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#### Abstract

The Alaska Department of Fish and Game interdivisional escapement goal review committee reviewed Pacific salmon Oncorhynchus spp. escapement goals for the major river systems in Bristol Bay. There were 15 escapement goals examined in the Bristol Bay management area for this review. The committee evaluated spawner-return data for most Bristol Bay sockeye salmon O. nerka and Chinook salmon O. tshawytscha stocks with escapement goals.

For this escapement goal review, the committee recommends modifying the tower-assessed sustainable escapement goal and discontinuing the aerial survey-assessed sustainable escapement goal for Alagnak River sockeye salmon. The committee recommends the sustainable escapement goal for Alagnak River Chinook salmon assessed via aerial survey be discontinued, and that all other escapement goals in the Bristol Bay management area remain the same. The committee also recommends that, prior to the next regulatory cycle, a run reconstruction and spawner-recruit analysis be conducted for Nushagak River Chinook salmon that accounts for errors in harvest data used to develop the current escapement goal, and uncertainty in the proportion of Chinook salmon indexed by sonar identified by recent tagging and capture-recapture studies.
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## INTRODUCTION

This report describes the review of Bristol Bay salmon escapement goals by the interdivisional escapement goal review committee and their recommendations to the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) Division of Commercial Fisheries and Division of Sport Fish directors. Many Bristol Bay salmon escapement goals have been set and evaluated at regular intervals since statehood.

The Bristol Bay management area includes all coastal and inland waters east of a line from Cape Newenham to Cape Menshikof (Figure 1). The Bristol Bay area is divided into 5 management districts (Egegik, Naknek-Kvichak, Nushagak, Togiak, and Ugashik) that correspond to the major river systems. Bristol Bay supports some of the largest sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka runs in the world with combined runs to Bristol Bay averaging approximately 38 million fish since 1998 (Table 1). Nine major river systems produce more than $99 \%$ of the returning sockeye salmon: Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood rivers (Table 1; Figure 1).
The primary management objective for each river is to achieve escapements within established ranges while harvesting fish in excess of escapement goals through orderly fisheries. During the 2015 Statewide Miscellaneous Shellfish Alaska Board of Fisheries (BOF) meeting the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) introduced-and the BOF approved-regulatory language ". . . to the extent practicable, manage for escapements to fall within the lower or upper portions of escapement goals proportional to the run size based on the preseason forecast and inseason assessment of the run size" (5 AAC 06.355(d)(1)). Regulatory management plans have been adopted for individual species in certain districts. Escapement refers to the annual estimated size of the spawning salmon stock, which is affected by a variety of factors including harvest, predation, disease, and physical and biological changes in the environment. Escapement goals for sockeye salmon have been in place for the major river systems since the early 1960s (Burgner et al. 1967; Fried 1994; Cross et al. 1997; Fair 2000; Fair et al. 2004; Baker et al. 2006, 2009; Fair et al. 2012; Erickson et al. 2015). Bristol Bay also contains one of the largest runs of Chinook salmon O. tshawytscha in Alaska. The Chinook salmon run in the Nushagak River has
averaged 215,000 since 1989 (Buck et al. 2012). Substantial runs of chum O. keta, coho $O$. kisutch, and pink O. gorbuscha salmon are also found in many Bristol Bay rivers.

ADF\&G reviews Bristol Bay escapement goals on a schedule that corresponds to the BOF's 3year cycle for considering area regulatory proposals. This report describes the Bristol Bay salmon escapement goals reviewed in 2018.
The committee reviewed and evaluated escapement goals for the following stocks:

- Chinook salmon: Alagnak and Nushagak rivers;
- Sockeye salmon: Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood rivers.

Escapement goals were reviewed based on the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries (SSFP; 5 AAC 39.222) and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (EGP; 5 AAC 39.223). The BOF adopted these policies into regulation to ensure that the state's salmon stocks are conserved, managed, and developed using the sustained yield principle. The EGP states that it is ADF\&G's responsibility to document existing salmon escapement goals for all salmon stocks that are currently managed for an escapement goal and to review existing, or propose new, escapement goals on a schedule that conforms to the BOF's regular cycle of consideration of area regulatory proposals. For this review, there are 2 important terms defined in the SSFP:

5 AAC 39.222 (f)(3) "biological escapement goal" or "(BEG)" means the escapement that provides the greatest potential for maximum sustained yield; BEG will be the primary management objective for the escapement unless an optimal escapement or inriver run goal has been adopted; BEG will be developed from the best available biological information, and should be scientifically defensible on the basis of available biological information; BEG will be determined by the department and will be expressed as a range based on factors such as salmon stock productivity and data uncertainty; the department will seek to maintain evenly distributed salmon escapements within the bounds of a BEG; and

5 AAC 39.222 (f)(36) "sustainable escapement goal" or "(SEG)" means a level of escapement, indicated by an index or an escapement estimate, that is known to provide for sustained yield over a 5 to 10 year period, used in situations where a BEG cannot be estimated or managed for; the SEG is the primary management objective for the escapement, unless an optimal escapement or inriver run goal has been adopted by the board; the SEG will be developed from the best available biological information; and should be scientifically defensible on the basis of that information; the SEG will be determined by the department and will take into account data uncertainty and be stated as either an "SEG range" or "lower bound SEG"; the department will seek to maintain escapements within the bounds of the SEG range or above the level of a lower bound SEG.
An escapement goal for a stock was defined as a BEG if a sufficiently long time series of escapement, harvest, and age estimates were available; the estimates were sufficiently accurate and precise; and the data were considered sufficient to estimate maximum sustained yield (MSY; Chinook Technical Committee 1999; Hilborn and Walters 1992; Quinn and Deriso 1999). An escapement goal for a stock was defined as an SEG if a sufficiently long time series of escapement estimates were available, but there was concern about the spawner-return data (e.g.,
lack of age composition estimates, concern with stock-specific harvest allocation, and insufficient contrast in escapements).
During 2018, ADF\&G established an interdivisional escapement goal review committee (committee). The committee consisted of Division of Commercial Fisheries and Division of Sport Fish personnel (Table 2). The committee met formally for the first time in February of 2018 to review escapement goals and begin developing recommendations. As per the SSFP and EGP, ADF\&G regional and headquarters staff reviewed all committee recommendations prior to adoption as escapement goals.

## OBJECTIVES

Objectives of the 2018 review were as follows:

1) Review existing goals to determine whether they were still appropriate given (a) new data collected since the last review, (b) current assessment techniques, and (c) current management practices;
2) Review the methods used to establish the existing goals to determine whether alternative methods should be investigated;
3) Consider discontinuing existing goals;
4) Consider any new stocks for which there may be sufficient data to develop a goal; and
5) Recommend new goals, if appropriate.

## OVERVIEW OF STOCK ASSESSMENT METHODS

The committee reviewed each of the existing escapement goals using escapement and harvest data (if available), including data collected since the 2015 review. Escapement goals for salmon are ideally based on spawner-recruitment relationships (e.g., Beverton and Holt 1957; Ricker 1954), which describe the productivity and carrying capacity of a stock. However, available fisheries data are often not suitable for describing a spawner-recruitment relationship (e.g., insufficient contrast in escapements, no stock-specific harvest data, short escapement time series, or inconsistent escapement monitoring). In these cases, other evaluation methods are necessary. Escapement goals are evaluated and revised over time as improved methods are developed, and when new and better information becomes available.

Available escapement, harvest, and age data for each stock were compiled from research reports, management reports, and unpublished historical databases. The committee evaluated the type, quality, and quantity of data for each stock. Escapements within an escapement goal range for a stock should produce sustainable yields.

## Escapement and Harvest Data

Sockeye salmon escapements have been sampled by beach seine and visually counted using towers at Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood rivers (West et al. 2012). ADF\&G has assessed Alagnak River sockeye salmon escapement using a combination of aerial surveys and towers since its inception (Clark 2005). Salmon escapements were sampled by gillnet or beach seine and estimated using sonar for all Nushagak River salmon species beginning in the early 1980s (Brazil and Buck 2011). Prior to the implementation of
sonar, Nushagak River Chinook and sockeye salmon escapements were assessed using aerial surveys. Age data have been collected from both the escapement and harvest for all of these stocks. Prior to the 2012 review, harvest allocation for each stock was estimated by harvest location and age composition (Bernard 1983). However, the run reconstruction model of Cunningham et al. (2012) estimated sockeye salmon stock-specific harvest contributions based on genetic markers, age composition, and run timing information going back to 1959. For the current review, the Bristol Bay sockeye salmon run reconstruction was updated retroactively for the length of the data set (brood years 1959-2009) to incorporate the best, most current understanding of genetic baselines in Bristol Bay. The total returns for all sockeye salmon stocks in this review were taken from the 2017 run reconstruction.
Alagnak River Chinook salmon escapements were estimated by aerial survey; age composition data was not collected for this stock for escapements or commercial harvests (Salomone et al. 2009).

## Escapement Goal Setting

In previous reviews, escapement goals were evaluated for Bristol Bay salmon stocks using the following methods: (1) spawner-recruitment analysis; (2) yield analysis; (3) smolt information; and (4) risk analysis. Spawner-return data were generally used to estimate escapement goals when stock-specific estimates of total return (escapement and stock-specific harvest) were reliable and there was sufficient contrast in escapements. Spawner-return data were used to estimate escapement goals based on: (1) escapements producing average yields that were $90-$ $100 \%$ of MSY from a spawner-recruit model, and 2) the yield analysis, a visual examination of observed yield versus escapement. Recent smolt information is not available for any Bristol Bay salmon stocks. The risk analysis approach (Bernard et al. 2009) was used to develop a lower bound SEG when the harvest of a stock was deemed incidental (passively managed) to harvests and management of primary stocks (e.g., chum salmon harvests are incidental to the directed harvests of sockeye and Chinook salmon in the Nushagak District).

## Spawner-Recruit Analysis

Complete spawner-recruit data exists for Nushagak River Chinook and chum salmon, and Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood River sockeye salmon. For this review spawner-recruit models were used to analyze salmon spawnerrecruit data for all available brood years. Although total returns are the sum of escapements and harvests, sport and subsistence harvests were only included in total return estimates for the Nushagak River Chinook salmon but were considered minor components for the sockeye salmon stocks.

The Bristol Bay analyses used the standard Ricker spawner-recruit (S-R) model (Ricker 1954) written as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R=\alpha S e^{-\beta S} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $R$ is recruitment (i.e., brood year return) and $S$ is brood year escapement, $\alpha$ and $\beta$ are model parameters.
The model was log transformed to the linearized form:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\ln (R / S)=\ln (\alpha)-\beta S, \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

and its parameters were estimated using a simple linear regression analysis (Hilborn and Walters 1992). For Nushagak River Chinook salmon and Egegik sockeye salmon the parameters were estimated using a Bayesian approach of the same model because of additional uncertainties associated with data. For most data, both regression and Bayesian approaches provide nearly identical parameter estimates.
Fishery management parameters $S_{e q}, S_{m s y}$, and $M S Y$ were estimated from:

$$
\begin{gather*}
S_{e q}=\frac{\ln (\alpha)}{\beta},  \tag{3}\\
S_{m s y} \approx S_{e q}(0.5-0.07 \ln (\alpha)),  \tag{4}\\
M S Y=\alpha S_{m s y} e^{-\beta S_{m s y}}-S_{m s y} . \tag{5}
\end{gather*}
$$

## Risk Analysis

For stocks that are passively managed and coincidentally harvested, lower bound SEGs are frequently developed (Bernard et al. 2009). Escapement goal analyses for the Alagnak River sockeye salmon were updated during this review cycle using the risk analysis approach. The risk analysis approach estimates 2 types of management errors: 1) the risk of taking an unneeded management action and; 2) the risk of not taking action when management action was warranted (mistaken inaction).
There are currently 2 lower bound SEGs for Alagnak River sockeye salmon. A lower bound SEG of 320,000 assessed with tower counts was established in 2007 utilizing the risk analysis approach (Baker et al 2006); and a lower bound SEG of 125,000 assessed with aerial surveys was established in 2015 utilizing the risk analysis approach (Erickson et al. 2015).
For this review, we updated the historical aerial survey and tower data from 1955-1957 and 1959-2017. The escapement data used to establish the current tower-based escapement goal used tower counts from 1956 to 1976 and expanded aerial surveys from 1977 to 1998 with an expansion factor of 2.7 (Erickson et al. 2015). For this review, we corrected (for an historical error) and updated the expansion factor (by including additional years of data) for Alagnak River sockeye salmon. Escapement time series were log-transformed and tested for autocorrelation using diagnostics of Chatfield (2004). Because the log-escapement time series for Alagnak River sockeye salmon based on tower counts (and aerial survey counts expanded to tower counts) is serially correlated ( $p<0.001$; Figure 2), a lag-1 autoregressive model for estimated risk ( $\pi_{k}$, where $k=3$ ) of an unwarranted restriction due to a management concern cannot be calculated directly. To address this, a parametric simulation was conducted and 1,000 lag-1 serially correlated escapements were generated (Equations 9-13 in Bernard et al 2009).

The risk of detecting 50-95\% declines in mean escapement were calculated in the same way as risk of an unwarranted concern, except risk of not detecting ( $1-\pi_{k}$ ) was estimated and the mean escapement was changed by the desired percentage drop in mean to be detected with the threshold. A $95 \%$ decline in mean escapement was selected based on the observed percent difference between the mean escapement and minimum escapement.

## Percentile Approach

Many salmon stocks throughout Alaska have an SEG developed using the percentile approach (Munro and Volk 2017). In 2001, Bue and Hasbrouck ${ }^{1}$ (unpublished) developed an algorithm using percentiles of observed escapements, whether estimates or indices, that incorporated contrast in the escapement data and exploitation of the stock. Clark et al. (2014) evaluated this approach and recommended several modifications including consideration of the quality of the assessment data when deciding which percentiles are used to set the lower and upper bounds of the escapement goal. Percentile ranking is the percent of all escapement values that fall below a particular value. To calculate percentiles, escapement data are ranked from the smallest to the largest value, with the smallest value the $0^{\text {th }}$ percentile (i.e., none of the escapement values are less than the smallest). The percentile of all remaining escapement values is cumulative, or a summation, of $1 /(n-1)$, where $n$ is the number of escapement values. Contrast in the escapement data is the maximum observed escapement divided by the minimum observed escapement. As contrast increases, meaning more information about the variability of the run size are known, the percentiles used to estimate the SEG are narrowed, primarily from the upper end, to better utilize the yields from the larger runs. Clark et al. (2014) recommended that the percentile approach not be used for stocks with average harvest rates greater than 0.40 or for stocks with very low contrast ( $<4$ ) and high measurement error (aerial or foot surveys). For this review the percentile approach was used to corroborate the Alagnak River sockeye salmon goal, which was developed using the risk analysis approach.

| Escapement Contrast and Exploitation (from Clark et al. 2014) | SEG Range |
| :--- | :--- |
| High contrast ( $>8$ ); and high measurement error (aerial and foot <br> surveys) with low to moderate average harvest rates ( $<0.4$ ) | $20^{\text {th }}$ to $60^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |
| High contrast $(>8)$; and low measurement error (weirs and towers) <br> with low to moderate average harvest rates $(<0.4)$ | $15^{\text {th }}$ to $65^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |
| Low contrast $(\leq 8)$ with low to moderate average harvest rates $(<0.40)$ | $5^{\text {th }}$ to $65^{\text {th }}$ Percentile |

## RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

A total of 15 escapement goals were reviewed for Bristol Bay. The committee updated the escapement goal analyses for all Bristol Bay sockeye salmon stocks except for 1 recommended to be discontinued (Alagnak River SEG assessed with single aerial surveys). The committee recommends the tower-based lower bound SEG for Alagnak River sockeye salmon be reduced to a lower bound SEG of 210,000, and that the aerial survey-assessed lower bound SEG for this stock be discontinued. The committee recommends the Alagnak River Chinook salmon SEG be discontinued. There is no recommendation to establish any new goals in Bristol Bay. Although results of the updated spawner-recruit analysis for Nushagak River Chinook salmon could indicate a higher SEG, the committee recommends no change be made to the goal until further analysis can be conducted that incorporates the component of the run that is undetected by the sonar.

The recommendation for each escapement goal follows by species and river.

[^0]
## Chinook Salmon

## Alagnak River

The current risk analysis-based lower bound SEG of 2,700 Alagnak River Chinook salmon is based on single aerial survey estimates that began in 1970. Surveys were not conducted in 1979 or 2010-2014. A survey was conducted in 2015 but due to poor water conditions it was considered not representative. From 1970 through 2018 (excluding 2015), mean Chinook salmon escapement was 4,573; from 2016 through 2018 it was 902 (Appendix A1).
There are indications that the aerial surveys conducted since 2015 may not index escapement the same as, or similar to, previous surveys used to develop the escapement goal. Although recent index counts have been some of the lowest on record, other indicators of relative Chinook salmon abundance in the Alagnak River (e.g., Statewide Harvest Survey estimates of catch, personal communication with anglers and guide businesses) are on par with years when historical aerial survey index counts were greater than 3,000 fish (Figure 3). The exact reason(s) for these differences are unknown, in part because there are only 2 years (2016 and 2017) of recent aerial survey data under good counting conditions where Statewide Harvest Survey data are available, and the aerial surveys have been conducted in a different manner than in the past (i.e., the peak count of 2 observers per survey and multiple surveys per year beginning in 2016, but 1 observer flying single aerial surveys historically). ADF\&G currently lacks the information needed to understand the relationship between aerial survey data and the existing escapement goal, as well as reported sport fishing data. This goal was recommended to be discontinued in 2015 during the previous BOF cycle for this area. However, the goal was kept in place because a new management plan was developed that included a stipulation that the Alagnak River Sockeye Salmon Special Harvest Area only be opened if the Chinook salmon SEG was met in the previous year. The committee recommends the Chinook salmon goal for the Alagnak River stock be discontinued. By discontinuing this goal, the Alagnak River Sockeye Salmon Special Harvest Area Management Plan (5 AAC 06.373 (c)) will need to be updated. This stock is passively managed and incidentally harvested along with the Kvichak River sockeye salmon stock; total harvest rates on Alagnak River Chinook salmon are probably low.

## Nushagak River

The current Nushagak River Chinook salmon SEG range is 55,000-120,000 (Table 3; Appendix A2). In this review, we updated the Ricker spawner-recruitment model with the 3 most recent complete brood years (2008-2010). Additionally, corrections were made to historical harvest estimates that had been mistakenly expanded during the Bendix to DIDSON conversion in 2012 (Fair et al. 2012). Similar to previous reviews, the Ricker spawner-recruitment model fit the data well based on a relatively small regression standard deviation (0.50), and a relatively small $95 \%$ credible interval for Smsy (79,000-115,000) (Tables 4 and 5). The updated median point estimate of Smsy $(91,700)$ is well within the current SEG $(55,000-120,000)$ (Figure 4) but is greater than the point estimate of Smsy $(85,000)$ that the existing goal was developed from (Fair et al. 2012).

The Nushagak River is approximately 300 m wide at the sonar site and it is not possible to ensonify the middle of the channel. A 2011-2014 acoustic tagging study estimated that the sonar beam covered less than a third of the channel. Preliminary results from the 2011-2014 acoustic tagging study estimated the proportion of Chinook salmon traveling outside the sonar beam
range was $47-65 \%$ with a mean of $57 \% .^{2}$ Similarly, a 2014-2016 mark-recapture study estimated the abundance of adult Chinook salmon in the Nushagak River independently from the sonar estimate. Preliminary results from the 2014-2016 mark-recapture study enumerated 76$81 \%$ of the adult Chinook salmon passing the sonar (data on file with Central Region Research Group, ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Soldotna). Both studies indicated that a substantial number of Chinook salmon are not enumerated by the existing sonar assessment and that the current sonar assessment is an index of abundance. At this time, ADF\&G has not quantified the consistency of the sonar index.

The committee recommends no change be made to the existing escapement goal and that a spawner-recruit model be developed prior to the next Bristol Bay regulatory cycle that will incorporate the corrected harvest data and the uncertainty in Chinook salmon abundance that has been identified by the 2 recent tagging studies. The committee also recommends that the updated analysis and preliminary recommendation from this analysis be presented to subsistence, sport and commercial interests well in advance of the deadline for submitting regulatory proposals.

## Chum Salmon

## Nushagak River

The current lower bound SEG of 200,000 chum salmon based on sonar site data was established in 2012 using the risk analysis approach (Fair et al. 2012). For that review, historical escapement data through July 20 was used to develop the escapement goal even though the sonar project in recent years has been extended into mid-August. July 20 was chosen as the cut-off date because over $90 \%$ of the chum salmon escapement has passed the sonar site by this date; and for 12 of the 38 years since 1980, sonar operations ceased around July 20, allowing for a larger time series to re-evaluate the goal.

Recent escapements from 2015 to 2017 were above the lower bound SEG and well within the range of historical escapements (Appendix B1); therefore, the committee concluded updating the analysis for this stock would not result in a substantially different escapement goal. The committee recommends no change to the current lower bound SEG of 200,000 for Nushagak River chum salmon.

## Coho Salmon

## Nushagak River

The review in 2006 discontinued an SEG of 50,000-100,000 for Nushagak River coho salmon (Baker et al. 2006). At that time, sonar operations had been reduced in duration (terminated on July 20), and no longer assessed coho salmon abundance. Beginning in 2012, the sonar project operated through August 20 to assess coho and pink salmon because both species are actively managed in the Nushagak District. During the 2012 review, the SEG was changed to 60,000120,000 to account for the difference between Bendix and DIDSON sonar estimation.

The Nushagak River sonar has not operated after July 20 since 2014, so no new information was available for the committee to examine since the 2015 review (Appendix C1). The committee

[^1]recommends no change to the current SEG of 60,000-120,000 for Nushagak River coho salmon.

## Pink Salmon (EVEN-YEAR)

## Nushagak River

The current lower bound SEG of 165,000 was established in 2012 (Fair et al. 2012) and is for even years only. The review in 2006 discontinued an SEG of 600,000-1,100,000 for Nushagak River pink salmon (Baker et al. 2006). At that time, sonar operations had been reduced in duration (terminated on July 20) and no longer assessed pink salmon abundance. From 2012 to 2014, the sonar project operated through August 20 to assess pink and coho salmon because both species are actively managed in the Nushagak District.
The sonar project was not operated during the month of August since the previous review, therefore no new information was available to update the escapement goals analysis (Appendix D1). The committee recommends no change to the lower bound SEG of 165,000 for even-year pink salmon (Table 3).

## Sockeye Salmon

## Alagnak River

The Alagnak River sockeye salmon stock is passively managed and incidentally harvested with Kvichak River sockeye salmon. ADF\&G is not able to actively manage this stock. It is for this reason that a lower bound SEG was established in 2007.

Historically, the Alagnak River was not considered a large producer of sockeye salmon compared to the Kvichak River and many other Bristol Bay sockeye salmon stocks. However, since 2003 productivity appears to have increased and escapement estimates based on tower counts and expanded aerial surveys averaged 2,271,581 (Appendix E1). Although we do not yet know the total return from these large escapements, total runs since 2003 averaged approximately $4,000,000$ fish (Table 1). Schindler et al. (2006) used sediment cores to show that periods of high sockeye salmon abundance have occurred in the Alagnak River approximately every 100 years for the last 5 centuries; hence recent increased production is not completely unexpected.
The risk-based approach was used to develop the initial lower bound SEG for Alagnak River sockeye salmon in 2007 (Baker et al. 2006). The escapement goal committee chose to update the risk-based analysis for the tower-assessed escapement goal because it was not reviewed during the 2012 or 2015 reviews. The estimated risk of an unwarranted concern is $5 \%$ (approximately once in 20 years) for the recommended lower bound SEG $(210,000)$ based on tower counts and expanded aerial surveys from 1959 to 2017 (Figure 5). There is a $4.5 \%$ estimated risk that a $95 \%$ decline in mean escapement over 3 consecutive years would not be detected (from a mean of approximately 879,777 to a minimum observed escapement of 35,280 ). These levels of risk are similar to the levels of estimated risk that were used in setting this goal in 2006. The committee chose 3 consecutive years because this corresponds to the BOF regulatory cycle.
Estimated mean annual total run for the past 20 years (1998-2017) is approximately 3,473,590 fish (Table 1) and mean escapement for the same period of record is 1,914,786 (Appendix E1). The estimated harvest rate (55\%) is greater than the harvest rate ( $<40 \%$ ) recommended by Clark
et al. (2014) for using the Percentile Approach. For stocks with harvest rates greater 40\%, Clark et al. (2014) suggested the option of setting the lower bound no lower than the $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile to avoid overfishing. The $25^{\text {th }}$ percentile for this stock is approximately 195,000 (Appendix E1) which is less than the recommended lower bound SEG $(210,000)$.
Three consecutive escapements of less than 210,000 (based on tower counts) have not occurred since 1977 and escapements less than 43,989 (5\% of mean historical escapement) have occurred only once since 1955 (Figure 6 and Appendix E1). Based on these results, the committee recommends the current lower bound SEG of 320,000 Alagnak River sockeye salmon assessed using tower counts be changed to a lower bound SEG of 210,000. The committee also recommends the companion lower bound SEG of 125,000 assessed using a single aerial survey be eliminated in deference to the tower-based lower bound SEG.

## Other Bristol Bay sockeye salmon stocks

For this review, we updated the sockeye salmon genetic harvest allocations for each stock to better account for mixed stock harvest in each district and to more accurately represent the true production of the primary stocks. Even though the escapement goals were thoroughly reviewed and updated in 2015, the committee elected to update the spawner-recruit analyses (Tables 4 and 5, Figure 7) to determine if the updated harvest allocations and extension of the times series would result in appreciable changes to the spawner-recruit relationships developed in 2012. The committee concluded there were insufficient changes to the spawner recruit analyses to warrant modifying the escapement goals. The committee recommends no changes for the Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Ugashik, Togiak, and Wood river sockeye salmon escapement goals.
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TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1.-Bristol Bay sockeye salmon total runs by system, 1998-2017.

| Year | Alagnak | Egegik | Igushik | Kvichak | Naknek | Nushagak | Togiak | Ugashik | Wood | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1998 | 1,185,591 | 4,689,597 | 426,034 | 3,811,021 | 2,365,116 | 991,560 | 771,293 | 1,892,275 | 4,421,018 | 20,553,504 |
| 1999 | 3,028,937 | 6,481,074 | 859,318 | 13,203,152 | 4,701,484 | 451,807 | 586,181 | 5,223,881 | 7,403,081 | 41,938,914 |
| 2000 | 2,189,170 | 8,168,699 | 982,740 | 3,569,959 | 3,967,486 | 1,344,618 | 264,324 | 2,300,098 | 6,541,118 | 29,328,214 |
| 2001 | 1,186,913 | 3,566,444 | 818,733 | 1,940,225 | 5,991,185 | 2,093,785 | 313,124 | 1,467,575 | 4,644,099 | 22,022,082 |
| 2002 | 941,301 | 5,544,322 | 199,684 | 897,874 | 2,813,598 | 691,785 | 565,235 | 2,499,049 | 3,859,722 | 18,012,567 |
| 2003 | 4,157,797 | 3,217,356 | 492,184 | 2,001,790 | 4,861,853 | 2,409,660 | 1,126,843 | 2,540,240 | 6,233,372 | 27,041,094 |
| 2004 | 7,525,884 | 11,642,565 | 268,354 | 8,091,208 | 4,066,682 | 2,062,469 | 1,109,141 | 4,202,791 | 6,430,417 | 45,399,511 |
| 2005 | 5,224,716 | 9,402,204 | 801,087 | 2,867,679 | 8,765,371 | 3,672,976 | 406,290 | 3,090,002 | 5,881,534 | 40,111,860 |
| 2006 | 3,342,879 | 8,613,842 | 727,744 | 5,715,390 | 5,342,241 | 2,731,826 | 897,566 | 3,779,176 | 12,640,215 | 43,790,879 |
| 2007 | 4,771,233 | 7,395,032 | 1,022,675 | 5,917,492 | 8,438,492 | 2,469,463 | 507,677 | 7,399,703 | 7,794,243 | 45,716,011 |
| 2008 | 4,704,660 | 7,825,252 | 1,888,898 | 6,030,620 | 9,127,188 | 1,908,901 | 581,328 | 2,929,895 | 6,802,770 | 41,799,512 |
| 2009 | 2,369,160 | 12,269,671 | 1,585,348 | 6,961,784 | 4,912,920 | 2,077,746 | 906,036 | 3,851,254 | 6,673,679 | 41,607,597 |
| 2010 | 2,815,554 | 5,145,650 | 1,407,871 | 10,779,329 | 5,436,898 | 1,206,251 | 1,066,972 | 4,988,743 | 8,809,667 | 41,656,936 |
| 2011 | 2,249,302 | 4,604,185 | 1,015,858 | 7,228,364 | 5,520,113 | 1,167,743 | 868,540 | 4,203,387 | 4,949,206 | 31,806,699 |
| 2012 | 2,226,527 | 5,923,046 | 507,046 | 12,263,919 | 3,321,536 | 1,037,757 | 856,127 | 2,920,818 | 2,698,060 | 31,754,837 |
| 2013 | 1,929,767 | 5,124,466 | 692,485 | 6,324,295 | 3,074,128 | 2,009,704 | 741,034 | 2,633,700 | 3,286,043 | 25,815,621 |
| 2014 | 1,620,274 | 5,078,503 | 1,436,176 | 17,600,068 | 5,320,300 | 1,510,012 | 858,018 | 1,154,017 | 7,166,061 | 41,743,430 |
| 2015 | 8,244,526 | 8,508,004 | 1,643,379 | 23,104,927 | 6,090,738 | 2,475,985 | 832,938 | 4,249,070 | 5,019,839 | 60,169,408 |
| 2016 | 4,957,298 | 9,036,510 | 1,912,626 | 12,669,029 | 5,358,304 | 3,360,041 | 592,763 | 8,831,921 | 5,382,715 | 52,101,208 |
| 2017 | 4,800,305 | 12,379,291 | 1,228,467 | 7,783,316 | 6,514,161 | 8,156,817 | 710,468 | 6,625,230 | 11,316,072 | 59,514,127 |
| Mean | 3,473,590 | 7,230,786 | 995,835 | 7,938,072 | 5,299,490 | 2,191,545 | 728,095 | 3,839,141 | 6,397,647 | 38,094,201 |
| Median | 2,922,245 | 6,938,053 | 921,029 | 6,643,040 | 5,331,271 | 2,036,086 | 756,164 | 3,434,589 | 6,331,895 | 41,632,266 |
| Min | 941,301 | 3,217,356 | 199,684 | 897,874 | 2,365,116 | 451,807 | 264,324 | 1,154,017 | 2,698,060 | 18,012,567 |
| Max | 8,244,526 | 12,379,291 | 1,912,626 | 23,104,927 | 9,127,188 | 8,156,817 | 1,126,843 | 8,831,921 | 12,640,215 | 60,169,408 |

Note: $\quad$ Small runs (less than $1 \%$ of total Bristol Bay) of sockeye salmon not shown here occur in the Kulukak, Matogak, Osviak, and Snake rivers.

Table 2.-List of members on the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (ADF\&G) Bristol Bay salmon escapement goal committee and other participants who assisted with the escapement goal review.

| Name | Position | Affiliation |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Escapement Goal Committee: |  |  |
| Greg Buck | Area Research Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Jack Erickson | Regional Research Coordinator | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Hamachan Hamazaki | Biometrician | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| James Hasbrouck | Fisheries Scientist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Katie Howard | Fisheries Scientist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Timothy McKinley | Regional Research Coordinator | Division of Sport Fish |
| Andrew Munro | Fisheries Scientist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Adam St. Saviour | Regional Research Biologist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Bill Templin | Fisheries Scientist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Xinxian Zhang | Biometrician | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Other Participants: |  |  |
| Lee Borden | Asst. Area Management Biologist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Dan Bosch | Regional Management Biologist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Rich Brenner | Statewide Fisheries Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Jason Dye | Area Management Biologist | Division of Sport Fish |
| Travis Elison | Area Management Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Jordan Head | Asst. Area Research Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Bert Lewis | Regional Supervisor | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Aaron Poetter | Regional Management Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Paul Salomone | Area Management Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Tim Sands | Area Management Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Katie Sechrist | Asst. Area Research Biologist | Division of Commercial Fisheries |
| Tom Vania | Regional Supervisor | Division of Sport Fish |

Table 3.-Summary of current escapement goals and recommended escapement goals for salmon stocks in Bristol Bay, 2018.

| System | Current escapement goal |  |  |  | Recommended escapement goal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Goal | Type | Year adopted | Escapement data | Action | Goal | Type |
| Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alagnak | 2,700 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Aerial | Discontinue |  |  |
| Nushagak | 55,000-120,000 | SEG | 2007; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in 2012 | Sonar | No Change |  |  |
| Chum salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak | 200,000 minimum | SEG | 2007; range changed in 2012 | Sonar | No Change |  |  |
| Coho salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak | 60,000-120,000 | SEG | 2012 | Sonar | No Change |  |  |
| Pink salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak (even years) | 165,000 minimum |  | 2012 | Sonar | No Change |  |  |
| Sockeye salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alagnak (tower count) | 320,000 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Tower | Update | 210,000 lowerbound | SEG |
| Alagnak (single aerial survey) | 125,000 minimum | SEG | 2015 | Single aerial survey | Discontinue |  |  |
| Egegik | 800,000-2,000,000 | SEG | 1995; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Igushik | 150,000-400,000 | SEG | 2001; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Kvichak | 2,000,000-10,000,000 | SEG | One goal for all years in 2010 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Naknek | 800,000-2,000,000 | SEG | 1983; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Nushagak | 370,000-900,000 | SEG | 1998; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in 2012; range changed in March 2015 | Sonar | No Change |  |  |
| Togiak | 120,000-270,000 | SEG | 2007; Changed from a BEG in 2010 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Ugashik | 500,000-1,400,000 | SEG | 1995; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Wood | 700,000-1,800,000 | SEG | 2001; Changed to SEG in 2007; range changed in March 2015 | Tower | No Change |  |  |

Table 4.-Current escapement goals and updated estimates of $S_{m s y}$, escapement at $90-100 \%$ of $M S Y$, and $S_{e q}$ for Bristol Bay salmon.

| Sockeye salmon | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Goal } \\ & \text { type } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | Current escapement goal (x thousands) |  | Spawnerreturn data | $n$ | Model | $\begin{gathered} \hline S_{\text {msy }} \\ 95 \% \mathrm{CI} \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | Escapement at 90-100\%of MSY |  | $\begin{gathered} S_{e q} \\ (\ln \alpha / \beta) \\ \text { Median } \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Lower | Upper |  |  |  | Median | CV | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |  |
| Alagnak | SEG | 320 |  | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 1,338 | 0.47 | 914 | 2,813 | 880 | 1,855 | 3,176 |
| Egegik | SEG | 800 | 2,000 | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 5,252 | 6.31 | 1,466 | 11,984 | 3,417 | 7,484 | 13,485 |
| Igushik | SEG | 150 | 400 | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 294 | 0.14 | 236 | 400 | 195 | 415 | 737 |
| Kvichak | SEG | 2,000 | 10,000 | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 12,309 | 18.6 | 5,772 | 148,400 | 9,295 | 19,035 | 27,734 |
| Naknek | SEG | 800 | 2,000 | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 1,752 | 2.09 | 1,174 | 4,171 | 1,140 | 2,460 | 4,415 |
| Nushagak | SEG | 370 | 900 | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 815 | 1.65 | 582 | 1,509 | 535 | 1,150 | 2,034 |
| Ugashik | SEG | 500 | 1,400 | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 2,175 | 19.66 | 1,002 | 27,828 | 1,610 | 3,420 | 5,261 |
| Togiak | SEG | 120 | 270 | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 205 | 0.27 | 150 | 351 | 135 | 290 | 536 |
| Wood | SEG | 700 | 1,800 | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 1,925 | 6.6 | 1,203 | 6,514 | 1,245 | 2,690 | 4,817 |
| Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak | SEG | 55 | 120 | 1966-2010 | 45 | Ricker | 91.7 | 0.10 | 79 | 115 | 68.5 | 117.7 | 214 |

[^2]Table 5.-Updated estimates of spawner-recruitment parameters ( $\alpha, \beta$, and $\sigma$ ) for Bristol Bay salmon.

|  | Spawner- <br> return <br> data | $n$ | Model | $\begin{gathered} \alpha \\ 95 \% \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \beta \\ 95 \% \text { CI } \end{gathered}$ |  |  | $\begin{gathered} \sigma \\ 95 \% ~ C I \end{gathered}$ |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Sockeye salmon |  |  |  | Lower | Median | ln Median | Upper | Lower | Median | Upper | Lower | Median | Upper |
| Alagnak | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 2.32 | 3.04 | 1.11 | 3.98 | $1.49 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 3.50E-07 | 5.57E-07 | 0.62 | 0.77 | 0.92 |
| Egegik | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 3.74 | 4.89 | 1.61 | 7.59 | $4.80 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $1.17 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 4.86E-07 | 0.71 | 0.77 | 0.94 |
| Igushik | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 2.95 | 4.14 | 1.42 | 5.83 | $1.22 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 1.92E-06 | $2.65 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 0.65 | 0.79 | 0.93 |
| Kvichak | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 1.67 | 2.2 | 0.79 | 2.94 | $1.81 \mathrm{E}-09$ | $2.79 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 7.00E-08 | 0.51 | 0.79 | 1.08 |
| Naknek | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 3.06 | 4.32 | 1.46 | 6.12 | $1.16 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $3.31 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.67E-07 | 0.42 | 0.53 | 0.65 |
| Nushagak | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 3.16 | 4.07 | 1.4 | 5.27 | $3.37 \mathrm{E}-07$ | $6.90 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 1.05E-06 | 0.47 | 0.62 | 0.79 |
| Togiak | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 3.84 | 5.38 | 1.81 | 7.49 | $1.59 \mathrm{E}-03$ | 3.14E-06 | 4.74E-03 | 0.42 | 0.5 | 0.58 |
| Ugashik | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 2.41 | 3.49 | 1.25 | 5.2 | $1.55 \mathrm{E}-08$ | 2.34E-07 | 5.91E-07 | 0.78 | 0.95 | 1.12 |
| Wood | 1959-2009 | 51 | Ricker | 3.02 | 4.19 | 1.43 | 5.93 | 7.37E-08 | $2.96 \mathrm{E}-07$ | 5.44E-07 | 0.46 | 0.55 | 0.63 |
| Chinook salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak | 1966-2010 | 45 | Ricker | 2.79 | 3.97 | 1.38 | 5.71 | $4.39 \mathrm{E}-06$ | 6.47E-06 | 8.53E-06 | 0.41 | 0.50 | 0.63 |

Note: A Bayesian analysis estimated stock-recruitment parameters for a Ricker model with multiplicative error. Median parameter estimates are given with CVs and lower and upper 95\% credible intervals (CI).


Figure 1.-Map of Bristol Bay showing major rivers.


Figure 2.-Partial autocorrelations (PACF) for log escapements of annual spawning abundance for sockeye salmon in the Alagnak River (1959-2017).


Figure 3.-Aerial survey index counts and Statewide Harvest Survey (SWHS) estimates of catch of Alagnak River Chinook salmon, 1995-2009 and 2016-2017.

Note: The aerial survey index counts reported for 2016 and 2017 are the peak counts of all observers and days surveyed.


Figure 4.-Ricker spawner-recruit curve for Nushagak River Chinook salmon (brood years 1966-2010).


Figure 5.-Estimated risk of an unwarranted management concern and risk of not detecting various percentage drops in mean log-transformed escapement for a range of possible escapements for Alagnak River sockeye salmon (1959-2017).

Note: Time series from 1955 to 1957 was excluded from the time series because there was not assessment in 1958.


Figure 6.-Escapement of sockeye salmon based on tower counts of the Alagnak River (1955-1957 and 1959-2017) and the recommended lower bound sustainable escapement goal.

Note: No assessment was conducted in 1958.


Figure 7.-Comparison of 2012 (light gray) and 2017 (black) Ricker spawner-recruit analyses for Bristol Bay sockeye salmon.

Note: Circle points represent run reconstruction. Numeric values in upper right corner are point estimates of $S_{\text {MSY }}$. Vertical hashed lines represent $S_{M S Y}$. Lines represent Ricker spawner-recruit curve. Diagonal lines are replacement lines.

## APPENDIX A. CHINOOK SALMON

## Appendix A1.-Escapement goal for Alagnak River Chinook salmon.

| System: Alagnak River <br> Species: Chinook salmon <br> Description of stock and escapement <br> goals |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Management Division: | Sport Fish |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 2,700 lower bound SEG (2007) |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Recommended Escapement Goal: | Discontinue; was previously recommended to be discontinued in 2015 |
| Escapement Estimation: | Aerial survey counts since 1970 |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Poor |
| Data Type | Aerial survey; limited age data |
| Methodology | Risk analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 0 out of last 10 years (2009-2018) - no surveys 2010-2014; 2015 poor |
|  | water conditions |
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| Year | Aerial survey <br> index ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SWHS <br> catch | SWHS <br> harvest | Guide logbook <br> harvest |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1970 | 5,250 | - | - | - |
| 1971 | 1,475 | - | - | - |
| 1972 | 2,256 | - | - | - |
| 1973 | 824 | - | - | - |
| 1974 | 1,596 | - | - | - |
| 1975 | 6,620 | - | - | - |
| 1976 | 7,593 | - | - | - |
| 1977 | 9,425 | - | - | - |
| 1978 | 11,650 | - | - | - |
| 1979 | - | - | - | - |
| 1980 | 2,930 | - | - | - |
| 1981 | 2,430 | - | - | - |
| 1982 | 3,400 | - | - | - |
| 1983 | 2,980 | - | - | - |
| 1984 | 6,090 | - | - | - |
| 1985 | 3,920 | - | - | - |
| 1986 | 3,090 | - | - | - |
| 1987 | 2,420 | - | - | - |
| 1988 | 4,600 | - | - | - |
| 1989 | 3,650 | - | - | - |
| 1990 | 1,720 | - | - | - |
| 1991 | 2,531 | - | - | - |
| 1992 | 3,042 | - | - | - |
| 1993 | 10,170 | - | - | - |
| 1994 | 8,480 | - | - | - |
| 1995 | 6,860 | 3,916 | 891 | - |
| 1996 | 9,885 | 4,899 | 931 | - |
| 1997 | 15,210 | 5,573 | 972 | - |
| 1998 | 4,148 | 9,087 | 1,531 | - |
| 1999 | 2,178 | 1,780 | 592 | - |
|  |  | - continued- |  | - |
|  |  | - | - | - |
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| Year | Aerial survey index ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | SWHS ${ }^{\text {b }}$ <br> catch | SWHS harvest | Guided logbook harvest |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2000 | 2,220 | 1,766 | 501 | - |
| 2001 | 5,458 | 2,440 | 508 | - |
| 2002 | 3,675 | 4,331 | 305 | - |
| 2003 | 8,209 | 2,386 | 334 | - |
| 2004 | 6,755 | 6,600 | 1,146 | - |
| 2005 | 5,084 | 6,526 | 1,008 | - |
| 2006 | 4,278 | 8,383 | 1,052 | 693 |
| 2007 | 3,455 | 4,772 | 1,007 | 540 |
| 2008 | 1,825 | 1,898 | 394 | 308 |
| 2009 | 1,957 | 2,609 | 199 | 150 |
| 2010 | NS | 2,842 | 405 | 254 |
| 2011 | NS | 4,416 | 1,317 | 345 |
| 2012 | NS | 1,249 | 572 | 290 |
| 2013 | NS | 3,502 | 823 | 284 |
| 2014 | NS | 4,265 | 983 | 349 |
| 2015 | $917{ }^{\text {c }}$ | 4,299 | 206 | 410 |
| 2016 | 1,283 | 5,613 | 385 | 229 |
| 2017 | 435 | 3,731 | 403 | N/A |
| 2018 | 988 | - | - | - |
| Average | 4,573 | 4,212 | 726 | 350 |
| SD. | 3,285 | 2,074 | 381 | 152 |
| Median | 3,553 | 4,265 | 708 | 308 |
| No. of Years | 42 | 23 | 23 | 11 |

Note: NS = No Survey; N/A = Not Available.
a No surveys were flown in 1979, 2010-2014.
b Statewide Harvest Survey.
c Poor water conditions.

Appendix A2.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River Chinook salmon.

| System: Nushagak River |  |
| :--- | :--- |
| Species: Chinook salmon |  |
| Description of stock and escapement goals |  |
| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $40,000-80,000$ BEG (2004); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | 90,000 |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | $55,000-120,000$ SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Expanded aerial survey counts plus Nuyakuk tower from |
|  | $1966-1979 ;$ sonar counts from 1980 to present; converted |
|  | Bendix to DIDSON 1966 to 2005; DIDSON counts |
| uncorrected since 2006; 45 years of complete return data |  |
|  | available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Good |
| Data Type | Aerial survey, tower, and sonar escapement estimates; sport, |
|  | subsistence, and commercial harvests; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruitment, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 8 of last 10 years (2008-2017) |
|  | -continued- |
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| Year | Spawning <br> escapement | Total <br> return | Return per <br> spawner |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1966 | 81,462 | 134,612 | 1.65 |
| 1967 | 133,477 | 149,545 | 1.12 |
| 1968 | 142,951 | 175,766 | 1.23 |
| 1969 | 69,970 | 83,614 | 1.19 |
| 1970 | 101,435 | 231,916 | 2.29 |
| 1971 | 81,237 | 264,749 | 3.26 |
| 1972 | 50,156 | 348,613 | 6.95 |
| 1973 | 70,130 | 297,988 | 4.25 |
| 1974 | 142,535 | 191,584 | 1.34 |
| 1975 | 142,791 | 608,763 | 4.26 |
| 1976 | 205,273 | 406,882 | 1.98 |
| 1977 | 132,907 | 711,779 | 5.36 |
| 1978 | 268,046 | 239,702 | 0.89 |
| 1979 | 194,335 | 339,512 | 1.75 |
| 1980 | 289,040 | 194,006 | 0.67 |
| 1981 | 307,527 | 262,576 | 0.85 |
| 1982 | 300,656 | 137,337 | 0.46 |
| 1983 | 331,270 | 153,904 | 0.46 |
| 1984 | 163,544 | 123,105 | 0.75 |
| 1985 | 236,899 | 188,254 | 0.79 |
| 1986 | 82,777 | 219,175 | 2.65 |
| 1987 | 169,562 | 283,448 | 1.67 |
| 1988 | 113,006 | 315,142 | 2.79 |
| 1989 | 158,551 | 315,785 | 1.99 |
|  |  |  |  |
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| Year | Spawning <br> escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total <br> return | Return per <br> spawner |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 1990 | 126,747 | 145,148 | 1.15 |
| 1991 | 210,346 | 282,200 | 1.34 |
| 1992 | 166,965 | 252,253 | 1.51 |
| 1993 | 197,098 | 368,161 | 1.87 |
| 1994 | 190,121 | 151,532 | 0.80 |
| 1995 | 173,014 | 167,131 | 0.97 |
| 1996 | 102,348 | 178,919 | 1.75 |
| 1997 | 165,062 | 185,066 | 1.12 |
| 1998 | 235,845 | 284,846 | 1.21 |
| 1999 | 123,906 | 333,343 | 2.69 |
| 2000 | 110,682 | 313,369 | 2.83 |
| 2001 | 184,317 | 157,799 | 0.86 |
| 2002 | 174,704 | 120,174 | 0.69 |
| 2003 | 158,307 | 179,363 | 1.13 |
| 2004 | 233,475 | 78,789 | 0.34 |
| 2005 | 223,950 | 110,791 | 0.49 |
| 2006 | 117,364 | 127,187 | 1.08 |
| 2007 | 50,960 | 188,943 | 3.71 |
| 2008 | 91,364 | 134,339 | 1.47 |
| 2009 | 74,781 | 108,640 | 1.45 |
| 2010 | 56,092 | 89,454 | b |
| 2011 | 101,995 |  | b |

[^3]
## APPENDIX B. CHUM SALMON

Appendix B1.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River chum salmon.
System: Nushagak River
Species: chum salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Current Escapement Goal | 200,000 lower bound SEG |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 190,000 lower bound SEG (2007) |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Escapement Estimation: | Sonar counts since 1980; converted Bendix to |
|  | DIDSON 1980 to 2005; DIDSON counts uncorrected <br> since 2006; 38 years of escapement data available; <br> converted Bendix counts to DIDSON-equivalent <br> counts in 2012. Escapement counts presented are <br> through July 20 |
|  |  |
| Summary: | Good |
| Data Quality | Sonar escapement estimates; commercial harvest; age data |
| Data Type | Risk analysis |
| Methodology | 10 out of last 10 years (2008-2017) |
| Years within recommended goal |  |
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System: Nushagak River
Species: chum salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | $\ln$ (Escapement) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 415,727 | 12.94 |
| 1981 | 182,021 | 12.11 |
| 1982 | 262,597 | 12.48 |
| 1983 | 107,780 | 11.59 |
| 1984 | 450,031 | 13.02 |
| 1985 | 245,797 | 12.41 |
| 1986 | 203,810 | 12.22 |
| 1987 | 175,551 | 12.08 |
| 1988 | 217,772 | 12.29 |
| 1989 | 461,456 | 13.04 |
| 1990 | 373,126 | 12.83 |
| 1991 | 350,186 | 12.77 |
| 1992 | 383,303 | 12.86 |
| 1993 | 272,278 | 12.51 |
| 1994 | 467,930 | 13.06 |
| 1995 | 266,432 | 12.49 |
| 1996 | 279,406 | 12.54 |
| 1997 | 76,034 | 11.24 |
| 1998 | 369,447 | 12.82 |
| 1999 | 296,408 | 12.60 |
| 2000 | 173,712 | 12.07 |
| 2001 | 646,984 | 13.38 |
| 2002 | 509,106 | 13.14 |
| 2003 | 375,175 | 12.84 |
| 2004 | 332,347 | 12.71 |
| 2005 | 569,034 | 13.25 |
| 2006 | 661,002 | 13.40 |
| 2007 | 161,483 | 11.99 |
| 2008 | 326,300 | 12.70 |
| 2009 | 438,481 | 12.99 |
| 2010 | 273,914 | 12.52 |
| 2011 | 248,278 | 12.42 |
| 2012 | 395,162 | 12.89 |
| 2013 | 628,134 | 13.35 |
| 2014 | 525,797 | 13.17 |
| 2015 | 288,929 | 12.57 |
| 2016 | 419,810 | 12.95 |
| 2017 | 415,488 | 12.94 |
| 1980-2017 |  |  |
| Mean | 348,585 | 12.66 |
| SD | 145,816 | 0.48 |
| Median | 341,267 | 12.74 |
| No. of Years | 38 | 38 |

a Conversion factor of 1.27 was applied to all years prior to 2005 to convert from Bendix to DIDSON count equivalents. Escapement estimate for 2005 used strata- and species-specific correction factors applied to the Bendix north bank counting stratum. Counts from 2006 through 2017 are DIDSON counts. Escapement index counts presented are through July 20.

## APPENDIX C. COHO SALMON

Appendix C1.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River coho salmon.
System: Nushagak River
Species: coho salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 50,000 to 100,000 discontinued in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 60,000 to 120,000 SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Sonar counts since 1980; converted Bendix to <br>  <br> DIDSON 1980 to 2002; 26 years of complete <br> escapement data available; converted Bendix <br> counts to DIDSON-equivalent counts in 2012 |
|  |  |
| Summary: | Good |
| Data Quality | Sonar escapement estimates; commercial harvest; age data |
| Data Type | Ricker stock-recruitment, yield analysis |
| Methodology | 6 out of last 10 years assessed (1997-2017) |
| Years within recommended goal |  |
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System: Nushagak River
Species: coho salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Spawning escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1980 | 95,411 | 407,100 | 4.27 |
| 1981 | 141,468 | 96,740 | 0.68 |
| 1982 | 294,151 | 148,150 | 0.50 |
| 1983 | 36,885 | 49,151 | 1.33 |
| 1984 | 140,804 | 165,050 | 1.17 |
| 1985 | 82,258 | 188,273 | 2.29 |
| 1986 | 45,483 | 152,472 | 3.35 |
| 1987 | 21,268 | 63,074 | 2.97 |
| 1988 | 130,171 | 86,853 | 0.67 |
| 1989 | 81,107 | 77,353 | 0.95 |
| 1990 | 140,500 | 81,822 | 0.58 |
| 1991 | 37,584 | 58,024 | 1.54 |
| 1992 | NS |  |  |
| 1993 | 42,161 | 61,619 | 1.46 |
| 1994 | 80,470 | 125,739 | 1.56 |
| 1995 | 45,137 | 43,677 | 0.97 |
| 1996 | 182,460 | 305,932 | 1.68 |
| 1997 | 55,882 | 101,893 | 1.82 |
| 1998 | 103,194 |  |  |
| 1999 | 33,991 |  |  |
| 2000 | 200,938 |  |  |
| 2001 | 72,388 |  |  |
| 2002 | 48,054 |  |  |
| 2003 | NS |  |  |
| 2004 | 193,819 |  |  |
| 2005 | NS |  |  |
| 2006 | NS |  |  |
| 2007 | NS |  |  |
| 2008 | NS |  |  |
| 2009 | NS |  |  |
| 2010 | NS |  |  |
| 2011 | NS |  |  |
| 2012 | 329,946 |  |  |
| 2013 | 207,222 |  |  |
| 2014 | 478,198 |  |  |
| 2015 | NS |  |  |
| 2016 | NS |  |  |
| 2017 | NS |  |  |
| 1980-2017 |  |  |  |
| Average | 127,729 | 130,172 | 1.64 |
| No. of Years | 26 | 17 | 17 |

Note: NS = no survey
a DIDSON conversion factor of 1.27 applied to all years.

## APPENDIX D. PINK SALMON

Appendix D1.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River pink salmon (even-year).
System: Nushagak River
Species: pink salmon (even-year)
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 600,000 to 1,100,000 dropped in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Goal: | 165,000 lower bound SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Expanded aerial survey in 1958; Nuyakuk tower counts from 19601979; sonar counts from 1980-2004; converted Bendix to DIDSON 1958 to 2004; 26 years of escapement data available, even years only |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Good |
| Data Type | Sonar escapement estimates; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Percentile approach ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |
| Years within recommended goal | 8 out of last 10 assessments (1990-2016) |
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| System: <br> Species: | Nushagak River pink salmon |
| :---: | :---: |
| Data available for analysis of escapement goals |  |
| Year | Escapement ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |
| 1958 | 4,440,000 |
| 1960 | 111,000 |
| 1962 | 555,016 |
| 1964 | 1,008,435 |
| 1966 | 1,601,091 |
| 1968 | 2,398,839 |
| 1970 | 169,364 |
| 1972 | 64,975 |
| 1974 | 590,871 |
| 1976 | 928,269 |
| 1978 | 10,169,580 |
| 1980 | 3,052,218 |
| 1982 | 1,788,461 |
| 1984 | 3,145,032 |
| 1986 | 80,130 |
| 1988 | 549,017 |
| 1990 | 889,587 |
| 1992 | 209,429 |
| 1994 | 212,867 |
| 1996 | 911,656 |
| 1998 | 146,966 |
| 2000 | 150,166 |
| 2002 | 352,604 |
| 2004 | 617,233 |
| 2006 | NS |
| 2008 | NS |
| 2010 | NS |
| 2012 | 1,348,606 |
| 2014 | 2,281,831 |
| 2016 | NS |
| Average | 1,452,817 |
| Median | 753,410 |
| Contrast | 157 |

Note: NS = No survey.
a Bue, B. G., and J. J. Hasbrouck. Unpublished. Escapement goal review of salmon stocks of Upper Cook Inlet. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Report to the Alaska Board of Fisheries, November 2001 (and February 2002), Anchorage.
b DIDSON conversion factor of 1.11 applied to years prior to 2006.

## APPENDIX E. SOCKEYE SALMON

## Appendix E1.-Escapement goal for Alagnak River sockeye salmon.

System: Alagnak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :---: | :---: |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | 320,000 lower bound SEG (2007); based on tower counts |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Recommended Escapement Goal: | 210,000 lower bound SEG; based on tower counts; recommend aerial-based goal be discontinued |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 320,000 lower bound SEG based on tower counts; 125,000 lower bound SEG based on aerial survey |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1955-1977, 2002-2011, and 2017; expanded aerial survey counts from 1978-2001 and 2012-2016 |
|  | Recommended goal is based on tower counts and expanded aerial surveys (19552017) |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Fair to Good |
| Data Type | Tower counts; aerial surveys; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Escapement goal based on risk analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | Recommended escapement goal minimum would have been met 19 of the last 20 years; this stock is passively managed and coincidentally harvested; the department is not able to actively manage to obtain an escapement goal range |
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System: Alagnak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Aerial survey count | Expanded aerial survey ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Tower counts | Observed <br> expansion factor | Year valid for expansion? | Tower count or expanded aerial survey (2.41) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1955 | 57,400 |  | 172,000 |  | No, Nanuktuk not surveyed | 172,000 |
| 1956 | 235,000 |  | 784,000 | 3.34 | Yes | 784,000 |
|  |  |  |  |  | No, Nanuktuk \& Moraine not |  |
| 1957 | 85,000 |  | 126,595 |  |  | 126,595 |
| 1959 | no flights |  | 825,431 |  |  | 825,431 |
| 1960 | no flights |  | 1,240,530 |  |  | 1,240,530 |
| 1961 | no flights |  | 90,036 |  |  | 90,036 |
| 1962 | 6,400 |  | 90,630 |  | No, Nanuktuk not surveyed | 90,630 |
| 1963 | 63,125 |  | 203,304 | 3.22 | Yes | 203,304 |
| 1964 | no flights |  | 248,700 |  |  | 248,700 |
| 1965 | no flights |  | 175,020 |  |  | 175,020 |
| 1966 | 110,300 |  | 174,336 | 1.58 | Yes | 174,336 |
| 1967 | 128,030 |  | 202,626 |  | No, Nanuktuk not surveyed | 202,626 |
| 1968 | 74,350 |  | 193,872 | 2.61 | Yes | 193,872 |
| 1969 | 42,066 |  | 182,490 | 4.34 | Yes | 182,490 |
| 1970 | no flights |  | 177,060 |  |  | 177,060 |
| 1971 | no flights |  | 187,302 |  |  | 187,302 |
| 1972 | no flights |  | 151,188 |  |  | 151,188 |
| 1973 | no flights |  | 35,280 |  |  | 35,280 |
| 1974 | no flights |  | 214,848 |  |  | 214,848 |
| 1975 | 35,325 |  | 100,480 | 2.84 | Yes | 100,480 |
| 1976 | 84,440 |  | 81,822 | 0.97 | Yes | 81,822 |
| 1977 | no flights |  | 108,911 |  |  | 108,911 |
| 1978 | 229,400 | 552,671 |  |  |  | 552,671 |
| 1979 | 294,200 | 708,788 |  |  |  | 708,788 |
| 1980 | 297,900 | 717,702 |  |  |  | 717,702 |
| 1981 | 82,210 | 198,061 |  |  |  | 198,061 |
| 1982 | 239,300 | 576,522 |  |  |  | 576,522 |
| 1983 | 96,220 | 231,814 |  |  |  | 231,814 |
| 1984 | 215,470 | 519,111 |  |  |  | 519,111 |
| 1985 | 118,030 | 284,358 |  |  |  | 284,358 |
| 1986 | 228,180 | 549,732 |  |  |  | 549,732 |
| 1987 | 154,210 | 371,523 |  |  |  | 371,523 |
| 1988 | 194,630 | 468,903 |  |  |  | 468,903 |
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| Year | Aerial survey count | Expanded aerial survey ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Tower counts | Observed expansion factor | Year valid for expansion? | Tower count or expanded aerial survey <br> (2.41) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1989 | 196,760 | 474,035 |  |  |  | 474,035 |
| 1990 | 168,760 | 406,577 |  |  |  | 406,577 |
| 1991 | 278,589 | 671,177 |  |  |  | 671,177 |
| 1992 | 226,643 | 546,029 |  |  |  | 546,029 |
| 1993 | 347,975 | 838,342 |  |  |  | 838,342 |
| 1994 | 242,595 | 584,461 |  |  |  | 584,461 |
| 1995 | 215,713 | 519,696 |  |  |  | 519,696 |
| 1996 | 306,750 | 739,023 |  |  |  | 739,023 |
| 1997 | 218,115 | 525,483 |  |  |  | 525,483 |
| 1998 | 252,200 | 607,601 |  |  |  | 607,601 |
| 1999 | 463,600 | 1,116,907 |  |  |  | 1,116,907 |
| 2000 | 451,300 | 1,087,273 |  |  |  | 1,087,273 |
| 2001 | 267,000 | 643,257 |  |  |  | 643,257 |
| 2002 | no flights |  | 766,962 |  |  | 766,962 |
| 2003 | 2,110,000 |  | 3,676,146 | 1.74 | Yes | 3,676,146 |
| 2004 | 2,911,600 |  | 5,396,592 | 1.85 | Yes | 5,396,592 |
| 2005 | 1,736,000 |  | 4,218,990 | 2.43 | Yes | 4,218,990 |
| 2006 | 900,000 |  | 1,773,966 | 1.97 | Yes | 1,773,966 |
| 2007 | 1,155,000 |  | 2,466,414 | 2.14 | Yes | 2,466,414 |
| 2008 | 1,499,000 |  | 2,180,502 | 1.45 | Yes | 2,180,502 |
| 2009 | no flights |  | 970,818 |  |  | 970,818 |
| 2010 | no flights |  | 1,187,730 |  |  | 1,187,730 |
| 2011 | no flights |  | 883,794 |  |  | 883,794 |
| 2012 | 337,940 | 814,435 |  |  |  | 861,747 |
| 2013 | 429,784 | 1,035,780 |  |  |  | 1,095,950 |
| 2014 | 78,637 | 189,452 |  |  |  | 189,452 |
| 2015 | 2,263,000 | 5,452,026 |  |  |  | 5,452,026 |
| 2016 | 696,400 | 1,677,769 |  |  |  | 1,677,769 |
| 2017 | 629,200 |  | 2,041,825 | 3.25 | Yes | 2,041,825 |
| Average | 479,008 | 796,845 | 1,008,254 | 2.41 |  | 879,777 |
| n | 44 | 29 | 30 | 14 |  | 62 |
| Minimum | 6,400 |  | 35,280 | 0.97 |  | 35,280 |
| Maximum | 2,911,600 |  | 5,396,592 | 4.34 |  | 5,452,026 |
| Std. deviation | 650,349 | 947,636 | 1,370,807 | 0.91 |  | 1,155,380 |

a Aerial survey expansion factor of 2.41 .

## Appendix E2.-Escapement goal for Egegik River sockeye salmon.

System: Egegik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $800,000-1,400,000$ BEG (1997); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | $800,000-2,000,000$ SEG (2015) |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1959 to present; smolt data from 1983-2001; 59 |
|  | years of escapement data available |
| Summary: |  |
| $\quad$ Data Quality | Excellent |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; smolt data; age data |
| Methodology | Escapement goal based on Ricker stock-recruitment and yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 10 out of last 10 years (2008-2017) |
|  | -continued- |
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System: Egegik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Return } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { spawner } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 1,072,459 | 2,122,136 | 1.98 |
| 1960 | 1,798,764 | 7,118,837 | 3.96 |
| 1961 | 701,538 | 1,487,493 | 2.12 |
| 1962 | 1,027,482 | 1,093,256 | 1.06 |
| 1963 | 997,602 | 993,872 | 1.00 |
| 1964 | 849,576 | 1,937,882 | 2.28 |
| 1965 | 1,444,608 | 2,388,485 | 1.65 |
| 1966 | 804,246 | 2,058,271 | 2.56 |
| 1967 | 636,864 | 1,631,431 | 2.56 |
| 1968 | 338,654 | 377,056 | 1.11 |
| 1969 | 1,015,554 | 2,755,728 | 2.71 |
| 1970 | 919,734 | 1,202,584 | 1.31 |
| 1971 | 634,014 | 2,700,676 | 4.26 |
| 1972 | 546,402 | 2,909,902 | 5.33 |
| 1973 | 328,842 | 1,451,686 | 4.41 |
| 1974 | 1,275,630 | 2,441,308 | 1.91 |
| 1975 | 1,173,840 | 3,040,169 | 2.59 |
| 1976 | 509,160 | 4,480,475 | 8.80 |
| 1977 | 692,514 | 4,167,610 | 6.02 |
| 1978 | 895,698 | 9,914,902 | 11.07 |
| 1979 | 1,032,042 | 4,039,741 | 3.91 |
| 1980 | 1,060,860 | 8,222,418 | 7.75 |
| 1981 | 694,680 | 5,441,586 | 7.83 |
| 1982 | 1,034,628 | 6,435,075 | 6.22 |
| 1983 | 792,282 | 10,811,633 | 13.65 |
| 1984 | 1,165,345 | 11,766,356 | 10.10 |
| 1985 | 1,095,192 | 6,382,683 | 5.83 |
| 1986 | 1,152,180 | 14,207,134 | 12.33 |
| 1987 | 1,273,553 | 25,731,443 | 20.20 |
| 1988 | 1,612,745 | 19,465,142 | 12.07 |
| 1989 | 1,611,566 | 10,134,483 | 6.29 |
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| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2,191,582 | 16,060,318 | 7.33 |
| 1991 | 2,786,925 | 9,948,962 | 3.57 |
| 1992 | 1,945,632 | 8,668,647 | 4.46 |
| 1993 | 1,517,000 | 1,936,034 | 1.28 |
| 1994 | 1,897,977 | 7,979,479 | 4.20 |
| 1995 | 1,266,692 | 7,522,881 | 5.94 |
| 1996 | 1,076,460 | 4,161,328 | 3.87 |
| 1997 | 1,104,004 | 6,063,053 | 5.49 |
| 1998 | 1,110,938 | 1,270,508 | 1.14 |
| 1999 | 1,728,397 | 13,004,488 | 7.52 |
| 2000 | 1,032,138 | 12,037,958 | 11.66 |
| 2001 | 968,872 | 4,786,180 | 4.94 |
| 2002 | 1,036,092 | 5,292,059 | 5.11 |
| 2003 | 1,152,120 | 8,800,152 | 7.64 |
| 2004 | 1,290,144 | 14,138,820 | 10.96 |
| 2005 | 1,621,734 | 6,185,018 | 3.81 |
| 2006 | 1,465,158 | 3,573,363 | 2.44 |
| 2007 | 1,432,500 | 6,440,136 | 4.50 |
| 2008 | 1,259,568 | 3,830,060 | 3.04 |
| 2009 | 1,146,276 | 4,505,950 | 3.93 |
| 2010 | 927,054 | a |  |
| 2011 | 961,200 | a |  |
| 2012 | 1,233,900 | a |  |
| 2013 | 1,113,630 | a |  |
| 2014 | 1,382,466 | a |  |
| 2015 | 2,160,792 | a |  |
| 2016 | 1,837,260 | a |  |
| 2017 | 2,600,982 | a |  |
| 1959-2017 |  |  |  |
| Average | 1,210,775 | 6,374,840 | 5.45 |
| No. of Years | 59 | 51 | 51 |

[^5]Appendix E3.-Escapement goal for Igushik River sockeye salmon.
System: Igushik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $150,000-300,000$ BEG (2001); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Goal: | $150,000-400,000$ SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1963 to present; 51 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Excellent |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruitment, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 10 of last 10 years (2008-2017) |

-continued-
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System: Igushik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 643,808 | 227,626 | 0.35 |
| 1960 | 495,087 | 324,150 | 0.65 |
| 1961 | 294,252 | 300,743 | 1.02 |
| 1962 | 15,660 | 229,117 | 14.63 |
| 1963 | 92,184 | 368,205 | 3.99 |
| 1964 | 128,532 | 583,060 | 4.54 |
| 1965 | 180,840 | 810,920 | 4.48 |
| 1966 | 206,360 | 301,093 | 1.46 |
| 1967 | 281,772 | 125,745 | 0.45 |
| 1968 | 194,508 | 158,923 | 0.82 |
| 1969 | 512,328 | 476,722 | 0.93 |
| 1970 | 370,920 | 287,436 | 0.77 |
| 1971 | 210,960 | 259,415 | 1.23 |
| 1972 | 60,018 | 232,049 | 3.87 |
| 1973 | 59,508 | 452,000 | 7.60 |
| 1974 | 358,752 | 1,267,130 | 3.53 |
| 1975 | 241,086 | 2,810,903 | 11.66 |
| 1976 | 186,120 | 1,354,667 | 7.28 |
| 1977 | 95,970 | 830,426 | 8.65 |
| 1978 | 536,154 | 562,275 | 1.05 |
| 1979 | 859,560 | 896,476 | 1.04 |
| 1980 | 1,987,530 | 443,803 | 0.22 |
| 1981 | 591,144 | 838,645 | 1.42 |
| 1982 | 423,768 | 346,608 | 0.82 |
| 1983 | 180,438 | 391,104 | 2.17 |
| 1984 | 184,872 | 522,953 | 2.83 |
| 1985 | 212,454 | 1,138,951 | 5.36 |
| 1986 | 307,728 | 1,700,597 | 5.53 |
| 1987 | 169,236 | 445,515 | 2.63 |
| 1988 | 170,454 | 614,898 | 3.61 |
| 1989 | 461,610 | 991,784 | 2.15 |

-continued-
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| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 365,802 | 1,229,498 | 3.36 |
| 1991 | 756,126 | 983,939 | 1.30 |
| 1992 | 304,920 | 139,561 | 0.46 |
| 1993 | 405,564 | 358,174 | 0.88 |
| 1994 | 445,920 | 659,953 | 1.48 |
| 1995 | 473,382 | 1,278,256 | 2.70 |
| 1996 | 400,746 | 886,426 | 2.21 |
| 1997 | 127,704 | 99,345 | 0.78 |
| 1998 | 215,904 | 536,354 | 2.48 |
| 1999 | 445,536 | 362,488 | 0.81 |
| 2000 | 413,316 | 767,785 | 1.86 |
| 2001 | 409,596 | 490,103 | 1.20 |
| 2002 | 123,156 | 495,201 | 4.02 |
| 2003 | 194,088 | 2,087,759 | 10.76 |
| 2004 | 109,650 | 1,835,271 | 16.74 |
| 2005 | 365,712 | 1,579,838 | 4.32 |
| 2006 | 305,268 | 1,005,262 | 3.29 |
| 2007 | 415,452 | 608,855 | 1.47 |
| 2008 | 1,054,704 | 663,700 | 0.63 |
| 2009 | 514,188 | 941,767 | 1.83 |
| 2010 | 518,040 | a |  |
| 2011 | 421,380 | a |  |
| 2012 | 193,326 | a |  |
| 2013 | 387,666 | a |  |
| 2014 | 340,590 | a |  |
| 2015 | 651,172 | a |  |
| 2016 | 469,230 | a |  |
| 2017 | 578,700 | a |  |
| 1959-2017 |  |  |  |
| Average | 345,333 | 731,441 | 3.32 |
| No. of Years | 59 | 51 | 51 |

a Incomplete returns from brood year escapement

## Appendix E4.-Escapement goal for Kvichak River sockeye salmon.

System: Kvichak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | Prior to current goal (which this is) there was the off-cycle and pre- or <br> peak-cycle goals. The current goal is the off-cycle which numerically was <br> established in 1997 and changed from BEG to SEG in 2006 the pre, peak- <br> cycle goal was also established in 1997 as BEG and was 6-10 million, <br> changed to SEG in 2006 and eliminated in 2015. <br>  <br> None |
| Inriver Goal: None <br> Optimal Escapement Goal: 2,000,000-10,000,000 SEG <br> Current Escapement Goal: <br> Escapement Estimation: <br>  Tower counts from 1963 to present; smolt data from 1971-2000; 51 years <br> of complete return data available <br> Summary:  <br> Data Quality Excellent <br> Data Type Tower counts; smolt data; commercial harvest; age data <br> Methodology Escapement goal based on Ricker stock-recruitment, yield analysis <br> Years within recommended goal 10 of last 10 years (2008-2017) |  |
|  | -continued- |
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System: Kvichak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

|  |  | Total | Return <br> per <br> spawner |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Escapement | return | 0.67 |
| 1959 | 673,811 | 453,641 | 3.86 |
| 1960 | $14,602,360$ | $56,411,705$ | 0.97 |
| 1961 | $3,705,849$ | $3,580,935$ | 2.13 |
| 1962 | $2,580,884$ | $5,506,892$ | 4.10 |
| 1963 | 338,760 | $1,388,216$ | 6.02 |
| 1964 | 957,120 | $5,763,515$ | 1.88 |
| 1965 | $24,325,926$ | $45,820,689$ | 1.74 |
| 1966 | $3,755,185$ | $6,522,062$ | 0.55 |
| 1967 | $3,216,208$ | $1,784,048$ | 0.25 |
| 1968 | $2,557,440$ | 635,324 | 0.66 |
| 1969 | $8,394,204$ | $5,513,626$ | 1.10 |
| 1970 | $13,935,306$ | $15,363,872$ | 0.85 |
| 1971 | $2,387,392$ | $2,036,285$ | 3.22 |
| 1972 | $1,009,962$ | $3,248,671$ | 9.73 |
| 1973 | 226,554 | $2,203,241$ | 5.82 |
| 1974 | $4,433,844$ | $25,784,407$ | 2.85 |
| 1975 | $13,140,450$ | $37,439,011$ | 5.45 |
| 1976 | $1,965,282$ | $10,716,323$ | 2.30 |
| 1977 | $1,341,144$ | $3,089,502$ | 2, |
| 1978 | $4,149,288$ | $5,055,228$ | 1.22 |
| 1979 | $11,218,434$ | $43,049,770$ | 3.84 |
| 1980 | $22,505,268$ | $12,597,313$ | 0.56 |
| 1981 | $1,754,358$ | $2,048,789$ | 1.17 |
| 1982 | $1,134,840$ | $1,509,246$ | 1.33 |
| 1983 | $3,569,982$ | $13,775,451$ | 3.86 |
| 1984 | $10,490,670$ | $23,287,185$ | 2.22 |
| 1985 | $7,211,046$ | $18,314,833$ | 2.54 |
| 1986 | $1,179,322$ | $4,114,460$ | 3.49 |
| 1987 | $6,065,880$ | $11,648,130$ | 1.92 |
| 1988 | $4,065,216$ | $9,205,714$ | 2.26 |
| 1989 | $8,317,500$ | $24,800,933$ | 2.98 |
|  | - continued- |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 6,970,020 | 26,298,686 | 3.77 |
| 1991 | 4,222,788 | 4,637,250 | 1.10 |
| 1992 | 4,725,864 | 1,875,603 | 0.40 |
| 1993 | 4,025,166 | 3,130,470 | 0.78 |
| 1994 | 8,355,936 | 7,303,050 | 0.87 |
| 1995 | 10,038,720 | 10,636,782 | 1.06 |
| 1996 | 1,450,578 | 2,260,607 | 1.56 |
| 1997 | 1,503,732 | 816,242 | 0.54 |
| 1998 | 2,296,074 | 1,254,499 | 0.55 |
| 1999 | 6,196,914 | 7,378,782 | 1.19 |
| 2000 | 1,827,780 | 4,261,658 | 2.33 |
| 2001 | 1,095,348 | 4,421,265 | 4.04 |
| 2002 | 703,884 | 3,881,251 | 5.51 |
| 2003 | 1,686,804 | 4,966,281 | 2.94 |
| 2004 | 5,500,134 | 10,918,274 | 1.99 |
| 2005 | 2,320,332 | 9,582,839 | 4.13 |
| 2006 | 3,068,226 | 8,319,191 | 2.71 |
| 2007 | 2,810,208 | 12,795,126 | 4.55 |
| 2008 | 2,757,912 | 6,577,118 | 2.38 |
| 2009 | 2,266,140 | 12,889,440 | 5.69 |
| 2010 | 4,207,410 | a |  |
| 2011 | 2,264,352 | a |  |
| 2012 | 4,164,444 | a |  |
| 2013 | 2,088,576 | a |  |
| 2014 | 4,458,540 | a |  |
| 2015 | 7,348,572 | a |  |
| 2016 | 4,462,728 | a |  |
| 2017 | 3,163,404 | a |  |
| 1959-2017 |  |  |  |
| Average | 4,935,425 | 10,723,008 | 2.54 |
| No. of Years | 59 | 51 | 51 |

[^6]
## Appendix E5.-Escapement goal for Naknek River sockeye salmon.

System: Naknek River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $800,000-1,400,000$ BEG (1983); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | $2,000,000$ |
| Current Escapement Goal: | $800,000-2,000,000$ SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1959 to present; 51 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Excellent |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Escapement goal based on Ricker stock-recruitment, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 9 of last 10 years (2008-2017) |

-continued-

Appendix E5.-Page 2 of 3.
System: Naknek River

Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Return } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { spawner } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 2,231,807 | 1,524,714 | 0.68 |
| 1960 | 828,381 | 3,360,315 | 4.06 |
| 1961 | 351,078 | 2,151,891 | 6.13 |
| 1962 | 723,066 | 1,106,335 | 1.53 |
| 1963 | 905,358 | 1,706,836 | 1.89 |
| 1964 | 1,349,604 | 2,223,531 | 1.65 |
| 1965 | 717,798 | 2,654,768 | 3.70 |
| 1966 | 1,016,445 | 4,205,622 | 4.14 |
| 1967 | 755,640 | 1,552,168 | 2.05 |
| 1968 | 1,023,222 | 638,312 | 0.62 |
| 1969 | 1,331,202 | 2,143,778 | 1.61 |
| 1970 | 732,502 | 2,535,306 | 3.46 |
| 1971 | 935,754 | 4,350,422 | 4.65 |
| 1972 | 586,518 | 1,715,207 | 2.92 |
| 1973 | 356,676 | 2,742,669 | 7.69 |
| 1974 | 1,241,058 | 2,642,513 | 2.13 |
| 1975 | 2,026,686 | 5,195,705 | 2.56 |
| 1976 | 1,320,750 | 8,991,732 | 6.81 |
| 1977 | 1,085,856 | 3,721,059 | 3.43 |
| 1978 | 813,378 | 2,788,295 | 3.43 |
| 1979 | 925,362 | 3,965,088 | 4.28 |
| 1980 | 2,644,698 | 4,930,476 | 1.86 |
| 1981 | 1,796,220 | 4,703,787 | 2.62 |
| 1982 | 1,155,552 | 1,849,206 | 1.60 |
| 1983 | 888,294 | 1,482,526 | 1.67 |
| 1984 | 1,242,474 | 4,489,760 | 3.61 |
| 1985 | 1,849,938 | 7,264,391 | 3.93 |
| 1986 | 1,977,645 | 12,744,734 | 6.44 |
| 1987 | 1,061,806 | 5,533,716 | 5.21 |
| 1988 | 1,037,862 | 3,025,871 | 2.92 |
| 1989 | 1,161,984 | 3,133,263 | 2.70 |
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| Year | Escapement | Total return | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Return } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { spawner } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 2,092,578 | 3,997,626 | 1.91 |
| 1991 | 3,578,508 | 4,629,239 | 1.29 |
| 1992 | 1,606,650 | 1,481,553 | 0.92 |
| 1993 | 1,535,658 | 2,704,804 | 1.76 |
| 1994 | 990,810 | 2,396,222 | 2.42 |
| 1995 | 1,111,140 | 5,927,766 | 5.33 |
| 1996 | 1,078,098 | 6,473,144 | 6.00 |
| 1997 | 1,025,664 | 3,457,636 | 3.37 |
| 1998 | 1,202,172 | 3,869,572 | 3.22 |
| 1999 | 1,625,364 | 3,762,439 | 2.31 |
| 2000 | 1,375,488 | 9,024,550 | 6.56 |
| 2001 | 1,830,360 | 4,633,413 | 2.53 |
| 2002 | 1,263,918 | 5,780,190 | 4.57 |
| 2003 | 1,831,170 | 12,396,541 | 6.77 |
| 2004 | 1,939,674 | 4,303,688 | 2.22 |
| 2005 | 2,744,622 | 5,386,596 | 1.96 |
| 2006 | 1,953,228 | 4,907,171 | 2.51 |
| 2007 | 2,945,304 | 4,634,052 | 1.57 |
| 2008 | 2,472,690 | 3,266,706 | 1.32 |
| 2009 | 1,169,466 | 1,914,527 | 1.64 |
| 2010 | 1,463,928 | a |  |
| 2011 | 1,177,074 | a |  |
| 2012 | 900,312 | a |  |
| 2013 | 938,160 | a |  |
| 2014 | 1,474,428 | a |  |
| 2015 | 1,920,954 | a |  |
| 2016 | 1,691,910 | a |  |
| 2017 | 1,899,972 | a |  |
| 1959-2017 |  |  |  |
| Average | 1,405,321 | 4,039,636 | 3.19 |
| No. of Years | 59 | 51 | 51 |

a Incomplete returns from brood year escapement.

Appendix E6.-Escapement goal for Nushagak River sockeye salmon.
System: Nushagak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $340,000-760,000$ BEG (1998); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | 260,000 (5AAC 6.358) |
| Current Escapement Goal: | 370,000-900,000 SEG <br> Escapement Estimation: |
|  | Nuyakuk tower and expanded aerial survey counts from 1959-1984; <br> sonar counts from 1985 to present; converted Bendix to DIDSON 1980 <br> to 2005; DIDSON counts uncorrected since 2006; 51 years of complete <br> return data available |
|  |  |
| Summary: | Good  <br> Data Quality Tower, aerial survey, and sonar counts; commercial harvest; age data <br> Data Type Ricker stock-recruitment, yield analysis <br> Methodology 9 of last 10 years (2008-2017) |
| Years within recommended goal | -continued- |
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System: Nushagak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

|  |  | Total | Return <br> per |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | return | spawner |
| 1959 | 67,553 | 251,110 | 3.72 |
| 1960 | 201,161 | 554,162 | 2.75 |
| 1961 | 110,369 | 466,173 | 4.22 |
| 1962 | 51,273 | 152,649 | 2.98 |
| 1963 | 234,821 | 214,841 | 0.91 |
| 1964 | 134,853 | 93,342 | 0.69 |
| 1965 | 255,794 | 779,754 | 3.05 |
| 1966 | 233,578 | 701,566 | 3.00 |
| 1967 | 74,003 | 227,033 | 3.07 |
| 1968 | 142,360 | 344,179 | 2.42 |
| 1969 | 95,805 | 493,692 | 5.15 |
| 1970 | 452,892 | 988,764 | 2.18 |
| 1971 | 312,699 | $1,010,999$ | 3.23 |
| 1972 | 39,851 | $1,147,980$ | 28.81 |
| 1973 | 210,601 | $1,380,189$ | 6.55 |
| 1974 | 204,190 | 383,623 | 1.88 |
| 1975 | 832,093 | $5,995,149$ | 7.20 |
| 1976 | 520,303 | $4,351,924$ | 8.36 |
| 1977 | 611,588 | $3,236,089$ | 5.29 |
| 1978 | 734,040 | $1,513,725$ | 2.06 |
| 1979 | 551,272 | $1,846,153$ | 3.35 |
| 1980 | $3,669,136$ | $1,210,266$ | 0.33 |
| 1981 | $1,118,873$ | $1,976,757$ | 1.77 |
| 1982 | 664,580 | $1,335,148$ | 2.01 |
| 1983 | 446,845 | $1,548,738$ | 3.47 |
| 1984 | 655,739 | 761,247 | 1.16 |
| 1985 | 551,319 | $1,416,870$ | 2.57 |
| 1986 | $1,095,241$ | $2,092,574$ | 1.91 |
| 1987 | 429,182 | $1,905,456$ | 4.44 |
| 1988 | 534,460 | $2,557,339$ | 4.78 |
|  | $1,398,722$ | 2.46 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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| Year | Escapement ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Total <br> return | Return per <br> spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 752,513 | 1,189,247 | 1.58 |
| 1991 | 544,748 | 1,491,482 | 2.74 |
| 1992 | 768,816 | 1,212,574 | 1.58 |
| 1993 | 790,927 | 1,074,278 | 1.36 |
| 1994 | 563,334 | 425,915 | 0.76 |
| 1995 | 311,136 | 1,198,477 | 3.85 |
| 1996 | 557,057 | 2,335,512 | 4.19 |
| 1997 | 412,591 | 544,302 | 1.32 |
| 1998 | 507,532 | 2,665,496 | 5.25 |
| 1999 | 344,972 | 1,753,716 | 5.08 |
| 2000 | 446,286 | 3,938,655 | 8.83 |
| 2001 | 897,112 | 2,662,843 | 2.97 |
| 2002 | 349,155 | 2,083,211 | 5.97 |
| 2003 | 642,093 | 2,196,683 | 3.42 |
| 2004 | 543,872 | 1,836,096 | 3.38 |
| 2005 | 1,102,833 | 1,418,239 | 1.29 |
| 2006 | 548,410 | 1,237,549 | 2.26 |
| 2007 | 518,041 | 911,789 | 1.76 |
| 2008 | 492,546 | 2,169,246 | 4.40 |
| 2009 | 484,149 | 1,284,511 | 2.65 |
| 2010 | 468,696 | b |  |
| 2011 | 428,191 | b |  |
| 2012 | 432,438 | b |  |
| 2013 | 894,148 | b |  |
| 2014 | 618,477 | b |  |
| 2015 | 796,684 | b |  |
| 2016 | 680,513 | b |  |
| 2017 | 2,852,306 | b |  |
| 1959-2017 |  |  |  |
| Average | 585,660 | 1,585,999 | 3.76 |
| No. of Years | 59 | 51 | 51 |

a DIDSON conversion factor of 1.11 applied to all years prior to 2005. Escapement estimate for 2005 used strata- and species-specific correction factors applied to the Bendix north bank counting stratum. Counts from 2006 through 2011 are uncorrected DIDSON counts.
b Incomplete returns from brood year escapement.

Appendix E7.-Escapement goal for Togiak River sockeye salmon.
System: Togiak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $120,000-200,000$ BEG (1997); changed to 120,000-270,000 BEG (2007); changed <br> to SEG in 2010 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal | $120,000-270,000$ SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1959 to present; 47 years of complete return data available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Good (some concerns with regard to stock-specific harvest) |
| Data Type <br> $\quad$ Methodology | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| $\quad$ Years within | Ricker stock-recruitment, yield analysis |
| recommended goal | 8 out of last 10 years (2005-2014) |
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System: Togiak River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 178,740 | 284,478 | 1.59 |
| 1960 | 162,810 | 490,021 | 3.01 |
| 1961 | 95,454 | 323,897 | 3.39 |
| 1962 | 47,352 | 159,716 | 3.37 |
| 1963 | 102,396 | 135,835 | 1.33 |
| 1964 | 95,574 | 145,179 | 1.52 |
| 1965 | 88,486 | 381,239 | 4.31 |
| 1966 | 91,098 | 610,132 | 6.70 |
| 1967 | 69,330 | 169,033 | 2.44 |
| 1968 | 42,918 | 242,379 | 5.65 |
| 1969 | 109,266 | 187,658 | 1.72 |
| 1970 | 192,096 | 362,266 | 1.89 |
| 1971 | 190,842 | 519,148 | 2.72 |
| 1972 | 74,070 | 284,762 | 3.84 |
| 1973 | 95,730 | 607,520 | 6.35 |
| 1974 | 82,992 | 670,282 | 8.08 |
| 1975 | 160,962 | 1,137,264 | 7.07 |
| 1976 | 158,190 | 975,806 | 6.17 |
| 1977 | 133,734 | 829,373 | 6.20 |
| 1978 | 273,576 | 646,977 | 2.36 |
| 1979 | 171,138 | 532,695 | 3.11 |
| 1980 | 461,850 | 272,164 | 0.59 |
| 1981 | 208,080 | 317,516 | 1.53 |
| 1982 | 244,734 | 401,789 | 1.64 |
| 1983 | 191,520 | 1,204,548 | 6.29 |
| 1984 | 95,448 | 152,706 | 1.60 |
| 1985 | 136,542 | 332,161 | 2.43 |
| 1986 | 168,384 | 748,532 | 4.45 |
| 1987 | 249,676 | 886,753 | 3.55 |
| 1988 | 276,612 | 610,191 | 2.21 |
| 1989 | 84,480 | 524,119 | 6.20 |
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| Year | Escapement | Total return | Return per spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 141,977 | 669,580 | 4.72 |
| 1991 | 254,683 | 657,996 | 2.58 |
| 1992 | 199,134 | 254,771 | 1.28 |
| 1993 | 177,185 | 294,488 | 1.66 |
| 1994 | 154,752 | 243,963 | 1.58 |
| 1995 | 185,718 | 1,377,953 | 7.42 |
| 1996 | 156,954 | 1,101,047 | 7.02 |
| 1997 | 131,682 | 450,361 | 3.42 |
| 1998 | 153,576 | 807,711 | 5.26 |
| 1999 | 155,898 | 514,498 | 3.30 |
| 2000 | 311,970 | 702,280 | 2.25 |
| 2001 | 296,676 | 636,824 | 2.15 |
| 2002 | 162,402 | 1,029,368 | 6.34 |
| 2003 | 232,302 | 998,817 | 4.30 |
| 2004 | 129,462 | 680,764 | 5.26 |
| 2005 | 149,178 | 776,533 | 5.21 |
| 2006 | 312,126 | a |  |
| 2007 | 269,646 | a |  |
| 2008 | 205,680 | a |  |
| 2009 | 313,946 | a |  |
| 2010 | 188,298 | a |  |
| 2011 | 190,970 | a |  |
| 2012 | 203,148 | a |  |
| 2013 | 28,118 | a |  |
| 2014 | 151,934 | a |  |
| 2015 | 218,700 | a |  |
| 2016 | 200,046 | a |  |
| 2017 | 195,330 | a |  |
| 1959-2017 |  |  |  |
| Average | 174,671 | 560,491 | 3.77 |
| No. of Years | 59 | 47 | 47 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Incomplete returns from brood year escapement.

Appendix E8.-Escapement goal for Ugashik River sockeye salmon.
System: Ugashik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $500,000-1,200,000$ BEG (1995); changed to SEG 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | $500,000-1,400,000$ SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1959 to present; 51 years of complete return data <br> available |
| Summary: |  |
| Data Quality | Excellent |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruitment and yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 8 of last 10 years (2008-2017) |
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System: Ugashik River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

|  |  | Total |  |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | Escapement | return | Return per <br> spawner |
| 1959 | 219,228 | 496,911 | 2.27 |
| 1960 | $2,304,200$ | $3,867,461$ | 1.68 |
| 1961 | 348,639 | $1,220,755$ | 3.50 |
| 1962 | 255,426 | 407,565 | 1.60 |
| 1963 | 388,254 | 132,741 | 0.34 |
| 1964 | 472,770 | 274,733 | 0.58 |
| 1965 | 996,612 | 392,954 | 0.39 |
| 1966 | 704,436 | $2,388,187$ | 3.39 |
| 1967 | 238,830 | 230,351 | 0.96 |
| 1968 | 70,896 | 45,088 | 0.64 |
| 1969 | 160,380 | 89,243 | 0.56 |
| 1970 | 735,024 | 355,709 | 0.48 |
| 1971 | 529,752 | 935,802 | 1.77 |
| 1972 | 79,428 | 276,170 | 3.48 |
| 1973 | 38,988 | 102,308 | 2.62 |
| 1974 | 61,854 | 757,907 | 12.25 |
| 1975 | 429,336 | $4,125,834$ | 9.61 |
| 1976 | 356,308 | $5,801,029$ | 16.28 |
| 1977 | 201,520 | $2,853,151$ | 14.16 |
| 1978 | 82,435 | $1,194,448$ | 14.49 |
| 1979 | $1,706,904$ | $6,480,880$ | 3.80 |
| 1980 | $3,335,284$ | $8,062,937$ | 2.42 |
| 1981 | $1,327,699$ | $7,976,426$ | 6.01 |
| 1982 | $1,185,551$ | $2,359,985$ | 1.99 |
| 1983 | $1,001,364$ | $1,789,220$ | 1.79 |
| 1984 | $1,270,318$ | $5,529,834$ | 4.35 |
| 1985 | $1,006,407$ | $2,823,866$ | 2.81 |
| 1986 | $1,015,582$ | $7,142,617$ | 7.03 |
| 1987 | 686,894 | $7,164,347$ | 10.43 |
| 1988 | 654,412 | $5,544,646$ | 8.47 |
|  | $4,913,114$ | 2.87 |  |
|  |  |  |  |
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| Year | Escapement | Total <br> return | $\begin{array}{r} \text { Return } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { spawner } \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1990 | 749,478 | 3,858,559 | 5.15 |
| 1991 | 2,482,016 | 6,680,927 | 2.69 |
| 1992 | 2,194,927 | 3,149,041 | 1.43 |
| 1993 | 1,413,454 | 1,357,580 | 0.96 |
| 1994 | 1,095,068 | 1,586,318 | 1.45 |
| 1995 | 1,321,108 | 5,773,750 | 4.37 |
| 1996 | 692,167 | 1,353,867 | 1.96 |
| 1997 | 656,641 | 3,025,123 | 4.61 |
| 1998 | 924,853 | 1,247,104 | 1.35 |
| 1999 | 1,662,042 | 3,674,140 | 2.21 |
| 2000 | 638,420 | 4,355,261 | 6.82 |
| 2001 | 866,368 | 2,184,180 | 2.52 |
| 2002 | 905,584 | 4,599,316 | 5.08 |
| 2003 | 790,202 | 6,372,603 | 8.06 |
| 2004 | 815,104 | 4,531,213 | 5.56 |
| 2005 | 799,612 | 5,265,096 | 6.58 |
| 2006 | 1,003,158 | 3,402,149 | 3.39 |
| 2007 | 2,599,186 | 3,139,804 | 1.21 |
| 2008 | 596,332 | 3,162,448 | 5.30 |
| 2009 | 1,364,338 | 982,677 | 0.72 |
| 2010 | 830,886 | a |  |
| 2011 | 1,029,853 | a |  |
| 2012 | 670,578 | a |  |
| 2013 | 898,110 | a |  |
| 2014 | 640,158 | a |  |
| 2015 | 1,564,638 | a |  |
| 2016 | 1,635,270 | a |  |
| 2017 | 1,186,446 | a |  |
| 1959-2017 |  |  |  |
| Average | 942,441 | 3,047,792 | 4.20 |
| No. of Years | 59 | 51 | 51 |

[^7]Appendix E9.-Escapement goal for Wood River sockeye salmon.
System: Wood River
Species: sockeye salmon
Description of stock and escapement goals

| Management Division: | Commercial Fisheries |
| :--- | :--- |
| Previous Escapement Goal: | $700,000-1,500,000$ BEG (2001); changed to SEG in 2007 |
| Inriver Goal: | None |
| Optimal Escapement Goal: | None |
| Current Escapement Goal: | $700,000-1,800,000$ SEG |
| Escapement Estimation: | Tower counts from 1959 to present; 51 years of complete return |
| data available |  |
| Summary: |  |
| $\quad$ Data Quality | Excellent |
| Data Type | Tower counts; commercial harvest; age data |
| Methodology | Ricker stock-recruitment, yield analysis |
| Years within recommended goal | 6 of last 10 years (2008-2017) |
|  | -continued- |
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System: Wood River
Species: sockeye salmon
Data available for analysis of escapement goals

| Year | Escapement | Total return | $\begin{array}{r} \hline \text { Return } \\ \text { per } \\ \text { spawner } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1959 | 2,209,266 | 1,738,125 | 0.79 |
| 1960 | 1,016,073 | 2,748,924 | 2.71 |
| 1961 | 460,737 | 1,685,024 | 3.66 |
| 1962 | 873,888 | 1,550,870 | 1.77 |
| 1963 | 721,404 | 1,632,836 | 2.26 |
| 1964 | 1,076,112 | 1,286,903 | 1.20 |
| 1965 | 675,156 | 2,021,719 | 2.99 |
| 1966 | 1,208,682 | 2,290,780 | 1.90 |
| 1967 | 515,772 | 1,054,264 | 2.04 |
| 1968 | 649,344 | 1,154,367 | 1.78 |
| 1969 | 604,338 | 989,848 | 1.64 |
| 1970 | 1,161,964 | 2,648,102 | 2.28 |
| 1971 | 851,202 | 1,425,140 | 1.67 |
| 1972 | 430,602 | 1,338,679 | 3.11 |
| 1973 | 330,474 | 1,460,260 | 4.42 |
| 1974 | 1,708,836 | 5,893,430 | 3.45 |
| 1975 | 1,270,116 | 6,290,687 | 4.95 |
| 1976 | 817,008 | 6,590,536 | 8.07 |
| 1977 | 561,828 | 3,824,313 | 6.81 |
| 1978 | 2,267,238 | 3,117,207 | 1.37 |
| 1979 | 1,706,352 | 4,154,669 | 2.43 |
| 1980 | 2,969,040 | 1,471,792 | 0.50 |
| 1981 | 1,233,318 | 2,231,913 | 1.81 |
| 1982 | 976,470 | 2,085,371 | 2.14 |
| 1983 | 1,360,968 | 3,326,753 | 2.44 |
| 1984 | 1,002,792 | 2,218,822 | 2.21 |
| 1985 | 939,000 | 3,304,167 | 3.52 |
| 1986 | 818,652 | 4,176,305 | 5.10 |
| 1987 | 1,337,172 | 2,897,914 | 2.17 |
| 1988 | 866,778 | 3,978,870 | 4.59 |
| 1989 | 1,186,410 | 5,106,291 | 4.30 |
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|  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: | | Return |
| ---: |
| per |
| Year | | Escapement | return | spawner |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |

a Incomplete returns from brood year escapement.

# APPENDIX F. RECENT ESCAPEMENT MEMOS AND RECORD COPIES PRESENTED TO THE BOARD OF FISHERIES 



THE STATE

\author{

MEMORANDUM <br> TO: <br> Jeff Regnart, Director <br> Division of Commercidl Fisheries <br> Charles O. Swanton, Director <br> Division of Sport Fish <br> THRU: Tracy Lingnau, Regional Supervisor Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region II <br> James J. Hasbrouck, Regional Supervisor <br> Division of Sport Fish, Region II <br> DATE: January 31, 2013 <br> | SUBJECT: | Final Escapement Goal |
| :--- | :--- |
|  | Recommendations for |
|  | Select Bristol Bay |
|  | Management Area |
|  | Salmon Stocks |

}

FROM: Lowell Fair, Regional Research Coordinator Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region II

Jack W. Erickson, Regional Research Coordinator Division of Sport Fish, Region II

The purpose of this memo is to formally recommend to you additions, deletions, and changes to escapement goals for the Bristol Bay Management Area (BBMA) and to solicit your final approval to include these recommendations as ADF\&G salmon escapement goals. In February 2012, an interdivisional salmon escapement goal committee, including staff from the divisions of Commercial Fisheries and Sport Fish, initially met to discuss Bristol Bay salmon escapement goals. This review was based on the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals.

The escapement goal review process was atypical this cycle. Unforeseen delays prevented us from having escapement goal recommendations completed prior to the board's October Work Session. Two significant events occurred since the last escapement goal review three years ago. The first was the transition from Bendix sonar to DIDSON for the Nushagak River, affecting goals for Chinook, chum, and sockeye salmon by applying a correction factor to historical escapements to put them in terms of DIDSON-equivalent counts. The second was an extensive run reconstruction of historical Bristol Bay sockeye salmon brood tables using comprehensive genetic stock composition estimates since 2006, along with older genetic estimates gathered from select sets of scale DNA dating back to the early 1960s. The review committee evaluated spawner-return data for sockeye salmon O. nerka in the Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kulukak, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Togiak, Ugashik, and Wood rivers; Chinook salmon $O$.
-continued-
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tshawytscha in the Alagnak, Egegik, Naknek, Nushagak, and Togiak rivers; and chum salmon $O$. keta in the Nushagak River. There are no escapement goals for coho salmon O. kisutch or pink salmon O. gorbuscha for any Bristol Bay rivers. This review examined the existing 16 escapement goals and two others that were eliminated in the 2006 review: Nushagak River coho and pink salmon (Table 1).
The committee recommended changing the ranges for eight escapement goals (Nushagak River Chinook and chum salmon, and Egegik, Igushik, Naknek, Nushagak, Ugashik, and Wood rivers sockeye salmon). Four of those goals would also change in type: Igushik, Naknek, Nushagak, and Wood rivers changing from sustainable escapement goals (SEG) to biological escapement goals (BEG). Three goals were eliminated: Egegik and Togiak rivers Chinook salmon, and Kulukak Bay sockeye salmon. Finally, two new goals were established: Nushagak River coho and pink salmon.
At the Alaska Board of Fisheries (board) meeting in December 2012, it was decided that not all recommended escapement goals will go into effect for the 2013 salmon season. Recommendations for all nonsockeye salmon escapement goals will be implemented in 2013 (Table 2). Most of the sockeye salmon goals will not be implemented until 2015, with two exceptions. In 2013, the Kulukak Bay goal will be dropped and the Nushagak River goal will be modified to account for the conversion of Bendix sonar to DIDSON: 370,000 to 840,000. All other sockeye salmon escapement goals recommended in Fair et al. (2012), including the Nushagak River sockeye salmon goal of 400,000 to 900,000 , will go into effect in 2015 (Table $3)$.
In summary, this comprehensive review of the 16 existing salmon escapement goals in BBMA resulted in eight modifications for the 2013 season and six modifications for the 2015 season. For the 2013 goals, there will be two added, three dropped, one change in range, and two changes in range and type. For the 2015 goals, there will be two changed in range and four changed in range and type. For the December 2012 board meeting, the department submitted an oral and written report (Fair et al. 2012) concerning escapement goals and specific recommendations for numerous Bristol Bay stocks. These reports listed all current and recommended escapement goals for Bristol Bay, as well as detailed descriptions of the methods used to reach these recommendations. Therefore, we respectfully seek your signatures for approval to establish these recommendations as ADF\&G salmon escapement goals.

## Literature Cited

Fair, L. F., C. E. Brazil, X. Zhang, R. A. Clark, and J. W. Erickson. 2012. Review of salmon escapement goals in Bristol Bay, Alaska, 2012. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Manuscript Series No. 12-04, Anchorage.
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By signing this memo you will officially adopt the respective escapement goals summarized here.


Bristol Bay Escapement Goal Memo
Table 1.-Summary of current escapement goals and recommended escapement goals for salmon stocks in Bristol Bay, 2012 [From Fair et al. (2012)].

| System | Current Escapement Goal |  |  |  | Recommended Escapement Goal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Goal | Type | Year Adopted | scapement Data | Action | Goal | Type |
| Chinook Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alagnak | 2,700 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Aerial | No Change |  |  |
| Egegik | 450 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Aerial | Drop |  |  |
| Naknek | 5,000 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Aerial | No Change |  |  |
| Nushagak | 40,000-80,000 | SEG | 2007; Changed to SEG in 2007 | Sonar | Change in range | 55,000-120,000 | SEG |
| Togiak | 9,300 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Aerial | Drop |  |  |
| Chum Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak | 190,000 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Sonar | Change in range | 200,000 minimum | SEG |
| Coho Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak | 50,000-100,000 | SEG | 2007 | Sonar | New Goal | 60,000-120,000 | SEG |
| Pink Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak |  |  |  | Sonar | New Goal | 165,000 minimum | SEG |
| Sockeye Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Alagnak | 320,000 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Egegik | 800,000-1,400,000 | SEG | 1995; Changed to SEG in 2007 | Tower | Change in range | 900,000-2,000,000 | SEG |
|  |  |  |  |  | Change in range |  |  |
| Igushik | 150,000-300,000 | SEG | 2001; Changed to SEG in 2007 | Tower | and type | 200,000-400,000 | BEG |
| Kvichak | 2,000,000-10,000,000 | SEG | One goal for all years in 2010 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Kulukak Bay | 8,000 minimum | SEG | 2007 | Aerial | Drop |  |  |
| Naknek | 800,000-1,400,000 | SEG | 1983; Changed to SEG in 2007 | Tower | Change in range and type | 900,000-2,000,000 | BEG |
|  |  |  |  |  | Change in range |  |  |
| Nushagak | 340,000-760,000 | SEG | 1998; Changed to SEG in 2007 | Sonar | and type | 400,000-900,000 | BEG |
| Togiak | 120,000-270,000 | SEG | 2007; Changed from a BEG in 2010 | Tower | No Change |  |  |
| Ugashik | 500,000-1,200,000 | SEG | 1995; Changed to SEG in 2007 | Tower | Change in range | 600,000-1,400,000 | SEG |
|  |  |  |  |  | Change in range |  |  |
| Wood | 700,000-1,500,000 | SEG | 2001; Changed to SEG in 2007 | Tower | and type | 800,000-1,800,000 | BEG |
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Table 2.-Recommended changes to escapement goals for Bristol Bay salmon stocks that will go into effect in 2013.


Table 3.-Recommended changes to escapement goals for Bristol Bay salmon stocks that will go into effect in 2015.

| System | Current Escapement Goal |  |  | Recommended Escapement Goal |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Escapement |  |  | Action | Goal | Type |
|  | Goal | Type | Data |  |  |  |
| Sockeye Salmon |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Egegik | 800,000-1,400,000 | SEG | Tower | Change in range | 900,000-2,000,000 | SEG |
| Igushik | 150,000-300,000 | SEG | Tower | Change in range and type | 200,000-400,000 | BEG |
| Naknek | 800,000-1,400,000 | SEG | Tower | Change in range and type | 900,000-2,000,000 | BEG |
| Nushagak | 340,000-760,000 | SEG | Sonar | Change in range and type | 400,000-900,000 | BEG |
| Ugashik | 500,000-1,200,000 | SEG | Tower | Change in range | 600,000-1,400,000 | SEG |
| Wood | 700,000-1,500,000 | SEG | Tower | Change in range and type | 800,000-1,800,000 | BEG |
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RC 013

16 March 2015

Mr. Thomas Kluberton - Chairman
Alaska Board of Fisheries

Department of Fish and Game<br>DIVISIONS OF COMMERICAL FISHERIES AND SPORT FISH<br>Headquarters<br>333 Raspberry Road Anchorage, Alaska 99518-1565 Office: 907.267.2376

Dear Chairman Kluberton and members of the Board of Fisheries:
Since the December 2012 Bristol Bay Board of Fisheries (board) meeting in Naknek, the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (department) has participated in a series of meetings with a committee of users, processors, and members of the Bristol Bay Science and Research Institute. This committee was charged by the board to prepare recommendations relating to the development of optimal escapement goals for Bristol Bay sockeye salmon. As a part of this effort, the committee reviewed a draft escapement analysis report and presentations prepared by scientists from the School of Fisheries and Aquatic Sciences at the University of Washington and LGL Alaska Research Associates, Inc. that evaluated escapement goals for Bristol Bay sockeye salmon taking into account biological and economic factors. Based on the biological and - economic analysis, and the escapement goal analysis conducted by the department in 2012 (Fair et al. 2012), the department recommends the lower bounds of the existing sustainable escapement goals (SEGs) and the upper bounds of the escapement goals following the recommendations from Fair et al. 2012 (Table 1). The department intends to implement these recommendations prior to the 2015 fishing season.

In addition, the department is developing umbrella language for Bristol Bay sockeye salmon management as guidelines for managers. This regulatory language will be introduced during the statewide miscellaneous shellfish board meeting in March of 2015 for the department to manage escapements to fall within the lower or upper half of the adopted river-specific escapement goal ranges, proportionate with pre-season and inseason assessments of run strength to fishing districts.

## -continued-
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Table 1. - Recommended Bristol Bay sockeye salmon escapement goals (in thousands).

| River | Current SEG |  | SEG recommendations from Fair, et al. 2012 |  | Recommended SEG |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper | Lower | Upper |
| Egegik | 800 | 1,400 | 900 | 2,000 | 800 | 2,000 |
| Igushik | 150 | 300 | 200 | 400 | 150 | 400 |
| Kvichak | 2,000 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 10,000 | 2,000 | 10,000 |
| Naknek | 800 | 1,400 | 900 | 2,000 | 800 | 2,000 |
| Nushagak | 370 | 840 | 400 | 900 | 370 | 900 |
| Ugashik | 500 | 1,200 | 600 | 1,400 | 500 | 1,400 |
| Wood | 700 | 1,500 | 800 | 1,800 | 700 | 1,800 |

Citations:
Fair, L.F., C.E. Brazil, X. Zhang, R.A. Clark, and J.W. Erickson. 2012. Review of salmon escapement goals in Bristol Bay, Alaska, 2012. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Fishery Manuscript Series No. 12-04, Anchorage.

Sincerely,


Division of Commercial Fisheries
Anchorage


Tom Brookover, Acting Director
Division of Sport Fish
Anchorage
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Fish and Game
DIVISIONS OF SPORT FISH \& COMMERCIAL FISHERIES
333 Rapsberry Road
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## MEMORANDUM

TO: Forrest R. Bowers, Acting Director
Division of Commercial Fisheries
DATE: October 3, 2018

Thomas Brookover, Director
Division of Sport Fish
THRU: Bert Lewis, Regional Supervisor BL
Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region II
SUBJECT: Bristol Bay
Escapement Goal
Thomas Vania, Regional Supervisor
Division of Sport Fish, Region II
Memo

FROM: Jack Erickson, Regional Research Coordinator $\quad \begin{array}{ll}\text { Division of Commercial Fisheries, Region II }\end{array}$
Timothy McKinley, Regional Research Coordinator TRWM
Division of Sport Fish, Region II

The purpose of this memo is to report our progress reviewing and recommending escapement goals for the Bristol Bay Management Area (BBMA). The Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5 AAC 39.223) recognizes the establishment of salmon escapement goals as a joint responsibility of the Alaska Department of Fish and Game (department) and the Alaska Board of Fisheries (board) and describes the concepts, criteria, and procedures for establishing and modifying salmon escapement goals. Under the policy, the board recognizes and describes the department's responsibility for establishing and modifying biological escapement goals (BEG) and sustainable escapement goals (SEG).
Beginning in February 2018, an interdivisional salmon escapement goal committee, including staff from the divisions of Commercial Fisheries and Sport Fish, initially met to discuss salmon escapement goals in the BBMA. Escapement goals for this area have been set and evaluated at regular intervals since statehood and many of these stocks have long-term historical datasets. The review was based on the Policy for the Management of Sustainable Salmon Fisheries (5 AAC 39.222) and the Policy for Statewide Salmon Escapement Goals (5 AAC 39.223). Two important terms are:

5 AAC 39.222 (f)(3) "Biological Escapement Goal (BEG): the escapement that provides the greatest potential for maximum sustained yield (MSY);" and
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5 AAC 39.222 (f)(36) "Sustainable Escapement Goal (SEG): a level of escapement, indicated by an index or an escapement estimate, that is known to provide for sustained yield over a 5 to 10 year period, used in situations where a BEG cannot be estimated or managed for."
The committee determined the appropriate goal type (BEG or SEG) for each salmon stock with an existing goal and reviewed other monitored stocks without an existing goal. Using available data, we determined the most appropriate methods to develop each escapement goal.
Currently 15 escapement goals are evaluated in BBMA (Table 1). Due to the comprehensive previous analyses in Cross et al. (1997), Fair (2000), Fair et al. (2004), Baker et al. (2006 and 2009), Fair et al. (2012), and Erickson et al. (2015) this review committee only considered reanalyzing goals with recent (2015-2017) escapements that might result in a substantially different escapement goal from the last review, or those that should be eliminated or newly established.

## Sockeye salmon

For this review, we updated the sockeye salmon genetic harvest allocations to better account for mixed-stock harvest in each district, and to more accurately represent the true production of the primary stocks (Alagnak, Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Ugashik, and Wood rivers) in Bristol Bay. The committee reviewed the updated stock-recruit analyses for each of these stocks and recommends no changes for Egegik, Igushik, Kvichak, Naknek, Nushagak, Ugashik, and Wood River sockeye salmon escapement goals.

For this review, the expansion factor (aerial counts to tower counts) for Alagnak River sockeye salmon was updated to include recent aerial surveys and tower counts, and corrections made to the aerial survey data. The committee recommends that the lower-bound SEG of 320,000 Alagnak River sockeye salmon assessed using tower counts be changed to a lower-bound SEG of 210,000. The committee also recommends that the companion lower-bound SEG of 125,000 assessed using a single aerial survey be eliminated in deference to the tower-based lower-bound SEG. Allocative implications associated with a change in this escapement goal are found within the Alagnak River Sockeye Salmon Special Harvest Area Management Plan (5 AAC 06.373).

## King salmon

For this review, the time series for Nushagak River king salmon was updated to include recent harvest and escapement, and corrections made to the harvest data. The updated stock-recruit analysis resulted in a greater estimate of spawner abundance that maximizes sustained yield ( $\mathrm{S}_{\text {msy }}$ ) but the new $\mathrm{S}_{\mathrm{msy}}$ estimate is well within the current goal. In addition, results from sonic-tagging (2011-2014) and capture-recapture (2014-2016) studies show that substantial numbers of king salmon are not enumerated by the existing sonar assessment. The escapement goal committee recommended no change be made to the existing goal and that a stock-recruit model be developed prior to the next Bristol Bay regulatory-cycle which incorporates the corrected harvest data and uncertainty in king salmon abundance estimated by the sonar.
The committee recommends the king salmon goal for the Alagnak River stock be discontinued because there are indications that aerial surveys conducted since 2015 may not index escapement the same as, or similar to, previous surveys used to develop the escapement goal. This goal was
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recommended to be discontinued during the last board cycle, because funding was unavailable and uncertainty over the current survey observer efficiency in relation to historic aerial survey numbers.

Other recent indicators of relative king salmon abundance in the Alagnak River (e.g., Statewide Harvest Survey estimates of catch, guide logbook data, personal communication with anglers and guide businesses) are on par with years when historical survey index counts were greater than 3,000 fish. The exact reason(s) for these differences are unknown, in part because surveys have been conducted in a different manner (i.e., two observers per survey and multiple surveys per year since 2015 but one observer flying single aerial surveys historically). The department currently lacks the information needed to understand the relationship between current aerial survey data and the existing escapement goal, as well as reported sport fishing data. By discontinuing this goal, the Alagnak River Sockeye Salmon Special Harvest Area Management Plan (5 AAC 06.373 (c)) will need to be updated.

## Pink, coho, and chum salmon

The committee concluded that updating the analyses for these stocks would not likely result in a substantially different escapement goals; therefore, the committee recommends no changes at this time

In summary, this comprehensive review of the 15 existing salmon escapement goals in the BBMA resulted in recommendations to update 1 existing sockeye salmon escapement goal and discontinuing 2 escapement goals (one for sockeye salmon, one for king salmon). It is also recommended that a concerted effort be made by the department to develop a run reconstruction and stock-recruit analysis for Nushagak River king salmon that accounts for errors in harvest data used to develop the current escapement goal, and the uncertainty in proportion of king salmon counted by sonar that was identified by recent tagging and capture-recapture studies. Oral and written reports (Erickson et al In prep.) concerning BBMA escapement goals and stock status will be presented to the board in December 2018. These reports will list current escapement goals for BBMA, detailed descriptions of the methods used to develop the goals, and annual escapements through 2018.

Table 1. - Summary of escapement goals and recommendations for salmon stocks in Bristol Bay Management Area.

| System | Escapement Goal | Enumeration Method | Goal <br> Type | Initial Year | Recommendation |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| KING SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | 55,000-120,000 | sonar | SEG | 2013 | No change |
| Alagnak River | 2,700 | single acrial survey | lower-bound SEG | 2007 | discontinue |
| CHUM SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | 200,000 | sonar | lower-bound SEG | 2013 | No change |
| COHO SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River | 60,000-120,000 | sonar | SEG | 2013 | No change |
| PINK SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Nushagak River (even years only) | 165,000 | sonar | lower-bound SEG | 2013 | No change |
| SOCKEYE SALMON |  |  |  |  |  |
| Kvichak River | 2,000,000 - 10,000,000 | tower count | SEG | 2010 | No change |
| Alagnak River | 320,000 | tower count | lower-bound SEG | 2007 | correct \& update to 210,000 |
| Alagnak River | 125,000 | single aerial survey | lower-bound SEG | 2015 | discontinue |
| Naknek River | 800,000-2,000,000 | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Egegik River | $800,000-2,000,000$ | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Ugashik River | 500,000-1,400,000 | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Wood River | 700,000-1,800,000 | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Igushik River | 150,000-400,000 | tower count | SEG | 2015 | No change |
| Nushagak River | $370,000-900,000$ | sonar | SEG | 2015 | No change |
|  | 260,000-760,000 | sonar | OEG | 2012 | NA |
| Togiak River | 120,000-270,000 | tower count | SEG | 2007 | No change |
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[^0]:    1 Bue, B. G., and J. J. Hasbrouck. Unpublished. Escapement goal review of salmon stocks of Upper Cook Inlet. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Report to the Alaska Board of Fisheries, November 2001 (and February 2002), Anchorage.

[^1]:    2 On file with ADF\&G Division of Commercial Fisheries: Expanding Nushagak River Chinook salmon escapement indices to inriver abundance estimates using acoustic tags, 2011-2014, Soldotna; unpublished report.

[^2]:    Note: A Bayesian analysis estimated stock-recruitment parameters for a Ricker model with multiplicative error. Median parameter estimates are given with CVs and lower and upper 95\% credible intervals (CI).

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Spawning escapement is defined as escapement count minus sport fish and subsistence harvest occurring above the counting sonar (Buck et al 2012).
    b Incomplete returns from brood year escapement.

[^4]:    -continued-

[^5]:    a Incomplete returns from brood year escapement.

[^6]:    a Incomplete returns from brood year escapement.

[^7]:    a Incomplete returns from brood year escapement.

