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## Symbols and Abbreviations

The following symbols and abbreviations, and others approved for the Système International d'Unités (SI), are used without definition in the following reports by the Divisions of Sport Fish and of Commercial Fisheries: Fishery Manuscripts, Fishery Data Series Reports, Fishery Management Reports, and Special Publications. All others, including deviations from definitions listed below, are noted in the text at first mention, as well as in the titles or footnotes of tables, and in figure or figure captions.

| Weights and measures (metric) |  | General |  | Mathematics, statistics |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centimeter | cm | Alaska Administrative |  | all standard mathematical |  |
| deciliter | dL | Code | AAC | signs, symbols and |  |
| gram | g | all commonly accepted |  | abbreviations |  |
| hectare | ha |  | e.g., Mr., Mrs., | alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\text {A }}$ |
| kilogram | kg |  | AM, PM, etc. | base of natural logarithm | $e$ |
| kilometer | km | all commonly accepted |  | catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| liter | L | professional titles | e.g., Dr., Ph.D., | coefficient of variation | CV |
| meter | m |  | R.N., etc. | common test statistics | (F, t, $\chi^{2}$, etc.) |
| milliliter | mL | at | @ | confidence interval | CI |
| millimeter | mm | compass directions: east | E | correlation coefficient (multiple) | R |
| Weights and measures (English) |  | north | N | correlation coefficient |  |
| cubic feet per second | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | south | S | (simple) | r |
| foot | ft | west | W | covariance | cov |
| gallon | gal | copyright | © | degree (angular) | - |
| inch | in | corporate suffixes: |  | degrees of freedom | df |
| mile | mi | Company | Co. | expected value | E |
| nautical mile | nmi | Corporation | Corp. | greater than | $>$ |
| ounce | oz | Incorporated | Inc. | greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| pound | lb | Limited | Ltd. | harvest per unit effort | HPUE |
| quart | qt | District of Columbia | D.C. | less than | < |
| yard | yd | et alii (and others) | et al. | less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
|  |  | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. | logarithm (natural) | ln |
| Time and temperature |  | exempli gratia |  | logarithm (base 10) | $\log$ |
| day | d | (for example) | e.g. | logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$, etc. |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Federal Information |  | minute (angular) | ' |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Code | FIC | not significant | NS |
| degrees kelvin | K | id est (that is) | i.e. | null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ |
| hour | h | latitude or longitude | lat or long | percent | \% |
| minute | min | monetary symbols |  | probability | P |
| second | S | (U.S.) months (tables and | \$, ¢ | probability of a type I error (rejection of the null |  |
| Physics and chemistry |  | figures): first three |  | hypothesis when true) | $\alpha$ |
| all atomic symbols |  | letters | Jan,...,Dec | probability of a type II error |  |
| alternating current | AC | registered trademark | ${ }^{\circledR}$ | (acceptance of the null |  |
| ampere | A | trademark | тм | hypothesis when false) | $\beta$ |
| calorie | cal | United States |  | second (angular) | " |
| direct current | DC | (adjective) | U.S. | standard deviation | SD |
| hertz | Hz | United States of |  | standard error | SE |
| horsepower | hp | America (noun) | USA | variance |  |
| hydrogen ion activity (negative log of) | pH | U.S.C. | United States Code | population sample | Var <br> var |
| parts per million | ppm | U.S. state | use two-letter |  |  |
| parts per thousand | ppt, |  | abbreviations (e.g., AK, WA) |  |  |
| volts | V |  |  |  |  |
| watts | W |  |  |  |  |
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#### Abstract

A dual-frequency identification sonar (DIDSON) was used to estimate summer chum salmon Oncorhynchus keta passage in the Anvik River from June 17 to July 27, 2015. A total of 374,968 summer chum salmon were estimated to have passed the sonar site. A beach seine sample fishery was conducted to collect age, sex, and length (ASL) and genetic information. Both systems functioned well with minimal interruptions to operation. The range of ensonification was considered adequate for most fish that migrated upstream.


Key words: chum salmon Oncorhynchus keta, dual-frequency identification sonar DIDSON, Anvik River.

## INTRODUCTION

The purpose of the Anvik River sonar project is to monitor escapement of adult summer chum Oncorhynchus keta and pink salmon O. gorbuscha to the Anvik River drainage, believed to be the largest producer of summer chum salmon in the Yukon River drainage (Bergstrom et al. 1999). Additional major spawning populations of summer chum salmon occur in the following tributaries of the Yukon River: the Andreafsky River, Rodo River, Nulato River, Melozitna River, and Tozitna River. Spawning tributaries in the Koyukuk River drainage are the Gisasa River and Hogatza River; and in tributaries to the Tanana River drainage, which include the Chena River and the Salcha River (Figure 1). Chinook salmon O. tshawytscha and pink salmon spawn in the Anvik River concurrently with summer chum salmon. Fall chum, which are a later run of chum salmon (Estensen et al. 2013), and coho salmon O. kisutch have been reported to spawn in the Anvik River drainage during the fall.
Timely and accurate reporting of summer chum escapement from the Anvik River sonar project helps Yukon River Alaska Department of Fishery (ADF\&G) fishery managers ensure the Anvik River biological escapement goal (BEG) of 350,000 to 700,000 summer chum salmon is met (ADF\&G 2004). This assessment is necessary to determine if summer chum salmon abundance will meet downstream subsistence and commercial harvest, as well as upstream escapement needs. Subsistence and commercial fishery openings and closures may be based, in part, upon this assessment.

High abundance of pink salmon occurs on even years in the Yukon River drainage (Estensen et al. 2013). Apportionment of pink salmon passage on the Anvik River during even years is necessary to accurately access summer chum salmon escapement from the total sonar passage estimate.

From 1972 to 1979, Anvik River summer chum and pink salmon escapements were partially estimated from visual counts made at counting towers above the confluence of the Anvik and Yellow Rivers, (Figure 2). A site 9 km above the Yellow River, on the mainstem Anvik River, was used from 1972 to 1975 (Lebida ${ }^{1}$; Trasky 1974, 1976; Mauney 1977). From 1976 to 1979, a site on the mainstem Anvik River, near the confluence of Robinhood Creek and the Anvik River, was used (Mauney 1979, 1980; Mauney and Geiger 1977). Since 1979, the Anvik River sonar project has been located approximately 76 km upstream of the confluence of the Anvik and Yukon Rivers, 5 km below Theodore Creek at lat $62^{\circ} 44.208^{\prime} \mathrm{N}$, long $160^{\circ} 40.724^{\prime} \mathrm{W}$. The land is public, managed by the Bureau of Land Management (BLM), and leased to ADF\&G for public purposes until 2023. Aerial survey data indicate that summer chum salmon spawn primarily upstream of this sonar site.

[^0]Single-beam echocounting sonar manufactured by Bendix Corporation ${ }^{2}$ was first used at the current Anvik River sonar site in 1979 to determine the feasibility of using sonar to enumerate summer chum passage (Sandone 1993). The Bendix sonar equipment was used for escapement estimates from 1980 to 2003. In 2003, a side-by-side comparison was done with Hydroacoustic Technology Incorporated (HTI) split-beam sonar equipment where it was found that the Bendix and HTI produced similar abundance estimates (Dunbar and Pfisterer 2007). In 2004, the change was made to HTI sonar equipment. In 2006, a side-by-side comparison was done between HTI and dual-frequency identification sonars (DIDSON; Belcher et al. 2002). High water for most of the season prevented normal operation of the split-beam sonar, but it was found that the DIDSON abundance estimate was $61 \%$ higher than the split-beam abundance estimate (McEwen 2007). DIDSON has been used in the Yukon and Kenai rivers (Lozori 2015a and Miller et al. 2014) to generate daily passage estimates where bottom profiles are appropriate for the wider beam angle and shorter range capabilities of this sonar. In 2007, the change was made to DIDSON sonar.

The Anvik River sonar project provides timely and accurate information to Yukon River fishery managers. DIDSON are used to collect salmon passage data and tower estimates are used to apportion the counts to chum or pink salmon during even years when pink salmon are abundant. Beach seines are used to collect age, sex, and length (ASL) data. HOBO temperature loggers are used to monitor hydrologic parameters daily. This report presents data collected in 2015 and compares the results to previous years.

## OBJECTIVES

The goal of this project in 2015 was to provide daily inseason estimates of adult summer chum salmon escapement into the Anvik River to fishery managers. Primary objectives included the following:

1. Estimate summer chum salmon abundance in the Anvik River using DIDSON sonar, and determine if the summer chum salmon BEG is met from approximately June 16 through July 26.
2. Operate DIDSON such that $95 \%$ of migrating salmon are detected within three quarters of the ensonified range on both banks.

Secondary objectives included the following:
3. Collect a minimum of 162 summer chum salmon samples during each of 4 temporal strata (corresponding to passage quartiles) throughout the season using a beach seine to estimate the age, sex, and length (ASL) composition of the Anvik River summer chum salmon passage, such that simultaneous $95 \%$ confidence intervals of age composition in each sample are no wider than 0.20 ( $\alpha=0.05$ and $d=0.10$ ).
4. Collect daily climatic and hydrologic measurements representative of the study area.

[^1]
## METHODS

## Study Area

The Anvik River originates at an elevation of 400 m and flows in a southerly direction approximately 230 km to its mouth at river kilometer mile (rkm) 512 of the Yukon River (Figure 1). This narrow runoff stream has a substrate of mainly gravel and cobble. Bedrock is exposed in some of the upper reaches. The Yellow River (Figure 2) is a major tributary of the Anvik drainage and is located approximately 100 km upstream from the mouth of the Anvik River. Downstream from the confluence of the Yellow River, the Anvik River changes from a moderate-gradient system to a low-gradient system meandering through a much broader flood plain. Turbid waters from the Yellow River greatly reduce water clarity of the Anvik River below their confluence. Numerous oxbows, old channel cutoffs, and sloughs are found throughout the lower Anvik River.
At the sonar site, the Anvik River is characterized by broad meanders, with large gravel bars on inside bends and cut banks with exposed soil, tree roots, and snags on outside bends. As with past years, we were able to use the same location, because of the site's stability. The river substrate at the sonar site is fine, smooth gravel, sand, and silt. The right bank sloped gradually to the thalweg approximately 36 m from shore, and the left bank sloped steeply to the thalweg approximately 16 m from shore, depending on water level (Figure 3).

## Hydroacoustic Equipment

Two DIDSONs manufactured by Sound Metrics Corporation were deployed at the Anvik River sonar site. A long range DIDSON operating at frequency of 1.2 MHz (high frequency option using 48 beams) on the right bank, and a Standard DIDSON operating at frequency of 1.8 MHz (high frequency option using 96 beams) on the left bank (Table 1). Because of the shallow right bank bottom profile, a concentrator lens (approximately $2^{\circ}$ ) was used to lessen interference from surface and bottom reverberation. A laptop computer running DIDSON software controlled each DIDSON, and an external hard drive was used to store data. A wireless Ethernet router transferred data from the left bank to the controlling laptop on the right bank (Figure 4).

## SONAR DEPLOYMENT AND OPERATION

Prior to transducer deployment, the river bottom profile was checked to ensure the site was acceptable for ensonification. Range and depth data were collected from transects made from bank-to-bank using a boat-mounted Humminbird 998C SI fathometer with GPS capabilities and plotted (Figure 3).
Both banks were ensonified on July 17, and operations ran continuously through 1100 on July 27. The DIDSONs were mounted on aluminum frames and aimed using manual crank-style rotators (Figure 5). The DIDSONs were placed offshore in a fixed location with the beams directed perpendicular to current flow, approximately 10 m from the right bank and approximately 2 m from the left bank (Figure 3). Operators adjusted the pan and tilt by viewing the video-like acoustic image and relaying aiming instructions to a technician via handheld VHF radio. The wide axis of each beam was oriented horizontally and positioned close to the river bottom to maximize residence time of targets in the beam. On the right bank, the river was ensonified approximately 20 m from the DIDSON, and on the left bank the river was ensonified approximately 10 m from the DIDSON. Approximately $68 \%$ of the river was ensonified
depending on water level. Daily visual inspections of the sonar pods and images confirmed proper placement and orientation of the DIDSONs, and alerted operators when they needed to be repositioned to accommodate changing water levels.
Partial weirs were erected perpendicular to the current and extended from the shore out 1 to 3 m beyond each DIDSON (Figures 3 and 6). The weirs diverted migrating adult salmon offshore and in front of the DIDSON to provide sufficient offshore distance for fish to be detected in the sonar beam, while allowing passage of small, resident, non-target species (Arctic grayling Thymallus arcticus, northern pike Esox lucius, longnose sucker Catostomus catostomus, and whitefish Coregonus spp.) through the weirs.

## Sonar Data Processing and Passage Estimation

Acoustic sampling was conducted on both banks starting at the top of each hour for 30 minutes, 24 hours per day, and 7 days per week, except for short periods when the generator was serviced or adjustments were made to the sonars. Operators opened each 30-minute data file in an echogram viewer program (Echotastic, developed by ADF\&G staff), and marked each upstream fish track with a computer mouse. All fish were counted manually except for small fish ( $<400$ mm ), which were assumed not to be salmon. Fish length measurements were made manually using DIDSON software marking tools. Upstream direction of travel was verified using the Echotastic video feature which displayed the raw acoustic fish images. The 30 -minute counts were saved as text files and also recorded on a paper count form.

The daily passage ( $\hat{y}$ ) for stratum ( $s$ ) on day $(d)$ was calculated by averaging the hourly passage rates for the hours sampled and then multiplying as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{d s}=24 \bullet \frac{\sum_{p=1}^{n} \frac{y_{d s p}}{f_{d p}}}{n_{d s}} \tag{1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $f_{d s p}$ is the fraction of the hour sampled on day $(d)$ stratum $(s)$ period $(p)$ and $y_{d s p}$ is the count for the same sample.

Treating the systematically sampled sonar counts as a simple random sample would yield an overestimate of the variance of the total because sonar counts are highly autocorrelated. To accommodate these data characteristics, a variance estimator based on the squared differences of successive observations was employed (Wolter 1985). The variance for the passage estimate for stratum $s$ on day $d$ is estimated as follows:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{V}_{y_{d s}}=24^{2} \frac{1-f_{d s}}{n_{d s}} \frac{\sum_{p=2}^{n_{d s}}\left(\frac{y_{d s p}}{h_{d s p}}-\frac{y_{d s, p-1}}{h_{d s, p-1}}\right)^{2}}{2\left(n_{d s}-1\right)} . \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

Where $n_{d s}$ is the number of samples in the day (24), $f_{d s}$ is the fraction of the day sampled (12/24 $=$ 0.5 ), $h_{d s, p}$ is the fraction of the hour sampled (typically 0.5 ), and $y_{d s p}$ is the hourly count for day
(d) in stratum ( $s$ ) for sample ( $p$ ). Given that the passage estimates are assumed independent between strata and among days, the total variance was estimated as the sum of the variances:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{\operatorname{Var}}(\hat{y})=\sum_{d} \sum_{s} \hat{\operatorname{Var}}\left(\hat{y}_{d s}\right) . \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

## Missing Data

Estimating daily passage by multiplying the average hourly passage rates by 24 (Equation 1) compensates for missing data (either shortened or missing periods within a day) and is reflected in the variance (Equation 2) by reducing the number of samples and the fraction of the day sampled. If 1 or multiple days were missed, the relationship of daily passage between banks was assessed. An XY scatterplot with a regression line was plotted using the observed passage from the previous days for each bank. If the regression was significant ( $p<0.05$ ), the linear regression equation of the line was then used to calculate missing passage for each missing day $(d)$ :

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{y}_{d}=a+b x_{d} \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $a$ and $b$ are the regression coefficients, $x$ equals the passage for day $(d)$ on the opposite bank, and $\hat{y}_{d}$ is the estimated passage for missing day (d).

If the regression of daily passage by bank was not significant, daily passage was interpolated by averaging passage estimates from days before and after the missing day(s) as follows:

$$
\hat{y}_{d}=\left(1 / n \sum_{i=1}^{n} x_{i}\right)\left\{\begin{array}{l}
d=1, n=4  \tag{5}\\
d=2, n=6 \\
d=3, n=8
\end{array}\right\}
$$

where $d$ is the number of missed days, $n$ is the number of days used for interpolation (half before and half after the missing day (s)), and $x_{i}$ is the passage for each sample.

After editing was complete, an estimate of hourly, daily, and cumulative fish passage was produced and forwarded to the Fairbanks ADF\&G office via email each day. The estimates produced during the field season were further reviewed postseason and adjusted as necessary.

## SPECIES APPORTIONMENT

Although apportionment of salmon was not conducted this season, tower counts were carried out 4 times per day ( $0730,1300,1700$, and 2000) for 15 minutes on each bank. On the right bank, a 4.5 m tower was anchored in the river just downstream of the sonar at the end of the weir. On the left bank, a tower was erected on shore just upriver of the sonar (Figure 6). Technicians stood on top of the towers with polarized sunglasses and counted salmon by species passing the sonar. The number of salmon species for each bank and the visible range were entered into a Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Excel spreadsheet; non-salmon species were not counted or recorded. Information from tower counts was used to compare visual fish distributions with passage distributions derived from the sonar counts and to monitor the presence of pink and Chinook salmon.

## Age, Sex, and Length Sampling

Temporal strata, used to characterize the age and sex composition of the summer chum salmon escapement, were defined as dates when $25 \%, 50 \%, 75 \%$, and $100 \%$ of the total run had passed the sonar site. To determine inseason ASL sampling dates, historical mean quartile ASL dates were used (Table 2). The strata represent an attempt to sample the escapement for ASL information in proportion to the total run.
To meet regional standards for the sample size needed to describe a salmon population, the initial seasonal ASL sample goal was 648 summer chum salmon, with a minimum of 162 summer chum salmon samples collected using beach seines during each temporal stratum (Bromaghin 1993). Sample size goals are based on a $95 \%$ confidence with an accuracy ( $d$ ) and precision ( $\alpha$ ) objectives of $d=0.10$ and $\alpha=0.05$, assuming 2 major age classes, and 2 minor age classes with a scale rejection rate of $15 \%$. The beach seining goal for Chinook salmon was also developed to sample all fish captured while pursuing the summer chum salmon sampling goal.
A beach seine ( 31 m long, 66 meshes deep, 2.5 in mesh) was drifted, beginning approximately 10 m downstream of the sonar site, to capture summer chum salmon and collect ASL data. All resident freshwater fish captured were tallied by species and released. Pink salmon were counted by sex, based on external characteristics, and released. Summer chum salmon were placed in a mobile holding pen deployed in the river and each were noted for sex, measured to the nearest 1 mm from mid eye to tail fork, and 1 scale was taken for age determination. Scales were collected from an area posterior to the base of the dorsal fin and above the lateral line on the left side of the fish (Clutter and Whitesel 1956). The adipose fin was clipped on each sampled summer chum salmon to prevent resampling. Chinook salmon were sampled using the same methods as summer chum salmon, except that 4 scale samples were taken from each fish.

## Climate and Hydrologic Observations

Climatic and hydrologic data were collected at approximately 1800 hours each day at the sonar site. River depth was monitored using a staff gauge marked in 1 cm increments. Change in water depth was presented as negative or positive increments from the initial reading of 0.0 cm . Water temperature was measured using a HOBO water temperature logger, which electronically recorded the temperature every hour on the hour for the duration of the project. Subjective notes on wind speed and direction, cloud cover, and precipitation were also recorded.

## RESULTS

## SUMMER CHUM SALMON ESTIMATION

Overall there were no significant problems with estimation of salmon passage this season. The total summer chum salmon passage estimate at the Anvik River sonar site was 374,968 from June 17 to July 27, 2015. The first quarter point fell on July 5, the midpoint on July 7, and third quarter point on July 12. A peak daily passage estimate of 45,370 occurred on July 6 and 2,460 fish passed the last day of sonar operation on July 27 (Table 3). When compared to median historic run timing based on 1979-1984 and 1987-2014 runs, the summer chum salmon passage date for the first quartile was 1 day late and third quartile was 2 days late (Table 2). Daily passage between the first and third quartile dates ranged from 45,370 (July 6) to 16,220 (July 12) with an estimated total of 205,842 summer chum salmon passing by the sonar site during this time (Table 3). The 2015 summer chum salmon escapement estimate of 374,968 was less than
the average Anvik River escapement estimate of 582,003 fish (Table 2) but within the BEG of 350,000 to 700,000 summer chum salmon.
The timing of the summer chum salmon run into the Anvik River was roughly similar to the pattern observed at the lower Yukon River sonar project near the village of Pilot Station (Figure 7). Approximately $27 \%$ of the summer chum salmon that were estimated to have passed Pilot Station $(1,385,083)$ were observed at the Anvik River sonar project, which is below the overall 1995-2014 contribution of $33 \%$. Historically the percentage of Yukon River summer chum salmon bound for the Anvik River has fluctuated, and can be broken into 2 distinct periods (McEwen 2012). During the period from 1995 to 2002, the average contribution was approximately $50 \%$, and from 2003 to 2014, the average contribution was approximately $23 \%$, which was slightly less than what was observed in observed in 2015.

Total sonar passage estimates include expansions for sampling time missed. On the left bank, approximately 1,129 minutes were missed, which accounted for an additional 3,742 fish or $2.8 \%$ of the total left bank estimate. On the right bank, approximately 2,422 minutes were missed, which accounted for an additional 9,802 fish or $4.1 \%$ of the total right bank estimate (Table 4). Most of the estimates for missing counts were because of generator failures, adjusting weir panels, and re-aiming the sonar. There were no full days of missing data this season.

The objective of estimating summer chum salmon abundance in the Anvik River using DIDSON from approximately June 16 through July 26 was met.

## SpATIAL AND TEMPORAL DISTRIBUTION

Fish were shore-oriented on both banks (Figure 8). On the left bank, approximately 95\% of the fish were detected within 7 m of the transducer, and $99 \%$ within 9 m . On the right bank, $95 \%$ of the fish were detected within 13 m of the transducer, and $99 \%$ within 17 m . The objective to operate imaging sonar such that $95 \%$ of the migrating salmon are detected within three quarters of the ensonified area on both banks was met. Approximately $64 \%$ of the total fish passage occurred on the right bank, which is consistent with historical migration trends at the project with the exception of 3 years: 1992 (43\%), 1996 (45\%), and 1997 (39\%) (Sandone 1994; Fair 1997; Chapell 2001).

Overall, when considering both banks combined, there was a diurnal pattern of fish passage on the Anvik River this season, with the lowest passage occurring at 1400 (Figure 9). High passage tended to be between the hours of 2200 and 0700 , and peak passage occurred at 0200 .

## SPECIES APPORTIONMENT

During tower counts 227 pink, 5,922 summer chum, and 27 Chinook salmon were observed this season (Table 5). Pink salmon passage was considered negligible compared to summer chum salmon passage and all counts were attributed to summer chum salmon.

## Summer Chum Age and Sex Composition

Because of low catches in the project test fishery at the beginning of the summer chum salmon run, the objective of collecting 162 summer chum salmon scale samples in each of 4 temporal strata was not entirely met this season. From July 2 through July 22, a total of 705 ASL samples were obtained, and from these samples, 638 scales were analyzed as ageable postseason. Strata
were defined in 2015 as follows: June 17-July 5, July 6-July 8, July 9-July 13, and July 14-July 27 (Table 6).

Scale sample analysis indicated that there were 2 major age classes of summer chum salmon, age- 0.4 ( $61.8 \%$ ) and age- 0.3 ( $34.6 \%$ ), as well as 2 minor classes, age- 0.2 and age- 0.5 , both of which represented $1.8 \%$ of the total run (Table 6 and Figure 10). The age composition observed at the Anvik River sonar project was similar to the rest of the Yukon River drainage and the dominate age groups were age-0.4 and age-0.3.

Age and sex composition of summer chum salmon passing the sonar site changes through the duration of the run. Usually, the trend is an increasing proportion of younger salmon and a higher proportion of female salmon as the run progresses (Fair 1997); the 2015 run was consistent with this pattern (Table 6). Female summer chum salmon accounted for $54 \%$ of the entire run, which is close to the 1972-2014 average of $55.7 \%$ (Figure 11).

## Hydrologic and Climatological Conditions

The objective of monitoring hydrological parameters daily at the project site was met in 2015. The water level remained fairly low throughout the season and the lowest levels were recorded between July 2 and July 14 (Figure 12). There was 1 major increase, which began on July 15. The water level rose above the zero datum mark on July 16 and remained above through July 21. Overall, between June 22 and July 27, minimum and maximum water level differed by 26 cm . Water temperatures on the right bank ranged from $18.9^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on June 20 to $11.3^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on July 4 (Figure 13). The average air temperature was $21^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ and temperatures ranged from a high of $27^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on June 23 to a low of $13^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ on July 15 (Appendix A).

## DISCUSSION

Prior to this season, passage estimation was calculated by hand entering DIDSON counts into a Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Excel spreadsheet. Computation in the spreadsheet expanded the counts for the full hour, adjusted counts for missing samples from both banks, and calculated the daily passage by summing the hourly passage rates for each hour (Lozori 2015b). This season, the use of Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Excel was eliminated, and text files output from Echotastic were directly imported into the statistical package R (R Core Team 2015). Passage estimation was calculated using an R script. This method simplified passage estimation by eliminating several calculations for missing data, as well as eliminating transcription errors. Additionally, the R script output provided diagnostic tables and charts, which presented daily information pertaining to hourly passage, fish distribution, and daily passage estimates by stratum. The output information was useful inseason for analysis of fish passage and helped evaluate performance of the sonar.
Next season, a Microsoft ${ }^{\circledR}$ Access database will replace the Excel sheet used in previous seasons (Lozori 2015b). The R script will use passage estimates as well the database entry to output an apportioned passage estimate of pink and summer chum salmon. Additionally, the database will provide diagnostic queries that will be used to quality check data entry, as well as provide convenient methods to export data sets for additional analysis.
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TABLES AND FIGURES

Table 1.-Technical specifications for dual frequency identification sonar (DIDSON) operated at the Anvik River sonar site, 2015.

| Setting | Bank | Value |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Mode | Right | High Frequency |
|  | Left | High Frequency |
| Frequency (MHz) | Right | 1.20 |
|  | Left | 1.80 |
| Number of beams | Right | 48 |
|  | Left | 96 |
| Window length (m) | Right | 20 m |
|  | Left | 10 m |
| Vertical beam width | Right | $2^{\circ}$ |
|  | Left | $14^{\circ}$ |
| Start range (m) | Both | 0.83 |
| Frame rate | Both | 6 frames/s |
| Duration in minutes | Both | 30 |
| Field of view | Both | $29^{\circ}$ |

Table 2.-Annual passage estimates and passage timing for summer chum salmon run, at the Anvik River sonar, 1979-2015.

-continued-

Table 2.-Page 2 of 2.

| Year | Sonar passage estimate | First count | First quartile | Median | Third quartile | Days between |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | First count and first quartile | First quartile and median | Median and third quartile | First and third quartile |
| 2007 | 460,121 | 6/26 | 7/5 | 7/10 | 7/17 | 9 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| 2008 | 374,928 | 6/18 | 7/5 | 7/8 | 7/16 | 17 | 3 | 8 | 11 |
| 2009 | 191,566 | 6/18 | 7/4 | 7/9 | 7/15 | 16 | 5 | 6 | 11 |
| 2010 | 396,173 | 6/16 | 7/8 | 7/12 | 7/18 | 22 | 4 | 6 | 10 |
| 2011 | 642,527 | 6/16 | 7/2 | 7/7 | 7/14 | 16 | 5 | 7 | 12 |
| 2012 | 484,090 | 6/18 | 7/9 | 7/14 | 7/18 | 21 | 5 | 4 | 9 |
| 2013 | 577,877 | 6/17 | 7/2 | 7/8 | 7/11 | 15 | 6 | 3 | 9 |
| 2014 | 399,796 | 6/17 | 7/1 | 7/5 | 7/10 | 14 | 4 | 5 | 9 |
| 2015 | 374,968 | 6/17 | 7/5 | 7/7 | 7/12 | 17 | 3 | 5 | 8 |
| Average | 582,003 | 6/21 | 7/3 | 7/8 | 7/13 | 12 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| Median | 483,136 | 6/21 | 7/4 | 7/8 | 7/14 | 11 | 5 | 5 | 10 |
| SD | 311,093 | 3.5 | 3.2 | 3.0 | 3.0 | 4.1 | 1.4 | 1.4 | 1.9 |

The mean, median, and standard deviation (SD) of the timing statistics includes estimates from years 1979-1984 and 1987-2014. In 1985 sonar counting operations began late and in 1986, sonar counting operations were terminated early, probably resulting in the incorrect calculation of the quartile statistics. Therefore, the 1985 and 1986 run timing statistics were excluded from the calculation of the overall mean and timing statistic and associated SD.

Table 3.-Summer chum salmon daily and cumulative counts, Anvik River sonar, 2015.

| Date |  | Left bank | Right bank | Daily totals | Cumulative |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  | Count | Proportion |
| 6/17 |  | 0 | 36 | 36 | 36 | 0.00 |
| 6/18 |  | 200 | 393 | 593 | 629 | 0.00 |
| 6/19 |  | 504 | 836 | 1,340 | 1,969 | 0.01 |
| 6/20 |  | 628 | 1,099 | 1,727 | 3,696 | 0.01 |
| 6/21 |  | 878 | 1,175 | 2,053 | 5,749 | 0.02 |
| 6/22 |  | 512 | 1,634 | 2,146 | 7,895 | 0.02 |
| 6/23 |  | 524 | 1,976 | 2,500 | 10,395 | 0.03 |
| 6/24 |  | 461 | 3,664 | 4,125 | 14,520 | 0.04 |
| 6/25 |  | 834 | 5,120 | 5,954 | 20,474 | 0.05 |
| 6/26 |  | 960 | 8,474 | 9,434 | 29,908 | 0.08 |
| 6/27 |  | 1,410 | 1,672 | 3,082 | 32,990 | 0.09 |
| 6/28 |  | 1,090 | 3,888 | 4,978 | 37,968 | 0.10 |
| 6/29 |  | 1,647 | 10,271 | 11,918 | 49,886 | 0.13 |
| 6/30 |  | 1,035 | 7,106 | 8,141 | 58,027 | 0.15 |
| 7/01 |  | 1,158 | 6,616 | 7,774 | 65,801 | 0.18 |
| 7/02 |  | 810 | 2,936 | 3,746 | 69,547 | 0.19 |
| 7/03 |  | 988 | 2,939 | 3,927 | 73,474 | 0.20 |
| 7/04 |  | 1,560 | 8,500 | 10,060 | 83,534 | 0.22 |
| 7/05 | a | 14,576 | 20,750 | 35,326 | 118,860 | 0.32 |
| 7/06 |  | 26,492 | 18,878 | 45,370 | 164,230 | 0.44 |
| 7/07 | b | 9,785 | 18,455 | 28,240 | 192,470 | 0.51 |
| 7/08 |  | 7,790 | 10,778 | 18,568 | 211,038 | 0.56 |
| 7/09 |  | 5,958 | 14,484 | 20,442 | 231,480 | 0.62 |
| 7/10 |  | 6,354 | 11,010 | 17,364 | 248,844 | 0.66 |
| 7/11 |  | 9,796 | 14,516 | 24,312 | 273,156 | 0.73 |
| 7/12 | c | 6,410 | 9,810 | 16,220 | 289,376 | 0.77 |
| 7/13 |  | 10,618 | 10,478 | 21,096 | 310,472 | 0.83 |
| 7/14 |  | 6,568 | 8,500 | 15,068 | 325,540 | 0.87 |
| 7/15 |  | 3,458 | 5,254 | 8,712 | 334,252 | 0.89 |
| 7/16 |  | 2,218 | 4,702 | 6,920 | 341,172 | 0.91 |
| 7/17 |  | 1,798 | 4,130 | 5,928 | 347,100 | 0.93 |
| 7/18 |  | 1,006 | 2,778 | 3,784 | 350,884 | 0.94 |
| 7/19 |  | 1,492 | 3,144 | 4,636 | 355,520 | 0.95 |
| 7/20 |  | 918 | 2,830 | 3,748 | 359,268 | 0.96 |
| 7/21 |  | 1,042 | 2,388 | 3,430 | 362,698 | 0.97 |
| 7/22 |  | 614 | 1,264 | 1,878 | 364,576 | 0.97 |
| 7/23 |  | 464 | 1,420 | 1,884 | 366,460 | 0.98 |
| 7/24 |  | 584 | 1,552 | 2,136 | 368,596 | 0.98 |
| 7/25 |  | 428 | 1,506 | 1,934 | 370,530 | 0.99 |
| 7/26 |  | 374 | 1,604 | 1,978 | 372,508 | 0.99 |
| 7/27 |  | 608 | 1,852 | 2,460 | 374,968 | 1.00 |
| Total |  | 134,550 | 240,418 | 374,968 |  |  |
| Var |  | 3,356,306 | 4,706,384 | 8,062,690 |  |  |
| SE |  | 1,832 | 2,169 | 2,839 |  |  |

Note: The large box indicates the central $50 \%$ of the summer chum salmon run (second and third quartiles).
${ }^{\text {a }}$ First quarter point.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Midpoint.
c Third quarter point.

Table 4.-Number of minutes by bank and day that were adjusted to calculate the daily salmon passage, and the resulting number of fish added to the estimate, Anvik River sonar, 2015.

| Date | Left bank |  | Right bank |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Minutes | Fish | Minutes | Fish |
| 6/17 | -90 | 0 | 600 | 30 |
| 6/18 | 360 | 100 | 390 | 213 |
| 6/19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/20 | 0 | 0 | 150 | 229 |
| 6/21 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 49 |
| 6/22 | 0 | 0 | 30 | 68 |
| 6/23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/24 | 103 | 66 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/26 | 120 | 160 | 60 | 706 |
| 6/27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/28 | 0 | 0 | 210 | 1,134 |
| 6/29 | 75 | 172 | 207 | 2,953 |
| 6/30 | 30 | 43 | 14 | 138 |
| 7/01 | 0 | 0 | 191 | 1,755 |
| 7/02 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/03 | 0 | 0 | 60 | 245 |
| 7/04 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/05 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/06 | 55 | 2,053 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/07 | 60 | 815 | 30 | 769 |
| 7/08 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/09 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/12 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/14 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/16 | 0 | 0 | 90 | 588 |
| 7/17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/19 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/21 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/22 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/24 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/26 | 55 | 29 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/27 | 360 | 304 | 360 | 926 |
| Total | 1,129 | 3,742 | 2,422 | 9,802 |

Table 5.-Number of summer chum, Chinook, and pink salmon observed during tower counts by day and bank at the Anvik River sonar, 2015.

|  | Left bank |  |  | Right bank |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Chum | Chinook | Pink | Chum | Chinook | Pink |
| 6/23 ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | 0 | 0 | 0 | 122 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/24 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 81 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/25 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/26 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 130 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/27 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 34 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 129 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/29 | 21 | 0 | 0 | 221 | 0 | 0 |
| 6/30 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 134 | 1 | 0 |
| 7/01 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 125 | 1 | 0 |
| 7/02 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/03 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 70 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/04 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/05 | 138 | 0 | 0 | 536 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/06 | 238 | 1 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/07 | 143 | 1 | 0 | 240 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/08 | 132 | 0 | 0 | 255 | 2 | 0 |
| 7/09 | 114 | 0 | 0 | 229 | 3 | 0 |
| 7/10 | 135 | 0 | 0 | 254 | 5 | 2 |
| 7/11 | 109 | 0 | 0 | 245 | 1 | 0 |
| 7/12 | 120 | 0 | 0 | 159 | 1 | 0 |
| 7/13 | 116 | 0 | 0 | 151 | 2 | 0 |
| 7/14 | 119 | 1 | 0 | 173 | 3 | 0 |
| 7/15 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 1 | 0 |
| 7/16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 1 | 0 |
| 7/17 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/19 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/20 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 53 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/21 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 54 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/22 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 20 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/23 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/24 | 27 | 1 | 224 | 55 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/25 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 30 | 1 | 1 |
| 7/26 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 44 | 0 | 0 |
| 7/27 | ND | ND | ND | 15 | 0 | 0 |
| Total | 1,573 | 5 | 224 | 4,349 | 22 | 3 |

Note: ND indicates that data was not collected.
a First day of tower counts.

Table 6.-Age and sex composition of summer chum salmon at the Anvik River sonar, 2015.

|  | Sample dates <br> (Strata) | Samples ( $n$ ) | Sex | Brood year (age) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 2012 (0.2) |  | 2011 (0.3) |  | 2010 (0.4) |  | 2009 (0.5) |  | Total |  |
|  |  |  |  | Estimate | \% | Estimate | \% | Estimate | \% | Estimate | \% | Estimate | \% |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 2 / 2005 \\ & (6 / 17-7 / 05) \end{aligned}$ | 98 | Male | 0 | 0 | 8,435 | 7.1 | 50,608 | 42.9 | 1,205 | 1 | 60,248 | 51 |
|  |  |  | Female | 0 | 0 | 15,664 | 13.3 | 40,969 | 34.7 | 1,205 | 1 | 57,838 | 49 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 0 | 0 | 24,099 | 20.4 | 91,577 | 77.6 | 2,410 | 2 | 118,086 | 100 |
|  | $\begin{aligned} & 7 / 7 / 2008 \\ & (7 / 06-08) \end{aligned}$ | 106 | Male | 0 | 0 | 14,783 | 16 | 30,436 | 33 | 870 | 0.9 | 46,089 | 50 |
|  |  |  | Female | 1,739 | 1.9 | 16,522 | 17.9 | 25,219 | 27.4 | 2,609 | 2.8 | 46,089 | 50 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 1,739 | 1.9 | 31,306 | 34 | 55,655 | 60.4 | 3,478 | 3.8 | 92,178 | 100 |
|  | $\begin{gathered} 7 / 10-12 \\ (7 / 09-13) \end{gathered}$ | 185 | Male | 1,075 | 1.1 | 17,737 | 17.8 | 26,874 | 27 | 537 | 0.5 | 46,223 | 46.5 |
|  |  |  | Female | 537 | 0.5 | 21,499 | 21.6 | 31,174 | 31.4 | 0 | 0 | 53,211 | 53.5 |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 1,612 | 1.6 | 39,236 | 39.5 | 58,048 | 58.4 | 537 | 0.5 | 99,434 | 100 |
| $\cdots$ |  | 249 | Male | $1,036$ | $1.6$ | $10,620$ | $16.5$ | $8,030$ | $12.4$ | $0$ | $0$ | $19,686$ | $30.5$ |
|  | $(7 / 14-27)$ |  | Female | $2,331$ | $3.6$ | $24,348$ | $37.8$ | $17,872$ | $27.7$ | 259 | 0.4 | $44,810$ | $69.5$ |
|  |  |  | Subtotal | 3,367 | 5.2 | 34,968 | 54.2 | 25,902 | 40.2 | 259 | 0.4 | 64,496 | 100 |
|  | Weighted total | 638 | Male | 2,111 | 0.6 | 51,575 | 13.8 | 115,948 | 31 | 2,612 | 0.7 | 172,246 | 46 |
|  |  |  | Female | 4,608 | 1.2 | 78,034 | 20.9 | 115,233 | 30.8 | 4,073 | 1.1 | 201,948 | 54 |
|  |  |  | Total | 6,719 | 1.8 | 129,609 | 34.6 | 231,182 | 61.8 | 6,685 | 1.8 | 374,194 | 100 |
|  |  | 95\% CI ( $\pm$ \%) |  | 0.9 |  | 4 |  | 4.1 |  | 1.3 |  | 0.1 |  |

Note: Number of fish per strata and age class is based on the sonar estimate multiplied by the percent of fish in the age class.


Figure 1.-Alaska portion of the Yukon River drainage with communities and fishing districts.


Figure 2.-Anvik River drainage with historical chum salmon escapement project locations.


Figure 3.-Depth profile of the Anvik River (downstream view), and approximate sonar ranges at the Anvik River sonar project, 2015. Note: To avoid damage to the outboard motor and transducer, bathymetric data collection began offshore at a depth of approximately 0.5 m .


Figure 4.-DIDSON sonar equipment schematic, Anvik River sonar, 2015.
Note: Both the left bank and right bank laptops are housed in the right bank sonar tent.


Figure 5.-View of a DIDSON mounted to aluminum H-mount with manual crank-style rotator at the Sheenjek sonar project.

Note: This mount is comparable to the one used at the Anvik sonar project, on the Anvik River.


Figure 6.-Anvik River sonar site, illustrating locations of sonar, weirs, and counting towers on the Anvik River, 2015.


Figure 7.-(A) Daily proportion of summer chum salmon passage at the Anvik River sonar project compared to the proportion of summer chum salmon at the sonar project near the village of Pilot Station, and (B) cumulative proportions of summer chum salmon passage at both projects, 2015.

Note: The timing of Anvik summer chum salmon is lagged back 8 days to align with Pilot Station.


Figure 8.-Left bank (A) and right bank (B) horizontal distribution of upstream salmon passage at the Anvik River sonar project, June 17 through July 27, 2015.




Figure 9.-Percentage of total summer chum passage, by hour, observed on the left bank (top), right bank (middle), and both banks combined (bottom) at the Anvik sonar project site, 2015.


Figure 10.-Summer chum salmon age composition by sampling strata at the Anvik River sonar project, 2015.


Figure 11.-Percent of female summer chum salmon escapement estimated at the Anvik River sonar project, 1972-2015.


Figure 12.-Change in daily water elevation, relative to June 22, measured at the Anvik River sonar project, 2015.


Figure 13.-Daily right bank water temperatures at the Anvik River sonar project, 2015.

## APPENDIX A: CLIMATIC OBSERVATIONS

Appendix A1.-Climatic observations recorded daily at 1600 hours, at the Anvik sonar project site, 2015.

| Date | Precipitation (code) ${ }^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Wind |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Sky } \\ \text { code }^{\text {c }} \end{gathered}$ | Air temperature ( ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ ) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Direction ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Speed (kph) |  |  |
| 6/17 | A | V | 5-10 | C | 26 |
| 6/18 | A | V | 5-10 | C | 26 |
| 6/19 | A | V | 0-05 | C | 25 |
| 6/20 | B | V | 0-05 | C | 26 |
| 6/21 | A | W | 5-10 | C | 26 |
| 6/22 | A | V | 0-05 | C | 26 |
| 6/23 | A | V | 0-05 | F | 27 |
| 6/24 | A | V | 5-10 | F | 24 |
| 6/25 | A | SW | 15-20 | C | 25 |
| 6/26 | A | SSW | 8-12 | C | 20 |
| 6/27 | B | V | 6-10 | O | 16 |
| 6/28 | A | V | 10-14 | C | 21 |
| 6/29 | A | V | 9-15 | S | 20 |
| 6/30 | B | V | 5-10 | B | 19 |
| 7/01 | B | V | 5-10 | B | 19 |
| 7/02 | A | SSW | 5-10 | O | 18 |
| 7/03 | B | W | 5-10 | O | 21 |
| 7/04 | A | E | 15-20 | O | 19 |
| 7/05 | B | E | 10-20 | S | 22 |
| 7/06 | B | E | 5-10 | B | 19 |
| 7/07 | A | E | 0-10 | B | 21 |
| 7/08 | B | V | 0-10 | B | 19 |
| 7/09 | B | E | 5-10 | O | 19 |
| 7/10 | B | V | 0-05 | B | 22 |
| 7/11 | A | E | 10-15 | B | 20 |
| 7/12 | A | E | 5-10 | S | 24 |
| 7/13 | B | V | 5-10 | ND | 24 |
| 7/14 | B | E | 10-15 | O | 16 |
| 7/15 | C | V | 0-05 | O | 13 |
| 7/16 | B | SE | 10-20 | O | 14 |
| 7/17 | C | SE | 5-10 | O | 14 |
| 7/18 | B | E | 10-15 | O | 14 |
| 7/19 | B | SE | 0-05 | O | 15 |
| 7/20 | C | S | 0-10 | ND | 25 |
| 7/21 | A | S | 0-05 | ND | 21 |
| 7/22 | B | S | 5-10 | ND | 19 |
| 7/23 | B | SE | 0-10 | ND | 20 |
| 7/24 | ND | SE | 5-10 | ND | 24 |
| 7/25 | A | E | 5-10 | ND | 23 |
| 7/26 | A | SE | 5-10 | ND | 25 |
| 7/27 | A | E | 5-10 | ND | 25 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Precipitation code for the preceding 24 hour period: $\mathrm{A}=$ none; $\mathrm{B}=$ intermittent rain; $\mathrm{C}=$ continuous rain; $\mathrm{D}=$ snow and rain mixed; $\mathrm{E}=$ light snowfall; $\mathrm{F}=$ continuous snowfall; $\mathrm{G}=$ thunderstorm with or without precipitation.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Wind direction code: $\mathrm{N}=$ North; $\mathrm{S}=$ South; $\mathrm{E}=$ East; $\mathrm{W}=\mathrm{West} ; \mathrm{V}=$ Variable.
c Instantaneous cloud cover code: $\mathrm{C}=$ clear, cloud cover $<10 \%$ of sky; $\mathrm{S}=$ cloud cover $<60 \%$ of sky; $\mathrm{B}=$ cloud cover $60-90 \%$ of sky; $\mathrm{O}=$ overcast $(100 \%) ; \mathrm{F}=$ fog, thick haze or smoke.


[^0]:    1 Lebida, R. C. Unpublished. Yukon River anadromous fish investigations, 1973. Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Juneau.

[^1]:    2 Product names used in this report are included for scientific completeness but do not constitute a product endorsement.

