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#### Abstract

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game interdivisional escapement goal review committee reviewed Pacific salmon Oncorhynchus spp. escapement goals for the major river systems in Bristol Bay. There were 13 escapement goals reviewed in the Bristol Bay management area for this review. The committee evaluated spawner-return data for all Bristol Bay sockeye salmon O. nerka and Chinook salmon O. tshawytscha stocks with escapement goals.


For this escapement goal review, the committee recommends that all sockeye salmon escapement goals in the Bristol Bay management area remain the same. After the development of a run reconstruction model recommended at the last cycle, the committee also recommends no change to the Nushagak River Chinook salmon escapement goal for this cycle and that a run reconstruction-based escapement goal be considered during the next Alaska Board of Fisheries cycle.

Keywords: Pacific salmon, Oncorhynchus spp., sockeye salmon, O. nerka, Chinook salmon, O. tshawytscha, chum salmon, O. keta, coho salmon, O. kisutch, pink salmon, O. gorbuscha, Bristol Bay, Kvichak River, Alagnak River, Naknek River, Egegik River, Ugashik River, Wood River, Igushik River, Nushagak River, Togiak River, spawning escapement goal, Alaska Board of Fisheries

## INTRODUCTION

This report describes the review of existing Bristol Bay salmon escapement goals by the interdivisional escapement goal review committee and their recommendations to the Divisions of Commercial Fisheries and Sport Fish directors. Many Bristol Bay salmon escapement goals have been set and evaluated at regular intervals since statehood.

The Bristol Bay management area includes all coastal and inland waters east of a line from Cape Newenham to Cape Menshikof (Figure 1). The Bristol Bay management area is divided into 5 management districts (Egegik, Naknek-Kvichak, Nushagak, Togiak, and Ugashik) that correspond to the major river systems. Bristol Bay supports some of the largest sockeye salmon runs in the world with combined runs to Bristol Bay averaging approximately 42.4 million fish since 2001 (Table 1). Nine major river systems produce more than $99 \%$ of the returning sockeye salmon: Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood Rivers (Table 1, Figure 1).

The primary management objective for each river is to achieve escapements within established ranges and harvesting fish over escapement goals through orderly fisheries. During the 2015 Statewide Miscellaneous Shellfish Alaska Board of Fisheries (board) meeting the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (department) introduced, and the board approved, regulatory language ". . . to the extent practicable, manage for escapements to fall within the lower or upper portions of escapement goals proportional to the run size based on the preseason forecast and inseason assessment of the run size" (5 AAC 06.355(d)(1)). Regulatory management plans have been adopted for individual species in certain districts. Escapement refers to the annual estimated size of the spawning salmon stock, which is affected by a variety of factors including harvest, predation, disease, and physical and biological changes in the environment. Escapement goals for sockeye salmon have been in place for the major river systems since the early 1960s (Burgner et al. 1967; Fried 1994; Cross et al. 1997; Fair 2000; Fair et al. 2004; Baker et al. 2006, 2009; Fair et al. 2012; Erickson et al. 2015; Erickson et al. 2018). Bristol Bay also contains one of the largest runs of Chinook salmon O. tshawytscha in Alaska. The Chinook salmon run in the Nushagak River has averaged 191,000 since 1989. Substantial runs of chum O. keta, coho O. kisutch, and pink O. gorbuscha salmon are also found in many Bristol Bay rivers.

The department reviews Bristol Bay escapement goals on a schedule that corresponds to the board's 3-year cycle for considering area regulatory proposals. This report describes the Bristol Bay salmon escapement goals reviewed in 2021.
The committee reviewed existing escapement goals for the following stocks:

- Chinook salmon: Nushagak River
- chum salmon: Nushagak River
- coho salmon: Nushagak River
- pink salmon: Nushagak River
- sockeye salmon: Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood Rivers

Escapement goals were reviewed based on the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries (SSFP; 5 AAC 39.222) and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (EGP; 5 AAC 39.223). The board adopted these policies into regulation to ensure that the state's salmon stocks are conserved, managed, and developed using the sustained yield principle. The EGP states that it is the department's responsibility to document existing salmon escapement goals for all salmon stocks that are currently managed for an escapement goal and to review existing, or propose new, escapement goals on a schedule that conforms to the board's regular cycle of consideration of area regulatory proposals. For this review, there are two important terms defined in the SSFP:

5 AAC 39.222 (f)(3) "biological escapement goal" or "(BEG)" means the escapement that provides the greatest potential for maximum sustained yield; BEG will be the primary management objective for the escapement unless an optimal escapement or inriver run goal has been adopted; BEG will be developed from the best available biological information, and should be scientifically defensible on the basis of available biological information; BEG will be determined by the department and will be expressed as a range based on factors such as salmon stock productivity and data uncertainty; the department will seek to maintain evenly distributed salmon escapements within the bounds of a BEG; and

5 AAC 39.222 (f)(36) "sustainable escapement goal" or "(SEG)" means a level of escapement, indicated by an index or an escapement estimate, that is known to provide for sustained yield over a 5 to 10 year period, used in situations where a BEG cannot be estimated or managed for; the SEG is the primary management objective for the escapement, unless an optimal escapement or inriver run goal has been adopted by the board; the SEG will be developed from the best available biological information; and should be scientifically defensible on the basis of that information; the SEG will be determined by the department and will take into account data uncertainty and be stated as either an "SEG range" or "lower-bound SEG"; the department will seek to maintain escapements within the bounds of the SEG range or above the level of a lower bound SEG.

An escapement goal for a stock was defined as a BEG if a sufficiently long time series of escapement, harvest, and age estimates were available; the estimates were sufficiently accurate and precise; and the data were considered sufficient to estimate maximum sustained yield (MSY; Hilborn and Walters 1992; Chinook Technical Committee 1999; Quinn and Deriso 1999). An
escapement goal for a stock was defined as an SEG if a sufficiently long time series of escapement estimates were available, but there was concern about the spawner-return data (e.g., lack of age composition estimates, concern with stock-specific harvest allocation, insufficient contrast in escapements).

In 2021, the department established an interdivisional escapement goal review committee (committee). The committee consisted of Division of Commercial Fisheries and Division of Sport Fish personnel (Table 2). The committee met formally for the first time in February of 2021 to review existing escapement goals and begin developing findings for the directors of the Divisions of Sport Fish and Commercial Fisheries. As per the SSFP and EGP, department regional and headquarters staff reviewed all committee findings prior to submitting a summary of the escapement goal findings to the board during the 2022 Work Session. The escapement goal findings will be finalized in the form of a written memo signed by the directors after the 2022/2023 board cycle concludes.

## OBJECTIVES

Objectives of the 2021 review were to:

1) Review existing goals to determine whether they were still appropriate given (a) new data collected since the last review, (b) current assessment techniques, and (c) current management practices;
2) Review the methods used to establish the existing goals to determine whether alternative methods should be investigated;
3) Consider discontinuing existing goals;
4) Consider any new stocks for which there may be sufficient data to develop a goal; and
5) Recommend new goals, if appropriate.

## OVERVIEW OF STOCK ASSESSMENT METHODS

The committee reviewed each of the existing escapement goals using escapement and harvest data (if available), including data collected since the 2018 review. Escapement goals for salmon are ideally based on spawner-recruit relationships (e.g., Ricker 1954; Beverton and Holt 1957), which describe the productivity and carrying capacity of a stock. However, available fisheries data are often not suitable for describing a spawner-recruit relationship (e.g., insufficient contrast in escapements, no stock-specific harvest data, short escapement time series, or inconsistent escapement monitoring). In these cases, other evaluation methods are necessary. Escapement goals are evaluated and revised over time as improved methods are developed, and when new and better information becomes available.

Available escapement, harvest, and age data for each stock were compiled from research reports, management reports, and unpublished historical databases. The committee evaluated the type, quality, and quantity of data for each stock. Escapements within an escapement goal range for a stock should produce sustainable yields.

## Escapement and Harvest Data

Sockeye salmon escapements have been sampled by beach seine and visually counted using towers at Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood Rivers (West et al. 2012). The department has assessed Alagnak River sockeye salmon escapement using a combination of aerial surveys and towers since its inception (Clark 2005). Salmon escapements were sampled by gillnet or beach seine and estimated using sonar for all Nushagak River salmon species beginning in the early 1980s (Brazil and Buck 2011). Prior to the implementation of sonar, Nushagak River Chinook and sockeye salmon escapements were assessed using aerial surveys. Age data have been collected from both the escapement and harvest for all these stocks. Prior to the 2012 review, harvest allocation for each stock was estimated by harvest location and age composition (Bernard 1983). However, the run reconstruction model of Cunningham et al. (2012) estimated sockeye salmon stock-specific harvest contributions based on genetic markers, age composition, and run timing information going back to 1963. For the current review, the Bristol Bay sockeye salmon run reconstruction was updated retroactively for the length of the data set (brood years 1963-2012) to incorporate the best, most current understanding of genetic baselines in Bristol Bay. Although this board cycle was delayed by one year due to the ongoing COVID-19 pandemic, total return data for all sockeye salmon stocks in this review were taken from the 2020 run reconstruction.

## Escapement Goal Setting

In previous reviews, escapement goals were evaluated for Bristol Bay salmon stocks using the following methods: (1) spawner-recruit analysis; (2) yield analysis; (3) smolt information; and (4) risk analysis. Spawner-return data were generally used to estimate escapement goals when stock-specific estimates of total return (escapement and stock-specific harvest) were reliable and there was sufficient contrast in escapements. Spawner-return data were used to estimate escapement goals based on: (1) escapements producing average yields that were $90-100 \%$ of MSY from a spawner-recruit model, and (2) the yield analysis, a visual examination of observed yield versus escapement. Recent smolt information is not available for any Bristol Bay salmon stocks. The risk analysis approach (Bernard et al. 2009) was used to develop a lower-bound SEG when the harvest of a stock was deemed incidental (passively managed) to harvests and management of primary stocks (e.g., chum salmon harvests are incidental to the directed harvests of sockeye and Chinook salmon in the Nushagak District).

## Spawner-recruit analysis

Complete spawner-recruit data exist for Nushagak River Chinook and chum salmon, and Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood River sockeye salmon. For this review, spawner-recruit models were used to analyze salmon spawnerrecruit data for all available brood years. Although total returns are the sum of escapements and harvests, sport and subsistence harvests were only included in total return estimates for the Nushagak River Chinook salmon, but were considered minor components for the sockeye salmon stocks.

The Bristol Bay analyses used the standard Ricker spawner-recruit (S-R) model (Ricker 1954) written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\alpha S e^{-\beta S} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is recruitment (i.e., brood year return) and $S$ is brood year escapement, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are model parameters.

The model was $\log$ transformed to the linearized form

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (R / S)=\ln (\alpha)-\beta S \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its parameters were estimated using a Bayesian approach.
Fishery management parameters $S_{E Q}, S_{M S Y}$, and $M S Y$ were estimated from

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{E Q}=\frac{\ln (\alpha)}{\beta}  \tag{3}\\
S_{M S Y} \approx S_{E Q}(0.5-0.07 \ln (\alpha)),  \tag{4}\\
M S Y=\alpha S_{M S Y} e^{-\beta S_{M S Y}}-S_{M S Y} \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Risk analysis

For stocks that are passively managed and coincidentally harvested, lower-bound SEGs are frequently developed using risk analysis (Bernard et al. 2009). The risk analysis approach estimates two types of management errors: (1) the risk of taking an unneeded management action, and (2) the risk of not taking action when management action was warranted (mistaken inaction).

Although sufficient information for stock-recruit analysis is available for Nushagak River chum salmon and Alagnak River sockeye salmon, both stocks are passively managed. Escapement goals for these two stocks are lower-bound SEGs developed using risk analysis.

## Percentile approach

Many salmon stocks throughout Alaska have an SEG developed using the percentile approach (Munro and Volk 2017). In 2001, Bue and Hasbrouck (unpublished) developed an algorithm using percentiles of observed escapements, whether estimates or indices, that incorporated contrast in the escapement data and exploitation of the stock. Clark et al. (2014) evaluated this approach and recommended several modifications including consideration of the quality of the assessment data when deciding which percentiles are used to set the lower and upper bounds of the escapement goal. Percentile ranking is the percent of all escapement values that fall below a particular value. To calculate percentiles, escapement data are ranked from the smallest to the largest value, with the smallest value the 0 percentile (i.e., none of the escapement values are less than the smallest). The percentile of all remaining escapement values is cumulative, or a summation, of $1 /(n-1)$, where $n$ is the number of escapement values. Contrast in the escapement data is the maximum observed escapement divided by the minimum observed escapement. As contrast increases, meaning more information about the variability of the run size is known, the percentiles used to estimate the SEG are narrowed, primarily from the upper end, to better utilize the yields from the larger runs. Clark et al. (2014) recommended that the percentile approach not be used for stocks with average harvest rates greater than 0.40 or for stocks with very low contrast ( $<4$ ) and high measurement error (aerial or foot surveys).

| Escapement contrast and exploitation (Clark et al. 2014) | SEG range |
| :--- | :--- |
| High contrast $(>8)$; and high measurement error (aerial and foot surveys) <br> with low to moderate average harvest rates $(<0.4)$ | 20th to 60th Percentile |
| High contrast $(>8)$; and low measurement error (weirs and towers) with low <br> to moderate average harvest rates $(<0.4)$ | 15th to 65 th Percentile |
| Low contrast $(\leq 8)$ with low to moderate average harvest rates $(<0.40)$ | 5th to 65 th Percentile |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 12 escapement goals were reviewed for Bristol Bay. The committee updated the escapement goal analyses for Nushagak River Chinook salmon and all Bristol Bay sockeye salmon stocks with the exception of Alagnak River sockeye salmon, which had a thorough review and a new goal set during the last board cycle. As part of the review process, the department developed a run reconstruction model for Nushagak River Chinook salmon (Head and Hamazaki 2022). Nushagak River chum, coho, and pink salmon (even-year) also had no updates. There is no recommendation to establish any new escapement goals in Bristol Bay.

The escapement goal committee findings for each escapement goal follows by species and river.

## CHINOOK SALMON

## Nushagak River

The current Nushagak River Chinook salmon SEG range is 55,000-120,000 fish (Table 3, Appendix A1). During the 2018 escapement goal review cycle (Erickson et al. 2018), a discrepancy in brood tables between those used to generate the 2012 escapement goal (Fair et al. 2012) and those reported in (Buck et al. 2012) was discovered. The brood table in (Fair et al. 2012) expanded recruitment incorrectly, which resulted in higher recruitment per spawner than presented by Buck et al. (2012). In this review, corrections were made to the historical estimates and the Ricker spawner-recruit model was updated with the most recent complete brood years (2011-2012). Similar to previous reviews, the Ricker spawner-recruit model fit the data well (based on a relatively small regression standard deviation, 0.50 ), and a relatively small $90 \%$ credible interval for $S_{M S Y}(71,000-95,000$; Tables 4 and 5). The updated median point estimate of $S_{M S Y}(81,800)$ is well within the current $\operatorname{SEG}(55,000-120,000)$ and is slightly less than the point estimate of $S_{M S Y}(85,000)$ that the existing goal range was developed from (Fair et al. 2012).

The Nushagak River is approximately 300 m wide at the sonar site and it is not possible to ensonify the middle of the channel. Maxwell et al. (2020) estimated that the ensonified area covers less than a third of the river channel. Results from a 2011-2014 acoustic tagging study estimated that the proportion of Chinook salmon traveling upstream and outside of the sonar beam range was $47-65 \%$ with a mean of $57 \%$ (Maxwell et al. 2020). Also, a 2014-2016 mark-recapture study estimated the abundance of adult Chinook salmon in the Nushagak River independently from the sonar estimate. Preliminary results from the 2014-2016 mark-recapture study estimated that the Portage Creek sonar project enumerated $76-81 \%$ of the adult Chinook salmon passing the sonar (data on file with Central Region Research Group, ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Soldotna). These studies prompted the escapement goal review committee to recommend a future update of the Nushagak River Chinook salmon SEG to be based on the total run and escapement of Chinook salmon returning to the Nushagak River,
rather than the relatively unreliable index count provided by the sonar assessment project (Erickson et al. 2018). In order to incorporate the 2 types of tagging studies and all available historical data to estimate total run and escapement of Chinook salmon in the Nushagak River, the department has developed a run reconstruction model for Nushagak River Chinook salmon (Head and Hamazaki 2022).
The committee finds no change needs to be made to the existing escapement goal and that the newly developed run reconstruction model be used to develop a spawner-recruit analysis prior to the next Bristol Bay regulatory cycle to generate an escapement goal based on the full Chinook population to replace the current SEG that is based on an unreliable index of Chinook abundance. The committee determined that the updated analysis and preliminary results from this analysis be presented to subsistence, sport, and commercial stakeholders well in advance of the deadline for submitting regulatory proposals.

## Chum Salmon

## Nushagak River

The current lower-bound SEG of 200,000 chum salmon based on sonar site (sonar and test fishing) data was established in 2012 using the risk analysis approach (Fair et al. 2012). For that review, historical escapement data through July 20 were used to develop the escapement goal even though the sonar project in recent years has been extended into mid-August. July 20 was chosen as the cut-off date because typically over $90 \%$ of the chum salmon escapement has passed the sonar site by this date; and for many years (12 of the 38 years since 1980), sonar operations ceased around July 20.

Escapements since the current goal was adopted were above the lower-bound SEG in 7 of 8 years, and all within the historical range (Appendix B1); therefore, the committee concluded updating the analysis for this stock would not result in a substantially different escapement goal.
The committee finds no change to the current lower-bound SEG of 200,000 for Nushagak River chum salmon is warranted.

## COHO SALMON

## Nushagak River

The SEG of 50,000-100,000 for Nushagak River coho salmon was discontinued in 2006 (Baker et al. 2006). At that time, sonar operations had been reduced in duration (terminated on July 20), and no longer fully assessed coho salmon abundance. Beginning in 2012, the sonar project operated through August 20 to assess coho and pink salmon because both species are actively managed in the Nushagak District. During the 2012 review, the SEG was reinstated and revised to $60,000-120,000$ to account for the difference between Bendix and DIDSON sonar estimation. The current escapement was developed from a Ricker stock-recruitment model (Fair et al. 2012).
Since 2014, the Nushagak River sonar has only operated after July 20 twice (2018 and 2019; Appendix C1) and it is unlikely to be run after July 20 (the key timeframe for coho salmon passage) in the foreseeable future. The committee concluded that updating the analysis for this stock would likely not result in a substantially different escapement goal.
The committee finds no change to the current SEG of 60,000-120,000 for Nushagak River coho salmon is warranted.

## PINK SALMON (EVEN-YEAR)

## Nushagak River

The current lower-bound SEG of 165,000 was established in 2012 (Fair et al. 2012) and is for even years only. The review in 2006 discontinued an SEG of $600,000-1,100,000$ for Nushagak River pink salmon (Baker et al. 2006). At that time, sonar operations had been reduced in duration (terminated on July 20) and no longer assessed pink salmon abundance.
The sonar project has only operated twice (2014 and 2018) during the month of August (the key timeframe for pink and coho salmon passage) since the goal was established.
The committee finds no change to the lower-bound SEG of 165,000 for even-year pink salmon is warranted.

## Sockeye Salmon

## Alagnak River

The Alagnak River sockeye salmon stock is passively managed and incidentally harvested with Kvichak River sockeye salmon. The department is not able to actively manage this stock. It is for this reason that a lower-bound SEG was established in 2007. During the last board cycle, a thorough review of Alagnak River sockeye salmon was done and a new lower-bound SEG of 210,000 was established. Returns in the last 3 years provided no new information which warranted reevaluation of the current escapement goal.
The committee finds no changes to the current Alagnak River sockeye salmon escapement goal is warranted.

## Other Bristol Bay sockeye salmon stocks

For this review, the committee updated the sockeye salmon genetic harvest allocations for each stock to better account for mixed stock harvest in each district and to more accurately represent the true production of the primary stocks. Even though the escapement goals were thoroughly reviewed and updated in 2015, the committee elected to update the spawner-recruit analyses (Tables 4 and 5, Figure 2) to determine whether the updated harvest allocations and extension of the times series would result in appreciable changes to the spawner-recruit relationships. The committee concluded there were insufficient changes to the spawner-recruit analyses to warrant modifying the escapement goals at this time. Returns from historic high runs are expected in the coming years and will be more informative to potentially updating goals during the next board cycle.
The committee finds no changes for the Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Ugashik, Togiak, and Wood River sockeye salmon escapement goals are warranted.
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## TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1.-Bristol Bay sockeye salmon total runs by system in the last 20 years (2001-2020).

| Year | Alagnak | Egegik | Igushik | Kvichak | Naknek | Nushagak | Togiak | Ugashik | Wood | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2001 | 1,186,913 | 3,566,444 | 818,733 | 1,940,225 | 5,991,185 | 2,093,785 | 1,109,140 | 1,467,575 | 4,644,099 | 22,818,098 |
| 2002 | 941,301 | 5,544,322 | 199,684 | 897,874 | 2,813,598 | 691,785 | 406,290 | 2,499,049 | 3,859,722 | 17,853,622 |
| 2003 | 4,157,797 | 3,217,356 | 492,184 | 2,001,790 | 4,861,853 | 2,409,660 | 897,566 | 2,540,240 | 6,233,372 | 26,811,817 |
| 2004 | 7,525,884 | 11,642,565 | 268,354 | 8,091,208 | 4,066,682 | 2,062,469 | 507,677 | 4,202,791 | 6,430,417 | 44,798,047 |
| 2005 | 5,224,716 | 9,402,204 | 801,087 | 2,867,679 | 8,765,371 | 3,672,976 | 581,328 | 3,090,002 | 5,881,534 | 40,286,898 |
| 2006 | 3,342,879 | 8,613,842 | 727,744 | 5,715,390 | 5,342,241 | 2,731,826 | 906,036 | 3,779,176 | 12,640,215 | 43,799,349 |
| 2007 | 4,771,233 | 7,395,032 | 1,022,675 | 5,917,492 | 8,438,492 | 2,469,463 | 1,066,972 | 7,399,703 | 7,794,243 | 46,275,306 |
| 2008 | 4,704,660 | 7,825,252 | 1,888,898 | 6,030,620 | 9,127,188 | 1,908,901 | 868,540 | 2,929,895 | 6,802,770 | 42,086,724 |
| 2009 | 2,369,160 | 12,269,671 | 1,585,348 | 6,961,784 | 4,912,920 | 2,077,746 | 856,109 | 3,851,254 | 6,673,679 | 41,557,670 |
| 2010 | 2,815,554 | 5,145,650 | 1,407,871 | 10,779,329 | 5,436,898 | 1,206,251 | 641,004 | 4,988,743 | 8,809,667 | 41,230,968 |
| 2011 | 2,249,302 | 4,604,185 | 1,015,858 | 7,228,364 | 5,520,113 | 1,167,743 | 858,557 | 4,203,387 | 4,949,206 | 31,796,716 |
| 2012 | 2,226,527 | 5,923,046 | 507,046 | 12,263,919 | 3,321,536 | 1,037,757 | 832,938 | 2,920,818 | 2,698,060 | 31,731,648 |
| 2013 | 1,929,767 | 5,124,466 | 692,485 | 6,324,295 | 3,074,128 | 2,009,704 | 592,763 | 2,633,700 | 3,286,043 | 25,667,350 |
| 2014 | 1,620,274 | 5,078,503 | 1,436,176 | 17,600,068 | 5,320,300 | 1,510,012 | 533,288 | 1,154,017 | 7,166,061 | 41,418,700 |
| 2015 | 8,244,526 | 8,508,004 | 1,643,379 | 23,104,927 | 6,090,738 | 2,475,985 | 526,750 | 4,249,070 | 5,019,839 | 59,863,220 |
| 2016 | 4,938,142 | 9,891,849 | 1,850,334 | 14,195,449 | 5,037,024 | 2,575,667 | 737,938 | 7,192,818 | 6,384,575 | 52,803,796 |
| 2017 | 4,430,315 | 12,441,095 | 1,230,312 | 7,250,329 | 5,975,665 | 8,199,368 | 674,286 | 6,672,738 | 11,287,414 | 58,161,522 |
| 2018 | 2,873,550 | 6,104,312 | 1,920,088 | 7,466,680 | 6,926,060 | 9,602,179 | 1,361,343 | 4,770,223 | 22,680,173 | 63,704,607 |
| 2019 | 1,855,136 | 15,434,052 | 1,358,927 | 7,936,269 | 8,824,530 | 4,306,596 | 1,286,738 | 3,604,963 | 12,340,740 | 56,947,951 |
| 2020 | 4,561,208 | 15,597,219 | 1,212,549 | 9,866,665 | 10,102,212 | 3,625,517 | 621,050 | 5,078,614 | 8,004,915 | 58,669,948 |
| Mean | 3,598,442 | 8,166,454 | 1,103,987 | 8,222,018 | 5,997,437 | 2,891,770 | 793,316 | 3,961,439 | 7,679,337 | 42,414,198 |
| Median | 3,108,214 | 7,610,142 | 1,117,612 | 7,239,347 | 5,478,506 | 2,251,722 | 785,438 | 3,815,215 | 6,552,048 | 41,822,197 |
| Min | 941,301 | 3,217,356 | 199,684 | 897,874 | 2,813,598 | 691,785 | 406,290 | 1,154,017 | 2,698,060 | 17,853,622 |
| Max | 8,244,526 | 15,597,219 | 1,920,088 | 23,104,927 | 10,102,212 | 9,602,179 | 1,361,343 | 7,399,703 | 22,680,173 | 63,704,607 |

[^0] salmon not shown here occur in the Kulukak, Matogak, Osviak, and Snake Rivers.

Table 2.-List of members on the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) Bristol Bay salmon escapement goal committee and other participants who assisted with the escapement goal review.

| Name | Position | Affiliation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Escapement Goal Committee: |  |  |
| Greg Buck | Area Research Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Jack Erickson | Regional Research Coordinator | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Hamachan Hamazaki | Biometrician | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| James Hasbrouck | Fisheries Scientist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Jordan Head | Asst. Area Research Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Tim McKinley | Regional Research Coordinator | Division of Sport Fish |
| Andrew Munro | Fisheries Scientist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Bill Templin | Fisheries Scientist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Stacy Vega | Asst. Area Research Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
|  |  |  |
| Other Participants: | Area Management Biologist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Lee Borden | Statewide Fisheries Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Rich Brenner | Regional Management Biologist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Jason Dye | Area Management Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Travis Elison | Regional Supervisor | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Bert Lewis | Regional Management Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Matthew Nemeth | Biometrician | Division of Sport Fish |
| Adam Reimer | Area Management Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Tim Sands | Area Management Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Aaron Tiernan | Regional Supervisor | Division of Sport Fish |
| Tom Vania |  |  |

Table 3.-Summary of current and recommended escapement goals for salmon stocks in Bristol Bay, 2021.

|  | System | Current escapement goal | Type | Year adopted | Escapement data | Action |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chinook Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nushagak | 55,000-120,000 | SEG | 2007; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in 2012 | Sonar | No change |
|  | Chum Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nushagak | 200,000 | lower-bound SEG | 2007; range changed in 2012 | Sonar | No change |
|  | Coho Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nushagak | 60,000-120,000 | SEG | 2012 | Sonar | No change |
|  | Pink Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Nushagak (even years) | 165,000 | lower-bound SEG | 2012 | Sonar | No change |
| $\stackrel{\rightharpoonup}{\square}$ | Sockeye Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Alagnak | 210,000 | lower-bound SEG | 2018 | Tower | No change |
|  | Egegik | 800,000-2,000,000 | SEG | 1995; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No change |
|  | Igushik | 150,000-400,000 | SEG | 2001; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No change |
|  | Kvichak | 2,000,000-10,000,000 | SEG | One goal for all years in 2010 | Tower | No change |
|  | Naknek | 800,000-2,000,000 | SEG | 1983; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No change |
|  | Nushagak | 370,000-900,000 | SEG | 1998; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in 2012; range changed in March 2015 | Sonar | No change |
|  | Togiak | 120,000-270,000 | SEG | 2007; Changed from a BEG in 2010 | Tower | No change |
|  | Ugashik | 500,000-1,400,000 | SEG | 1995; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No change |
|  | Wood | 700,000-1,800,000 | SEG | 2001; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No change |

Table 4.-Current escapement goals, and updated estimates of $S_{M S Y}$ escapements to achieve $80 \%$ of MSY $90 \%$ of the time, and $S_{E Q}$ for Bristol Bay salmon.

| Sockeye salmon | Goal type | Current escapement goal (x thousands) |  | Spawnerreturn data | $n$ | Model | $S_{M S Y}$ |  |  |  | Escapement to achieve $80 \%$ of MSY $90 \%$ of the time |  | $\begin{gathered} \begin{array}{c} S_{E Q} \\ (\ln \alpha / \beta) \end{array} \\ \hline \text { Median } \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 90\% CI |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |  | Median | CV | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |  |
| Alagnak ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SEG | 210 |  |  | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 1,338 | 0.47 | 914 | 2,813 | 880 | 1,855 | 3,176 |
| Egegik | SEG | 800 | 2,000 | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 2,848 | 0.57 | 1,362 | 7,933 | b | b | 7,688 |
| Igushik | SEG | 150 | 400 | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 357 | 0.19 | 266 | 497 | 250 | 440 | 887 |
| Kvichak | SEG | 2,000 | 10,000 | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 10,634 | 0.44 | 4,631 | 20,910 | 6,970 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 12,095 ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | 24,040 |
| Naknek | SEG | 800 | 2,000 | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 1,903 | 0.27 | 1,313 | 3,077 | 1,470 | 2,240 | 4,823 |
| Nushagak | SEG | 370 | 900 | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 769 | 0.17 | 597 | 1,049 | 518 | 999 | 1,986 |
| Togiak | SEG | 120 | 270 | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 195 | 0.16 | 155 | 257 | 126 | 263 | 518 |
| Ugashik | SEG | 500 | 1,400 | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 1,187 | 0.24 | 812 | 1,755 | 836 | 1,408 | 3,171 |
| Wood | SEG | 700 | 1,800 | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 1,573 | 0.22 | 1,118 | 2,300 | 1,110 | 2,010 | 4,144 |
| Chinook Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak | SEG | 55 | 120 | 1968-2012 | 45 | Ricker | 81.8 | 0.09 | 71 | 95 | 48 | 116 | 207 |

Note: A Bayesian analysis estimated stock-recruit parameters for a Ricker model with multiplicative error.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ All Alagnak River estimates are from the 2018 escapement goal review (Erickson et al. 2018). Credible interval is $95 \%$ and lower and upper bounds are listed for escapement to achieve $80-90 \%$ of MSY.
b Density dependence cannot be reliably estimated of the Egegik River sockeye salmon stock which precludes an estimate of maximum sustained yield.
c Kvichak River analysis could not reach the target threshold of $80 \%$ of MSY. Bounds listed are for escapement to achieve $70 \%$ of MSY $90 \%$ of the time.

Table 5.-Current escapement goals and estimates of spawner-recruit parameters ( $\alpha, \beta$, and $\sigma$ ) for Bristol Bay salmon.

| Sockeye salmon | Spawnerreturn data | n | Model | $\alpha$ |  |  |  | $\beta$ |  |  | $\sigma$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 90\% CI |  |  | 90\% CI |  | Mean | 95\% CI |  |
|  |  |  |  | Median | ln median | Lower | Upper | Median | Lower | Upper |  | Lower | Upper |
| Alagnak ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 3.04 | 1.11 | 2.32 | 3.98 | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.57E-07 | 0.77 | 0.62 | 0.92 |
| Egegik | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 6.66 | 1.90 | 3.47 | 10.00 | $2.42 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $8.20 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $5.48 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.64 | 0.01 | 0.80 |
| Igushik | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 3.82 | 1.34 | 2.49 | 5.57 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.04 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $2.01 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.67 | 0.54 | 0.82 |
| Kvichak | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 2.31 | 0.84 | 1.41 | 3.48 | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $1.80 \mathrm{E}-08$ | $5.80 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 0.67 | 0.55 | 0.83 |
| Naknek | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 4.57 | 1.52 | 3.48 | 6.07 | $3.15 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.78 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $4.92 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.64 |
| Nushagak | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 4.85 | 1.58 | 3.73 | 1.85 | $7.90 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $1.07 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.65 | 0.53 | 0.81 |
| Togiak | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 5.81 | 1.76 | 4.55 | 7.80 | $3.40 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.37 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $4.65 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.52 | 0.42 | 0.64 |
| Ugashik | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 5.75 | 1.75 | 3.37 | 10.01 | $5.50 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.50 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 7.80E-07 | 0.73 | 0.60 | 0.92 |
| Wood | 1963-2012 | 50 | Ricker | 5.28 | 1.66 | 3.90 | 7.27 | $4.04 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $2.59 \mathrm{E}-06$ | $5.63 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.50 | 0.41 | 0.61 |
| Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak | 1968-2012 | 45 | Ricker | 4.37 | 1.47 | 3.17 | 6.17 | 7.11E-07 | $5.54 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 8.93E-07 | 0.50 | 0.38 | 0.58 |

〒 Note: A Bayesian analysis estimated spawner-recruitment parameters for a Ricker model with multiplicative error.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ All Alagnak River parameters from the 2018 escapement goal review (Erickson et al. 2018). Both $\alpha$ and $\beta$ parameters show a $95 \% \mathrm{CI}$, and the $\sigma$ estimate is listed as a median.


Figure 1.-Map of Bristol Bay, Alaska showing major rivers.


Figure 2.-Comparison of 2012 and 2020 Ricker spawner-recruit analyses for Bristol Bay sockeye salmon. Gray diamonds and curves represent the 2012 run reconstruction estimates and Ricker curves, respectively. Black diamonds and curves represent the 2020 run reconstruction estimates and Ricker curves, respectively. Diagonal black lines are replacement lines. Shaded regions are current escapement goal ranges.

## APPENDIX A. CHINOOK SALMON

Appendix A1.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River Chinook salmon.
System: Nushagak River
Species: Chinook salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 40,000-80,000 BEG (2004); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | 90,000 |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 55,000-120,000 (2012) SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Expanded aerial survey counts plus Nuyakuk tower from 1968-1979; sonar counts from 1980 to present; converted to DIDSON equivalent 1968 to 2005; DIDSON index counts since 2006; 45 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Fair to poor |
| Data Type | Aerial survey, tower, and sonar escapement estimates; sport, subsistence, and commercial harvests; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruit, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 7 of last 10 years; below SEG in 3 years; below Inriver goal in 4 years |

System: Nushagak River
Species: Chinook salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Spawning escapement ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :--- | ---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1968 | 142,951 | 175,766 | 1.23 |
| 1969 | 69,970 | 83,613 | 1.19 |
| 1972 | 50,156 | 348,612 | 6.95 |
| 1973 | 70,130 | 297,989 | 4.25 |
| 1974 | 142,535 | 191,584 | 1.34 |
| 1975 | 142,791 | 608,764 | 4.26 |
| 1976 | 205,273 | 406,883 | 1.98 |
| 1977 | 132,907 | 711,779 | 5.36 |
| 1978 | 268,046 | 239,702 | 0.89 |
| 1979 | 194,335 | 339,511 | 1.75 |
| 1980 | 289,040 | 194,006 | 0.67 |
| 1981 | 307,527 | 262,577 | 0.85 |
| 1982 | 300,656 | 137,337 | 0.46 |
| 1983 | 331,270 | 153,903 | 0.46 |
| 1984 | 163,544 | 123,104 | 0.75 |
| 1985 | 236,899 | 188,254 | 0.79 |
| 1986 | 82,777 | 219,175 | 2.65 |
| 1987 | 169,562 | 283,449 | 1.67 |
| 1988 | 113,006 | 315,143 | 2.79 |
| 1989 | 158,551 | 315,785 | 1.99 |
| 1990 | 126,747 | 145,149 | 1.15 |
| 1991 | 210,346 | 282,201 | 1.34 |
| 1992 | 166,965 | 252,253 | 1.51 |
| 1993 | 197,098 | 368,161 | 1.87 |
| 1994 | 190,121 | 151,531 | 0.80 |
| 1995 | 173,014 | 167,131 | 0.97 |
| 1996 | 102,348 | 178,920 | 1.75 |
| 1997 | 165,062 | 185,066 | 1.12 |
| 1998 | 235,845 | 284,847 | 1.21 |
| 1999 | 123,906 | 333,344 | 2.69 |
|  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |

System: Nushagak River
Species: Chinook salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Spawning escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 110,682 | 313,352 | 2.83 |
| 2001 | 184,317 | 157,782 | 0.86 |
| 2002 | 174,704 | 120,171 | 0.69 |
| 2003 | 158,307 | 179,369 | 1.13 |
| 2004 | 233,475 | 78,789 | 0.34 |
| 2005 | 223,950 | 110,790 | 0.49 |
| 2006 | 117,364 | 127,187 | 1.08 |
| 2007 | 50,960 | 189,016 | 3.71 |
| 2008 | 91,364 | 134,849 | 1.48 |
| 2009 | 74,781 | 109,686 | 1.47 |
| 2010 | 56,092 | 90,383 | 1.61 |
| 2011 | 101,995 | 211,679 | 2.08 |
| 2012 | 167,618 | 109,294 | 0.65 |
| 2013 | 104,794 | b | b |
| 2014 | 62,679 | b | b |
| 2015 | 91,090 | b | b |
| 2016 | 118,077 | b | b |
| 2017 | 52,297 | b | b |
| 2018 | 91,354 | b | b |
| 2019 | 41,258 | b | b |
| 2020 | 40,313 | b | b |
| 1968-2012 Average | 159,815 | 230,546 | 1.79 |
| No. of years | 45 | 45 | 45 |

a Spawning escapement is defined as the sonar count minus sport and subsistence harvest occurring above the counting sonar (Buck et al. 2012).
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Incomplete returns from brood year.

## APPENDIX B. CHUM SALMON

Appendix B1.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River chum salmon.
System: Nushagak River
Species: Chum salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal | 190,000 lower-bound SEG (2007) |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 200,000 lower-bound (2012) SEG |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
|  |  |
| Escapement Estimation: | Sonar counts since 1980; converted Bendix to DIDSON 1980 to <br> 2005; DIDSON counts uncorrected since 2006; 38 years of <br> escapement data available; converted Bendix counts to DIDSON <br> equivalent counts in 2012. Escapement counts presented are through |
|  | July 20. |
| Summary: | Good |
| Data Quality | Sonar escapement estimates; commercial harvest; age data |
| Data Type | Risk analysis <br> Methodology <br> Years within recommended goal |
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|  | System: Nushagak River <br> Species: chum salmon <br> Data available for analysis of escapement goals |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Escapement index ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Harvest (number of fish) ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1980 | 415,727 | ND |
| 1981 | 182,021 | ND |
| 1982 | 262,597 | ND |
| 1983 | 107,780 | ND |
| 1984 | 450,031 | ND |
| 1985 | 245,797 | 396,740 |
| 1986 | 203,810 | 488,375 |
| 1987 | 175,551 | 416,476 |
| 1988 | 217,772 | 371,199 |
| 1989 | 461,456 | 523,910 |
| 1990 | 373,126 | 375,631 |
| 1991 | 350,186 | 463,780 |
| 1992 | 383,303 | 398,691 |
| 1993 | 272,278 | 505,799 |
| 1994 | 467,930 | 328,267 |
| 1995 | 266,432 | 390,158 |
| 1996 | 279,406 | 331,494 |
| 1997 | 76,034 | 185,647 |
| 1998 | 369,447 | 208,634 |
| 1999 | 296,408 | 170,806 |
| 2000 | 173,712 | 114,456 |
| 2001 | 646,984 | 526,739 |
| 2002 | 509,106 | 276,787 |
| 2003 | 375,175 | 740,372 |
| 2004 | 332,347 | 458,916 |
| 2005 | 569,034 | 966,069 |
| 2006 | 661,002 | 1,240,235 |
| 2007 | 161,483 | 953,285 |
| 2008 | 326,300 | 492,341 |
| 2009 | 438,481 | 745,161 |
| 2010 | 273,914 | 424,234 |
| 2011 | 248,278 | 296,909 |
| 2012 | 395,162 | 272,163 |
| 2013 | 628,134 | 586,117 |
| 2014 | 525,797 | 242,403 |
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|  |  | capement goals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Escapement index ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Harvest (number of |
| 2015 | 288,929 | 502,981 |
| 2016 | 419,810 | 397,757 |
| 2017 | 415,488 | 804,900 |
| 2018 | 735,628 | 1,020,624 |
| 2019 | 514,339 | 856,035 |
| 2020 | 110,592 | 138,380 |
| 1980-2020 |  |  |
| Mean | 356,263 | 489,235 |
| SD | 159,547 | 268,008 |
| Median | 350,186 | 420,355 |
| No. of years | 41 | 36 |
| Note: $\mathrm{ND}=$ no data. ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Conversion factor of 1.27 was applied to all years prior to 2005 to convert from |  |  |
| a Conversion factor of 1.27 was applied to all years prior to 2005 to convert from Bendix to DIDSON count equivalents. Escapement estimate for 2005 used strata- and species-specific correction factors applied to the Bendix north bank counting stratum. Counts from 2006 through 2020 are DIDSON counts. Escapement index counts presented are through July 20. <br> b Harvest shown for informational purposes- not used in escapement goal analysis. |  |  |

APPENDIX C. COHO SALMON

Appendix C1.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River coho salmon.
System: Nushagak River
Species: coho salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 50,000 to 100,000 discontinued in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | 70,000 |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 60,000 to 120,000 SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Sonar counts since 1980; converted Bendix to DIDSON 1980 to 2002; |
|  | 26 years of complete escapement data available; converted Bendix counts |
|  | to DIDSON equivalent counts in 2012 |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Good |
| Data Type | Sonar escapement estimates; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruit, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 2 out of last 10 assessments (1999-2019), 5 years above the SEG, 3 years |
|  | below the SEG and the Inriver goal |
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System: Nushagak River
Species: coho salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Spawning escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 95,411 | 407,100 | 4.27 |
| 1981 | 141,468 | 96,740 | 0.68 |
| 1982 | 294,151 | 148,150 | 0.50 |
| 1983 | 36,885 | 49,151 | 1.33 |
| 1984 | 140,804 | 165,050 | 1.17 |
| 1985 | 82,258 | 188,273 | 2.29 |
| 1986 | 45,483 | 152,472 | 3.35 |
| 1987 | 21,268 | 63,074 | 2.97 |
| 1988 | 130,171 | 86,853 | 0.67 |
| 1989 | 81,107 | 77,353 | 0.95 |
| 1990 | 140,500 | 81,822 | 0.58 |
| 1991 | 37,584 | 58,024 | 1.54 |
| 1992 | NS | ND | ND |
| 1993 | 42,161 | 61,619 | 1.46 |
| 1994 | 80,470 | 125,739 | 1.56 |
| 1995 | 45,137 | 43,677 | 0.97 |
| 1996 | 182,460 | 305,932 | 1.68 |
| 1997 | 55,882 | 101,893 | 1.82 |
| 1998 | 103,194 | ND | ND |
| 1999 | 33,991 | ND | ND |
| 2000 | 200,938 | ND | ND |
| 2001 | 72,388 | ND | ND |
| 2002 | 48,054 | ND | ND |
| 2003 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2004 | 193,819 | ND | ND |
| 2005 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2006 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2007 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2008 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2009 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2010 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2011 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2012 | 329,946 | ND | ND |
| 2013 | 207,222 | ND | ND |
| 2014 | 478,198 | ND | ND |

-continued-
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System: Nushagak River
Species: coho salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Spawning escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2015 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2016 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2017 | NS | ND | ND |
| 2018 | 111,455 | ND | ND |
| 2019 | 51,852 | ND | ND |
| 2020 | NS | ND | ND |
| $1980-2020$ Average | 124,438 | 130,172 | 1.64 |
| No. of years | 28 | 17 | 17 |

Note: NS = no survey; ND = no data.
a DIDSON conversion factor of 1.27 applied to all years.

## APPENDIX D. PINK SALMON

Appendix D1.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River pink salmon (even-year).
System: Nushagak River
Species: pink salmon (even-year)
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 600,000 to $1,100,000$ discontinued in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Goal: | 165,000 lower-bound SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Expanded aerial survey in 1958; Nuyakuk tower counts from 1960- |
|  | $1979 ;$ sonar counts from 1980-2004; converted Bendix to DIDSON |
|  | 1958 to $2004 ; 26$ years of escapement data available, even years only |

Summary:

| Data Quality | Good |
| :--- | :--- |
| Data Type | Sonar escapement estimates; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Percentile approach (Bue and Hasbrouck, unpublished) |
| Years within recommended goal | 8 out of last 10 assessments (1992-2020) |

-continued-

System: Nushagak River
Species: pink salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ |
| :--- | ---: |
| 1958 | $4,440,000$ |
| 1960 | 111,000 |
| 1962 | 555,016 |
| 1964 | $1,008,435$ |
| 1966 | $1,601,091$ |
| 1968 | $2,398,839$ |
| 1970 | 169,364 |
| 1972 | 64,975 |
| 1974 | 590,871 |
| 1976 | 928,269 |
| 1978 | $10,169,580$ |
| 1980 | $3,052,218$ |
| 1982 | $1,788,461$ |
| 1984 | $3,145,032$ |
| 1986 | 80,130 |
| 1988 | 549,017 |
| 1990 | 889,587 |
| 1992 | 209,429 |
| 1994 | 212,867 |
| 1996 | 911,656 |
| 1998 | 146,966 |
| 2000 | 628,069 |
| 2002 | 157 |
| 2004 | 150,166 |
| 2006 | 352,604 |
| 2008 | 617,233 |
| 2010 |  |
| 2012 | NS |
| 2014 |  |
| 2016 | NS |
| 2018 | NS |
| 2020 | NS |
| Average |  |

Note: NS = no survey
a DIDSON conversion factor of 1.11 applied to years prior to 2006 (Buck et al. 2012).

## APPENDIX E. SOCKEYE SALMON

Appendix E1.-Escapement goal for Alagnak River sockeye salmon.
System: Alagnak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 320,000 lower-bound SEG (2007) |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 210,000 lower-bound SEG (2018); based on tower counts; |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1955-1977, 2002-2011, and 2017-2020; expanded <br> aerial survey counts from 1978-2001 and 2012-2016 |
|  |  |
| Summary: | Fair to Good |
| Data Quality | Tower counts; aerial surveys; commercial harvest; age data |
| Data Type | Escapement goal based on risk analysis |
| Methodology | Escapement goal minimum has been met in 9 of the last 10 years (2011- |
| Years within recommended goal |  |
|  | 2020); this stock is passively managed and coincidentally harvested; the <br> department is not able to actively manage |
|  | -continued- |
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System: Alagnak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement (towers) | Escapement (aerial survey) | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 203,304 | ND | 414,873 | 2.04 |
| 1964 | 248,700 | ND | 381,900 | 1.54 |
| 1965 | 175,020 | ND | 259,729 | 1.48 |
| 1966 | 174,336 | ND | 565,584 | 3.24 |
| 1967 | 202,626 | ND | 389,349 | 1.92 |
| 1968 | 193,872 | ND | 249,192 | 1.29 |
| 1969 | 182,490 | ND | 180,185 | 0.99 |
| 1970 | 177,060 | ND | 145,642 | 0.82 |
| 1971 | 187,302 | ND | 324,752 | 1.73 |
| 1972 | 151,188 | ND | 124,168 | 0.82 |
| 1973 | 35,280 | ND | 512,940 | 14.54 |
| 1974 | 214,848 | ND | 2,290,909 | 10.66 |
| 1975 | 100,480 | ND | 1,022,274 | 10.17 |
| 1976 | 81,822 | ND | 344,709 | 4.21 |
| 1977 | 108,911 | ND | 1,002,659 | 9.21 |
| 1978 | 584,970 | 229,400 | 2,175,584 | 3.72 |
| 1979 | 750,210 | 294,200 | 2,108,488 | 2.81 |
| 1980 | 759,645 | 297,900 | 643,095 | 0.85 |
| 1981 | 209,636 | 82,210 | 1,182,706 | 5.64 |
| 1982 | 610,215 | 239,300 | 773,488 | 1.27 |
| 1983 | 245,361 | 96,220 | 456,604 | 1.86 |
| 1984 | 549,194 | 215,470 | 2,467,947 | 4.49 |
| 1985 | 300,977 | 118,030 | 1,645,393 | 5.47 |
| 1986 | 586,959 | 228,180 | 2,032,311 | 3.46 |
| 1987 | 393,236 | 154,210 | 770,409 | 1.96 |
| 1988 | 496,307 | 194,630 | 1,273,821 | 2.57 |
| 1989 | 501,738 | 196,760 | 2,741,825 | 5.46 |
| 1990 | 430,338 | 168,760 | 1,283,665 | 2.98 |
| 1991 | 707,852 | 278,589 | 3,434,249 | 4.85 |
| 1992 | 577,940 | 226,643 | 186,844 | 0.32 |
| 1993 | 887,336 | 347,975 | 1,506,977 | 1.70 |
| 1994 | 618,464 | 242,595 | 1,563,841 | 2.53 |
| 1995 | 550,068 | 215,713 | 3,896,349 | 7.08 |
| 1996 | 782,213 | 306,750 | 1,421,615 | 1.82 |

continued-
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System: Alagnak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement (towers) | Escapement (aerial survey) | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 556,193 | 218,115 | 1,391,085 | 2.50 |
| 1998 | 643,110 | 252,200 | 2,777,780 | 4.32 |
| 1999 | 1,182,180 | 463,600 | 3,726,493 | 3.15 |
| 2000 | 1,150,815 | 451,300 | 9,751,286 | 8.47 |
| 2001 | 680,850 | 267,000 | 1,677,183 | 2.46 |
| 2002 | 766,962 | 282,100 | 3,727,475 | 4.86 |
| 2003 | 3,676,146 | 2,110,000 | 6,700,356 | 1.82 |
| 2004 | 5,396,592 | 2,911,600 | 2,514,212 | 0.47 |
| 2005 | 4,218,990 | 1,736,000 | 2,840,332 | 0.67 |
| 2006 | 1,773,966 | 900,000 | 2,439,864 | 1.38 |
| 2007 | 2,466,414 | 1,155,000 | 2,209,010 | 0.90 |
| 2008 | 2,180,502 | 1,499,000 | 1,924,162 | 0.88 |
| 2009 | 970,818 | NS | 1,404,671 | 1.45 |
| 2010 | 1,187,730 | NS | 6,498,955 | 5.47 |
| 2011 | 883,794 | NS | 6,168,138 | 6.98 |
| 2012 | ND | 861,747 | 2,828,307 | 3.28 |
| 2013 | ND | 1,095,950 | a | a |
| 2014 | ND | 189,452 | a | a |
| 2015 | ND | 5,452,026 | a | a |
| 2016 | ND | 1,677,769 | a | a |
| 2017 | 2,041,824 | a | a | a |
| 2018 | 1,581,426 | a | a | a |
| 2019 | 820,458 | a | a | a |
| 2020 | 2,386,518 | a | a | a |
| 1963-2020 |  |  |  |  |
| Average | 897,079 | 712,678 | 1,967,068 | 3.49 |
| No. of years | 48 | 33 | 50 | 50 |

Note: ND = no data, NS = no survey
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Incomplete returns from brood year.

Appendix E2.-Escapement goal for Egegik River sockeye salmon.
System: Egegik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 800,000-1,400,000 BEG (1997); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 800,000-2,000,000 SEG (2015) |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1963 to present; smolt data from 1983-2001; 50 years of escapement data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Excellent quality counts but no information on escapements which failed to replace themselves. |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; smolt data; age data |
| Methodology | Escapement goal based on Ricker stock-recruit and yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 6 out of last 10 years (2011-2020). The remaining 4 years have gone over the upper bound of the goal. |
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System: Egegik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total | Return per spawner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 997,602 | 993,872 | 1.00 |
| 1964 | 849,576 | $1,937,882$ | 2.28 |
| 1965 | $1,444,608$ | $2,388,485$ | 1.65 |
| 1966 | 804,246 | $2,058,271$ | 2.56 |
| 1967 | 636,864 | $1,631,431$ | 2.56 |
| 1968 | 338,654 | 377,056 | 1.11 |
| 1969 | $1,015,554$ | $2,755,728$ | 2.71 |
| 1970 | 919,734 | $1,202,584$ | 1.31 |
| 1971 | 634,014 | $2,700,676$ | 4.26 |
| 1972 | 546,402 | $2,909,902$ | 5.33 |
| 1973 | 328,842 | $1,451,686$ | 4.41 |
| 1974 | $1,275,630$ | $2,441,308$ | 1.91 |
| 1975 | $1,173,840$ | $3,040,169$ | 2.59 |
| 1976 | 509,160 | $4,480,475$ | 8.80 |
| 1977 | 692,514 | $4,167,610$ | 6.02 |
| 1978 | 895,698 | $9,914,902$ | 11.07 |
| 1979 | $1,032,042$ | $4,039,741$ | 3.91 |
| 1980 | $1,060,860$ | $8,222,418$ | 7.75 |
| 1981 | 694,680 | $5,441,586$ | 7.83 |
| 1982 | $1,034,628$ | $6,435,075$ | 6.22 |
| 1983 | 792,282 | $10,811,633$ | 13.65 |
| 1984 | $1,165,345$ | $11,766,356$ | 10.10 |
| 1985 | $1,095,192$ | $6,382,683$ | 5.83 |
| 1986 | $1,152,180$ | $14,207,134$ | 12.33 |
| 1987 | $1,273,553$ | $25,731,443$ | 20.20 |
| 1988 | $1,612,745$ | $19,465,142$ | 12.07 |
| 1989 | $1,611,566$ | $10,134,483$ | 6.29 |
| 1990 | $2,191,582$ | $16,060,318$ | 7.33 |
| 1991 | $2,786,925$ | $9,948,962$ | 3.57 |
| 1992 | $1,945,632$ | $8,668,647$ | 4.46 |
| 1993 | $1,517,000$ | $1,936,034$ | 1.28 |
| 1994 | $1,897,977$ | $7,979,479$ | 4.20 |
| 1995 | $1,266,692$ | $7,522,881$ | 5.94 |
| 1996 | $1,076,460$ | $4,161,328$ | 3.87 |
|  |  | - continued- |  |
|  |  |  |  |

System: Egegik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 1,104,004 | 6,063,053 | 5.49 |
| 1998 | 1,110,938 | 1,270,508 | 1.14 |
| 1999 | 1,728,397 | 13,004,488 | 7.52 |
| 2000 | 1,032,138 | 12,037,958 | 11.66 |
| 2001 | 968,872 | 4,786,180 | 4.94 |
| 2002 | 1,036,092 | 5,292,059 | 5.11 |
| 2003 | 1,152,120 | 8,800,152 | 7.64 |
| 2004 | 1,290,144 | 14,138,820 | 10.96 |
| 2005 | 1,621,734 | 6,185,018 | 3.81 |
| 2006 | 1,465,158 | 3,573,363 | 2.44 |
| 2007 | 1,432,500 | 6,440,136 | 4.50 |
| 2008 | 1,259,568 | 3,830,060 | 3.04 |
| 2009 | 1,146,276 | 4,505,950 | 3.93 |
| 2010 | 927,054 | 9,911,273 | 10.69 |
| 2011 | 961,200 | 9,363,534 | 9.74 |
| 2012 | 1,233,900 | 9,571,851 | 7.76 |
| 2013 | 1,113,630 | a | a |
| 2014 | 1,382,466 | a | a |
| 2015 | 2,160,792 | a | a |
| 2016 | 1,837,260 | a | a |
| 2017 | 2,600,982 | a | a |
| 2018 | 1,608,357 | a | a |
| 2019 | 2,340,210 | a | a |
| 2020 | 2,389,728 | a | a |
| 1963-2020 |  |  |  |
| Average | 1,215,191 | 6,842,836 | 5.94 |
| No. of years | 58 | 50 | 50 |

a Incomplete returns from brood year.

Appendix E3.-Escapement goal for Igushik River sockeye salmon.
System: Igushik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $150,000-300,000$ BEG (2001); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Goal: | $150,000-400,000(2015)$ SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1963 to present; 50 years of complete return data |
|  | available |
| Summary: | Excellent |
| Data Quality | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| $\quad$ Data Type | Ricker stock-recruit, yield analysis |
| Methodology | 5 out of last 10 years (2011-2020). The remaining 5 years have gone over |
| $\quad$ Years within recommended goal | the upper bound of the goal. | -continued-
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System: Igushik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 92,184 | 368,205 | 3.99 |
| 1964 | 128,532 | 583,060 | 4.54 |
| 1965 | 180,840 | 810,920 | 4.48 |
| 1966 | 206,360 | 301,093 | 1.46 |
| 1967 | 281,772 | 125,745 | 0.45 |
| 1968 | 194,508 | 158,923 | 0.82 |
| 1969 | 512,328 | 476,722 | 0.93 |
| 1970 | 370,920 | 287,436 | 0.77 |
| 1971 | 210,960 | 259,415 | 1.23 |
| 1972 | 60,018 | 232,049 | 3.87 |
| 1973 | 59,508 | 452,000 | 7.60 |
| 1974 | 358,752 | $1,267,130$ | 3.53 |
| 1975 | 241,086 | $2,810,903$ | 11.66 |
| 1976 | 186,120 | $1,354,667$ | 7.28 |
| 1977 | 95,970 | 830,426 | 8.65 |
| 1978 | 536,154 | 562,275 | 1.05 |
| 1979 | 859,560 | 896,476 | 1.04 |
| 1980 | $1,987,530$ | 443,803 | 0.22 |
| 1981 | 591,144 | 838,645 | 1.42 |
| 1982 | 423,768 | 346,608 | 0.82 |
| 1983 | 180,438 | 391,104 | 2.17 |
| 1984 | 184,872 | 522,953 | 2.83 |
| 1985 | 212,454 | $1,138,951$ | 5.36 |
| 1986 | 307,728 | $1,700,597$ | 5.53 |
| 1987 | 169,236 | 445,515 | 2.63 |
| 1988 | 170,454 | 614,898 | 3.61 |
| 1989 | 461,610 | 991,784 | 2.15 |
| 1990 | 365,802 | $1,229,498$ | 3.36 |
| 1991 | 756,126 | 983,939 | 1.30 |
| 1992 | 304,920 | 139,561 | 0.46 |
| 1993 | 405,564 | 358,174 | 0.88 |
| 1994 | 445,920 | 659,953 | 1.48 |
| 1995 | 473,382 | $1,278,256$ | 886,426 |
| 1996 | 400,746 | -090 |  |
|  |  | 3.21 |  |

-continued-

System: Igushik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 127,704 | 99,345 | 0.78 |
| 1998 | 215,904 | 536,354 | 2.48 |
| 1999 | 445,536 | 362,488 | 0.81 |
| 2000 | 413,316 | 767,785 | 1.86 |
| 2001 | 409,596 | 490,103 | 1.20 |
| 2002 | 123,156 | 495,201 | 4.02 |
| 2003 | 194,088 | $2,087,759$ | 10.76 |
| 2004 | 109,650 | $1,835,271$ | 16.74 |
| 2005 | 365,712 | $1,579,838$ | 4.32 |
| 2006 | 305,268 | $1,005,262$ | 3.29 |
| 2007 | 415,452 | 608,855 | 1.47 |
| 2008 | $1,054,704$ | 663,700 | 0.63 |
| 2009 | 514,188 | 941,767 | 1.83 |
| 2010 | 518,040 | $1,714,393$ | 3.31 |
| 2011 | 421,380 | $1,985,117$ | 4.71 |
| 2012 | 193,326 | 686,079 | 3.55 |
| 2013 | 387,036 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2014 | 340,590 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2015 | 651,172 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2016 | 469,230 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2017 | 578,700 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2018 | 770,772 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2019 | 256,074 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2020 | 323,814 | $a$ | $a$ |
| $1963-2020$ |  |  | $a$ |
| Average | 379,684 | 812,149 | $a$ |
| No. of years | 58 |  | 50 |
| 10 |  |  | $a$ |
|  |  | $a$ | $a$ |

a Incomplete returns from brood year.

Appendix E4.-Escapement goal for Kvichak River sockeye salmon.

|  | System: $\quad$ Kvichak River Species: $\quad$ sockeye salmon Description of stock and escapement goals |
| :---: | :---: |
| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | Prior to current goal there were off-cycle and pre- or peak-cycle goals. The current goal is the off-cycle which was established in 1997 and changed from a BEG to SEG in 2006. The pre, peak-cycle goal was also established in 1997 as BEG and was 6-10 million, changed to SEG in 2006 and eliminated in 2015. |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 2,000,000-10,000,000 (2010) SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1963 to present; smolt data from 1971-2000; 50 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Excellent |
| Data Type | Tower counts; smolt data; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruit, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 10 of last 10 years (2011-2020) |

-continued-
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|  | System: <br> Species: <br> Data availa | Kvichak Rive sockeye salm for analysis of | apement goals |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| 1963 | 338,760 | 1,388,216 | 4.10 |
| 1964 | 957,120 | 5,763,515 | 6.02 |
| 1965 | 24,325,926 | 45,820,689 | 1.88 |
| 1966 | 3,755,185 | 6,522,062 | 1.74 |
| 1967 | 3,216,208 | 1,784,048 | 0.55 |
| 1968 | 2,557,440 | 635,324 | 0.25 |
| 1969 | 8,394,204 | 5,513,626 | 0.66 |
| 1970 | 13,935,306 | 15,363,872 | 1.10 |
| 1971 | 2,387,392 | 2,036,285 | 0.85 |
| 1972 | 1,009,962 | 3,248,671 | 3.22 |
| 1973 | 226,554 | 2,203,241 | 9.73 |
| 1974 | 4,433,844 | 25,784,407 | 5.82 |
| 1975 | 13,140,450 | 37,439,011 | 2.85 |
| 1976 | 1,965,282 | 10,716,323 | 5.45 |
| 1977 | 1,341,144 | 3,089,502 | 2.30 |
| 1978 | 4,149,288 | 5,055,228 | 1.22 |
| 1979 | 11,218,434 | 43,049,770 | 3.84 |
| 1980 | 22,505,268 | 12,597,313 | 0.56 |
| 1981 | 1,754,358 | 2,048,789 | 1.17 |
| 1982 | 1,134,840 | 1,509,246 | 1.33 |
| 1983 | 3,569,982 | 13,775,451 | 3.86 |
| 1984 | 10,490,670 | 23,287,185 | 2.22 |
| 1985 | 7,211,046 | 18,314,833 | 2.54 |
| 1986 | 1,179,322 | 4,114,460 | 3.49 |
| 1987 | 6,065,880 | 11,648,130 | 1.92 |
| 1988 | 4,065,216 | 9,205,714 | 2.26 |
| 1989 | 8,317,500 | 24,800,933 | 2.98 |
| 1990 | 6,970,020 | 26,298,686 | 3.77 |
| 1991 | 4,222,788 | 4,637,250 | 1.10 |
| 1992 | 4,725,864 | 1,875,603 | 0.40 |
| 1993 | 4,025,166 | 3,130,470 | 0.78 |
| 1994 | 8,355,936 | 7,303,050 | 0.87 |
| 1995 | 10,038,720 | 10,636,782 | 1.06 |
| 1996 | 1,450,578 | 2,260,607 | 1.56 |

Appendix E4.-Page 3 of 3.

|  | System: $\quad$ Kvichak RiverSpecies: $\quad$ sockeye salmonData available for analysis of escapement goals |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| 1997 | 1,503,732 | 816,242 | 0.54 |
| 1998 | 2,296,074 | 1,254,499 | 0.55 |
| 1999 | 6,196,914 | 7,378,782 | 1.19 |
| 2000 | 1,827,780 | 4,261,658 | 2.33 |
| 2001 | 1,095,348 | 4,421,265 | 4.04 |
| 2002 | 703,884 | 3,881,251 | 5.51 |
| 2003 | 1,686,804 | 4,966,281 | 2.94 |
| 2004 | 5,500,134 | 10,918,274 | 1.99 |
| 2005 | 2,320,332 | 9,582,839 | 4.13 |
| 2006 | 3,068,226 | 8,319,191 | 2.71 |
| 2007 | 2,810,208 | 12,795,126 | 4.55 |
| 2008 | 2,757,912 | 6,577,118 | 2.38 |
| 2009 | 2,266,140 | 12,889,440 | 5.69 |
| 2010 | 4,207,410 | 25,775,460 | 6.13 |
| 2011 | 2,264,352 | 8,130,648 | 3.59 |
| 2012 | 4,164,444 | 11,800,942 | 2.83 |
| 2013 | 2,088,576 | a | a |
| 2014 | 4,458,540 | a | a |
| 2015 | 7,341,612 | a | a |
| 2016 | 4,462,728 | a | a |
| 2017 | 3,163,404 | a | a |
| 2018 | 4,398,708 | a | a |
| 2019 | 2,371,242 | a | a |
| 2020 | 4,030,968 | a | a |
| 1963-2020 |  |  |  |
| Average | 4,834,847 | 10,532,546 | 2.69 |
| No. of years | 58 | 50 | 50 |

a Incomplete returns from brood year.

Appendix E5.-Escapement goal for Naknek River sockeye salmon.
System: Naknek River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $800,000-1,400,000$ BEG (1983); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | $800,000-2,000,000(5 \mathrm{AAC} 06.360)$ |
| Current Escapement Goal: | $800,000-2,000,000(2015) \mathrm{SEG}$ |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1963 to present; 50 years of complete return data |
|  | available |
| Summary: | Excellent |
| Data Quality | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Data Type | Escapement goal based on Ricker stock-recruit, yield analysis |
| Methodology | Years within recommended goal of last 10 years $(2011-2020)$. The remaining 1 year went over the |
|  | upper bound of the goal. |

-continued-

System: Naknek River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 905,358 | 1,706,836 | 1.89 |
| 1964 | 1,349,604 | 2,223,531 | 1.65 |
| 1965 | 717,798 | 2,654,768 | 3.70 |
| 1966 | 1,016,445 | 4,205,622 | 4.14 |
| 1967 | 755,640 | 1,552,168 | 2.05 |
| 1968 | 1,023,222 | 638,312 | 0.62 |
| 1969 | 1,331,202 | 2,143,778 | 1.61 |
| 1970 | 732,502 | 2,535,306 | 3.46 |
| 1971 | 935,754 | 4,350,422 | 4.65 |
| 1972 | 586,518 | 1,715,207 | 2.92 |
| 1973 | 356,676 | 2,742,669 | 7.69 |
| 1974 | 1,241,058 | 2,642,513 | 2.13 |
| 1975 | 2,026,686 | 5,195,705 | 2.56 |
| 1976 | 1,320,750 | 8,991,732 | 6.81 |
| 1977 | 1,085,856 | 3,721,059 | 3.43 |
| 1978 | 813,378 | 2,788,295 | 3.43 |
| 1979 | 925,362 | 3,965,088 | 4.28 |
| 1980 | 2,644,698 | 4,930,476 | 1.86 |
| 1981 | 1,796,220 | 4,703,787 | 2.62 |
| 1982 | 1,155,552 | 1,849,206 | 1.60 |
| 1983 | 888,294 | 1,482,526 | 1.67 |
| 1984 | 1,242,474 | 4,489,760 | 3.61 |
| 1985 | 1,849,938 | 7,264,391 | 3.93 |
| 1986 | 1,977,645 | 12,744,734 | 6.44 |
| 1987 | 1,061,806 | 5,533,716 | 5.21 |
| 1988 | 1,037,862 | 3,025,871 | 2.92 |
| 1989 | 1,161,984 | 3,133,263 | 2.70 |
| 1990 | 2,092,578 | 3,997,626 | 1.91 |
| 1991 | 3,578,508 | 4,629,239 | 1.29 |
| 1992 | 1,606,650 | 1,481,553 | 0.92 |
| 1993 | 1,535,658 | 2,704,804 | 1.76 |
| 1994 | 990,810 | 2,396,222 | 2.42 |
| 1995 | 1,111,140 | 5,927,766 | 5.33 |
| 1996 | 1,078,098 | 6,473,144 | 6.00 |

System: Naknek River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 1,025,664 | 3,457,636 | 3.37 |
| 1998 | 1,202,172 | 3,869,572 | 3.22 |
| 1999 | 1,625,364 | 3,762,439 | 2.31 |
| 2000 | 1,375,488 | 9,024,550 | 6.56 |
| 2001 | 1,830,360 | 4,633,413 | 2.53 |
| 2002 | 1,263,918 | 5,780,190 | 4.57 |
| 2003 | 1,831,170 | 12,396,541 | 6.77 |
| 2004 | 1,939,674 | 4,303,688 | 2.22 |
| 2005 | 2,744,622 | 5,386,596 | 1.96 |
| 2006 | 1,953,228 | 4,907,171 | 2.51 |
| 2007 | 2,945,304 | 4,634,052 | 1.57 |
| 2008 | 2,472,690 | 3,266,706 | 1.32 |
| 2009 | 1,169,466 | 1,914,503 | 1.64 |
| 2010 | 1,463,928 | 7,419,738 | 5.07 |
| 2011 | 1,177,074 | 5,088,655 | 4.32 |
| 2012 | 900,312 | 5,422,205 | 6.02 |
| 2013 | 938,160 | a | a |
| 2014 | 1,474,428 | a | a |
| 2015 | 1,920,954 | a | a |
| 2016 | 1,691,910 | a | a |
| 2017 | 1,899,972 | a | a |
| 2018 | 2,221,152 | a | a |
| 2019 | 2,911,470 | a | a |
| 2020 | 4,112,160 | a | a |
| 1963-2020 |  |  |  |
| Average | 1,517,661 | 4,316,175 | 3.30 |
| No. of years | 58 | 50 | 50 |

a Incomplete returns from brood year.

Appendix E6.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River sockeye salmon.
System: Nushagak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 340,000-760,000 BEG (1998); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | 260,000 (5AAC 06.358) |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 370,000-900,000 (2015) SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Nuyakuk tower and expanded aerial survey counts from 1963-1984; sonar counts from 1985 to present; converted Bendix to DIDSON 1980 to 2005; DIDSON counts uncorrected since 2006; 50 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Good |
| Data Type | Tower, aerial survey, and sonar counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruit, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 7 of last 10 years (2011-2020). Remaining 3 years have gone above the upper bound of the goal. |

System: Nushagak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 234,821 | 214,841 | 0.91 |
| 1964 | 134,853 | 93,342 | 0.69 |
| 1965 | 255,794 | 779,754 | 3.05 |
| 1966 | 233,578 | 701,566 | 3.00 |
| 1967 | 74,003 | 227,033 | 3.07 |
| 1968 | 142,360 | 344,179 | 2.42 |
| 1969 | 95,805 | 493,692 | 5.15 |
| 1970 | 452,892 | 988,764 | 2.18 |
| 1971 | 312,699 | 1,010,999 | 3.23 |
| 1972 | 39,851 | 1,147,980 | 28.81 |
| 1973 | 210,601 | 1,380,189 | 6.55 |
| 1974 | 204,190 | 383,623 | 1.88 |
| 1975 | 832,093 | 5,995,149 | 7.20 |
| 1976 | 520,303 | 4,351,924 | 8.36 |
| 1977 | 611,588 | 3,236,089 | 5.29 |
| 1978 | 734,040 | 1,513,725 | 2.06 |
| 1979 | 551,272 | 1,846,153 | 3.35 |
| 1980 | 3,669,136 | 1,210,266 | 0.33 |
| 1981 | 1,118,873 | 1,976,757 | 1.77 |
| 1982 | 664,580 | 1,335,148 | 2.01 |
| 1983 | 446,845 | 1,548,738 | 3.47 |
| 1984 | 655,739 | 761,247 | 1.16 |
| 1985 | 551,319 | 1,416,870 | 2.57 |
| 1986 | 1,095,241 | 2,092,574 | 1.91 |
| 1987 | 429,182 | 1,905,456 | 4.44 |
| 1988 | 534,460 | 2,557,339 | 4.78 |
| 1989 | 567,863 | 1,398,722 | 2.46 |
| 1990 | 752,513 | 1,189,247 | 1.58 |
| 1991 | 544,748 | 1,491,482 | 2.74 |
| 1992 | 768,816 | 1,212,574 | 1.58 |
| 1993 | 790,927 | 1,074,278 | 1.36 |
| 1994 | 563,334 | 425,915 | 0.76 |
| 1995 | 311,136 | 1,198,477 | 3.85 |
| 1996 | 557,057 | 2,335,512 | 4.19 |

-continued-

System: Nushagak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 412,591 | 544,302 | 1.32 |
| 1998 | 507,532 | 2,665,496 | 5.25 |
| 1999 | 344,972 | 1,753,716 | 5.08 |
| 2000 | 446,286 | 3,938,655 | 8.83 |
| 2001 | 897,112 | 2,662,843 | 2.97 |
| 2002 | 349,155 | 2,083,211 | 5.97 |
| 2003 | 642,093 | 2,196,683 | 3.42 |
| 2004 | 543,872 | 1,836,096 | 3.38 |
| 2005 | 1,106,703 | 1,418,239 | 1.28 |
| 2006 | 548,410 | 1,237,549 | 2.26 |
| 2007 | 518,041 | 911,789 | 1.76 |
| 2008 | 492,546 | 2,169,246 | 4.40 |
| 2009 | 484,149 | 1,284,511 | 2.65 |
| 2010 | 468,696 | 2,452,551 | 5.23 |
| 2011 | 428,191 | 2,428,928 | 5.67 |
| 2012 | 432,438 | 5,114,329 | 11.83 |
| 2013 | 894,148 | b | b |
| 2014 | 618,477 | b | b |
| 2015 | 796,684 | b | b |
| 2016 | 680,512 | b | b |
| 2017 | 2,852,308 | b | b |
| 2018 | 1,247,460 | b | b |
| 2019 | 709,431 | b | b |
| 2020 | 1,228,059 | b | b |
| 1963-2020 |  |  |  |
| Average | 643,317 | 1,690,755 | 3.99 |
| No. of years | 58 | 50 | 50 |

a DIDSON conversion factor of 1.11 applied to all years prior to 2005. Escapement estimate for 2005 used strata- and species-specific correction factors applied to the Bendix north bank counting stratum. Counts from 2006 through 2011 are uncorrected DIDSON counts.
b Incomplete returns from brood year.

Appendix E7.-Escapement goal for Togiak River sockeye salmon.
System: Togiak River
Species: Sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 120,000-200,000 BEG (1997); changed to $120,000-270,000$ BEG (2007); changed to SEG in 2010 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal | 120,000-270,000 (2010) SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1963 to present; 50 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Good |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruit, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 8 out of last 10 years (2011-2020). Remaining 2 years went over the upper bound of the goal. |

System: Togiak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 116,196 | 152,062 | 1.31 |
| 1964 | 104,874 | 174,978 | 1.67 |
| 1965 | 96,486 | 405,599 | 4.20 |
| 1966 | 104,198 | 641,763 | 6.16 |
| 1967 | 81,330 | 181,217 | 2.23 |
| 1968 | 49,918 | 262,624 | 5.26 |
| 1969 | 116,666 | 216,333 | 1.85 |
| 1970 | 202,896 | 408,284 | 2.01 |
| 1971 | 200,242 | 558,181 | 2.79 |
| 1972 | 78,570 | 303,605 | 3.86 |
| 1973 | 106,930 | 653,500 | 6.11 |
| 1974 | 103,592 | 703,838 | 6.79 |
| 1975 | 180,562 | $1,199,909$ | 6.65 |
| 1976 | 189,390 | $1,068,657$ | 5.64 |
| 1977 | 162,534 | 883,990 | 5.44 |
| 1978 | 306,176 | 681,617 | 2.23 |
| 1979 | 198,238 | 582,868 | 2.94 |
| 1980 | 526,750 | 305,047 | 0.58 |
| 1981 | 307,130 | 344,617 | 1.12 |
| 1982 | 288,674 | 425,197 | 1.47 |
| 1983 | 212,640 | $1,245,992$ | 5.86 |
| 1984 | 150,978 | 167,004 | 1.11 |
| 1985 | 153,482 | 350,671 | 2.28 |
| 1986 | 203,384 | 760,090 | 3.74 |
| 1987 | 278,276 | 892,200 | 3.21 |
| 1988 | 309,012 | 616,260 | 1.99 |
| 1989 | 104,240 | 548,303 | 5.26 |
| 1990 | 166,297 | 704,724 | 4.24 |
| 1991 | 254,088 | 690,188 | 2.72 |
| 1992 | 209,516 | 275,227 | 1.31 |
| 1993 | 188,610 | 322,621 | 1.71 |
| 1994 | 174,172 | 254,406 | 1.46 |
| 1995 | 211,226 | $1,440,819$ | 6.82 |
| 1996 | 187,174 | $1,118,048$ | 5.97 |
|  |  | $-c o n t i n u e d-$ |  |
|  |  |  |  |

System: Togiak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 152,223 | 462,339 | 3.04 |
| 1998 | 175,476 | 809,749 | 4.61 |
| 1999 | 196,136 | 517,983 | 2.64 |
| 2000 | 352,245 | 706,646 | 2.01 |
| 2001 | 303,346 | 637,173 | 2.10 |
| 2002 | 178,577 | $1,027,566$ | 5.75 |
| 2003 | 232,302 | 998,354 | 4.30 |
| 2004 | 135,637 | 681,185 | 5.02 |
| 2005 | 155,778 | 777,908 | 4.99 |
| 2006 | 312,126 | 836,553 | 2.68 |
| 2007 | 269,646 | 887,883 | 3.29 |
| 2008 | 205,680 | 609,588 | 2.96 |
| 2009 | 313,946 | 490,026 | 1.56 |
| 2010 | 188,298 | 483,172 | 2.57 |
| 2011 | 190,970 | 733,108 | 3.84 |
| 2012 | 203,148 | 561,921 | 2.77 |
| 2013 | 128,118 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2014 | 151,934 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2015 | 218,700 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2016 | 200,046 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2017 | 190,098 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2018 | 511,770 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2019 | 351,846 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2020 | 261,126 | $a$ | $a$ |
| $1963-2020$ |  |  |  |
| Average | 205,235 | 615,232 | 3.49 |
| No. of years | 58 | 50 | 50 |

a Incomplete returns from brood year.

Appendix E8.-Escapement goal for Ugashik River sockeye salmon.
System: Ugashik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 500,000-1,200,000 BEG (1995); changed to SEG 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 500,000-1,400,000 (2015) SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1963 to present; 50 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Excellent |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruit and yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 6 of last 10 years (2011-2020). The remaining 4 years went over the upper bound of the goal. |

-continued-

System: Ugashik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 388,254 | 132,741 | 0.34 |
| 1964 | 472,770 | 274,733 | 0.58 |
| 1965 | 996,612 | 392,954 | 0.39 |
| 1966 | 704,436 | 2,388,187 | 3.39 |
| 1967 | 238,830 | 230,351 | 0.96 |
| 1968 | 70,896 | 45,088 | 0.64 |
| 1969 | 160,380 | 89,243 | 0.56 |
| 1970 | 735,024 | 355,709 | 0.48 |
| 1971 | 529,752 | 935,802 | 1.77 |
| 1972 | 79,428 | 276,170 | 3.48 |
| 1973 | 38,988 | 102,308 | 2.62 |
| 1974 | 61,854 | 757,907 | 12.25 |
| 1975 | 429,336 | 4,125,834 | 9.61 |
| 1976 | 356,308 | 5,801,029 | 16.28 |
| 1977 | 201,520 | 2,853,151 | 14.16 |
| 1978 | 82,435 | 1,194,448 | 14.49 |
| 1979 | 1,706,904 | 6,480,880 | 3.80 |
| 1980 | 3,335,284 | 8,062,937 | 2.42 |
| 1981 | 1,327,699 | 7,976,426 | 6.01 |
| 1982 | 1,185,551 | 2,359,985 | 1.99 |
| 1983 | 1,001,364 | 1,789,220 | 1.79 |
| 1984 | 1,270,318 | 5,529,834 | 4.35 |
| 1985 | 1,006,407 | 2,823,866 | 2.81 |
| 1986 | 1,015,582 | 7,142,617 | 7.03 |
| 1987 | 686,894 | 7,164,347 | 10.43 |
| 1988 | 654,412 | 5,544,646 | 8.47 |
| 1989 | 1,713,287 | 4,913,114 | 2.87 |
| 1990 | 749,478 | 3,858,559 | 5.15 |
| 1991 | 2,482,016 | 6,680,927 | 2.69 |
| 1992 | 2,194,927 | 3,149,041 | 1.43 |
| 1993 | 1,413,454 | 1,357,580 | 0.96 |
| 1994 | 1,095,068 | 1,586,318 | 1.45 |
| 1995 | 1,321,108 | 5,773,750 | 4.37 |
| 1996 | 692,167 | 1,353,867 | 1.96 |

System: Ugashik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | :---: |
| 1997 | 656,641 | $3,025,123$ | 4.61 |
| 1998 | 924,853 | $1,247,104$ | 1.35 |
| 1999 | $1,662,042$ | $3,674,140$ | 2.21 |
| 2000 | 638,420 | $4,355,261$ | 6.82 |
| 2001 | 866,368 | $2,184,180$ | 2.52 |
| 2002 | 905,584 | $4,599,316$ | 5.08 |
| 2003 | 790,202 | $6,372,603$ | 8.06 |
| 2004 | 815,104 | $4,531,213$ | 5.56 |
| 2005 | 799,612 | $5,265,096$ | 6.58 |
| 2006 | $1,003,158$ | $3,402,149$ | 3.39 |
| 2007 | $2,599,186$ | $3,139,804$ | 1.21 |
| 2008 | 596,332 | $3,162,448$ | 5.30 |
| 2009 | $1,364,338$ | 982,544 | 0.72 |
| 2010 | 830,886 | $2,113,012$ | 2.54 |
| 2011 | $1,029,853$ | $6,746,034$ | 6.55 |
| 2012 | 695,018 | $5,917,720$ | 8.51 |
| 2013 | 898,110 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2014 | 640,158 | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2015 | $1,564,638$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2016 | $1,635,270$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2017 | $1,186,446$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2018 | $1,167,792$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2019 | $1,547,748$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2020 | $1,745,940$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $1963-2020$ |  |  | $a$ |
| Average | 982,111 | $3,284,426$ | 50 |
| No. of years | 58 |  | 50 |
|  |  | $a$ |  |

[^1]Appendix E9.-Escapement goal for Wood River sockeye salmon.
System: Wood River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 700,000-1,500,000 BEG (2001); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 700,000-1,800,000 (2015) SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1963 to present; 50 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Excellent |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruit, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 4 of last 10 years (2011-2020). Remaining 6 years went over the upper end of the goal. |

System: Wood River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1963 | 721,404 | 1,632,836 | 2.26 |
| 1964 | 1,076,112 | 1,286,903 | 1.20 |
| 1965 | 675,156 | 2,021,719 | 2.99 |
| 1966 | 1,208,682 | 2,290,780 | 1.90 |
| 1967 | 515,772 | 1,054,264 | 2.04 |
| 1968 | 649,344 | 1,154,367 | 1.78 |
| 1969 | 604,338 | 989,848 | 1.64 |
| 1970 | 1,161,964 | 2,648,102 | 2.28 |
| 1971 | 851,202 | 1,425,140 | 1.67 |
| 1972 | 430,602 | 1,338,679 | 3.11 |
| 1973 | 330,474 | 1,460,260 | 4.42 |
| 1974 | 1,708,836 | 5,893,430 | 3.45 |
| 1975 | 1,270,116 | 6,290,687 | 4.95 |
| 1976 | 817,008 | 6,590,536 | 8.07 |
| 1977 | 561,828 | 3,824,313 | 6.81 |
| 1978 | 2,267,238 | 3,117,207 | 1.37 |
| 1979 | 1,706,352 | 4,154,669 | 2.43 |
| 1980 | 2,969,040 | 1,471,792 | 0.50 |
| 1981 | 1,233,318 | 2,231,913 | 1.81 |
| 1982 | 976,470 | 2,085,371 | 2.14 |
| 1983 | 1,360,968 | 3,326,753 | 2.44 |
| 1984 | 1,002,792 | 2,218,822 | 2.21 |
| 1985 | 939,000 | 3,304,167 | 3.52 |
| 1986 | 818,652 | 4,176,305 | 5.10 |
| 1987 | 1,337,172 | 2,897,914 | 2.17 |
| 1988 | 866,778 | 3,978,870 | 4.59 |
| 1989 | 1,186,410 | 5,106,291 | 4.30 |
| 1990 | 1,069,440 | 3,555,678 | 3.32 |
| 1991 | 1,159,920 | 6,110,265 | 5.27 |
| 1992 | 1,286,250 | 4,539,123 | 3.53 |
| 1993 | 1,176,126 | 3,267,339 | 2.78 |
| 1994 | 1,471,890 | 5,887,328 | 4.00 |
| 1995 | 1,482,162 | 7,844,736 | 5.29 |
| 1996 | 1,649,598 | 7,529,945 | 4.56 |

System: Wood River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1997 | $1,512,396$ | $1,237,317$ | 0.82 |
| 1998 | $1,755,768$ | $6,866,961$ | 3.91 |
| 1999 | $1,512,426$ | $5,621,078$ | 3.72 |
| 2000 | $1,300,026$ | $7,238,890$ | 5.57 |
| 2001 | $1,458,732$ | $8,311,690$ | 5.70 |
| 2002 | $1,283,682$ | $8,408,970$ | 6.55 |
| 2003 | $1,459,782$ | $8,339,222$ | 5.71 |
| 2004 | $1,543,392$ | $8,064,892$ | 5.23 |
| 2005 | $1,496,550$ | $6,718,864$ | 4.49 |
| 2006 | $4,008,102$ | $8,034,958$ | 2.00 |
| 2007 | $1,528,086$ | $2,825,544$ | 1.85 |
| 2008 | $1,724,676$ | $3,220,111$ | 1.87 |
| 2009 | $1,319,232$ | $3,719,584$ | 2.82 |
| 2010 | $1,804,344$ | $7,124,705$ | 3.95 |
| 2011 | $1,098,006$ | $5,649,705$ | 5.15 |
| 2012 | 764,211 | $4,655,418$ | 6.09 |
| 2013 | $1,183,348$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2014 | $2,764,614$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2015 | $1,941,474$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2016 | $1,309,707$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2017 | $4,274,224$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2018 | $7,507,254$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2019 | $2,073,276$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| 2020 | $2,243,886$ | $a$ | $a$ |
| $1963-2020$ |  |  | $a$ |
| Average | $1,507,062$ | $4,254,885$ | 3.51 |
| No. of years | 58 | 50 | 50 |
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# APPENDIX F. RECENT ESCAPEMENT MEMOS AND RECORD COPIES PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF FISHERIES 

RC 013

16 March 2015

Mr. Thomas Kluberton - Chairman
Alaska Board of Fisheries

Department of Fish and Game DIVISIONS OF COMMERICAL FISHERIES AND SPORT FISH<br>Headquarters<br>333 Raspberry Road<br>Anchorage, Alaska 99518-1565<br>Office: 907.267.2376

Dear Chairman Kluberton and members of the Board of Fisheries:
Since the December 2012 Bristol Bay Board of Fisheries (board) meeting in Naknek, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (department) has participated in a series of meetings with a committee of users, processors, and members of the Bristol Bay Science and Research Institute. This committee was charged by the board to prepare recommendations relating to the development of optimal escapement goals for Bristol Bay sockeye salmon. As a part of this effort, the committee reviewed a draft escapement analysis report and presentations prepared by scientists from the School of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences at the University of Washington and LGL Alaska Research Associates, Inc. that evaluated escapement goals for Bristol Bay sockeye salmon taking into account biological and economic factors. Based on the biological and

- economic analysis, and the escapement goal analysis conducted by the department in 2012 (Fair et al. 2012), the department recommends the lower bounds of the existing sustainable escapement goals (SEGs) and the upper bounds of the escapement goals following the recommendations from Fair et al. 2012 (Table 1). The department intends to implement these recommendations prior to the 2015 fishing season.

In addition, the department is developing umbrella language for Bristol Bay sockeye salmon management as guidelines for managers. This regulatory language will be introduced during the statewide miscellaneous shellfish board meeting in March of 2015 for the department to manage escapements to fall within the lower or upper half of the adopted river-specific escapement goal ranges, proportionate with pre-season and inseason assessments of run strength to fishing districts.

## -continued-

## - 2 -

Table 1. - Recommended Bristol Bay sockeye salmon escapement goals (in thousands).

| River | Current SEG |  | SEG recommendations from Fair, et al. 2012 |  | Recommended SEG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |
| Egegik | 800 | 1,400 | 900 | 2,000 | 800 | 2,000 |
| Igushik | 150 | 300 | 200 | 400 | 150 | 400 |
| Kvichak | 2,000 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 10,000 |
| Naknek | 800 | 1,400 | 900 | 2,000 | 800 | 2,000 |
| Nushagak | 370 | 840 | 400 | 900 | 370 | 900 |
| Ugashik | 500 | 1,200 | 600 | 1,400 | 500 | 1,400 |
| Wood | 700 | 1,500 | 800 | 1,800 | 700 | 1,800 |

Citations:
Fair, L.F., C.E. Brazil, X. Zhang, R.A. Clark, and J.W. Erickson. 2012. Review of salmon escapement goals in Bristol Bay, Alaska, 2012. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Manuscript Series No. 12-04, Anchorage.

Sincerely,


Division of Commercial Fisheries
Anchorage


Tom Brookover, Acting Director
Division of Sport Fish
Anchorage


## MEMORANDUM

TO: Forrest R. Bowers, Acting Director Division of Commercial Fisheries

DATE:
October 3, 2018

Thomas Brookover, Director
Division of Sport Fish
THRU: Bert Lewis, Regional Supervisor BL
Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region II
SUBJECT: Bristol Bay
Escapement Goal Memo
Thomas Vania, Regional Supervisor
Division of Sport Fish, Region II
FROM: Jack Erickson, Regional Research Coordinator $\quad$ Division of Commercial Fisheries Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region II

Timothy McKinley, Regional Research Coordinator TRM/
Division of Sport Fish, Region II

The purpose of this memo is to report our progress reviewing and recommending escapement goals for the Bristol Bay Management Area (BBMA). The Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5 AAC 39.223) recognizes the establishment of salmon escapement goals as a joint responsibility of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (department) and the Alaska Board of Fisheries (board) and describes the concepts, criteria, and procedures for establishing and modifying salmon escapement goals. Under the policy, the board recognizes and describes the department's responsibility for establishing and modifying biological escapement goals (BEG) and sustainable escapement goals (SEG).
Beginning in February 2018, an interdivisional salmon escapement goal committee, including staff from the divisions of Commercial Fisheries and Sport Fish, initially met to discuss salmon escapement goals in the BBMA. Escapement goals for this area have been set and evaluated at regular intervals since statehood and many of these stocks have long-term historical datasets. The review was based on the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries (5 AAC 39.222) and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5 AAC 39.223). Two important terms are:

5 AAC 39.222 (f)(3) "Biological Escapement Goal (BEG): the escapement that provides the greatest potential for maximum sustained yield (MSY);" and
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5 AAC 39.222 (f)(36) "Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG): a level of escapement, indicated by an index or an escapement estimate, that is known to provide for sustained yield over a 5 to 10 year period, used in situations where a BEG cannot be estimated or managed for."
The committee determined the appropriate goal type (BEG or SEG) for each salmon stock with an existing goal and reviewed other monitored stocks without an existing goal. Using available data, we determined the most appropriate methods to develop each escapement goal.
Currently 15 escapement goals are evaluated in BBMA (Table 1). Due to the comprehensive previous analyses in Cross et al. (1997), Fair (2000), Fair et al. (2004), Baker et al. (2006 and 2009), Fair et al. (2012), and Erickson et al. (2015) this review committee only considered reanalyzing goals with recent (2015-2017) escapements that might result in a substantially different escapement goal from the last review, or those that should be eliminated or newly established.

## Sockeye salmon

For this review, we updated the sockeye salmon genetic harvest allocations to better account for mixed-stock harvest in each district, and to more accurately represent the true production of the primary stocks (Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Ugashik, and Wood rivers) in Bristol Bay. The committee reviewed the updated stock-recruit analyses for each of these stocks and recommends no changes for Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Ugashik, and Wood River sockeye salmon escapement goals.

For this review, the expansion factor (aerial counts to tower counts) for Alagnak River sockeye salmon was updated to include recent aerial surveys and tower counts, and corrections made to the aerial survey data. The committee recommends that the lower-bound SEG of 320,000 Alagnak River sockeye salmon assessed using tower counts be changed to a lower-bound SEG of 210,000. The committee also recommends that the companion lower-bound SEG of 125,000 assessed using a single aerial survey be eliminated in deference to the tower-based lower-bound SEG. Allocative implications associated with a change in this escapement goal are found within the Alagnak River Sockeye Salmon Special Harvest Area Management Plan (5 AAC 06.373).

## King salmon

For this review, the time series for Nushagak River king salmon was updated to include recent harvest and escapement, and corrections made to the harvest data. The updated stock-recruit analysis resulted in a greater estimate of spawner abundance that maximizes sustained yield ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {msy }}$ ) but the new $\mathrm{S}_{\text {msy }}$ estimate is well within the current goal. In addition, results from sonic-tagging (2011-2014) and capture-recapture (2014-2016) studies show that substantial numbers of king salmon are not enumerated by the existing sonar assessment. The escapement goal committee recommended no change be made to the existing goal and that a stock-recruit model be developed prior to the next Bristol Bay regulatory-cycle which incorporates the corrected harvest data and uncertainty in king salmon abundance estimated by the sonar.
The committee recommends the king salmon goal for the Alagnak River stock be discontinued because there are indications that aerial surveys conducted since 2015 may not index escapement the same as, or similar to, previous surveys used to develop the escapement goal. This goal was
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recommended to be discontinued during the last board cycle, because funding was unavailable and uncertainty over the current survey observer efficiency in relation to historic aerial survey numbers.

Other recent indicators of relative king salmon abundance in the Alagnak River (e.g., Statewide Harvest Survey estimates of catch, guide logbook data, personal communication with anglers and guide businesses) are on par with years when historical survey index counts were greater than 3,000 fish. The exact reason(s) for these differences are unknown, in part because surveys have been conducted in a different manner (i.e., two observers per survey and multiple surveys per year since 2015 but one observer flying single aerial surveys historically). The department currently lacks the information needed to understand the relationship between current aerial survey data and the existing escapement goal, as well as reported sport fishing data. By discontinuing this goal, the Alagnak River Sockeye Salmon Special Harvest Area Management Plan (5 AAC 06.373 (c)) will need to be updated.

## Pink, coho, and chum salmon

The committee concluded that updating the analyses for these stocks would not likely result in a substantially different escapement goals; therefore, the committee recommends no changes at this time.

In summary, this comprehensive review of the 15 existing salmon escapement goals in the BBMA resulted in recommendations to update 1 existing sockeye salmon escapement goal and discontinuing 2 escapement goals (one for sockeye salmon, one for king salmon). It is also recommended that a concerted effort be made by the department to develop a run reconstruction and stock-recruit analysis for Nushagak River king salmon that accounts for errors in harvest data used to develop the current escapement goal, and the uncertainty in proportion of king salmon counted by sonar that was identified by recent tagging and capture-recapture studies. Oral and written reports (Erickson et al. In prep.) concerning BBMA escapement goals and stock status will be presented to the board in December 2018. These reports will list current escapement goals for BBMA, detailed descriptions of the methods used to develop the goals, and annual escapements through 2018.

Table 1. - Summary of escapement goals and recommendations for salmon stocks in Bristol Bay Management Area.

| System | Escapement Goal | Enumeration Method | Goal <br> Type | Initial Year | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KING SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | $55,000-120,000$ | sonar | SEG | 2013 | No change |
| Alagnak River | 2,700 | single aerial survey | lower-bound SEG | 2007 | discontinue |
| CHUM SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | 200,000 | sonar | lower-bound SEG | 2013 | No change |
| COHO SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | 60,000-120,000 | sonar | SEG | 2013 | No change |
| PINK SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River (even years only) | 165,000 | sonar | lower-bound SEG | 2013 | No change |
| SOCKEYE SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kvichak River | $2,000,000-10,000,000$ | tower count | SEG | 2010 | No change |
| Alagnak River | 320,000 | tower count | lower-bound SEG | 2007 | correct \& update to 210,000 |
| Alagnak River | 125,000 | single aerial survey | lower-bound SEG | 2015 | discontinue |
| Naknek River | 800,000-2,000,000 | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Egegik River | 800,000-2,000,000 | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Ugashik River | 500,000-1,400,000 | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Wood River | $700,000-1,800,000$ | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Igushik River | 150,000-400,000 | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Nushagak River | 370,000-900,000 | sonar | SEG | 2015 | No change |
|  | 260,000 - 760,000 | sonar | OEG | 2012 | NA |
| Togiak River | 120,000-270,000 | tower count | SEG | 2007 | No change |
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Department of Fish and Game
DIVISION OF COMMERCIAL FISHERIES
Central Region Office
333 Raspberry Road
Anchorage, AK 99518-1565
Main: 907.267.2105
Fax: 907.267.2442

MEMORANDUM

TO: Samuel Rabung, Director
Division of Commercial Fisheries
David Rutz, Director
Division of Sport Fish
THRU: Bert Lewis, Regional Supervisor $B L$ Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region II

Jason Dye, Regional Supervisor JED
Division of Sport Fish, Region II

DATE: November 7,2022

SUBJECT: Corrected (version control) Bristol Bay Escapement Goal Memo

FROM: Jack Erickson, Regional Research Coordinator Gee Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region II

Timothy McKinley, Regional Research Coordinator 7RM
Division of Sport Fish, Region II

The purpose of this memo is to report our progress reviewing and recommending escapement goals for the Bristol Bay Management Area (BBMA). The Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5 AAC 39.223) recognizes the establishment of salmon escapement goals as a joint responsibility of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (department) and the Alaska Board of Fisheries (board) and describes the concepts, criteria, and procedures for establishing and modifying salmon escapement goals. Under the policy, the board recognizes and describes the department's responsibility for establishing and modifying biological escapement goals (BEG) and sustainable escapement goals (SEG).

Beginning in November 2020, an interdivisional salmon escapement goal committee, including staff from the divisions of Commercial Fisheries and Sport Fish, met several times to discuss salmon escapement goals in the BBMA. Escapement goals for this area have been set and evaluated at regular intervals since statehood and many of these stocks have long-term historical datasets. The review was based on the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries (5 AAC 39.222) and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5 AAC 39.223). Two important terms are:

5 AAC 39.222 (f)(3) "Biological Escapement Goal (BEG): the escapement that provides the greatest potential for maximum sustained yield (MSY);" and
5 AAC 39.222 (f)(36) "Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG): a level of escapement, indicated by an index or an escapement estimate, that is known to provide for sustained yield over a 5 to 10 year period, used in situations where a BEG cannot be estimated or managed for."

The committee determined the appropriate goal type (BEG or SEG) for each salmon stock with an existing goal and reviewed other monitored stocks that do not have escapement goals. Using available data, we determined the most appropriate methods to develop each escapement goal.
Currently, 13 escapement goals are established in BBMA (Table 1). Due to the comprehensive previous analyses in Cross et al. (1997), Fair (2000), Fair et al. (2004), Baker et al. (2006 and 2009), Fair et al. (2012), Erickson et al. (2015 and 2018) the review committee focused its attention on updating and reviewing the stock-recruit analyses for sockeye and king salmon stocks.

## Sockeye salmon

For this review, we updated the sockeye salmon genetic stock-specific harvest estimates from 2006 forward with the current baseline to better account for mixed-stock harvest in each district, and more accurately represent the true production of the sockeye salmon stocks in Bristol Bay. Except for the Alagnak River stock, Ricker stockrecruit models fit in a Bayesian framework were run with updated data through 2020, and for comparison, with data through 2012 (the time series of data from which the current goals were developed) to assess if the recent eight years of retums would provide additional information to modify the existing goals. The updated stockrecruit analyses from this effort were similar to the stock-recruit analyses presented to the board in 2012. Since 2012, Bristol Bay sockeye salmon runs have been very productive and several stocks (most notably Egegik, Naknek, and Wood rivers) have experienced record or near record runs and escapements. While some goals could be revised, the committee recommends no changes to the current escapement goals and will assess the returns from these large escapements over the next 3-6 years; information that will likely better inform some of the stockrecruit relationships. This pending return information may warrant revising escapement goals during the next 1 or 2 board cycles.

## King salmon

The current SEG $(55,000-120,000)$ for Nushagak River king salmon was established in 2013. For this review, a run reconstruction was developed for Nushagak River king salmon for brood years 1966-2012. As part of this run reconstruction and stock-recruit analysis the department corrected errors in harvest data used to develop the current escapement goal, and attempted to address the uncertainty in proportion of king salmon indexed by sonar that was identified by recent tagging and capture-recapture studies. The model integrated historical escapement, harvest, inriver run and age composition data to reconstruct drainagewide historical run and escapement, as well as spawner-recruit parameter estimates from which biological reference points such as number of spawners at maximum sustained yield ( $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{MSY}}$ ) are estimated. Four different time series of spawner-recruit data were analyzed and several recommendations were made to potentially improve the run reconstruction model. Recommendations include indices of sport and commercial catch-per-unit-effort (CPUE). Due to the extensive work required to further improve the run reconstruction, the committee recommends the current escapement goal not be changed at this time. The department will continue development of a run reconstruction model and stock-recruit analyses, and present results and escapement goal recommendation prior to the next Bristol Bay board cycle.

## Pink salmon

The current lower-bound SEG $(165,000)$ for even-year Nushagak River pink salmon was established in 2013. The sonar project has only operated twice (2014 and 2018) during August (the key timeframe for pink and coho salmon passage) since the goal was established. The committee concluded updating the analysis for this stock would likely not result in a substantially different escapement goal; therefore, the committee recommends no change at this time.

## Coho salmon

The current SEG $(60,000-120,000)$ for Nushagak River coho salmon was established in 2013. The Nushagak River sonar has operated during August four times since the goal was established (2013, 2014, 2018, and 2019). The committee concluded that updating the analysis for this stock would likely not result in a substantially different escapement goal; therefore, the committee recommends no change at this time.

## Chum salmon

The current lower-bound SEG $(200,000)$ for Nushagak River chum salmon was established in 2013. The committee reviewed the recent escapements and concluded that updating the analysis for this stock would not likely result in a substantially different escapement goal; therefore, the committee recommends no change at this time.

## Summary

This comprehensive review of the 13 existing salmon escapement goals in the BBMA resulted in the recommendation to maintain all existing escapement goals. Oral and written reports conceming BBMA escapement goals, the Nushagak River king salmon run reconstruction, and stock status will be presented to the board in November 2022. These reports will list current escapement goals for BBMA, detailed descriptions of the methods used to evaluate these goals, and annual escapements through 2021.
Stock of concern recommendations for Bristol Bay salmon will be developed after the 2022 salmon season. These recommendations will be formalized in a memo and presented at the board Work Session in October 2022. A brief oral report concerning escapement goals and stock of concern recommendations will be given to the board at the Work Session
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Table 1.-Summary of current and recommended escapement goals for salmon stocks in Bristol Bay Management Area.

| System | Escapement goal | Enumeration method | Goal type | Initial <br> year | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KING SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | 55,000-120,000 | Sonar | SEG | 2013 | No change |
| CHUM SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | 200,000 | Sonar | Lower-bound SEG | 2013 | No change |
| COHO SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | 60,000-120,000 | Sonar | SEG | 2013 | No change |
| PINK SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River (even years only) | 165,000 | Sonar | Lower-bound SEG | 2013 | No change |
| SOCKEYE SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kvichak River | 2,000,000-10,000,000 | Tower count | SEG | 2010 | No change |
| Alagnak River | 210,000 | Tower count | Lower-bound SEG | 2019 | No change |
| Naknek River | 800,000-2,000,000 | Tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Egegik River | $800,000-2,000,000$ | Tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Ugashik River | 500,000-1,400,000 | Tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Wood River | $700,000-1,800,000$ | Tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Igushilk River | 150,000-400,000 | Tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Nushagak River | $370,000-900,000$ | Sonar | SEG | 2015 | No change |
|  | $260,000-760,000$ | Sonar | OEG | 2012 | Not applicable |
| Togiak River | 120,000-270,000 | Tower count | SEG | 2010 | No change |
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[^0]:    Note: Total runs calculated during brood table reconstruction in 2020 to account for the most current genetic information. Small runs (less than $1 \%$ of total Bristol Bay) of sockeye

[^1]:    a Incomplete returns from brood year.

[^2]:    a Incomplete returns from brood year.

