemistry and productivity data were gathered and bathymetric work continued. The results will be made available later this winter by the university.

Scales from Egegik District sockeye catches and the escapement were gathered during the 1994 season and will be analyzed during the winter of 1994-1995. Results of these studies will be reported separately in the spring of 1995 by the investigators. The results should provide a better understanding of interception totals in the district during the 1994 season and be useful in planning future management.

## Ugashik District

The 1994 sockeye salnion run to the Ugashik District totaled 5.5 million fish, nearly right at the preseason forecast of 5.6 million (Table 1). Fishermen harvested 4.4 million sockeye, thic third largest harvest recorded over the 102year history of the fishery, and an escapement of 1.1 million fish was attained. Comparable cycle-year sockeye runs over the last eight cycles dating back to 1954 have ranged from 64,000 to 4.9 million fish with an average of 1.8 million, so the 1994 run was 3 times the cycle year average.

The preseason forecast for the Ugashik District was quite optimistic suggesting a harvest of 4.9 million sockeye. However, compared to the much larger harvests expected in the Naknek-Kvichak and Egegik districts, this was not an attractive enough prediction to generate a large amount of early season fleet interest in the district. Fishermen were made awarc that management of the district would be similar to that employed in 1993 but with possibly a little more fishing time during late June and early July. Regulations in effect for the season were the same as in 1993. Over the winter and spring considerable concern was expressed by some Ugashik district fishermen regarding a
potential lack of buyers willing to service the district. Given these factors as the season began most fishermen chose to begin fishing elsewhere with the option of transferring into the district as conditions warranted.

Initial landings occurred in the district June 2 (Table 16) with a few chinook landed. Small catches were reported for the remainder of that week as only a few fishermen worked their gear. By June 16, sockeye were exceeding chinook in the catch but the fleet was still minimal. The 4 -days per week early season fishing schedule continued until June 23 as a few fishermen concentrated on sockeye in outer district waters. By the onset of the emergency order period at 9:00 a.m. June 23, the cumulative district harvest totaled approximately 17,000 sockeye, 3,200 chinook, and 2,000 chum salmon. These pre-emergency order period cumulative salmon catches were all quite average compared to the 34 years (1960-1993) for which daily catch data exists. The district was allowed to close at the onset of the emergency order period pending the arrival of a stronger showing of sockeye in the district and the river.

The inriver test fishery, operating about three miles upstream of Ugashik Village, commenced June 25 providing daily estimates of sockeye passage into the lower section of Ugashik River. Inriver test fishing results (Table 29) over the next several days documented a very low level of fish entry into the Ugashik River system so the commercial fishery remained closed. During this time nearly all the drift gillnet fleet transferred from the Ugashik District to other areas (Table 13) to take advantage of early season fishing opportunities elsewhere prior to the arrival of the main Ugashik run.

The fishery remained closed through June 26 as inriver test fishing (Table 29) showed little evidence of Ugashik bound sockeye moving into lower river waters, and test fishing in the commercial district from June 24 \& 26 indicated a few sockeye were present but not moving into inner areas of Ugashik Bay (Table 10). With only a few drift fishermen available to fish district waters, a 12-hour fishing period was allowed June 27-28 to serve as a test fishery pruviding age and size data for run composition analysis.

The June 27 opening began at 2:00 p.m. under light North winds and overcast skies. An aerial survey of the fishery was conducted at 5:30 p.m. yielding a count of 33 drift boats and 36 setnets fishing. Catch success appeared to be a little better than expected from outer district drift fishermen, but was very meager from inner district setnets upriver of Smoky Point. The period closed on schedule at 2:00 a.m. July 28 yielding a catch of 17,000 sockeye. A total of 12 tenders were noted in the district during the opening representing eight companies so processing presence was not at all limiting in the district.

District test fishing was again conducted June 29 yielding results slightly higher than those of the earlier tests (average index $=82$ sockeye/100 f/hour, versus indices of 59 and 11 for June 24 and June 26 respectively). Inriver
test fishing suggested about 4,000 sockeye had passed the test fish site upriver of Ugashik village. Sockeye scale samples from the June 27 opening indicated a higher percentage of 3-ocean fish and a lower percentage of 2-ocean fish than was forecast for the district, however, the number of samples was so limited (given the small catch) that results were not considered particularly indicative of the overall run composition. More data was necessary so another 12-hour fishing period was scheduled for June 30.

The June 30 period commenced at 4:00 p.m. under SE winds at 20-30 knots. An aerial survey of the district 3 hours into the opening yielded an effort count of 75 drift boats and 52 setnets. Catch success appeared moderate to good for drift boats throughout outer district areas but poor for inner bay setnets. A survey over Ugashik Lagoon and the outlet of Lower Ugashik Lake confirmed the presence of roughly a thousand sockeye in the upper river area. The period closed on schedule at 4:00 a.m. July 1 and yielded a catch of 78,000 sockeye. Drift gillnet fishermen averaged 918 sockeye/delivery while setnet fishermen averaged 29/delivery.

The fishery remained closed for the remainder of July 1-2. Sockeye escapement counting at the Ugashik River counting towers, located just downstream of the Lower Ugashik Lake outlet, began July 2. Another district test fishing round was conducted July 2 ( 9 drifts) yielding a much improved average index of 498 sockeye/ $100 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{hour}$, with quite attractive indices throughout the northern half of the outer district. It also indicated a pulse of fish (index $=690$ ) had pushed inshore into the South Channel of inner Ugashik Bay (Table 10). Given this indication that fish abundance was building in the district and that a pulse was poised in inner bay waters ready to move into Ugashik River, and given the small fleet available in the district, another 12 -hour fishing period was scheduled for July 3.

The July 3 period commenced at 6:00 a.m. under SE winds at 10-15 knots, and produced rather poorly compared to the previous opening. Drift fishermen averaged 628 sockeye/delivery while setnet fishermen averaged 19/delivery. Low ceilings prevented an aerial survey of the district. Tine period yielded a total catch of 64,000 sockeye bringing the cumulative sockeye catch to 176,000 fish. The fishery closed on schedule at 6:00 p.m. and another district test fishing round was scheduled for July 4.

The winds on July 4 switched to light westerlies but 11 stations were test fished in the district yielding an average index of 96 sockeye $/ 100 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{hour}$. The low indices were so widespread that ancth:- test fishing round was scheduled for July 5 and the fishery remained closed.

The July 5 district test fishing ( 10 stations tested) yielded an average index of 224 sockeye/l00 f/hour. The best index (840) was obtained nearshore just north of the Ugashik Bay entrance. Given the presence of fish near the bay entrance, the small fleet, the large district forecast, and the publicized strategy of fishing the district a little more
aggressively than in past years, another 12 -hour fishing period was scheduled for July 6 .

The July 6 opening began at 8:30 a.m. under 20-25 knot SW winds. An aerial survey of the district was conducted shortly before noon and observations indicated the drift fleet was doing very well north of the Ugashik Bay entrance all the way to Cape Grieg. Setnet success was again very poor inside Ugashik Bay itself, indicating fish were still milling on the outside and not yet ready to move into Ugashik River. Given these observations the period closed on schedule at 8:30 p.m. and another round of district test fishing was arranged for July 7. The July 6 catch totaled 342,000 sockeye, bringing the cumulative catch to 518,000 .

The escapement past Ugashik tower through midnight July 6 totaled 1,400 sockeye, well below the historic average of 20,000 for this date. Based on inriver test fishing indices, another 7,000 fish were indicated downriver but above the test fishing site (Table 29). The July 7 district test fish round sampled 9 stations ( 10 drifts) and yielded an average index of 254 sockeye/ $100 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{hour}$. The most productive station was about midway along the outer district line with an index of 1,378 generated. An improved trickle of fish into the inner bay and lower end of Ugashik River was verified, but given the need to obtain a better front end escapement component at this point the fishery remained closed July 7 and another round of district test fishing was scheduled for July 8, with emphasis directed at sampling stations from the inner portions of Ugashik Bay.

Inriver test fishing indices began to improve July 8 confirming the observations of fish moving into the river documented by the district test boat July 7. At 9:00 a.m. the fleet was put on "short notice" for potential openings later in the day if district test indices were high. The district test boat fished three stations during the small midday flood tide yielding an average index of 1,381 sockeye/ $100 \mathrm{f} / \mathrm{hour}$. Large indices were obtained at the south entrance to Ugashik Bay $(1,883)$ and in the lower portion of Ugashik River just downstream from the mouth of the Deg Salmon River $(1,795)$. A moderate index (466) was obtained at the north entrance to Ugashik Bay. Sally Hamm, the test fish technician, reported seeing lots of sockeye jumping and moving through the inmer district duning the test fishing circuit, and at about noon reports from Pilot Point setnet fishermen, local drift fishermen, and spotter pilots all further confirmed a large movement of fish into the inner areas of Ugashik Bay. Given the documented presence of a strong pulse of fish moving into Ugashik River, a weather forecast for 20 knot westerly winds (expected to push fish inshore), and the presence of only a small fishing fleet (Table 13), a "short notice" fishing announcement was made at 3:00 p.m. July 8 permitting a 14 -hour fisbing period beginning at 9:00 p.m. July 8. An aerial survey of the district shortly prior to the opening further confirmed the presence of large numbers of fish in the inner bay area, at the bay entrance, and through-out the northern half of the district. A pass over the south entrance to Ugashik Bay yielded an estimate of 100 sockeye jumpers in the air observed per second observed for a couple of miles from South Spit towards a shore feature fishermen call "The Bumps".

The July 8-9 opening was very productive for both gear groups. Drift and setnet deliveries averaged 1,810 and 311 sockeye respectively. Given the strong catches reported overnight from the fishery a 12 -hour extension of the period was announced at 8:00 a.m. July 9, and catch success remained high in the district July 9. An aerial survey of the fishery conducted at 11:00 a.m. documented very good setnet catches from inner bay waters while drift fleet success was characterized as good through-out the outer district, particularly around the bay entrance areas. The fishery closed on schedule at $11: 00$ p.m. July 9. The July 8-9 catch totaled 627,000 sockeye, bringing the cumulative catch to 1.1 million fish, $23 \%$ of the preseason harvest prediction.

Inriver test fish indices jumped dramatically on July 9 as the pulse of sockeye moved up Ugashik River (Table 29). The escapement at Ugashik River counting tower also began to show an increased rate of passage. Given the good catch success, the indications of a strong showing of fish in Ugashik River, the over-all run indications from around Bristol Bay suggesting the peak of the run was a little later than normal, and the large forecast for the district, a 24-hour fishing period was scheduled for the Ugashik District commencing at noon July 10.

The July 10-11 opening began under SE winds of 10-15 knots. An aerial survey of the fishery was conducted at 1:30 p.m. and catch success appeared distinctly less than during the past two days from most inner district setnets, except those at Ugashik village. Some drift fishermen were still making good catches in the area of the bay entrance but results were quite spotty elsewhere. Drift effort totaled 140 boats and setnet effort totaled 65 setnets. The 24hour period was allowed to close on schedule at noon July 11, yielding a catch of 325,000 sockeye.

Inriver test fishing indices continued to climb July 10 and remained high July 11. Sockeye passage at Ugashik tower increased substantially July 10 and July 11 reaching a total of 314,000 fish ( $44 \%$ of the escapement point goal) by midnight July 11. This level of escapement is more normally attained on or about July 15 so the escapement was now about 4 days ahead of schedule. The sockeye migration lag-time between the inriver test fish site and Ugashik tower appeared to be 1-2 days at this point indicating an unusually fast movement of socleye up the Ugashil River. Normal lag-time in the river for these fish is about 6 days and it has been as long as 12 days in some cases. July 11 has historically been the peak day for sockeye catch in the Ugashik District. With the escapement pr ressing ahead of schedule another 12-hour fishing period was announced for July 12.

The July 12 period opened at 1:00 p.m. under 20-25 knot east winds and yielded moderate drift catches, but only fair setnet success. A total catch of 159.000 sockeye was reported bringing the cumulative catch to 1.6 million. well above the long-term average cumulative catch of 825,000 sockeye for this date. Sockeye escapement passage at Ugasnik River counting tower continuec ; ciimóv reaching 521,000 fish by midnight July 12. Inriver test fishing success dropped considerably July indicating the commercial fishery was depressing the sockeye entry rates into Ugashik River.

With the escapement having reached a level normally attained July 19 another 12-hour fishing period was announced for July 13.

The July 13 opening began at 2:00 p.m. under NW winds at 10-15 knots. An aerial survey of the district at 4:00 p.m. documented a strong surge of fish moving into inner district waters, particularly in the South Channel area (the pattern of strong movement up the South Channel had also been observed by several fishermen during the July 8 pulse of fish into the inner bay). Drift boats were observed making very good sets in that area. Setnets were also faring well from Smoky Point all the way to just below the "Cutbank", but showed only modest success farther up the bay. Good fish abundance was also apparent in the northern half of the outer district. Given the strength of the push of fish into the district the period was extended another 13 hours until 3:00 p.m. July 14 . The July 13 daily catch totaled 468,000 sockeye.

Escapement counts at Ugashik tower climbed to 571,000 sockeye by midnight July 13 and by 6:00 p.m. July 14 reached almost 600,000 fish. Given the strength of escapement and the performance of the fishery, two extensions of the fishery were announced July 14 prolonging the fishing period until 4:00 p.m. July 15. A total of 559,000 sockeye were caught July 14 bringing the cumulative catch to 2.7 million, $55 \%$ of the preseason catch prediction.

The July 15 fishery occurred under easterly winds at $30-50$ knots, creating very "lumpy" sea conditions. Fish abundance was still reported as being fairly good in outer district waters, but catch success was noticeably declining in inner Ugashik Bay areas. Given the sea conditions and the declining rate of sockeye escapement during the July 13-15 interval, the fishing period was allowed to close as scheduled at 4:00 p.m. July 15 . This closure, however, was only scheduled to provide a small escapement increment and to allow a "window of opportunity" for fish to distribute a little better through-out the district prior to the next opening. Inriver test fish indices in Ugashik River increased substantially July 15 , perhaps due to the effects of the winds. The next opening ( 12 hours) was scheduled for July 16.

The July 16 opening began at 4:00 a.m. under continued east winds at 20-30 knots. Catch performance was slightly better than during the previous day, although very few setnets participated due to the winds. Catch volume $(263,000$ sockeye) suggested the run was tailing-off. The cumulative sockeye escapement count at Ugashik tower was approaching the desired point goal so another 12 -hour fishing period was scheduled for July 17, over-lapping a portion of the normal weekend closure that follows expiration of the Emergency Order Period (9:00 a.m. July 17).

The July 17 opening commenced at 5:00 a.m. under light NE winds and rainy conditions. It yielded a catch of 139,000 sockeye bringing the cumulative catch to 3.3 million, $68 \%$ of the preseason harvest prediction. The 700,000
fish sockeye escapement point goal was reached at 11:00 a.m. July 17. The period closed on schedule at 5:00 p.m. July 17 and since the emergency order period had expired the district fishery reverted back to its normal fall schedule (9:00 a.m. Mondays through 9:00 a.m. Fridays). However, a slight adjustment was made to the Monday, July 18 opening time, moving it up from 9:00 a.m. to 6:00 a.m. to allow all gear groups maximum benefit of the tide.

Fishing effort dropped fairly quickly over the next week as catches tailed-off and the number of buyers operating in the district declined. However, just as most fishermen were leaving Bristol Bay figuring the sockeye season was over, another pulse of fish came storming into Ugashik River July 26. Catches by the remaining drift fleet averaged 910 sockeye/delivery July 26 . Sockeye catches by setnet fishermen averaged 289/delivery July 26 and 296/delivery July 27, confirming that this pulse of fish was committed to Ugashik River and not bound for some other area.

Sockeye landings in the district continued through early September reaching a preliminary cumulative total of 4,369,432 fish, the third largest harvest on record. Sockeye escapement counts at Ugashik tower continued through July 28 , eventually totaling $1,080,858$ fish. An additional 5,325 and 8,885 sockeye were later counted in the Dog Salmon and King Salmon Rivers during aerial surveys August 11, bringing the Ugashik drainage sockeye escapement total to $1,095,068$. Peak day at the counting tower was July 12 with a daily tally of 207,000 sockeye. Based on approximately 2,200 fish sampled at the counting tower, the sex ratio in the escapement was $40 \%$ males to $60 \%$ females.

Age composition of the-Ugashik District sockeye run was as follows:

| Age Group | Catch | Escapernent |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.2 | 5\% | 13\% |
| 2.2 | $39 \%$ | 66\% |
| 1.3 | 8\% | 3\% |
| 2.3 | 46\% | 16\% |
| Other | 2\% | 2\% |
| Totals | 100\% | 100\% |

The six year old fish (Table 3) were progeny of the 1988 escapement of 654.000 fish (Appendix Table 16), and the five year old fish were produced by a parent escapement of $1,713,000$ in 1989 . Approximately $2 \%$ of the escapement was comprised of jacks.

Fishermen in the Ugashik District harvested $80 \%$ of the sockeye run in 1994, far above the 1949-93 mean
exploitation rate of $60 \%$. Peak day in the fishery based on volume landed was July 9 with approximately 569,000 sockeye landed. However, the sockeye run was strongly bi-modal with a second peak July 13-14. Peak catch per hour occurred July 13 with 468,165 sockeye landed in 11 hours ( 42,560 hour). Peak reported catch-per-unit-effort in the district occurred July 6 for drift gillnets ( 2,036 sockeye/delivery) and July 9 for set gillnets ( 358 sockeye/delivery). Based on preliminary catch totals it appears drift gillnets took $94 \%$ of the sockeye harvest while set gillnets took $6 \%$. The 34-year (1960-93) average percentages of the sockeye harvest by gear type are $91 \%$ for drift and $9 \%$ for set gillnet respectively. The fishery was open 176 hours ( $31 \%$ ) of the 576 hours available during the emergency order period.

The commercial harvest of other salmon species during the season totaled 73,000 fish, approximately $2 \%$ of the total district harvest. The chinook harvest of approximately 3,800 fish was almost right at the 1974-1993 (20-year) average of 3,700 (Appendix Table 6). The chum harvest totaled 49,000 fish, somewhat below the 20 -year average harvest of 61,000 (Appendix Table 7). The pink salmon harvest was negligible, normal for this district (Appendix Table 8), and the coho harvest totaled approximately 20,000 fish, well below the 1984-1993 average harvest of 37,000 (Appendix Table 9). The main reason for the lackluster Ugashik District coho harvest was a record setting coho catch in the nearby Cinder River Section of the Alaska Peninsula District. The better catch results "next door" attracted buyers and nearly the entire fishing fleet away from the Ugashik District during most of the coho season.

Escapement surveys flown in the Ugashik District August 11 yielded total indices of 9,199 chinook, and 31,567 chums. The chinook index was well above the 1980-1993 mean of 5,100 fish, and the chum index was a little below the 1980-1993 mean of 38,000 fish. The chinook run to the district appears to have been above average while the chum run was smaller than average. No aerial surveys were flown this season to document coho escapements in the mainstem Ugashik and King Salmon Rivers due to budget constraints, but local drainage residents reported coho were fairly abundant in spawning areas.

A total of 21 buyers operated in the district during the season (Table 34). Nearly all the catch was either frozen on floating processors or tendered to' other districts for processing. No new shore-based canning or freezer operations were operated in the district. There were no reported instances of lack of processing capacity during the sockeye run (as mentioned above processing was a little scarce at times during the fall coho season). The quality of sockeye in the catch was reportedly good again this season even though the run continued late into July.

In retrospect, the salmon fishery in the Ugashik District was very productive in 1994. The strategy of authorizing a little more fishing tis : prior to the main arrival of sockeye in Ugashik River worked weil this season. It held the interest of both processors and fishermen in the district and probably helped keep escapement levels closer to the point goal than over the past couple of years. Interception of sockeye bound for other districts may have increased
due to this management approach but that won't be determined until the results of Stock Identification studies are completed over the winter of 1994-95. The much higher percentage of Age Group 2.3 sockeye appearing in the Ugashik District catch than in the Ugashik River escapement (catch $46 \%$ versus escapement $16 \%$ ) would lead one to suspect an interception situation. If so, the most likely donor this season would have been the Egegik District where approximately $62 \%$ of the Age Group 2.3 sockeye were accounted for. Whether or not Ugashik bound sockeye were intercepted in significant numbers in other nearby fishing districts is currently unknown. The preseason concerns regarding processing capacity for the district did not materialize once the season began. Both gear groups had markets in the district for the entire sockeye season. The new Assistant Area Biologist, Keith Weiland, spent the season becoming familiar with the district and has been assigned management of the Ugashik District for the 1995 season.

## Nushagak District

The forecast for the 1994 chinook salmon run to Nushagak District totaled 151,000 fish, $88 \%$ of the recent 20-year average run of 171,000 to this district (Appendix Table 30). The chinook forecast is typically within $20 \%$ of the actual run size. The Nushagak fishery is managed for an inriver goal of 75,000 chinook in the Nushagak River, which accounts for a biological escapement goal of 65,000 spawners and additional fish harvested in subsistence and sport fisheries above the sonar enumeration site at Portage Creek. A projected surplus of 76,000 fish was available to the commercial and lower river subsistence fisheries. In recent years the subsistence harvest has averaged nearly 12,000 chinook (Appendix Table 39). Subtracting the average down-river subsistence harvest and an allowance for incidental harvest of chinook salmon (15-20,000 fish) in the sockeye fishery, approximately 45,000 to 50,000 fish were available for a directed commercial fishery.

The preseason forecast for the inshore sockeye run to the Nushagak District totaled 5.3 million salmon. The forecast inclucied 2.3 million for Wood River, 1.5 million for Igushik River, and 1.5 mithion for Nushagak/Mulchatna River runs (Table 1). The projected inshore harvest totaled 3.6 million sockeye, $20 \%$ greater than the recent 10 -year average of 3.0 million (Appendix Table 5).

A variable escapement policy is in place for the Wood River system that allows fishery managers to adjust the sockeye escapement goal to optimize spawner distribution. Analysis of past age compositions have shown that 3ocean sockeve tend to spawn primarily in the rivers and large creeks of the Wood River system. while 2-ocean sockeye spawn primarily on lake beaches and small creeks. The variable escapement policy sets the desired escapement range at $800,00 \%$ to 1.2 million fish. Where the 3 -ocean component is projected or found to comprise $60 \%$ or more of the age composition of the escapement, the goal may be reduced to 800,000 fish. If more than $60 \%$ of the escapement consists of 2 -ocean sockeye, the department may adjust the goal upward to 1.2 million.

About $56 \%$ of the 1994 sockeye run to the Wood River system was expected to be 3 -ocean fish, while $44 \%$ was expected to be 2-ocean sockeye. The actual age composition of the 1994 Wood River escapement totaled $56 \% 3-$ ocean and $44 \%$ 2-ocean sockeye (Table 2); no adjustment was necessary and the escapement goal remained at 1.0 million. Nushagak and Igushik River sockeye runs are managed to achieve biological escapement goals of 550,000 and 200,000 spawners.

The outlook for coho salmon in the Nushagak District was fair to poor. A return of 137,000 fish was expected based on past relationships between spawners and returns. The commercial fishery for coho salmon is managed to achieve a provisional inriver escapement goal of 100,000 coho at Portage Creek sonar, which includes a biological escapement goal of 90,000 spawners and additional fish for inriver subsistence and sport harvests. A projected surplus of 37,000 coho was available for lower river subsistence and commercial harvests based on spawner return analysis. However, a return of only 15,000 coho was projected based on sibling returns. Zero age1.1 fish were observed in escapement samples at Portage Creek. Consequently, the outlook for the primary age group (age-2.1) was essentially zero.

Quality is a critical issue with chinook salmon; most markets are for fresh fish and chinook salmon have a tendency to watermark (become blushed pink or red) after they are exposed to freshwater. Fishing is allowed in early June to ensure quality, and to allow harvest while fish are at their peak value to the industry, before run size can be estimated. With the marked improvement in the chinook runs in 1992 and 1993, and forecasted harvestable surplus, a conservative amount of fishing time was anticipated before es apement rates became significant.

The department intended to minimize exploitation early in the sockeye run, when Nushagak River stocks are assumed to be more vulnerable to harvest, due to the relatively low forecast for Nushagak River sockeye. Additionally, the large forecast for the Igushik River component prompted an aggressive management strategy designed to increase expluiation on that stock. Sockeye production in the Igushik system has declined considerably when escapements exceed the upper range of the escapement goal. Since 1989, escapement in the Igushik system has exceeded the point goal every year, and exceeded the upper range in three years. Therefore it became more imperative to increase exploitation of the Igushik stocks in 1994. Fishermen were advised to anticipate openings in the Igushik Section to harvest sockeye surplus to the Igushik escapement goal.

Due to the poor outlook, low sibling return in 1993, poor recent brood year production, declining run size and poor success in achieving desired escapement levels, fishermen were advised prior to the season that little, if any, directed commercial fishing would take place for coho salmon.

Chinook escapement rates were intensively monitored in season using subsistence catches on local beaches and at

Lewis Point, and sonar enumeration counts at Portage Creek. Since a limited commercial chinook fishery in early June was likely, an emergency order was issued on May 19 allowing residents the opportunity to harvest subsistence salmon in the commercial district from May 20 through June 7 (Table 12). Subsistence catches of chinook had been reported on Dillingham beaches by May 27.

The first large subsistence catches of chinook were reported near Dillingham, in Wood River and in the commercial district on June 6. Catch rates averaged 14 chinook per net on the beaches near Dillingham. The first commercial opening of 1994 was announced for June 8 following the subsistence catches. Winds were strong ( $20-30 \mathrm{k}$ ) from the northeast during the opening, especially in the upper district. The harvest of approximately 9,700 chinook (Table 17) by 147 vessels and 28 set nets during that fishing period was the highest documented for that date (previous max $=7,617$ chinook). Quality was marginal, based on reports from several buyers and fishermen of a large number of blushed chinook.

Winds remained calm throughout the next five days, and subsistence harvests and escapement rates at the Portage Creek sonar remained very low. Since the likelihood of a commercial opening was not imminent, the district was opened to subsistence fishing for a 25 -hour period beginning June 13 . Less than 2,000 chinook had passed the Portage Creek sonar through June 15, lagging the expected level by five days (Table 26).

Wind increased from the south on June 15, followed by an increase in subsistence catches that evening at Lillingham. Nets at Kanakanak Beach averaged 4-5 fish per net, while those at Scandinavian Beach netted 10-35 fish each. By the morning tide on June 16, nets averaged 17 and 22 chinook per net at Kanakanak and Scandinavian Beaches, and one fisherman reported his catch at 80 chinook. Subsistence catches on the same tide at Lewis Point averaged $7-10$ fish per net - the first significant harvest there in several days. Sonar counts at Portage Creek finally began to increase at 11:00 a.m. June 16 .

Preliminary estimate of the June 16 escapement was 9,600 chinook, a record level for that date. Cumulative escapement was estimated at that time at over 12,000 chinook. Through 8:00 a.m. June 17, an additional 14,000 salmon had passed the sonar, most of which were assumed to be chinook. Due to the sharp pulse of fish at the sonar, chinook escapement was estimated to be greater than desired levels, based on average run timing.

The second commercial opening of the season was announced to begin the evening of June 17 for 11 hours. The resulting chinook harvest of over 52,000 fish was the largest ever documented for a single period in Bristol Bay. The chum harvest ( $21,543 \mathrm{fish}$ ) was well above average as weil. An aeriai survey during the opening observed 290 drift boats and 84 set nets fishing, the highest drift effort observed during the 1994 season. Following the second opening, the cumulative harvest totaled 62,000 chinook salmon, well above the level available to the directed
fishery, based on the pre-season forecast.

Sonar counts declined the evening of June 17, but the estimated escapement through that date of 22,000 chinook, like the chinook harvest, was well above the expected level. Subsistence harvests and sonar rates on June 18 dropped considerably, and remained low but steady through June 21.

Cumulative escapement through June 21 totaled 34,000 chinook, and escapement rates began to increase again early June 22. Subsistence catches of chinook salmon improved to moderate levels on the Dillingham beaches the afternoon of June 21, and again on the morning tide June 22. More significantly, subsistence catches at Lewis Point averaged greater than 40 chinook per net on the moming tide - the highest rate reported at that location to date. Those subsistence catches indicated another large movement of fish into the escapement, and the high escapement rates at the sonar were expected to continue. The third opening of the season was scheduled for 10 hours, beginning at 12:30 a.m. June 23.

Fishing effort had decreased to 240 drift boats, and the harvest of 11,500 chinook was much lower than the previous harvest, but still over twice the average for that date. The sockeye barvest of 17,000 fish was a notable increase since the prior opening, and the chum harvest of 37,000 fish was again above average.

Sockeye were beginning to appear on June 22 at the Wood River tower and at the Igushik River test site. Subsistence catches several days prior contained small numbers of sockeye, and as many as 10 per net. On the afternoon tide June 22, over 40 sockeye were reported in one subsistence net on Scandinavian Beach. Mesh size was not restricted in any of the commercial openings to date, including the June 23 opening, to observe sockeye strength in the district. Reports from companies and fishermen indicated that approximately one third of the fleet was using small mesh in the June 23 commercial opening, but sockeye harvests and escapement through June 23 were comparable to expecied levels.

Chinook escapement increased June 22, and totaled 42,000 fish through midnight, compared to an expected level of 14,000 fish. In fact, the largest percentage of the chinook escapement past the sonar for the same period in any prior year was $32 \%$. Therefore, total escapement was projected to reach a minimum of 126,000 chinook, and it appeared probable that the escapement goal would be exceeded. The Nushagak District was opened again to commercial fishing for a 12 -hour period. beginning at 1:30 a.m. June 24 , with the intent of harvesting surplus chinook while the abundance of sockeye remained low.

Escapement rates for chinook salmon remained strong; escapement totaled 47,000 chinook through midnight June 23. Sockeye escapement totaled 10,000 fish in the Nushagak River, similar to the expected level of 11,600 fish
for that system. Preliminary reports during the opening in progress indicated some mixed sockeye and chum present in deliveries, but not a large increase in the abundance of sockeye. In response to the high chinook escapement rates, the opening in progress was extended an additional 12.5 hours.

Fishing effort continued to decrease to 189 drift boats, as observed during an aerial survey of the fishery June 24. Company reports during the opening indicated a predominance of chum salmon in the harvest, and an increase in chinook catches in the afternoon, coincident with an increase in wind. By evening, company reports indicated that the sockeye to chum ratio was climbing, but that chum salmon remained the predominant species in the harvest. Catches of chinook continued to remain strong. Approximately one-half of the fleet was reportedly using small mesh gear at this time. With southwest winds forecasted to continue, another extension was announced for an additional eight hours of fishing time, to close at 10:00 a.m. June 25.

Reports the morning of June 25 indicated that most of the fleet had anchored during the night. Escapement at Portage Creek through June 24 totaled 50,000 chinook, and subsistence catches on the Dillingham beaches increased on the morning tide (three nets at Scandinavian Beach averaged 26 chinook each) indicating another movement past the district. However, sockeye escapement at Portage Creek had not shown an increase. The total of 13,000 sockeye in the Nushagak River on June 24 was beginning to lag expected levels, and low numbers of sockeye were observed June 25 during aerial surveys of the lower Wood and Nushagak Rivers (Table 31). At 3:00 p.m. June 25 , a 10 -hour period was announced to begin at 3:00 a.m. June 26. Mesh size in this opening was restricted to large mesh gillnets only; gillnets with a mesh size of less than $63 / 4$ in were prohibited to take advantage of chinook surplus to escapement needs yet protect early sockeye. Although the Board of Fisheries specifically granted the department this management tool in 1992, this was the first time that tool was used.

The mesh restriction had apparently succeeded in reducing sockeye exploitation; company reports throughout the opening indicated that iinook salmon dominated tie deliveries. Sockeye escapement rates remained low, and the opening was extended four times, with small mesh nets prohibited, while chinook remained the most abundant species in the commercial harvests. Sockeye were reportedly beginning to increase in the harvest again on June 27, ard fishermen were reportedly targeting sockeye near the outer district boundary with $63 / 4^{n}$ nets. When preliminary catch estimates indicated that the sockeye catch had exceeded chinook in the June 27 harvest, the district was left to close at 2:00 p.m. June 28. Following the closure, management focused on sockeye salmon.

Early test fish indices at Port Moller were low and indicated a late or weak sockeye run to Bristol Bay. Nushagak District test boat operations began June 26 with littie success through June 28 (Table 11). Aerial surveys of the Nushagak and Wood Rivers also documented very few fish moving into the rivers.

Sockeye were reported in the lower district near the closure on June 28, and a building volume of sockeye salmon outside of the district was reported throughout the next several days. Test fishing took place every tide beginning June 28 in the Nushagak District, and produced fair indices on morning tides June 29 and 30 near Nushagak Point, but fish appeared to be milling, and catches at other stations were very low. Escapement rates remained low at the Wood River tower and at Nushagak sonar through June 30, and the fleet remained on hold (Table 25 and Table 26).

The Igushik River tower count totaled 9,400 sockeye through 6:00 a.m. June 30. That level of escapement lagged expected levels by two days, but compared favorably to five day lags observed in Wood and Nushagak Rivers. An additional 14,000 fish were projected inriver, based on catch indices at the Igushik River test fish project (Table 32). Since escapement rates lead those in other rivers, and the inriver escapement was estimated at greater than $10 \%$ of the escapement goal $(200,000)$, an opening was scheduled for July 1 in Igushik Section only in keeping with the intent of increasing exploitation on that stock this season.

The 9 -hour Igushik opening in Igushik Section produced over 64,000 sockeye, a good volume for that section on July 1. Winds had increased from the southwest prior to the opening. Inriver test fish projections for the Igushik River decreased on July 1. However, the district test boat obtained the best indices to date on July 1, with fair catches above and in the upper portion of the district. Most fish appeared to be milling, and approximately one-half of the stations fished did not catch any sockeye. Escapement counts at Wood River and at Portage Creek began to increase noticeably for the first time of the season that evening. Bands of fish were observed in places during an evening aerial survey of the Nushagak River, despite poor survey conditions, and chum salmon appeared to be the dominant species passing the sonar.

Test fish catches early on July 2 continued to improve, but most fish still appeared to be milling. An aerial survey documented some sign in the lower Nushagak River that morning, and the first significant sighting of fish in the Wood River. Subsistence catches on tie locai beaches greatily improved on the morning tide, when one net at Scandinavian Beach reportedly caught over 200 sockeye. Throughout the morning, fish were reported on the Dillingham beaches and similar reports were called in from Clark's Point and Ekuk. Test boat indices early in the afternoon had improved greatly over the early morning drifts; the highest index to date $(14,585)$ was recorded at Grassy Island. Sockeye were caught at all stations and all fish appeared to be moving upriver against an ebb tide. Escapement rates at the Wood River tower increased sharply during the afternoon, and that evening an 8-hour period was announced for the district, to begin at 9:30 p.m..

The Igushik inriver test fish project also documented the highest index of the season during the afternoon on July 2 , indicating the strongest push yet into that river. Following the afternoon drifts, the inriver escapement was projected at 40,000 sockeye, and the tower count through midnight totaled 17,000 fish. With total escapement
estimated at 57,000 sockeye, the Igushik River continued to lead escapements in the other rivers, and another opening in Igushik Section became justified. Shortly after the district closure on July 3, an opening was announced for the Igushik Section in response to the apparent increase in escapement.

Preliminary reports for the district-wide opening indicated a harvest of approximately 184,000 sockeye. Through midnight July 2, escapement at Nushagak and Wood Rivers totaled 44,000 and 58,000 fish. From midnight through 2:00 p.m., 37,000 unapportioned counts had accumulated at Portage Creek. Based on the results of a morning aerial survey, counts in both rivers were expected to continue at the same rate through the remainder of the day, and cumulative sockeye escapement was expected to reach 200,000 sockeye through July 3. A 12 -hour period was scheduled to begin at 10:30 p.m. in the entire district. The opening was expected to bring the ratio of catch to escapement into line with that of the forecast (2:1).

July 3 harvest totaled 249,000 sockeye. Preliminary reports indicated a harvest of 74,000 sockeye for the previous Igushik Section opening. A large push of fish was observed inriver at the test fish site and by evening July 3, escapement projected past the Igushik test site was 200,000 sockeye, equivalent to the escapement goal for that system. Since escapement in the Igushik River would likely exceed the escapement goal, an extension was announced early on July 4 to extend the period in progress in the Nushagak District for 24 hours in the Igushik Section only - the remainder of the district closed as scheduled at 10:30 a.m..

Overnight escapement rates had remained steady, but fishing was reportedly slow in the district opening July 4. Fishing success and catch to escapement ratio was unknown at the time Igushik Section was extended. Based on preliminary catch reports, sockeye harvest from the district-wide opening totaled 246,000 fish, while harvests during the Igushik Section extension declined from the previous Igushik Section opening to 39,000 sockeye. These estimates were based on daily processor reports, and are tallied by day in Table 17.

Escapement rates in Wood and Nushagak Rivers continued to remain steady through July 4, in spite of the commercial openings. Additional'sign was observed at Grassy Island on a morning survey above the district, indicating steady migration past the commercial district. Harvests through July 3 and escapements in the three rivers through July 4 left a catch to escapement ratio of approximately $1: 1$. Therefore, another opening was scheduled for the entire district to increase the catch to escapement ratio to a level similar to that of the forecast.

The opening began at $10: 30 \mathrm{a} . \mathrm{m}$. July 5 . The July 5 daily catch of 279,000 sockeye included fish caught in the Igushik Section opening and the district-wide opening. Tne 287 drift boats and 283 setnets observed fishing in the July 5 Nushagak District opening was the largest fishing effort documented during the sockeye season. Fishing appeared to be spotty for most of the period, but success increased prior to the closure.

Early on July 6, 250,000 sockeye were estimated past the Igushik River test fish site, and the tower count had increased to 48,000 fish. To limit further escapement in the Igushik River, the Igushik Section was again opened to commercial fishing for an extensive 24.5 -hour period, beginning at 11:00 a.m. July 6. Harvest from that opening totaled nearly 68,000 sockeye.

Test boat participation was hampered due to the Igushik opening, but test fishing was conducted from a set net skiff early on July 6. Test fishing was limited to stations above the district, and indices were low at each station fished. Morning survey results indicated low numbers of fish in the lower Nushagak and Wood Rivers, and the Wood River tower and sonar counts had decreased overnight.

Another test boat was sent out in the afternoon, with much greater success. In fact, the highest index of the season was obtained during a drift at Grassy Island. Fish were caught at all stations and all appeared to moving upriver. At 6:00 p.m. an emergency order was issued, opening the Nushagak District for 10 hours beginning at midnight, July 6. The latest escapement totaled 271,000 and 170,000 sockeye in the Wood and Nushagak Rivers through 2:00 p.m.. Escapement in both rivers was less than one-third of the respective goals; rates at Nushagak sonar had remained low and steady, while those at Wood River had increased late morning; from 6:00 a.m. to 2:00 p.m., 34,000 sockeye had passed the tower. Although escapement in both rivers lagged expected levels by several days, passage rates were expected to increase soon as a result of the test boat success that afternoon.

Harvest from the July 7 opening was the largest to date and totaled 368,000 sockeye. Reports from fishermen indicated that a large volume of fish was present outside of the district and in the lower district early in the period, but catches in the upper district and on the beaches were poor. An afternoon test boat confirmed that movement in the upper district and above was light. Tower counts dropped off through the remainder of the day.

By late afternoon July 7, reports of heavy fish activity aiong the Ekuk Beach had been received over marine VHF. Reports continued to the same effect throughout evening for Ekuk and south in the district. By morning July 8, heavy sign was reported at Clark's Point and in Queen's Slough. A test boat left Dillingham at 5:00 a.m. July 8 and had very little success above the district, indicating that the volume had not moved above the district. Working south, a good volume of fish was documented at Nushagak Point, but fish were moving down river. Good indices were obtained along Combine Flats and Queen's Slough, but fish at those locations also appeared to be milling, not moving into the escapement.

Shortly after beginning an afternoon survey of the lower rivers at $1: 00$ p.m., heavy fish sign was observed just above the district along Grassy Island. Another test boat was deployed at 4:20 p.m.. After documenting few fish in the stations fished in Wood River, the test boat worked its way from Tule Point south towards the district. Heavy
indices were obtained along the shore from Tule Point south to the district, with over 32,000 index points at Picnic Point. Those test sets clearly indicated the largest volume of the season moving into the rivers.

After the large test sets, the district was opened at the next available tide stage for a 9 -hour period. Fishing began at 1:30 a.m. Wind had increased from the south overnight to 25 knots in Dillingham by morning. Early fishing success was low, based on reports from companies and fishermen. Catches in the upper district and on the beaches were reportedly good, but lower district drift catches were slow.

Through July 7, the catch to escapement ratio approximated $2: 1$. However, the strong push of fish evidenced by the July 7 test fish catches was likely to greatly increase escapement, in turn lowering the catch:escapement ratio. Weather was apparently decreasing fleet efficiency, and effort had declined based on a survey July 7. Large early morning subsistence catches on the Dillingham beaches July 9 confirmed that fish were continuing to move through the district in spite of the fishery in progress. At 8:00 a.m. July 9, with no chance to conduct an aerial survey above the district due to weather, an extension was issued for an additional 9-hour period, to close at 7:30 p.m. that evening.

Counts at Wood River tower began to increase the morning of July 9, and over 80,000 sockeye had passed the project between 6:00 a.m. and 10:00 a.m.. Counts continued into the afternoon averaging 20,000 fish per hour, and counts at Portage Creek began to increase as well. At 6:00 p.m., the fishery was extended for an additional 14.5 hours, and was scheduled to close at 10:00 a.m. July 10.

The movement of fish observed on July 8 and 9 was the largest of the season. Weather conditions and fishing success improved throughout July 9; the daily harvest totaled 574,000 sockeye and 11,000 chums, and the chinook harvest of 3,400 fish was the largest daily harvest for that species since the directed chinook fishery in June. Escapement on July 9 iotaled 300,000 sockeye at Wood River towc; by midnight $65 \%$ of the Wood River escapement goal had been achieved. High escapement rates continued, and the July 10 count at Wood River totaled 140,000 fish through 10:00 a.m., bringing the cumulative escapement to 790,000 sockeye for that system. Escapement in the Nushagak River totaled 256,000 sockeye through midnight July 9, and 44,000 unapportioned fish were tallied July 10 through 6:00 a.m.. In response to the continuing high rates, the district was once again extended, this time for 26 hours, to close at 12:00 noon July 11.

Escapement rates remained high in Wood River throughout the afternoon July 10, and by 6:00 p.m., escapement totaled 950,000 sockeye at the Wood River tower site. Daiiy escapement at that time was estimated at 124,000 salmon in the Nushagak River, and the Igushik sockeye escapement totaled 104,000 sockeye. Given escapement rates throughout the day, the Wood River escapement goal was projected to be met overnight, and at 8:00 p.m. July

10 , the fishery was extended for an additional 25 hours, to close at $1: 00$ p.m. July 12 . The July 10 harvest of 434,000 sockeye was less than the harvest taken the previous day.

The Wood River escapement goal was reached early on July 11, and the sockeye escapement in the Nushagak River through July 11 was estimated at 413,000 sockeye. Although the Nushagak River had not achieved the escapement goal for that system, the fishery was extended throughout the next week to prevent Wood River escapement from greatly exceeding its goal. Catch rates varied throughout the remainder of the season, and fishing effort declined substantially. Daily harvests gradually declined to 37,000 sockeye July 14 . On that date, several fishermen reported less than 50 boats in the entire district.

On Friday July 15, fishing in the Nushagak District was extended into the weekend, and on July 16, another emergency order extended the fishery until 9:00 a.m. Monday, July 18. Fishermen were advised that the fishery would continue on the regulatory post-emergency order schedule of five days per week until coho harvests became significant. Sockeye escapement in the Nushagak River had reached $79 \%$ of the goal at that time. The coho harvest at that point was quite low, but daily sockeye catches were extraordinary; sockeye harvests on July 15 and 16 were the largest ever documented for those dates.

Sockeye catches declined after July 16, but remained well above average. The July 17 harvest totaled 74,000 sockeye, and the daily coho harvest increased to 385 fish. Cumulative coho harvest at that time was above the expected level, and coho escapement in the Nushagak River totaled 1,097 fish, slightly better than the expected level of 800 fish. However, reports from fishermen on July 18 indicated that additional coho were being mixed with sockeye in deliveries, and that actual coho catches were larger than reported.

The July 18 sockeye harvest of 86,000 sockeye was a record for that date, and an additional 950 coho were harvestedi. Coho harvesis increased July 15 to 1,560 fish. A record numier of 48,000 socheye were again harvested July 20, with an additional 3,300 coho.

Through Fily 19, preliminary estimates of coho escapement in the Nushagak River totaled 750 fish, compared to an expected level of 1,500 fish for that date. Weather was forecasted (8:00 a.m.) to deteriorate through July 20, with winds increasing to SE 25, raising the risk of increasing catch rates of coho salmon. At this point, an emergency order was written closing the district to commercial fishing at midnight. July 20. Sockeye harvests, although still at extraordinary levels for the time period, had been decreasing each day coincident with increasing coho harvests, coho escapement was lagging expected levels, and companies and fishermen indicated that the number of coho were actually under reported due to the relative volume of sockeye.

With further commercial fishing possible, but not imminent, the subsistence fishery in the commercial district was opened for several days on July 22 and again on July 26 and August 3. By August 7, coho escapement in the Nushagak River was estimated at only 22,982 fish, just over $50 \%$ of the 42,000 fish expected by that date. The escapement for the entire season, using the mean proportion and preliminary August 7 count, was projected to reach only 52,000 coho - approximately $50 \%$ of the inriver goal. On August 8, an emergency order was issued that limited subsistence fishing in the entire Nushagak River drainage, including the commercial district and the portion of Wood River below the dock at Dragnet, to three days per week. The emergency order became effective at 9:00 a.m. August 10.

Escapement rates began to improve on August 10 at Portage Creek, and on August 11, 29,000 coho passed the sonar site. Cumulative escapement through August 11 was estimated at 72,500 coho, which in turn increased the projected total escapement, based on mean run timing, to 123,000 coho. At 12:00 noon August 12, all restrictions on the subsistence fishery were rescinded by emergency order, and the commercial district was opened to subsistence fishing seven days per week for the remainder of the season.

The 1994 chinook return to the Nushagak District was the sixth largest on record, and $52 \%$ larger than the forecasted run (Appendix Table 2). The size of the run increased for the fourth consecutive year. The commercial harvest of 118,600 chinook salmon in the Nushagak District was the largest since 1983 , the sixth largest ever, and $60 \%$ above the recent 20 -year average of 74,000 . Commercial openings were scheduled on nine days in June to harvest chinook salmon. Quality problems were evident from the first period, when blushed fish were present, and continued through June. Demand, similar to 1993, remained low on the fresh market and the price paid to fishermen averaged only $\$ .47$ per pound (Table 35). Chinook escapement totaled 95,954 in the Nushagak River, exceeding the inriver goal by over 20,000 fish.

Restrictions placed on small mesh gillnets ( $<63 / 4$ in mesh) were used for the first time to harvest surplus chinook and protect early Nushagak River sockeye, with apparent success. Chinook to sockeye ratio in the harvests from June 23 through June 25 was $0.65: 1$, compared to a ratio of $1: 1$ for the period June 26 through June 28, when small mesh nets were prohibited. The mesh restriction enabled the harvest of over 14,000 chinook with a minimal incidental sockeye harvest. However, fishermen reported targeting sockeye and chum with $63 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ nets, in effect decreasing the effectiveness of this tool as a means of conserving sockeye.

The 1994 sockeye harvest totaled 3.43 million, $3 \%$ less than the forecast (Table 1). Escapement in Wood River totated $1,471,890$ in Wood River, $47 \%$ above the escapement goai and the largest escapement to that system since 1980. Escapement past Portage Creek sonar totaled 509,326 fish, or $93 \%$ of the Nushagak River goal. In spite of opening Igushik Section during six days in the early portion of the sockeye season, Igushik River sockeye
escapement $(445,920)$ exceeded the goal for that system for the sixth consecutive year. Preliminary reports indicated a sockeye harvest for all Igushik Section openings of approximately 300,000 fish.

Chum salmon cannot be managed in Nushagak District due to their complete overlap with the sockeye run. Due to a good demand on the fresh market, there was considerable interest by the industry in harvesting chums in 1994, and much of the harvest was flown out fresh. The final harvest of 293,000 chum was well below the 20 -year average Nushagak District harvest of 527,000 fish (Appendix Table 7).

The preliminary chum salmon escapement at the Portage Creek sonar site was estimated at 379,000, slightly greater than the optimum 350,000 that appears to produce the best return (Appendix Table 31). Most of the chum salmon returning to the Nushagak District are four years old. Therefore, the 1990 escapement of 330,000 produced a return per spawner of roughly 2 to 1 , depending upon the contribution from the other year classes. The 1994 chum salmon run was less than the 20 -year average of 817,000 fish.

Pink salmon return to Nushagak systems in even years. Although pink runs have been low in recent years, runs have generally been increasing since 1986 (Appendix Table 33). This trend was not continued in 1994, however. In fact, the pink harvest of 9,000 fish was one of the lowest ever documented in the district during an evennumbered year, and the total run was the second lowest documented for even years, at only 200,800 fish.

The July 20 closure in 1994 was by far the most aggressive action taken for the conservation of coho salmon in the past several decades. Closures in recent years have typically occurred between July 23 and July 25, when daily sockeye catches are much lower. The strong late-season sockeye catches were an unusual complication in the management of the fishery. Soc'eye continued to migr se through the district in spite of the commercial fishery. At the time of the closure, Wood River escapement totalec nearly 1.4 million. Following the closure, an additional 73,000 sockeye were documented pasi Wood River tower, prior to the termination of the project on July 24. The early closure did not greatly contribute to the escapement in Wood River in excess of the goal.

The 1994 season was the third year during which the subsistence fishery has been restricted due to low coho abundance. The effect of the restriction was limited, and resulted in only 24 hours during which fishing for subsistence was not permitted in the Nushagal River drainage.

Ultimately, 82,000 coho passed the sonar site before the project was terminated on August 25 (Table 26 ), $18 \%$ less than the inriver goal of 100,000 fish. Commercial harvest totaled 6,800 , and, excluding lower river sport and subsistence harvests, the total run was less than the amount necessary for escapement (Appendix Table 34). 1994 marked the third consecutive year, during which escapement was monitored, that the coho run numbered less than
the inriver escapement goal. The majority of coho of four years old, and, like chum salmon that returned in 1994, most of the coho run was produced from the 1990 escapement of 163,000 .

Counting towers at Wood River were operated from August 1 through August 25, courtesy of outside funding. The project was operated as part of a feasibility study to determine whether counting towers were a viable method for counting coho into Wood River, and, if so, to estimate coho escapement into the Wood River system. Although species identification problems occurred, 13,500 coho were estimated (Brookover and Brannian in press). Sockeye escapement was estimated for the time period at 11,400 fish. The sockeye escapement estimated in August was not included in total run summaries or brood year tables to be consistent with past year data.

## Togiak District

The 1994 inshore sockeye run to the Togiak River was forecasted to reach 518,000 sockeye salmon, of which $66 \%$ were projected to be 3 -ocean fish and $34 \%$ 2-ocean fish (Table 2). With an escapement goal of 150,000 at Togiak Lake, 368,000 sockeye were potentially available as harvestable surplus in the Togiak River Section. Smaller sockeye runs to other drainages in the district (primarily Kulukak Section) occur, but these are not included in the forecast because age composition and escapement data are not complete. The projected sockeye harvest for 1994 in the Togiak Section was similar to the average (1974-1993) harvest of 362,000 fish (Appendix Table 19). Therefore a relatively moderate management approach was indicated for that species.

No formal forecast is issued for chinook salmon runs in the Togiak River. Chinook run strength declined from 1984 through 1991; and chinook escapements in the Togiak River fell short of the goal $(10,000)$ from 1985 through 1992. Although the goal was reached in 1993, commercial closures and mesh size restrictions were necessary; and runs since 1991 had increased only moderately. Therefore, restrictions similar to those applied to the chinook fishery from 1991-93 were planned for the 1994 season.

A formal forecast is not produced for coho salmon in the Togiak District. Parent year escapement estimates from aerial surveys of spawning coho are the only preseason indicator of run strength available. Coho salmon escapement in the parent year (1990) was estimated at only 21,000 fish, less than $50 \%$ of the escapement goal of 50,000 fish. Low parent year escapement was the basis for a cautious management strategy for coho salmon in 1994.

Togiak District is managed differently than other areas of Bristol Bay. The district uses a fixed fishing schedule of
three days per week in the Kulukak Section, four days per week in Togiak Section, and five days per week in the Osviak, Matogak, and Cape Pierce Sections. This schedule may be adjusted by emergency order as necessary to achieve desired escapement objectives.

Through several public meetings in Togiak, department staff reiterated the concern for chinook stocks within the district. Staff announced that fishermen should again anticipate a mesh size reduction effective the entire season. In addition, a closure was scheduled to begin Monday, June 21 in all sections of the district for approximately ten days, to reduce the exploitation on chinook salmon. Staff also announced that since no inseason indicators of chinook escapement are available, and the sockeye catch typically begins in earnest during the week of July 1, the management focus would shift then to sockeye salmon. The regular fishing schedule would resume on or about July 1.

Prior to the season, the maximum allowable gillnet mesh size was reduced by emergency order to $51 / 2$ inches for all sections of the Togiak District, and on June 1 fishing opened on the regular weekly schedule.

The first landings of the 1994 season occurred on June 3 (Table 20). By the close of fishing on June 17, the cumulative chinook catch in Togiak Section (926 fish) was well below the historical average for that date. Effort (number of deliveries) was relatively low and catch rates (number of fish per delivery) had started out above the long-term average, but had declined to average. No definitive information on run strength was apparent at this point in the season, but a conservative approach was still called for to achieve the escapement goal. The department announced via public radio on June 17 that the Togiak District would close to commercial fishing from June 20 through June 30, as planned.

Interest in subsistence fishing within district waters has been expressed previously, in numerous public meetings. In the June 17 announcement, department staff also announced that waters of the district, although closed to commercial fishing, would open for a 2-day subsistence fishing period beginning 9:00 a.m. Monday, June 20. Subsistence fishing was permitted to provide residents an opportunity to harvest salmon for home use and to collect catch information that might provide an indication of run strength.

Subsistence chinook catches were reported to be good at the beginning of the week. Nets averaged 10-20 chinook/net. while subsistence sockeye catches were minimal ( 1 or $2 \mathrm{fish} / \mathrm{net}$ ).

Laitial test catches at Port Moller were less than during recent years, and commercial sockeye catches in the Shumagin and South Peninsula were relatively weak. These early signs indicated a potentially late or weak sockeye run to Bristol Bay.

A second subsistence period was announced for district waters June 23, to begin at 9:00 a.m June 24 and end at 9:00 a.m. June 26. Reports from this period indicated fair catches of both chinook and sockeye, increasing from those at the beginning of the week. Based on reports from the two subsistence openings, passage rates for both species of fish appeared to increasing as the week of closure progressed.

The first aerial survey of the Kulukak and Togiak Rivers was conducted June 28 under poor conditions, with small schools of sockeye mixed with chums observed in the lower portions of Togiak River. Sockeye and chinook estimates in the Kulukak River were above average.

Sockeye salmon escapements exceeded the goal in the Togiak River from 1991-93, when restrictions were implemented early in the season for the conservation of chinook salmon. Limited efficiency of the small gillnet fleet, and extended lag time from the district to the counting tower, necessitated increasing fishing exploitation early in the sockeye run to control escapement in excess of the desired goal. Therefore, the intent was to extend fishing time beyond the regular schedule soon after July 1, the average $50 \%$ point of the commercial chinook catch, but before run strength of sockeye could be determined in season; the staff announced on June 28, a 36 -hour opening beginning 12:01 a.m., Friday, July 1 until 12:00 noon, Saturday, July 2.

The daily sockeye harvests and catch per delivery, during this 36-hour opening, were low; indicating a weak and/or late sockeye run to both Togiak and Kulukuk Sections. Cumulative catch had reached 8,300 in Togiak (less than $20 \%$ of the average), and 2,800 in Kulukuk (approximately $36 \%$ of the average) through July 2.

A second aerial survey was conducted on July 3; good conditions prevailed in the Togiak drainage and only 700 fish were counted. Poor survey conditions were encountered in the Kulukuk drainage and only 300 sockeye were observed.

The weekly fishing period beginning July 4, following the 36 -hour opening, adhered to the normal fishing schedule in all sections of the district. Daily' commercial catches of sockeye salmon in the Togiak Section continued well below average levels, with effort running slightly above average. Tower counts at Togiak Lake began well below expected numbers for the first week of operation. Kulukuk Section catch rates and number of deliveries had risen to above average during the week, indicating moderate run strength there, and run timing was later than normal. A regular weekly fishing period with no restrictions or extensions was fished ir all sections. No commercial fishing effort was reported in sections of the district west of Togiak.

The third aerial survey of the season was conducted on July 6, under excellent conditions. Fish were observed in all sections of the Togiak River; the inriver abundance of sockeye salmon had increased to approximately 3,600 fish
during the week of commercial fishing. The number of sockeye salmon in Kulukuk River and Kulukuk Lake had reached 9,000 fish during the weekly fishing period.

When the district opened on July 11, daily catches and effort levels were above the long-term average, although catch per delivery was still below average.

Daily escapement past the counting towers on the Togiak River began to increase substantially during the week of July 11; the cumulative escapement reached 26,000 by the end of that week, which was tracking about 6 days behind the expected level along with cumulative harvest. Improved conditions contributed to a higher number of fish $(22,000$ ) observed in the Togiak River on an aerial survey on July 13, indicating high passage rates would continue. Based on the increased showing of fish in the river, and elevated catch rates in the commercial fishery, the district was extended 27 hours through 12:00 noon on Saturday, July 16. All sections would resume the regular weekly schedule on Monday, July 18.

By July 16, the cumulative sockeye harvest for Togiak Section had reached 123,000-only $30 \%$ of the pre-season harvest forecast; historically, $65 \%$ of the harvest has occurred by this date. Run timing for Togiak Section appeared to be later than normal. The high daily catches, combined with almost double the normal effort, continued in the Kululuk Section; and the cumulative catch there totaled 47,000 sockeye. Run strength for Kulukuk was showing to be stronger than normal.

An aerial survey on July 19 revealed over 30,000 sockeye holding in the lower two sections of the Togiak River. This would likely result in continued high passage rates for at least the next 10 days. The cumulative sockeye escapement through July 19 was 37,000 fish. The Kulukuk River drainage contained over 15,000 sockeye towards the desired escapement level of 35,000 .

A normal fishing schedule without modification occurred for the week of July 18. High effort levels and above average daily sockeye catches, occurred in both Togiak and Kulukuk Sections. Passage rates at the counting towers continued to build, and 11,000 fish were counted on July 21.

Cumulative sockeye escapement past the counting towers had exceeded 62,000 through the close of the period on July 22; and after reviewing the harvest rates for the 4-day opening, it was apparent that the sockeye run to the Togiak River was close to forecast and late. Considering lag time from the fishery, and estimating the exploitation rate on the fish passing through the fishery, it was apparent that additional fishing time over the regular schedule was called for to harvest the surplus.

On July 23, an announcement opening the fishery $41 / 2$ hours early on Monday, July 25 was issued. Catch rates and daily catches were assessed early in the opening; both exceeded the long-term average by a considerable margin. Continued high passage rates were observed at the counting towers; the escapement had reached 76,000 by the end of the first day of the weekly fishing period.

An aerial survey on July 26 showed a decline in numbers of sockeye visible in the Togiak River from the peak survey on July 19; however, the passage rates at the counting towers remained high. On July 27, the daily count increased to 9,000 fish, bringing the cumulative total to over 90,000 fish.

By July 28, the third day of the weekly period, it was apparent that an extension was necessary to harvest surplus sockeye, and achieve the escapement goal. Another announcement was issued extending the Togiak Section an additional 39 hours through 12:00 midnight, July 30. Although catch rates in Kulukuk were still high, the aerial survey had not shown a large escapement; therefore no extension was announced for Kulukuk or other sections west of Togiak.

The daily sockeye count for July 28 in the Togiak River peaked at 16,000 fish, and catch rates remained well above average throughout the extension. By the close of the period, on July 30, the cumulative escapement had reached 120,000 ; and the cumulative catch had reached 279,000 sockeye salmon.

The regular fishing period opened 9:00 a.m., Monday, August 1. Passage rates of sockeye past the Togiak counting towers declined from the July 28 peak, but continued to remain at 4,000 to 5,000 per day, yielding a cumulative escapement of 129,000 through August 1. Daily catches continued to surpass long-term average levels throughout the weekly period.

By August 4, although passage rates were declining slightly, the daily tower counts still exceeded 3,000 fish per day. The escapement goal was projected to be achieved within the next few days. Daily catches and catch rates in the fishery were still well-above average for this date, and additional fishing time was warranted to harvest fish surplus to the escapement goal. An announcement was issued August 4 extending the fishing period 39 hours, through 12:00 midnight, August 6 .

Through the close of fishing on August 6, cumulative sockeye escapement in the Togiak River had reached 148,000 fish. The commercial sockeye harvest in the Togiak section had increased to 312,000 fish or $85 \%$ of the forecasted harvest. Kulukuk Section sockeye harvest had reached 75,000 fish, which was $75 \%$ over the long-term average for that date. With the sockeye escapement goal virtually achieved, and a cautious management strategy planned for coho salmon due to the poor parent year spawning escapement, management emphasis shifted to coho in the

Togiak District.

The next weekly fishing period opened 9:00 a.m. Monday, August 8. The daily catch for August 8 was 1,200 sockeye and 800 coho. Sockeye daily catches declined through the week, while daily coho catches increased and were expected to dominate the catch by the end of the period. Effort was higher than normal for this date, due to the late sockeye run. Exploitation of coho was thought to be higher than average. On August 9, an announcement was made reducing the weekly fishing period by 24 hours in the Togiak section.

The commercial catch rates provide the only indication of coho run strength available in early August. Aerial surveys are generally not productive for coho salmon in the Togiak River until late August 20, due to low numbers of coho and high numbers of other salmon species until then. Daily catch and effort levels for the reduced weekly period were double the average, and catch per delivery was at or above average. The cumulative harvest of 7,600 coho for the Togiak section through August 11 was about three times the average for that date; coho run strength appeared to be good.

The weekly commercial fishing period began on August 15 without modification. Several buyers were operating mainly in the Togiak section. The daily catches, and catch per delivery continued at approximately double the average levels, supporting the impression of a moderately strong coho return.

The weekly fishing period opened on August 22. An aerial survey flown that day documented less than 4,000 coho in the Togiak River mainstem. On August 23, the daily catch surged to over 12,000 coho, bringing the cumulative harvest to 47,000 fish in the Togiak Section. Although the coho catch was relatively strong, the elevated effort levels, and low numbers of fish observed in the river, caused concern regarding the exploitation of coho throughout the district. An announcement was issued on August 24, reducing the weekly period in all sections by 24 hours.

Catch rates for the week of August 29 were still unusually high; effort was 3 to 4 times the average level. Only 9,000 coho were observed in the Togiak River during an aerial survey on August 29. The coho escapement observed in the Togiak River, was below the expected level (expected level $=10,000$, based on aerial survey results obtained when the Togiak River escapement goal has been achieved for coho salmon). An emergency order was issued on August 30 reducing the weekly fishing period, again by 24 hours. The commercial fishing period closed 9:00 a.m., Thursday, September 1. The cumulative harvest had reached 81,000 coho, which was 3 times the average harvest for that date. Catch per delivery had declined to average levels.

Effort levels were still well above average when the weekly commercial fishing period opened on September 5. Daily catches for the first two days accounted for an additional 4,000 coho. To ensure that desired escapement
levels would be achieved for coho systems throughout the district, the last emergency order was issued shortening the weekly period by 24 hours in all sections.

Fishing effort, based on the number of deliveries, was below average from the beginning of the season until the extended closure in late June. However, effort during July increased dramatically and continued well above average as fishermen transferred to Togiak District after sockeye runs had peaked in other districts. The largest observed drift effort was documented July 26, with 93 vessels actively fishing in Togiak Section. The largest observed setnet count also occurred on July 26, with 73 setnets in Togiak Section. In Kulukak Section, the largest effort observed occurred on July 19, with 5 drift vessels and 12 setnets counted. The July 26 district total of 73 setnets confirmed the rise in setnet effort that has occurred over the past several years. The 265 deliveries (set and drift combined) that occurred on July 26 comprised the peak number in Togiak Section, and 59 deliveries also on July 26 in Kulukak comprised the largest number in that section.

The preliminary district sockeye harvest totaled 401,052 fish (Table 20), the lowest since 1990, and slightly below the 1974-1993 average of 416,000 (Appendix Table 5). The Togiak Section sockeye catch $(321,293)$ was $12 \%$ below the 20-year average, while the Kulukak sockeye catch $(77,410)$ was $65 \%$ above the long-term (1974-1993) average for that section (Appendix Table 19).

Escapement enumeration at Togiak Lake ended on August 9 when the tower project terminated. Togiak Lake escapement was estimated at 154,752 sockeye, $3 \%$ above the escapement goal (Table 33, Appendix Table 1 and 19). Combining the final tower escapement with the escapement estimate for the tributaries and main river stem resulted in a Togiak Drainage escapement of 174,172 sockeye. This escapement plus the Togiak Section catch yielded a total run to Togiak Section of 495,465 sockeye, $5 \%$ less than the preseason forecast. Escapement into the Kulukak Section totaled $29,740,18 \%$ over the recent 10 -year average.

The 1994 Togiak District harvest of 10,629 chinook was approximately $65 \%$ of the $1984-1993$ average (Appendix Table 6). For the second time since 1985 , the chinook escapement in the Togiak River achieved the desired goal $(10,000)$. The escapement of 15,115 chinook was at least partially due to the restrictions imposed on the commercial fishery. Commercial exploitation of the Togiak River stock in 1994 was $39 \%$ (not considering sport and subsistence harvests), less than the average (1980-1993) of $60 \%$. Postseason aerial escapement estimates of chinook salmon on the spawning grounds were comparable to long-term average levels in most systems in the district. Escapement estimates totaled 2,088 for Kulukak River, with an additional 2,115 estimated in the Quigmy, Osviak, Matogak, Negukthlik, and Ungalikthiuk Rivers. The total district escapement of 19,353 chinock is the highest documented since $1984,19 \%$ above the long-term average, and $51 \%$ higher than the 1984-1993 average of 12,776 . The combined total run to Togiak District of 29,982 chinook salmon was $2 \%$ above the recent 10 -year
average, and improved for the third consecutive year. It was the highest documented since 1985 (Appendix Table 30 ).

The 1994 Togiak District chum harvest of 232,492 was similar to the 1974-1993 average (Appendix Table 7). The commercial catch combined with the district-wide aerial escapement estimate of 229,470 fish produced a total run of 461,962 chum, approximately $96 \%$ of the 1974-1993 mean (Appendix Table 31).

The 1994 pink salmon catch of 70,029 fish was $71 \%$ above the recent (1984-93) even year average for the Togiak District.

The 1994 commercial catch of coho salmon in the Togiak District (96,606 fish) was the largest since 1984, and twice the 1980-1993 average. Post-season aerial survey estimates of spawning escapement were precluded by high water and poor fall weather conditions. Based on commercial catch rates, reports from sport and subsistence users, and partial surveys, coho escapement in the Togiak River appeared to be fair to good. Comparative counts from previous years are provided in Appendix Table 34.

## 1994 SUBSISTENCE SALMON FISHERY

In spite of numerous social, economic, and technological changes, Bristol Bay residents continue to depend on salmon and other fish species as an important source of fnod. Residents have relied on fish to provide nourishment and sustenance for thousands of years. Subsistence harvests still provide important nutritional, economic, social, and cultural benefits to most Bristol Bay households. All five species of salmon are utilized for subsistence purposes in Bristol Bay, but the most popular are sockeye, chinook, and coho. Many residents continue to preserve large quantities of fish through traditional methods such as drying and smoking and fish are also frozen, canned, sated, pickled, fermented, and eaten fresh. In some communities, significant numbers of fish are put up for dog teams as well.

## Regulations

Permits are required to harvest salmon for subsistence purposes in Bristol Bay. Since 1990, all Alaska state residents have been eligible to participate in subsistence salmon fishing in all Bristol Bay drainages. In 1994, only gi: "nets were recognized as legal subsistence gear, except in the Togiak district spear fishing was also allowed. Net lengths were limited to 10 fathoms in the Naknek, Egegik, and Ugashik Rivers, Dillingham beaches, and within
the Nushagak commercial district during emergency openings. Up to 25 fathoms could be used in the remaining areas.

In Dillingham and the Naknek, Egegik, and Ugashik Rivers subsistence fishing was limited to several fishing periods per week during the peak of the sockeye run. All commercial districts were open for subsistence fishing during commercial openings. In recent years, declining chinook and coho stocks resulted in longer commercial closures and some residents had an increasingly difficult time obtaining fish for home use. The Nushagak commercial district, starting in 1988, has been opened for subsistence fishing by emergency order during extended commercial closures, and this year the Togiak, Ugashik, and Egegik commercial districts were opened by emergency order during extended commercial closures or before the first commercial opening.

## Inseason Management

District-wide, 13 emergency orders relating to subsistence were issued, nine in the Nushagak drainage (Table 12). Within the Nushagak commercial district subsistence fishing was allowed by emergency order from 6:00 a.m. May 20 until midnight June 7 and 4:00 p.m. June 13 until 5:00 p.m. June 14. In addition, the Dillingham beaches were opened by emergency order for seven day per week fishing from 9:00 a.m. June 16 until 9:00 a.m. July 2. In the past, the Dillingham beaches have been restricted to a three day per week schedule from June 16 to July 17 by regulation. This regulation was adjusted by the Board of Fisheries in 1994, and was implemented through emergency order to reflect the board's intent, shortening the duration of the three day per week schedule on the Dillingham beaches from July 2 to July 17. Subsistence fishing was again authorized in the Nushagak commercial district beginning on July 22 after the district was closed to commercial fishing on July 20. Subsistence fishing was allowed from 9:00 a.m. July 22 until 3:00 p.m. July 25; 4:30 p.m. July 26 until midnight July 28; and 9:00 a.m. August 3 until 6:00 p.m. August 6. Due to poor coho returns, an emergency order effective August 10 reduced the fishing time in the Nushagak River to three 24 -hour openings per week while at the same time opening the commercial district to subsistence fishing on the same three day per week schedule. This emergency order was rescinded on August 12 at noon, and the commercial district was open to subsistence fishing continuously from noon on August 12 until midnight September 30, due to improved coho returns.

In the Togiak commercial district emergency orders authorized subsistence fishing from 9:00 a.m. June 20 until 9:00 a.m. June 22 and 9:00 a.m. June 24 to 9:00 a.m. June 26. One emergency order opened the Egegik commercial district to subsistence fishing on a 9:00 a.m. Monday to 9:00 a.m. Friday schedule, May 16 to May 31 . Mesh size could not be larger than 5-1/2 inches. The Ugashik commercial district was opened by emergency order for weekly subsistence fishing from 9:00 a.m. Monday until 9:00 a.m. Friday, May 16 to May 31.

## Permit System

A permit system was gradually introduced throughout the region in the late 1960 's to document the harvest of salmon for subsistence. Much of the increase in the number of permits issued during these years reflect: 1) a greater compliance with the permitting and reporting requirements, 2) an increased level of effort expended by the department in making permits available, contacting individuals, and reminding them to return the harvest forms, and 3) a growing regional population. Most fishermen are obtaining permits and reporting their catches, and overall permit returns have averaged between 85 and $90 \%$. However, fish removed for home use from commercial catches are not included in most reported subsistence harvest totals. Also, fish caught later in the season, such as coho and spawning salmon are probably not documented as consistently as chinook and sockeye. In 1994, a total of 1,193 permits were issued for Bristol Bay; the largest number were for the Nushagak and Naknek/Kvichak districts. All districts, except Togiak, issued more permits in 1994 than the average for the past ten years, due in part to permits being available to all state residents.

## Harvest

The total Bristol Bay subsistence salmon harvest in 1994 was 157,607 fish. This number is below both the recent 20 -year and 10 -year average of 169,062 , due primarily to the sockeye harvests. The chinook and coho harvests were above the recent 10 -year averages.

Most of the harvests were taken in the Naknek Kvichak ( $58 \%$ ) and the Nushagak ( $34 \%$ ) districts. The Naknek Kvichak total harvest of 92,275 fish was somewhat below the recent 10 -year average, 96,409 . In the Nushagak District the total harvest was 54,426 , just above last years 20 -year low of 53,358 , the recent 10 -year average being 62,147. All species, except chinook salmon, were harvested in the Nushagak District at levels below their recent 10 -year averages, with the sockeye iarvest of 26,501 near the historical lows of 23,600 in 1966 and 24,100 in 1572. The Nushagak chinook harvest of 15,490 was above the recent 10 -year average of 12,372 fish. Harvests of all species except chinook salmon in the Togiak District were below the recent 10 -year averages. Harvests in the Ugashik District have remained stable over the past nine years. In the Egegik District, the total salmon harvest has increased substantially since 1991. In 1994, Egegik harvests of all salmon species was above recent 10 -year avcrages, and in the case of coho salmon it was double the 10 -year average.

In 1994, the subsistence salmon harvest was composed of $76.6 \%$ sockeye, $11.7 \%$ chinook, $3.9 \%$ chum, $6.0 \%$ coho, and $1.8 \%$ pink. This harvest represents $0.3 \%$ of the total 1994 saimon run, and $0.4 \%$ of the total Bistol Bay harvest.
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Tables 1-36

Table 1. Comparison of inshore sockeye salmon forecast versus actual run, escapement goals versus actual escapements, and Bristol Bay, 1994 projected versus actual commercial catch, by river system and district, in thousands of fish, Bristol Bay, 1994.a


1 Unless otherwise noted, inshore total runs and catches are preliminary, while escapement data is final.
2 Percent deviation = (forecast - actual)/actual.
3 These systems cannot be managed seperatley from the major system in the district.

- The Bristol Bay inshore forecast does not include several minor river systems, including the Snake River drainage in Nushagak District, and the

Kulukak, Osviak, Matogak, and Slug River systems in Togiak District. Catches, escapements, and total runs for these smaller systems are not included in this table for the sake of comparison. Therefore, actual District totals reported here may represent only a portion of the District, and actual Bristol
Bay totals reported here include only a portion of the District, and actual Bristol Bay totals reported here include only a portion of the Bristol Bay catch,
catch, escapement, and inshore run. Totals may not equal column sums due to rounding.

- Includes Mother Goose and Dog Salmon River systems.

Table 2. Inshore forecast of sockeye salmon returns by age class, river system and district, in thousands of fish, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| District and River System | Age Class (Brood Year) |  |  | Age Class (Brood Year) |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.2 (1990) | 2.2 (1989) | 2-Ocean | 1.3 (1989) | 2.3 (1988) | 3-Ocean | Other |  |
| NAKNEK-KVICHAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kvichak River | 3,099 | 11,802 | 14,901 | 2,021 | 861 | 2,882 | 0 | 17.783 |
| Branch River | 233 | 49 | 282 | 186 | 22 | 208 | 0 | 490 |
| Naknek River | 869 | 775 | 1,644 | 1,264 | 970 | 2,234 | 0 | 3,878 |
| Total | 4.201 | 12,626 | 16,827 | 3.471 | 1.853 | 5,324 | 0 | 22,151 |
| EGEGIK DISTRICT | 631 | 7.402 | 8,033 | 1,750 | 9,070 | 10,820 | 0 | 18,853 |
| UGASHIK DISTRICT | 894 | 2,420 | 3,314 | 1,465 | 796 | 2,261 | 0 | 5,575 |
| NUSHAGAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood River | 917 | 119 | 1,036 | 1,250 | 64 | 1,314 | 0 | 2,350 |
| Igushik River | 223 | 81 | 304 | 1,108 | 62 | 1.170 | 0 | 1,474 |
| Nushagak River | 123 | 20 | 143 | 743 | 13 | 756 | 586 | 1,485 |
| Total | 1.263 | 220 | 1,483 | 3,101 | 139 | 3,240 | 586 | 5,309 |
| TOGIAK DISTRICT | 143 | 30 | 173 | 308 | 37 | 345 | 0 | 518 |
| IOTAL BRISTOL BAY. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 7,132 | 22,698 | 29,830 | 10,095 | 11,895 | 21,990 | 586 | 52,406 |
| Percerit | 14 | 43 | 57 | 19 | 23 | 42 |  | 99 |

[^1]Table 3. Inshore run of sockeye salmon by age class, river system and district, in thousands of fish, Bristol Bay, 1994.,

| District and River System | 1.2 | 2.2 | 2-Ocean | 0.3 | 1.3 | 2.3 | 3-Ocean | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAKNEK-KVICHAK DISTRICI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kvichak River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| - 'imber | 1,501 | 18,466 | 19,967 | 10 | 1,563 | 535 | 2,108 | 22,075 |
| $i$ ercent | 6.8 | 83.7 | 90.5 | 0.0 | 7.1 | 2.4 | 9.5 | 100 |
| Branch River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 255 | 175 | 430 | 0 | 160 | 41 | 201 | 631 |
| Percent | 40.4 | 27.7 | 68.1 | 0.0 | 25.4 | 6.5 | 31.9 | 100 |
| Naknek River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 395 | 1,132 | 1,527 | 0 | 866 | 522 | 1,388 | 2,915 |
| Percent | 13.6 | 38.8 | 52.4 | 0.0 | 29.7 | 17.9 | 47.6 | 100 |
| Total Number | 2,151 | 19,773 | 21,924 | 10 | 2,589 | 1,098 | 3,697 | 25,621 |
| Percent | 8.4 | 77.2 | 85.6 | 0.0 | 10.1 | 4.3 | 14.4 | 100 |
| EGEGIKDISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 395 | 5,981 | 6,376 | 2 | 430 | 5,480 | 5,912 | 12,288 |
| Percent | 3.2 | 48.7 | 51.9 | 0.0 | 3.5 | 44.6 | 48.1 | 100 |
| UGASHIK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 338 | 2,427 | 2,765 | 12 | 370 | 2,180 | 2,562 | 5,327 |
| Percent | 6.3 | 45.6 | 51.9 | 0.2 | 6.9 | 40.9 | 48.1 | 100 |
| NUSHAGAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 1,083 | 13 | 1,096 | 10 | 1,821 | 33 | 1,864 | 2,960 |
| Percent | 36.6 | 0.4 | 37.0 | 0.3 | 61.5 | 1.1 | 63.0 | 100 |
| Igushik River |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 156 | 59 | 215 | 3 | 1,055 | 35 | 1,093 | 1,308 |
| Percent | 11.9 | 4.5 | 16.4 | 0.2 | 80.7 | 2.7 | 83.6 | 100 |
| Nush-Mulchat. Piver |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 35 | 1 | 36 | 745 | 664 | 8 | 1,417 | 1,453 |
| Percent | 2.4 | 0.1 | 2.5 | 51.3 | 45.7 | 0.6 | 97.5 | 100 |
| Total Number | 1,274 | 73 | 1,347 | 758 | 3,540 | 76 | 4,374 | 5,721 |
| Percent | 22.3 | 1.3 | 23.5 | 13.2 | 61.9 | 1.3 | 76.5 | 100 |
| TOGIAK DISTİICI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 99 | 7 | 106 | 23 | 307 | 52 | 382 | 488 |
| Percent | 20.3 | 1.4 | 21.7 | 4.7 | 62.9 | 10.7 | 78.3 | 100 |
| ICTAL BRISTOL BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number | 4,257 | 28,261 | 32,518 | 805 | 7,236 | 8,886 | 16,927 | 49,445 |
| Percent | 8.6 | 57.2 | 65.8 | 1.6 | 14.6 | 18.0 | 34.2 | 100 |

1 Approximately 889,000 additional sockeye salmon of several minor age classes, or returning to minor Bristol Bay drainages, in 1994 are not included in this total.

- The inshore run data does not include the 1994 False Pass/Alaska Peninsula catch of Bristol Bay sockeye or any high seas by-catch of immatures.

Table 4. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of sockeye salmon, Bristol Bay, in numbers of fish, 1994.a

| District and River System | Catch | Escapement | Total Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAKNEK-KVICHAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |
| Kvichak River | 13,840,448 | 8,337,840 | 22,178,288 |
| Branch River | 390,094 | 242,595 | 632,689 |
| Naknek River | 2,032,083 | 990,810 | 3,022,893 |
| Total | 16,262,625 | 9,571,245 | 25,833,870 |
| EGEGIK DISTRICT | 10,798,450 | 1,967,775 | 12,766,225 |
| UGASHIK DISTRICT | 4,369,432 | 1,095,068 | 5,464,500 |
| NUSHAGAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |
| Wood River | 1,516,229 | 1,471,890 | 2,988,119 |
| Igushik River | 864,945 | 445,920 | 1,310,865 |
| Nushagak-Mulchatna | 1,051,834 | 508,186 | 1,560,020 |
| Snake |  | 20,920 | 20,920 |
| Total | 3,433,008 | 2,446,916 | 5,879,924 |
| TOGIAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |
| Togiak Lake | 321,293 | 154,752 | 476,045 |
| Togiak River/Tributaries |  | 19,420 | 19,420 |
| Kulukak System Other Systems. | $\begin{array}{r} 77,410 \\ 2,349 \end{array}$ | 29,740 | 107,150 |
| Total | 401,052 | 203,912 | 604,964 |
| TOTAL BRISTOL BA.Y | 35,264,567 | 15,284,916 | 52,549,483 |

1 Catch includes Matogak and Osviak Sections; escapement includes Negukthlik, Ungalikthluk, Osviak, Matogak and Slug River systems.
a Inshore catch apportionment by river system is preliminary until results from scale pattern analysis become available; escapements are final unless noted otherwise.
b Includes Egegik River Tower count and peak aerial counts for King Salmon River Shosky Creek.

Table 5. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of pink salmon, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1994

| District and River System |  | Catch ${ }^{1}$ |  | Escapement ${ }^{2}$ |  | Total Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAKNEK-KVICHAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kvichak River Branch River Naknek River |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 12,213 |  | 0 |  | 0 |
| EGEGIK DISTRICT |  | 72 |  | 21,282 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |
| UGASHIK DISTRICT |  | 117 |  | 425 |  |  |
| NUSHAGAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood River Nushagak River |  |  |  | 191,772 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |
| Total |  | 9,024 |  | 191,772 |  | 0 |
| TOGIAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Togiak Section Kulukak Section Matogak Section Osviak Section |  | $\begin{array}{r} 63,609 \\ 5,350 \\ 931 \\ 139 \end{array}$ |  |  |  | 0 0 0 0 |
| Total |  | 70,029 |  | $88,000{ }^{\text {c }}$ |  | 0 |
| TOTAL BRISTOL BAY | 0 | 91,455 | 0 | 301,479 | 0 | 0 |

${ }^{1}$ Inshore district catches are preliminary and escapement figures are final.
${ }^{2}$ Estimated by aerial survey unless otherwise noted.
a Tower count.
b Sonar count.
${ }^{c}$ Includes only Togiak River and its tributaries.

Table 6. Offshore test fishing catch indices and estimated inshore daily passage rate of sockeye salmon, Port Moller, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | No. of Stations Fished | Sockeye Catch | $\begin{gathered} \text { Running Mean } \\ \hline \text { Length } \\ \text { (mm) } \end{gathered}$ | Index ${ }^{\text {l }}$ |  | Passage Rate2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. |
| 6/11 | 4 | 12 | 545 | 6 | 6 | 96 | 96 |
| 12 | 4 | 7 | 538 | 3 | 9 | 55 | 151 |
| 13 | 4 | 13 | 543 | 6 | 15 | 110 | 261 |
| 14 | 4 | 22 | 542 | 10 | 25 | 180 | 441 |
| 15 | 4 | 47 | 543 | 21 | 46 | 367 | 808 |
| 16 | 4 | 41 | 540 | 20 | 66 | 349 | 1,157 |
| 17 | 4 | 26 | 540 | 12 | 78 | 210 | 1,367 |
| 18 | 4 | 78 | 538 | 35 | 113 | 608 | 1,975 |
| 19 | 4 | 121 | 539 | 52 | 165 | 900 | 2,875 |
| 20 | 4 | 109 | 540 | 43 | 208 | 746 | 3,621 |
| 21 | (0) | 201 | 540 | 87 | 295 | 1,521 | 5,142 |
| 22 | 4 | 234 | 538 | 100 | 395 | 1,757 | 6,899 |
| 23. | 4 | 344 | 538 | 155 | 550 | 2,707 | 9,606 |
| 24 | (0) | 267 | 538 | - 123 | 673 | 2,153 | 11,759 |
| 25 | 4 | 227 | 538 | 110 | 783 | 1,929 | 13,688 |
| 26 | 4 | 264 | 537 | 121 | 904 | 2,110 | 15,798 |
| 27 | 4 | 293 | 537 | 124 | 1,028 | 2,162 | 17,960 |
| 28 | 4 | 320 | 537 | 136 | 1,164 | 2,371 | 20,331 |
| 29 | 4 | 304 | 537 | 133 | 1,297 | 2,320 | 22,651 |
| 30 | 4 | 538 | 537 | 224 | 1,521 | 3,927 | 26,578 |
| 7/1 | 4 | 320 | 537 | 151 | 1,672 | 2,635 | 29,213 |
| 2 | 4 | 362 | 538 | 167 | 1,839 | 2,914 | 32,127 |
| 3 | 4 | 243 | 539 | 123 | 1,962 | 2,146 | 34,273 |
| 4 | 4 | 490 | 539 | 218 | 2,180 | 3,818 | 38,091 |
| 5 | (0) | 308 | 539 | 150 | 2,330 | 2,616 | 40,707 |
| 6 | 4 | 273 | 539 | 133 | 2,463 | 2,335 | 43,042 |
| 7 | 4 | 226 | 539 | 108 | 2,571 | 1,892 | 44,934 |
| 8 | 4 | 255 | 539 | 120 | 2,691 | 2,100 | 47,034 |
| 9 | 4 | 102 | 539 | 53 | 2,744 | 928 | 47,962 |

[^2]Table 7. Offshore test fishing catch indices and estimated inshore daily passage rate of chum salmon, Port Moller, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date |  | No. of Stations Fished | Chum Catch | Index 1 |  | Passage Rate 2 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. |
|  | 6/11 | 4 | 33 | 15 | 15 | 177 | 177 |
|  | 12 | 4 | 5 | 3 | 18 | 31 | 208 |
|  | 13 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 20 | 23 | 231 |
|  | 14 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 23 | 34 | 265 |
|  | 15 | 4 | 30 | 13 | 36 | 161 | 426 |
|  | 16 | 4 | 17 | 8 | 44 | 101 | 527 |
|  | 17 | 4 | 20 | 9 | 53 | 111 | 638 |
|  | 18 | 4 | 21 | 10 | 63 | 116 | 754 |
|  | 19 | 4 | 17 | 7 | 70 | 88 | 842 |
|  | 20 | 4 | 15 | 6 | 76 | 72 | 914 |
|  | 21 | (0) | 22 | 9 | 85 | 114 | 1,028 |
|  | 22 | 4 | 26 | 11 | 96 | 136 | 1,164 |
|  | 23 | 4 | 30 | 13 | 109 | 157 | 1,321 |
|  | 24 | (0) | 17 | 8 | 117 | 96 | 1,417 |
|  | 25 | 4 | 9 | 5 | 122 | 55 | 1,472 |
|  | 26 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 125 | 34 | 1,506 |
|  | 27 | 4 | 4 | 7 | 132 | 36 | 1,542 |
|  | 28 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 135 | 34 | 1,576 |
|  | 29 | 4 | 7 | 3 | 138 | 39 | 1,615 |
|  | 30 | 4 | 9 | 4 | 142 | 45 | 1,660 |
|  | 7/1 | 4 | - 10 | 5 | 147 | 60 | 1,720 |
|  | 2 | 4 | 8 | 4 | 151 | 47 | 1,767 |
|  | 3 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 158 | 81 | 1,848 |
|  | 4 | 4 | 13 | 7 | 165 | 79 | 1,927 |
|  | 5 | (0) | 9 | 4 | 169 | 54 | 1,981 |
|  | 6 | 4 | 6 | 3 | 172 | 36 | 2,017 |
|  | 7 | 4 | 3 | 1 | 173 | 17 | 2,034 |
|  | 8 | 4 | 4 | 2 | 175 | 24 | 2,058 |
|  | 9 | 4 | 7 | 4 | 179 | 45 | 2,103 |

- Indices expressed in fish/100 fathom hours and include interpolations for missed days and stations (in parentheses)
z Passage rate is based on the mean inshore return per Port Moller index (1985, 1987-1993) of 12,174 fish multiplied by the daily index.

Table 8. Summary of district sockeye salmon test fishing indices in the Naknek-Kvictrak District, by index area and date, Bristol Bay, 1994. a

| Date | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Naknek } \\ \text { R. Mouth } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Pederson$\qquad$ | Cutbank \& Graveyard | $\begin{gathered} \text { Salmon } \\ \text { Flits } \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Gravel } \\ \text { Spit } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Ships } \\ \text { Anchorage } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Half } \\ \text { Moon Bay } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | Middle Naknek | Johnson Hill | DivisionBuoy | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Deadman } \\ \text { Sands } \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Low } \\ \text { Point } \end{array}$ | $\begin{gathered} \text { Clark's } \\ \text { Point } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Naknek River Inside Stations |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Red Salmon } \\ \text { Cannery } \\ \hline \end{array}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Peter } \\ & \text { Pan } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Leader } \\ & \text { Creek } \end{aligned}$ | Morakas Point |
| 06/24/93 |  | 7 |  |  | ${ }^{3}$ |  |  | 169 | 96 | 56 | 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/25/93 | 34 | 153 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 35 | 332 | 120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/26/93 |  |  |  | . | 319 |  |  | 373 |  | 47 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/27/93 |  | 8 |  |  | 711 |  |  |  | 82 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/28/93 |  | 5 |  |  |  | 18 |  | 1,297 | 671 | 235 | 569 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/29/93 | 156 | 399 |  | 645 | 335 | 2,596 |  | 224 | 2.443 |  |  | 104 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/30193 | 171 |  | - |  |  |  | 937 | 1.089 | 297 | 592 |  |  |  | 104 | 5 | 18 | 160 |
| 07/01/93 | 198 | 74 | \% | 349 | 1,253 | 1,003 |  | 2,122 |  | 212 |  |  |  | 10 | 660 |  |  |

A All indices expressed in numbers of fish/100 fathoms-thour to the nearest whole index point.

# Table 9. Summary of district sockeye salmon test fishing in the Egegik District, by index area and date, Bristol Bay, 1994.a 

| Index Area |
| :--- |
|  |

No District Test Fishing Done in 1994.
a All indices expressed in number of fish /100 fathom hours to the the nearest full index point.

Table 10. Summary of district sockeye salmon test fishing in the Ugashik District. by index area and date, Bristol Bay, 1994. .


- All indiges expressed in number of fish/100 fathom hours to the nearest full index point

Average of two drits.

Table 11. Summary of district sockeye salmon test fishing indices in the Nushagak District, by index area and date, Bristol Bay, 1994. .

| Date | Stant Time | Wool River W | Wood River E . | Tule Point | $\overline{\text { Picnic }}$ Point | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Grassy } \\ & \text { Island } \end{aligned}$ | Nushagak Point | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Fite } \\ & \text { Dricer } \end{aligned}$ | Queen Slough | Clarks | Ekuk | Ekuk Bluff | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Ships } \\ & \text { Ch. NW. } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Middle } \\ & \text { Cn. N.W. } \end{aligned}$ | Snag <br> Point | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Peter } \\ \text { Pan } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Kanakanak |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 06/26/94 | 19:22 |  | 670 | 0 | 0 | 389 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/27/94 | 20:15 | 228 | $\begin{array}{r} 390 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 257 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/28/94 | 21:14 | 0 | 267 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/29/94 | 10:02 | 346 | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 392 \\ 0 \end{array}$ | 0 | 0 | 4,317 | $\begin{array}{r} 2,697 \\ 6,875 \\ 331 \end{array}$ |  | 1,104 | 0 | 126 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 167 \end{array}$ | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 0 \\ 39 \end{array}$ |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ |
| 06729/94 | 22:35 | 348 | 277 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 06/30/94 | 10:49 | 373 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 0 \\ & 0 \end{aligned}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 0 \\ 550 \\ 275 \end{array}$ | 0 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,650 \\ 2,488 \\ 949 \end{array}$ |  | 0 | 622 | 0 | 0 | 18 |  |  | 0 |
| 06/30/94 | 23:30 | 0 | $\begin{aligned} & 524 \\ & 480 \end{aligned}$ | 810 | 0 | 0 | 1,310 | 1,7:6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/01/94 | 11:24 | 0 | 5,727 | . 1.892 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,662 |  | 1,667 |  |  |  |  | 1,026 | 906 | 3,214 |
| 07/02/94 | 00:32 | 1,545 | 1,417 | 661 | 845 | 8,000 | 3,820 | 7,466 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 222 |
| 07/02/94 | 11:27 | 1,814 | 1,991 |  |  | 14,585 | 1,991 | 1,420 |  | $\begin{aligned} & 453 \\ & 238 \end{aligned}$ | 968 | 5,574 |  |  | 1,818 | 921 |  |
| 07/04/94 | 14:40 | 577 | $\begin{aligned} & 1,622 \\ & 1,801 \end{aligned}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/06/94 | 04:56 | 974 | 320 | 1,371 | 2,373 | 150 | 3,642 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 857 |
| 07/06/94 | 14:50 | 2,954 | 3,028 | 6,923 | $\begin{aligned} & 2,596 \\ & 3,699 \end{aligned}$ | 15,600 | 1,920 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/07/94 | 15:35 | 0 | 244 | 0 | 0 | 393 | 0 | 363 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/08/94 | 06:20 | 0 | 424 | 147 | 0 | 317 | 14,742 | 3,100 | $\begin{array}{r} 723 \\ 12,958 \end{array}$ | 11,489 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 07/08/94 | 16:29 | 553 | 515 | $\begin{array}{r} 4,120 \\ 26,055 \end{array}$ | 32,571 | 26,301 | $\begin{array}{r} 5,946 \\ 0 \\ 242 \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- All indices expressed in number of fish/100 fathoms-hours to the nearest full index point.

Table 12. Emergency order comercial salmon fishing periods, by district, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Number ${ }^{1}$ |  | Date and Time |  |  | Hours/Days Open |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAKNEK-KVICHAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AKN. 04 | June 01 | 12:01 a.m. | to | July 17 | 9:00 a.m. | ${ }^{2}$ |
| AKN. 17 | July 03 | 9:30 p.m. | to | July 04 | 7:30 a.m. | 10 hrs |
| AKN. 21 | July 06 | 9:30 a.m. | to | July 06 | 7:30 p.m. | 10 hrs |
| AKN. 24 | July 06 | 7:30 p.m. | to | July 07 | 11:30 a.m. | 16 hrs |
| AKN. 61 | July 22 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July 24 | NOON | 51 hrs |
| AKN. 62 | July 25 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Sept 30 | MIDNIGHT |  |
| Naknek Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AKN. 13 | July 01 | 11:00 p.m. | to | July 02 | 7:00 a.m. | 8 hrs |
| AKN. 14 | July 02 | 8:30 p.m. | to | July 03 | 9:30 a.m. | 13 hrs |
| AKN. 19 | July 05 | 8:30 a.m. | to | July 05 | 6:30 p.m. | 10 hrs |
| AKN. 27 | July 08 | 11:00 a.m. | to | July 08 | 10:00 p.m. | 11 hrs |
| AKN. 31 | July 09 | NOON | to | July 09 | 10:00 p.m. | 10 hrs |
| AKN. 36 | July 10 | 1:00 p.m. | to | July 10 | 11:00 p.m. | 10 hrs |
| AKN. 38 | July 12 | 2:30 a.m. | to | July 12 | 3:00 p.m. | 12.5 hrs |
| AKN. 44 | July 13 | 4:00 p.m. | to | July 14 | 4:00 a.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 55 | July 16 | 7:00 p.m. | to | July 17 | 5:00 a.m. | 10 hts |
| Kvichak Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AKN. 20 | July 05 | 10:30 p.m. | to | July 06 | 9:30 a.m. | $11 \mathrm{hrs}{ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| AKN. 26 | July 07 | MIDNIGHT | to | July 08 | 10:00 p.m. | 22 hrs |
| AKN. 28 | July 08 | 10:00 p.m. | to | July 09 | 10:00 p.m. | 24 hrs |
| AKN. 35 | July 09 | 10:00 p.m. | to | July 10 | 10:00 p.m. | 24 hrs |
| AKN. 37 | July 10 | 10:00 p.m. | to | July 11 | MIDNIGHT | 26 hrs |
| AKN. 39 | July 11 | MIDNIGHT | to | July 13 | 1:00 a.m. | 25 hrs |
| AKN. 45 | July 13 | 4:00 p.m. | to | July 14 | 5:00 p.m. | 25 hrs |
| AKN. 49 | July 15 | 5:00 a.m. | to | July 15 | 6:00 p.m. | 13 hrs |
| AKN. 51 | July 15 | 6:00 p.m. | to | July 16 | 7:00 p.m. | 25 hrs |
| AKN. 54 | July 16 | 7:00 p.m. | to | July 18 | 9:00 a.m. | 38 hrs |
| EGEGIK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AKN. 01 | May 16 | 9:00 a.m. | to |  | MIDNIGHT | SUBSISTENCE |
| AKN. - 03 | June 01. | 12:01 a.m. | to | June 16 | 9:00 a.m. | $2$ |
| AKN. 05 | June $23{ }^{\circ}$ | 11:00 a.m. | to | June 23 | 7:00 p.m. | $8 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| AKN. 06 | June 25 | 1:00 p.m. | ᄃo | June 25 | 9:00 p.m. | 8 hrs ${ }^{-}$ |
| AKN. 07 | June 27 | 3:00 p.m. | to | June 27 | 11:00 p.m. | $8 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| AKN. 09 | June 29 | 4:30 a.m. | to | June 29 | 12:30 p.m. | $8 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| AKN. 10 | June 30 | 6:00 p.m. | to | July 01 | 2:00 a.m. | $8 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| AKN. 12 | July 02 | 6:00 a.m. | to | July 02 | 2:00 p.m. | $8 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| AKN. 16 | July 03 | 8:30 p.m. | to | July 04 | 4:30 a.m. | $8 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| AKN. 18 | July 05 | 8:15 a.m. | to | July 05 | 4:15 p.m. | $8 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| AKN. 22 | July 06 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July 06 | 5:00 p.m. | $8 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| AKN. 25 | July 07 | 10:00 a.m. | to | July 08 | 7:00 a.m. | $21 \mathrm{hrs}^{-}$ |
| AKN. 29 | July 08 | 11:00 p.m. | to | July 09 | 8:00 p.m. | $21 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| CA-KS-01 | July 08 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July 17 | 9:00 a.m. |  |
| Ars. 33 | July 10 | :2:15 p.m. | to | July 11 | -:15 a.m. | 21 hrs |
| AKN. 40 | July 12 | 2:00 a.m. | to | July 12 | 10:00 p.m. | 20 hrs |
| AKN. 42 | July 13 | 3:30 p.m. | to | Jily 14 | 11:30 a.m. | 20 hrs |
| AKN. 48 | July 15 | 4:00 a.m. | to | July 15 | MIDNIGHT | 20 hrs |
| AKN. 52 | July 16 | 6:00 p.m. | to | July 17 | 2:00 p.m. |  |
| AKN. 57 | July 18 | 6:30 a.m. | to | July 18 | 9:00 a.m. | $2.5 \mathrm{hrs}^{6}$ |
| AKN. 59 | July, 22 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July 23 | 10:30 a.m. | 25.5 hrs |
| AKN. 63 | July 24 | 12:30 p.m. | to | July 25 | 9:00 a.m. | 20.5 hrs |

-continued-

Table 12. (Page 2 of 3 )

| Number ${ }^{1}$ |  | Date and Time |  |  | Hours/Days Open |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UGASHIK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AKIN. 02 | May 16 | 9:00 a.m. | to | May 31 | MIDNIGHT | SUBSISTENCE |
| AKN. 08 | June 27 | 2:00 p.m. | to | June 28 | 2:00 a.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 11 | June 30 | 4:00 p.m. | to | July 01 | 4:00 a.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 15 | July 03 | 6:00 a.m. | to | July 03 | 6:00 p.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 23 | July 06 | 8:30 a.m. | to | July 06 | 8:30 p.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 30 | July 08 | 9:00 p.m. | to | July 09 | 11:00 a.m. | 14 hrs |
| AKN. 32 | July 09 | 11:00 a.m. | to | July 09 | 11:00 p.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 34 | July 10 | NOON | to | July 11 | NOON | 2.4 hrs |
| AKN. 41 | July 12 | 1:00 p.m. | to | July 13 | 1:00 a.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 43 | July 13 | 2:00 p.m. | to | July 14 | 2:00 a.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 46 | July 14 | 2:00 a.m. | to | July 14 | 3:00 p.m. | 13 hrs |
| AKN. 47 | July 14 | 3:00 p.m. | to | July 15 | 3:00 a.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 50 | July 15 | 3:00 a.m. | to | July 15 | 4:00 p.m. | 13 hrs |
| AKN. 53 | July 16 | 4:00 a.m. | to | July 16 | 4:00 p.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 56 | July 17 | 5:00 a.m. | to | July 17 | 5:00 p.m. | 12 hrs |
| AKN. 58 | July 18 | 6:00 a.m. | co | July 18 | 9:00 a.m. | 3 hrs |
| AKN. 60 | July 22 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July 23 | 10:30 a.m. | 25.5 hrs |
| AKN. 64 | July 23 | 10:30 a.m. | to | July 25 | 9:00 a.m. | 46.5 hrs |
| NUSHAGAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG. 01 | May 20 | 6:00 a.m. | to | June 07 | MIDNIGHT | SUBSISTENCE |
| DLG. 03 | June 08 | 12:30 p.m. | to | June 08 | 10:30 p.m. | 10 hrs |
| DLG. 04 | June 13 | 4:00 p.m. | to | June 14 | 5:00 p.m. | SUBSISTENCE |
| DLG. 05 | June 16 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July 02 | 9:00 a.m. | SUBSISTENCE |
| DLG. 06 | June 17 | 8:00 p.m. | to | June 18 | 7:00 a.m. | 11 hrs |
| DLG. 09 | June 23 | 12:30 a.m. | to | June 23 | 10:30 a.m. | 10 hrs |
| DLG. 10 | June 24 | 1:30 a.m. | to | June 24 | 1:30 p.m. | 12 hrs |
| DLG. 12 | June 24 | 1:30 p.m. | to | June 25 | 2:00 a.m. | 12.5 hrs |
| DLG. 13 | June 25 | 2:00 a.m. | to | June 25 | 10:00 a.m. | 8 hrs |
| DLG. 14 | June 26 | 3:00 a.m. | to | June 26 | 1:00 p.m. | $10 \mathrm{hrs}{ }^{6}$ |
| DLG. 15 | June 26 | 1:00 p.m. | to | June 27 | 1:00 a.m. | $12 \mathrm{hrs}{ }^{6}$ |
| DLG. 16 | June 27 | 1:00 a.m. | to | June 27 | 1:00 p.m. | $12 \mathrm{hrs}{ }^{6}$ |
| DLG. 17 | Tune 27 | 1:00 p.m. | to | June 28 | 1:00 a.m. | $12 \mathrm{hrs}{ }^{6}$ |
| DLG. 18 | June 28 | 1:00 a.m. | to | June 28 | 2:00 p.m. | $13 \mathrm{hrs}{ }^{6}$ |
| DLG. 21 | July 02 | 9:30 p.m. | to | July 03 | 5:30 a.m. | 8 hrs |
| DLG. 23 | July 03 | 10:30 p.m. | to | July 04 | 10:30 a.m. | 12 hrs |
| EIG. 25 | July 05 | 10:30 a.m. | to | July 05 | E: $=0 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. | 8 hrs |
| DLG. 27 | July 05 | 12:00 a.m. | to | July 07 | 10:00 a.m. | 10 hrs |
| DLG. 29 | July 09 | 1:30 a.m. | to | July 09 | 10:30 a.m. | 9 hrs |
| DLG. 30 | July 30 | 10:30 a.m. | to | July 09 | 7:30 p.m. | 9 hrs |
| DLG. 31 | July 09 | 7:30 p.m. | to | July 10 | 10:00 a.m. | 14.5 hrs |
| DLG. 32 | July 10 | 10:00 a.m. | to | July 11 | NOON | 26 hrs |
| DLG. 33 | July 11 | NOON | to | July 12 | 1:00 p.m. | 25 hrs |
| DLG. 34 | July 12 | 1:00 p.m. | to | July 13 | 2:00 p.m. | 25 hrs |
| EIG. 35 | Juy 13 | 2:00 p.m. | -0 | Juli 14 | 2:00 p.m. | 24 hrs |
| DLG. 36 | July 14 | 2:00 p.m. | to | July 15 | 3:00 p.m. | 25 hrs |
| DLG. 38 | July 15 | 3:00 p.m. | to | July 17 | 9:00 a.m. | 42 hrs |
| DLG. 39 | July 17 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July 18 | 9:00 a.m. | 12 hrs |
| DLG. 40 | July 20 | MIDNIGHT | to | Sept 30 | MIDNIGHT | CLOSURE |
| DLG. 41 | July 22 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July 25 | 3:00 a.m. | SUBSISTENCE |
| DLG. 43 | July 26 | 4:30 p.m. | to | July 28 | MIDNIGHT | SUBSISTENCE |
| DLG. 45 | Aug 03 | 9:00 p.m. | to | Aug 06 | 6:00 p.m. | SUBSISTENCE |
| DLG. 47 | Aug 10 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Sept 30 | MIDNIGHT | SUBSISTENCE? |
| DLG. 49 | Aug 12 | NOON | to | Sept 30 | MIDNIGHT | SUBSISTENCE ${ }^{\text {P }}$ |
| DLG. 50 | Aug 12 | $\bigcirc$ NOON | to | Sept 30 | MIDNIGHT | SUBSISTENCE |

Table 12. (Page 3 of 3 )

| Number ${ }^{1}$ |  | Date and Time |  |  |  | Hours/Days Open |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Igushik Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG. 20 | July 01 | 7:00 a.m. | to | July |  | 4:00 | p.m. | 9 hrs |
| DLG. 22 | July 03 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July |  | 5:00 | p.m. | 8 hrs |
| DLG. 24 | July 04 | 10:30 a.m. | to | July |  | 10:30 | a.m. | 24 hrs |
| DLG. 26 | July 06 | 11:00 a.m. | to | July |  | 11:30 | a.m. | 24.5 hrs |
| TOGIAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG. 02 | June 01 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Sept |  | MIDNI | GHT | ${ }^{2}$ |
| DLG. 07 | June 20 | 9:00 a.m. | to | June |  | MIDNI | GGT | CLOSURE |
| DLG. 08 | June 20 | 9:00 a.m. | to | June | 22 | 9:00 | a.m. | SUBSISTENCE |
| DLG. 11 | June 24 | 9:00 a.m. | to | June | 26 | 9:00 | a.m. | SUBSISTENCE |
| DLG. 19 | July 01 | 12:01 a.m. | to | July | 02 | NOON |  | $36 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| Togiak Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG. 38 | July 15 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July |  | NOON |  | $27 \mathrm{hrs}^{2}$ |
| DLG. 42 | July 25 | 4:30 a.m. | to | July |  | 9:00 | a.m. | 4.5 hrs |
| DLG. 44 | July 29 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July | 30 | MIDNI | GHT | 39 hrs |
| DLG. 46 | Aug 05 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Aug | 06 | MIDNI | GHT | 39 hrs |
| DLG. 48 | Aug 11 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Aug | 12 | 9:00 | a.m. | CLOSURE |
| DLG. 51 | Aug 25 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Aug | 26 | 9:00 | a.m. | CLOSURE |
| DLG. 52 | Sept 01 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Sept |  | 9:00 | a.m. | CLOSURE |
| DLG. 53 | Sept 08 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Sept |  | 9:00 | a.m. | CLOSURE |
| Kulukak Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG. 28 | July 07 | 9:00 a.m. | to | July |  | 9:00 | a.m. | $24 \mathrm{hrs}{ }^{2}$ |
| Matogak, Osviak and Cape Pierce Sections |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG. 51 | Aug 26 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Aug |  | 9:00 | a.m. | CLOSURE |
| DLG. 52 | Sept 02 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Sept | 03 | 9:00 | a.m. | Closure |
| DLG. 53 | Sept 09 | 9:00 a.m. | to | Sept | 10 | 9:00 | a.m. | CLOSURE |

[^3]Table 13. Daily district registration of drift gillnet fishermen by district, Bristol Bay, 1994.a

| Date | NakekKvichak | Egegik | Ugashik | Nushagak | Togiak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/14 | 125 | 113 | 14 | 239 | 19 | 510 |
| 15 (1) |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 127 | 114 | 15 | 240 | 19 | 515 |
| 17 | 196 | 174 | 22 | 256 | 22 | 670 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 235 | 231 | 19 | 261 | 23 | 769 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 361 | 419 | 38 | 249 | 23 | 1,090 |
| 22 ( |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 338 | 656 | 25 | 304 | 20 | 1,343 |
| 24 | 342 | 751 | 16 | 295 | 20 | 1,424 |
| 25 | 353 | 819 | 16 | 297 | 20 | 1,505 |
| 26 | 369 | 839 | 18 | 295 | 21 | 1,542 |
| 27 | 386 | 850 | 26 | 289 | 21 | 1,572 |
| 28 | 405 | 881 | 36 | 284 | 21 | 1,627 |
| 29 | 417 | 861 | 40 | 281 | 21 | 1,620 |
| 30 | 455 | 836 | 45 | 287 | 23 | 1,646 |
| $7 / 01$ | 465 | 826 | 82 | 296 | 23 | 1,692 |
| 2 | 542 | 813 | 94 | 300 | 24 | 1,773 |
| 3 | 554 | 813 | 100 | 303 | 24 | 1,794 |
| 4 | 562 | 801 | 105 | 299 | 25 | 1,792 |
| 5 | 565 | 779 | 108 | 299 | 25 | 1,776 |
| 6 | 573 | 777 | 113 | 300 | 28 | 1,791 |
| 7 | 592 | 718 | 115 | 291 | 33 | 1,749 |
| 8 | 602 | 590 | 117 | 273 | 33 | 1,615 |
| 9 | 660 | 523 | 132 | 274 | 33 | 1,622 |
| 10 | 765 | 448 | 149 | 275 | 33 | 1,670 |
| 11 | 792 | 434 | 185 | 268 | 33 | 1,712 |
| 12 | 800 | 428 | 217 | 261 | 33 | 1,739 |
| 13 | 769 | 403 | 250 | 221 | 32 | 1,675 |
| 14 | 778 | 406 | 298 | 208 | 35 | 1,725 |
| 15 | 804 | 391 | 341 | 205 | 51 | 1,792 |
| 16 | 811 | 390 | 360 | 205 | 56 | 1,822 |
| 17 | 818 | 404 | 352 | 207 | 60 | 1,841 |
| Average | $5: 9$ | 583 | 115 | 269 | 28 | 1,514 |

a Total indicates number of drift gillnet permit holders legal to fish each day in the districts (transferees not included). There were 1,876 drift permits licensed for this year.

Table 14. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Naknek-Kvichak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Time | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | Total

Table 14. (Page 2 of 2)

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $8 / 4$ | 9 hrs. | 868 | 2 | 430 | 962 | 271 | 2,533 |
| $8 / 8$ | 15 hrs. | 124 | 2 | 132 | 1,307 | 541 | 2,106 |
| $8 / 9$ | 24 hrs. | 500 | 1 | 76 | 2,379 | 1,130 | 4,086 |
| $8 / 10$ | 24 hrs. | 146 | 2 | 164 | 1,362 | 834 | 2,508 |
| $8 / 11$ | 9 hrs. | 23 | 4 | 16 | 84 | 69 | 196 |
| $8 / 15$ | 15 hrs. | 4 |  |  |  | 14 | 18 |
| $8 / 16$ | 24 hrs. |  |  |  |  | 7 | 7 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Test fishing
-Naknek Section only.
${ }^{\text {K Kvichak section only. }}$
a Naknek-Kvichak district.

Table 15. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Egegik District, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Effort ${ }_{1}$ |  |  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hrs. | Drift | Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/06 | 15.00 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 07 | 24.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 08 | 24.00 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 09 | 24.00 | 0 | 2 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14 |
| 13 | 15.00 | 3 | 10 | 313 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 |
| 14 | 24.00 | 8 | 15 | 465 | 22 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 495 |
| 15 | 24.00 | 5 | 11 | 660 | 13 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 680 |
| 16 | 9.00 | 1 | 0 | 220 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 251 |
| 18 : | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 340 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 340 |
| $19:$ | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 343 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 345 |
| 20 : | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 150 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 |
| 21 : | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 116 |
| 22 a | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 170 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 172 |
| 23 | 8.00 | 691 | 163 | 51,501 | 120 | 731 | 0 | 1 | 52,353 |
| 24 : | 0.00 | 1 | 0 | 68 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| 25 | 8.00 | 757 | 161 | 123,227 | 95 | 950 | 0 | 0 | 124,272 |
| 26 a | 0.00 | 2 | 0 | 1,780 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,782 |
| 27 | 8.00 | 887 | 186 | 187,616 | 200 | 1,100 | 0 | 0 | 188,916 |
| 29 | 8.00 | 823 | 159 | 153,493 | 131 | 1,085 | 0 | 0 | 154,709 |
| 30 | 6.00 | b | b | 75,968 | 47 | 284 | 0 | 0 | 76,299 |
| 7/01 | 2.00 | 838 | 194 | 417,991 | 44 | 1,293 | 0 | 0 | 419,328 |
| 02 | 8.00 | 866 | 194 | 1,455,265 | 72 | 2,836 | 0 | 0 | 1,458,173 |
| 03 | 3.50 | b | b | 66,049 | 4 | 131 | 0 | 0 | 66,184 |
| 04 | 4.50 | 770 | 270 | 372,142 | 91 | 1,133 | 0 | 0 | 373,356 |
| 05 | 8.00 | 842 | 223 | 931,942 | 47 | 2,220 | 0 | 0 | 934,209 |
| 06 | 8.00 | 819 | 234 | 881,909 | 50 | 2,225 | 0 | 0 | 884,184 |
| 07 | 14.00 | 1,129 | 415 | 1,015,213 | 34 | 2,605 | 0 | 0 | 1,017,852 |
| 08 | 8.00 | 279 | 92 | 488,088 | 18 | 1,481 | 0 | 0 | 489,587 |
| 09 | 20.00 | 940 | 438 | 1,048,892 | 33 | 3,795 | 0 | 0 | 1,052,720 |
| 10 | 11.75 | 631 | 340 | 538,925 | 22 | 2,318 | 0 | 0 | 541,265 |
| 11 | 9.25 | 253 | 96 | 288,773 | 13 | 949 | 0 | 0 | 289,735 |
| 12 | 20.00 | 686 | 357 | 450,806 | 26 | 2,607 | 1 | 0 | 453,440 |
| 13 | 8.50 | 456 | 269 | 162,566 | 8 | 1.047 | 0 | 0 | 163,621 |
| 14 | 11.50 | 245 | 74 | 194,574 | 12 | 1,160 | 1 | 0 | 195,747 |
| 15 | 20.00 | 609 | 307 | 451,280 | 15 | 3,644 | 1 | 1 | 454,941 |
| 16 | 6.00 | 131 | 106 | 241,347 | 1 | 1,937 | 0 | 0 | 243,285 |
| 17 | 14.00 | 554 | 235 | 311,538 | 5 | 2,052 | 0 | 0 | 313,595 |
| 18 | 17.50 | 423 | 190 | 208,442 | 13 | 1,450 | 0 | 0 | 209,905 |
| 19 | 24.00 | 304 | 206 | 114,887 | 7 | 1,255 | 1 | 2 | 116,152 |
| 20 | 24.00 | 195 | 189 | - 166,465 | 9 | 4,129 | 0 | 0 | 170,603 |
| 21 | 24.00 | 215 | 165 | 140,935 | 2 | 4,763 | 0 | 0 | 145,701 |
| 22 | 24.00 | 142 | 129 | 86,806 | 3 | 3,122 | 0 | 0 | 89,931 |
| 23 | 10.50 | 92 | 70 | 34,127 | 2 | 511 | 4 | 29 | 34,673 |
| 24 | 11.50 | 58 | 81 | 25,731 | 3 | 312 | 0 | 4 | 26,050 |
| 25 | 24.00 | 130 | 125 | 37,376 | 4 | 634 | 10 | 146 | 38,170 |
| 26 | 24.00 | 79 | 98 | 24,079 | 1 | 538 | 0 | 139 | 24,757 |

-continued-

Table 15. (Page 2 of 2 )

| Date | Hrs. | Effort |  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Drift | Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/27 | 24.00 | 50 | 99 | 15,723 | 0 | 279 | 0 | 275 | 16,277 |
| 28 | 24.00 | 38 | 79 | 15,134 | 1 | 402 | 0 | 595 | 16,132 |
| 29 | 9.00 | 7 | 33 | 3,993 | 2 | 33 | 0 | 125 | 4,153 |
| 8/01 | 15.00 | 33 | 83 | 3,939 | 1 | 199 | 42 | 1,201 | 5,382 |
| 02 | 24.00 | 30 | 59 | 2,836 | 3 | 371 | 12 | 1,403 | 4,625 |
| . 03 | 24.00 | 16 | 76 | 1,417 | 1 | 239 | 0 | 1,417 | 3,074 |
| 04 | 24.00 | 16 | 59 | 877 | 0 | 144 | 0 | 1,296 | 2,317 |
| 05 | 9.00 | 0 | 21 | 345 | 1 | 81 | 0 | 495 | 922 |
| 08 | 15.00 | 17 | 43 | 361 | 1 | 106 | 0 | 1,911 | 2,379 |
| 09 | 24.00 | 8 | 63 | 259 | 1 | 92 | 0 | 1,580 | 1,932 |
| 10 | 24.00 | 15 | 56 | 209 | 2 | 110 | 0 | 1,768 | 2,089 |
| 11 | 24.00 | 21 | 56 | 340 | 1 | 279 | 0 | 2,874 | 3,494 |
| 12 | 9.00 | 3 | 18 | 43 | 0 | 64 | 0 | 585 | 692 |
| 15 | 15.00 | 25 | 39 | 124 | 1 | 144 | 0 | 2,991 | 3,260 |
| 16 | 24.00 | 28 | 38 | 104 | 4 | 142 | 0 | 3,244 | 3,494 |
| 17 | 24.00 | 18 | 60 | 60 | 1 | 86 | 0 | 3,284 | 3,431 |
| 18 | 24.00 | 18 | 59 | 30 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 3,148 | 3,259 |
| 19 | 9.00 | 0 | 12 | 3 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 769 | 775 |
| 22 | 15.00 | 7 | 22 | 9 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 1,531 | 1,547 |
| 23 | 24.00 | 18 | 29 | 35 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2,903 | 2,946 |
| 24 | 24.00 | 14 | 26 | 11 | 0 | 7 | 0 | 2,526 | 2,544 |
| 25 | 24.00 | 7 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,824 | 1,827 |
| 26 | 9.00 | 0 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 207 | 208 |
| 29 | 15.00 | 12 | 19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,417 | 2,417 |
| 30 | 24.00 | 6 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,357 | 2,357 |
| 31 | 24.00 | 5 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,555 | 1,555 |
| 9/01 | 24.00 | 6 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,241 | 1,241 |
| 02 | 9.00 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 335 | 338 |
| 05 | 15.00 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,076 | 1,076 |
| 06 | 24.00 | 3 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 725 | 725 |
| 07 | 24.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 102 | 102 |
| 08 | 24.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 85 | 85 |
| 09 | 9.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 | 22 |
| 12 | 15.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 64 |
| 13 | 24.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 70 |
| 14 | 24.00 | 0 | 1. | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 45 | 45 |
| 15 | 24.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 47 |
| 16 | 9.00 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 42 | 42 |
| Total | 1,286.50 | 15,296 | 6,971 | 10,798,450 | 1,231 | 57,222 | 72 | 48,460 | 10,905,435 |
| \% of District Catch |  |  |  | 99 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |

1 Estimated number of deliveries based on daily oral company reports. Preliminary.
a $A D F \& G$ test fishing catches.
b Included in totals recorded for subsequent day.

Table 16. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Ugashik District, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Effort |  |  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hrs. | Drift | Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/02 | 24.0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 03 | 9.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34 |
| 06 | 15.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 40 |
| 07 | 24.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 08 | 24.0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 49 |
| 09 | 24.0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 65 |
| 13 | 15.0 | 2 | 2 | 22 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 35 |
| 14 | 24.0 | 6 | 1 | 21 | 291 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 314 |
| 15 | 24.0 | 6 | 2 | 285 | 349 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 646 |
| 16 | 24.0 | 1 | 1 | 339 | 268 | 24 | 0 | 0 | 631 |
| 17 | 9.0 | 6 | 2 | 694 | 16 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 719 |
| 20 | 15.0 | 28 | 5 | 1,652 | 890 | 290 | 0 | 0 | 2,832 |
| 21 | 24.0 | 28 | 4 | 7,221 | 512 | 936 | 0 | 0 | 8,669 |
| 22 | 24.0 | 49 | 6 | 5,257 | 410 | 566 | 0 | 0 | 6,233 |
| 23 | 9.0 | 4 | 0 | 1,301 | 120 | 176 | 0 | 0 | 1,597 |
| 24 a | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 26 a | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| 27 | 10.0 | b | b | 3,746 | 112 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 4,235 |
| 28 | 2.0 | 39 | 36 | 13,172 | 38 | 762 | 0 | 0 | 13,972 |
| 29 a | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 180 |
| 30 | 8.0 | b | b | 11,459 | 46 | 572 | 0 | 0 | 12,077 |
| 7/01 | 4.0 | 85 | 28 | 66,759 | 16 | 691 | 0 | 0 | 67,466 |
| 02 a | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 603 | 0 | 18 | 3 | 0 | 624 |
| 03 | 12.0 | 103 | 31 | 63,675 | 71 | 1,551 | 0 | 0 | 65,297 |
| 04 a | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 495 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 521 |
| 05 a | 0.0 | 1 | 0 | 540 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 545 |
| 06 | 12.0 | 164 | 46 | 342,018 | 60 | 2,616 | 0 | 0 | 344,694 |
| 08 | 3.0 | 87 | 53 | 58,014 | 1 | 169 | 0 | 0 | 58,184 |
| 09 | 23.0 | 278 | 167 | 568,607 | 32 | 3,637 | 0 | 0 | 572,276 |
| 10 | 12.0 | 156 | 82 | 180,064 | 21 | 2,384 | 1 | 0 | 182,470 |
| 11 | 12.0 | 163 | 58 | 144,690 | 10 | 2,059 | 0 | 0 | 146,759 |
| 12 | 11.0 | 226 | 62 | 158,675 | 21 | 2,273 | 5 | 1 | 160,975 |
| 13 | 11.0 | 317 | 72 | 468,165 | 25 | 4,914 | 0 | 0 | 473,104 |
| 14 | 24.0 | 432 | 144 | 559,387 | 31 | 4,720 | 0 | 0 | 564,138 |
| 15 | 16.0 | 367 | 45 | 251,835 | 27 | 2,241 | 1 | 0 | 254,104 |
| 16 | 12.0 | 312 | 4 | 262,929 | 1 | 3,252 | 0 | 0 | 266,182 |
| 17 | 12.0 | 298 | 37 | 138,561 | 7 | 1,837 | 0 | 1 | 140,406 |
| 18 | 18.0 | 250 | 61 | , 142,232 | 15 | 1,956 | 1 | 0 | 144,204 |
| 19 | 24.0 | 321 | 78 | 219,866 | 15 | 2,245 | 1 | 0 | 222,127 |
| 20 | 24.0 | 246 | 57 | 158,481 | 9 | 1,144 | 2 | 0 | 159,636 |
| 21 | 24.0 | 166 | 77 | 50,166 | 4 | 681 | 0 | 0 | 50,851 |
| 22 | 24.0 | 80 | 33 | 41,974 | 2 | 650 | 0 | 1 | 42,627 |
| 23 | 24.0 | 104 | 19 | 57,074 | 1 | 868 | 21 | 33 | 57,997 |
| 24 | 24.0 | 59 | 29 | 29,953 | 6 | 276 | 13 | 39 | 30,287 |
| 25 | 24.0 | 105 | 33 | 51,429 | 7 | 695 | 17 | 48 | 52,196 |
| 26 | 24.0 | 128 | 30 | 108,747 | 4 | 1,053 | 13 | 57 | 109,874 |

-continued-

Table 16. (Page 2 of 2 )

| Date | Effortı |  |  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Hrs. | Drift | Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/27 | 24.0 | 182 | 52 | 118,627 | 6 | 1,179 | 5 | 51 | 119,868 |
| 28 | 24.0 | 84 | 7 | 52,425 | 7 | 763 | 0 | 126 | 53,321 |
| 29 | 9.0 | 18 | 0 | 14,425 | 0 | 228 | 0 | 57 | 14,710 |
| $8 / 01$ | 15.0 | 55 | 8 | 5,978 | 4 | 269 | 26 | 503 | 6,780 |
| 02 | 24.0 | 31 | 8 | 4,410 | 3 | 273 | 1 | 422 | 5,109 |
| 03 | 24.0 | 27 | 3 | 1,634 | 0 | 311 | 0 | 240 | 2,185 |
| 04 | 24.0 | 0 | 5 | 916 | 0 | 161 | 5 | 175 | 1,257 |
| 05 | 9.0 | 1 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 107 |
| 08 | 15.0 | 0 | 7 | 204 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 126 | 331 |
| 09 | 24.0 | 0 | 4 | 36 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 57 |
| 10 | 24.0 | 0 | 5 | 45 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 | 66 |
| 11 | 24.0 | 0 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 22 |
| 12 | 9.0 | 0 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12 | 14 |
| 15 | 15.0 | 0 | 5 | 44 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 219 | 263 |
| 16 | 24.0 | 2 | 13 | 88 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 1,281 | 1,379 |
| 17 | 24.0 | 1 | 5 | 76 | 0 | 12 | 2 | 393 | 483 |
| 18 | 24.0 | 27 | 11 | 63 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3,131 | 3,194 |
| 19 | 9.0 | 15 | 3 | 13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1,021 | 1,035 |
| 22 | 15.0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 739 | 739 |
| 23 | 24.0 | 2 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,050 | 1,050 |
| 24 | 24.0 | 3 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,289 | 1,289 |
| 25 | 24.0 | 0 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,180 | 1,180 |
| 26 | 9.0 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 658 | 659 |
| 29 | 15.0 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,643 | 1,643 |
| 30 | 24.0 | - 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 787 | 787 |
| 31 | 24.0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 411 | -411 |
| 9101 | 24.0 | 14 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,923 | 1,925 |
| 02 | 9.0 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 875 | 878 |
| 05 | 15.0 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 633 | 633 |
| 06 | 24.0 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 537 | 537 |
| 07 | 24.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 175 | 175 |
| 08 | 24.0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 47 | 47 |
| Total | 1,306 | 5,110 | 1,523 | 4,369,432 | 3,757 | 48,951 | 117 | 19,940 | 4,442,197 |
| \% of District Catch |  |  |  | 98 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 100 |

[^4]Table 17. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Nushagak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Time Hrs. | Effort |  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Drift | Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/08 | 10 | 147 | 28 | 150 | 9,697 | 741 | 0 | 0 | 10;588 |
| 6/17 | 4 | 290 | 84 | 56 | 2,284 | 893 | 0 | 0 | 3,233 |
| 6/18 | 7 |  |  | 3,118 | 50,081 | 21,543 |  |  | 74,742 |
| $6 / 23$ | 10 | 240 |  | 16,870 | 11.482 | 37,249 | 8 | 0 | 65,609 |
| 6/24 | 22.5 | 189 | 139 | 29,745 | 16,334 | 73,771 | 1 | 0 | 119,851 |
| 6/25 | 10 |  |  | 7,972 | 7,663 | 13,608 | 0 | 0 | 29,243 |
| $6 / 26_{2}$ | 21 | 115 | 44 | 5,000 | 5,390 | 3,476 | 0 | 0 | 13,866 |
| $6 / 27$. | 24 |  |  | 5,649 | 5,464 | 2,952 | 0 | 0 | 14,065 |
| $6 / 28$ | 14 |  |  | 4,207 | 3,491 | 3,516 | 1 | 0 | 11,215 |
| 7/01b | 9 |  |  | 64,328 | 124 | 5,012 | 0 | 0 | 69,464 |
| $7 / 02$ | 2.5 |  |  | 2,575 | 7 | 52 | 0 | 0 | 2,634 |
| 7/03. | 15 | 188 | 31 | 248,548 | 784 | 20,259 | 19 | 0 | 269,610 |
| 7/04d | 24 |  |  | 231,935 | 742 | 12,011 | 26 | 0 | 244,714 |
| 7/05: | 18.5 | 287 | 283 | 279,474 | 283 | 12,238 | 27 | 1 | 292,023 |
| 7/06b | 13 |  |  | 67,797 | 40 | 2,771 | 11 | 0 | 70,619 |
| 7/07e | 11.5 | 253 | 91 | 368,070 | 148 | 19,409 | 86 | 0 | 387,713 |
| $7 / 09$ | 22.5 |  |  | 573,845 | 3,441 | 11,250 | 32 | 4 | 588,572 |
| 7/10 | 24 | 247 | 172 | 433,773 | 246 | 8,618 | 65 | 4 | 442,706 |
| $7 / 11$ | 24 |  |  | 139,091 | 139 | 5,210 | 122 | 9 | 144,571 |
| $7 / 12$ | 24 |  |  | 114,902 | 158 | 6,051 | 222 | 20 | 121,353 |
| 7/13 | 24 |  |  | 50,445 | 72 | 3,234 | 460 | 4 | 54,215 |
| 7/14 | 24 |  |  | 37,896 | 59 | 2,144 | 429 | 19 | 40,547 |
| $7 / 15$ | 24 |  |  | 288,439 | 181 | 10,991 | 613 | 202 | 300,426 |
| $7 / 16$ | 24 |  |  | 205,189 | 110 | 8,312 | 207 | 335 | 214,153 |
| 7/17 | 24 |  |  | 74,090 | 53 | 1,485 | 581 | 385 | 76,594 |
| 7118 | 24 |  |  | 86,45 | 72 | 2,956 | 1,644 | 950 | 92,078 |
| 7/19 | 24 |  |  | 45,095 | 56 | 1,955 | 2,418 | 1,560 | 51,084 |
| 7/20 | 24 |  |  | 48,293 | 42 | 1,498 | 2,052 | 3,321 | 55,206 |
| Total |  |  |  | 3,433,008 | 118,643 | 293,205 | 9,024 | 6,814 | 3,860,694 |
| \% of District Catch |  |  |  | 88.9\% | 3.1\% | 7.6\% | 0.2\% | 0.2\% | 100.0\% |

1. Estimated fishing effort based on aerial survey count.
a Gilinets with mesh size less than $6-3 / 4^{\prime \prime}$ prohibited.

- Igushik Section only.
c Igushik Section fished 8 hours, Nushagak Section fished 7 hours.
d Igushik Section fished 13.5 hours, Nushagak Section fished 10.5 hours.
- Igushik Section fished 10.5 hours, Nushagak Section fished 8 hours.
f lgushik Section fished 1.5 hours, Nushagak Section fished 10 hours.

Table 18. Commercial sockeye salmon catch by date in numbers of fish, from setnet areas, Nushagak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Time (Hrs.) | Combine Flats. | Queen Sloughz | Coffee Points | Clark's Point Beach4 | Ekuk Beachs | Igushik Beach6 | Snake River Beach | Daily Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/08 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/17 | 4 | 14 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 6/18 | 7 | 92 | 0 | 90 | 6 | 63 | 562 | 0 | 813 |
| 6/23 | 10 | 742 | 169 | 406 | 259 | 622 | 1,010 | 0 | 3,208 |
| 6/24 | 22.5 | 456 | 277 | 68 | 385 | 3,356 | 2,913 | 0 | 7,455 |
| 6/25 | 10 | 115 | 4 | 139 | 51 | 827 | 2,359 | 0 | 3,495 |
| 6/26: | 21 | 365 | 247 | 564 | 306 | 1,442 | 671 | 0 | 3,595 |
| 6/27: | 24 | 741 | 417 | 627 | 203 | 1,521 | 925 | 0 | 4,434 |
| 6/28: | 14 | 421 | 114 | 247 | 35 | 1,765 | 500 | 0 | 3,082 |
| 7/01b | 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13,829 | 0 | 13,829 |
| 7/02 | 2.5 | 2,215 | 0 | 149 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,364 |
| 7/03. | 15 | 11,455 | 8,626 | 3,360 | 4,781 | 15,334 | 13,881 | 0 | 57,437 |
| 7/04d | 24 | 9,770 | 10,657 | 3,425 | 4,454 | 8,253 | 6,012 | 0 | 42,571 |
| 7/05c | 18.5 | 8,154 | 10,170 | 3,114 | 744 | 7,949 | 5,737 | 0 | 35,868 |
| 7/06b | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,936 | 0 | 2,936 |
| 7/07r | 11.5 | 2,466 | 470 | 325 | 659 | 1,922 | 2,428 | 0 | 8,270 |
| 7/09 | 22.5 | 70,464 | 16,130 | 32,003 | 19,041 | 64,515 | 36,094 | 0 | 238,247 |
| $7 / 10$ | 24 | 19,189 | 4,271 | 23,975 | 9,378 | 61,696 | 28,852 | 0 | 147,361 |
| 7/11 | 24 | 3,290 | 1,124 | 11,180 | 1,507 | 8,589 | 27,939 | 0 | 53,629 |
| 7/12 | 24 | 1,863 | 337 | 5,981 | 812 | 4,940 | 13,676 | 0 | 27,609 |
| 7/13 | 24 | 752 | 638 | 763 | 255 | 4,042 | 6,285 | 0 | 12,735 |
| 7/14 | 24 | 772 | 78 | 736 | 521 | 3,352 | 5,611 | 0 | 11,070 |
| 7/15 | 24 | 1,434 | 816 | 21,673 | 1,705 | 24,084 | 13,089 | 0 | 62,801 |
| 7/16 | 24 | 2,991 | 339 | 28,229 | 1,464 | 38,096 | 10,473 | 0 | 81,592 |
| $7 / 17$ | 24 | 311 | 33 | 11,782 | 270 | 11,198 | 13,767 | 0 | 37,361 |
| 7/18 | 24 | 57 | 160 | 10,049 | 259 | 9,281 | 14,487 | 11,168 | 45,461 |
| 7/19 | 24 | 645 | 153 | 1,825 | 699 | 4,848 | 9,393 | 10,253 | 27,816 |
| 7120 | 24 | 844 | 375 | 11,103 | 1,070 | 13,927 | 5,935 | 3,494 | 36,808 |
| Total |  | 139,618 | 55,605 | 171,821 | 48,864 | 291,622 | 239,424 | 24,915 | 971,869 |
| \% of D | Catch | 14.4\% | 5.7\% | 17.7\% | 5.0\% | 30.0\% | 24.6\% | 2.6\% | 100.0\% |

1 Sockeye salmon accounted for $96 \%$ of the total beach catch. Other species landed included 625 Chinook; 4,157 Chum; 467 Pink; 14 Coho.
2 Sockeye salmon accounted for $98 \%$ of the total beach catch. Other species landed included 226 Chinook; 960 Chum; 189 Pink; 3 Coho.
${ }^{3}$ Sockeye salmon accounted for $96 \%$ of the total beach catch. Other species landed included 1,265 Chinook; 4,204 Chum; 1,177 Pink; 218 Coho.
4 Sockeye salmon accounted for $94 \%$ of the total beach catch. Other species landed included 312 Chinook; 2,985 Chum; 4 Pink; 0 Coho.

- Sockeye salmon accounted for $95 \%$ of the total beach catch. Other species landed included 853 Chinook; 9,497 Chum; 3,494 Pink; 1,159 Coho.
6 Sockeye salmon accounted for $99 \%$ of the total beach catch. Other species landed included 1,432 Chinook; 487 Chum; 63 Pink; 0 Coho.
, Sockeye saimon accounted for $99 \%$ of the total beach catch. Other species landed included 2 Chinook; 134 Chum; 36 Pink; 1 Coho.
- Gillnets with mesh size less than 6-3/4" prohibited.

Igushik Section only.
Igushik Section fished 8 hours, Nushagak Section fished 7 hours.
Igushik Section fished 13.5 hours, Nushagak Section fished 10.5 hours.
Igushik Section fished 10.5 hours, Nushagak Section fished 8 hours.
Igushik Section fished 1.5 hours, Nushagak Section fished 10 hours.

Table 19. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date 1 | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6/03 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6/08 | 3 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 22 |
| 6/09 | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 6/10 | 1 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6/13 | 64 | 38 | 43 | 0 | 0 | 145 |
| 6/14 | 63 | 245 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 393 |
| 6/15 | 110 | 269 | 90 | 0 | 0 | 469 |
| 6/16 | 69 | 274 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 422 |
| 6/17 | 41 | 118 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 206 |
| 7/01 | 6,930 | 1,901 | 11,539 | 114 | 0 | 20,484 |
| 7/02 | 3,927 | 659 | 6,115 | 119 | 0 | 10,820 |
| 7/04 | 11,995 | 1,093 | 4,447 | 123 | 0 | 17,658 |
| 7/05 | 15,519 | 1,341 | 9,327 | 325 | 0 | 26,512 |
| 7/06 | 11,062 | 808 | 8,842 | 270 | 0 | 20,982 |
| 7/07 | 19,631 | 826 | 11,754 | 380 | 0 | 32,591 |
| $7 / 08$ | 8,634 | 517 | 7,576 | 179 | 0 | 16,906 |
| 7/11 | 24,311 | 520 | 7,045 | 569 | 0 | 32,445 |
| 7/12 | 25,082 | 505 | 19,882 | 746 | 0 | 46,215 |
| 7/13 | 18,894 | 370 | 13,817 | 873 | 0 | 33,954 |
| 7/14 | 10,640 | 234 | 16;586 | 530 | 0 | 27,990 |
| 7/15 | 9,440 | 100 | 9,239 | 481 | 0 | 19,260 |
| 7/16 | 4,288 | 28 | 2,234 | 115 | 0 | 6,665 |
| 7/18 | 20,127 | 99 | 14,643 | 964 | 0 | 35,833 |
| 7/19 | 23,680 | 72 | 15,741 | 1,093 | 0 | 40,586 |
| 7/20 | 14,988 | 69 | 11,965 | 1,249 | 1 | 28,272 |
| 7/21 | 22,205 | 78 | 11,238 | 1,553 | 2 | 35,076 |
| 7/22 | 13,142 | 33 | 5,497 | 1,480 | 2 | 20,154 |
| 7/23 | 76 | 1. | 170 | 70 | 0 | 317 |
| 7/25 | 19,047 | 54 | 10,609 | 4,355 | 16 | 34,091 |
| 7/26 | 18,782 | 32 | 7,495 | 6,482 | 5 | 32,796 |
| 7/27 | 17,568 | 36 | 5,920 | 7,161 | 34 | 30,719 |
| 7/28 | 12,944 | 46 | 5,382 | 6,485 | 85 | 24,943 |
| 7/29 | 10,782 | 24 | 2,804 | 4,434 | 78 | 18,122 |
| 7/30 | 9,595 | 25 | 1,986 | 4,896 | 92 | 16,594 |

-continued-

Table 19. (Page 2 of 2 )

| Date ${ }_{1}$ | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 8/01 | 5,400 | 9 | 990 | 2,732 | 241 | 9,372 |
| 8/02 | 9,801 | 37 | 2,235 | 5,925 | 435 | 18,433 |
| 8/03 | 6,342 | 12 | 1,527 | 3,841 | 497 | 12,219 |
| 8/04 | 7,048 | 17 | 1,685 | 4,115 | 771 | 13,636 |
| 8/05 | 4,674 | 13 | 844 | 2,819 | 537 | 8,887 |
| 8/06 | 5,339 | 16 | 1,046 | 2,637 | 1,008 | 10,046 |
| 8/08 | 1,269 | 8 | 243 | 627 | 871 | 3,018 |
| 8/09 | 1,258 | 2 | 286 | 304 | 938 | 2,788 |
| 8/10 | 1,501 | 5 | 314 | 658 | 1,483 | 3,961 |
| 8/11 | 810 | 1 | 244 | 344 | 1,767 | 3,166 |
| $8 / 12$ | 95 |  | 23 | 46 | 693 | 858 |
| 8/13 | 33 | 0 | 1 | 16 | 110 | 160 |
| 8/15 | 689 | 2 | 153 | 151 | 5,665 | 6,660 |
| 8/16 | 760 | 2 | 212 | 230 | 6,973 | 8,177 |
| 8/17 | 498 | 3 | 102 | 83 | 4,283 | 4,969 |
| 8/18 | 372 | 5 | 80 | 79 | 2,846 | 3,382 |
| 8/19 | 620 | 4 | 107 | 38 | 4,166 | 4,935 |
| 8/20 | 42 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1,420 | 1,472 |
| 8/22 | 122 | 0 | 38 | 39 | 5957 | 0 6,156 |
| 8/23 | 314 | 4 | 46 | 147 | 12,196 | 12,707 |
| 8/24 | 68 | 1 | 24 | 44 | 3,925 | 4,062 |
| 8/25 | 45 | 1 | 5 | 8 | 1,060 | 1,119 |
| 8/26 |  |  |  | 5 | 678 | 683 |
| 8/29 | 79 | 1 | 19 | 23 | 12,022 | 12,144 |
| 8/30 | 91 | 0 | 21 | 24 | 10,987 | 11,123 |
| 8/31 | 65 |  | 29 | 19 | 5,230 | 5,344 |
| 9/01 | 33 | 0 | 3 | 20 | 1,848 | 1,904 |
| 9/02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 232 | 235 |
| 9/05 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1,058 | 1,061 |
| 9/06 | 15 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3,065 | 3,085 |
| 9/07 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1,810 | 1,822 |
| 9/08 | 7 | 1 | 2. | 0 | 404 | 414 |
| 9/12 | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 724 | 733 |
| 9/13 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 | 391 |
| Total | 401,052 | 10,629 | 232,492 | 70,029 | 96,606 | 810,808 |
| $\begin{array}{llllll}\text { \% of District } & & \\ \text { Total }\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 49.5\% | 1.3\% | 28.7\% | 8.6\% | 11.9\% | 100.0\% |

See table 12 for inseason adjustments to the regular weekly fishing schedule.

Table 20. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Togiak Section, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Effort2 |  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drift | Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/03 |  |  | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 6/08 |  |  | 3 | 12 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| 6/09 |  |  | 0 | 26 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 27 |
| 6/10 |  |  | 1 | 13 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6/13 |  |  | 2 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 16 |
| 6/14 |  |  | 41 | 227 | 65 | 0 | 0 | 333 |
| 6/15 |  |  | 60 | 244 | 72 | 0 | 0 | 376 |
| 6/16 |  |  | 69 | 274 | 78 | 1 | 0 | 422 |
| 6/17 |  |  | 41 | 118 | 46 | 1 | 0 | 206 |
| $7 / 01$ |  |  | 4,929 | 1,798 | 10,375 | 71 | 0 | 17,173 |
| 7102 |  |  | 3,166 | 606 | 5,675 | 91 | 0 | 9,538 |
| $7 / 04$ |  |  | 9,346 | 994 | 3,464 | 78 | 0 | 13,882 |
| $7 / 05$ |  |  | 11,251 | 1,149 | 7,385 | 190 | 0 | 19,975 |
| $7 / 06$ | 26 | 48 | 7,746 | 695 | 7,132 | 176 | 0 | 15,749 |
| $7 / 07$ |  |  | 10,604 | 723 | 10,389 | 287 | 0 | 22,003 |
| $7 / 08$ |  |  | 4,327 | 480 | 7,000 | 137 | 0 | 11,944 |
| 7/11 |  |  | 17,155 | 447 | 5,935 | 365 | 0 | 23,902 |
| 7/12 |  |  | 19,771 | 421 | 17,777 | 495 | 0 | 38,464 |
| 7/13 | 27 | 55 | 12,017 | 289 | 11,667 | 546 | 0 | 24,519 |
| 7/14 |  |  | 9,133 | 222 | 16,452 | 470 | 0 | 26,277 |
| 7/15 |  |  | 9,152 | 98 | 8,935 | 403 | 0 | 18,588 |
| 7/16 |  |  | 4,030 | 28 | 2,014 | 65 | 0 | 6,137 |
| 7/18 |  |  | 17,549 | 74 | 14,008 | 907 | 0 | 32,538 |
| 7/19 | 57 | 47 | 17,894 | 54 | 14,862 | 964 | 0 | 33,774 |
| 7/20 |  |  | 11,718 | 53 | 11,194 | 1,159 | 0 | 24,124 |
| 7/21 |  |  | 21,341 | 77 | 11,058 | 1,548 | 2 | 34,026 |
| 7/22 |  |  | 12,877 | 29 | 4,909 | 1,318 | 0 | 19,133 |
| 7/25 |  |  | 17,073 | 51 | 10,208 | 4,091 | 16 | 31,439 |
| 7/26 | 93 | 73 | 14,726 | 25 | 7,239 | 5,989 | 5 | 27,984 |
| 7/27 |  |  | 13,442 | 30 | 5,663 | 6,302 | 32 | 25,469 |
| 7128 |  |  | 9,741 | 44 | 5,094 | 5,728 | 62 | 20,669 |
| 7/29 |  |  | 10,604 | 24 | 2,757 | 4,336 | 69 | 17,790 |
| 7/30 |  |  | 9,443 | 24 | 1,958 | 4,769 | 82 | 16,276 |
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| Date | Effort2 |  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\text { Drift }}$ | Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/01 |  |  | 4,108 | 7 | 827 | 2,033 | 168 | 7,143 |
| $8 / 02$ |  |  | 8,272 | 34 | 2,141 | 5,492 | 410 | 16,349 |
| 8/03 |  |  | 4,704 | 7 | 1,399 | 3,459 | 411 | 9,980 |
| 8/04 |  |  | 6,466 | 13 | 1,637 | 3,953 | 725 | 12,794 |
| $8 / 05$ |  |  | 4,674 | 13 | 844 | 2,819 | 537 | 8,887 |
| 8/06 |  |  | 5,339 | 16 | 1,046 | 2,637 | 1,008 | 10,046 |
| 8/08 |  |  | 1,239 | 8 | 239 | 617 | 858 | 2,961 |
| 8/09 |  |  | 1,258 | 2 | 286 | 304 | 938 | 2,788 |
| 8/10 |  |  | 1,501 | 5 | 314 | 658 | 1,483 | 3,961 |
| 8/11 |  |  | 707 | 1 | 215 | 272 | 786 | 1,981 |
| 8/15 |  |  | 683 | 2 | 150 | 149 | 5,468 | 6,452 |
| 8/16 |  |  | 760 | 2 | 212 | 230 | 6,780 | 7,984 |
| 8/17 |  |  | 498 | 3 | 102 | 83 | 4,283 | 4,969 |
| 8/18 |  |  | 370 | 5 | 80 | 75 | 2,283 | 2,813 |
| 8/19 |  |  | 615 | 3 | 106 | 33 | 2,806 | 3,563 |
| 8/22 |  |  | 122 | 0 | 38 | 39 | 5,957 | 6,156 |
| 8/23 |  |  | 314 | 4 | 46 | 147 | 12,196 | 12,707 |
| 8/24 |  |  | 68 | 1 | 24 | 44 | 3,925 | 4,062 |
| 8/25 |  |  | 39 | 1 | 5 | 3 | 839 | 887 |
| 8/29 |  |  | 73 | 1 | 18 | 19 | 11,736 | 11,847 |
| 8/30 |  |  | 91 | 0 | 21 | 24 | 10,987 | 11,123 |
| 8/31 |  |  | 65 | 1 | 29 | 19 | 4,987 | 5,101 |
| 9/01 |  |  | 31 | 0 | 3 | 13 | 1,232 | 1,279 |
| 9/05 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1,058 | 1,061 |
| 9/06 |  |  | 15 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 3,065 | 3,085 |
| 9/07 |  |  | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1,810 | 1,822 |
| 9/08 |  |  | 7 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 404 | 414 |
| 9/12 |  |  | 8 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 724 | 733 |
| 9/13 |  |  | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 390 | 391 |
| Tote: |  |  | 321,293 | 9,492 | 213,210 | 63,609 | 88,522 | 696,126 |
| \% of Section |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 Togiak River Section open four days per week. See Table 12 for inseason adjustments to the weekly fishing schedule.
2 Effort estimated by aerial surveys inseason.

Table 21. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Kulukak Section, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date ${ }_{1}$ | Effort |  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $\overline{\text { Drift }}$ | Set |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/13 |  |  | 62 | 27 | 40 | 0 | 0 | 129 |
| 6/14 |  |  | 22 | 18 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 60 |
| 6/15 |  |  | 50 | 25 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 93 |
| $7 / 01$ |  |  | 1,936 | 102 | 1,093 | 43 | 0 | 3,174 |
| $7 / 02$ |  |  | 761 | 53 | 440 | 28 | 0 | 1,282 |
| 7/04 |  |  | 2,649 | 99 | 983 | 45 | 0 | 3,776 |
| $7 / 05$ |  |  | 4,268 | 192 | 1,942 | 135 | 0 | 6,537 |
| 7/06 | 3 | 16 | 3,316 | 113 | 1,710 | 94 | 0 | 5,233 |
| 7107 |  |  | 9,027 | 103 | 1,365 | 93 | 0 | 10,588 |
| 7108 |  |  | 4,307 | 37 | 576 | 42 | 0 | 4,962 |
| $7 / 11$ |  |  | 7,156 | 73 | 1,110 | 204 | 0 | 8,543 |
| 7/12 |  |  | 5,311 | 84 | 2,105 | 251 | 0 | 7,751 |
| 7/13 | 3 | 14 | 6,877 | 81 | 2,150 | 327 | 0 | 9,435 |
| 7/14 |  |  | 1,507 | 12 | 134 | 60 | 0 | 1,713 |
| 7/18 |  |  | 2,548 | 25 | 625 | 45 | 0 | 3,243 |
| 7/19 | 5 | 12 | 5,756 | 18 | 871 | 119 | 0 | 6,764 |
| 7/20 |  |  | 3,270 | 16 | 771 | 90 | 1 | 4,148 |
| 7/21 |  |  | 864 | 1 | 180 | 5 | 0 | 1,050 |
| 7/25 |  |  | 1,875 | 13 | 384 | 234 | 0 | 2,506 |
| 7/26 |  |  | 4,056 | 7 | 256 | 493 | 0 | 4,812 |
| $7 / 27$ |  |  | 3,956 | 6 | 227 | 779 | 2 | 4,970 |
| $7 / 28$ |  |  | 2,931 | 2 | 208 | 622 | 2 | 3,765 |
| 8/01 |  |  | 1,292 | 2 | 163 | 699 | 73 | 2,229 |
| 8/02 |  |  | 1,529 | 3 | 94 | 433 | 25 | 2,084 |
| 8/03 |  |  | 1,472 | 5 | 118 | 337 | 84 | 2,016 |
| 8/04 |  |  | 582 | 4 | 48 | 162 | 46 | 842 |
| 8/08 |  |  | 30 | 0 | 4 | 10 | 13 | 57 |
| 8/18 |  |  |  | $\checkmark$ |  |  | 266 | 266 |
| Total |  |  | 77,410 | 1,121 | 17,635 | 5,350 | 512 | 102,028 |
| \% of Section Total |  |  | 75.9\% | 1.1\% | 17.3\% | 5.2\% | 0.5\% | 100.0\% |

[^5]Table 22. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Matogak Section, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date ${ }_{1}$ | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 7/01 | 65 | 1 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 137 |
| 7/15 | 288 | 2 | 304 | 78 | 0 | 672 |
| 7/16 | 258 | 0 | 220 | 50 | 0 | 528 |
| 7/18 | 30 | 0 | 10 | 12 | 0 | 52 |
| 7/19 | 30 | 0 | 8 | 10 | 0 | 48 |
| 7/22 | 265 | 4 | 588 | 162 | 2 | 1,021 |
| 7/23 | 76 | 1 | 170 | 70 | 0 | 317 |
| 7/25 | 99 | 0 | 17 | 30 | 0 | 146 |
| 7/27 | 170 | 0 | 30 | 80 | 0 | 280 |
| 7/28 | 220 | 0 | 52 | 90 | 13 | 375 |
| 7/29 | 178 | 0 | 47 | 98 | 9 | 332 |
| 7/30 | 39 | 0 | 6 | 42 | 3 | 90 |
| 8/03 | 166 | 0 | 10 | 45 | 2 | 223 |
| 8/11 | 100 | 0 | 29 | 68 | 962 | 1,159 |
| 8/12 | 89 | 1 | 15 | 44 | 589 | 738 |
| 8/13 | 32 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 96 | 142 |
| 8/15 | 6 | 0 | 3 | 2 | 197 | 208 |
| 8/16 |  |  |  |  | 193 | 193 |
| 8/18 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 297 | 303 |
| 8/19 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 5 | 1,360 | 1,372 |
| 8/20 | 42 | 0 | 6 | 4 | 1,360 | 1,412 |
| 8/25 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 221 | 232 |
| 8/26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 678 | 683 |
| 8/29 | 6 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 286 | 297 |
| 8/31 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 243 | 243 |
| 9/01 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 616 | 625 |
| 9/02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 232 | 235 |
| Total | 2,174 | 10 | 1,589 | 931 | 7,359 | 12,063 |
| $\%$ of Section Total | 18.0\% | 0.1\% | 13.2\% | 7.7\% | 61.0\% | 100.0\% |

[^6]Table 23. Commercial salmon catch by date and species, in numbers of fish, Osviak Section, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $6 / 08$ | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |  |
| $7 / 28$ | 52 | 0 | 28 | 45 | 9 | 134 |  |
| $7 / 30$ | 113 | 1 | 22 | 85 | 7 | 228 |  |
| $8 / 11$ | 3 | 0 | 0 | 4 | 19 | 26 |  |
| $8 / 12$ | 6 | 0 | 8 | 2 | 104 | 120 |  |
| $8 / 13$ | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 14 | 18 |  |
| $8 / 20$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 60 |  |
| Total | 175 | 6 | 58 | 139 | 213 | 591 |  |
| $\%$ of Section | $29.6 \%$ | $1.0 \%$ | $9.8 \%$ | $23.5 \%$ | $36.0 \%$ | $100.0 \%$ |  |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 Osviak Section open five days per week. See Table 12 for inseason adjustments to the weekly fishing schedule.

Table 24. Commercial salmon catch by district and species, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1994

| District and River System | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| NAKNEK-KVICHAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kvichak River Branch River Naknek River | $\begin{array}{r} 13,840,448 \\ 390,094 \\ 2,032,083 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 16,262,625 | 6,127 | 200,823 | 12,213 | 6,841 | 16,488,629 |
| EGEGIK DISTRICT | 10,798,450 | 1,231 | 57,222 | 72 | 48,460 | 10,905,435 |
| UGASHIK DISTRICT | 4,369,432 | 3,757 | 48,951 | 117 | 19,940 | 4,442,197 |
| NUSHAGAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Wood River Igushik River Nushagak-Muichatna | $\begin{array}{r} 1,516,229 \\ 864,945 \\ 1,051,834 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 3,433,008 | 118,643 | 233,205 | S,024 | 6,814 | 3,860,694 |
| TOGIAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Togiak Section | 321,293 | 9,492 | 213,210 | 63,609 | 88,522 | 696,126 |
| Kulukak Section | 77,410 | 1,121 | 17,635 | 5,350 | 512 | 102,028 |
| Matogak Section | 2,174 | 10 | 1,589 | 931 | 7,359 | 12,063 |
| Osviak Section | 175 | 6 | 58 | 139 | 213 | 591 |
| Total | 401,052 | 10,629 | 232,492 | 70,029 | 96,606 | 810,808 |
| TOTAL BRISTOL BAY | 35,264,567 | 140,387 | 832,693 | 91,455 | 178,661 | 36,507,7€3 |
| PERCENT | 96.6\% | 0.4\% | 2.3\% | 0.3\% | 0.5\% | 100.0\% |

a Preliminary

Table 25. Daily sockeye salmon escapement tower counts by river system, Bristol Bay, 1994

| Date | Kvichak River |  | Naknek River |  | Egegik River |  | Ugashik River |  | Wood River |  | Igushik River |  | Togiak River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daly | C.um. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. |
| 6/19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | , | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 54 | 54 |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 |  |  | 1,110 | 1,164 | 816 | 816 |  |  | 1,326 | 1,326 | 54 | 54 |  |  |
| 23 | 42 | 42 | 132 | 1,296 | 264 | 1,080 |  |  | 672 | 1,998 | 234 | 288 |  |  |
| 24 | 24 | 66 | 600 | 1.896 | 4,938 | 6,018 |  |  | 228 | 2,226 | 126 | 414 |  |  |
| 25 | 78 | 144 | 3,246 | 5,142 | 32,052 | 38,070 |  |  | 1.470 | 3.696 | 456 | 870 |  |  |
| 26 | 738 | 882 | 1,698 | 6,840 | 71,178 | 109,248 |  |  | 3.150 | 6,846 | 918 | 1,788 |  |  |
| 27 | 6,744 | 7.6.26 | 1,596 | 8,436 | 17,364 | 126.612 |  |  | 3.444 | 10,290 | 906 | 2,694 |  |  |
| 28 | 16,254 | 23,830 | 660 | 9,096 | 10,890 | 137,502 |  |  | 1,902 | 12,192 | 2,700 | 5,394 |  |  |
| 29 | 762 | 24,6.42 | 1,644 | 10,740 | 5.712 | 143,214 |  |  | 1.332 | 13,524 | 2,994 | 8,388 |  |  |
| 30 | 306 | 24,918 | 7,338 | 18,078 | 35.088 | 178,302 |  |  | 1.008 | 14,532 | 1.734 | 10,122 |  |  |
| $7 / 1$ | 504 | 25,452 | 15,558 | 33,636 | 31,410 | 209, 12 |  |  | 6,078 | 20.610 | 1.836 | 11.958 |  |  |
| 2 | 3,630 | 29,032 | 89,922 | 123,558 | 4.578 | 214.290 |  |  | 37.530 | 58,140 | 4.782 | 16,740 |  |  |
| 3 | 224,208 | 253290 | 188.568 | 312,126 | 29.520 | 243.810 | 228 | 228 | 65,616 | 123.756 | 3.042 | 19,782 |  |  |
| 4 | 1,295.892 | 1.5491182 | 61.512 | 373.638 | 221,826 | 465.636 | 480 | 708 | 62,094 | 185.850 | 12.912 | 32.694 | 0 | 0 |
| 5 | 1,176,840 | 2.726022 | 37,368 | 411,006 | 184,764 | 650,400 | 252 | 960 | 41,190 | 227,040 | 15,552 | 48,246 | 0 | 0 |
| 6 | 790,896 | 3.516918 | 58,176 | 469.182 | 178.818 | 829,218 | 480 | 1,440 | 64,890 | 291.930 | 11,310 | 59,556 | 0 | 0 |
| 7 | 754,686 | 4,271604 | 40,092 | 509.274 | 180.138 | 1,009,356 | 258 | 1,698 | 34,182 | 326,112 | 13,686 | 73,242 | 192 | 192 |
| 8 | 859,236 | 5.1308 .40 | 113,640 | 622,914 | 151.176 | 1,160,532 | 120 | 1.818 | 21,102 | 347.214 | 6.198 | 79,440 | 558 | 750 |
| 9 | 689,646 | 5,820.436 | 53,032 | 675,996 | 176,892 | 1,337,424 | 4,830 | 6,648 | 301,710 | 648,924 | 8,484 | 87,924 | 648 | 1,398 |
| 10 | 652,626 | $6,473.112$ | 43,278 | 719,274 | 79.404 | 1,416,828 | 101,970 | 108,618 | 346,830 | 995.754 | 21.198 | 109, 122 | 144 | 1.542 |
| 11 | 584,610 | 7,057.722 | 30,180 | 749.454 | 106,3@2 | 1,523,220 | 204,678 | 313,296 | 113.472 | 1,109,226 | 51,924 | 161,046 | 672 | 2,214 |
| 12 | 209.814 | 7,267.536 | 44.736 | 794,190 | 100.008 | 1,623,228 | 207.204 | 520.500 | 35,484 | 1,144,710 | 45,864 | 206,910 | 1,650 | 3,864 |
| 13 | 62,394 | 7.329.930 | 17,670 | 811,860 | 42,348 | 1,665,576 | 50,076 | 570,576 | 13,812 | 1,158,522 | 39,528 | 246.438 | 3,306 | 7.170 |
| 14 | 52,074 | 7,382.004 | 17,880 | 829,740 | 43,422 | 1,708,998 | 24,162 | 594,738 | 15,018 | 1,173,540 | 38,232 | 284,670 | 6,420 | 13.590 |
| 15 | 113.484 | 7,495.488 | 23,196 | 852.936 | 50,148 | 1,759,146 | 31,284 | 626,022 | 13,020 | 1,186,560 | 16,776 | 301,446 | 7.656 | 21,246 |
| 16 | 44,664 | 7,540.152 | 30,714 | 883.650 | 23,089 | 1,782.234 | 63,144 | 689,166 | 64,572 | 1,251.132 | 8.688 | 310,134 | 4.884 | 26,130 |
| 17 | 90,924 | 7,631.0/6 | 39,672 | 923,322 | 21,774 | 1,804,008 | 38,490 | 727,656 | 73,746 | 1,324,878 | 13,788 | 323,922 | 5.016 | 31.146 |
| 18 | 220,458 | 7,851.534 | 44,376 | 967,698 | 45,642 | 1.849,650 | 38,982 | 766,638 | 39,300 | 1,364,178 | 22,794 | 346,716 | 3,702 | 34,848 |
| 19 | 247,620 | 8,099.154 | 11,790 | 979,488 | 21,366 | 1.871.016 | 56,484 | 823,122 | 18,426 | 1,382,604 | 22,650 | 369,366 | 2.544 | 37,392 |
| 20 | 69,474 | 8,168.628 | 2,868 | 982,356 | 11,622 | 1,882,638 | 88,884 | 912,006 | 16,170 | 1,398,774 | 18,198 | 387,564 | 5.736 | 43.128 |
| 21 | 24,558 | 8,193 186 | 8,454 | 990,810 | 15,2¢4 | 1,897,932 | 100.134 | 1;012,140 | 17.436 | 1.416,210 | 11,394 | 398,958 | 10,698 | 53,826 |
| 22 | 72,264 | 8,265 450 |  |  |  | - | 19,836 | 1,031,976 | 28,884 | 1,445,094 | 10,260 | 409,218 | 8,538 | 62,364 |
| 23 | 72,390 | 8,337.840 |  |  |  |  | 12,942 | 1,044,918 | 14,796 | 1,459,890 | 7.422 | 416,640 | 5,826 | 68.190 |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 8.454 | 1,053,372 | 12,000 | 1.471,890 | 7.194 | 423,834 | 3,024 | 71.214 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6,330 | 1,059,702 |  |  | 10.242 | 434,076 | 4,464 | 75,678 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,216 | 1,062,918 |  |  | 7.194 | 441,270 | 5,310 | 80,988 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,668 | 1,067.586 |  |  | 4,650 | 445,920 | 8,754 | 89,742 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 13,272 | $1.080,858$ |  |  |  |  | 15.702 | 105,444 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | . | 8,826 | 114.270 |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,880 | 120, 150 |
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| Date | Kvichak River |  | Naknek River |  | Egegik River |  | Ugasthik River |  | Wood River |  | Igushik River |  | Togiak River |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | cium. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. |
| $7 / 31$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,722 | 124,872 |
| $8 / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,942 | 128,814 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3.786 | 132,600 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,334 | 134,934 |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.742 | 137,676 |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4,902 | 142,578 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5,556 | 148, 134 |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3,066 | 151,200 |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2.436 | 153.636 |
| 9 |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1,116 | 154,752 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 8,337,840 |  | 990,810 |  | 1,897,932 |  | 1,080,858 |  | 1,890 |  | 445.920 |  | 154,752 |

-From 7/22/94 000:0 hours until 9/11/94 additional fish counted by USF\&WS personnel.

Table 26. Daily salmon escapement estimates, Nushagak River sonar, Nushagak River, 1994.

| Date | Sockeye |  | Chinook |  | Chum |  | Pink |  | Coho |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. | Daily | Cum. |
| 6/09 | 5 | 5 | 374 | 374 | 362 | 362 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 741 | 741 |
| 10 | 6 | 11 | 351 | 725 | 255 | 617 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 612 | 1,353 |
| 11 | 7 | 18 | 375 | 1,100 | 367 | 984 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 749 | 2,102 |
| 12 | 5 | 23 | 413 | 1,513 | 442 | 1,426 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 860 | 2,962 |
| 13 | 4 | 27 | 248 | 1,761 | 318 | 1,744 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 570 | 3,532 |
| 14 | 12 | 39 | 126 | 1,887 | 183 | 1,927 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 321 | 3,853 |
| 15 | 10 | 49 | 86 | 1,973 | 213 | 2,140 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 309 | 4,162 |
| 16 | 442 | 491 | 6,597 | 8,570 | 5,901 | 8,041 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 12,940 | 17,102 |
| 17 | 951 | 1,442 | 13,555 | 22,125 | 20,237 | 28,278 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 34,743 | 51,845 |
| 18 | 1,239 | 2,681 | 2,687 | '24,812 | 6,514 | 34,792 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10,440 | 62,285 |
| 19 | 2,661 | 5,342 | 4,565 | 29,377 | 15,354 | 50,146 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,580 | 84,865 |
| 20 | 1,218 | 6,560 | 2,807 | 32,184 | 7,312 | 57,458 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,337 | 96,202 |
| 21 | 647 | 7,207 | 1,475 | 33,659 | 4,009 | 61,467 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6,131 | 102,333 |
| 22 | 1,830 | 9,037 | 7,989 | 41,648 | 27,174 | 88,641 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36,993 | 139,326 |
| 23 | 1,415 | 10,452 | 5,402 | 47,050 | 16,933 | 107,574 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25,750 | 165,076 |
| 24 | 2,703 | 13,155 | 3,233 | 50,283 | 16,333 | 123,907 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 22,269 | 187,345 |
| 25 | 2,625 | 15,780 | 3,377 | 53,660 | 15,897 | 139,804 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21,899 | 209,244 |
| 26 | 2,768 | 18,548 | 4,082 | 57,742 | 17,462 | 157,266 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24,312 | 233,556 |
| 27 | 3,354 | 2:902 | 1,861 | 59,603 | 9,175 | 166,441 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 14,390 | 247,946 |
| 28 | 2,779 | 24.681 | 1,315 | 60,918 | 7,725 | 174,166 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,819 | 259,765 |
| 29 | 1,976 | 26,657 | 1,045 | 61,963 | 5,530 | 179,696 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,551 | 268,316 |
| 30 | 2,089 | 28,746 | 957 | 62,920 | 5,566 | 185,262 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8,612 | 276,928 |
| 7/01 | 3,143 | 31,889 | 974 | 63,894 | 7.442 | 192,704 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11,559 | 288,487 |
| 02 | 12,185 | 44,074 | 4,378 | 68,272 | 46,488 | 239,192 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 63,051 | 351,538 |
| 03 | 41,736 | 85,810 | 3,319 | 71,591 | 16,785 | 255,977 | 121 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 61,961 | 413,499 |
| 04 | 51,759 | 137,569 | 2,016 | 73,607 | 11,018 | 266,995 | 0 | 121 | 0 | 0 | 64,793 | 478,292 |
| 05 | 23,759 | 161,328 | 2,319 | 75,926 | 16,547 | 283,542 | 258 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 42,883 | 521,175 |
| 06 | 22,208 | 183,536 | 2,153 | 78,079 | 8,063 | 291,605 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 32,424 | 553,599 |
| 07 | 22,030 | 205,566 | 1,758 | 79,837 | 7,176 | 298,781 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 30,964 | 584,563 |
| 08 | 18,918 | 224,484 | 1,463 | 81,300 | 5,729 | 304,510 | 0 | 379 | 0 | 0 | 26,110 | 610,673 |
| 09 | 30,097 | 254,581 | 1,519 | 82,819 | 14,793 | 319,303 | 672 | 1,051 | 0 | 0 | 47,081 | 657,754 |
| 10 | 128,121 | 382,702 | 3,061 | 85,880 | 22,801 | 342,104 | 2,340 | 3,391 | 426 | 426 | 156,749 | 814,503 |
| 11 | 22,288 | 404,990 | 1,496 | 87,376 | 6,060 | 348,164 | 335 | 3,726 | 125 | 551 | 30,304 | 844,807 |
| 12 | 11,051 | 416,041 | 1,026 | 88,402 | 3,270 | 351,434 | 268 | 3,994 | 112 | 663 | 15,727 | 860,534 |
| 13 | 8,748 | 424,789 | 932 | 89,334 | 2,667 | 354,101 | 256 | 4,250 | 96 | 759 | 12,699 | 873,233 |
| 14 | 6,121 | 430,910 | 764 | 90,098 | 2,369 | 356,470 | 262 | 4,512 | 155 | 914 | 9,671 | 882,904 |
| 15 | 2,858 | 433,768 | 411 | 90,509 | 1,117 | 357,587 | 151 | 4,663 | 81 | 995 | 4,618 | 887,522 |
| 16 | 3,451 | 437,219 | 461 | 90,970 | 1,340 | 358,927 | 172 | 4,835 | 103 | 1,098 | 5,527 | 893,049 |
| 17 | 14,088 | 451,307 | 1,016 | 91,986 | 5,197 | 364,124 | 194 | 5,029 | 142 | 1,240 | 20,637 | 913,686 |
| 18 | 11,342 | 462,649 | 693 | 92,679 | 2,675 | 366,799 | 168 | 5,197 | 566 | 1,806 | 15,444 | 929,130 |
| 19 | 5,247 | 467,896 | 295 | 92,974 | 900 | 367,699 | 562 | 5,759 | 546 | 2,352 | 7,550 | 936,680 |
| 20 | 4,015 | 471,911 | 365 | 93,339 | 750 | 368,449 | 570 | 6,329 | 458 | 2,810 | 6,158 | 942,838 |
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* An additional 641 whitefish and 2,360 other fish were counted passing the sonar site in 1994.

Table 27. Comparison of daily sockeye salmon escapement estimates by tower count, aerial survey and river test fishing enumeration methods, Kvichak River, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Tower Count |  | Aerial Survey | River Test Fishing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Total | Fish per Index Pt. 1 | Index Points |  | Cumulative Escapement |
|  |  |  |  |  | Daily | Cum. |  |
| $6 / 23$ | 42 | 42 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 24 | 66 |  | 108 | 3 | 3 | 0 |
| 25 | 78 | 144 |  | 108 | 211 | 214 | 23,112 |
| 26 | 738 | 882 |  | 108 | 16 | 230 | 24,840 |
| 27 | 6,744 | 7,626 |  | 108 | 0 | 230 | 24,840 |
| 28 | 16,254 | 23,880 |  | 108 | 4 | 234 | 25,272 |
| 29 | 762 | 24,642 |  | 108 | 158 | 392 | 42,336 |
| 30 | 306 | 24,948 |  | 108 | 228 | 620 | 66,960 |
| $7 / 1$ | 504 | 25,452 |  | 109 | 792 | 1412 | 153,908 |
| 2 | 3,630 | 29,082 | 65,000 | 108 | 10523 | 11935 | 1,288,980 |
| 3 | 224,208 | 253,290 | 1,100,000 | 108 | 9908 | 21843 | 2,359,044 |
| 4 | 1,295,892 | 1,549,182 | 1,800,000 | 129 | 3685 | 25528 | 3,293,112 |
| 5 | 1,176,840 | 2,726,022 | 1,300,000 | 116 | 4843 | 30371 | 3,523,036 |
| 6 | 790,896 | 3,516,918 | 1,050,000 | 128 | 5365 | 35736 | 4,574,208 |
| 7 | 754,686 | 4,271,604 | 1,350,000 | 141 | 1312 | 37048 | 5,223,768 |
| 8 | 859,236 | 5,130,840 | 1,000,000 | 145 | 6258 | 43306 | 6,279,370 |
| 9 | 689,646 | 5,820,486 | 1,300,000 | 137 | 5042. | 48348 | 6,623,676 |
| 10 | 652,626 | 6,473,112 |  | 143 | 2038 | 50386 | 7,205,198 |
| 11 | 584,610 | 7,057,722 |  | 144 | 755 | 51141 | 7,364,304 |
| 12 | 209.814 | 7,267,536 |  | 144 | 755 | 51896 | 7,473,024 |
| 13 | 62,394 | 7,329,930 |  | 143 | 1073 | 52969 | 7,574,567 |
| 14 | 52,074 | 7,382,004 | 145,000 | 143 | 322 | 53291 | .7;620,613 |
| 15 | 113,484 | 7,495,488 |  | 142 | 2119 | 55410 | 7,868,220 |
| 16 | 44,664 | 7,540,152 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 90,924 | 7,631,076 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 220,458 | 7,851,534 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 247,620 | 8,099,154 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 69.474 | 8,168,628 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 24,558 | 8,193,186 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 72,204 | 8,205,450 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 72,390 | 8,337,840 |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 8,337,840 | , " |  |  | 55,410 | 7,868,220 |

Fish per index point was based on lag time and/or catchability factors.

Table 28. Comparison of daily sockeye salmon escapement estimates by tower count, aerial survey, and river test fishing enumeration methods, in thousands of fish, Egegik River, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Tower Count |  | Aerial Survey |  | River Test Fishing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Fish per | Index | oints | Cumulative |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Lagoon | Total | Index Pt. 1 | Daily | Cum. | Escapement |
| 6/14 |  |  | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 47 | 47 | 3 |
| 16 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 23 | 70 | 5 |
| 17 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 136 | 206 | 15 |
| 18 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 79 | 285 | 21 |
| 19 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 68 | 353 | 26 |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | 73 | 136 | 489 | 36 |
| 21 |  | 0 |  |  | 73 | 341 | 830 | 61 |
| 22 | 1 | 1 |  |  | 73 | 326 | 1,156 | 84 |
| 23 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 9 | 73 | 175 | 1,331 | 97 |
| 24 | 5 | 6 |  |  | 73 | 45 | 1,376 | 100 |
| 25 | 32 | 38 | 22 | 22 | 73 | 634 | 2,010 | 147 |
| 26 | 71 | 109 |  |  | 82 | 45 | 2,055 | 169 |
| 27 | 17 | 126 | 3 | 3 | 95 | 532 | 2,587 | 246 |
| 28 | 11 | 137 |  |  | 68 | 71 | 2,658 | 181 |
| 29 | 6 | 143 |  |  | 71 | 156 | 2,814 | 200 |
| 30 | 35 | 178 | 15 | 15 | 68 | 891 | 3,705 | 252 |
| $7 / 01$ | 31 | 209 |  |  | 81 | 39 | 3,744 | 303 |
| 02 | 5 | 214 | 1 | 1 | 76 | 239 | 3,983 | 303 |
| 03 | 29 | 243 | 53 | 278 | 86 | 1,573 | 5,556 | 478 |
| 04 | 222 | 465 | 148 | 170 | 116 | 200 | 5,756 | 668 |
| 05 | 185 | 650 | 77 | 174 | 112 | 2,048 | 7,804 | 874 |
| 06 | 179 | 829 | 75 | 75 | 106 | 881 | 8,685 | 921 |
| 07 | 180 | 1,009 | 75 | 155 | 119 | 974 | 9,659 | 1,149 |
| 08 | 151 | 1,160 | 55 | 55 | 126 | 129 | 9,788 | 1,233 |
| 09 | 177 | 1,337 |  |  | 142 | 848 | 10,636 | 1,510 |
| 10 | 79 | 1,416 | 20 | 21 | 147 | 1,105 | 11,741 | 1,726 |
| . 11 | 106 | 1,522 |  |  | 138 | 179 | 11,920 | 1,645 |
| 12 | 100 | 1,622 | 18 | 18 | 137 | 857 | 12,777 | 1,750 |
| 13 | 42 | 1,664 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 14 | 43 | 1,707 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 15 | 50 | 1,757 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 23 | 1,780 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 17 | 22 | 1,802 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 46 | 1,848 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 21 | 1,869 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 12 | 1,881 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 15 | 1,896 |  |  | , |  |  |  |
| 22 b | 4 | 1,900 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 1,900 |  |  | 134. |  |  | 1.750 |

1 The 1985-93 mean fish per index point relationship ( 73 fpi) was used until June 26 when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.
a Calculated using the tower count through July 14 allowing for a 2-day lag between inside test passage and tower passage.
b The USFWS took over counting duties beginning at 0001 hours July 22 and counted through 2400 hours September 11 enumerating an additional 69,798 sockeye. That brought the season's total sockeye count past Egegik Tower to 1,967,730 fish.

Table 29. Comparison of daily sockeye salmon escapement estimates by tower count, aerial survey, and river test fishing enumeration methods, in thousands of fish, Ugashik River, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Tower Count |  | Aerial Survey |  | River Test Fishing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Lagoon | Total | Fish per Index Pt. 1 | Index Points |  | Cumulative Escapement |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Daily | Cum. |  |
| 6/24 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 15 | 15 | 1 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 22 | 37 | 2 |
| 27 |  |  | b | b | 53 | 14 | 51 | 3 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 20 | 71 | 4 |
| 29 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 6 | 77 | 4 |
| 30 |  |  | 1 | 1 | 53 | 14 | 91 | 5 |
| 7101 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 14 | 105 | 6 |
| 02 |  | 0 |  |  | 53 | 21 | 126 | 7 |
| 03 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 53 | 13 | 139 | 7 |
| 04 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 53 | 0 | 139 | 7 |
| 05 | 0 | 1 |  |  | 53 | 8 | 147 | 8 |
| 06 | 0 | 1 | b | b | 53 | 5 | 152 | 8 |
| 07 | 0 | 2 | b | b | 53 | 71 | 223 | 12 |
| 08 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 53 | 165 | 388 | 21 |
| 09 | 5 | 7 | 10 | 66 | 15 | 1,322 | 1,710 | 26 |
| 10 | 102 | 109 |  |  | 90 | 1,545 | 3,255 | 293 |
| 11 | 205 | 314 |  |  | 90 | 1,229 | 4,484 | 404 |
| 12 | 207 | 521 | 8 | 12 | 137 | 656 | 5,140 | 704 |
| 13 | 50 | 571 |  |  | 119 | 830 | 5,970 | 710 |
| 14 | 24 | 595 | 1 | 1 | 99 | 376 | 6,346 | 628 |
| 15 | 31 | 626 |  |  | 98 | 1,020 | 7,366 | 722. |
| 16 | 63 | 689 |  |  | 93 | 357 | 7,723 | 718 |
| 17 | 38 | 727 |  |  | 94 | 343 | 8,066 | 758 |
| 18 | 39 | 766 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 56 | 822 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 20 | 89 | 911 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 100 | 1,011 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 20 | 1,031 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 13 | 1,044 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 8 | 1,052 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 25 | 6 | 1,058 | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 3 | 1,061 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 5 | 1,066 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 28 | 13 | 1,079 |  | $\because$ |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 1,081 |  |  | 95 a |  |  |  |

1 The 1985-93 mean fish per index point relationship ( 53 fpi ) was used until July 9 when lag-time relationships appeared to be more accurate.
a Calculated using the tower count through July 18 allowing for a 1-day lag between inside test and tower passage.
b Less than 500 fish.

Table 30. Inseason comparison of ocean age composition of sockeye salmon escapement using length frequency and scale analysis methods, Wood River, Bristol Bay, 1994.

|  | 2-Ocean (\%) <br> Length <br> Frequency | Scales 1 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |

[^7]Table 31. Comparison of daily sockeye salmon escapement estimates by tower and aerial survey enumeration methods, in thousands of fish, Wood River, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Tower Count |  | Aenial Surveys, |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | Number | Visibility | Comments |
| 6/19 | : | 2 |  |  |  |
| 20 | : | . |  |  |  |
| 21 | - | 2 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1 | 2 | 0 | Fair | - |
| 24 | : | 2 |  |  |  |
| 25 | 1 | 4 | 0 | Good |  |
| 26 | 3 | 7 | - | Good |  |
| 27 | 3 | 10 | : | Good-Exc |  |
| 28 | 2 | 12 | 0 | Good | Below Muklulng only |
| 29 | 1 | 14 | 0 |  | Lower river only |
| 30 | 1 | 15 | - | Good | 150 schooled mouth of Silver Salmon |
| $7 / 01$ | 6 | 21 | : | Poor | 150 schooled mouth of Silver Satmon |
| 02 | 38 | 58 | 4 | Fair | 2 jumpers, 1 finner in lower river |
| 03 | 66 | 124 | 10 | Poor-Fair | 2 jumpers, fish visible in lower river |
| 04 | 62 | 186 | 1 | Poor |  |
| 05 | 41 | 227 | 1 | Fair-Poor |  |
| 06 | 65 | 292 | 0 | Fair |  |
| 07 | 34 | 326 | 3 | Exc |  |
| 08 | 21 | 347 | : | Fair |  |
| 09 | 302 | 649 |  |  |  |
| 10 | 347 | 996 | 25 | Poor | Continuous on right bank, 6-7 wide |
| 11 | 113 | 1,109 |  |  |  |
| 12 | 35 | 1,145 |  |  | - -. |
| 13 | 14 | 1.159 |  |  |  |
| 14 | 15 | 1,174 |  |  |  |
| 15 | 13 | 1,187 |  |  |  |
| 16 | 65 | 1,251 |  |  |  |
| 17 | 74 | 1,325 |  |  |  |
| 18 | 39 | 1,364 |  |  |  |
| 19 | 18 | 1,383 |  |  |  |
| 20 | 16 | 1,399 |  |  |  |
| 21 | 17 | 1,416 |  |  |  |
| 22 | 29 | 1,445 |  |  |  |
| 23 | 15 | 1,460 |  |  |  |
| 24 | 12 | 1,472 |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 1,472 |  |  |  |

Estimated number of fish in clear water below the counting tower at the time of the survey.
Less than 500 fish.

Table 32. Companson of daily sockeye salmon escapement estimates by tower, aerial survey and river test fishing enumeration methods, in thousands of fish, Igushik River, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Tower Count |  | Aerial Surveys. |  |  |  |  | River Test Fishing |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | Lower River | Lagoon | Upper River | Total | Visibility | Fish per Index Pt. 1 | Index Points |  | Cumulative Escapement |
|  | Daily | Cum. |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daily | Cum. |  |
| $6 / 17$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 | 2 | 2 | 0 |
| $6 / 19$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| 6120 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 | 0 | 2 | 0 |
| $6 \% 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 187 | 10 | 12 | 2 |
| 6122 | - | * |  |  |  |  |  | 187 | 0 | 12 | 2 |
| 6/23 | . | , | - | 0 | - | - | Good | 187 | 0 | 12 | 2 |
| 6/24 | - | - |  |  |  |  |  | 187 | 4 | 16 | 3 |
| 6125 | , | 1 | : | 0 | - | - | Fair | 187 | 6 | 22 | 4 |
| $6 / 26$ | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  | 143 | 2 | 24 | 3 |
| 6/27 | 1 | 3 | - | * | - | - | Exc | 215 | 9 | 33 | 7 |
| $6 / 28$ | 3 | 5 | 0 | , | , | , | Good | 424 | 0 | 33 | 14 |
| 6/29 | 3 | 8 | , | , | 1 | 1 | Exc | 664 | 0 | 33 | 22 |
| 6/30 | 2 | 10 | . | , | - | 1 | Exc | 454 | 2 | 35 | 16 |
| 7101 | 2 | 12 |  |  |  |  |  | 730 | 35 | 70 | 51 |
| $7 / 02$ | 5 | 17 |  |  |  |  |  | 497 | 278 | 348 | 173 |
| 7/03 | 3 | 20 | - | * | * | 1 | Fair-Poor | 587 | 48 | 396 | 232 |
| 7104 | 13 | 33 |  |  |  |  |  | 535 | 38 | 434 | 232 |
| 7105 | 16 | 48 | * | - | 2 | 3 | $\checkmark$ Poor | 684 | 13 | 447 | 306 |
| 7106 | 11 | 60 |  |  |  |  |  | 513 | 4 | 451 | 231 |
| $7 / 07$ | 14 | 73 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 3 | Good | 236 | 8 | 459 | 108 |
| 7/08 | 6 | 79 |  |  |  |  |  | 350 | 197 | 656 | 230 |
| $7 / 09$ | 8 | 88 |  |  |  |  |  | 194 | 1,102 | 1,758 | 341 |
| 7/10 | 21 | 109 | - | 0 | 1 | 1 | $\checkmark$ Poor | 166 | 252 | 2,010 | 334 |
| 7/11 | 52 | 161 |  |  |  |  |  | 115 | 257 | 2,267 | 261 |
| 7/12 | 46 | 207 |  |  |  |  |  | 106 | 50 | 2,317 | 246 |
| 7/13 | 40 | 246 |  |  |  |  |  | 108 | 23 | 2,340 | 253 |
| 7/14 | 38 | 285 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/15 | 17 | 301 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/16 | 9 | 310 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/17 | 14 | 324 |  | - . - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/48 | 23 | 347 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/19 | 23 | 369 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/20 | 18 | 388 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/21 | 11 | 399 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/22 | 10 | 409 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/23 | 7 | 417 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/24 | 7 | 424 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 25$ | 10 | 434 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/26 | 7 | 441 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/27 | 5 | 446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

1 Estimated number of fish in clear water below the counting tower at the time of the survey.
z The 1988-1989, 1991-1993 mean fish per index point relationshpp ( 187 fpi ) was used until June 26 when lag-time relationships began to prove more accurate.

- Less than 500 fish.

Table 33. Comparison of daily sockeye saimon escapement estimates by tower and aerial survey enumeration methods, in thousands of fish, Togiak River, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Date | Tower Count |  | Aerial Surveys: |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Daily | Cum. | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Togiak } \\ & \text { to Gech } \end{aligned}$ | Gechiak to Ongi. | Ongivinuck to tower | Total | Visibility | Comments |
| 6/28 |  |  | 140 | 80 | 0 | 220 | Poor | Mixed chum and sockeye |
| $7 / 03$ |  |  | 160 | 480 | 300 | 940 | Good | Mixed chum and sockeye |
| $7 / 04$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | . |  |
| $7 / 05$ | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 06$ | 0 | 0 | 2,075 | 1,340 | 180 | 3,595 | Exc | Mixed chum and sockeye |
| $7 / 07$ | : | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7108 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7109 | 1 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 10$ | , | 2 | 6,540 | 1,960 | 440 | 8,940 | Fair | Mixed chum and sockeye |
| $7 / 11$ | 1 | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 12$ | 2 | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 13$ | 3 | 7 | 12,800 | 5,205 | 3,770 | 8,975 | Fair-Good | Mixed chum and sockeye |
| $7 / 14$ | 6 | 14 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 15$ | 8 | 21 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/16 | 5 | 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/17 | 5 | 31 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/18 | 4 | 35 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/19 | 3 | 37 | 13,200 | 17,000 | 4,100 | 34,300 | Fair | Mixed chum and sockeye |
| 7/20 | 6 | 43 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/21 | 11 | 54 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/22 | 9 | 62 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/23 | 6 | 68 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/24 | 3 | 71 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $7 / 25$ | 4 | 76 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/26 | 5 | 81 | 300 | 7.800 | 3,800 | 11,900 | Fair-Poor | Includes traveling sockeye only. |
| $7 / 27$ | 9 | 90 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/28 | 16 | 105 |  |  |  |  |  | . - |
| 7/29 | 9 | 114 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 7/30 | 6 | 120 |  |  |  | . |  |  |
| 7/31 | 5 | 125 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/01 | 4 | 129 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/02 | 4 | 133 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8 / 03$ | 2 | 135 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8 / 04$ | 3 | 138 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/05 | 5 | 143 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/06 | 6 | 148 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $8 / 07$ | 3 | 151 | , |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8/08 | 2 | 154 |  |  |  |  |  | . |
| 8/09 | 1 | 155 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total |  | 155 |  | , |  |  |  |  |

1 Unexpanded counts of fish in clear water index areas immediately below the counting
tower at the time of the survey.

- Less than 500 fish.

Table 34. Comercial salmon processors and buyers operating in Eristol Eay, 1994.4

| Name of Operator/Euyer | Base of Operations | District ${ }^{1}$ | Method ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ | Expore |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1. AK Pacific Products | Egegik, AK | E | F, S | Sea |
| 2. Alaskan Gourmet Sfds. | Anchorage, $A K$ | N | S |  |
| 3. Alaskan Leader | Kodiak, AK | K, E, U, N, T | C | Sea |
| 4. Alaskan Shores Fish | Seattle, WA | E | F | Sea |
| 5. All Alaskan Seafoods | Seattle, WA | K, E, U, N, T | F | Sea |
| 6. Arctic Alaska Fish. | Dutch Harbor, AK | K, E, U | F, T | Sea |
| 7. Bering Pacific Co-op | Everett, WA | K, E, U | F | Sea |
| 8. Big Creek Fish, Inc. | Warden, WA | E | F | Sea |
| 9. C Fisheries | Seattle, Wh | K, E, U | F | Sea |
| 10. Clark's Fish Co. | Cathlamet, WA | E | $E F$ | ing |
| 11. Dragnet Fisheries Co. | Kenai, AK' | K, E, U, N, T | F | Sea |
| 12. Full Moon Fisheries | Fairbanks, AK | U | EF | Air |
| 13. Icicle Seafoods | Seattle, WA | $K, E, U, N$ | EF, F, T | Air, Sea |
| 14. Inlet Fisheries | Kenaj, $A K$ | K, E, U, N, T | $E F, F$ | Nir, Sea |
| 15. International Seaf. | Seattle, WA | E | EF, F | Air, Sea |
| 16. J-N-R Fish | Kenai. AK | $E$ | $F$ | Sea |
| 17. King Crab | Seattle, WA | K, E, U, N | C, EF, F, T | Sea |
| 18. Nelbro Packing Co. | Kenmore, WA | K. E | C | Sea |
| 19. New West Fish, Inc. | Bellingham, WA | K, E, U | $F$ | Sea |
| 20. North Alaska Fish. | Wasilla, AK | T |  | Air |
| 21. North Coast Seafoods | Seattle, WA | $K, E, U, N, T$ | F | Sea |
| 22. NorQuest Seafoods | Seattle, WA | K, E, U | $F$ | Sea |
| 23. Oceantrawl Inc. | Seattle, WA | K, E,U, | F, S | Sea |
| 24. Pan Pacific Seafoods | Seattle, WA | K, E, U | F | Sea |
| 25. Pederson Point | Seatele, WA | K, E | F, T | Sea |
| 26. Peter Pan Seafoods | Seatele, WA | K, E, U, N, T | C, EF, F, S, T | Air, Sea |
| 27. Quality First Sfds. | King Salmon, AK | K, E, U | $E F, F, T$ | Sea |
| 28. Regal Fish | Seattle, WA | E, U, | F | Sea |
| 29. Snopac Products | Seattle, WA | K, E, U | F, T | Sea |
| 30. Togiak Fisheries | Seatcle, WA | T | $E F, F$ | Air, Sea |
| 31. Trident Seafoods | Seattle, WA | K, E, U, N | $C$ | Sea |
| 32. Ugashik Wild Salmon | Anchorage, $A K$ | U | C. EF |  |
| 33. Unisea, Inc. | Redmond, WA | K, E, U, N | EF, F | Sea |
| 34. Wards Cove Co. | Seattle, WA | K, E,N,T | $C, E F, F, T$ | スir, Sea |
| 35. Woodbine | Rio Vista, CA | K, E, U, N, T | $C, E F, F$ | sea |
| 36. YAK, Inc. | Seattle, WA | K, E, U | $F, T$ | sea |

Number of processors: Canning $=6$; Freezing $=29$; Curing $=3$; Air transport $=9$; Sea eransport $=11$

- Indicates operators with either a phvsical plant or processing facility in a district or those operators from other areas buying fish and/or providing tender and support service for fisheraen in districes away from the facility.
; K=Naknek-Kvichak; E=Egegik; U=Ugashik; N=Nushagak; T=Togiak.
3 Type of processing: c=canned; ef=export fresh; f=frozen; s=cured: t=tendered.

Table 35. Mean round weight, price per pound, and total exvessel value of the commercial salmon catch, Bristol Bay, 1994.a

| Species | Total Catch <br> (lbs.) | Mean Weight <br> (lbs.) | Mean Price <br> $(\$ / \mathrm{b})$. | Exvessel Value <br> $(\$)$ |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Sockeye | $196,566,371$ | 5.54 | .70 | $138,007,308$ |
| Chinook | $2,533,795$ | 18.03 | .47 | $1,190,025$ |
| Chum | $4,639,606$ | 6.47 | .22 | $1,042,607$ |
| Pink | 339,885 | 3.73 | .04 | 14,936 |
| Coho | $1,454,389$ | 8.18 | .45 | 650,497 |
| Total | $205,534,046$ |  |  | $140,905,373$ |

a Data is preliminary and is extracted from "Bristol Bay Final Operations Reports" (BB-CF/303). Price information reflects on-ground values; price changes and bonuses may occur later.

Table 36. Subsistence salmon catch by species, in number of fish, by district and location fished, Bristol Bay, 1994.4

|  | Permits <br> Issued | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Area and River System |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

- Harvests are extrapolated for all permits issued, based on those returned
- Harvest estimates are based on the area fished, as first recorced on the permit.

1 Includes Mile 5 North, Naknet Beach-North, Naknek River General, Naknek Kvichak Commercial, Powerline-North,
Noth and South Savonoski Fouth Naknek Reach, and Telephone Point-North
= Includes Egegik village and veach
3 Includes Pilot Point and Ugashik
4 Includes Dragnet, Red Bluff, Hansen Point, Aleknagik Area, Muklung River, and Upper and Lower Wood River General , iliciudes Black Poirl, Grassy Isiand, and Lewis Point.

- Includes Ekwok Area, Kokwok River, New Stuyahok Area, Koliganek Area, and the Portage Creek Area.

7 inciudes Bradford Point, icicle, Kanakanak, Scandanavia, Snag Point, and Squaw Creek.
\& Includes Clark's Point, Coffee Point, Ekuk, Nushagak Point, Protection Point, and Queen's Slough.
, Includes Togiak village and Togiak River.

# BRISTOL BAY SALMON FISHERY 

## Appendix Tables 1-41

Appendix Table 1. Escapement goals and actual counts of sockeye salmon by river system, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Kvichak River |  |  |  |  | Naknek River |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Point | Range |  |  | PercentDeviation' | Point Goal | Range |  | Actual | PercentDeviation' |
|  | Goal | Lower | Upper | Actual |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| 1974 | 6,000 |  |  | 4,434 | (26) | 800 |  |  | 1,241 | 55 |
| 1975 | 14,000 |  |  | 13,140 | (6) | 800 |  |  | 2,027 | 153 |
| 1976 | 2,000 |  |  | 1,965 | (2) | 800 |  |  | 1,321 | 65 |
| 1977 | 2,000 |  |  | 1,341 | (33) | 800 |  |  | 1,086 | 36 |
| 1978 | 2,000 |  |  | 4,149 | 107 | 800 |  |  | 813 | 2 |
| 1979 | 6,000 |  |  | 11,218 | 87 | 800 |  |  | 925 | 16 |
| 1980 | 14,000 |  |  | 22,505 | 61 | 800 |  |  | 2,645 | 231 |
| 1981 | 2,000 |  |  | 1,754 | (12) | 800 |  |  | 1.796 | 125 |
| 1982 | 2,000 |  |  | 1,135 | (43) | 800 |  |  | 1.156 | 45 |
| 1983 | 2,000 |  |  | 3,570 | 79 | 800 |  |  | 888 | 11 |
| 1984 | 10,000 | 8,000 | 12,000 | 10,491 | 5 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1,242 | 24 |
| 1985 | 10,000 | 8,000 | 12,000 | 7,211 | (28) | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1,850 | 85 |
| 1986 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 6,000 | 1,179 | (76) | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1,978 | 98 |
| 1987 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 6,000 | 6,066 | 21 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1,062 | 6 |
| 1988 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 6,000 | 4,065 | (19) | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1,038 | 4 |
| 1989 | 8,000 | 6,000 | 10,000 | 8,318 | 4 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1.612 | 61 |
| 1990 | 6,000 | 6,000 | 10,000 | 6,970 | 16 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 2,093 | 109 |
| 1991 | 4,000 | 4,000 | 8,000 | 4,223 | 6 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 3,579 | 258. |
| 1992 | 6,000 | 4,000 | 8,000 | 4,726 | (21) | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1,607 | 61 |
| 1993 | 5,000 | 4,000 | 8,000 | 4,025 | (20) | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1,536 | 54 |
| 20 yr Avg. <br> 1974-83 | 5,800 5,200 |  |  | 6,124 6,521 | 5 21 | 900 800 |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & 1,575 \\ & 1,390 \end{aligned}$ | 75 |
| 1984-93 | 6,400 | 5,200 | 8,600 | 5,727 | (11) | 1.000 | 800 | 1,400 | 1,760 | 76 |
| 1994 | 8,000 | 6,000 | 10,000 | 8,338 | 4 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,400 | 991 | (1) |
|  | Egegik River |  |  |  |  | Ugashik River |  |  |  |  |
|  | Point |  |  |  | Percent | Point |  |  |  | Percent |
| Year | Goal | Lower | Upper | Actual | Deviation ${ }^{1}$ | Goal | Lower | Upper | Actual | Deviation ${ }^{\prime}$ |
| 1974 | 600 |  |  | 1,276 | 113 | 500 |  |  | 62 | (88) |
| 1975 | 600 |  |  | 1,174 | 96 | 500 |  |  | 429 | (14) |
| 1976 | 600 |  |  | 509 | (15) | 500 |  |  | 342 | (32) |
| 1977 | 600 |  |  | 693 | 16 | 500 |  |  | 201 | (60) |
| 1978 | 600 |  |  | 896 | 49 | 500 |  |  | 70 | (86) |
| 1979 | 600 |  |  | 1,032 | 72 | 500 |  |  | 1,701 | 240 |
| 1980 | 600 |  |  | 1.061 | 77 | 500 |  |  | 3,321 | 564 |
| 1981 | 600 |  |  | 695 | 16 | 500 |  |  | 1,327 | 165 |
| 1982 | 600 |  |  | 1,035 | 73 | 500 |  |  | 1,158 | 132 |
| 1983 | 600 |  |  | 792 | 32 | 500 |  |  | 1,001 | 100 |
| 1984 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,165 | 17 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 1,241 | 77 |
| 1985 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,095 | 10 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 998 | 43 |
| 1986 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,151 | 15 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 1,001 | 43 |
| 1987 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,273 | 27 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 669 | (4) |
| 1988 | 1.000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,613 | 61 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 643 | (8) |
| 1989 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,611 | 61 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 1,681 | 140 |
| 1990 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 2,191 | 119 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 730 | 4 |
| 1991 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 2,787 | 179 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 2,457 | 251 |
| 1992 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,945 | 95 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 2,174 | 211 |
| 1993 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,517 | 52 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 1,390 | 99 |
| 20 yr avg | 800 |  |  | 1,276 | 58 | 600 |  |  | 1,130 | 89 |
| 1974-83 | 600 |  |  | 916 | 53 | 500 |  |  | 961 | 92 |
| 1984-93 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,635 | 63 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 1,298 | 85 |
| 1994 | 1.000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,968 | 97 | 700 | 500 | 900 | 1,081 | 54 |

Appendix Table 1. (Page 2 of 2)

| ' Year | Wood River |  |  |  |  | Igushik River |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Point | Range |  |  | Percent Deviation ${ }^{\prime}$ | Point Goal | Range |  | Actual | Percent Deviation |
|  | Goal | Lower | Upper | Actual |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| 1974 | 800 |  |  | 1,709 | 114 | 150 |  |  | 359 | 139 |
| 1975 | 800 |  |  | 1,270 | 59 | 150 |  |  | 241 | 61 |
| 1976 | 800 |  |  | 817 | 2 | 150 |  |  | 186 | 24 |
| 1977 | 800 |  |  | 562 | (30) | 150 |  |  | 96 | (36) |
| 1978 | 800 |  |  | 2,267 | 183 | 150 |  |  | 536 | 257 |
| 1979 | 800 |  |  | 1,706 | 113 | 150 |  |  | 860 | 473 |
| 1980 | 800 |  |  | 2,969 | 271 | 150 |  |  | 1,988 | 1,225 |
| 1981 | 800 |  |  | 1,233 | 54 | 150 |  |  | 591 | 294 |
| 1982 | 800 |  |  | 976 | 22 | 150 |  |  | 424 | 183 |
| 1983 | 1,000 |  |  | 1,361 | 36 | 200 |  |  | 180 | (10) |
| 1984 | 1,000 | 700 | 1,200 | 1,003 | 0 | 200 | 150 | 250 | 185 | (8) |
| 1985 | 1,000 | 700 | 1,200 | 939 | (6) | 200 | 150 | 250 | 212 | 6 |
| 1986 | 800 | 700 | 1,200 | 819 | 2 | 200 | 150 | 250 | 308 | 54 |
| 1987 | 1,200 | 800 | 1,200 | 1,337 | 11 | 200 | 140 | 250 | 169 | (16) |
| 1988 | 800 | 800 | 1,200 | 867 | 8 | 200 | 140 | 250 | 170 | (15) |
| 1989 | 1,000 | 800 | 1,200 | 1.186 | 19 | 200 | 150 | 250 | 462 | 131 |
| 1990 | 1,000 | 700 | 1,200 | 1,069 | 7 | 200 | 150 | 250 | 366 | 83 |
| 1991 | 1,000 | 700 | 1,200 | 1,160 | 16 | 200 | 150 | 250 | 756 | 278 |
| 1992 | 1,000 | 700 | 1,200 | 1,286 | 29 | 200 | 150 | 250 | 305 | 53 |
| 1993 | 1,000 | 700 | 1,200 | 1,176 | 18 | 200 | 150 | 250 | 406 | 103 |
| 20 yr avg | 900 |  |  | 1,286 | 46 | 178 |  |  | 440 | 164 |
| 1974-83 | 820 |  |  | 1,487 | 82 | 155 |  |  | 546 | 261 |
| 1984-93 | 980 | 730 | 1,200 | 1,084 | 10 | 200 | 148 | 250 | 334 | 67 |
| 1994 | 1,000 | 700 | 1,200 | 1,472 | 47 | 200 | 150 | 250 | 446 | 123 |


| ' Year | Nushagak River ${ }^{\text {2 }}$ |  |  |  |  | Togiak River |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Poini | Range |  |  | Percent Deviation ${ }^{1}$ | Point Goal | Range |  | Actual | Percent Deviation |
|  | Goal | Lower | Upper | Actual |  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |
| 1974 | 250 |  |  | 155 | (38) | 100 |  |  | 83 | (17) |
| 1975 | 250 |  |  | 670 | 168 | 100 |  |  | 161 | 61 |
| 1976 | 250 |  |  | 425 | 70 | 100 |  |  | 158 | 58 |
| 1977 | 250 |  |  | 233 | (7) | 100 |  |  | 134 | 34 |
| 1978 | 250 |  |  | 577 | 131 | 100 |  |  | 274 | 174 |
| 1979 | 250 |  |  | 360 | 44 | 100 |  |  | 171 | 71 |
| 1980 | 250 |  |  | 3,027 | 1,111 | 100 |  |  | 462 | 362 |
| 1981 | 250 |  |  | 834 | 234 | 100 |  |  | 208 | 108 |
| 1982 | 250 |  |  | 538 | 115 | 100 |  |  | 245 | 145 |
| 1983 | 300 |  |  | 319 | 6 | 100 |  |  | 192 | 92 |
| 1984 | 500 | 300 | 700 | 473 | (5) | 150 | 140 | 250 | 95 | (37) |
| 1985 | 500 | 300 | 700 | 429 | (14) | 150 | 140 | 250 | 137 | (9) |
| 1986 | 500 | 300 | 700 | 822 | 64 | 150 | 140 | 250 | 168 | 12 |
| 1987 | 500 | 300 | 700 | 163 | (67) | 150 | 100 | 200 | 250 | 67 |
| 1988 | 500 | 300 | 700 | 320 | (36) | 150 | 100 | 200 | 277 | 85 |
| 1989 | 500 | 300 | 700 | 513 | $\because 3$ | 150 | 100 | 200 | 84 | (44) |
| 1990 | 500 | 340 | 760 | 680 | 36 | 150 | 140 | 250 | 142 | (5) |
| 1991 | 500 | 340 | 760 | 493. | (1) | 150 | 140 | 250 | 255 | 70 |
| 1992 | 550 | 340 | 760 | 695 | 26 | 150 | 140 | 250 | 199 | 33 |
| 1993 | 550 | 340 | 760 | 715 | 30 | 150 | 140 | 250 | 177 | 18 |
| 20 yr avg | 383 |  |  | 622 | 93 | 125 |  |  | 194 | 64 |
| 1974-83 | 255 |  |  | 714 | 183 | 100 |  |  | 209 | 109 |
| 1984-93 | 510 | 316 | 724 | 530 | 3 | 150 | 128 | 235 | 178 | 19 |
| 1994 | 550 | 340 | 760 | 509 | (7) | 150 | 140 | 250 | 155 | 3 |

[^8]Appendix Table 2. Forecast and inshore chinook salmon return, in thousands of fish, Nushagak District, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Forecast |  |  | Inshore Run |  | Forecast Error (\%) |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Spawner Recuit | Mean Percent | Sibling |  | 1 | Spawner Recruit | Mean Percent | Sibling |
| 1974 | 266 | 164 | 77 | 110 |  | 142 | 49 | -30 |
| 75 | 284 | 131 | 68 | 99 |  | 187 | 32 | -31 |
| 76 | 249 | 126 | 118 | 168 |  | 48 | -25 | -30 |
| 77 | 211 | 107 | 146 | 155 |  | 36 | -31 | -6 |
| 78 | 254 | 105 | 111 | 255 |  | -0 | -59 | -56 |
| 1979 | 348 | 147 | 182 | 261 |  | 33 | -44 | -30 |
| 80 | 329 | 206 | 162 | 218 |  | 51 | -6 | -26 |
| 81 | 339 | 230 | 198 | 355 |  | -5 | -35 | -44 |
| 82 | 319 | 256 | 213 | 354 |  | -10 | -28 | -40 |
| 83 | 322 | 266 | 224 | 311 |  | 4 | -14 | -28 |
| 1984 | 236 | 319 | 165 | 152 |  | 55 | 110 | 9 |
| 85 | 308 | 434 | 162 | 192 |  | 60 | 126 | -16 |
| 86 | 299 | 543 | 168 | 122 |  | 145 | 345 | 38 |
| 87 | 353 | 366 | 125 | 143 |  | 147 | 156 | -13 |
| 88 |  |  | 139 | 84 |  |  |  | 65 |
| 1989 |  |  | 129 | 104 |  |  |  | 24 |
| 90 |  |  | 116 | 91 |  |  |  | 27 |
| 91 | - |  | - 120. | 141 |  |  |  | -15 |
| 92 |  |  | 196 : | 144 |  |  |  | 36 |
| 93 в |  |  | 139 . | 178 |  |  |  | -22 |
| Mean Percent Error |  |  |  |  |  | 64 | 41 | -9 |
| 1994 - |  |  | 151. | 230 c |  |  |  | -34 |

1 Inshore Nushagak River commercial catch, subsistence catch, and escapement (does not include sport harvest).
a Adjusted (reduced) by the average forecast error (-19.35\%) from 1984-92.
b Mean returns were used to predict age 1.1 and age 1.2, other year classes forecast using sibiling data.
c Preliminary
(Sources: 1, 5, 6, 7, and 16)

Appendix Table 3. Salmon entry permit registration by gear and residency, Bristol Bay, 1974-1994.

| Year | Drift Net ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  | Set Net, |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Resident | NonResident |  | Total | Resident |  |  | Total |  |
| $197{ }^{\circ}$ | 634 (634) | 238 | (238) | 872 | 530 (530) | 95 | (95) | 625 | 1,497 |
| 75 | 1,217 (450) | 843 | (194) | 2,060 | 751 (159). | 169 | (45) | 920 | 2,980 |
| 76 | 987 (69) | 734 | (30) | 1,721 | 625 ( 5) | 139 | (0) | 764 | 2,485 |
| 77 | 999 (52) | 729 | (13) | 1,728 | 684 (15) | 156 | (1) | 840 | 2,568 |
| 78 | 1,039 (66) | 738 | (11) | 1,777 | 749 (16) | 161 | (3) | 910 | 2,687 |
| 1979 | 1,046 (73) | 754 | (10) | 1,800 | 764 (19) | 170 | (5) | 934 | 2,734 |
| 80 | 1,060 (92) | 767 | (18) | 1,827 | 760 (29) | 187 | (5) | 947 | 2,774 |
| 81 | 1,056 (89) | 771 | (18) | 1,827 | 754 (37) | 202 | (5) | 956 | 2,783 |
| 82 | 1,050 (85) | 774 | (15) | 1,824 | 744 (36) | 213 | (5) | 957 | 2,781 |
| 83 | 1,071 (79) | 750 | (16) | 1,821 | 740 (33) | 220 | (3) | 960 | 2,781 |
| 1984 | 1,050 (73) | 768 | (16) | 1,818 | 744 (28) | 218 | (3) | 962 | 2,780 |
| 85 | 1,061 (83) | 772 | (13) | 1,833 | 733 (24) | 217 | (4) | 950 | 2,783 |
| 86 | 1,059 (78) | 775 | (17) | 1,834 | 727 (18) | 223 | (4) | 950 | 2,784 |
| $87^{\circ}$ | 1,054 (76) | 782 | (16) | 1,836 | 730 (14) | 220 | (4) | 950 | 2,786 |
| $88^{\text {d }}$ | 1,035 (78) | 802 | (12) | 1,837 | 727 (14) | 222 | (3) | 949 | 2,786 |
| 198 | 1,031 (77) | 830 | (14) | 1,861 | 772 (14) | 235 | (4) | 1,007 | 2,868 |
| 90 | 1,039 (78) | 841 | (15) | 1,880 | 773 (10) | 243 | (5) | 1,016 | 2,896 |
| $91^{\circ}$ | 1,022 (74) | 855 | (14) | 1,877 | 760 ( 8) | 245 | (4) | 1,005 | 2,882 |
| $92^{n}$ | 1,006 (71) | 874 | (15) | 1,880 | -765 (10) | 245 | (5) | 1,010 | 2,890 |
| $93^{\prime}$ | 978 (65) | 901 | (16) | 1,879 | 746 ( 8) | 252 | (0) | 998 | 2,877 |
| 20 Year Ave. | 1,025 | 765 |  | 1,790 | 729 | 202 |  | 931 | 2,720 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 1,016 | 710 |  | 1,726 | 710 | 171 |  | 881 | 2,607 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 1,034 | 820 |  | 1,854 | 748 | 232 |  | 980 | 2,833 |
| 199 ' | 973 (63) | 904 | (14) | 1,877 | 746 (7) | 253 | (0) | 999 | 2,876 |

Allowable gear per license/permit is 150 fathoms for drift and 50 fathoms for set with the following exceptions: 1968 and 1975-75 F. drift and 25 F. set; 1969-125 F. drift; and 1969-125 F. Drift; 1973-25 F. drift and $121 / 2 \mathrm{~F}$. set.

- Total license/permit registration; not all license/permittee's actually fished.
- Limited Entry went into effect. Figures in parenthesis are interim-use permits, and are included in the totals.
c Does not include 2 drift and 11 setnet permits available but not renewed.
- Does not include 1 drift and 8 setnet permits.
- Does not include 5 drift and 20 setnet permits.
, Does not inciude 3 drift and 14 setnet permits.
\& Does not include 4 drift and 20 setnet permits.
n Does not include 4 drift and 14 setnet permits.
- Does not include 7 drift and 18 setnet permits.
;Does not include 7 drift and 15 setnet permits.

Appendix Table 4. Salmon fishing interim-use and permenant entry permits actually fished, by gear type, Bristol Bay, 1975-94

| Year | Permits issued |  |  | Permits Fished |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Interim Use | Permanent | Total | Number | Percent |
|  | Drift Gill Net |  |  |  |  |
| 1975 | 644 | 1,416 | 2,060 | 1,235 | 60\% |
| 76 | 99 | 1,622 | 1,721 | 1,353 | 79\% |
| 77 | 65 | 1,663 | 1,728 | 1,355 | 78\% |
| 78 | 77 | 1,700 | 1,777 | 1,369 | 77\% |
| 79 | 83 | 1,717 | 1,800 | 1,711 | 95\% |
| 1980 | 110 | 1,717 | 1,827 | 1,762 | 96\% |
| 81 | 107 | 1,720 | 1,827 | 1,783 | 98\% |
| 82 | 100 | 1,724 | 1,824 | 1,791 | 98\% |
| 83 | 95 | 1.726 | 1,821 | 1,797 | 99\% |
| 84 | 89 | 1.729 | 1,818 | 1,798 | 99\% |
| 1985 | 95 | 1,738 | 1,833 | 1,813 | 99\% |
| 86 | 91 | 1,743 | 1,834 | 1,800 | 98\% |
| 87 | 91 | 1,745 | 1,836 | 1,799 | 98\% |
| 88 | 88 | 1,749 | 1,837 | 1,839 | 100\% |
| 89 | 91 | 1,770 | 1,861 | 1,860 | 100\% |
| 1990 | 93 | 1,787 | 1,880 |  |  |
| 91 | 88 | 1,789 | 1,877 |  |  |
| 92 | 86 | 1,794 | 1,880 |  |  |
| 93 | 81 | 1,798 | 1,879 |  |  |
| Average | 120 | 1.718 | 1,838 |  |  |
| 1994 . | 77 | 1,800 | 1,877 |  |  |



- Preliminary
(Source: 14)

Appendix Table 5. Sockeye salmon commercial catch by district, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | NaknekKvichak | Egegik | Ugashik | Nushagak | Togiak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 538,163 | 172,253 | 2,151 | 510,571 | 139,341 | 1,362,479 |
| 75 | 3,085,416 | 964,024 | 14,558 | 645,902 | 188,914 | 4,898,814 |
| 76 | 2,547,276 | 1,329,788 | 174,923 | 1,265,422 | 301,883 | 5,619,292 |
| 77 | 2,167,214 | 1,780,567 | 92,623 | 619,025 | 218,451 | 4,877,880 |
| 78 | 5,123,668 | 1,207,294 | 7,995 | 3,137,166 | 452,016 | 9,928,139 |
| 1979 | 14,991,826 | 2,257,332 | 391,11¢ | 3,327,346 | 460,984 | 21,428,606 |
| 80 | 15,120,457 | 2,623,066 | 885,875 | 4,497,787 | 634,561 | 23,761,746 |
| 81 | 10,992,809 | 4,361,406 | 2,116,066 | 7,493,093 | 639,707 | 25,603,081 |
| 82 | 5,005,802 | 2,447,514 | 1,139,192 | 5,916,187 | 595,696 | 15,104,391 |
| 83 | 21,559,372 | 6,755,256 | 3,349,451 | 5,119,744 | 588,208 | 37,372,031 |
| 1984 | 14,546,710 | 5,190,413 | 2,658,376 | 1,992,681 | 322,126 | 24,710,306 |
| 85 | 8,179,093 | 7,537,273 | 6,468,862 | 1,307,889 | 209,766 | 23,702,883 |
| 86 | 2,892,171 | 4,852,935 | 5,002,949 | 2,719,313 | 308,688 | 15,776,056 |
| 87 | 4,986,002 | 5,356,669 | 2,128,652 | 3,254,720 | 342,732 | 16,068,775 |
| 88 | 3,480,836 | 6,456,598 | 1,523,520 | 1,706,716 | 822,087 | 13,989,757 |
| 1989 | 13,809,956 | 8,901,994 | 3,146,239 | 2,788,185 | 88,932 | 28,735,306 |
| 90 | 17,272,224 | 10,371,762 | 2,149,009 | 3,532,543 | 197,589 | 33,523,127 |
| 91 | 10,475,206 | 6,797,166 | 2,945,742 | 5,053,845 | 549,221 | 25,821,180 |
| 92 | 9,395,948 | 15,646,575 | 3,320,966 | 2,789,741 | 726,446 | 31,879,676 |
| 93 | 8,907,876 | 21,600,858 | 4,176,900 | 5,236,557 | 539,933 | 40,462,124 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 8,753,901 | 5,830,537 | 2,084,758 | 3,145,722 | 416,364 | 20,231,282 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 8,113,200 | 2,389,850 | 817,395 | 3,253,224 | 421,976 | 14,995,646 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 9,394,602 | 9,271,224 | 3,352,122 | 3,038,219 | 410,752 | 25,466,919 |
| 1994 : | 16,262,625 | 10,798,450 | 4,369,432 | 3,433,008 | 401,052 | 35,264,567 |

. Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 5)

Appendix Table 6. Chinook salmon commercial catch by district, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | NaknekKvichak | Egegik | Ugashik | Nushagak | Togiak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 480 | 1,133 | 1,200 | 32,053 | 10,798 | 45,664 |
| 75 | 964 | 237 | 111 | 21,454 | 7,226 | 29,992 |
| 76 | 4,064 | 1,138 | 338 | 60,684 | 29,744 | 95,968 |
| 77 | 4,373 | 3,694 | 2,167 | 85,074 | 35,218 | 130,526 |
| 78 | 6,930 | 3,126 | 5,935 | 118,548 | 57,000 | 191,539 |
| 1979 | 10,415 | 5,547 | 9,568 | 157,321 | 30,022 | 212,873 |
| 80 | 7,517 | 5,610 | 4,900 | 64,958 | 12,543 | 95,528 |
| 81 | 11,048 | 5,468 | 3,416 | 193,461 | 23,911 | 237,304 |
| 82 | 12,425 | 4,834 | 7,170 | 195,287 | 33,786 | 253,502 |
| 83 | 8,955 | 4,758 | 9,276 | 137,123 | 38,497 | 198,609 |
| 1984 | 8,972 | 4,680 | 4,767 | 61,378 | 22,179 | 101,976 |
| 85 | 5,697 | 4,015 | 5,840 | 67,783 | 37,106 | 120,441 |
| 86 | 3,188 | 1,883 | 2,982 | 65,783 | 19,880 | 93,716 |
| 87 | 5,175 | 2,959 | 4,065 | 45,983 | 17,217 | 75,399 |
| 88 | 6,538 | 3,103 | 3,444 | 16,648 | 15,606 | 45,339 |
| 1989 | 6,611 | 2,034 | 2,112 | 17,637 | 11,366 | 39,760 |
| 90 | 5,068 | 1,146 | 1,840 | 14,812 | 11,130 | 33,996 |
| 91 | 3,584 | 510 | 589 | 19,718 | 6,039 | 30,440 |
| 92 | 5,724 | 694 | 2,146 | 47,563 | 12,640 | 68,767 |
| 93 | 7,477 | 1,478 | 3,075 | 62,976 | 10,851 | 85,857 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 6,260 | 2,902 | 3,747 | 74,312 | 22,138 | 109,360 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 6,717 | 3,555 | 4,408 | 106,596 | 27,875 | 149,151 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 5,803 | 2,250 | 3,086 | 42,028 | 16,401 | 69,569 |
| 1994* | 6,127 | 1,231 | 3,757 | 118,643 | 10,629 | 140,387 |

- Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 5)

Appendix Table 7. Chum salmon commercial catch by district, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | NaknekKvichak | Egegik | Ugashik | Nushagak | Togiak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 41,347 | 4,022 | 2,334 | 157,941 | 80,710 | 286,354 |
| 75 | 79,740 | 4,094 | 1,634 | 152,891 | 87,058 | 325,417 |
| 76 | 317,550 | 46,955 | 9,924 | 801,064 | 153,559 | 1,329,052 |
| 77 | 340,228 | 83,121 | 4,465 | 899,701 | 270,649 | 1,598,164 |
| 78 | 185,451 | 44,480 | 1,449 | 651,743 | 274,967 | 1,158,090 |
| 1979 | 196,398 | 38,004 | 12,174 | 440,279 | 219,942 | 906,797 |
| 80 | 204,515 | 78,556 | 36,343 | 681,930 | 299,682 | 1,301,026 |
| 81 | 355,943 | 87,581 | 36,275 | 795,143 | 229,886 | 1,504,828. |
| 82 | 198,019 | 84,329 | 53,204 | 434,817 | 151,000 | 921,369 |
| 83 | 351,769 | 127,490 | 105,171 | 725,060 | 322,691 | 1,632,181 |
| 1984 | 447,259 | 178,096 | 210,611 | 850,114 | 336,660 | 2,022,740 |
| 85 | 210,107 | 126,736 | 131,576 | 396,740 | 203,302 | 1,068,461 |
| 86 | 262,925 | 94,666 | 111,112 | 488,375 | 270,057 | 1,227,135 |
| 87 | 446,908 | 145,259 | 101,074 | 416,476 | 419,425 | 1,529,142 |
| 88 | 295,571 | 237,888 | 94,545 | 371,196 | 470,132 | 1,469,332 |
| 1989 | 310,869 | 136,185 | 84,673 | 523,903 | 203,178 | 1,258,808 |
| 90 | 422,275 | 123,087 | 32,013 | 378,223 | 102,861 | 1,058,460 |
| 91 | 443,189 | 75,892 | 60,299 | 463,780 | 246,589 | 1,289,749 |
| 92 | 167,168 | 121,472 | 57,170 | 398,691 | 176,123 | 920,624 |
| 93 | 43,684 | 70,628 | 73,402 | 505,799 | 144,869 | 838,382 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 266,046 | 95,427 | 60,972 | 526,693 | 233,167 | 1,182,306 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 227,096 | 59,863 | 26,297 | 574,057 | 209,014 | 1,096,328 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 304,996 | 130,991 | 95,648 | 479,330 | 257,320 | 1,268,283 |
| $1994{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 200,823 | -57,222 | 48,951 | 293,205 | 232,492 | 832,693 |

- Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 5)

Appendix Table 8. Pink salmon commercial catch by district, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | NaknekKvichak | Egegik | Ugashik | Nushagak | Togiak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 508,534 | 4,405 | 340 | 413,613 | 13,086 | 939,978 |
| 75 | 6 | 9 | 2 | 126 | 279 | 422 |
| 76 | 264,631 | 4,121 | 116 | 739,590 | 28,085 | 1,036,543 |
| 77 | 19 | 0 | 5 | 3,017 | 1,476 | 4,517 |
| 78 | 734,880 | 11,430 | 530 | 4,348,336 | 57,524 | 5,152,700 |
| 1979 | 134 | 6 | 9 | 1,787 | 1,913 | 3,849 |
| 80 | 288,363 | 2,476 | 51 | 2,202,545 | 70,033 | 2,563,468 |
| 81 | 194 | 222 | 29 | 345 | 6,490 | 7,280 |
| 82 | 127,560 | 1,997 | 170 | 1,339,272 | 23,417 | 1,492,416 |
| 83 | 51 | 92 | 0 | 137 | 204 | 484 |
| 1984 | 211,306 | 5,759 | 2,387 | 3,127,153 | 19,468 | 3,366,073 |
| 85 | 39 | 51 | 3 | 48 | 316 | 457 |
| 86 | 106,919 | 2,749 | 98 | 267,117 | 24,404 | 401,287 |
| 87 | 5 | 0 | 30 | 2 | 20 | 57 |
| 88 | 648,569 | 4,485 | 218 | 243,890 | . 58,084 | 955,246 |
| 1989 | 75 | 6 | 29 | 156 | 172 | 438 |
| 90 | 421.690 | 11,593 | 361 | 54,127 | 8,746 | 496,517 |
| 91 | 102 | 15 | 2 | 69 | 117 | 305 |
| 92 | 214,228 | 694 | 525 | 190,102 | 93,989 | 499,538. |
| 93 | 86 | 2 | 2 | 83 | 240 | 413 |
| 20-Year Ave. ${ }^{1}$ | 352,668 | 4,971 | 480 | 1,292,575 | 39,684 | 1,690,377 |
| 1974-83 Ave ${ }^{1}$ | 384,794 | 4,886 | 241 | 1,808,671 | 38,429 | 2,237,021 |
| 1984-93 Ave ${ }^{1}$ | 320,542 | 5,056 | 718 | 776,478 | 40,938 | 1,143,732 |
| 1994 * | 12,213 | 72 | 117 | 9,024 | 70,029 | 91,455 |

${ }^{1}$ Includes even numbered years only.
2 Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 5)

Appendix Table 9. Coho salmon commercial catch by district, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | NaknekKvichak | Egegik | Ugashik | Nushagak | Togiak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 916 | 1,156 | 4,055 | 12,569 | 25,049 | 43,745 |
| 75 | 43 | 951 | 4,595 | 7,342 | 33,350 | 46,281 |
| 76 | 1,195 | 2,321 | 3,561 | 6,778 | 12,791 | 26,646 |
| 77 | 2,883 | 2,685 | 3,884 | 52,562 | 45,201 | 107,215 |
| 78 | 913 | 2,256 | 2,024 | 44,740 | 44,338 | 94,271 |
| 1979 | 12,355 | 15,148 | 17,886 | 129,607 | 119,403 | 294,399 |
| 80 | 7,802 | 22,537 | 19,419 | 147,726 | 151,000 | 348,484 |
| 81 | 1,229 | 32,759 | 30,220 | 220,290 | 29,207 | 313,705 |
| 82 | 10,586 | 74,989 | 50,803 | 349,669 | 133,765 | 619,812 |
| 83 | 7,282 | 25,954 | 7,816 | 81,338 | 5,711 | 128,101 |
| 1984 | 3,209 | 66,589 | 68,451 | 260,310 | 176,053 | 574,612 |
| 85 | 10,474 | 32,667 | 60,815 | 20,230 | 38,636 | 162,822 |
| 86 | 5,824 | 33,607 | 25,770 | 68,568 | 48,306 | 182,075 |
| 87 | 5,274 | 30,789 | 14,785 | 13,263 | 1,292 | 65,403 |
| 88 | 29,988 | 48,981 | 52,355 | 52,698 | 18,468 | 202,490 |
| 1989 | 22,668 | 49,175 | 33,942 | 77,077 | 56,972 | 239,834 |
| 90 | 16,CS1 | 43,897 | 32,005 | 7,733 | 2,690 | 103,317 |
| 91 | 17,527 | 47,486 | 42,622 | 5,574 | 4,531 | 117,740 |
| 92 | 18,553 | 47,780 | 35,794 | 84,077 | 5,328 | 191,532 |
| 93 | 1,779 | 41,603 | 2,387 | 14,345 | 12,615 | 72,729 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 8,830 | 31,167 | 25,705 | 82,825 | 48,235 | 196,761 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 4,520 | 18,076 | 14,426 | 105,262 | 59,982 | 202,266 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 13,139 | 44,257 | 36,983 | 60,388 | 36,489 | 191,255 |
| $1994{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 6,841 | 48,460 | 19,940 | 6,814 | 96,606 | 178,661 |

${ }^{2}$ Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 5)

Appendix Table 10. Total salmon commercial catch by district, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | NaknekKvichak | Egegik | Ugashik | Nushagak | Togiak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 1,089,440 | 182,969 | 10,080 | 1,126,747 | 268,984 | 2,678,220 |
| 75 | 3,166,169 | 969,315 | 20,900 | 827,715 | 316,827 | 5,300,926 |
| 76 | 3,134,716 | 1,384,323 | 188,862 | 2,873,538 | 526,062 | 8,107,501 |
| 77 | 2,514,717 | 1,870,067 | 103,144 | 1,659,379 | 570,995 | 6,718,302 |
| 78 | 6,051,842 | 1,268,586 | 17,933 | 8,300,533 | 885,845 | 16,524,739 |
| 1979 | 15,211,128 | 2,316,037 | 430,755 | 4,056,340 | 832,264 | 22,846,524 |
| 80 | 15,628,654 | 2,732,245 | 946,588 | 7,594,946 | 1,167,819 | 28,070,252 |
| 81 | 11,361,223 | 4,487,436 | 2,186,006 | 8,702,332 | 929,201 | 27,666,198 |
| 82 | 5,354,392 | 2,613,663 | 1,250,539 | 8,235,232 | 937,664 | 18,391,490 |
| 83 | 21,927,429 | 6,913,550 | 3,471,714 | 6,063,402 | 955,311 | 39,331,406 |
| 1984 | 15,217,456 | 5,445,537 | 2,944,592 | 6,291,636 | 876,486 | 30,775,707 |
| 85 | 8,405,410 | 7,700,742 | 6,667,096 | 1,792,690 | 489,126 | 25,055,064 |
| 86 | 3,271,027 | 4,985,840 | 5,142,911 | 3,609,156 | 671,335 | 17,680,269 |
| 87 | 5,443,364 | 5,535,676 | 2,248,606 | 3,730,444 | 780,686 | 17,738,776 |
| 88 | 4,461,502 | 6,751,055 | 1,674,082 | 2,391,148 | 1,384,377 | 16,662,164 |
| 1989 | 14,150,179 | 9,089,394 | 3,266,995 | 3,406,958 | 360,620 | 30,274,146 |
| 90 | 18,137,349 | 10,551,485 | 2,216,129 | 3,987,438 | 323,016 | 35,215,417 |
| 91 | 10,939,608 | 6,921,069 | 3,049,254 | 5,542,986 | 806,497 | 27,259,414 |
| S2 | 9,801,621 | 15,817,215 | 3,416,601 | 3,510,174 | 1,014,526 | 33,560,137 |
| 93 | 8,960,902 | 21,714,569 | 4,255,766 | 5,819,760 | 708,508 | 41,459,505 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 9,211,406 | 5,962,539 | 2,175,428 | 4,476,128 | 740,307 | 22,565,808 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 8,543,971 | 2,473,819 | 862,652 | 4,944,016 | 739,097 | 17,563,556 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 9,878,842 | 9,451,258 | 3,488,203 | 4,008,239 | 741,518 | 27,568,060 |
| 1994 * | 16,488,629 | 10,905,435 | 4,442,197 | 3,860,694 | 810:808 | 36,507,763 |

- Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 5)

Appendix Table 11. Commercial Sockeye salmon catch, in percent, by gear type and district, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Naknek- <br> Kvichak |  | Egegik |  | Ugashik |  | Nushagak |  | Togiak |  | Total |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Drift | Set | Drift | Set | Drift | Set | Drift | Set | Drift | Set | Drift | Set |
| 1974 | 82 | 18 | 78 | 22 | 50 | 50 | 73 | 27 | 91 | 9 | 75 | 25 |
| 75 | 94 | 6 | 90 | 10 | 80 | 20 | 80 | 20 | 92 | 8 | 87 | 13 |
| 76 | 93 | 7 | 91 | 9 | 90 | 10 | 85 | 15 | 92 | 8 | 90 | 10 |
| 77 | 90 | 10 | 88 | 12 | 90 | 10 | 85 | 15 | 89 | 11 | 88 | 12 |
| 78 | 91 | 9 | 84 | 16 | 88 | 12 | 85 | 15 | 84 | 16 | 86 | 14 |
| 1979 | 90 | 10 | 78 | 22 | 84 | 16 | 82 | 18 | 82 | 18 | 83 | 17 |
| 80 | 88 | 12 | 69 | 31 | 87 | 13 | 85 | 15 | 83 | 17 | 82 | 18 |
| 81 | 86 | 14 | 77 | 23 | 89 | 11 | 81 | 19 | 79 | 21 | 82 | 18 |
| 82 | 87 | 13 | 83 | 17 | 87 | 13 | 90 | 10 | 84 | 16 | 86 | 14 |
| 83 | 92 | 8 | 86 | 14 | 93 | 7 | 86 | 14 | 80 | 20 | 87 | 13 |
| 1984 | 89 | 11 | 92 | 8 | 92 | 8 | 83 | 17 | 77 | 23 | 87 | 13 |
| 85 | 87 | 13 | 93 | 7 | 96 | 4 | 65 | 35 | 75 | 25 | 83 | 17 |
| 86 | 70 | 30 | 89 | 11 | 94 | 6 | 76 | 24 | 68 | 32 | 79 | 21 |
| 87 | 86 | 14 | 91 | 9 | 93 | 7 | 80 | 20 | 66 | 34 | 83 | 17 |
| 88 | 86 | 14 | 90 | 10 | 91 | 9 | 75 | 25 | 64 | 36 | 81 | 19 |
| 1989 | 89 | 11 | 90 | 10 | 87 | 13 | 58 | 42 | 55 | 45 | 76 | 24 |
| 90 | 88 | 12 | 91 | 9 | 91 | 9 | 67 | 33 | 67 | 33 | 81 | 19 |
| 91 | 89 | 11 | 91 | 9 | 89 | 11 | 76 | 24 | 64 | 36 | 82 | 18 |
| 92 | 89 | 11 | 91 | 9 | 90 | 10 | 65 | 35 | 62 | 38 | 79 | 21 |
| 93 | 84 | 16 | 93 | 7 | 90 | 10 | 72 | 28 | 54 | 46 | 79 | 21 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 88 | 13 | 87 | 13 | 88 | 12 | 77 | 23 | 75 | 25 | 83 | 17 |
| 1974-1983 Ave. | 90 | 10 | 83 | 17 | 88 - | 12 | 84 | 16 | 85 | 15 | 86 | 14 |
| 1984-1993 Ave. | 85 | 14 | 91 | 9 | 91 | 9 | 72 | 28 | 65 | 35 | 81 | 19 |
| 1994 * | 90 | 10 | 92 | 8 | 94 | 6 | 68 | 32 | 52 | 48 | 79 | 21 |

- Preliminary data
(Source: 5)

Appendix Table 12. Sockeye salmon escapement by district, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | NaknekKvichak. | Egegik ${ }_{2}$ | Ugashiks | Nushagak | Togiaks | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 5,889,750 | 1,275,630 | 61,854 | 2,267,468 | 108,492 | 9,603,194 |
| 75 | 15,267,616 | 1,173,840 | 429,336 | 2,273,038 | 189,162 | 19,332,992 |
| 76 | 3,367,854 | 509,160 | 356,308 | 1,486,276 | 200,590 | 5,920,188 |
| 77 | 2,527,000 | 692,514 | 201,520 | 1,220,056 | 202,634 | 4,843,724 |
| 78 | 5,192,066 | 895,698 | 82,434 | 3,485,532 | 340,076 | 9,995,806 |
| 1979 | 12,437,996 | 1,032,042 | 1,706,904 | 3,073,571 | 224,838 | 18,475,351 |
| 80 | 25,447,866 | 1,060,860 | 3,335,284 | 8,310,438 | 572,450 | 38,726,898 |
| 81 | 3,632,788 | 694,680 | 1,327,699 | 2,850,637 | 365,910 | 8,871,714 |
| 82 | 2,529,692 | 1,034,628 | 1,185,551 | 2,012,742 | 341,424 | 7,104,037 |
| 83 | 4,554,496 | 792,282 | 1,001,364 | 1,948,492 | 239,610 | 8,536,244 |
| 1984 | 11,948,514 | 1,165,345 | 1,270,318 | 1,814,686 | 200,778 | 16,399,641 |
| 85 | 9,179,014 | 1,095,192 | 1,006,407 | 1,684,796 | 190,082 | 13,155,491 |
| 86 | 3,387,147 | 1,151,750 | 1,015,582 | 2,133,398 | 271,184 | 7,959,061 |
| 87 | 7,281,896 | 1,273,553 | 686,894 | 1,895,961 | 316,076 | 11,454,380 |
| 88 | 5,297,708 | 1,612,745 | 654,412 | 1,524,752 | 340,712 | 9,430,329 |
| 1,989 | 9,676,244 | 1,611,566 | 1,713,287 | 2,189,501 | 125,080 | 15,315,678 |
| 90 | 9,231,358 | 2,191,582 | 749,478 | 2,144,498 | 278,202 | 14,595,118 |
| 91 | 8,078,885 | 2,786,925 | 2,482,016 | 2,419,486 | 320,713 | 16,088,025 |
| 92 | 6,557,157 | 1,945,632 | 2,194,927 | 2,286,278 | 266,956 | 13,250,950 |
| 93 | 5,908,799 | 1,517,000 | 1,413,454 | 2,296,789 | 242,475 | 11,378,517 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 7,869,692 | 1,275,631 | 1,143,751 | 2,465,920 | 266,872 | 13,021,867 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 8,084,712 | 916,133 | 968.825 | 2,892.825 | 278.519 | 13,141,015 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 7,654,672 | 1,635,129 | 1,318,678 | 2,039,015 | 255,226 | 12,902,719 |
| 1994 | 9,571,245 | 1,967,775 | 1,095,068 | 2,448,056 | 233,632 | 15,315,776 |

, Includes Kvichak, Branch and Naknek Rivers.
I Includes Egegik River. Also includes King Salmon River in 1986-93, and Shosky Creek in 1988-93. , Includes Ugashik River. Also includes Mother Goose River system 1976-93 and Dog Salmon River system in 1984-93.

- Includes Wood, Igushik, Nuyakuk, Nushagak-Mulchatna and Snake Rivers.

Includes Togiak River, Lake and tributaries, Kulukak system and other miscellaneous river systems.
.Preliminary.
(Sources: 1, 7, and 12)

Appendix Table 13. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of sockeye salmon in the Naknek-Kvichak District by river system, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Catch | Escapement |  |  |  | Total Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Kvichak | Branch | Naknek 1 | Total |  |
| 1974 | 538,163 | 4,433,844 | 214,848 | 1,241,058 | 5,889,750 | 6,427,913 |
| 75 | 3,085,416 | 13,140,450 | 100,480 | 2,026,686 | 15,267,616 | 18,353,032 |
| 76 | 2,547,276 | 1,965,282 | 81,822 | 1,320,750 | 3,367,854 | 5,915,130 |
| 77 | 2,167,214 | 1,341,144 | 100,000 | 1,085,856 | 2,527,000 | 4,694,214 |
| 78 | 5,123,668 | 4,149,288 | 229,400 | 813,378 | 5,192,066 | 10,315,734 |
| 1979 | 14,991,826 | 11,218,434 | 294,200 | 925,362 | 12,437,996 | 27,429,822 |
| 80 | 15,120,457 | 22,505,268 | 297,900 | 2,644,698 | 25,447,866 | 40,568,323 |
| 81 | 10,992,809 | 1,754,358 | 82,210 | 1,796,220 | 3,632,788 | 14,625,597 |
| 82 | 5,005,802 | 1,134,840 | 239,300 | 1,155,552 | 2,529,692 | 7,535,494 |
| 83 | 21,559,372 | 3,569,982 | 96,220 | 888,294 | 4,554,496 | 26,113,868 |
| 1984 | 14,546,710 | 10,490,670 | 215,370 | 1,242,474 | 11,948,514 | 26,495,224 |
| 85 | 8,179,093 | 7,211,046 | 118,030 | 1,849,938 | 9,179,014 | 17,358,107 |
| 86 | 2,892,171 | 1,179,322 | 230,180 | 1,977,645 | 3,387,147 | 6,279,318 |
| 87 | 4,986,002 | 6,065,880 | 154,210 | 1,061,806 | 7,281,896 | 12,267,898 |
| 88 | 3,480,836 | 4,065,216 | 194,630 | 1,037,862 | 5,297,708 | 8,778,544 |
| 1989 | 13,809,956 | 8,317,500 | 196,760 | 1,161,984 | 9,676,244 | 23,486,200 |
| 90 | 17,272,224 | 6,970,020 | 168,760 | 2,092,578 | 9,231,358 | 26,503,582 |
| 91 * | 10,475,206 | 4,222,788 | 277,589 | 3,578,508 | 8,078,885 | 18,554,091 |
| 92. | 9,329,663 | 4,725,864 | 224,643 | 1,606,650 | 6,557,157 | 15,886,820 |
| 93 . | 8,866,866 | 4,025,166 | 347,975 | 1,535,658 | 5,908,799 | 14,775,665 |
|  |  |  | - . |  |  |  |
| 20 Year Ave. | 8,748,537 | 6,124,318 | 193,226 | 1,478,236 | 7,795,780 | 16,544,317 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 8,113,200 | 6,521,289 | 173,638 | 1,389,785 | 8,084,712 | 16,197,913 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 9,383,873 | 5,727,347 | 212,815 | 1,558,646 | 7,498,808 | 16,882,680 |
| 1994 . | 16,262,625 | 8,337,840 | 242,595 | 990:810 | 9,571,245 | 25,833,870 |

- Tower count

2 Tower count 1974-76 and aerial survey estimates 1977-94
a Preliminary apportionment.
(Sources: 1, 7, 13 and 15)

Appendix Table 14. Inshore sockeye salmon total run by river system Naknek-Kvichak District, in thousands of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | $\frac{\text { Kvichak }}{\text { Number } \%}$ | Branch | \% | Naknek |  | Total Run ${ }^{1}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 4,582 71 | 225 | 4 | 1,621 | 25 | 6,428 |
| 75 | 14,746 80 | 114 | 1 | 3,493 | 19 | 18,353 |
| 76 | 3,423 58 | 137 | 2 | 2,354 | 40 | 5,915 |
| 77 | 2,081 44 | 150 | 3 | 2,463 | 52 | 4,694 |
| 78 | 7,965 77 | 455 | 4 | 1,896 | 18 | 10,316 |
| 1979 | 24,637 90 | 573 | 2 | 2,219 | 8 | 27,430 |
| 80 | 35,248 87 | 561 | 1 | 4,759 | 12 | 40,568 |
| 81 | 6,989 48 | 311 | 2 | 7,326 | 50 | 14,626 |
| 82 | 2,993 40 | 772 | 10 | 3,770 | 50 | 7,536 |
| 83 | 20,105 77 | 557 | 2 | 5,452 | 21 | 26,114 |
| 1984 | 23,014 87 | 555 | 2 | 2,926 | 11 | 26,495 |
| 85 | 13,394 77 | 264 | 2 | 3,699. | 21 | 17,358 |
| 86 | 1,966 31 | 399 | 6 | 3,913 | 62 | 6,279 |
| 87 | 9,593 78 | 297 | 2 | 2,378 | 19 | 12,268 |
| 88 | 6,720 77 | 320 | 4 | 1,739 | 20 | 8,779 |
| 89 | 19,774 84 | 534 | 2 | 3,179 | 14 | 23,487 |
| 90 | 17,439 66 | 551 | 2 | 8,369 | 32 | 26,359 |
| $91^{\text {a }}$ | 8,061 43 | 607 | 3 | 9,970 | 53 | 18,638 |
| $92{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 10,404 65 | 485 | 3 | 4,997 | 31 | 15,886 |
| $93{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 9,265 63 | 813 | 6 | 4,698 | 32 | 14,776 |
| 20 Year Ave. | 12,120 67 | 434 | 3 | 4,061 | 30 | 16,615 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 12,277 67 | 386 | 3 | 3,535 | 30 | 16,198 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 11,963 67 | 483 | 3 | 4,587 | 30 | 17,033 |
| $1994{ }^{\text {a }}$ | 22,178 86 , | 633 | 2 | 3,023 | 12 | 25,834 |

: Due to rounding of river system total runs, the district total run may not equal the sum of the rows.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Preliminary apportionment.
(Sources: 1 and 7)

Appendix Table 15. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of sockeye salmon in the Egegik District by river system, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Catch | Escapement |  |  | Total Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Egegik ${ }^{1}$ | Shosky Cr. ${ }^{2}$ | King Salmon ${ }^{2}$ |  |
| 1974 | 172,253 | 1,275,630 |  |  | 1,447,883 |
| 75 | 964,024 | 1,173,840 |  |  | 2,137,864 |
| 76 | 1,329,788 | 509,160 |  |  | 1,838,948 |
| 77 | 1,780,567 | 692,514 |  |  | 2,473,081 |
| 78 | 1,207,294 | 895,698 |  |  | 2,102,992 |
| 1979 | 2,257,332 | 1,032,042 |  |  | 3,289,374 |
| 80 | 2,623,066 | 1,060,860 |  |  | 3,683,926 |
| 81 | 4,361,406 | 694,680 |  |  | 5,056,086 |
| 82 | 2,447,514 | 1,034,628 |  |  | 3,482,142 |
| 83 | 6,755,256 | 792,282 |  |  | 7,547,538 |
| 1984 | 5,190,413 | 1,165,320 |  | 25 | 6,355,758 |
| 85 | 7,537,273 | 1,095,192 |  |  | 8,632,465 |
| 86 | 4,852,935 | 1,151,750 |  | 430 | 6,005,1.15 |
| 87 | 5,356,669 | 1,272,978 |  | 575 | 6,630,222 |
| 88 | 6,456,598 | 1,612,680 | 65 |  | 8,069,343 |
| 1989 | 8,901,994 | 1,610,916 | 50 | 600 | 10,513,560 |
| 90 | 10,371,762 | 2,191,362 | 0 | 220 | 12,563,344 |
| 91 | 6,797,166 | 2,786,880 | 0 | 45 | 9,584,091 |
| 92 | 15,646,575 | 1,945,332 | 0 | 300 | 17,592,207 |
| 93 | 21,600,858 | 1,516,980 | 20 |  | 23,117,858 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 5,830,537 | 1,275,536 |  |  | 7,106,190 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 2,399,850 | 016,133 |  |  | 3,305,983 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 9,271,224 | 1,634,939 | 23 | 314 | 10,906,396 |
| 1994 * | 10,798,450 | 1,967,730 | 15 | 30 | 12,766,225 |

${ }^{1}$ Tower count.
${ }^{2}$ Aerial survey index count.
*-Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 7)

Appendix Table 16. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of sockeye salmon in the Ugashik District by river system, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Escapement |  |  |  | Total Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch | Ugashik, | King Salmon2 Dog Salmon |  |  |
| 1974 | 2,151 | 61,854 |  |  | 64,005 |
| 75 | 14,588 | 429,336 |  |  | 443,924 |
| 76 | 174,923 | 341,808 | 14,500 |  | 531,231 |
| 77 | 92,623 | 201,486 | 34 |  | 294,143 |
| 78 | 7,995 | 70;434 | 12,000 |  | 90,429 |
| 1979 | 391,118 | 1,700,904 | 6,000 |  | 2,098,022 |
| 80 | 885,875 | 3,321,384 | 13,900 |  | 4,221,159 |
| 81 | 2,116,066 | 1,326,762 | 937 |  | 3,443,765 |
| 82 | 1,139,192 | 1,157,526 | 28,025 |  | 2,324,743 |
| 83 | 3,349,451 | 1,000,614 | 750 |  | 4,350,815 |
| 1984 | 2,658,376 | 1,241,418 | 17,100 | 11,800 | 3,928,694 |
| 85 | 6,468,862 | 998,232 | 7,400 | 775 | 7,475,269 |
| 86 | 5,002,949 | 1,001,492 | 4,310 | 9,780 | 6,018,531 |
| 87 | 2,128,652 | 668,964 | 15,855 | 2,075 | 2,815,546 |
| 88 | 1,523,520 | 642,972 | 8,360 | 3,080 | 2,177,932 |
| 1989 | 3,146,239 | 1,681,302 | 25,480 | 6,505 | 4,859,526 |
| 90 | 2,149,009 | 730,038 | 11,340 | 8,100 | 2,898,487 |
| 91 | 2,945,742 | 2,457,306 | 12,195 | 12,500 | 5,427,743 |
| 92 | 3,320,966 | 2,173,692 | 13,425 | 7,810 | 5,515,893 |
| 93 | 4,176,900 | 1,389,534 | 22,570 | 1,350 | 5,590,354 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 2,084,760 | 1,129,853 | 10,709 | 3,189 | 3,228,511 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 817,398 | 961,211 | 7,615 |  | 1,786,224 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 3,352,122 | 1,298,495 | 13,804 | 6,378 | 4,670,798 |
| 1994 : | 4,369,432 | 1,080,858 | 8,885 | 5,325 | 5,464,500 |

1 Tower count.
z Aerial survey.

- Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 7)

Appendix Table 17. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of sockeye saimon in the Nushagak District by river system, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch | Wood, | Igushik, | Nuyakuk, | Nush/Mul 2 | Nushagak, | Snake | Tota |  |
| 1974 | 510,571 | 1,708,836 | 358.752 | 154,614 | 30,000 |  | 15,266 | 2,267,468 | 2,778,039 |
| 76 | 645,902 | 1,270,116 | 241,086 | 669,918 | 82,400 |  | 9,518 | 2,273,038 | 2,918,940 |
| 76 | 1,265,422 | 817,008 | 186,120 | 425,220 | 45,200 |  | 12,728 | 1,486,276 | 2,751,698 |
| 77 | 619,025 | 561,828 | 95,970 | 232,554 | 320,400 |  | 9,304 | 1,220,056 | 1,839,081 |
| 78 | 3,137,166 | 2,267,238 | 536,154 | 576,666 | 87,400 |  | 18,074 | 3,485,532 | 6,622,698 |
| 1979 | 3,327,346 | 1,706,352 | 859,560 | 360,120 | 139,100 |  | 8,439 | 3,073,571 | 6,400,917 |
| 80 | 4,497,787 | 2,969,040 | 1,987,530 | 3,026,568 | 290,800 |  | 36,500 | 8,310,438 | 12,808,225 |
| 81 | 7,493,093 | 1,233,318 | 591,144 | 834,204 | 177,400 |  | 14,571 | 2,850,637 | 10,343,730 |
| 82 | 5,916,187 | 976,470 | 423,768 | 537,864 | 63,000 |  | 11,640 | 2,012,742 | 7,928,929 |
| 83 | 5,119,744 | 1,360,968 | 180,438 | 318,606 | 85,400 |  | 3,080 | 1,948,492 | 7,068,236 |
| 1984 | 1,992,681 | 1,002,792 | 184,872 | 472,596 | 120.586 |  | 33.840 | 1,814,686 | 3,807,367 |
| 85 | 1,307,889 | 939,000 | 212,454 | 429,162 | 69,300 |  | 34,880 | 1,684,796 | 2,992,685 |
| 86 | 2,719,313 | 818,652 | 307,728 | 821,898 | 168,340 |  | 16,780 | 2,133,398 | 4,852,711 |
| 87 | 3,254,720 | 1,337,172 | 169,236 | 163,000 | 225,033 |  | 1,520 | 1,895,961 | 5,150,681 |
| 88 | 1,706,716 | 866,778 | 170,454 | 319,992 | 163,208 |  | 4,320 | 1,524,752 | 3,231,468 |
| 1989 | 2,788,185 | 1,186,410 | 461,610 |  |  | 513,421 | 28,060 | 2,189,501 | 4,977,686 |
| 90 | 3,532,543 | 1,069,440 | 365,850 |  |  | 680,368 | 28,840 | 2,144,498 | 5,677,041 |
| 91 | 5,053,845 | 1,159,920 | 756,126 |  |  | 492,520 | 10,920 | 2,419,486 | 7,473,331 |
| 92 | 2,789,741 | 1,286,250 | 304,920 |  |  | 695,108 |  | 2,286,278 | 5,076,019 |
| 93 | 5,236,557 | 1,176,126 | 405,564 |  |  | 715,099 |  | 2,296,789 | 7,533,346 |
| 20 -year Ave. | 3,145,722 | 1,285,686 | 439,967 | 622,865 | 137,838 |  | 16,571 | 2,465,920 | 5,611,641 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 3,253,224 | 1,487,117 | 546,052 | 713,633. | 132,110. |  | 13,912 | 2,892,825 | 6,146,049 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 3,038,219 | 1,084,254 | 333,881 | 441,330. | 149,293 。 | 619,303 | 19,895 | 2,039,015 | 5,077,234 |
| 1994 | 3,433,008 . | 1,471,890 | 445,920 |  |  | 509,326 | 20,920. | 2,448,056 | 5,881,064 |

- Tower count.
z Tower count 1974, aerial survey estimates 1977-83, 1985, and 1987. Escapement estimates for 1984 and 1988 were derived from the difference between lower river sonar estimates and Nuyakuk Tower counts. Tower not operated in 1975-76, escapement estimates for these years and 1985 were based on the average ratio of Nuyakuk/Mushagak-Mutchatna river system in years when data was available.
: Escapement to Nuyakuk and Nushagak-Mulchatna rivers can not be calculated after 1988; total runs from 1989 on are determined for the entire Nushagak River drainage using Portage Creek sonar estimates.
- Aerial survey estimate $1980,1982-86,1989-91,1994$; weir count 1974-79 and 1981, not surveyed in 1992 or 1903 due to lack of funding - Preliminary.
- Averages thru 1988
. Average 1989 thru 1993.
(Sources: 1, 7, and 13)

Appendix Table 18. Inshore sockeye salmon total run by river system, in thousands of fish and percent, Nushagak District, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Wood |  | Igushik |  | Nuyakuk |  | Nush-Mul |  | Nushagak |  | Snake |  | Total Run' |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% | Number | \% |  |
| 1974 | 2,099 | 76 | 442 | 16 | 187 | 7 | 34 | 1 |  |  | 15 | 1 | 2,777 |
| 75 | 1,640 | 56 | 319 | 11 | 868 | 30 | 82 | 3 |  |  | 10 | 0 | 2,919 |
| 76 | 1,438 | 52 | 345 | 13 | 845 | 31 | 100 | 4 |  |  | 24 | 1 | 2,752 |
| 77 | 834 | 45 | 146 | 8 | 358 | 19 | 488 | 27 |  |  | 12 | 1 | 1,838 |
| 78 | 4,117 | 62 | 1,084 | 16 | 1,302 | 20 | 87 | 1 |  |  | 33 | 0 | 6,623 |
| 1979 | 3,638 | 57 | 1,842 | 29 | 764 | 12 | 138 | 2 |  |  | 18 | 0 | 6,400 |
| 80 | 4,529 | 35 | 3,126 | 24 | 4,826 | 38 | 291 | 2 |  |  | 37 | 0 | 12,809 |
| 81 | 4,568 | 44 | 2,229 | 22 | 3,319 | 32 | 177 | 2 |  |  | 52 | 1 | 10,345 |
| 82 | 3,471 | 44 | 1,818 | 23 | 2,079 | 26 | 550 | 7 |  |  | 12 | 0 | 7,930 |
| 83 | 4,272 | 60 | 813 | 12 | 1,379 | 20 | 601 | 9 |  |  | 3 | 0 | 7,068 |
| 1984 | 1,982 | 52 | 435 | 11 | 906 | 24 | 451 | 12 |  |  | 20 | 1 | 3,794 |
| 85 | 1,593 | 53 | 460 | 15 | 697 | 23 | 208 | 7 |  |  | 35 | 1 | 2,993 |
| 86 | 1,772 | 37 | 877 | 18 | 1,762 | 36 | 425 | 9 |  |  | 17 | 0 | 4,853 |
| 87 | 2,828 | 55 | 617 | 12 | 589 | 11. | 1,116 | 22 |  |  | 2 | 0 | 5,152 |
| 88 | 1,749 | 54 | 406 | 13 | 649 | 20 | 424 | 13 |  |  | 4 | 0 | 3,232 |
| 1989 | 2,519 | 51 | 1,214 | 24 |  |  |  |  | 1,217 | 24 | 28 | 1 | 4,978 |
| 90 | 2,647 | 46 | 1,267 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 1,771 | 31 | 29 | 1 | 5,714 |
| 91 • | 3,423 | 45 | 2,478 | 32 |  |  |  |  | 1,781 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 7,692 |
| 92 | 2,525 | 49 | 815 | 16 |  |  |  |  | 1,848 | 36 | 1 | 0 | 5,189 |
| 93 • | 3,763 | 49 | 1,598 | 21 |  |  |  |  | 2,263 | 30 |  |  | 7,624 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 2,770 | 51 | 1.117 | 18 | 1,369 | 23 | 345 | 8 | 1,776 | 29 | 19 | 0 | 5,634 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 3,061 | 53 | 1,216 | 17 | 1,593 | 23 | 255 | 6 |  |  | 22 | 0 | 6,146 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 2,480 | 49 | 1,017 | 18 | 921 | 23 | 525 | 12 | 1,776 | 29 | 16 | 0 | 5,122 |
| 1994 | 2,988 | 51 | 1,319 | 22 |  |  |  |  | 1,561 | 27 | 21 |  | 5,881 |

' Due to rounding, the district total runs may not equal the sum of the rows.

* Preliminary apportionment.
(Sources: 1 and 7)

Appendix Table 19. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of sockeye salmon in the Togiak District by river system, in numbers of fisti, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Catch |  |  |  | Escapement |  |  |  |  |  | Total Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Togiak |  |  | Kulukaka Other. |  | Total |  |
|  | Togiak | Kulukak | Os/Mat | Total | Lake: | River, | Tributariee ${ }_{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 110,886 | 13,615 | 14,840 | 139,341 | 82,992 | 12,000 | 8,600 | 4,900 |  | 108,492 | 247,833 |
| 75 | 184,856 | 3,821 | 237 | 188,914 | 160,962 | 12,200 | 7,400 | 8,600 |  | 189.162 | 378,076 |
| 76 | 293,016 | 4,822 | 4,045 | 301,883 | 158,190 | 15,000 | 16,200 | 11,200 |  | 200,590 | 502,473 |
| 77 | 201,004 | 16,252 | 1,195 | 218,451 | 133,734 | 4,400 | 24,400 | 40,100 |  | 202,634 | 421,085 |
| 78 | 422,100 | 29,668 | 248. | 452,016 | 273,576 | 15,000 | 17,600 | 33,900 |  | 340,076 | 792,092 |
| 1979 | 393,337 | 66,629 | 1,018 | 460,984 | 171,138 | 14,200 | 12,900 | 26,600 |  | 224,838 | 685,822 |
| 80 | 591,470 | 42,811 | 280 | 634,561 | 461,850 | 27,900 | 37,000 | 45,700 |  | 572,450 | 1,207,011 |
| 81 | 620,288 | 19,246 | 173 | 639,707 | 208,080 | 21,150 | 77,900 | 58,780 |  | 365,910 | 1,005,617 |
| 82 | 581,718 | 13,952 | 26 | 595,696 | 244,824 | 3,450 | 40,400 | 52,750 |  | 341,424 | 937,120 |
| 83 | 529,775 | 55,906 | 2,527 | 588,208 | 191,520 | 7,200 | 13,920 | 26,970 |  | 239,610 | 827,818 |
| 1984 | 213,213 | 96,709 | 12,204 | 322,126 | 95,448 | 15,830 | 39,700 | 49,800 |  | 200,778 | 522,904 |
| 85 | 133,263 | 44,120 | 32,383 | 209,766 | 136,542 | 3,600 | 13,340 | 36,600 |  | 190,082 | 399,848 |
| 86 | 191,158 | 100,466 | 17,064 | 308,688 | 168,384 | 20,000 | 15,000 | 42,800 | 25,000 | 271,184 | 579,872 |
| 87 | 274,613 | 45,401 | 22,718 | 342,732 | 249,676 | 10,400 | 18,200 | 37,800 |  | 316,076 | 658,808 |
| 88 | 673,408 | 143,112 | 5,567 | 822,087 | 276,612 | 18,800 | 13,600 | 31,700 |  | 340,712 | 1,162,799 |
| 1989 | 68,375 | 14,116 | 6,441 | 88,932 | 84,480 | 15,200 | 4,560 | 20,840 |  | 125,080 | 214,012 |
| 90 | 168,688 | 27,311 | 1,590 | 197,589 | 141,977 | 17,540 | 29,605 | 49,600 | 39,480 | 278,202 | 475,791 |
| 91 | 522,090 | 33,425 | 6,437 b | 549,221 | 254,683 | 15,980 | 7,740 | 23,940 | 18,370 | 320,713 | 869,934 |
| 92 | 596,728 | 99,223 | 8,195 | 704,146 | 199,056 | 6,060 | 10,400 | 26,440 | 25,000 | 266,956 | 971,102 |
| 93 | 473,501 | 64,432 | 5,518 | 543,451 | 177,185 | 4,600 | 11,330 | 31,800 | 17,560 | 242,475 | 785,926 |
|  | 362,174 | 46,752 | 7,135 | 415,425 | 193,545 | 13,026 | 20,990 | 33,041 |  | 266,872 | 682,297 |
| 1974-83 Ave | 392,845 | 26,672 | 2,459 | 421,976 | 208,687 | 13,250 | 25,632 | 30,950 |  | 278,519 | 700,495 |
| 1984-93 Ave | 331,504 | 66,832 | 11,812 | 408,874 | 178,404 | 12,801 | 16,348 | 35,132 | 25,082 | 255,226 | 664,100 |
| 1994 | 321,293 | 77,410 | 2,349 | 401,052 | 154,752 | 6,200 | 13,220 | 29,740 | 29,720 | 233,632 | 634,684 |

- Catches in the Osviak and Matogak sections were combined

2 Tower count
, Aerial survey estimate

- Aerial sunvey estimate inctudes Gechiak. Puncokepuk Kemuk Nayorurun and Ongivinuck River systems Aerial survey estimates prior to 1986 also
include Ungalikthluk, Negukthilik, Matogak, Osviak, and other miscellaneous river systems when surveyed.
- Aerial survey estimate includes Kulukak River and Lake and Tithe Creek ponds
- Aerial survey estimate includes Matogak, Osviak, Slug, Negukthlik, and Ungalikthluk and Quigmy Rivers. Prior to 1986 estimates for these systems were icluded under tributaries when surveyed
- includes 248 fish from Cape. Pterce Section
- Based on weekly processor reports. Fish tickets were not coded by section
- Preliminary.
(Source: 1, 7, and 13)

Appendix Table 20. Inshore total run of sockeye by district, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | NaknekKvichak | Egegik | Ugashik | Nushagak | Togiak | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 6,427,913 | 1,447,883 | 64,005 | 2,778,039 | 247,833 | 10,965,673 |
| 75 | 18,353,032 | 2,137,864 | 443,894 | 2,918,940 | 378,076 | 24,231,806 |
| 76 | 5,915,130 | 1,838,948 | 531,231 | 2,751,698 | 502,473 | 11,539,480 |
| 77 | 4,694,214 | 2,473,081 | 294,143 | 1,839,081. | 421,085 | 9,721,604 |
| 78 | 10,315,734 | 2,102,992 | 90,429 | 6,622,698 | 792,092 | 19,923,945 |
| 1979 | 27,429,822 | 3,289,374 | 2,098,022 | 6,400,917 | 685,822 | 39,903,957 |
| 80 | 40,568,323 | 3,683,926 | 4,221,159 | 12,808,225 | 1,207,011 | 62,488,644 |
| 81 | 14,625,597 | 5,056,086 | 3,443,765 | 10,343,730 | 1,005,617 | 34,474,795 |
| 82 | 7,535,494 | 3,482,142 | 2,324,743 | 7,928,929 | 937,120 | 22,208,428 |
| 83 | 26,113,868 | 7,547,538 | 4,350,815 | 7,068,236 | 827,818 | 45,908,275 |
| 1984 | 26,495,224 | 6,355,758 | 3,928,694 | 3,807,367 | 522,904 | 41,109,947 |
| 85 | 17,358,107 | 8,632,465 | 7,475,269 | 2,992,685 | 399,848 | 36,858,374 |
| 86 | 6,279,318 | 6,005,115 | 6,018,531 | 4,852,711 | 579,872 | 23,735,547 |
| 87 | 12,267,898 | 6,630,222 | 2,815,546 | 5,150,681 | 658,808 | 27,523,155 |
| 88 | 8,778,544 | 8,069,343 | 2,177,932 | 3,231,468 | 1,162,799 | 23,420,086 |
| 1989 | 23,486,200 | 10,513,560 | 4,859,526 | 4,977,686 | 214,012 | 44,050,984 |
| 90 | 26,503,582 | 12,563,344 | 2,898,487 | 5,677,041 | 475,791 | 48,118,245 |
| 91 | 18,554,091 | 9,584,091 | 5,427,758 | 7,473,331 | 869,934 | 41,909,205 |
| 92 * | 15,886,820 | 17,622,868 | 5,550,022 | 5,187,259 | 971,102 | 45,218,071 |
| 93. | 14,775,665 | 23,336,814 | 5,698,523 | 7,624,224 | 785,926 | 52,221,152 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 16,618,229 | 7,118,671 | 3,235,625 | 5,621,747 | 682,297 | 33,276,569 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 16,197,913 | 3,305,983 | 1,786,221 | 6,146,049 | 700,495 | 28,136,661 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 17,038,545 | 10,931,358 | 4,685,029 | 5,097,445 | 664,100 | 38,416,477 |
| 1994 | 25,833,870 | 12,766,225 | 5,464,500 | 5,881,064 | 634,684 | 50,580,343 |

- Preliminary.
(Sources: 1 and 7)

Appendix Table 21. Kvichak River sockeye salmon escapement and return by brood year,
Bristol Bay, 1955-94.a

| Brood Year | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Return Per Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| 1955 | 251 | 0 | 265 | 689 | 550 | 0 | 1,504 | 5.99 |
| 56 | 9,433 | 14 | 24,273 | 13,440 | 1,308 | 0 | 39,035 | 4.14 |
| 57 | 2,843 | 8 | 243 | 3,577 | 261 | 2 | 4,091 | 1.44 |
| 58 | 535 | 0 | 77 | 183 | 26 | 3 | 289 | 0.54 |
| 59 | 680 | 0 | 213 | 323 | 11 | 0 | 547 | 0.80 |
| 1960 | 14,630 | 0 | 1,449 | 47,308 | 6,495 | 6 | 55,258 | 3.78 |
| 61 | 3,706 | 1 | 334 | 2,483 | 684 | 0 | 3,502 | 0.94 |
| 62 | 2,581 | 0 | 106 | 4,8.27 | 420 | 4 | 5,357 | 2.08 |
| 63 | 339 | 0 | 52 | 689 | 369 | 9 | 1,119 | 3.30 |
| 64 | 957 | 8 | 2,337 | 2,748 | 655 | 3 | 5,751 | 6.01 |
| 1965 | 24,326 | 25 | 10,337 | 33,422 | 1,241 | 1 | 45,026 | 1.85 |
| 66 | 3,775 | 15 | 513 | 5,347 | 385 | 1 | 6,261 | 1.66 |
| 67 | 3,216 | 0 | 356 | 1,084 | 87 | 0 | 1,527 | 0.47 |
| 68 | 2,557 | 0 | 293 | 112 | 137 | 2 | 544 | 0.21 |
| 69 | 8,394 | 0 | 137 | 4,543 | 613 | 11 | 5,304 | 0.63 |
| 1970 | 13,935 | 1 | 83 | 14,481 | 1,261 | 7 | 15,833 | 1.14 |
| 71 | 2,387 | 0 | 262 | 2,262 | 305 | 0 | 2,829 | 1.19 |
| 72 | 1,010 | 0 | 256 | 1,365 | 320 | 0 | 1,941 | 1.92 |
| 73 | 227 | 0 | 580 | 1,303 | 574 | 0 | 2,457 | 10.82 |
| 74 | 4,434 | 9 | 6,639 | 18,734 | 794 | 5 | 26,181 | 5.90 |
| 1975 | 13,140 | 5 | 5,985 | 31,495 | 601 | 0 | 38,086 | 2.90 |
| 76 | 1,965 | 5 | 5,352 | 4,941 | 277 | 0 | 10,575 | 5.38 |
| 77 | 1,341 | 54 | 1,941 | 1,144 | 99 | 0 | 3,238 | 2.41 |
| 78 | 4,149 | 0 | 1,851 | 2,475 | 828 | 6 | 5,160 | 1.24 |
| 79 | 11,218 | 58 | 18,407 | 20,165 | 3,512 | 0 | 42,142 | 3.75 |
| 1980 | 22,505 | 2 | 2,914 | 9,717 | 415 | 0 | 13,048 | 0.58 |
| 81 | 1,754 | 0 | 800 | 1,162 | 167 | 0 | 2,129 | 1.21 |
| 82 | 1,135 | 25 | 447 | 1,068 | 144 | 0 | 1,684 | 1.48 |
| 83 | 3,570 | 1 | 8,604 | 4,205 | 578 | 3 | 13,391 | 3.75 |
| 84 | 10,491 | 0 | 2,580 | 18,877 | 2,454 | 2 | 23,913 | 2.28 |
| 1985 | 7.211 | 11 | 1,083 | 14,654 | 1,572 | 17 | 17,337 | 2.40 |
| 86 | 1.179 | 10 | 720 | 2,479 | 1,350 | 4 | 4,563 | 3.87 |
| 87 | 6,066 | 33 | 4,289 | 6,995 | 712 | 2 | 12,031 | 1.98 |
| 88 | 4,065 | 15 | 2,532 | 6,806 | 570 |  | 9,923 | 2.44 |
| 89 | 8,318 | 31 | 2,300 | 20,466 |  |  | 22,797 b | 2.74 |
| 1990 | 6,970 | 14 | 1,635 |  |  |  | 1,649 | 0.24 |
| 91 | 4,222 | 1 |  |  |  |  | 1 b | 0.00 |
| 92 | 4,726 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 4,025 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 8,338 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totah | 185,940 | 285 | 103,778 | 278,297 | 29,205 | 88 | 411,653 |  |
| $A^{\text {Average }}$ | 5,635 |  | 3,145 | 8,433 | 885 | 3 | 12,474 | 2.21 |
| Percentı |  | 0 | 25 | 68 | 7 | 0 | 100 |  |

I Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns, 1955-87.
a Includes estimates of False Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye. All escapements and returns rounded to the nearest thousand fish. Totals not adjusted for interceptions within Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula.
b Returns incomplete.
(Sources: 1 and 18)

Appendix Table 22．Branch River sockeye salmon escapement and return by brood year， Bristol Bay，1955－94．

| Brood Yeat | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Return Per Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| 1955 | 172 | 0 | 788 | 263 | 44 | 0 | 1，095 | 6.37 |
| 56 | 784 | 5 | 1，885 | 458 | 41 | 0 | 2，389 | 3.05 |
| 57 | 127 | 0 | 5 | 66 | 13 | 1 | 85 | 0.67 |
| 58 | 95 | 0 | 43 | 53 | 52 | 0 | 148 | 1.56 |
| 59 | 825 | 0 | 302 | 387 | 76 | 2. | 767 | 0.93 |
| 1960 | 1，241 | 0 | 105 | 320 | 31 | 0 | 456 | 0.37 |
| 61 | 90 | 10 | 90 | 192 | 0 | 0 | 292 | 3.24 |
| 62 | 91 | 19 | 129 | 94 | 19 | 0 | 261 | 2.87 |
| 63 | 203 | 0 | 200 | 174 | 2 | 0 | 376 | 1.85 |
| 64 | 249 | 5 | 102 | 211 | 17 | 0 | 335 | 1.35 |
| 1965 | 175 | 6 | 104 | 171 | 17 | 0 | 298 | 1.70 |
| 66 | 174 | 13 | 282 | 274 | 11 | 0 | 580 | 3.33 |
| 67 | 203 | 9 | 301 | 97 | 7 | 0 | 414 | 2.04 |
| 68 | 194 | 8 | 127 | 43 | 3 | 0 | 181 | 0.93 |
| 69 | 182 | 0 | 5 | 160 | 25 | 0 | 190 | 1.04 |
| 1970 | 177 | 0 | 73 | 77 | 2 | 0 | 152 | 0.86 |
| 71 | 187 | 2 | 26 | 59 | 37 | 2 | 126 | 0.67 |
| 72 | 151 | 1 | 91 | 24 | 14 | 0 | 130 | 0.86 |
| 73 | 35 | 0 | 98 | 148 | 2 | 0 | 248 | 7.09 |
| 74 | 215 | 4 | 297 | 146 | 8 | 0 | 455 | 2.12 |
| 1975 | 100 | 15 | 415 | 343 | 2 | 0 | 775 | 7.75 |
| 76 | 82 ． | 26 | 211 | 188 | 55 | 0 | 480 | 5.85 |
| 77 | 100 c | 27 | 142 | 699 | 12 | 0 | 880 | 8.80 |
| 78 | 229 － | 1 | 102 | 107 | 147 | 0 | 357 | 1.56 |
| 79 | 294 － | 3 | 464 | 329 | 3 | 0 | 799 | 2.72 |
| 1980 | 298 。 | 0 | 104 | 224 | 11 | 1 | 340 | 1.14 |
| 81 | 82 c | 0 | 55 | 223 | 12 | 0 | 290 | 3.54 |
| 82 | 239 c | 0 | 173 | 145 | 3 | 0 | 321 | 1.34 |
| 83 | 96 c | 0 | 148 | 165 | 3 | 0 | 316 | 3.29 |
| 84 | 215 ． | 1 | 159 | 188 | 23 | 0 | 371 | 1.73 |
| 1985 | 118 。 | 3 | 357 | 203 | 8 | 0 | 571 | 4.84 |
| 85 | 230 c | 1 | 346 | 461 | 8 | 0 | 816 | 3.55 |
| 87 | 154 。 | 0 | 158 | 341 | 83 | 0 | 582 | 3.78 |
| 88 | 195 ， | 1 | 154 | 424 | 43 |  | 622 ь | 3.19 |
| 89 | 197 ． | 5 | 353 | 349 |  |  | 707 ь | 3.59 |
| 1990 | 169 c | 2 | 262 |  |  |  | 264 b | 1.56 |
| 91 | 278 。 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 b | 0.00 |
| 92 | 225 。 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 348 。 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 243 c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 7，807 | 159 | 7，887 | 7．033 | 791 | 6 | 15，876 |  |
| Averageı | 237 | 5 | 239 | 213 | 24 | 0 | 481 | 2.03 |
| Percent |  | 1 | 50 | 44 | 5 | 0 | 100 |  |

1 Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns，1955－87．
a Includes estimates of False Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye．All escapements and returns rounded to the nearest thousand fish．Totals not adjusted for interceptions within
Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula．
－Returns incomplete．
Aerial estimates of escapement（all others are tower counts）．
（Sources： 1 and 18）

Appendix Table 23. Naknek River sockeye salmon escapement and return by brood year, Bristol Bay, 1953-94.

| Brood Year | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Return Per Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| 1953 | 285 | 0 | 24 | 316 | 248 | 1 | 589 | 2.07 |
| 54 | 799 | 0 | 104 | 2,431 | 587 | 16 | 3,138 | 3.93 |
| 1955 | 279 | 0 | 722 | 1,034 | 90 | 6 | 1,852 | 6.64 |
| 56 | 1,773 | 1 | 474 | 1,703 | 321 | 1 | 2,500 | 1.41 |
| 57 | 635 | 0 | 55 | 834 | 678 | 3 | 1,570 | 2.47 |
| 58 | 278 | 0 | 116 | 749 | 172 | 2 | 1,039 | 3.74 |
| 59 | 2,232 | 0 | 356 | 1,093 | 705 | 0 | 2,154 | 0.97 |
| 1960 | 828 | 1 | 1,418 | 1,322 | 1,279 | 3 | 4,023 | 4.86 |
| 61 | 351 | 0 | 242 | 1,060 | 642 | 8 | 1,952 | 5.56 |
| 62 | 723 | 0 | 80 | 581 | 412 | , | 1,074 | 1.49 |
| 63 | 905 | 0 | 145 | 1,223 | 634 | 1 | 2,003 | 2.21 |
| 64 | 1,350 | 1 | 472 | 1,399 | 188 | 1 | 2,061 | 1.53 |
| 1965 | 718 | 5 | 584 | 1,093 | 438 | 1 | 2,121 | 2.95 |
| 66 | 1,016 | 5 | 731 | 2,471 | 630 | 1 | 3,838 | 3.78 |
| 67 | 756 | 0 | 334 | 1,026 | 356 | 1 | 1,717 | 2.27 |
| 68 | 1,023 | 3 | 152 | 317 | 271 | 2 | 745 | 0.73 |
| 69 | 1,331 | 0 | 50 | 1,283 | 1,214 | 3 | 2,550 | 1.92 |
| 1970 | 733 | 1 | 173 | 2,163 | 382 | 0 | 2,719 | 3.71 |
| 71 | 936 | 1 | 422 | 1,987 | 1,847 | 17 | 4,274 | 4.57 |
| 72 | 587 | 3 | 248 | 402 | 611 | 1 | 1,265 | 2.16 |
| 73 | 357 | 0 | 494 | 1,143 | 598 | 0 | 2,235 | 6.26 |
| 74 | 1,241 | 2 | 235 | 1,254 | 789 | 5 | 2,285 | 1.84 |
| 1975 | 2,027 | 1 | 436 | 3,139 | 1,642 | 8 | 5,226 | 2.58 |
| 76 | 1,321 | 4 | 1,087 | 5,623 | 1,513 | 29 | 8,256 | 6.25 |
| 77 | 1,086 | 12 | 642 | 2,368 | 465 | 6 | 3,493 | 3.22 |
| 78 | 813 | 1 | 334 | 2,816 | 542 | 0 | 3,693 | 4.54 |
| 79 | 925 | 4 | 2,443 | 1,765 | 423 | 3 | 4,638 | 5.01 |
| 1980 | 2,645 | 1 | 737 | 2,695 | 837 | 2 | 4,272 | 1.62 |
| 81 | 1,796 | 4 | 791 | 3,041 | 949 | 3 | 4,788 | 2.67 |
| 82 | 1,156 | 3 | 188 | 1,358 | 484 | 9 | 2,042 | 1.77 |
| 83 | 888 | 0 | 171 | 820 | 485 | 1 | 1,477 | 1.66 |
| 84 | 1,242 | 1 | 492 | 2,125 | 1,825 | 5 | 4,448 | 3.58 |
| 1985 | 1,850 | 2 | 682 | 4,809 | 1,493 | 38 | 7,024 | 3.80 |
| 86 | 1,979 | 3 | 2,008 | 8,486 | 3,169 | 41 | 13,707 | 6.93 |
| 87 | 1,062 | 3 | 352 | 1,809 | 3,334 | 12 | 5,510 b | 5.19 |
| 88 | 1,038 | 0 | 285 | 1,306 | 580 |  | 2,171 b | 2.09 |
| 89 | 1,162 | 1 | 229 | 2,077 |  |  | 2,307 b | 1.99 |
| 1990 | 2,093 | 0 | 451 |  |  |  | 451 в | 0.22 |
| 91 | 3,579 | 14 |  |  |  |  | 14 ь | 0.00 |
| 92 | 1,607 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 1,536 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 991 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total ${ }_{1}$ | 37,926 | 62 | 17,994 | 67,738 | 30,253 | 231 | 116,278 |  |
| Average ${ }_{1}$ | 1,084 | 2 | 514 | 1,935 | 864 | 7 | 3,322 | 3.07 |
| Percent |  | 0 | 15 | 58 | 26 | 0 | 100 |  |

1 Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns, 1953-87.
a Includes estimates of False Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye. All escapements and returns rounded to the nearest thousand fish. Totals not adjusted for interceptions within Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula.
Returns incomplete.

Appendix Table 24. Egegik River sockeye salmon escapement and return by brood year, Bristol Bay,

| Brood Year | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| + 753 | 519 | 0 | 26 | 475 | 591 | 12 | 1,104 | 2.13 |
| 54 | 507 | 0 | 15 | 1,202 | 728 | 45 | 1,990 | 3.93 |
| 1955 | 271 | 1 | 21 | 835 | 402 | 7 | 1,266 | 4.67 |
| 56 | 1,104 | 6 | 2,025 | 4,115 | 688 | 12 | 6,846 | 6.20 |
| 57 | 391 | 0 | 37 | 1,140 | 997 | 62 | 2,236 | 5.72 |
| 58 | 246 | 0 | 45 | 890 | 324 | 3 | 1,262 | 5.13 |
| 59 | 1,072 | 0 | 75 | 1,201 | 481 | 25 | 1,782 | 1.66 |
| 1960 | 1,799 | 8 | 469 | 4,775 | 2,609 | 51 | 7,912 | 4.40 |
| 61 | 702 | 0 | 85 | 675 | 819 | 10 | 1,589 | 2.26 |
| 62 | 1,027 | 0 | 22 | 1,019 | 403 | 30 | 1,474 | 1.44 |
| 63 | 998 | 0 | 18 | 652 | 581 | 7 | 1,258 | 1.26 |
| 64 | 850 | 1 | 132 | 1,524 | 315 | 12 | 1,984 | 2.33 |
| 1965 | 1,445 | 0 | 139 | 2,088 | 854 | 21 | 3,102 | 2.15 |
| 66 | 804 | 0 | 251 | 1,352 | 898 | 10 | 2,511 | 3.12 |
| 67 | 637 | 0 | 64 | 922 | 624 | 3 | 1,613 | 2.53 |
| 68 | 339 | 0 | 41 | 143 | 260 | 14 | 458 | 1.35 |
| 69 | 1,016 | 0 | 13 | 1,208 | 1,418 | 115 | 2,754 | 2.71 |
| 1970 | 920 | 0 | 59 | 885 | 270 | 25 | 1,239 | 1.35 |
| 71 | 634 | 0 | 46 | 1,586 | 1,044 | 56 | 2,732 | 4.31 |
| 72 | 546 | 0 | 60 | 1,570 | 1,311 | 18 | 2,959 | 5.42 |
| 73 | 329 | 0 | 76 | 713 | 887 | 4 | 1,680 | 5.11 |
| 74 | 1,276 | 0 | 149 | 2,324 | 550 | 3 | 3,026 | 2.37 |
| 1975 | 1,174 | 0 | 158 | 2,692 | 810 | 3 | 3,663 | 3.12 |
| 76 | 509 | 2 | 674 | 3,792 | 850 | 0 | 5,318 | 10.45 |
| 77 | 693 | 2 | 824 | 2,657 | 721 | 13 | 4,217 | 6.09 |
| 78 | 896 | 0 | 406 | 6,581 | 2,209 | 12 | 9,208 | 10.28 |
| 79 | 1,032 | 3 | 721 | 3,558 | 1,664 | 0 | 5,946 | 5.76 |
| 1980 | 1,061 | 1 | 843 | 6,801 | 930 | 0 | 8.575 | 8.08 |
| 81 | 695 | 0 | 615 | 4,237 | 1,458 | 7 | 6,317 | 9.09 |
| 82 | 1,035 | 4 | 1,004 | 3,670 | 1,658 | 4 | 6,340 | 6.13 |
| 83 | 792 | 3 | 1,755 | 5,998 | 2,850 | 38 | 10,644 | 13.44 |
| 84 | 1,165 | 1 | 701 | 7,520 | 5,064 | 52 | 13,338 | 11.45 |
| 1985 | 1,095 | 4 | 608 | 5,638 | 1,279 | 19 | 7,548 | 6.89 |
| 36 | 1,151 | 2 | 1,870 | 7,646 | 4,704 | 111 | 14,333 | 12.45 |
| 87 | 1,274 | 2 | 963 | 13,448 | 11,403 | 87 | 25,903 | 20.33 |
| 88 | 1,613 | 1 | 475 | 12,531 | 5,937 |  | 18,944 b | 11.74 |
| 89 | 1,612 | 1 | 644 | 6,548 |  |  | 7,193 | 4.46 |
| 1990 | 2,192 | 0 | 474 |  |  |  | 474 b | 0.22 |
| 91 | 2,787 | 5 |  |  |  |  | 5 | 0.00 |
| 92 | 1,946 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 1,517 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 1,968 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 30,004 | 40 | 15,010 | 105,532 | 52,654 | 891 | 174,127 |  |
| Average, | 857 | , | 429 | 3,015 | 1,504 | 25 | 4,975 | 5.80 |
| Percent, |  | 0 | 9 | 61 | 30 | 1 | 100 |  |

1 Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns, 1953-87.

- Includes estimates of False Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye. All escapements and returns rourided to the nearest thousand fish. Totals not adjusted for interceptions within
Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula.
- Returns incomplete.

Appendix Table 25. Ugashik River sockeye salmon escapement and return by brood year, Bristol Bay, 1953-94.:

| Brood Year | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| 1953 | 1,056 | 0 | 216 | 668 | 224 | 0 | 1,108 | 1.05 |
| 54 | 459 | 0 | 28 | 423 | 61 | 0 | 512 | 1.12 |
| 1955 | 77 | 0 | 19 | 151 | 7 | 0 | 177 | 2.30 |
| 56 | 425. | 13 | 3,167 | 916 | 37 | 0 | 4,133 | 9.72 |
| 57 | 215 | 0 | 38 | 459 | 105 | 2 | 604 | 2.81 |
| 58 | 280 | 0 | 64 | 549 | 66 | 0 | 679 | 2.43 |
| 59 | 219 | 0 | 18 | 347 | 132 | 1 | 498 | 2.27 |
| 1960 | 2,341 | 0 | 685 | 1,859 | 487 | 1 | 3,032 | 1.30 |
| 61 | 366 | 0 | 245 | 747 | 121 | 0 | 1,113 | 3.04 |
| 62 | 274 | 0 | 81 | 315 | 28 | 0 | 424 | 1.55 |
| 63 | 397 | 0 | 13 | 112 | 23 | 0 | 148 | 0.37 |
| 64 | 483 | 0 | 41 | 262 | 19 | 2 | 324 | 0.67 |
| 1965 | 998 | 0 | 87 | 287 | 164 | 0 | 538 | 0.54 |
| 66 | 715 | 1 | 725 | 1,568 | 22 | 0 | 2,316 | 3.24 |
| 67 | 244 | 0 | 56 | 94 | 34 | 0 | 184 | 0.75 |
| 68 | 71 | 0 | 14 | 22 | 3 | 0 | 39 | 0.55 |
| 69 | 160 | 0 | 4 | 58 | 28 | 2 | 92 | 0.58 |
| 1970 | 735 | 0 | 5 | 258 | 30 | 1 | 294 | 0.40 |
| 71 | 530 | 0 | 178 | 526 | 131 | 1 | 836 | 1.58 |
| 72 | 79 | 0 | 35 | 177 | 43 | 3 | 258 | 3.27 |
| 73 | 39 | 0 | 17 | 25 | 50 | 0 | 92 | 2.36 |
| 74 | 62 | 0 | 23 | 617 | 85 | 0 | 725 | 11.69 |
| 1975 | 429 | 3 | 1,488 | 2,296 | 327 | 1 | 4,115 | 9.59 |
| 13 | 356 | 0 | 2,087 | 2,775 | 444 | 3 | 5,309 | 14.91 |
| 77 | 202 | 2 | 604 | 1,880 | 202 | 5 | 2,693 | 13.33 |
| 78 | 82 | 0 | 259 | 1,276 | 529 | 0 | 2.064 | 25.17 |
| 79 | 1,707 | 20 | 3,084 | 2,322 | 576 | 5 | 6,007 | 3.52 |
| 1980 | 3,335 | 1 | 1,236 | 5,680 | 863 | 2 | 7,782 | 2.33 |
| 81 | 1,328 | 2 | 1,617 | 4,910 | 938 | 1 | 7,468 | 5.62 |
| 82 | 1,186 | 1 | 438 | 1,320 | 746 | 2 | 2,507 | 2.11 |
| 83. | 1,001 | 0 | 666 | 975 | 323 | 1 | 1,965 | 1.96 |
| 84 | 1,270 | 0 | 532 | 4,203 | 719 | 4 | 5,458 | 4.30 |
| 1985 | 1,006 | 3 | 516 | 1,697 | 486 | 5 | 2,707 | 2.69 |
| 65 | 1,015 | 6 | 555 | 4,374 | 1,838 | 15 | 6,788 | 6.69 |
| 87 | 687 | 8 | 860 | 3,521 | 2,392 | 43 | 6,824 | 9.93 |
| 88 | 654 | 3 | 492 | 2,889 | 2,318 |  | 5,702 | 8.72 |
| 89 | 1,713 | 10 | 724 | 2,865 |  |  | 3,599 b | 2.10 |
| 1990 | 749 | 1 | 375 |  |  |  | 376 | 0.50 |
| 91 | 2,482 | 7 |  |  |  |  | 7 b | 0.00 |
| 92 | 2,195 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 1,413 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 1,095 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totain | 23,829 | 60 | 19,701 | 47,669 | 12,283 | 100 | 79,813 |  |
| Average ${ }_{1}$ | 681 | 2 | 563 | 1,362 | 351 | 3 | 2,280 | 3.35 |
| Percenti |  | 0 | 25 | 60 | 15 | 0 | 100 |  |

1 Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns, 1953-87.

- Includes estimates of False Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye. All escapements and returns rounded to the nearest thousand fish. Totais not adjusted for interceptions within Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula.
- Returns incomplete.
(Sources: 1 and 18)

Appendix Table 26. Wood River sockeye saimon escapement and return by brood year, Bristol Bay, 1953-94.

| Brood Year | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| 1953 | 516 | 0 | 301 | 471 | 36 | 1 | 809 | 1.57 |
| 54 | 571 | 0 | 1,237 | 1,225 | 67 | 0 | 2,529 | 4.43 |
| 1955 | 1,383 | 0 | 2,407 | 1,235 | 147 | 0 | 3,789 | 2.74 |
| 56 | 773 | 0 | 822 | 650 | 0 | 0 | 1,472 | 1.90 |
| 57 | 289 | 0 | 157 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 449 | 1.55 |
| 58 | 960 | 1 | 2,146 | 463 | 32 | 0 | 2,642 | 2.75 |
| 59 | 2,209 | 0 | 988 | 757 | 56 | 2 | 1,803 | 0.82 |
| 1960 | 1,016 | 6 | 1,474 | 1,146 | 108 | 0 | 2,734 | 2.69 |
| 61 | 461 | 0 | 266 | 1,209 | 21 | 1 | 1,497 | 3.25 |
| 62 | 874 | 2 | 994 | 459 | 49 | 0 | 1,504 | 1.72 |
| 63 | 721 | 0 | 537 | 844 | 46 | 0 | 1,427 | 1.98 |
| 64 | 1,076 | 1 | 458 | 685 | 74 | 2 | 1,220 | 1.13 |
| 1965 | 675 | 3 | 481 | 1,089 | 213 | 1 | 1,787 | 2.65 |
| 66 | 1,209 | 7 | 1,004 | 1,034 | 76 |  | 2,122 | 1.76 |
| 67 | 516 | 3 | 663 | 344 | 82 | 0 | 1,092 | 2.12 |
| 68 | 649 | 1 | 514 | 570 | 23 | 0 | 1,108 | 1.71 |
| 69 | 604 | 0 | 61 | 646 | 126 | 0 | 833 | 1.38 |
| 1970 | 1,162 | 2 | 1,539 | 1,232 | 26 | 0 | 2,799 | 2.41 |
| 71 | 851 | 3 | 475 | 774 | 50 | 0 | 1,302 | 1.53 |
| 72 | 431 | 4 | 801 | 663 | 46 | 0 | 1,514 | 3.51 |
| 73 | 330 | 2 | 213 | 1,223 | 48 | 0 | 1,486 | 4.50 |
| 74 | 1,709 | 3 | 2,965 | 2,119 | 76 | 0 | 5,163 | 3.02 |
| 1975 | 1,270 | 60 | 1,606 | 2,383 | 735 | 0 | 4,784 | 3.77 |
| 76 | 817 | 3 | 2,281 | 3,161 | 275 | 0 | 5,720 | 7.00 |
| 77 | 562 | 20 | 1,028 | 2,213 | 28 | 0 | 3,289 | 5.85 |
| 78 | 2,26? | 0 | 1,367 | 1,813 | 108 | 0 | 3,288 | 1.45 |
| 79 | 1,706 | 10 | 2,643 | 1,514 | 14 | 0 | 4,181 | 2.45 |
| 1980 | 2,969 | 0 | 453 | 1,050 | 102 | 0 | 1,605 | 0.54 |
| 81 | 1,233 | 0 | 626 | 1,197 | 86 | 0 | 1,909 | 1.55 |
| 82 | 976 | 4 | 522 | 886 | 26 | 0 | 1,438 | 1.47 |
| 83 | 1,361 | 1 | 1,945 | 1,171 | 77 | 0 | 3,194 | 2.35 |
| 84 | 1,003 | 0 | 586 | 1,374 | 37 | 0 | 1,997 | 1.99 |
| 1985 | 939 | 11 | 1,142 | 1,449 | 15 | 0 | 2,617 | 2.79 |
| 86 | 819 | 9 | 1,241 | 2,086 | 77 | 0 | 3,413 | 4.17 |
| 87 | 1,337 | 26 | 1,442 | 892 | 102 | 0 | 2,462 | 1.84 |
| 88 | 867 | 6 | 1,641 | 1,541 | 49 |  | 3,237 | 3.73 |
| 89 | 1,186 | 5 | 2,316 | 1,958 |  |  | 4,279 | 3.61 |
| 1990 | 1,069 | 11 | 1,123 |  |  |  | 1,134 | 1.06 |
| 91 | 1,160 | 12 |  |  |  |  | 12 b | 0.01 |
| 92 | 1,286 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 1,176 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 1,472 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 36,244 | 182 | 51,385 | 40,319 | 3,084 | 8 | 80,978 |  |
| Average ${ }_{1}$ | 1,036 | 5 | 1,068 | 1,152 | 88 | 0 | 2,314 | 2.23 |
| Percentı |  | 0 | 46 | 50 | 4 | 0 | 100 |  |

1 Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns, 1953-87.
a Includes estimates of False Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye. All escapements and returns rounded to the nearest thousand fish. Totals not adjusted for interceptions within
Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula.
Returns incomplete.

Appendix Table 27. Igushik River sockeye salmon escapement and return by brood year, Bristol Bay, 1953-94.a

| Brood Year | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| 1953 | 100 | 0 | 98 | 20 | 68 | 1 | 187 | 1.87 |
| 54 | 80 | 0 | 175 | 473 | 113 | 1 | 762 | 9.53 |
| 1955 | 500 | 0 | 454 | 896 | 94 | 0 | 1,444 | 2.89 |
| 56 | 400 | 0 | 169 | 534 | 39 | 0 | 742 | 1.86 |
| 57 | 130 | 0 | 2 | 54 | 20 | 0 | 76 | 0.58 |
| 58 | 107 | 0 | 15 | 91 | 28 | 0 | 134 | 1.25 |
| 59 | 644 | 0 | 101 | 248 | 22 | 0 | 371 | 0.58 |
| 1960 | 495 | 0 | 62 | 355 | 57 | 0 | 474 | 0.96 |
| 61 | 294 | 0 | 34 | 386 | 17 | 0 | 437 | 1.49 |
| 62 | 16 | 0 | 28 | 290 | 9 | 0 | 327 | 20.44 |
| 63 | 92 | 0 | 257 | 225 | 25 | 0 | 507 | 5.51 |
| 64 | 129 | 0 | 163 | 718 | 49 | 0 | 930 | 7.21 |
| 1965 | 181 | 0 | 371 | 638 | 79 | 0 | 1,088 | 6.01 |
| 66 | 206 | 0 | 66 | 390 | 15 | 0 | 471 | 2.29 |
| 67 | 282 | 0 | 59 | 103 | 12 | 0 | 174 | 0.62 |
| 68 | 195 | 0 | 43 | 121 | 12 | 0 | 176 | 0.90 |
| 69 | 512 | 0 | 1 | 432 | 104 | 0 | 537 | 1.05 |
| 1970 | 371 | 0 | 27 | 211 | 71 | 0 | 309 | 0.83 |
| 71 | 211 | 0 | 48 | 225 | 30 | 0 | 303 | 1.44 |
| 72 | 60 | 0 | 93 | 115 | 21 | 0 | 229 | 3.82 |
| 73 | 60 | 0 | 19 | 676 | 30 | 0 | 725 | 12.08 |
| 74 | 359 | 0 | 449 | 1,096 | 29 | 0 | 1,574 | 4.38 |
| 1975 | 241 | 0 | 783 | 2,693 | 505 | 0 | 3,981 | 16.52 |
| 76 | 186 | 0 | 554 | 1,605 | 235 | 0 | 2,394 | 12.87 |
| 77 | 96 | 0 | 300 | 1,697 | 17 | 0 | 2,014 | 20.98 |
| 78 | 536 | 0 | 96 | 414 | 17 | 0 | 527 | 0.98 |
| 79 | 860 | 0 | 423 | 419 | 5 | 0 | 847 | 0.98 |
| 1980 | 1,988 | 0 | 20 | 296 | 56 | 0 | 372 | 0.19 |
| 81 | 391 | 0 | 188 | 787 | 50 | 0 | 1,025 | 1.73 |
| 82 | 424 | 0 | 64 | 443 | 12 | 0 | 519 | 1.22 |
| 83 | 180 | 1 | 151 | 361 | 31 | 0 | 544 | 3.02 |
| 84 | 185 | 0 | 41 | 697 | 40 | 1 | 779 | 4.21 |
| 1985 | 212 | 0 | 522 | 1,019 | 86 | 2 | 1,629 | 7.68 |
| 86 | 308 | 3 | 253 | 2,304 | 46 | 0 | 2,606 | 8.46 |
| 87 | 169 | 2 | 177 | 610 | 41 | 0 | 830 | 4.91 |
| 88 | 170 | 0 | 194 | 1,111 | 39 |  | 1,344 b | 7.91 |
| 89 | 462 | 0 | 525 | 1,186 |  |  | 1,711 b | 3.70 |
| 1990 | 366 | 1 | 163 |  |  |  | 164 b | 0.45 |
| 91 | 756 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 b | 0.00 |
| 92 | 305 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 406 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 446 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totali | 11,400 | 6 | 6,306 | 21,642 | 2,085 | 5 | 30,044 |  |
| Average ${ }_{1}$ | 326 | 0 | 180 | 618 | 60 | 0 | 858 | 2.64 |
| Percent: |  | 0 | 21 | 72 | 7 | 0 | 10 |  |

1 Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns, 1953-87.

- Includes estimates of False Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye. All escapements and returns rounded to the nearest thousand fish. Totais not adjusted for interceptions
Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula.
- Returns incomplete.
(Sources: 1 and 18)

Appendix Table 28．Nushagak River sockeye salmon escapement and return by brood year，Bristol Bay， 1978－94．a

| Brood Year | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| 1978 | 664. | 0 | 535 | 948 | 6 | 1 | 1，490 | 2.24 |
| 79 | 499 。 | 18 | 960 | 876 | 47 | 0 | 1，901 | 3.81 |
| 1980 | 3，317 | 19 | 530 | 574 | 160 | 0 | 1，283 | 0.39 |
| 81 | 1，012 | 9 | 307 | 1，492 | 118 | 0 | 1，926 | 1.90 |
| 82 | 601 c | 35 | 515 | 945 | 68 | 0 | 1，563 | 2.60 |
| 83 | 404 。 | 100 | 722 | 680 | 19 | 0 | 1，521 | 3.76 |
| 84 | 593 。 | 10 | 277 | 599 | 26 | 0 | 912 | 1.54 |
| 1985 | 498 。 | 68 | 574 | 679 | 30 | 0 | 1，351 | 2.71 |
| 86 | 990 － | 68 | 969 | 755 | 251 | 0 | 2，043 | 2.06 |
| 87 | 388 。 | 145 | 963 | 846 | 113 | 1 | 2，068 | 5.33 |
| 88 | 483 d | 71 | 779 | 1，581 | 70 |  | 2，501 b | 5.18 |
| 89 | 513 d | 69 | 614 | 746 |  |  | 1，429 b | 2.79 |
| 1990 | 680 d | 53 | 812 |  |  |  | 865 b | 1.27 |
| 91 | 495 d | 10 |  |  |  |  | 10 b | 0.02 |
| 92 | 695 d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 715 d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 509 d |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totalt | 8，966 | 472 | 6，352 | 8，394 | 838 | 2 | 16，058 |  |
| Average， | 897 | 47 | 635 | 839 | 84 | 0 | 1，606 | 1.79 |
| Percent ${ }_{1}$ |  | 3 | 40 | 52 | 5 | 0 | 100 |  |

1 Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns，1978－87．
a Includes estimates of Faise Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye．All escapements and returns rounded to the nearest thousand fish．Totals not adjusted for interceptions within Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula．
b Returns incomplete．
Escapement derived by addition of Nushagak－Mulchatna aerial survey estimates to Nuyakuk River tower counts．
d Sonar estimates．
－Escapement derived by adding Nuyakuk Tower count to a calculated total for the Nushagak－Mulchatna Rivers． Calculation was based on the historic ratio between Nuyakuk River tower counts and Nushagak－Mulchatna aerial survey estimates．
（Sources： 1 and 18）

Appendix Table 29. Togiak River sockeye salmon escapement and return by brood year, Bristol Bay, 1953-94.e

| Brood Year | Escapement | Return by Year |  |  |  |  | Total | Return Per Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |  |  |
| 1953 | 102 | 0 | 33 | 93 | 16 | 0 | 142 | 1.39 |
| 54 | 77 | 0 | 20 | 157 | 19 | 0 | 196 | 2.55 |
| 1955 | 112 | 0 | 136 | 199 | 39 | 0 | 374 | 3.34 |
| 56 | 225 | 0 | 118 | 328 | 14 | 0 | 460 | 2.04 |
| 57 | 25 | 2 | 53 | 90 | 37 | 0 | 182 | 7.28 |
| 58 | 72 | 2 | 70 | 174 | 25 | 0 | 271 | 3.76 |
| 59 | 210 | 0 | 142 | 147 | 7 | 0 | 296 | 1.41 |
| 1960 | 192 | 0 | 194 | 296 | 52 | 0 | 542 | 2.82 |
| 61 | 122 |  | 88 | 231 | 20 | 0 | 340 | 2.79 |
| 62 | 62 | 0 | 55 | 107 | 8 | 0 | 170 | 2.74 |
| 63 | 116 | 0 | 44 | 84 | 24 | 0 | 152 | 1.31 |
| 64 | 105 | 0 | 44 | 125 | 6 | 0 | 175 | 1.67 |
| 1965 | 96 | 0 | 156 | 212 | 37 | 0 | 405 | 4.22 |
| 66 | 104 | 1 | 205 | 424 | 11 | 1 | 642 | 6.17 |
| 67 | 81 | 1 | 24 | 115 | 41 | 0 | 181 | 2.23 |
| 68 | 50 | 0 | 50 | 196 | 16 | 0 | 262 | 5.24 |
| 69 | 117 | 0 | 33 | 167 | 16 | 0 | 216 | 1.85 |
| 1970 | 203 | 0 | 55 | 282 | 71 | 1 | 409 | 2.01 |
| 71 | 200 | 0 | 110 | 379 | 69 | 0 | 558 | 2.79 |
| 72 | 79 | 1 | 95 | 172 | 36 | 0 | 304 | 3.85 |
| 73 | 107 | 1 | 161 | 460 | 32 | 0 | 654 | 6.11 |
| 74 | 104 | 0 | 274 | 381 | 48 | 1 | 704 | 6.77 |
| 1975 | 181 | 1 | 203 | 935 | 62 | 0 | 1,201 | 6.64 |
| 76 | 189 | 0 | 190 | 700 | 178 | 0 | 1,068 | 5.65 |
| 77 | 163 | 0 | 236 | 631 | 17 | 0 | 884 | 5.42 |
| 78 | 306 | 1 | 154 | 500 | 26 | 0 | 681 | 2.23 |
| 79 | 198 | 1 | 271 | 304 | 6 | 0 | 582 | 2.94 |
| 1980 | 527 | 0 | 49 | 236 | 20 | 0 | 305 | 0.58 |
| 81 | 307 | 2 | 65 | 260 | 17 | 0 | 344 | 1.12 |
| 82 | 289 | 0 | 125 | 269 | 31 | 0 | 425 | 1.47 |
| 83 | 213 | 1 | 288 | 935 | 23 | 0 | 1,247 | 5.85 |
| 84 | 151 | 0 | 35 | 113 | 21 | 0 | 169 | 1.12 |
| 1985 | 145 | 0 | 42 | 254 | 79 | 1 | 376 | 2.59 |
| 66 | 203 | 0 | 111 | 544 | 132 | 0 | 787 | 3.88 |
| 87 | 278 | 0 | 206 | 601 | 88 | 0 | 895 | 3.22 |
| 88 | 305 | 1 | 119 | 442 | 57 |  | 619 。 | 2.03 |
| 89 | 104 | 0 | 168 | 336 |  |  | 504 。 | 4.85 |
| 1990 | 189 | 1 | 126 |  |  |  | 127 b | 0.67 |
| 91 | 278 | 4 |  |  |  |  | 4 n | 0.01 |
| 92 | 216 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 193 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 174 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Totat | 5,711 | 15 | 4,135 | 11,101 | 1,344 | 4 | 16,599 |  |
| Average ${ }_{1}$ | 163 | 0 | 118 | 317 | 38 | 0 | 474 | 2.91 |
| Percent: |  | 0 | 25 | 67 | 8 | 0 | 100 |  |

1 Averages and percentages computed from years with complete returns, 1953-87.
a Includes estimates of False Pass and Japanese high seas catches of Bristol Bay sockeye. All escapements and returns rounded to the nearest thousand fish. Totals not adjusted for interceptions within Bristol Bay or the Alaska Peninsula.
Returns incomplete.

Appendix Table 30. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of chinook in the Nushagak and Togiak Districts, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.a

| Year | Nushagak District |  |  | Togiak District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch | Escapement 1 | Total Run | Catch | Escapement 2 | Total Run |
| 1974 | 32,053 | 70,000 | 102,053 | 10,798 | 15,000 | 25,798 |
| 75 | 21,454 | 70,000 | 91,454 | 7,226 | 11,000 | 18,226 |
| 76 | 60,684 | 100,000 | 160,684 | 29,744 | 14,000 | 43,744 |
| 77 | 85,074 | 65,000 | 150,074 | 35,218 | 20,000 | 55,218 |
| 78 | 118,548 | 130,000 | 248,548 | 57,000 | 40,000 | 97,000 |
| 1979 | 157,321 | 95,000 | 252,321 | 30,022 | 20,000 | 50,022 |
| 80 | 64,958 | 141,000 | 205,958 | 12,543 | 12,000 | 24,543 |
| 81 | 193,461 | 150,000 | 343,461 | 23,911 | 27,000 | 50,911 |
| 82 | 195,287 | 147,000 | 342,287 | 33,786 | 17,000 | 50,786 |
| 83 | 137,123 | 162,000 | 299,123 | 38,497 | 22,000 | 60,497 |
| 1984 | 61,378 | 81,000 | 142,378 | 22,179 | 26,000 | 48,179 |
| 85 | 67,783 | 116,000 | 183,783 | 37,106 | 14,000 | 51,106 |
| 86 | 65,783 | 43,434 | 109,217 | 19,880 | 8,000 b | 27,880 |
| 87 | 45,983 | 84,309 | 130,292 | 17,217 | 11,000 | 28,217 |
| 88 | 16,648 | 56,905 | 73,553 | 15,606 | 10,000 | 25,606 |
| 1989 | 17,637 | 78,302 | 95,939 | 11,366 | 10,540 | 21,906 |
| 90 | 14,812 | 63,955 | 78,767 | 11,130 | 9,107 | 20,237 |
| 91 | 19,718 | 104,357 | 124,075 | 6,039 | 12,657 | 13,705 |
| 92 | 47,563 | 82,848 | 130,411 | 12,640 | 10,413 | 23,053 |
| 93 | 62.976 | 97,812 | 160,788 | 10,851 | 16,035 | 26,886 |
| 20-Year Ave. | .74,312 | 96.946 | 171,258 | 22,138 | 16,288 | 38,426 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 106,596 | 113,000 | 219,596 | 27,875 | 19,800 | 47,675 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 42,028 | 80,892 | 122,920 | 16,401 | 12,776 | 29,178 |
| 1994 | 118,643 c | 95,954 | 214,597 | 10,629 c | 19,353 | 29,982 |

1 Escapements were estimated from the following:
1974-81 - comprehensive aerial surveys.
1982-85 - correlation between index counts and total escapement estimates when aerial
surveys were complete.
1986-93 - sonar estimate.
Estimates for 1974-85 are rounded to the nearest thousand fish.
2 Escapement estimates based on comprehensive aerial surveys. Estimates for 1974-88 are rounded to the nearest thousand fish.
a Escapement estimates supersede those previously reported.
b Minimal estimate based on incomplete data.
c Preliminary.
(Sources: 1, 5 and 13)

Appendix Table 31. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of chum salmon in the Nushagak and Togiak Districts, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.a

| Year | Nushagak District |  |  | Togiak District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch | Escapement 1 | Total Run | Catch | Escapement 2 | Total Run |
| 1974 | 157,941 | 100,000 | 257,941 | 80,710 | 161,000 | 241,710 |
| 75 | 152,891 | 80,000 | 232,891 | 87,058 | 114,000 | 201,058 |
| 76 | 801,064 | 500,000 | 1,301,064 | . 153,559 | 392,000 | 545,559 |
| 77 | 899,701 | 609,000 | 1,508,701 | 270,649 | 496,000 | 766,649 |
| 78 | 651,743 | 293,000 | 944,743 | 274,967 | 396,000 | 670,967 |
| 1979 | 440,279 | 166,000 | 606,279 | 219,942 | 293,000 | 512,942 |
| 80 | 681,930 | 969,000 | 1,650,930 | 299,682 | 415,000 | 714,682 |
| 81 | 795,143 | 177,000 | 972,143 | 229,886 | 331,000 | 560,886 |
| 82 | 434,817 | 256,000 | 690,817 | 151,000 | 86,000 | 237,000 |
| 83 | 725,060 | 164,000 | 889,060 | 322,691 | 165,000 | 487,691 |
| 1984 | 850,114 | 362,000 | 1,212,114 | 336,660 | 204,000 | 540,660 |
| 85 | 396,740 | 288,000 | 684,740 | 203,302 | 212,000 | 415,302 |
| 86 | 488,375 | 168,275 | 656,650 | 270,057 | 330,000 | 600,057 |
| 87 | 416,476 | 147,433 | 563,909 | 419,425 | 361,000 | 780,425 |
| 88 | 371,196 | 186,418 | 557,614 | 470,132 | 412,000 | 882,132 |
| 1989 | 523,903 | 377,512 | 901,415 | 203,178 | 143,890 | 347,068 |
| 90 | 378,223 | 329,793 | 708,016 | 102,861 | 67,460 | 170,321 |
| 91 | 463,780 | 287,280 | 751,060 | 246,589 | 149,210 | 395,799 |
| 92 | 398,691 | 302,678 | 615,712 | 176,123 | 120,000 | 296,123 |
| 93 | 505,799 | 217,230 | 632,109 | 144,86S | 98,470 | 243,339 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 526,693 | 299,031 | 816,895 | 233,167 | 247,352 | 480,519 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 574,057 | 331,400 | 905,457 | 209,014 | 284,900 | 493,914 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 479,330 | 266,662 | 728.334 | 257,320 | 209.80? | 467.123 |
| 1994 | 293,205 b | 378,928 | 672,133 | 232,492 b | 229,470 | 461,962 |

1 Escapements were estimated from the following:
1974 - tower enumeration and aerial survey data;
1975-78 - aerial survey data;
1979-94-adjusted sonar estimate from Portage Creek site.
Estimates for 1974-85 are rounded to the nearest thousand fish.
2 Escapement estimates based on aerial surveys; however, surveys were not conducted in 1986 due to budget constraints. Estimate based on catch/escapement proportion using most recent 10 -year average data. Estimates for 1974-88 rounded to the nearest thousand fish.
a Escapement estimates supersede those previously reported.
b Preliminary.
(Sources: 1, 5 and 13)

Appendix Table 32. Escapement and inshore return of chinook salmon by brood year, in the Nushagak District, Bristol Bay, 1959-94.

| Brood Year | Escapement2 | Returns By Year |  |  |  |  | Total Return3 | Return Per Spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 1959 |  |  |  |  |  | 1,833 |  |  |
| 1960 |  |  |  |  | 48,853 | 8,115 |  |  |
| 61 |  |  |  | 33,756 | 70,559 | 13,746 |  |  |
| 62 |  |  | 16,739 | 43,677 | 76,254 | 6,977 |  |  |
| 63 |  |  | 35,681 | 48,497 | 65,179 | 3,309 | 158,309 |  |
| 64 |  |  | 9,269 | 31,565 | 33.141 | 876 | 80,119 |  |
| 1965 |  | 761 | 14,242 | 83,564 | 83,778 | 3,481 | 188,675 |  |
| 66 | 40,000 b | 62 | 13,979 | 27,454 | 38,557 | 5,044 | 99,210 | 2.48 |
| 67 | 65,000 ¢ | 0 | 9,795 | 16,353 | 46,066 | 24,552 | 99,885 | 1.54 |
| 68 | 70,000 | 0 | 13,485 | 18,291 | 67,765 | 8,368 | 109,661 | 1.57 |
| 69 | 35,000 | 0 | 965 | 14,524 | 29,429 | 2,430 | 49,038 | 1.40 |
| 1970 | 50,000 | 0 | 1,385 | 56,699 | 73,517 | 4,043 | 138,688 | 2.77 |
| 71 | 40,000 d | 0 | 2,433 | 55,755 | 94,828 | 12,572 | 174,720 | 4.37 |
| 72 | 25,000 | 0 | 33,264 | 52,295 | 125,392 | 7,275 | 229,380 | 9.18 |
| 73 | 35.000 | 0 | 2,204 | 82,126 | 105,777 | 13,089 | 203,196 | 5.81 |
| 74 | 70,000 | 0 | 23,817 | 42,053 | 51,264 | 2,174 | 124,992 | 1.79 |
| 1975 | 70,000 | 587 | 95,530 | 146,534 | 137,063 | 9,963 | 400,440 | 5.72 |
| 76 | 100,000 | 1,576 | 7,628 | 111,415 | 143,981 | 6,052 | 281,479 | 2.81 |
| 77 | 65,000 | 0 | 96,260 | 152,290 | 208,444 | 14,837 | 475,536 | 7.32 |
| 78 | 130,000 | 1,738 | 27,569 | 46,773 | 56,434 | 22,029 | 155,101 | 1.19 |
| 79 | 95,000 | 3,137 | 49,377 | 70,843 | 87,467 | 11,738 | 223,390 | 2.35 |
| 1980 | 141,000 | 205 | 11,241 | 48,427 | 55,218 | 3,102 | 118,735 | 0.84 |
| 81 | 150,000 | 967 | 33,684 | 46,274 | 83,487 | 7,342 | 172,303 | 1.15 |
| 82 | 147,000 | 1494 | 4081 | 36112 | 31689 | 5805 | 79360 | 0.54 |
| 83 | 161,730 | 109 | 16706 | 20488 | 51815 | 1461 | 90922 | 0.56 |
| 84 | 80,940 | 703 | 17346 | 27405 | 22117 | 1844 | 69604 | 0.86 |
| 1985 | 115,720 | 3243 | 18121 | 37249 | 45394 | 2012 | 106104 | 0.92 |
| 86 | 35,482 | 27 | 27046 | 51602 | 45299 | - 1921 | 123745 | 3.49 |
| 87 | 78,714 | 517 | 36745 | 54031 | 68926 | 4989 | 165377 | 2.10 |
| 88 | 51,380 | 699 | 35989 | 61371 | 105183 |  | 203276 | 3.96 |
| 89 | 73,878 | 2110 | 40382 | 84393 |  |  |  |  |
| 1990 | 59,363 | 593 | 31569 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 81 | 90,628 | 1468 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 92 | 76,895 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 93 | 90,913 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 94 | 88,554 |  |  |  | . |  |  |  |
| Average | 80,627 | 741 | 25,053 | 55,235 | 74,237 | 7,275 | 166,202 | 2.81 |
| Percent |  | 0 | 15 | 33 | 45 | 4 |  |  |

1 Escapement age compostion for 1966-1980 and 1986 estimated from commercial catch age composition. Subsistence catch age composition from 1966-1981 and 1990 estimated from commercial catch age composition.
2 Escapements for 1968-1970 and 1972-1981 were estimated from comphrehensive aerial surveys. Escapements for 1982-1985 were estimated form the correlation between index counts and total escapement when aerial surveys were complete. Escapements for 1986-1993 are sonar estimates iess the sport and subsistence harvest above the sonar st
3 Total return estimates inciude all age classes, not ju... 1.1, 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 and 1.5.
4 Mean escapements calculated from all escapement from 1966-1993. Mean total return calculated from from 1963-1986. Mean return per spawner calcluated from 1966-1986

- Estimated of inshore return include estimates of escapement, commerical catch, and subsistence catch.
- Escapement for 1966 estimated from a counting tower on the Nushagak River. Tower counts expanded to account for the proportion of the total escapement not inlcuded in the tower count.
c Escapement for 1967 estimated from a combination of tower counts, minimal aerial surveys, and run strength.
d Escapement for 1971 estimated from average mean expoitation rates 1960-1970 and 1972-1976.

Appendix Table 33. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of pink salmon in the Nushagak District by river system, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1958-94

| Year | Catch | Escapement |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Run |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Wood, | Igushik: | Nuyakuk, | Nush/Mul。 | Nushagak, | Sriake. | Total |  |
| 1958 | 1,113,794 |  |  | 4,000,000 |  |  |  | 4,000,000 . | 5,113,794 |
| 1960 | 289,781 |  |  | 146,359 |  |  |  | 146,359 | 436,140 |
| 62 | 880,424 | 25,000 | 12,000 | 493,914 | 6,100 |  | 6,000 | 543,014 | 1,423,438 |
| 64 | 1,497,817 | 1,560 | 450 | 883,500 | 25,000 |  | 50 | 910,560 | 2,408,377 |
| 66 | 2,337,066 |  |  | 1,442,424 |  |  |  | 1,442,424 | 3,779,490 |
| 68 | 1,705,150 |  |  | 2,161,116 |  |  |  | 2,161,116 | 3,866,266 |
| 1970 | 417,834 |  |  | 152,580 |  |  |  | 152,580 | 570,414 |
| 72 | 67,953 |  |  | 58,536 |  |  |  | 58,536 | 126,489 |
| 74 | 413,613 | 44,800 | 7,500 | 529,216 | 3,100 |  | 900 | 585,516 | 999,129 |
| 76 | 739,590 | 21,986 | 5,070 | 794,478 | 41,800 |  | 100 | 863,434 | 1,603,024 |
| 78 | 4,348,336 | 205,000 | 16,210 | 8,390,184 | 771,600 |  | 3,483 | 9,386,477 | 13,734,813 |
| 1980 | 2,202,545 | 31,150 | 3,500 | 2,626,746 | 123,000 |  | 800 | 2,785,196 | 4,987,741 |
| 82 | 1,339,272 | 36,100 | 8,430 | 1,592,096 | 19,130 |  | 900 | 1,656,656 | 2,995,928 |
| 84 | 3,127,153 | 81,400 | 6,190 | 2,760,312 | 73,050 |  | 5,500 | 2,926,452 | 6,053,605 |
| 86 | 267.117 |  |  |  |  | 72,189 |  | 72,189 | 339,306 |
| 88 | 243,890 |  |  |  |  | 494,610 |  | 494,610 | 738,500 |
| 1990 | $\begin{array}{r} 54,127 \\ 190,102 \end{array}$ |  |  |  |  | 801.430 |  | 801,430 | 855,557 |
| Average , | 1,179,754 | 55,875 | 7.419 | 1,859,390 | 132,848 | 456,076 | 2,217 | 1,705,091 | 2,943,059 |
| 1994 | 9,024 |  |  |  |  | 191,772 |  | 191,772 | 200,796 |

1. Aerial suivey estimate 1962 and 1974-84; tower count 1964.

Aerial survey estimate 1962-80; aerial survey estimates and tower count 1976 and 1982-84.
. Tower count 1960-84; aerial survey estimate 1958, and below counting tower 1962-64 and 1982-84.
Aerial survey estimate.
Sonar estimate from Portage Creek.
6 Aerial survey estimate 1962-64, 1974-76 and 1980-84, and weir count 1978.
Only years and systems with escapement data were included in averages.

- Includes even-years only.

No escapement estimate. Sonar project terminated early due to budget constraints.
Preliminary.
(Sources: 1, 5, 13, and 19)

Appendix Table 34. Inshore commercial catch and escapement of coho salmon in the Nushagak and Togiak Districts, in numbers of fish, Bristol Bay, 1980-94.a

| Year | Nushagak District |  |  | Togiak District |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Catch | apement 1 | Total Run | Catch | Escapement. | Total Run |
| 1980 | 147,726 | 232,000 | 379,726 | 151,000 | 96,000 ь | 247,000 |
| 81 | 220,290 | - |  | 29,207 | 61,000 d | 90,207 |
| 82 | 349,669 | 234,000 | 583,669 | 133,765 | 81,000 b | 214,765 |
| 83 | 81,338 | 51,000 | 132,338 | 5,711 |  |  |
| 84 | 260,310 | 171,000 | 431,310 | 176,053 | 104,000 e | 280,053 |
| 1985 | 20,230 | 89,500 | 109,730 | 38,636 | 61,300 f | 99,936 |
| 86 | 68,568 | 42,772 | 111,340 | 48,306 | 30,200 b | 78,506 |
| 87 | 13,263 | 20,220 | 33,483 | 1,292 | 64,900 | 66,192 |
| 88 | 52,698 | 131,101 | 183,799 | 18,468 | $86,330 \mathrm{~h}$ | 104,798 |
| 89 | 77,077 | 84,707 | 161,784 | 56,972 | i |  |
| 1990 | 7,733 | 162,853 ; | 170,586 | 2,690 | 67,449 h | 70,139 |
| 91 | 5,574 | 39,595 | 45,169 | 4,531 | 38,160 ь | 42,691 |
| 92 | 84,077 | 。 |  | 5,328 | 118,020 b | 123,348 |
| 93 | 14,345 | 42,742 j | 56,986 | 12,615 | - i |  |
| Average | 100,207 | 108,458 | 199,993 | 48,898 | 73,487 | 128,876 |
| 1994 | 6,814 k | 82,019 | 88,833 | $96,606 \mathrm{k}$ | i |  |

- Sona- enumeration has not always covered the complete season; in these cases a proporiional method was used to estimate escapement after the sonar operation terminated.
a Escapement estimates based on data collected from sonar enumeration and aerial surveys of the spawning groundis; these escapement estimates supersede previously ieported escapements.
b Includes Togiak and Kulukak River drainages.
c Sonar enumeration preciuded by lack of funding and no estimate of escapement of total run is available.
d Includes Togiak, Kuklukak, Ungalikthluk/Kukayachagak and Nunavachak drainages.
- Togiak, Kulukak, Slug, Osviak, and Matogak River drainages.
i Togiak, Kulukak, Quigmy, Matogak, and Osviak River drainages.
s Estimate of Togiak River drainage derived from sonar enumeration (USFWS) in conjunction with aerial surveys of Kulukak, Osviak, Matogak, Quigmy, and Ungalikthluk River drainages.
h Togiak, Kulukak, Slug, Osviak, Matogak, Quigmy, Negukthlik, and Ungalikthluk.
i : escapement estimate available due to adverse weather and water conditions, and no estimate of escapement or total run is available.
Special funding allowed the sonar project to operate until 9/12/90, and 8/25/93.
* Catches are preliminary.
(Sources: 1, 5 and 13)

Appendix Table 35. Average round weight (lbs.) of the commercial salmon catch by species, Bristol Bay, 1974-1994.a

| Year | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 5.8 | 22.4 | 6.6 | 4.0 | 7.9 |
| 75 | 5.5 | 17.7 | 6.3 |  | 8.6 |
| 76 | 6.1 | 58.9 | 6.8 | 3.4 | 7.6 |
| 77 | 6.7 | 22.9 | 7.4 |  | 7.8 |
| 78 | 5.9 | 23.9 | 7.2 | 3.2 | 7.5 |
| 1979 | 5.9 | 21.3 | 6.8 |  | 7.8 |
| 80 | 5.6 | 19.7 | 6.2 | 3.4 | 7.0 |
| 81 | 6.2 | 19.0 | 6.7 |  | 6.4 |
| 82 | 6.4 | 19.6 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 7.3 |
| 83 | 5.7 | 20.9 | 6.6 |  | 6.6 |
| 1984 | 5.6 | 20.5 | 6.8 | 3.2 | 7.5 |
| 85 | 5.8 | 17.9 | 6.8 |  | 8.0 |
| 86 | 6.0 | 18.8 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 6.7 |
| 87 | 6.0 | 20.5 | 6.5 |  | 7.0 |
| 88 | 6.2 | 18.7 | 7.0 | 3.6 | 7.8 |
| 1989 | 5.6 | 19.1 | 6.3 |  | 7.4 |
| 90 | 5.7 | 16.9 | 6.3 | 3.8 | 7.5 |
| 91 | 5.7 | 15.9 | 6.4 |  | 7.3 |
| 92 | 5.7 | 16.8 | 6.4 | 3.7 | 7.0 |
| 93 | 6.0 | 17.4 | 6.5 |  | 6.8 |
|  |  | 21.4 |  |  | 7.4 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 6.0 | 24.6 | 6.7 | 3.5 | 7.5 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 5.8 | 18.3 | 6.6 | 3.6 | 7.3 |
| 1994 | 5.5 | 18.0 | 6.5 | 3.7 | 8.2 |

a Prior to 1991 and after 19〕2, averages are weighted by the number of fish reported by each buyer on Bristol Bay Final Operations Report BB-CF/303: 1991 and 1992 data is preliminary and is extracted from the fish ticket system.
(Sources: 1, 4, and 9)

Appendix Table 36. Average price paid per pound for Bristol Bay salmon, 1974-1993.a

|  | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 75 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 76 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 78 | \$0.68 | \$0.70 | \$0.38 | \$0.33 | \$0.62 |
| 1979 | \$1.03 | \$1.00 | \$0.41 | \$0.33 | \$1.05 |
| 80 | \$0.57 | \$1.00 | \$0.34 | \$0.25 | \$0.57 |
| 81 | \$0.76 | \$1.23 | \$0.41 | \$0.29 | \$0.73 |
| 82 | \$0.70 | \$1.23 | \$0.35 | \$0.22 | \$0.71 |
| 83 | \$0.61 | \$0.69 | \$0.30 | \$0.16 | \$0.40 |
| 1984 | \$0.69 | \$1.03 | \$0.30 | \$0.22 | \$0.71 |
| 85 | \$0.85 | \$1.02 | \$0.31 | \$0.20 | \$0.71 |
| 86 | \$1.42 | \$1.03 | \$0.31 | \$0.15 | \$0.68 |
| 87 | \$1.35 | \$1.24 | \$0.26 |  | \$0.69 |
| 88 | \$1.93 | \$1.05 | \$0.43 | \$0.34 | \$1.14 |
| 1989 | \$1.07 | \$0.80 | \$0.26 | \$0.17 | \$0.67 |
| 90 b | \$1.04 | \$0.91 | \$0.26 | \$0.27 | \$0.74 |
| 91 | \$0.70 | \$0.68 | \$0.22 | \$0.11 | \$0.58 |
| 92 | \$1.04 | \$0.89 | \$0.24 | \$0.12 | \$0.58 |
| 93 | \$0.62 | \$0.76 | \$0.21 | \$0.11 | \$0.52 |
| 20-Year Ave. | \$0.94 | \$0.95 | \$0.31 | \$0.22 | \$0.69 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | \$0.73 | \$0.97 | \$0.36 | \$0.26 | \$0.68 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | \$1.07 | \$0.94 | \$0.28 | \$0.19 | \$0.70 |
| 1994 | \$0.70 | \$0.47 | \$0.22 | \$0.04 | \$0.45 |

a Data for 1974-1977 is unavailable. Price information for those years is reported in Annual Mianagement Reports separately for company and independent fishermen.
b Price paid in Nushagak District. Bristol Bay average unavailable.
(Sources: 1, 3, and 8)

Appendix Table 37. Exvessel value of the commercial salmon catch by species, in thousands of dollars, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.a

| Year | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 3,793 | 460 | 567 | 1,053 | 142 | 6,015 |
| 75 | 11,047 | 214 | 615 |  | 151 | 12,027 |
| 76 | 17,139 | 742 | 2,892 | 1,093 | 82 | 21,948 |
| 77 | 19,434 | 1,940 | 4,275 |  | 445 | 26,094 |
| 78 | 40,034 | 3,206 | 3,173 | 5,424 | 435 | 52,272 |
| 1979 | 128,992 | 4,541 | 2,480 |  | 2,387 | 138,400 |
| 80 | 76,118 | 1,881 | 2,738 | 2,173 | 1,392 | 84,302 |
| 81 | 120,907 | 5,557 | 4,106 |  | 1,461 | 132,031 |
| 82 | 68,122 | 6,088 | 2,145 | 1,111 | 3,199 | 80,665 |
| 83 | 129,900 | 2,853 | 3,216 |  | 337 | 136,306 |
| 1984 | 94,681 | 2,158 | 4,040 | 2,414 | 3,072 | 106,365 |
| 85 | 115,402 | 2,188 | 2,218 |  | 923 | 120,731 |
| 86 | 135,689 | 1,819 | 2,522 | 207 | 826 | 141,063 |
| 87 | 130,847 | 1,912 | 2,594 |  | 314 | 135,667 |
| 88 | 168,586 | 891 | 4,418 | 1,171 | 1,792 | 176,858 |
| 1989 | 173,963 | 609 | 2,029 |  | 1,186 | 177,787 |
| 90 | 198,897 | 520 | 1,752 | 508 | 582 | 202,259 |
| 91 | 103,750 | 328 | 1,807 |  | 499 | 106,384 |
| 92 b | 190,368 | 1,029 | 1,359 | 222 | 767 | 193,745 |
| 93 b | 152,034 | 1,131 | 989 |  | 257 | 154,411 |
| 20 Year Ave. | 103,985 | 2,003 | 2,497 | 1,398 | 1,012 | 110,267 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 61,549 | 2,748 | 2,621 | 2,171 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 1,003 | 69,006 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 146,422 | 1,259 | 2,373 | 754 c | 1,022 | 151,527 |
| 1994 b | 138,007 | 1,190 | 1,043 | 15 | 650 | 140,905 |

a Value paid to fishermen. Derviced from price per fish or pound times commercial catch.

- Preliminary
- Includes even-years only.
(Sources: 1, 5, 8, and 9)

Appendix Table 38. South Unimak and Shumigan Island sockeye and chum salmon preseason and actual commercial catch, in thousands of fish, Alaska Peninsula, 1974-94.a

| Year | South Unimak |  |  | Shumigan Island |  |  | Total |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sockeye |  | Chum | Sockeye |  | Chum | Sockeye |  | Chum |
|  | Actual | Quota ${ }_{1}$ |  | Actual | Quotaı |  | Actual | Quota |  |
| 1974 | 60 | 50 | 15 |  | 25 |  | 60 | 75 | 15 |
| 75 | 190 | 165 | 65 | 49 | 50 | 36 | 239 | 215 | 101 |
| 76 | 235 | 350 | 327 | 72 | 75 | 74 | 307 | 425 | 401 |
| 77 | 193 | 195 | 93 | 46 | 42 | 22 | 239 | 237 | 115 |
| 78 | 419 | 428 | 105 | 68 | 94 | 18 | 487 | 522 | 123 |
| 1979 | 683 | 900 | 64 | 179 | 200 | 41 | 862 | 1,100 | 105 |
| 80 | 2,731 | 2,513 | 457 | 572 | 555 | 71 | 3,303 | 3,068 | 528 |
| 81 | 1,474 | 1,442 | 521 | 351 | 318 | 54 | 1,825 | 1,760 | 575 |
| 82 | 1,670 | 1,850 | 934 | 451 | 408 | 160 | 2,121 | 2,258 | 1,094 |
| 83 | 1,545 | 1,469 | 615 | 416 | 324 | 169 | 1,961 | 1,793 | 784 |
| 1984 | 1,131 | 1,111 | 228 | 257 | 245 | 109 | 1,388 | 1,356 | 337 |
| 85 | 1,495 | 1,380 | 345 | 367 | 305 | 134 | 1,862 | 1,685 | 479 |
| 86 | 314 | 907 | 252 | 156 | 200 | 99 | 470 | 1,107 | 351 |
| 87 | 652 | 635 | 406 | 141 | 140 | 37 | 793 | 775 | 443 |
| 88 | 474 | 1,263 | 465 | 282 | 279 | 62 | 756 | 1,542 | 527 |
| 1989 | 1,348 | 1,199 | 408 | 397 | 264 | 48 | 1,745 | 1,463 | 456 |
| 90 | 1,091 | 1,087 | 455 | 256 | 240 | 64 | 1,347 | 1,327 | 519 |
| 91 | 1,216 | 1,573 | 669 | 333 | 347 | 102 | 1,549 | 1,920 | 771 |
| 92 | 2,047 | 1,959 | 324 | 410 | 432 | 102 | 2,457 | 2,391 | 426 |
| 93 | 2,365 | 2,375 | 382 | 607 | 524 | 150 | 2,972 | 2,899 | 532 |
| 20-yr Avg. | 1,067 | 1,143 | 357 | 285 | 253 | 82 | 1,337 | 1,396 | 434 |
| 74-83 Avg. | 920 | 936 | 320 | 245 | 209 | 72 | 1,140 | 1,145 | 384 |
| 84-93 Avg. | 1.213 | 1,349 | 393 | 321 | 298 | 91 | 1,534 | 1,647 | 484 |
| 1994 | 1,001 | 2,938 | 374 | 460 | 648 | 208 | 1,461 | 3,586 | 582 |

a South Unimak includes statistical area 284 in June and July, while Shumigan Islands includes includes statistical area 282 in June only.
1 The sockeye quota management system was initiated in 1974, and is based on the final Bristol Bay projected inshore harvest and traditional harvest patterns.
(Source: 11)

Appendix Table 39. Subsistence salmon harvest by district and species, Bristol Bay, 1974-94..6

| Year | Permits Issued | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DAKNEK KVICHAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 263 | 102,600 | 1,000 | 1,100 | 1,600 | 200 | 106,500 |
| 75 | 301 | 122,600 | 700 | 300 |  | 200 | 123,800 |
| 76 | 346 | 82,200 | 900 | 900 | 1,500 | 600 | 86,100 |
| 77 | 352 | 81,400 | 1,300 | 600 | 100 | 300 | 83,700 |
| 78 | 392 | 93,000 | 1,200 | 1,000 | 1,400 | 300 | 96,900 |
| 1979 | 424 | 75,000 | 1,200 | 600 |  | 1,200 | 78,000 |
| 80 | 759 | 88,200 | 1,500 | 1,200 | 2,100 | 800 | 93,800 |
| 81 | 649 | 85,100 | 1,000 | 400 | 100 | 1,100 | 87,700 |
| 82 | 350 | 71,400 | 1,100 | 600 | 900 | 1,000 | 75,000 |
| 83 | 385 | 107,900 | 1,000 | 400 | 300 | 900 | 110,500 |
| 1984 | 382 | 115,200 | 900 | 600 | 1,300 | 600 | 118,600 |
| 85 | 544 | 107,543 | 1.179 | 540 | 27 | 1,103 | 110,392 |
| 86 | 412 | 77,283 | 1,295 | 695 | 2,007 | 650 | 81,930 |
| 87 | 407 | 86,706 | 1,289 | 756 | 490 | 1,106 | 90,347 |
| 88 | 391 | 88,145 | 1,057 | 588 | 917 | 813 | 91,520 |
| 1989 | 411 | 87,103 | 970 | 693 | 277 | 1,927 | 90,970 |
| 90 | 466 | 92,326 | 985 | 861 | 1.032 | 726 | 95,930 |
| 91 | 518 | 97,101 | 1,152 | 1,105 | 191 | 1,056 | 100,605 |
| 92 | 571 | 94,304 | 1,444 | 2,721 | 1,601 | 1,152 | 101,222 |
| 93 | 560 | 101,555 | 2,080 | 2,476 | 762 | 2,025 | 108,898 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 444 | 92,833 | 1,163 | 907 | 922 . | 888 | 96,621 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 422 | 90,940 | 1,090 | 710 | 1,000 . | 660 | 94,200 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 466 | 94,727 | 1,235 | 1,104 | 860 . | 1,116 | 99,041 |
| 1994 | 555 | 87,662 | 1,843 | 503 | 460 | 1,807 | 92,275 |
| EGEGIK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 7 | 300 |  |  |  |  | 300 |
| 75 | 3 | 200 |  |  |  |  | 200 |
| 76d | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 77 | 20 | 100 |  | 100 |  | 200 | 400 |
| 78 | 13 | 200 |  | 100 |  | 200 | 500 |
| 1979 | 8 | 300 |  |  |  | 100 | 400 |
| 80 | 3 | 100 |  |  |  |  | 100 |
| 61 d | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 82 | 19 | 2.400 |  |  |  |  | 2.400 |
| 83 | 14 | 700 |  |  |  |  | 700 |
| 1984 | 24 | 500 |  | 100 |  | 300 | - 900 |
| 85 | 23 | 582 | 14 | 21 | 1 | 203 | 821 |
| 86 | 41 | 1,052 | 69 | 58 | 21 | 319 | 1,519 |
| 87 | 49 | 3,350 | 87 | 139 | 2 | 284 | 3,862 |
| 88 | 52 | 1,405 | 97 | 87 | 54 | 333 | 1,976 |
| 1989 | 50 | 1,636 | 50 | 33 | 1 | 414 | 2,134 |
| 90 | 61 | 1,105 | 53 | 85 | 39 | 331 | 1,613 |
| 91 | 70 | 4,549 | 82 | 141 | 32 | 430 | 5,234 |
| 92 | 80 | 3,322 | 124 | 270 | 51 | 729 | 4,496 |
| 93 | 69 | 3,633 | 128 | 148 | 15 | 905 | 4,829 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 31 | 1,413 | 70 | 107 | 22 | 365 | 1,799 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 9 | 538 |  | 100 |  | 167 | 625 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 52 | 2,113 | 70 | 108 | 22 . | 425 | 2,738 |
| 1994 | 59 | 3,208 | 166 | 84 | 153 | 857 | 4,468 |
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| Year | Permits Issued | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| UGASHIKDISTRICI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 8 | 200 | 100 |  |  | 500 | 800 |
| 75 | 1 | 700 |  |  |  | 1,200 | 1,900 |
| 76 | 21 | 1,200 | 100 | 100 | 100 | 300 | 1,800 |
| 77 | 19 | 1,000 | 100 | 300 |  | 500 | 1,900 |
| 78 | 8 | 500 | 100 | 100 |  | 900 | 1,600 |
| 1979 | 8 | 200 |  |  |  | 100 | 300 |
| 80 | 10 | 200 |  |  |  | 200 | 400 |
| 81 | 12 | 600 |  |  |  | 200 | 800 |
| 82 | 11 | 400 |  |  |  | 300 | 700 |
| 83 | 8 | 500 |  |  |  | 100 | 600 |
| 1984 | 8 | 500 |  |  |  | 200 | 700 |
| 85 | 9 | 233 | 17 | 7 |  | 143 | 400 |
| 86 | 27 | 1,080 | 83 | 48 | 21 | 335 | 1,567 |
| 87 | 22 | 892 | 104 | 51 | 29 | 272 | 1,348 |
| 88 | 23 | 1,400 | 84 | 55 | 35 | 330 | 1,904 |
| 1989 | 22 | 1,309 | 32 | 35 | 2 | 214 | 1,592 |
| 90 | 37 | 1,578 | 51 | 143 | 120 | 280 | 2,172 |
| 91 | 38 | 1,403 | 121 | 168 | 42 | 614 | 2,348 |
| 92 | 37 | 2,348 | 106 | 79 | 8 | 397 | 2,938 |
| 93 | 39 | 1,766 | 86 | 107 | 24 | 495 | 2,478 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 18 | 900 | 83 | 99 | 42 . | 379 | 1,412 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 11 | 550 | 100 | 167 | 100 . | 430 | 1,080 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 28 | 1,334 | 76 | 77 | 35. | 342 | 1,861 |
| 1994 | 31 | 1,587 | 126 | 42 | 38 | 579 | 2,372 |
| NUSHAGAK DISTRICT |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 261 | 41,200 | 7.900 | 10,200 | 4,300 | 4.700 | 68,300 |
| 75 | 340 | 47,300 | 7,100 | 5,600 | 1,300 | 4,300 | 65,600 |
| 76 | 317 | 34,700 | 6,900 | 7,200 | 2,700 | 2,100 | 53,600 |
| 77 | 306 | 43,300 | 5,200 | 7,300 | 200 | 4,500 | 60,500 |
| 78 | 331 | 33,200 | 6.600 | 14,300 | 11,100 | 2,500 | 67,700 |
| 1979 | 364 | 40,200 | 8,900 | 6,800 | 500 | 5,200 | 61,600 |
| 80 | 425 | 76,800 | 11,800 | 11,700 | 7,600 | 5,100 | 113,000 |
| 81 | 335 | 44,600 | 11,500 | 10,200 | 2,300 | 8,700 | 77,300 |
| 82 | 376 | 34,700 | 12,100 | 11,400 | 7,300 | 8,900 | 74,400 |
| 83 | 389 | 38,400 | 11,800 | 9,200 | 500 | 5,200 | 65.100 |
| 1984 | 438 | 43,200 | 9,800 | 10,300 | 6,600 | 8,100 | 78,000 |
| 85 | 406 | 38,000 | 7,900 | 4,000 | 600 | 6,100 | 56,600 |
| 86 | 424 | 49,000 | 12,600 | 10,000 | 5,400 | 9,400 | 86,400 |
| 87 | 474 | 40,900 | 12,200 | 6,000 | 200 | 6,200 | 65,500 |
| 88 | 441 | 31,086 | 10,079 | 8,234 | 6,316 | 5,223 | 60,938 |
| 1989 | 432 | 34,535 | 8,122 | 5,704 | 407 | 8.679 | 57.447 |
| 90 | 441 | 33,003 | 12,407 | 7,808 | 3,183 | 5,919 | 62,320 |
| 91 | 528 | 33,161 | 13,627 | 4,688 | 292 | 10,784 | 62,552 |
| 92 | 476 | 30,640 | 13,588 | 7,076 | 3,519 | 7.103 | 61,926 |
| 93 | 500 | 27,114 | 17,709 | 3,257 | 240 | 5,038 | 53,358 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 403 | 39,752 | 10,392 | 8,048 | 3,228 . | 6,187 | 67,607 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 350 | 43,440 | 8,980 | 9,390 | 3,780 | 5,120 | 70,710 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 456 | 36,064 | 11,803 | 6,707 | 2,676 | 7,255 | 64,504 |
| 1994 | 523 | 26,501 | 15,490 | 5,055 | 2,042 | 5,338 | 54,426 |
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|  | Permits Issued | Sockeye | Chinook | Chum | Pink | Coho | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| TOGIAK DISTRICI |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1974 | 68 | 7,400 | 1,200 | 2,000 | 500 | 1,800 | 12,900 |
| 75 | 41 | 4,600 | 800 | 1,600 |  | 2,800 | 9,800 |
| 76 | 30 | 2,800 | 500 | 900 | 100 | 500 | 4,800 |
| 77 | 41 | 2,100 | 400 | 800 |  | 1,100 | 4,400 |
| 78 | 29 | 900 | 300 | 700 | 300 | 500 | 2,700 |
| 1979 | 25 | 800 | 200 | 300 |  | 700 | 2,000 |
| 80 | 46 | 3,600 | 900 | 300 | 300 | 1,200 | 6,300 |
| 81 | 52 | 1,900 | 400 | 800 | 100 | 2,200 | 5,400 |
| 82 | 50 | 1,900 | 400 | 300 | 400 | 1,300 | 4,300 |
| 83 | 38 | 1,900 | 700 | 900 | 200 | 800 | 4,500 |
| 1984 | 41 | 3,600 | 600 | 1,700 | 500 | 3,800 | 10,200 |
| 85 | 51 | 3,400 | 600 | 1,000 | 100 | 1,500 | 6,600 |
| 86 | 29 | 2,400 | 700 | 800 | 100 | 500 | 4,500 |
| 87 | 46 | 3,600 | 700 | 1,000 |  | 1,600 | 6,900 |
| 88 | 29 | 2,413 | 429 | 716 | 45 | 792 | 4,395 |
| 1989 | 40 | 2,825 | 551 | 891 | 112 | 976 | 5,355 |
| 90 | 37 | 3,689 | 480 | 786 | 60 | 1,111 | 6.126 |
| 91 | 43 | 3,517 | 470 | 553 | 27 | 1,238 | 5,805 |
| 92 | 40 | 3,716 | 1,361 | 626 | 135 | 1,231 | 7,069 |
| 93 | 38 | 2,139 | 784 | 571 | 8 | 743 | 4,245 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 41 | 2,960 | 624 | 862 | 187. | 1,320 | 5,915 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 42 | 2,790 | 580 | 860 | 271. | 1,290 | 5,710 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 39 | 3,130 | 668 | 864 | 121. | 1,349 | 6,120 |
| 1994 | 25 | 1,777 | 904 | 398 | 77 | 910 | 4,066 |


| TOTAL BRISTOL BAY |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 607 | 151,700 | 10,200 | 13,300 | 6,400 | 7,200 | 188,800 |
| 75 | 686 | 175,400 | 8,600 | 7,500 | 1,300 | 8,500 | 201,300 |
| 76 | 716 | 120,900 | 8,400 | 9,100 | 4,400 | 3,500 | 146,300 |
| 77 | 738 | 127,900 | 7,000 | 9,100 | 300 | 6,600 | 150,900 |
| TE | 773 | 127,500 | 8,100 | 16,200 | 12,700 | 4,400 | 169,000 |
| 1979 | 829 | 116,500 | 10,300 | 7,700 | 500 | 7,300 | 142,300 |
| 80 | 1.243 | 168,600 | 14,100 | 13,100 | 10,000 | 7,300 | 213,100 |
| 81 | 1,112 | 132,100 | 13,000 | 11,500 | 2,600 | 12,200 | 171,400 |
| 82 | 806 | 110,800 | 13,700 | 12,400 | 8,600 | 11,500 | .157,000 |
| 83 | 834 | 149,400 | 13,500 | 10,500 | 900 | 7,100 | 181,400 |
| 1984 | 893 | 163000 | 1.1300 | 12.700 | 3.400 | 13.000 | 208,400 |
| 85 | 1,033 | 149,758 | 9,710 | 5,568 | 728 | 9,049 | 174,813 |
| 86 | 933 | 130,815 | 14,747 | 11,601 | 7,549 | 11,204 | 175,916 |
| 87 | 998 | 135,493 | 14,356 | 7,895 | 689 | 9,453 | 167,886 |
| 88 | 936 | 124,449 | 11,746 | 9,680 | 7.367 | 7,491 | 160,733 |
| 1989 | 955 | 127,408 | 9,725 | 7,356 | 799 | 12,210 | 157,498 |
| 90 | 1,042 | 131,701 | 13,976 | 9,683 | 4,434 | 8,367 | 168,161 |
| 91 | 1,197 | 139,731 | 15,452 | 6,655 | 584 | 14,122 | 176,544 |
| 92 | 1,204 | 134,330 | 16,623 | 10,772 | 5,314 | 10,612 | 177,651 |
| 93 | 1,206 | 136,207 | 20,787 | 6,559 | 1,049 | 9,206 | 173,808 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 937 | 137,690 | 12,266 | 9,943 | 4,231. | 9,016 | 173,146 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 834 | 138,090 | 10,690 | 11,040 | 4,770. | 7,560 | 172,150 |
| 1934-93 Ave | 1,040 | 137,289 | 13,842 | 8,847 | 3,691. | 10,471 | 174,141 |
| 1994 | 1,193 | 120,735 | 18,529 | 6.082 | 2,770 | 9,491 | 157,607 |

[^9]Appendix Table 40. Subsistence harvest of sockeye salmon by community, in numbers of fish, Kvichak River drainage, Bristol Bay, 197494.ab

| Year | Levelock | Igiugig | Pedro Bay | Kokhanok | lliamnaNewhalen | Nondalton | Port Alsworth | Other 1 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 8,600 | 6,200 | 1,400 | 21,500 | 16,400 | 29,500 | 1,500 |  | 98,100 |
| 75 | 5,300 | 6,400 | 8,300 | 18,000 | 26,700 | 48,700 | 2,100 |  | 115,500 |
| 76 | 5,300 | 6,800 | 4,400 | 17,100 | 16,300 | 20,500 | 5,500 |  | 75,900 |
| 77 | 2,600 | 6,000 | 5,600 | 14,300 | 11,400 | 27,200 | 4,900 |  | 72,000 |
| 78 | 8,900 | 8,800 | 11,200 | 23,700 | 11,000 | 17,300 | 3,000 |  | 83,900 |
| 1979 | 4,400 | 6,600 | 3,500 | 16,200 | 15,900 | 14,700 | 4200 |  | 65,500 |
| 80 | 6,100 | 8,100 | 7,400 | 22,600 | 11,100 | 11,300 | 6,000 |  | 72,600 |
| 81 | 6,600 | 5,400 | 9,700 | 16,500 | 15,400 | 15,200 | 6,800 |  | 75,600 |
| 82 | 5,400 | 1,900 | 8,200 | 16,600 | 13,500 | 11,200 | 4,500 |  | 61,300 |
| 83 | 4,800 | 3,300 | 10,400 | 20,100 | 23,800 | 29,400 | 4,700 |  | 96,500 |
| 1984 | 8,100 | 6,300 | 12,100 | 24,400 | 15,900 | 29,100 | 4600 |  | 100,500 |
| 85 | 6,600 | 3,400 | 12,900 | 21,900 | 22,300 | 14,900 | 4,500 |  | 86,500 |
| 86 | 6,400 | 1,600 | 6,700 | 18,300 | 17,000 | 6,600 | 3,300 |  | 59,900 |
| 87 | 5,700 | c | 7,300 | 16,500 | 27,500 | 11,800 | 3,200 |  | 72,000 |
| 88 | 3,500 | c | 5,500 | 14,400 | 29,800 | 20,700 | 3,200 | d | 77,100 |
| 1989 | 5,100 | 1,200 | 6,700 | 13,000 | 24,700 | 18,500 | 2,200 | d | 71,400 |
| 90 | 4,700 | 2,200 | 6,600 | 12,400 | 18,800 | 27,300 | 3,200 | 1,400 | 76,600 |
| 91 | 1,029 | 1,712 | 9,739 | 17,184 | 29,094 | 4,163 | 2,755 | 1,110 | 66,786 |
| 92 | 4,374 | 1,056 | 6,932 | 11,477 | 29,633 | 13,163 | 2,954 | 2,559 | 72,148 |
| 93 | 4,699 | 1,397 | 6,226 | 18,810 | 19,067 | 17,890 | 3,254 | 2,780 | 74,123 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 5,410 | 4,354 | 7,540 | 17,749 | 19,765 | 19,456 | 3,818 | 1,962 | 78,698 |
| 197483 Ave. | 5,800 | 5,950 | 7,010 | 18,660 | 16,150 | 22,500 | 4,320 |  | 81,690 |
| $1984-93$ Ave. | 5,020 | 2,358 | 8,070 | 16,837 | 23,379 | 16,412 | 3,316 | 1,962 | 75,706 |
| 1994 | 1,467 | 1,201 | 8,747 | 15,7\%1 | 15,553 | 15,246 | 3,074 | 3,204 | 64,343 |

i Subsistence harvests by non-watershed residents
2. Harvests are extrapolated for all permits issued, based on those returned. Harvest estimates prior to 1991 are rounded to the nearest hundred fish.

- Harvest estimates prior to 1990 are based on the community where the permit was issued; estimates from 1990 to the present are based on community of residence and inclucie fish caught only in the Kvichak District
c No permits issued
\& No permits issued. Only residents of the Naknek Kwichak watershed could obtain subsistence permits.

Appendix Table 41. Subsistence salmon catch by village area, Nushagak District, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.at

| Year | Dillinghamı | Manokotak | Aleknagik | Ekwok | New Stuyahok | Koliganek | Other2 | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 23,900 | 11,600 | 2,300 | 10,500 | 11,800 | 8,200 |  | 68,300 |
| 75 | 22,100 | 7,100 | 2,300 | 6,800 | 19,200 | 8,100 |  | 65,600 |
| 76 | 17,700 | 8,400 | 2,000 | 9,000 | 11,100 | 5,400 |  | 53,600 |
| 77 | 15,700 | 8,100 | 1,500 | 8,000 | 20,900 | 6,300 |  | 60,500 |
| 78 | 27,700 | 3,200 | 2,700 | 12,900 | 14,200 | 7,000 |  | 67,700 |
| 1979 | 20,600 | 7,400 | 1000 | 7,200 | 17,200 | 8,200 |  | 61,600 |
| 80 | 47,900 | 8,200 | 3,500 | 10,400 | 22,200 | 20,800 |  | 113,000 |
| 81 | 23,900 | 6,700 | 2,900 | 8,800 | 23,600 | 11,400 |  | 77,300 |
| 82 | 24,700 | 2,900 | 2;400 | 7,500 | 22,600 | 14,300 |  | 74,400 |
| 83 | 20,100 | 5,300 | 1,900 | 5,800 | 18,700 | 13,300 |  | 65,100 |
| 1984 | 30,500 | 4,100 | 2,600 | 7,200 | 16,500 | 17,100 |  | 78,000 |
| 85 | 22,900 | 3,600 | 1,600 | 7,000 | 14,500 | 6,800 |  | 56,400 |
| 86 | 31,900 | 5,500 | 6,900 | 7,800 | 26,400 | 8,200 |  | 86,700 |
| 87 | 33,500 | 5,900 | 3,100 | 6,400 | 11,400 | 4,900 |  | 65,200 |
| 88 | 29,600 d | 5,500 | 2,400 | 6,100 | 11,700 | 5,700 | c | 61,000 |
| 1989 | 31,800 d | 5,800 | 2,000 | 4,700 | 9,700 | 3,800 | c | 57,800 |
| 90 | 28,860 | 6,600 | 2,300 | 4,900 | 9,900 | 8,000 | 700 | 61,260 |
| 91 | 34,399 | 5,873 | 3,043 | 4,532 | 8,326 | 5,438 | 2,163 | 63,774 |
| 92 | 31,702 d | 4,317 | 2,184 | 5,971 | 11,325 | 3,708 | 2,635 | 61,842 |
| 93 | 25,315 d | 3,048 | 2,593 | 2,936 | 12,169 | 4,180 | 2,538 | 52,779 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 27,239 | 5,957 | 2,561 | 7,222 | 15,671 | 8,541 | 2,009 | 67,593 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 24.430 | 6,890 | 2,250 | 8,690 | 18,150 | 10,300 |  | 70,710 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 30,048 | 5,024 | 2,872 | 5,754 | 13,192 | 6,783 | 2,009 | 64,476 |
| 1994 | 30,145 d | 3,491 | 2,289 | 4.343 | 8,056 | 4,513 | 2322 | 55,159 |

- Harvests are extrapolated for all permits issued, based on those returned. Harvest estimates prio: to 1991 are rounded to the nearest hundred fish
- Harvest estimates prior to 1990 are based on community where the permit was issued;-estimates from 1990 to the present are based on community of residence and include fish caught only in the Nushagak District.
c No permits issued. Only residents of the Nushagak watershed could obtain subsistence permits.
d Includes permits issued in Clarks Point and Ekuk.
Includes the village of Portage Creek.
2 Subsistence harvests by non-watershed residents
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## INTRODUCTION

Pacific herring Clupea harangus pallasi have been documented throughout Bristol Bay, but the major concentration returns to the Togiak area each spring as the focus of two commercial fisheries (Figure 1). The herring sac roe fishery began in Bristol Bay in 1967, followed by the first fishery for herring spawn on rockweed kelp Fucus spp. in 1968. Effort and harvest levels remained low for the first 10 years of the fishery. However, increased interest, favorable market conditions and additional incentives provided by the Fishery Conservation and Management Act of 1976 (the 200 -mile limit) resulted in a major expansion of the Togiak herring fishery in 1977. Sac roe harvests since 1978 average over 17,000 tons, worth $\$ 7.3$ million annually. Spawn on kelp harvests average 405;000 lbs since 1984 , worth $\$ 290,000$ to participants each year.

Unlike most herring fisheries in Alaska, the Togiak sac roe fishery is not a limited entry fishery. Gillnets, purse seines and hand purse seines are legal gear. In October of 1989, the Alaska Board of Fisheries reduced the legal size of purse seines to 100 fathoms in length and 16 fathoms in depth. Gillnets were also reduced to a maximum of 100 fathoms in length per permit holder with only one compliment of gear allowed to operate from a single vessel. The amount of gillnet allowed on board a fishing vessel during an open period is limited to 100 fathoms, and the department now has emergency order authority to reduce the length of gillnet fished by a single vessel to 50 fathoms.

The spawn on kelp fishery became limited to holders of interim use and permanent permits in 1990. In October 1991, the Board of Fisheries limited the role of non-permit holders in the spawn on kelp fishery to that of assisting with transporting kelp only after the close of the period. By 1993, the majority of permits became permanent. Spawn on kelp product may be harvested only by hand or hand-operated rakes.

The Bristol Bay Herring Management Plan states that the maximum exploitation of the Bristol Bay herring stock is $20 \%$. Before opening the sac roe fishery, 1,500 tons must be set aside for the spawn on kelp fishery, and $7 \%$ of the remaining available harvest is allocated to the Dutch Harbor food and bait fishery ${ }^{1}$. After the spawn on kelp and the Dutch Har'or food and bait harvests have been subtracted, the remaining harvestable surplus is allocated to the Togiak sac roe fishery: $25 \%$ to the gillnet fleet, and $75 \%$ to the purse seine fleet.

Capelin Mallotus villosus, like herring, return to coastal waters near Togiak to spawn each spring. Commercial harvests of capelin, documented as early as the 1960's, have been small and sporadic. The largest harvest was taken in 1984 and the most recent harvest occurred in 1993.

## STOCK ASSESSINENT

## Methods

Aerial surveys are conducted throughout the herring spawning season to determine relative abundance, timing and distribution of Pacific herring in the Togiak District. Location and extent of milt, number of fishing vessels, and visibility factors affecting survey quality are also recorded.

Data collection methods are similar to those used since 1978. Standard conversion factors of 1.52 tons (water depths of 16 ft or less), 2.58 tons (water depths between 16 and 26 ft ) and 2.83 tons (water depths greater than 26 ft ) per 338 ft 2 of surface area are used to convert observed herring school surface areas to biomass (Lebida and

[^10]Whitmore 1985).
Herring from commercial harvests are sampled to determine age, size and sexual maturity of herring in the spawning biomass and catch. Volunteer fishermen, in cooperation with the department, provide test fish catch samples to industry roe technicians for roe quality evaluation. Samples from volunteer test fish catches are also collected by the department for age, size and sex analysis. Test fish data is used in post-season analysis to estimate total spawning biomass.

Capelin abundance is not estimated. Surface area of observed capelin schools is estimated, but surface area to biomass conversions have not been developed, and surveys are usually terminated early in the capelin spawning run.

## Spawning Population

Spawning biomass of herring in the Togiak District averages (1978-93) 130,102 tons (Appendix Table 2). Annual estimates range from 69,000 tons observed in 1980 to 239,000 tons documented in 1979. Abundance estimated from aerial surveys was high in the late 1970's, declined in the mid 1980's and remained relatively low and stable through 1991. Biomass levels from 1992 through 1994 increased substantially to levels between 150,000 and 200,000 tons; the 1993 biomass estimate was the second largest in the history of the fishery.

Run timing in 1994 was more typical than progression observed in 1992 and 1993 (Table 1). Aerial surveys in 1994 began April 18 and continued through May 16. The first herring were observed on May 8 near Hagemeister Island. Biomass increased steadily until May 12, when the peak biomass of 148,716 tons was observed. Approximately 40,000 tons were observed along the Nushagak Peninsula on May 12, apparently exiting the district. Since a harvest of 17,200 tons had accumulated prior to the May 12 survey, total biomass was estimated in season at 165,916 tons. By May 16, only 19,000 tons were estimated on the grounds. Spawning activity began May 10, and peaked May 12, when 23 linear miles were documented (Appendix Table 5). No new spawn was observed on the final survey May 16.

Total spawning biomass was estimated post-season at 185,454 tons, $30 \%$ over the preseason forecast of 142,497 tons and the fourth largest biomass documented. Age 6 and 7 herring comprised about $40 \%$ of the biomass, while an additional $55 \%$ were age 9 or older (Table 5, Appendix Table 3). Average weight was 342 grams. A total of 71.9 miles of spawn were observed during the course of the season.

No capelin were observed during department surveys in 1994. Commercial spotters continued to survey for capelin after department surveys were terminated May 16. Based on company reports, capelin were first observed by commercial spotters June 3.

## COMMERCIAL FISHERY OVERVIEW

Commercial sac roe and spawn on kelp fisheries have been regulated by emergency order since 1981 to achieve exploitation mandates by the Alaska Board of Fisheries and to address problems with wastage. In 1984, the Bristol Bay Herring Management Plan (5 AAC 27.865.) was adopted by the board. This regulatory management plan set the policies by which these fisheries are managed. Management objectives for the Togiak fisheries include providing for an orderly and manageable fishery, ensuring that harvests do not exceed $20 \%$ exploitation, and maximizing harvest quality.

Sac Roe Fishery

Sac roe harvests from 1978 through 1993 average approximately 17,000 tons annually, and range from 7,700 to 26,300 tons (Appendix Table 1). Industry participation in the fishery peaked between 1979 and 1982, when up to 33 processors registered to purchase herring in Togiak District. The number of companies declined in the mid1980's and stabilized at an average (1984-93) of 19 companies.

Fishing time and area is regulated in an effort to divide the harvestable surplus using a $75 \%-25 \%$ allocation ratio for purse seine and gillnet vessels. The gillnet fleet is usually larger than the purse seine fleet, averaging (1978-93) 238 and 168 vessels, respectively. Purse seine vessels have generally accounted for $78 \%$ of the total harvest each year, with gillnet harvests comprising the remaining $22 \%$.

Harvest roe recoveries average approximately $9.2 \%$ for both gear types combined (Appendix Table 2). Historically, purse seine harvests average $9.7 \%$ mature roe, while gillnet harvests average $8.3 \%$ mature roe. Gillnet harvest roe percentages have increased in recent years and continue to exceed purse seine harvest percentages.

Large harvestable surpluses and fleet sizes have resulted in very high levels of fleet efficiency in recent years, specifically in the purse seine fishery. Herring harvested for sac roe in 1992 and 1994 were held for up to seven days before processing, and product quality suffered as a result. Fishery managers have responded by attempting to reduce fleet efficiency and holding time. Fishing time and area was more restrictive in recent years, primarily in the purse seine fishery. Additionally, volunteer test fisheries were conducted up to three times each day to assess and monitor roe quality by area.

## Spawn on Kelp

The spawn on kelp fishery is managed under the direction of the Togiak District Herring Spawn on Kelp Management Plan (5 AAC 27.834). The plan essentially provides for an allocation of $350,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ of product, equivalent to 1,500 tons of herring, to this fishery. The plan also directs the department to rotate harvest areas on a two- to three-year basis and to ensure product quality (Figire 2).

Spawn on kelp harvests average (1984-93) $405,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ and range from 307,000 to $560,000 \mathrm{lbs}$. Effort since 1984 averaged 338 permit holders. The effect of limited entry can be seen in 1993, when only 173 permit holders landed product.

## Capelin

Commercial fishing for capelin is open by regulation, not managed by emergency order, and is restricted by few regulations. There is no closed season for capelin. Historically, Togiak District harvests have been small and sporadic. Harvests were documented in only three years prior to 1980, each totaling less than 100 tons. Since 1980, harvests were documented in 1984 ( 1,321 tons) and 1986 ( 139 tons), and more recently in 1993 ( 31 tons). Fisheries attempted in other years failed. Sporadic market conditions, processing limitations, and fluctuations in available capelin biomass have all contributed to limited annual harvests.

Market interest for capelin has increased since 1992, in part due to a recent decline of Atlantic capelin stocks. During years when capelin were harvested in Togiak, only 1-2 companies participated. Although several companies were interested in purchasing herring in Togiak in 1993, only one company participated. The 1993 harvest was small due to limited fishing success.

## 1994 SEASON SUMMARY

The 1994 herring run to the Togiak District was projected to reach 142,497 tons. Based on the maximum exploitation of $20 \%$ and allocation guidelines in the Bristol Bay Management Plan (5AAC 27.865), the projected harvest by fishery was: purse seine sac roe 18,832 tons, gillnet sac roe 6,277 tons, spawn on kelp 175 tons ( 350,000 lbs), and Dutch Harbor food and bait 1,890 tons.

Guideline harvest levels were revised in season, based on the peak biomass survey estimate (May 12; 148,700 tons) and the accumulated harvest reported through May 11 ( 17,740 tons). The exploitation rate remained at $20 \%$, and the harvestable surplus was re-allocated, as outlined in the Bristol Bay Herring Management Plan, as follows: purse seine sac roe 22,073 tons, gillnet sac roe 7,358 tons, spawn on kelp 175 tons ( $350,000 \mathrm{lbs}$ ), and Dutch Harbor food and bait 2,215 tons.

## Herring Sac Roe Fishery

A strong preseason forecast and limited processing capacity contributed to the issue of harvest quality in the 1994 sac roe fishery. Prior to the season, companies reported that the number of fishing vessels, most notably gillnet, with a confirmed market would be smaller in 1994. For the second consecutive year, the department intended to control area in the purse seine fishery to limit individual harvests to a size that could be processed ith little loss in quality. To enhance product quality and value, the department intended to manage the 1994 sac roe fisheries to limit the quantity held to an amount that would not exceed three days of production.

The first department survey was flown April 18. Conditions appeared normal for the period, with shelf ice present, little marine life and no fish observed. Department surveys documented fish on the grounds in late April. Species could not be verified, and those fish were presumed to be smelt. Fishing and processing vessels began to arrive on the grounds during late April, and department staff arrived May 2.

Sixteen companies registered to buy herring and capelin products in Togiak District: 15 to buy purse scinc caught herring and 10 to buy gillnet caught herring (Table 6). Industry had the capacity to freeze 3,300 tons of sac roe herring per day, based on company registration statistics. Processing capacity was comparable to levels in recent years, and exceeded the level documented in 1993.

Herring were first reported by a commercial spotter on May 8. A department survey that afternoon documented 5,460 tons (Table 1). The majority of herring observed were entering the district near Hagemeister Island.

Beginning May i0, purse seine tesi fisneries were cunineed in area to concentrate the fleet and allow the department to react quickly once roe maturity reached acceptable levels. Test fish effort was initially concentrated in the portion of the district east of Tongue Point due to low roe quality and biomass observed in the western areas. The intention was to further narrow the area under consideration for a purse seine opening to an area with a limited biomass comprised of mature herring.

By the morning of May 10, purse seine roe samples contained an average $3.0 \%$ mature roe. Herring were beginning to concentrate near shore, and biomass was building. Based on an afternoon aerial survey, biomass throughout the district had increased to 76,000 tons, and the first spawns had developed. By evening, roe maturity in purse seine test samples averaged $3.6 \%$.

By mid-aftemoon May 10, over 1,000 tons of herring had moved near shore east of Right Hand Point. Samples from an evening gillnet test fishery in that area contained primarily immature roe.

Gillnet samples from the morning test fishery May 11 averaged $7.5 \%, 8.2 \%$ and $9.9 \%$ in the Anchor Point, Metervik Bay and Kulukak Bluff areas. The first gillnet opening was announced at 9:00 a.m., immediately after
company representatives reported test fishing results (Table 2). The opening was scheduled for 11:00 a.m. and included the Kulukak Bluff and Metervik Bay area. The period was announced two hours in advance to allow vessels in the Anchor Point area time to move to the area opened. The duration was held to 2 hours as a precautionary measure to allow the department to assess roe quality in the fishery, with the intention of re-opening the same area later in the day, should the harvest contain high quality roe. Allowable gear was limited to 50 fathoms due to the small area opened and the fleet size.

Purse seine sample quality early on May 11 varied. The average mature roe content had increased to $6.3 \%$, exceeding the average immature roe ( $4.6 \%$ ) for the first time. A cursory survey of the eastern district estimated the available biomass in that area at approximately 40,000 tons, with 35,000 tons located near Anchor and Rocky Points. Biomass in other areas of the eastern district appeared to be minimal, but the extent of spawn had increased to 21 miles.

The first purse seine opening was announced at 10:45 a.m. May 11. Fishing was permitted for a 15 -minute period in the portion of the district east of Quigmy River, beginning at 1:30 p.m.. The advance notice was liberal to delay the opening to a mid-flood tide stage, thereby allowing the mature roe $\%$ to continue to increase. Because of the risk of vessels becoming stranded on the following ebb tide, the opening was not delayed any longer.

Soon after the closure of the gillnet fishery, companies buying gillnet herring indicated that roe quality in the initial deliveries averaged $10 \%$ or better. By 5:00 p.m., most gillnet vessels had delivered their catch, and preliminary reports from companies indicated the gillnet harvest was relatively small and purse seine fishing success was high. At 5:00 p.m. the Metervik Bay and Kulukak Bluffs areas were re-opened for the gillnet fleet for a 6 -hour period, beginning at 6:00 p.m..

The magnitude of the May 11 purse seine harvest became evident in the early evening. The estimated harvest totaled 15,660 tons, with $9.5 \%$ average mature roe (Table 3 ). The extent of the harvest was larger than expected, partly due to the large fleet size and excellent spotting conditions. However, during the three hours prior to the opening, a large volume of herring had moved from closed waters in Ungalikthluk Bay east into Nunavachak Bay, where they became accessible to the pursc seine fleet. At the time the opening w'as announced, these herning were obscured by heavy spawn in Ungalikthluk Bay. The May 11 gillnet harvest totaled 2,080 tons and averaged $11.2 \%$ mature roe. Due to the volume of fish harvested in the fisheries on May 11, the gillnet fishery closed as scheduled at $12: 00$ midnight.

Early on May 12, all companies indicated that they would buy no herring for at least two days. Several companies continued to take purse seine deliveries from fishermen who had not yet delivered. The peak biomass of 148,716 tons was documented later that day, as was the peak daily spawn coverage of 23 linear miles. Following this survey, the in season biomass estimate was revised to include the peak biomass estimate and the harvest estimate for May 11. Based on the revised maximum allowable harvest and cumulative harvest estimates, nearly 7,000 tons remained available to purse seines, and 5,400 tons remained available to gillnets.

No further test fishing was conducted until the morming of May 15. Most companies polled the morning of May 14 indicated that they would not be finished processing the May 11 harvest until May 16, and would not be able to buy herring until May 15.

The gillnet test fishery in the morning of May 15 was largely hampered by weather, but some high quality samples were collected along Kulukak Bluffs. A follow-up attempt to collect samples west of Right Hand Point failed, and at 11:00 a.m. a gillnet opening was announced for the area from Right Hand Yoint to and including the Kulukak Bluffs. The duration was 4 hours, beginning at 12:00 noon. Mid-period samples indicated that harvest quality during the opening was high, averaging greater than $10 \%$. The fishery was extended three times for a totai duration of 14 hours. The fishery closed at 2:00 a.m. May 16, after most companies indicated that they would suspend buying operations at that time. The harvest from the May 15 opening and extensions totaled over 1,800 tons at $12.2 \%$ mature roe.

Purse seine test fish samples from the eastern district early on May 15 contained mixed quality, with immature, mature, and spawned out fish present. A cursory survey of the eastern district estimated 3,000 tons in the area, and therefore, risk of a large harvest was not great. The area from Anchor to Right Hand Point was opened to commercial fishing to harvest the available high quality fish in the area, prior to moving the fleet to the western portion of the district. The opening began at $5: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. and lasted 30 minutes. The resulting harvest was light, with a large number of sets reportedly released due to the presence of spawn outs. Due to the abundance of spawn outs present in the eastern district, the fleet was notified that only the western district would be considered for the next purse seine opening.

Purse seine samples collected early on May 16 west of Tongue Point averaged $12.2 \%$ mature roe. The biomass visible in the area west of Tongue Point was estimated at 3,000 tons, and again the risk of a large harvest was minimal. An opening was announced at 10:00 a.m., to begin at 12:00 noon on the mid-ebb tide stage. A gale warning precluded any further delay and the area from Tongue Point west was fished for 1.5 hours.

Tendering capacity available for gillnet-caught fish was limited to 900 tons in the morning of May 16, based on company reports. Samples in the morning test fishery averaged an extremely high $16 \%$ mature roe. Therefore, an opening was announced at 12:30 p.m: for 1:00 p.m., again in the Metervik Bay and Kulukak Bluff area. The duration was 4 hours, with the likelihood of an extension should roe quality remain high in the fishery. Short notice was given due to an impending gale warning.

Companies buying gillnet herring were polled mid-period. Although roe quality remained quite high in the gillnet fishery, several companies had suspended buying operations, and available processing capacity was becoming more limited. The fishery was extended for 5 hours, then allowed to close at 10:00 p.m. May 16. The resulting harvest totaled 1,148 tons at $12.6 \%$ mature roe.

Meanwhile, most companies indicated high quality and low volume in the purse seine fishery, and at 3:00 p.m., another opening was announced to begin at 6:00 p.m. in the same area, this time for 2.0 hours. Harvest resulting from the May 16 purse seine openings totaled 5,198 tons, leaving approximately 1,200 tons remaining in the maximum allowable harvest.

No fisheries were considered May 17, due to the extent of the purse seine and gillnet harvests on May 16. High winds persisted through the early morning and afternoon on May 17. Several gillnet vessels had yet to deliver at 3:00 p.m., and a test fishery planned for evening did not materialize due to weather conditions.

Gillnet samples collected the morning of May 18 were of high quality, averaging $13.4 \%$, but volume was reportedly very light. Test fishing between Nunavachak Bay and Right Hand Point was unsuccessful, and a morning survey documented very little biomass between Anchor Point and Right Kand Point. Survey conditions east or Right Fand Point were very poor, but some fish were observed in Metervik Bay. The Kulukak Bluffs and Metervik Bay areas were opened to gillnet for a 4-hour period, beginning at 12:00 noon. Companies were again requested to report roe quality of the initial deliveries.

A cursory survey of the area west of Tongue Point documented a reduced fleet of 180 purse seiners on May 18. Fish were visible west of Tongue Point, at Estes Point and west of Oosik Spit. Visibility was hampered by wind and low ceilings, and fishermen were advised to standby at the top of every hour for a possible announcement, pending an improvement in the weather. At 12:00 noon, a 20 -minute opening was announced to begin at 1:00 p.m. in the area from Tongue Point west to Oosik Spit.

Again, sample quality from the gillnet fishery in progress remained high, at $12.2 \%$ in Metervik Bay and $15.6 \%$ along the Kuiukak Bluffs. The opening was extended tbree times, for a total duration of 33 hours. The opening was allowed to close at 9:00 p.m. May 19 because the gillnet harvest was approaching the maximum allowable harvest. The resulting harvest totaled 2,106 tons at $12.6 \%$ mature roe. The cumulative harvest estimate ( 7,190 tons) remained 170 tons shy of the maximum allowable harvest.

A gillnet test fishery the afternoon of May 20 produced samples with an average of $11.9 \%$ mature roe, and the final gillnet opening was scheduled for 6 hours, to begin at $7: 00 \mathrm{p} . \mathrm{m}$. in the area between Right Hand Point and Kulukak Bluffs. Companies indicated that volume during the fishery was light and roe quality high, and the opening was extended for an additional six hours. The resulting harvest totaled 283 tons, at $12.2 \%$ average mature roe.

The 1994 sac roe harvest (both gear types combined) was the largest ever in Togiak District, reaching 30,316 tons (Table 3). Purse seine vessels landed a total of 22,853 tons and the gillnet fleet landed 7,463 tons of sac roe herring. Both purse seine and gillnet harvest.guidelines were met.

Six gillnet openings occurred, with 9 extensions. Herring gillnets fished a total of 76 hours, primarily in the area from Right Hand Point to the mouth of the Kulukak River. Gear was restricted to 50 fathoms in each opening, due the relatively small areas fished and the potential for herring waste. Five purse seine periods were held, for a total of 4 hours and 35 minutes of fishing time. Opening durations for purse seines varied from 15 minutes to 2 hours.

The roe quality of the gillnet harvest was the highest in the history of the fishery for the second consecutive year, and again exceeded roe quality in the purse seine harvest. Roe quality in the gillnet harvest averaged $12.1 \%$ mature roe, purse seine harvests averaged $9.5 \%$, and, overall, harvest roe quality averaged $10.2 \%$.

The peak purse seine effort of 240 vessels was comparable to levels observed in recent years, while the peak effort of 146 gillnet vessels was $39 \%$ below the 1984-93 average (Appendix Table 1). The peak gillnet effort was below average due largely to limited market conditions.

Although the initial purse seine opening on May 11 was restricted to 15 minutes and a portion of the district's area, the resulting harvest from that period totaled nearly 15,700 tons. Most companies processed herring from the May 11 harvests for up to five days; some loss in quality was reported. Vessels were observed holding fish over 48 hours following the May 11 closure. Consequently, the gillnet and purse seine fisheries did not re-open until May 15, by which time most of the May 11 harvest had been processed. Subsequent openings resulted in lower individual harvest quantities, and no further extended delays were necessary.

Waste was estimated at 350 tons for the purse seine fishery, based on aerial observations of carcass piles. The estimate is considered minimal since carcasses are visible only in shallow areas, and is included in the purse seine harvest in Table 1. An additional fifty tons of herring waste was estimated in the gillnet fishery based on reports of vessels that were unable to market their catch following the first gillnet opening on May 11.

## Spawn on Kelp Fishery

Spawning was first observed May 10, and by May 12, nearly 5 C linear miles had been documented (Table 1). Kelp samples were gathered that evening by industry representatives and department staff, and displayed at a public meeting at 12:00 noon, May 13 at the department office on the grounds. Samples from several areas between Anchor Point and Right Hand Point were examined by industry representatives, and samples from Nunavachak Bay (K-5) were judged the highest quality by representatives.

The spawn on kelp fishery was opened for 4 hours in area $\mathrm{K}-5$ on the next available tide since product quality was acceptable to industry representatives (Table 2). Favorable weather was predicted. The opening began at 11:00 p.m. May 13, two hours before low tide.

An aerial survey counted 204 people participating in the fishery, while 212 permit holders delivered product. Effort during the 1994 fishery was the lowest observed since 1987 (Table 4, Appendix Table 4). Despite a 2-hour extension, the maximum allowable harvest was not met, and a second opening was announced the following day, again for the next available low tide. Prior to that opening, however, on shore winds had increased, causing product quality to deteriorate. After taking several deliveries, buyers warned kelp harvesters that they would take no more product, and ceased operations.

The 1994 spawn on kelp fishery occurred over two periods with one extension ( 7.5 hrs .). Two hundred and four permit holders harvested $308,400 \mathrm{lbs}$ of product, equivalent to 1,113 tons of herring, entirely within Area K-5. The actual harvest was $88 \%$ of the maximum allowable harvest and $19 \%$ below the recent 10 -year average. The harvest level was reduced this season due to heavy on shore winds just prior to the second period, causing silt to render the product unacceptable. The purchase of kelp product was halted by the two companies participating. Overall quality was reported by participating companies to be good.

## Capelin Fishery

3 tons were harvested by purse seines June 7, shortly after commercial spotters reported the first capelin sightings. On June 9 , the buyer and fishermen ceased all operations due to low abundance, poor fishing success, small average size and high male percentage. Capelin landed reportedly averaged 65 fish/kilo.

## EXPLOITATION

Togiak fisheries were managed for a maximum exploitation of $20 \%$, based on the in season biomass estimate. The in season biomass estimate was revised post season to 185,454 tons. Exploitation is based on the post season revision and includes total sac roe harvest ( 29,916 tons), herring biomass equivalent of the spawn on kelp harvest ( 1,113 tons), and estimated waste ( 400 tons) from those fisheries. In addition, the Dutch Harbor food and bait harvest ( 3,335 tons) is included. Following the 1994 Togiak fisheries, the estimated exploitation on the Togiak biomass was $19 \%$ (Appendix Table 2).

## EX-VESSEL VALUE

The 1994 Togiak fisheries were valued togctice at $\$ 9.3$ million (Appondin Tatle 6). The commercial value of the sac roe fishery was the highest since 1988, and the fourth largest in the history of the fishery. The value of the sac roe harvest to fishermen was estimated at $\$ 9.1$ million. Ex-vessel values of the gillnet and purse seine harvests were $\$ 2.7$ and $\$ 6.4$ million. Ex-vessel value of the spawn on kelp fishery was estimated at $\$ 212,000$, approximately $73 \%$ of the 1984-93 average value. These estimates do not include any post-season adjustments to fishermen from processors, and should thcrefore be treated as minimum estimates.

Sac roe prices paid to fishermen were estimated at approximately $\$ 300 /$ ton for $10 \%$ mature roe, with an adjustment of $\$ 30 /$ ton for eanin percentage poinl difference above or below $10 \%$. No purchase of herring at bait price was reported. Spawn on kelp sold for $\$ 0.70 / \mathrm{lb}$, and capelin sold for $\$ 50 /$ ton.
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Tables 1-6

Table 1.
Daily observed estimates (tons) of herring by index area, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.*

| Date | Time Surveyed | Survey <br> d Conditions | Miles of Spawn | Estimated Biomass by Index Areab,c |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Daily Total (tons) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | NUS | KUK | MET | NUK | UGL | TOG | TNG | MTG | HAG | OSK | PYR | CN | WAL |  |
| 4/18 | pm | Fair | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4/21 | pm | Fair-Good | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4/25 | $a m \quad G$ | Good-Excel. | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 4/30 | pm | Good | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 5/02 | pm | Poor | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/03 | pm | Fair | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/05 | pm | Fair | 0.0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 | 0 |
| 5/06 | pm | Fair | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 0 |
| 5/08 | am | Fair | 0.0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 0 |
| 5/08 | pm | Fair | 0.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2,110 |  |  |  | 3,351 | 5,461 |
| 5/09 | am | Fair | 0.0 | 3,574 | 6,499 | 259 | 2,428 | 379 | 1,030 | 12,580 | 0 | 13.716 |  |  |  | 6,805 | 34.270 |
| 5/09 | pm | Fair | 0.0 | 6,178 | 3,849 | 928 | 750 | 4.304 | 16.338 | 5,696 | 268 | 13,474 |  |  |  |  | 51.784 |
| 5/10 | pm | Fair | 3.81 | 10,306 | 9,358 | 1,905 | 303 | 9,002 | 13,981 | 7,397 | 10,541 | 9,676 | 3,172 | 126 |  |  | 75,767 |
| 5/11 | $\mathrm{am}^{\text {d }}$ |  | 21.0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/12 | am | Fair | 23.04 | 43,235 | 16,191 | 12,909 | 6,943 | 2,988 | 12,383 | 8,746 | 2,112 | 21,001 | 8,842 | 9,484 | 274 | 3.609 | 148.716 |
| 5/13 | am ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  | 18.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5/14 | am | Fair | 5.32 | 22,015 | 5,922 | 4.593 | 5,427 | 5,120 | 14,862 | 4,562 | 5,128 | 23.280 | 6,405 | 472 |  |  | 97,786 |
| 5/16 | am | Fair | 0.0 |  | 2,809 | 1,153 | 445 | 3,890 | 6,784 | 602 | 2,962 | 94 | 175 | 83 |  |  | 18,998 |
|  |  | Total | 71.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

* Togiak District Pacific herring biomass was estimated at 185,454 short tons.
b Index Areas: NUS-Nushagak Peninsula; KUK-Kulukak; MET-Metervik; NUK-Nunavachak; UGL-Ungalikthluk/Togiak; TOG-Togiak; fivg-Tongue Point; MTG-Matogak; HAG;Hagemeister; OSK-Osviak; PYT-Pyrite Point; CN-Cape Newenham.
c Smelt schools observed Tog 4/21, 4/25, 4/30; Ugl 5/05; Tng 5/05, 5/06; MTG 5/02; Hag 4/30, 5/05; 0sk 5/02.
d Spawn survey.

Table 2. Emergency order comercial fishing periods for herring sac roe and herring spawn on kelp, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.

| Emergency <br> Order <br> Number <br> Area ${ }^{1}$ | Date and Time |  |  |  |  | Duration |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Herring Sac Roe Gillnet |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG-01 Eagle Bay to Kulukak Bluffs ${ }^{2,3}$ | 5/11 | 11:00 a.m. |  | 5/11 | 1:00 p.m. | 2.0 hrs |
| DLG-03 * | 5/11 | 6:00 p.m. | - | 5/11 | MIDNIGHT | 6.0 hrs |
| DLG-07 Right Hand Pt to Kulakuk Bluffs ${ }^{2.3}$ | 5/15 | NOON | - | 5/15 | 4:00 p.m. | 4.0 hrs |
| DLG-08 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ - | 5/15 | 4:00 p.m. |  | 5/15 | 6:00 p.m. | 2.0 hrs |
| DLG-10 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5/15 | 6:00 p.m. |  | 5/15 | 8:00 p.m. | 2.0 hrs |
| DLG-11* | 5/15 | 8:00 p.m. | - | 5/16 | 2:00 a.m. | 6.0 hrs |
| DLG-13 Metervik Bay to Kulukak Bluffs ${ }^{2,3}$ | 5/16 | 1:00 p.m. | - | 5/16 | 5:00 p.m. | 4.0 hrs |
| DLG-15* | 5/16 | 5:00 p.m. |  | 5/16 | 10:00 p.m. | 5.0 hrs |
| DLG-16 Right Hand Pt to Kulukak $\mathrm{R}^{2,3}$ | 5/18 | NOON |  | 5/18 | 4:00 p.m. | 4.0 hrs |
| DLG-18 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ ( | 5/18 | 4:00 p.m. |  | 5/18 | 9:00 p.m. | 5.0 |
| hrs |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG-19* | 5/18 | 9:00 p.m. |  | 5/19 | 9:00 a.m. | 12.0 hrs |
| DLG-20 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5/19 | 9:00 a.m. |  | 5/19 | 3:00 p.m. | 6.0 hrs |
| DLG-214 | 5/19 | 3:00 p.m. |  | 5/19 | 9:00 p.m. | 6.0 hrs |
| DLG-22 Right Hand Pt to Kulukak Bluffs ${ }^{2,3}$ | 5/20 | 7:00 p.m. |  | 5/21 | 1:00 a.m. | 6.0 hrs |
| DLG-23 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 5/21 | 1:00 a.m. |  | 5/21 | 7:00 a.m. | 6.0 hrs |
| Herring Sac Roe Purse Seine |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG-02 Quigmy River to Kulukak | 5/11 | 1:30 p.m. |  | 5/11 | 1:45 p.m. | 15 min |
| DLG-09 Anchor Pt to Right Hand Pt | 5/15 | 5:00 p.m. |  | 5/15 | 5:30 p.m. | 30 min |
| DLG-12 C Newenham to Tongue Pt | 5/16 | NOON |  | 5/16 | 1:30 p.m. | 1.5 hrs |
| DLG-14 | 5/16 | 6:00 p.m. |  | 5/16 | 8:00 p.m. | 2.0 hrs |
| DLG-17 Oosik Spit to Tongue Pt | 5/18 | 1:00 p.m. |  | 5/18 | 1:20 p.m. | 20 min |
| Herring Spawn-on-Kelp |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| DLG-04 K-5 | 5/13 | 11:00 p.m. | - | 5/14 | 3:00 a.m. | 4.0 hrs |
| DLG-05 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ K-5 | $5 / 14$ | 3:00 a.m. | - | $5 / 14$ | 5:00 a.m. | 2.0 hrs |
| DLG-06 K-5 | 5/14 | 10:00 p.m. |  | 5/14 | 11:30 p.m. | 1.5 hrs |

[^11]Table 3. Commercial inshore herring harvest (tons) by fishing section and gear type, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1994. Weighted


2 Catches for multiple fishing periods for the same day are combined
Includes 350 tons deadloss.
Includes 205 tons ground into fish meal.
ADF\&G test fish harvest

- Includes 50 tons deadloss.

Table 4. Commercial herring spawn on kelp harvest by date, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.a

| Date | Area | Hrs | Permits | Landings | Harvest (st) | Equivalent <br> Herring <br> Biomass (st) |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $5 / 13$    <br> $5 / 14$ $K-5$ 4.0 181 <br> K-5 3.5 11 201 <br> Total  7.5 184150.4 <br> 3.8 | 105.9 <br> 27.9 |  |  |  |  |  |

a Spawn-on-kelp was harvested only in Kelping Area K-5.
b Using a formula adopted by the 1984 Board of Fisheries, herring spawn on kelp harvest is converted to represent herring as follows:

Herring Equivalent $=\frac{100 \text { (Harvested Egg Biomass) }}{\text { Average Roe Recovery (in percent) }}$,
where;
Harvested Egg Biomass $=0.75$ (Spawn-on-kelp biomass)

For 1994;

$$
\begin{aligned}
\text { Herring Equivalent } & =\frac{100(113.48)}{10.2} \\
& =1,112.5 \text { tons }
\end{aligned}
$$

Herring equivalent is included in the herring harvest to calculate total exploitation.

Table 5. Herring total run and commercial catch by year class, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.a,b

| Year Class | Age | Total Run | \% | Catch | \% | Escapement | 8 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Short Tons |  | Short Tons |  | Short Tons |  |
| 1976 | 18 | 946 | $0.5 \%$ | 92 | $0.3 \%$ | 854 | $0.5 \%$ |
| 77 | 17 | 5,238 | $2.8 \%$ | 638 | 2.18 | 4,600 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 78 | 16 | 15,184 | $8.2 \%$ | 2,276 | $7.5 \%$ | 12,909 | $8.3 \%$ |
| 79 | 15 | 4,584 | $2.5 \%$ | 669 | $2.2 \%$ | 3,916 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 80 | 14 | 6,281 | $3.4 \%$ | 965 | $3.2 \%$ | 5,316 | 3.48 |
| 1981 | 13 | 8,193 | 4.4\% | 1,346 | 4.4\% | 6,848 | 4.48 |
| 82 | 12 | 8,013 | $4.3 \%$ | 1,584 | $5.2 \%$ | 6,429 | 4.18 |
| 83 | 11 | 23,851 | $12.9 \%$ | 3,702 | $12.2 \%$ | 20,150 | $13.0 \%$ |
| 84 | 10 | 24,239 | 13.18 | 4,364 | $14.4 \%$ | 19,876 | $12.8 \%$ |
| 85 | 9 | 5,970 | $3.2 \%$ | 1,269 | $4.2 \%$ | 4,701 | $3.0 \%$ |
| 1986 | 8 | 4,819 | 2.68 | 934 | 3.18 | 3,886 | $2.5 \%$ |
| 87 | 7 | 53,004 | $28.6 \%$ | 8,459 | $27.9 \%$ | 44,551 | 28.78 |
| 88 | 6 | 21,704 | 11.78 | 3,485 | 11.5\% | 18,223 | 11.78 |
| 89 | 5 | 2,920 | $1.6 \%$ | 479 | 1.6\% | 2,441 | $1.6 \%$ |
| 90 | 4 | 510 | 0.38 | 53 | $0.2 \%$ | 457 | $0.3 \%$ |
| 1991 | 3 | 0 | 0.08 | 1 | 0.08 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| 92 | 2 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ | 0 | 0.08 | 0 | $0.0 \%$ |
| Total |  | 185,454 | 100.0\% | 30,316 | 100.0\% | 155,154 | 100.0\% |

a Reported harvest and revised biomass estimate are final.
b Does not include harvest in the Dutch Harbor food and bait fishery.

Commercial herring sac roe, herring spawn on kelp and capelin piocessors and buyers operating in Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1994.a

| Operator/Buyer | Base of Operations | Product Purchased |  |  |  | Processing Method |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Sac Roe |  | Spawn-on-Kelp | Capelin |  |  |  |
|  |  | Gillnet | Purse Seine |  |  | Frozen | Cured | Brine Export |
| 1. Cook Inlet Processing | M/V Ranger |  | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 2. Dragnet Fisheries, Inc. | M/V Jackie M | X | X |  |  | Shore |  |  |
| 3. Icicle Seafoods, Inc. | P/B Discovery Star | X | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 4. King Crab, Inc. | M/V Ocean Pride | X | X |  |  | Shore |  |  |
| 5. Norquest Seafoods, Inc | M/V Pribilof | X | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 6. New West Fisheries, Inc. | P/V New West | X | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 7. Northcoast Sfd. Proc. | P/V Polar Bear | X | X | X |  | Floater |  |  |
| 8. Pan Pacific Seafoods | P/V Pacific Producer | X | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 9. Peter Pan Seafoods Inc. | P/V Blue Wave | X | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 10. Prime Alaska Seafoods ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 11. Snopac Products, Inc. | P/V Snopac |  | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 12. Togiak Fisheries, Inc. |  |  | X | X | X | Shore |  |  |
| 13. Trident Seafoods | P/B Neptune | X | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 14. Unisea, Inc. | P/V Omnisea |  | X |  |  | Floater |  |  |
| 15. Woodbine Ak. Fish Co. | M/V Woodbine | X | X |  |  | Shore/F |  |  |
| 16. YAK, Inc. | P/B Yard Arm Knot | X | X | i |  | Floater |  |  |

1 Processor registered, but did not purchase any herring product.
a Operators that registered in the Togiak Herring District.

## BRISTOL BAY HERRING FISHERY

## Appendix Tables 1-6

Appendix Table 1. Commercial herring catch by gear type and product Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.


1 Units of gear derived from fish tickets in years prior to 1979. From 1979 to present, units of gear equals peak aerial count.
2 Data for some years includes ADF\&G harvests and waste.
a Fishery not conducted.

Appendix Table 2. Estimated total run biomass and inshore commercial catch, in tons, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1978-94.

| Year | Total Run Biomass ${ }^{1}$ | Inshore Catch | Roe Recovery (\%) |  |  | Percent Exploitation ${ }^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Gill- } \\ & \text { Net } \end{aligned}$ | purse Seine | Mean |  |
| 1978 | 190,292 | 7,734 |  |  | 8.2 | 4 |
| 79 | 239,022 | 11,558 |  |  | 8.6 | 5 |
| 80 | 68,686 | 18,886 |  |  | 9.2 | 35 |
| 81 | 158,650 | 12,542 | 6.7 | 10.1 | 9.1 | 8 |
| 82 | 97,902 | 21,489 | 7.4 | 9.5 | 8.8 | 22 |
| 1983 | 141,782 | 26,287 | 6.9 | 9.3 | 8.9 | 19 |
| 84 | 114,880 | 19,300 | 8.4 | 10.2 | 9.8 | 18 |
| 85 | 131,400 | 25,616 | 7.4 | 10.0 | 9.6 | 20 |
| 86 | 94,700 | 16,260 | 8.8 | 9.9 | 9.7 | 19 |
| 87 | 88,400 | 15,204 | 8.6 | 8.9 | 8.8 | 19 |
| 1988 | 134,717 | 14,382 | 8.3 | 10.9 | 10.3 | 13 |
| 89 | 98,965 | 12,258 | 8.0 | 8.6 | 8.4 | 18 |
| 90 | 88,105 | 12,253 | 9.1 | 9.7 | 9.6 | 17 |
| 91 | 83,329 | 14,970 | 8.8 | 10.1 | 9.9 | 21 |
| 92 | 156,955 | 25,808 | 8.8 | 9.2 | 9.2 | 19 |
| 1993 | 193,847 | 17,925 | 10.1 | 9.6 | 9.7 | 12 |
| Avg | 130,102 | 17,030 | 8.3 | 9.7 | 9.2 | 17 |
| 1994 | 185,454 | 30,316 | 12.1 | 9.5 | 10.2 | 19 |

1 The total run biomass represents the aerial survey estimate of the inshore spawning biomass for each year in the Togiak District, revised post-season.
2 The percent exploitation is calculated by dividing the adjusted commercial harvest, which includes all commercial landings (Togiak sac roe fishery and Dutch Harbor food/bait fishery), all documented waste, and the equivalent herring harvest of the spawn-on-kelp removal, by the total run biomass.

| Year | Age Composition(\%) ${ }^{1}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Run $(s t)^{2}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | $3^{\text {a }}$ | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | $9+$ |  |
| 1977 | 4 | 49 | 37 | 3 | 3 | 3 | 1 |  |
| 78 |  | 47 | 36 | 11 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 190,292 |
| 79 | 1 | 4 | 48 | 31 | 13 | 1 | 2 | 239,022 |
| 80 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 37 | 35 | 12 | 2 | 68,686 |
| 81 | 1 | 50 | 7 | 1 | 22 | 14 | 5 | 158,650 |
| 1982 |  | 16 | 51 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 12 | 97,902 |
| 83 |  | 5 | 37 | 45 | 2 | 2 | 9 | 141,782 |
| 84 |  |  | 2 | 28 | 42 | 4 | 24 | 114,880 |
| 85 |  | 1 | 1 | 8 | 35 | 42 | 13 | 131,400 |
| 86 |  |  | 1 | 2 | 15 | 44 | 38 | 94,770 |
| 1987 |  |  |  | 8 | 10 | 28 | 54 | 88,400 |
| 88 |  | 2 | 5 | 1 | 13 | 5 | 74 | 134,717 |
| 89 |  |  | 5 | 11 | 4 | 15 | 65 | 98,965 |
| 90 |  |  |  | 6 | 11 | 3 | 80 | 88,105 ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 91 |  | 7 | 1 | 1 | 16 | 18 | 57 | 83,329 |
| 1992 |  | 10 | 20 | 1 | 1 | 15 | 53 | 156,955 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |
| 93 |  |  | 6 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 67 | 193, 847 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 94 |  |  | 2 | 12 | 28 | 3 | 55 | 185, 454 ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |
| 1 Age composition in 1978-92 is weighted by area based on aerial survey data and by weight at age; age composition in 1977 is not weighted by aerial survey data. |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2 Includes commercial catch, escapement, and documented waste. <br> a Includes age 1,2 and 3. <br> b Contributions of age $1,2,3,4$ and 5, are less than $5 \%$ each. <br> c Contribution of age 3 herring is than $0.5 \%$. <br> d Contribution of age 4 herring is than $0.5 \%$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Appendix Table 4. Commercial harvest of herring spawn on kelp, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.

| Year | Processors | Permit <br> Holders ${ }^{1}$ | Deliveries | Harvest (1bs) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1974 | 3 | 26 | 49 | 125,646 |
| 75 | 2 | 44 | 98 | 111,087 |
| 76 | 5 | 49 | 118 | 295,780 |
| 77 | 5 | 75 | 266 | 275,774 |
| 78 | 11 | 160 | 349 | 329,858 |
| 1979 | 16 | 100 | 228 | 414,727 |
| 80 | 21 | 78 | 186 | 189,662 |
| 81 | 7 | 108 | 277 | 378,207 |
| 82 | 8 | 214 | 167 | 234,924 |
| 83 | 4 | 125 | 257 | 270,866 |
| 1984 | 6 | 330 | 412 | 406,587 |
| $85^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  |
| 86 | 3 | 204 | 351 | 374,142 |
| 87 | 5 | 187 | 334 | 307,307 |
| 88 | 10 | 259 | 330 | 489,320 |
| 1989 | 11 | 487 | 330 | 559,780 |
| 90 | 7 | $481{ }^{\text {b }}$ | 286 | 413,844 |
| 91 | 7 | $532^{\text {b }}$ | 248 | 348,357 |
| 92 | 5 | 386 | 267 | 363,600 |
| 93 | 2 | 173 | 313 | 383,000 |
| 20-Year Ave. | 7 | 211 | 256 | 330,130 |
| 1974-83 Ave. | 8 | 98 | 200 | 262,653 |
| 1984-93 Ave. | 6 | 338 | 319 | 405,104 |
| 1994 | 2 | 184 | 212 | 308,400 |

1 Derived from fish ticket data, unless specified otherwise.
a Fishery not conducted.
b Estimated via aerial survey during the harvest; includes both limited-entry interim-use permit holders and crew members.

Appendix Table 5. Aerial observations of herring spawn in the Togiak District, Brigtol Bay, 1978-94.a

| Date | $1978$ |  | $1979$ |  | 1980 | $\begin{aligned} & 80 \\ & \text { Miles } \end{aligned}$ | 1981 |  | 1982 |  | 1983 |  | 1984 |  | $1985$ |  | 1986 |  | 1987 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 2.9 |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 17 | 5.2 |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 3.4 |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 4.3 |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 30 |  |  | 2 | 2.5 |  |  | 9 | 3.0 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 1.7 |
| 5/ 1 | 1 | 0.4 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2.3 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
|  |  |  | 21 | 8.3 | 11 | 4.0 | 12 | 1.9 |  |  | 10 | 3.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 | 1 | 0.4 | 14 | 5.0 | 8 | 3.0 | 12 | 6.8 |  |  | 30 | 9.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 10.7 |
| 4 |  |  | 8 | 3.1 |  |  | 4 | 2.9 |  |  | 40 | 12.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 15 | 6.3 |
| 5 |  |  | 1 | 1.3 | 0 |  | 6 | 2.5 |  |  | 27 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 21 | 23.9 |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 8 | 2.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | B. 4 |
| 7 |  |  | 3 | 0.6 | 3 | 0.9 | 2 | 0.4 | 0 |  | 8 | 1.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 3.3 |
| 8 | 2 | 1.8 |  |  | 3 | 1.2 | 3 | 1.0 |  |  | 8 | 1.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 9 |  |  | 2 | 0.4 | 1 | 0.2 | 5 | 1.4 |  |  |  |  | 1 | + |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 10 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.4 |
| 11 | 9 | 7.7 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4.7 |
| 12 | 3 | 1.5 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 15 | 4.8 | 0 |  | 9 | 5.4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 13 | 12 | 8.6 |  |  | 0 |  | 6 | 3.8 | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.8 |  |  |
| 14 | 11 | 5.6 | 0 |  | 2 | 2.3 | 10 | 4.7 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 13.8 | 1 | 0.6 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 4.0 | 2 | 1.5 | 0 |  | 2 | 1.0 |  |  |  |  | 53 | 18.2 |  |  |
| 16 |  |  | 0 |  | 4 | 1.2 | 0 |  | 1 | 0.1 | 4 | 0.5 | 1 | 0.3 |  |  | 34 | 11.1 |  |  |
| 17 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.7 | 9 | 2.0 | 1 | 0.5 |  |  | 24 | 11.7 |  |  |
| 18 | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 29 | 7.3 | 19 | 6.1 | 24 | 17.6 |  |  | 3 | 0.6 |  |  |
| 19 |  | 2.5 |  |  | 1 | 0.3 |  |  | 16 | 5.2 | 7 | 1.7 | 71 | 24.6 |  |  | 1 | 0.6 |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | 4 | 0.9 |  |  | 19 | 14.0 | 0 |  | 8 | 1.3 | 3 | 0.2 | 3 | 0.6 |  |  |
| 21 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 2.0 |  |  | 0 |  | 8 | 2.0 | 11 | 4.2 |  |  |
| 22 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.5 |  |  | 3 | 1.5 |  |  | 5 | 1.2 | 13 | 2.3 | 4 | 0.5 |  |  |
| 23 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 10 | 2.1 | 11 | 3.3 | 0 |  | 3 | 1.4 | 48 | 14.2 | 4 | 1.5 |  |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 5 | 1.4 |  |  | 6 | 2.2 | 25 | 11.7 | 11 | 2.6 |  |  |
| 25 | 8 | 4.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | 1 | 0.1 | 3 | 1.4 | 17 | 5.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 | 2 | 2.2 | 1 | 0.7 |  |  | 3 | 0.2 | 0 |  | 1 | 0.1 | 14 | 4.1 | 23 | 7.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  | 3 | 0.3 |  |  | 0 |  | 2 | 0.1 | 8 | 1.2 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 28 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 3 | 0.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 1.6 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | 0.2 | 0 |  | - |  |  |  |
| 30 |  | 1.6 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 4 | 0.5 |  |  | 3 | 0.3 |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.8 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 12 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $6 / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 2.6 | 0 |  | 3 | 0.5 | 4 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 | 1 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.8 | 4 | 0.2 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 | 0.2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 3.1 | - |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 70 | 41.2 |  | 21.9 |  | 24.3 | 106 | 40.1 | 103 | 38.6 | 189 | 59.7 | 171 | 61.4 | 141 | 43.4 | 182 | 66.5 | 160 | 75.8 |

Appendix Table 5. (Continued)

| Date | $\begin{gathered} 1988 \\ \text { No. Miles } \end{gathered}$ |  | $1989$ |  | $1990$ |  | $1991$ |  | $1992$ |  | 1993 |  | 1994 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 4/18 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 19 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 24 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 | 0 |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 14 | 5.1 |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 23 | 21.3 |  |  |
| 28 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 16 | 13.0 |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 11 | 6.0 |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 7 | 4.0 | 0 |  |
| $5 / 1$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 3 | 2.2 |  |  |
| 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.5 | 0 |  |
| 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.8 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |
| 8 |  |  | 4 | 4.2 | 11 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 9 |  |  | 11 | 11.9 | 63 | 37.1 | 3 | 1.0 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 10 |  |  | 15 | 12.9 | 6 | 3.3 | 24 | 17.8 | 0 |  |  |  | 8 | 3.8 |
| 11 | 0 |  | 7 | 10.0 | 5 | 1.7 | 21 | 24.5 |  |  |  |  | 21 | 21.0 |
| 12 | 0 |  | 9 | 3.6 | 2 | 1.8 | 24 | 20.8 |  |  |  |  | 17 | 23.0 |
| 13 | 0 |  | 4 | 3.2 | 0 |  | 5 | 2.1 | 0 |  |  |  | 26 | 18.8 |
| 14 | 2 | 1.5 |  |  | 3 | 4.0 | 1 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  | 8 | 5.3 |
| 15 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 1.0 | 1 | 0.1 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 16 | 11 | 3.5 | 1 | 0.8 | 0 |  | 1 | 0.5 | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  |
| 17 | 20 | 22.8 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 18 | 30 | 12.9 |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |
| 19 | 26 | 9.1 |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 5 | 7.0 |  |  |  |  |
| 20 |  |  |  |  | 0 |  | 2 | 0.2 | 29 | 19.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 21 | 3 | 0.9 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 55 | 34.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 22 | 9 | 4.1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 24 | 8.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 23 | 1 | 3.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 28 | 14.9 |  |  |  |  |
| 24 | 5 | 2.8 | 18 | 5.9 |  |  | 5 | 1.0 | 9 | 8.8 |  |  |  |  |
| 25 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 6 | 2.5 |  |  |  |  |
| 26 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 | 1.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 2 B |  |  |  |  |  | 7.0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 29 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 30 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 31 |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.7 | 2 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6/ $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ 2 \\ 3 \\ 4\end{array}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 5 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 | 0.5 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 6 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |
| 7 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | - |  |  |  |  |  |
| ? |  |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Total | 107 | 61.1 | 69 | 52.5 | 94 | 65.7 | 90 | 69.5 | 160 | 96.9 | 95 | 53.3 | 80 | 71.9 |

a Survey area covers Nushagak Peninsula to Cape Newenham.

Appendix Table 6. Exvessel value of the commercial herring and spawn-on-kelp harvest, in thousands of dollars, Togiak District, Bristol Bay, 1974-94.*

| Year | Herring |  | Spawnon Kelp | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Sac Roe | Food/Bait |  |  |
| 1974 | 24 | 0 | 19 | 43 |
| 75 | 9 | 0 | 22 | 31 |
| 76 | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 127 | 127 |
| 77 | 447 | 0 | 116 | 563 |
| 78 | 2,635 | 0 | 120 | 2,755 |
| 1979 | 6,561 | 180 | 249 | 6,990 |
| 80 | 3,055 | 150 | 95 | 3,300 |
| 81 | 3,988 | 1 | 250 | 4,239 |
| 82 | 6,070 | 105 | 176 | 6,351 |
| 83 | 10,450 | 67 | 284 | 10,801 |
| 1984 | 7,178 | 33 | 203 | 7,414 |
| 85 | 13,696 | 41 | b | 13,737 |
| 86 | 8,648 | 12 | 187 | 8,847 |
| 87 | .8,614 | 49 | 166 | 8,829 |
| 88 | 14,103 | 3 | 346 | 14,452 |
| 1989 | 4,983 | 19 | 448 | 5,450 |
| 90 | 6,494 | 9 | 360 | 6,863 |
| 91 | 6,173 | 21 | 383 | 6,577 |
| 92 | 8,818 | 26 | 254 | 9,098 |
| 93 | 5,218 | 3 | 268 | 5,539 |
| 20-Year | . 6,167 | 32 | 214 | 6,100 |
| 1974-83 | . 3,693 | 56 | 146 | 3.520 |
| 1984-93 | . 8,392 | 22 | $291{ }^{-}$ | 8,681 |
| 1994 | 9,095 | 0 | 212 | 9,302 |

a Exvessel value (value paid to the fisherman) is derived by multiplying price per pound by the commercial harvest.
b Fishery not conducted.
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Figure 2. Togiak District Spawn on Kelp Management Areas, Bristol Bay (K-1 through K-11. BRISTOL BAY TOGIAK DISTAICT SPAWN ON KELP MANAGEMENT AREAS (K-1 through K-11)


[^0]:    94 Data files and unpublished records as maintained by the Entry Commission.

[^1]:    Sockeye salmon of several minor age classes are expected to contribute an additional $1-2 \%$ to the total return.

[^2]:    1 Indices expressing in fish/100 fathom hours and include interpoiations for missed days and stations (in parentheses).
    2 Passage rate is based on the mean inshore retirn per Port Moller index (1985, 1987-1993) of 17,500 fish multiplied by the daily index.

[^3]:    Prefix code on emergency orders indicate where announcements originated ("AKN. " for King Salmon field office and "DLG." for Dillingham field office).
    Prohibits the use of gillnet mesh larger than 5-1/2".
    Establishes a three day per week fishing schedule from 9:00 a.m. Mondavs to 9:00 a.m. Thursday.
    Commercial setnets only.
    Waives the 48-hour transfer period into Egegik District.

    - Prohibits the use of gillnet mesh smaller than 6-3/4"

    Reduces subsistence fishing to a three day per week schedule from s:00 a.m. Mondays to 9:00 a.m. Tuesdays; 9:00 a.m. Wednesdays to 9:00 a.m. Thursdays; 9:00 a.m. Fridays to 9:00 a.m. Saturdays.
    Recinds emergency order DLG. 47.

[^4]:    1 Estimated number of deliveries based on daiły company oral reports. Preliminary.

    - ADF\&G test fishing catches.
    b Included in totals recorded for subsequent day.

[^5]:    : Kulukak Section open three days per week. See Table 12 for inseason adjustments to the weekly fishing schedule.
    2 Effort estimated by aerial surveys inseason.

[^6]:    1 Matogak Section open five days per week. See Table 12 for inseason adjustments to the weekly fishing schedule.

[^7]:    1. Will not total $100 \%$ due to a small number of zero check fish that are not included.

    2 Actual number of readable scales.

[^8]:    Percent deviation $=$ (actual minus goal) / goal (multiplied by 100).
    Actual escapement from 1974-88 is based on the Nuyakuk River tower count, and from 1989-present is based on sonar count at Portage Creak.

[^9]:    - Harvests are extrapolated for all permits issued, based on those returned. Harvests prior to 1985 are rounded to the nearest hundred fish.
    - Permit and harvest esimates pnor to 1989 are based on the community where the permit was issued; estimates from 1989 to the present are based on the area fished, as first recorded on the permit.
    - includes even years only.
    d No permits returned.

[^10]:    ${ }^{1}$ A food and bait fishery occurs in July near Dutch Harbor on herring that, for management purposes, are considered part of the Togiak stock.

[^11]:    1 Area descriptiona are approximate. Precise boundarics are described in Emergency orders. Metervik Bay opened.
    , Gillnet length reduced to 50 fathoms.
    Extension.

