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| Weights and measures (metric) |  | General |  | Measures (fisheries) |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| centimeter | cm | Alaska Administrative |  | fork length | FL |
| deciliter | dL | Code | AAC | mid eye to fork | MEF |
| gram | g | all commonly accepted |  | mid eye to tail fork | METF |
| hectare | ha | abbreviations | e.g., Mr., Mrs., | standard length | SL |
| kilogram | kg |  | AM, PM, etc. | total length | TL |
| kilometer | km | all commonly accepted |  |  |  |
| liter | L | professional titles | e.g., Dr., Ph.D., | Mathematics, statistics |  |
| meter | m |  | R.N., etc. | all standard mathematical |  |
| milliliter | mL | at | (a) | signs, symbols and |  |
| millimeter | mm | compass directions: |  | abbreviations |  |
|  |  | east | E | alternate hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{\mathrm{A}}$ |
| Weights and measures (English) |  | north | N | base of natural logarithm | $e$ |
| cubic feet per second | $\mathrm{ft}^{3} / \mathrm{s}$ | south | S | catch per unit effort | CPUE |
| foot | ft | west | W | coefficient of variation | CV |
| gallon | gal | copyright | © | common test statistics | (F, t, $\chi^{2}$, etc.) |
| inch | in | corporate suffixes: |  | confidence interval | CI |
| mile | mi | Company | Co. | correlation coefficient |  |
| nautical mile | nmi | Corporation | Corp. | (multiple) | R |
| ounce | oz | Incorporated | Inc. | correlation coefficient |  |
| pound | lb | Limited | Ltd. | (simple) | r |
| quart | qt | District of Columbia | D.C. | covariance | cov |
| yard | yd | et alii (and others) | et al. | degree (angular) | - |
|  |  | et cetera (and so forth) | etc. | degrees of freedom | df |
| Time and temperature |  | exempli gratia |  | expected value | E |
| day | d | (for example) | e.g. | greater than | $>$ |
| degrees Celsius | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{C}$ | Federal Information |  | greater than or equal to | $\geq$ |
| degrees Fahrenheit | ${ }^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$ | Code | FIC | harvest per unit effort | HPUE |
| degrees kelvin | K | id est (that is) | i.e. | less than | < |
| hour | h | latitude or longitude | lat. or long. | less than or equal to | $\leq$ |
| minute | min | monetary symbols |  | logarithm (natural) | $\ln$ |
| second | S | (U.S.) | \$, ¢ | logarithm (base 10) | $\log$ |
|  |  | months (tables and |  | logarithm (specify base) | $\log _{2}$, etc. |
| Physics and chemistry |  | figures): first three |  | minute (angular) |  |
| all atomic symbols |  | letters | Jan,..., Dec | not significant | NS |
| alternating current | AC | registered trademark | (®) | null hypothesis | $\mathrm{H}_{0}$ |
| ampere | A | trademark | тм | percent | \% |
| calorie | cal | United States |  | probability | P |
| direct current | DC | (adjective) | U.S. | probability of a type I error |  |
| hertz | Hz | United States of |  | (rejection of the null |  |
| horsepower | hp | America (noun) | USA | hypothesis when true) | $\alpha$ |
| hydrogen ion activity (negative $\log$ of) | pH | U.S.C. | United States Code | probability of a type II error (acceptance of the null |  |
| parts per million | ppm | U.S. state | use two-letter | hypothesis when false) | $\beta$ |
| parts per thousand | ppt, |  | abbreviations | second (angular) | " |
|  | \% |  | (e.g., AK, WA) | standard deviation | SD |
| volts | V |  |  | standard error | SE |
| watts | W |  |  | variance |  |
|  |  |  |  | population sample | Var var |
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#### Abstract

In 1993 the Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, initiated a project to monitor the status of Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha stocks of Karluk, Ayakulik and Chignik rivers. This report presents data collected during 2004 and 2005. During this time period the inriver returns of Chinook salmon to Karluk and Ayakulik rivers were censused by counting fish passing through weirs on the rivers, while inriver returns to Chignik River were estimated from sample weir counts taken at hourly intervals. Age and sex compositions of inriver returns were estimated by sampling Chinook salmon at the weirs. Total sport fishing effort, and catch and harvest of Chinook salmon for Karluk and Ayakulik rivers were estimated annually through the Statewide Harvest Survey (SWHS); sport fisheries occurring upriver of weirs at both drainages were censused during 2004.


In 2004, the inriver return to Karluk River was 7,525 Chinook salmon. Anglers harvested an estimated 1,113 (720 above the weir, from census) Chinook salmon and released 1,974. Estimated sport fishing effort for the entire season was 2,249 angler-days. Estimated spawning escapement was 6,805 Chinook salmon.

In 2005, the inriver return to Karluk River was 4,798 Chinook salmon. Anglers harvested an estimated 368 Chinook salmon (114 above the weir, $\mathrm{SE}=68$ ) and released 715. Estimated sport fishing effort for the entire season was 3,332 angler-days. Estimated spawning escapement was 4,684 $(\mathrm{SE}=165)$ Chinook salmon.

In 2004, the inriver return to Ayakulik River was 24,830 Chinook salmon. Census statistics of sport fishery catch, harvest, and total effort include 405 Chinook harvested, 7,417 released and 1,423 days of directed Chinook salmon angler effort. Spawning escapement was 24,425 Chinook salmon.

In 2005, the inriver return to Ayakulik River was 8,340 Chinook salmon. Anglers harvested an estimated 489 $(\mathrm{SE}=176)$ Chinook salmon and released 7,545 . Estimated sport fishing effort for the entire season was 2,515 angler-days. Estimated spawning escapement was $7,851(\mathrm{SE}=176)$ Chinook salmon.

In 2004, the estimated inriver return to Chignik River was 7,840 Chinook salmon. A total of 2,520 Chinook salmon were harvested in the commercial purse seine fishery in Chignik Lagoon. In 2005, the estimated inriver return to the Chignik River was 6,486 Chinook salmon. A total of 2,714 Chinook salmon were harvested in the commercial purse seine fishery in Chignik Lagoon.

Key words: Chinook salmon, Oncorhynchus tshawytscha, escapement, Karluk River, Ayakulik River, Chignik River, age, length, sex composition, sport harvest, sport effort.

## INTRODUCTION

The largest Chinook salmon Oncorhynchus tshawytscha populations in the Kodiak Management Area (the Kodiak Island Archipelago, Alaska Peninsula waters west of Cape Douglas on the Pacific side and Cape Mensikof on the Bering side, and the Aleutian Islands) occur in Karluk, Ayakulik, and Chignik rivers. All three populations support sport fisheries and are also harvested incidentally by commercial fisheries targeting sockeye salmon Oncorhynchus nerka. Subsistence fisheries also harvest relatively small numbers of Chinook salmon from each of these drainages. In order to improve Chinook salmon management for the benefit of users it is essential to establish escapement goals that accurately reflect the production capacities of each stock. The goals of this study are to estimate the age, sex, and length compositions of Chinook salmon returns, estimate total spawning escapements, and document estimated or censused sport harvests and total incidental commercial catch. These data can be used to construct brood tables and refine escapement goals. Adjusting escapement goals to the most effective level will allow for maximum production and harvest opportunity.

## The Karluk River

Karluk River, located on the southwest end of Kodiak Island (Figure 1), contains one of only two native populations of Chinook salmon found on the Kodiak Archipelago. From its source at the outlet of Karluk Lake, Karluk River flows 35.2 km (22-mi) to its terminus at Karluk Lagoon.


Figure 1.-Karluk and Ayakulik rivers on Kodiak Island with the general location of ADF\&G weirs.

Large portions of the uplands surrounding Karluk River are currently held in private ownership. Chinook salmon of Karluk River origin are harvested in sport, commercial, and subsistence fisheries.

The primary commercial harvest of Karluk River Chinook salmon likely occurs in a mixed-stock fishery along the west side of Kodiak Island (Appendix A1). Chinook salmon incidentally harvested in this area probably includes stocks from Karluk and Ayakulik rivers, but also stocks of unknown origin. This fishery annually opens by regulation as early as 1 June. Because $94 \%$ of the escapement to Karluk River typically occurs by 15 July, mature Karluk River Chinook salmon are susceptible to commercial exploitation from around 1 June through 15 July. The Alaska Department of Fish and Game Commercial Fisheries Division (CFD) documents commercial harvests of Chinook salmon through fish ticket reports received from fish processors.

Residents of Karluk Village also harvest Karluk River Chinook salmon for subsistence. Harvest in this fishery is documented through subsistence permits issued by the CFD. Between 1996 and 2005 the reported annual harvests ranged from 4 to 165 Chinook salmon (Table 1).

Chinook salmon are harvested in the sport fishery throughout Karluk River and in Karluk Lagoon. Anglers typically access this fishery by flying in with an aircraft charter service. Wheelplanes land and take off from the airstrip in the village of Karluk. Floatplanes touch down and lift off from Karluk Lake, Karluk Lagoon, or mid-river in an area known as the Portage. Guided anglers also access the Portage area by an overland trail from the community of Larsen Bay. Also, fly-in parties, who access the fishery upstream, often float the river to its terminus.

Sport harvests of Karluk River Chinook salmon as well as overall fishing effort are estimated by the Statewide Harvest Survey (SWHS) (Howe et al. 2001 a-d; Walker et al. 2003; Jennings et al. 2004, 2006a-b, 2007, 2009). In addition, sport fishery catch and effort information is available from a creel census of the sport fishery above the lagoon conducted in 2002 through 2004 and a partial census in 2005 (Schwarz et al. 2003; Schwarz et al. In prep). Between the late 1980s and early 1990s estimated total sport fishing effort on Karluk River Chinook salmon doubled (Mills 1988-1994; Howe et al. 1995), while the estimated annual harvests of Chinook salmon also increased during this period. During the mid-1990s both effort and harvest remained relatively stable (Howe et al. 1996, $2001 \mathrm{a}-\mathrm{c}$; ), although between 1999 and 2000 the estimated Chinook salmon harvest increased by more than $60 \%$ (Howe et al. 2001d; Walker et al. 2003). In 2001, 2002, and 2004 the estimated sport harvest remained relatively stable although the effort decreased (Jennings et al. 2004, 2006a, 2007). In 2003, both the estimated sport harvest and effort were at a 10 -year low (Jennings et al. 2006b, Table 1, Figure 2).
The CFD operates a weir on Karluk River located approximately $1 / 4$ mile upriver of Karluk Lagoon. Between 1996 and 2005, counts of Chinook salmon migrating through the weir have ranged from 4,453 to 13,443 fish and the 1996-2004 mean was 9,296 fish (Table 1, Figure 2, and Appendix B1). Weir counts prior to 1996 (dating back to 1976) averaged around 9,000 fish (Schwarz et al. 2002).

Table 1.-Total commercial harvest of Chinook salmon from Inner and Outer Karluk Section statistical areas, Karluk River inriver Chinook salmon return, estimated sport harvests, 1996-2005; and reported subsistence harvests, 1996-2005.

| Year | Inner and Outer Karluk Section Statistical Area Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Karluk River |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Subsistence Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Inriver Return ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Sport Fishery ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Harvest | (SE) | Release | Effort ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 1996 | 1,662 | 4 | 10,051 | 1,695 | 353 | 8,641 | 4,665 |
| 1997 | 1,445 | 17 | 13,443 | 1,574 | 285 | 9,119 | 5,043 |
| 1998 | 252 | 4 | 10,239 | 1,173 | 224 | 6,150 | 4,223 |
| 1999 | 1,067 | 7 | 13,063 | 1,766 | 317 | 5,957 | 6,239 |
| 2000 | 693 | 22 | 10,460 | 2,581 | 427 | 8,165 | 8,301 |
| 2001 | 2,588 | 24 | 4,453 | 1,304 | 257 | 3,676 | 5,589 |
| $2002{ }^{\text {f }}$ | 1,262 | 165 | 7,175 | 1,086 | 307 | 2,533 | 3,119 |
| $2003{ }^{\text {g }}$ | 1,336 | 6 | 7,256 | 584 | 139 | 1,872 | 1,785 |
| $2004{ }^{\text {h }}$ | 2,249 | 15 | 7,525 | 1,113 | 144 | 1,974 | 2,249 |
| $2005^{\text {i }}$ | $339^{\text {j }}$ | 5 | 4,798 | 368 | 165 | 715 | 3,332 |
| 1996-2004 Mean | 1,395 | 29 | 9,296 | 1,431 | 273 | 5,343 | 4,579 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries statewide electronic fish ticket database. Includes all Chinook salmon harvested annually between Rocky Point and Cape Karluk through 15 July. See Appendix A1 for harvest by inclusive statistical areas.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Based on subsistence harvest records maintained by ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Westward Region; includes all reported harvest in Karluk Sections.
c Census of Chinook salmon passing Karluk River weir (Spalinger 2006)
${ }^{d}$ Statewide Harvest Survey (SWHS, Howe et al. 2001 a-d; Walker et al. 2003; Jennings et al. 2004, 2006a-b, 2007, 2009).
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Units are angler-days. Includes effort directed toward all species.
${ }^{f}$ Sport fishery estimates include census above weir of 601 fish harvested, 2,268 released, and 1,745 anglerdays (numbers corrected from original reported by Schwarz et al. (2003)) and SWHS estimates of 485 fish harvested, 265 released, and 1,374 angler-days below the weir (Jennings et al. 2006a).
${ }^{\mathrm{g}}$ Sport fishery estimates include census above weir of 291 fish harvested, 1,513 released, and 758 angler-days (Schwarz et al. In prep) and SWHS estimates of $293(\mathrm{SE}=139)$ fish harvested, 359 released, and 1,027 angler-days below the weir (Jennings et al. 2006b).
${ }^{\text {h }}$ Sport fishery estimates include census above weir of 720 fish harvested, 1,359 released, and 605 angler-days (Schwarz et al. In prep) and SWHS estimates of $393(\mathrm{SE}=144)$ fish harvested, 615 released, and 1,644 angler-days below the weir (Jennings et al. 2007).
${ }^{\text {i }}$ Sport fishery estimates include SWHS estimates of $114(\mathrm{SE}=68)$ fish harvested, 505 released, and 1,044 angler-days above the weir and $254(\mathrm{SE}=150)$ fish harvested, 210 released, and 2,288 angler-days below the weir (Jennings et al. 2009).
${ }^{j}$ Total commercial harvest comprised only of fish $<28$ in because of inseason regulations prohibiting retention of larger fish.


Figure 2.-Chinook salmon inriver return, estimated Chinook salmon sport harvest, and spawning escapement, 1996-2005, and sport fishing effort (angler-days) for all species at Karluk River, 1996-2005.

The current Karluk River Chinook salmon minimum biological escapement goal was set at 3,600 spawning fish based on an analysis of age composition and escapement data available through 2004 (Nelson et al. 2005). The sport fishery is allowed to proceed without inseason restrictions if interim escapement levels projecting a total spawning escapement at or above the minimum goal are achieved. The current management approach assumes a Chinook salmon sport fishing mortality above the weir within the range of 1,000 to 1,500 fish.

## The Ayakulik River

Ayakulik River, located about 25 miles south of Karluk River (Figure 1), contains the only other native population of Chinook salmon on Kodiak Island. With the exception of approximately 1 square mile surrounding the stream terminus, all uplands surrounding Ayakulik River are public lands within Kodiak National Wildlife Refuge. Chinook salmon of Ayakulik River origin are harvested in the mixed-stock commercial fishery along the west side of Kodiak Island (Table 2). Reported subsistence harvests of Ayakulik River Chinook salmon have been negligible, averaging 16 fish annually since 1996
Chinook salmon are also harvested in the sport fishery, which generally occurs between the confluence of the Ayakulik and Red rivers and Ayakulik Lagoon. Anglers typically access this fishery via seaplane; landing either at the lagoon or upriver near the confluence of Ayakulik River and Bare Creek. Upriver anglers often raft down Ayakulik River and exit the fishery at the lagoon. Two commercial sport-fishing lodges located near Ayakulik Lagoon also provide

Table 2.-Total commercial harvest of Chinook salmon from Inner and Outer Ayakulik Section statistical areas, Ayakulik River inriver Chinook salmon return, estimated sport harvests, 1996-2005; and reported subsistence harvests, 1996-2005.

| Year | Inner and Outer Ayakulik Section Statistical Area Harvest ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | Ayakulik River |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | Subsistence Harvest ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | Inriver Return ${ }^{\text {c }}$ | Sport Fishery ${ }^{\text {d }}$ |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  | Harvest | (SE) | Release | Effort ${ }^{\text {e }}$ |
| 1996 | 3,723 | 0 | 10,344 | 419 | (168) | 1,972 | 1,524 |
| 1997 | 812 | 0 | 14,357 | 1,190 | (451) | 5,989 | 3,374 |
| 1998 | 3,795 | 0 | 14,038 | 259 | (93) | 3,245 | 1,314 |
| 1999 | 3,564 | 26 | 13,503 | 609 | (207) | 2,825 | 2,165 |
| 2000 | 3,416 | 38 | 20,527 | 803 | (209) | 7,578 | 1,808 |
| 2001 | 6,727 | 16 | 13,929 | 568 | (182) | 8,135 | 3,173 |
| 2002 | 85 | 37 | 12,552 | 362 | (135) | 5,282 | 1,715 |
| 2003 | 0 | 14 | 17,557 | 451 | (0) | 4,768 | 1,725 |
| 2004 | 158 | 16 | 24,830 | 405 | (0) | 7,417 | 1,423 |
| 2005 | 0 | 8 | 8,340 | 489 | (176) | 7,545 | 2,515 |
| 1996-2004 Mean | 2,476 | 16 | 15,737 | 563 |  | 5,246 | 2,025 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Source: ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries Statewide electronic fish ticket database. Includes all Chinook salmon harvested annually between Cape Ikolik and approximately N57.05.00' through 15 July. See Appendix A1 for harvest by inclusive statistical areas.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Based on subsistence harvest records maintained by ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries, Westward Region; includes all reported harvest in Red (Ayakulik) River Section.
${ }^{\text {c }}$ Census of Chinook salmon passing Ayakulik River weir (Spalinger 2006).
${ }^{\text {d }}$ 1996-2002 and 2005 numbers are from Statewide Harvest Survey (SWHS; Howe et al. 2001 a-d; Walker et al. 2003; Jennings et al. 2004, 2006a-b, 2007, 2009). 2003, 2004, and 2005 data are from creel census (Tracy and Schmidt In prep; Schwarz et al. In prep).
${ }^{\mathrm{e}}$ Units are angler-days; includes effort directed toward all species.
accommodations for anglers. During years when aircraft cannot access the lagoon because of low water levels, most visitors exit the fishery from the upriver location. In other years as many as 50 angler parties have floated downriver from Bare Creek to exit at the lagoon. Estimated sport harvests of Ayakulik River Chinook salmon have varied, ranging from 259 to 1,190 fish annually since 1996 (Table 2, Figure 3). Between 1996 and 2004 estimates of overall sport fishing effort averaged 2,025 angler-days.
Annual Chinook salmon inriver escapements are enumerated through a weir operated by the CFD just upstream of Ayakulik Lagoon. From 1996 through 2004, Chinook salmon escapements averaged 15,737 fish, with a peak escapement of 24,830 fish in 2004. Other relatively large escapements (i.e., exceeding 17,000 fish) occurred in 2000 and 2003 (Table 2, Figure 3, Appendix B2).


Figure 3.-Ayakulik River Chinook salmon inriver return, estimated Chinook salmon sport harvest, and spawning escapement, 1996-2005, and sport fishing effort (angler-days) for all species, 1996-2005.

The current Ayakulik River Chinook salmon minimum biological escapement goal was set at 4,600 spawning fish based on an analysis of age composition and escapement data available through 2004 (Nelson et al. 2005). A prior assessment of the Ayakulik River Chinook salmon stock, conducted by the U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) in 1989, estimated a maximum available spawning habitat capable of accommodating approximately 10,400 adult fish (Handler and Chatto 1989).

Similar to management of Karluk River, the Ayakulik River sport fishery is allowed to proceed without inseason restrictions if interim escapement levels projecting a total inriver count (minus an average estimated upriver sport harvest) meeting or exceeding the minimum goal are achieved. The current management approach assumes an annual Chinook salmon sport fishing mortality above the weir of less than 1,000 fish.

## The Chignik River

Chignik River, located on the Alaska Peninsula near the village of Chignik (Figure 4), is the largest Chinook salmon-producing system on the southern shore of the Alaska Peninsula. Sport, commercial, and subsistence fisheries harvest Chinook salmon of Chignik River origin.


Figure 4.-Chignik River on Alaska Peninsula with the general location of the ADF\&G weir.

Commercial harvests of Chignik River-bound Chinook salmon occur incidentally in the Chignik commercial sockeye salmon fishery which takes place both in Chignik Lagoon and in outside waters. Peak Chinook salmon harvests typically occur during July. Between 1996 and 2005, commercial harvests of Chinook salmon from Chignik Lagoon ranged from 595 to 2,834 fish and the 1996-2004 mean was 1,631 fish (Table 3). Reported subsistence harvests of Chignik River Chinook salmon since 1996 have ranged from 28 to 267 fish.

The sport fishery occurs primarily in a 2-mile reach of Chignik River between an ADF\&G weir and the outlet of Chignik Lake. Annual estimates of total sport harvests of Chignik River Chinook salmon have not been published by the SWHS because annual sample sizes were too small to precisely estimate effort, harvest, and catch. However, a creel survey conducted by ADF\&G in 1998 estimated a harvest of 168 Chinook salmon (Schwarz et al. 2002), and the unpublished harvest estimates from the SWHS (Table 3) were similarly low, though increasing in 2004 and 2005.

Table 3.-Commercial and subsistence harvests, estimated sport harvests, and inriver returns of Chignik River Chinook salmon, 1996-2005.

|  | Total Chignik <br> Area Commercial <br> Harvest $^{\mathrm{a}}$ | Chignik Lagoon <br> Commercial $^{\text {Harvest }^{\mathrm{b}}}$ | Inriver <br> Return $^{\mathrm{c}}$ | Subsistence <br> Harvest $^{\mathrm{d}}$ | Sport <br> Harvest $^{\mathrm{e}}$ |
| :---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Year | 3,105 | 1,579 | 3,485 | 48 | - |
| 1996 | 3,032 | 1,289 | 3,824 | 28 | - |
| 1997 | 4,395 | 1,700 | 3,075 | 91 | $168(0)$ |
| 1998 | 3,296 | 2,101 | 3,728 | 243 | $22(23)$ |
| 1999 | 2,592 | 595 | 4,285 | 162 | $87(46)$ |
| 2000 | 2,849 | 1,142 | 2,992 | 170 | $190(127)$ |
| 2001 | 1,521 | 920 | 3,028 | 74 | $99(70)$ |
| 2002 | 3,059 | 2,834 | 6,412 | 267 | $164(93)$ |
| 2003 | 2,520 | 2,520 | 7,840 | 88 | $349(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a})$ |
| 2004 | 3,408 | 2,714 | 6,486 | 224 | $364(\mathrm{n} / \mathrm{a})$ |
| 2005 |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | 2,930 | 1,631 | 4,297 | 130 | - |

${ }^{\text {a, }}{ }^{\text {b }}$ Source: ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries statewide electronic fish ticket database. Total Chignik Area includes all Chinook harvested during the entire fishing season within salmon statistical areas between Kilokak Rocks and Kupreanof Point on the Alaska Peninsula; Chignik Lagoon includes all Chinook harvested during the entire fishing season within statistical area 271-10.
c Inriver returns based on tallies from replayed video counts recorded daily during all hours of weir operation (Stichert 2007).
${ }^{\text {d }}$ Based on subsistence harvest records maintained by ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries and Division of Subsistence; figures given are the sum of all communities reporting harvest estimates for the Chignik Management Area (Stichert 2007).
${ }^{\text {e }}$ Sport harvest estimate for 1998 is from a creel survey (Schwarz et al. 2002). Others are unpublished Statewide Harvest Survey estimates (unpublished data, G. B. Jennings, project manager, Alaska Statewide Harvest Survey, Alaska Department of Fish and Game, Division of Sport Fish, Anchorage; see Methods, standard errors in parentheses where available). "-" = value can't be calculated due to limitations of the data.

The CFD operates the ADF\&G weir on Chignik River located approximately midway between Chignik Lagoon and Chignik Lake. Between 1996 and 2005, estimates of total Chinook salmon escapement ranged from approximately 3,028 to 7,840 fish and the 1996-2004 mean was 4,297 fish (Table 3, Figure 5, Appendix B3).

In 1993, a Ricker stock-recruit model (Ricker 1975) was constructed using limited available data to develop a Chinook salmon BEG (Len Schwarz, ADF\&G Kodiak, personal communication). The model output estimated maximum sustained yield at an escapement level of 3,000 fish, although a minimum escapement goal of 1,750 fish was selected in order to provide escapement sufficient to sustain the return while allowing fisheries to proceed during lower escapement
years. Because of an apparent $18 \%$ overestimation error of inriver return discovered in 1993, the BEG range of $1,750-3,000$ fish was subsequently lowered by $18 \%$. The current Chignik River Chinook salmon minimum biological escapement goal has been set at 1,300 spawning fish based on an analysis of age composition and escapement data available through 2004 (Nelson et al. 2005). The sport fishery is allowed to proceed without inseason restrictions if interim escapement levels project an inriver estimate that will meet or exceed the minimum goal. The current management approach assumes a Chinook salmon sport fishing mortality above the weir of approximately 200 fish.

## Study Objectives

Study objectives were as follows:

1. Count the inriver return of Chinook salmon to Karluk and Ayakulik weirs; estimate the inriver return to Chignik weir.
2. Estimate the age, sex, and length composition of the inriver return of Chinook salmon to the weirs on Karluk, Ayakulik, and Chignik rivers.
3. Census the fishing effort, harvest, and catch of Chinook salmon of anglers traveling downstream past Karluk and Ayakulik weirs from 1 June to 15 July.

## METHODS

## DATA COLLECTION

## Inriver Return

During the 2004-2005 seasons all species of immigrant and outmigrant anadromous fish passing through weirs on Karluk, Ayakulik, and Chignik rivers were enumerated during the respective dates of operation according to CFD operational plans for each project. Nearly complete census counts of Chinook salmon inriver returns were obtained each year for Karluk and Ayakulik river stocks.

Inriver returns to Chignik River were estimated. Prior to 1993, Chinook salmon were visually counted through the weir on a daily basis during the first 10-minute interval of each hour from 0700 to 2200 hours. Total daily passage was then estimated by expanding the hourly counts and summing the results. In 1993 Chinook salmon were counted for the first 30 minutes of daily weir operation and during the first 10 minutes of each hour of operation thereafter (Owen 1993; Owen and Quimby 1997). Additionally, until 1994, weir-based estimates of Chinook salmon escapement did not account for fish less than approximately 650 mm in length mid eye to tail fork (METF), counts of which were instead included in estimates of sockeye salmon escapement because of a similarity in size. Estimated total Chinook salmon counts incorporated the smaller fish escapement component by using age composition estimates for the run. Beginning in 1994, an underwater video camera was installed at the weir to identify and enumerate escapement by species. In 2004 and 2005, underwater video equipment was used to count fish passing through two open gates in the weir. Total numbers of fish passing the weir during the first 10 minutes of each hour were enumerated and the resulting counts multiplied by 6 to obtain hourly estimates of fish passage. These hourly estimates were summed to provide an estimate of daily fish passage.


Figure 5.-Inriver return of Chinook salmon to Chignik River, 1996-1997, and estimated sport harvest and spawning escapement, 1998-2005.

## Age and Sex Composition of Inriver Return

The inriver returns of Chinook salmon were sampled from weir traps at each location. In 2004, sampling strategies for each system consisted of stratification by seven weekly intervals (Table 4) with sample goals of 15-60 fish during each stratum, proportional to historic abundance by time period. Cumulative Chinook salmon sampling goals for each location totaled 300 fish annually. In 2005, the number of Chinook salmon sampled was a fixed proportion of the number of fish counted past the weir since the last sampling event. For Karluk and Ayakulik rivers, this proportion was $2.5 \%$ and $1.0 \%$, respectively resulting in sample goals of 201 and 174 fish.

In 2004, the inriver return of Chinook salmon to Chignik River was sampled for age, sex, and length at the weir. The sampling strategy consisted of stratification by six weekly intervals (Table 4) with sample goals of $10-40$ fish during each stratum, proportional to historic abundance by time period, resulting in an overall sample goal of 150 . In 2005, the number of Chinook salmon sampled was a fixed proportion of the number of fish counted through the weir since the previous sampling event. For Chignik River, this proportion was $2.5 \%$, which resulted in a sample goal of 97 fish.

Table 4.-Age sex length sampling dates for Chinook salmon, Karkuk, Ayakulik, and Chignik rivers, 2004-2005.

| River and Year | Stratum |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Karluk River | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 |
| 2004 | Before <br> 31 May | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { May - } \\ & 6 \text { June } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7-13 June | $\begin{aligned} & 14-20 \\ & \text { June } \end{aligned}$ | $21-27$ June | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \text { June - } \\ & 4 \text { July } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | After 4 July |
| 2005 | $2.5 \%$ of the number of fish counted through the weir since the last sampling event |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $\frac{\text { Ayakulik River }}{2004}$ | Before <br> 31 May | $\begin{aligned} & 31 \text { May - } \\ & 6 \text { June } \end{aligned}$ | 7-13 June | $\begin{aligned} & 14-20 \\ & \text { June } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21-27 \\ & \text { June } \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 28 \text { June - } \\ & 4 \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | After 4 July |
| 2005 | $1.0 \%$ of the number of fish counted through the weir since the last sampling event |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Chignik River: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 2004 | Before 30 June | $\begin{aligned} & 30 \text { June - } \\ & 6 \text { July } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | 7-13 July | $\begin{aligned} & 14-20 \\ & \text { July } \\ & \hline \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 21-27 \\ & \text { July } \end{aligned}$ | After 27 July |  |
| 2005 | $2.5 \%$ of the number of fish counted through the weir since the last sampling event |  |  |  |  |  |  |

METF lengths were recorded to the nearest millimeter for each fish sampled. Sex was determined on the basis of external characteristics. Whenever possible, four scales were removed from the left side of the body, at a point on a diagonal line from the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin to the anterior insertion of the anal fin, two rows above the lateral line (Welander 1940). Sample scales were placed on a gum card for subsequent analysis. Scales not available from the preferred area were taken from the area bounded dorsally by the fourth row of scales above the lateral line, ventrally by the lateral line, and between lines drawn vertically from the posterior insertion of the dorsal fin and the anterior insertion of the anal fin. If no scales were available in the preferred area on the left side of the fish, then scale samples were collected from the preferred area on the opposite side. Ages of sampled Chinook salmon were determined from scales using criteria described in Mosher (1969).

## Sport Harvest and Effort

Total sport fishing effort, catch, and harvest of Chinook salmon at Karluk and Ayakulik rivers were estimated by the SWHS for 2004 and 2005. Estimates for Karluk River were split above and below the weir beginning in 2002. SWHS estimates for Chignik River sport fishery have not previously been published because of small numbers of respondents to the mailed survey, yielding imprecise estimates. Here we report Chignik River sport harvest estimates for the first time because despite the imprecision of the individual estimates, the entire 8-year series contains useful information about the fishery.
In addition to SWHS estimates, in 2004 sport fishing effort was recorded from verbal interviews of anglers fishing upstream of Karluk weir prior to 16 July, and interviews of all anglers visiting Ayakulik River prior to this date. In 2005 a partial census was completed for the sport fishery at both locations. On Ayakulik River, the partial census interviewed anglers exiting the fishery from the lagoon but none departing upriver, while at Karluk River all upriver anglers passing through the ADF\&G weir were interviewed but only a portion of those leaving from Portage. The following information was recorded for each interview:

1. Number of days fished;
2. Number of Chinook and sockeye salmon, steelhead, and Dolly Varden harvested;
3. Number of Chinook and sockeye salmon, steelhead, and Dolly Varden released;
4. Residency: (a) local = an Alaska resident who lives on Kodiak Island, (b) non-local $=$ an Alaska resident who does not live on Kodiak Island, (c) U.S. $=$ a non Alaskan who is a citizen of the United States, and (d) alien = a non Alaskan who is not a citizen of the United States, and who does not have a petition for naturalization pending before the district court ;
5. Guided or unguided.

## Data Analysis

## Abundance by Age and Sex

The proportion of Chinook salmon in age/sex class $j$ during temporal stratum $i$ and its variance were estimated as (Cochran 1977):

$$
\begin{gather*}
\hat{p}_{i j}=\frac{n_{i j}}{n_{i}}, \text { and }  \tag{1}\\
\operatorname{vâr}\left(\hat{p}_{i j}\right)=\left[\frac{N_{i}-n_{i}}{N_{i}}\right] \frac{\hat{p}_{i j}\left(1-\hat{p}_{i j}\right)}{n_{i}-1}, \tag{2}
\end{gather*}
$$

where:
$n_{i j}=$ the number of Chinook salmon in age/sex class $j$ during stratum $i$,
$n_{i}=$ the total number of Chinook salmon sampled during stratum $i$, and
$N_{i}=$ the inriver return, total return, or escapement of Chinook salmon during stratum i.
The abundance of Chinook salmon by age/sex class $j$ was estimated as:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\hat{N}_{i j}=N_{i} \hat{p}_{i j} \tag{3}
\end{equation*}
$$

with estimated variance (Goodman 1960):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\operatorname{vâr}\left(\hat{N}_{i j}\right)=\hat{N}_{i}^{2} \operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{i j}\right)+\hat{p}_{i j}^{2} \operatorname{vâr}\left(\hat{N}_{i}\right)-\operatorname{var}\left(\hat{p}_{j}\right) \operatorname{vâr}\left(\hat{N}_{i}\right) \tag{4}
\end{equation*}
$$

For the Karluk and Ayakulik rivers, age/sex composition data were initially summarized by week, then pooled across some pairs of adjacent weeks with small sample sizes $(<10)$. The final number of strata ranged from 3 to 6 per year. For Karluk and Ayakulik rivers, estimates of age/sex composition were stratified even when statistical tests did not show significant differences among strata. Historically, there has been a consistent tendency for age composition to shift toward younger fish late in the season, though the differences have not always been statistically significant. In this context, stratification was clearly desirable. Chignik River age/sex estimates were not temporally stratified because of small sample sizes.
Inriver return was counted with zero variance on Karluk and Ayakulik rivers. Sampling error was small and positive for Chignik inriver return; however, variance estimates were not available, so they were also assumed to be zero. Spawning escapement was estimated by subtracting sport harvest above the weir from inriver returns. On Ayakulik and Chignik rivers,
almost all of the sport harvest occurs above the weir, so the entire sport harvest was subtracted. For Karluk and Ayakulik rivers, sport harvest was obtained by creel census (zero variance) in 2004, and estimated by mail survey (variance from SWHS) in 2005. For Chignik River, unpublished estimates of sport harvest were obtained from the Alaska Department of Fish and Game Statewide Harvest Survey 1999-2005 databases ${ }^{1}$. Total returns were calculated by summing commercial harvests, inriver returns, and sport harvest below the weir. Commercial harvest was tallied from fish ticket receipts and was considered to be known with zero variance.

## RESULTS

## Karluk River

## 2004

The Karluk weir was installed in 2004 on 22 May and operated through 6 October.
The inriver return of Chinook salmon through the weir was 7,525 fish. Age was determined for 235 of 278 Chinook salmon sampled at the weir. Estimates of abundance by age were stratified by time period: through 12 June, 13-26 June, and after 26 June (Appendix C1). Estimates of abundance and length by age and sex are given in Table 5. The inriver return was $64 \%$ female.
The creel census at the weir and Karluk Portage in 2004 recorded 605 angler-days of effort above the weir, during which 720 Chinook salmon were harvested and 1,359 were released (Appendix D1). Of the 439 anglers interviewed, approximately $60 \%$ were nonresident and guided. Angler residency and guided-unguided status was unknown for $33 \%$ of interview respondents.

The 2004 Statewide Harvest Survey estimated that anglers fishing Karluk River below the weir harvested an estimated 393 ( $\mathrm{SE}=144$ ) Chinook salmon and released 615, expending 1,644 angler-days of effort for all species during the entire year (Jennings et al. 2007). In all, sport anglers harvested an estimated $1,113(\mathrm{SE}=144)$ Chinook salmon and released 1,974 , expending 2,249 angler-days of effort (Table 1).

The 2004 Karluk River estimated spawning escapement (inriver return minus harvest above weir) was $6,805(\mathrm{SE}=0)$ Chinook salmon, of which $4,382(\mathrm{SE}=208)$ were females and 2,423 ( $\mathrm{SE}=208$ ) were males.

## 2005

The Karluk weir was installed in 2005 on 27 May and operated through 24 September.
The inriver return of Chinook salmon through the weir was 4,798 fish. Age was determined for 77 of 118 Chinook salmon sampled from the inriver return. Estimates of abundance by age were stratified by time period: through 19 June, 20-26 June, and after 26 June (Appendix C2). Estimates of abundance and length by age and sex are given in Table 6. The inriver return was $58 \%$ female.

The 2005 Statewide Harvest Survey estimated that anglers fishing Karluk River above the weir harvested an estimated $114(\mathrm{SE}=68)$ Chinook salmon and released 505, expending 1,044

[^0]Table 5.-Estimated age and sex composition of inriver return, and mid eye to fork length by age and sex for Karluk River Chinook salmon, 2004.

|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | unkn. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 0.0 | 1.2 | 17.8 | 41.1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |  | 64.4 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.7 | 2.4 | 3.1 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |  | 3.1 |
| Inriver Return | 0 | 87 | 1,340 | 3,096 | 289 | 0 | 0 | 36 |  | 4,848 |
| SE | 0 | 50 | 184 | 236 | 98 | 0 | 0 | 36 |  | 230 |
| Number Sampled |  | 3 | 42 | 94 | 8 |  |  | 1 | 28 | 176 |
| Mean Length |  | 619 | 741 | 804 | 830 |  |  | 819 | 796 | 786 |
| Std Dev Length |  | 35 | 58 | 46 | 45 |  |  |  | 43 | 60 |
| Minimum Length |  | 593 | 571 | 643 | 763 |  |  | 819 | 725 | 571 |
| Maximum Length |  | 658 | 837 | 890 | 885 |  |  | 819 | 880 | 890 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 1.5 | 3.1 | 16.0 | 13.6 | 1.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 35.6 |
| SE | 0.7 | 1.1 | 2.3 | 2.2 | 0.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 3.1 |
| Return | 114 | 235 | 1,204 | 1,026 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 2,677 |
| SE | 56 | 81 | 176 | 166 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 230 |
| Number Sampled | 4 | 8 | 39 | 33 | 3 |  |  |  | 15 | 102 |
| Mean Length | 370 | 600 | 716 | 770 | 882 |  |  |  | 684 | 711 |
| Std Dev Length | 33 | 37 | 94 | 87 | 74 |  |  |  | 154 | 130 |
| Minimum Length | 343 | 538 | 427 | 536 | 797 |  |  |  | 349 | 343 |
| Maximum Length | 412 | 658 | 870 | 893 | 932 |  |  |  | 894 | 932 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 1.5 | 4.3 | 33.8 | 54.8 | 5.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |  | 100.0 |
| SE | 0.7 | 1.2 | 3.0 | 3.1 | 1.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.5 |  | 0.0 |
| Return | 114 | 321 | 2,544 | 4,121 | 388 | 0 | 0 | 36 |  | 7,525 |
| SE | 56 | 94 | 222 | 236 | 112 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 0 |
| Number Sampled | 4 | 11 | 81 | 127 | 11 |  |  | 1 | 43 | 278 |
| Mean Length | 370 | 605 | 795 | 795 | 844 |  |  | 819 | 757 | 759 |
| Std Dev Length | 33 | 36 | 78 | 61 | 56 |  |  |  | 109 | 99 |
| Minimum Length | 343 | 538 | 536 | 536 | 763 |  |  | 819 | 349 | 343 |
| Maximum Length | 412 | 658 | 893 | 893 | 932 |  |  | 819 | 894 | 932 |

Note: Age and sex composition were stratified by time period (see Appendix C1) based on samples obtained 28 May-28 June 2004. unkn = unknown, fish for which age was not determined.

Table 6.-Estimated age and sex composition of inriver return, and mid eye to fork length by age and sex for Karluk River Chinook salmon, 2005.

|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | unkn. | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 1.6 | 5.4 | 23.5 | 15.8 | 0.0 | 1.7 | 7.1 | 3.3 |  | 58.4 |
| SE | 1.6 | 2.7 | 5.2 | 4.6 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 3.2 | 2.3 |  | 6.0 |
| Inriver Return | 77 | 259 | 1,128 | 758 | 0 | 79 | 340 | 158 |  | 2,801 |
| SE | 77 | 131 | 248 | 219 | 0 | 79 | 155 | 108 |  | 289 |
| Number Sampled | 1 | 4 | 19 | 11 |  | 1 | 5 | 2 | 19 | 62 |
| Mean Length | 581 | 625 | 774 | 776 |  | 634 | 767 | 812 | 775 | 760 |
| Std Dev Length |  | 92 | 38 | 42 |  |  | 62 | 11 | 33 | 63 |
| Minimum Length | 581 | 554 | 715 | 701 |  | 634 | 689 | 804 | 720 | 554 |
| Maximum Length | 581 | 759 | 870 | 843 |  | 634 | 853 | 819 | 856 | 870 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 2.2 | 7.2 | 14.8 | 15.9 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 41.6 |
| SE | 1.7 | 3.0 | 4.4 | 4.4 | 0.0 | 1.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 6.0 |
| Return | 105 | 345 | 709 | 762 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 |  | 1,997 |
| SE | 81 | 142 | 211 | 213 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 |  | 289 |
| Number Sampled | 2 | 7 | 11 | 13 |  | 1 |  |  | 22 | 56 |
| Mean Length | 552 | 664 | 777 | 816 |  | 644 |  |  | 749 | 750 |
| Std Dev Length | 5 | 72 | 55 | 56 |  |  |  |  | 80 | 89 |
| Minimum Length | 548 | 567 | 669 | 724 |  | 644 |  |  | 572 | 548 |
| Maximum Length | 555 | 744 | 852 | 900 |  | 644 |  |  | 846 | 900 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 3.8 | 12.6 | 38.3 | 31.7 | 0.0 | 3.3 | 7.1 | 3.3 |  | 100.0 |
| SE | 2.3 | 3.9 | 6.0 | 5.7 | 0.0 | 2.3 | 3.2 | 2.3 |  | 0.0 |
| Return | 182 | 604 | 1,837 | 1,520 | 0 | 156 | 340 | 158 |  | 4,798 |
| SE | 110 | 187 | 287 | 275 | 0 | 110 | 155 | 108 |  | 0 |
| Number Sampled | 3 | 11 | 30 | 24 |  | 2 | 5 | 2 | 41 | 118 |
| Mean Length | 561 | 649 | 775 | 798 |  | 639 | 767 | 812 | 761 | 755 |
| Std Dev Length | 17 | 78 | 44 | 53 |  | 7 | 62 | 11 | 63 | 76 |
| Minimum Length | 548 | 554 | 669 | 701 |  | 634 | 689 | 804 | 572 | 548 |
| Maximum Length | 581 | 759 | 870 | 900 |  | 644 | 853 | 819 | 856 | 900 |

Note: Age and sex composition were stratified by time period (see Appendix C2) based on samples obtained 6 June-13 July 2005. unkn = unknown, fish for which age was not determined.
angler-days of effort for all species during the entire year (Jennings et al. 2009). Below the weir $254(\mathrm{SE}=150)$ Chinook salmon were harvested, 210 fish were released, and there were 2,288 angler-days of effort. The resulting estimate of spawning escapement was 4,684 Chinook salmon, of which $2,735(\mathrm{SE}=284)$ were females and $1,949(\mathrm{SE}=282)$ were males.
The creel census, which did not have complete coverage in 2005, recorded 635 angler-days of effort above the weir, 187 Chinook salmon harvested, and 576 fish were released (Appendix D2). Of the 268 anglers interviewed at Karluk weir in 2005, $95 \%$ were nonresident and $93 \%$ were guided.

## Ayakulik River

## 2004

The Ayakulik River weir was installed in 2004 on 26 May and operated through 27 August.
The inriver return of Chinook salmon through the weir was 24,830 fish. Age was determined for 227 of 304 Chinook salmon sampled at the weir. Estimates of abundance by age were stratified by time period: through 5 June, 6-12 June, 13-19 June, 20-26 June, 27 June-3 July and after 3 July (Appendix C3). Estimates of abundance and length by age and sex are given in Table 7. The inriver return was $55 \%$ female.

In 2004, census results indicated that anglers fishing Ayakulik River released 7,417 Chinook salmon and harvested 405 fish, expending 1,423 angler-days of directed Chinook salmon effort during the entire year (Table 2; Tracy and Schmidt In prep). The result was a spawning escapement of 24,425 Chinook salmon, of which $13,019(\mathrm{SE}=879)$ were females and 11,406 ( $\mathrm{SE}=879$ ) were males.
Of the 272 anglers interviewed at the Ayakulik weir and Bare Creek in 2004, 67\% were non-Alaska residents and $58 \%$ were guided (Appendix D3).
The Statewide Harvest Survey estimated that anglers fishing the Ayakulik River in 2004 harvested an estimated $304(\mathrm{SE}=144)$ Chinook salmon and released 2,876, expending 1,792 angler-days of effort for all species during the entire year (Jennings et al. 2007).

## 2005

The Ayakulik River weir was installed in 2005 on 19 May and operated until 5 September.
The inriver return of Chinook salmon through the weir was 8,340 fish. Age was determined for 159 of 190 Chinook salmon sampled from the inriver return. Estimates of abundance by age were stratified by time period: through 12 June, 13-19 June, 20-26 June, and after 26 June (Appendix C4). Estimates of abundance and length by age and sex are given in Table 8. The inriver return was 70\% female.

The Statewide Harvest Survey estimated that anglers fishing Ayakulik River in 2005 harvested an estimated $489(\mathrm{SE}=176)$ Chinook salmon and released 7,545, expending 2,515 angler-days of effort for all species during the entire year (Table 2; Jennings et al. 2009). The resulting estimate of spawning escapement was $7,851(\mathrm{SE}=176)$ Chinook salmon, of which $5,456(\mathrm{SE}=$ 337) were females and $2,395(\mathrm{SE}=319)$ were males.

The creel census, which did not have complete coverage in 2005, recorded 165 harvested and 1,553 released Chinook salmon, as well as 1,140 angler-days of directed Chinook salmon effort during the entire year (Appendix D4; Tracy and Schmidt In prep). Of the 131 anglers interviewed at the Ayakulik River weir in 2005, $78 \%$ were nonresident and $66 \%$ were guided (Appendix D4).

Table 7.-Estimated age and sex composition of inriver return, and mid eye to fork length by age and sex for Ayakulik River Chinook salmon, 2004.

|  | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 | unkn. |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.1 | 42.5 | 1.7 | 0.0 |  | 55.3 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 2.1 | 3.5 | 0.8 | 0.0 |  | 3.6 |
| Inriver Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,749 | 10,565 | 424 | 0 |  | 13,738 |
| SE | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 515 | 877 | 203 | 0 |  | 893 |
| Number Sampled |  |  |  |  | 29 | 90 | 5 |  | 46 | 170 |
| Mean Length |  |  |  |  | 767 | 825 | 789 |  | 818 | 812 |
| Std Dev Length |  |  |  |  | 45 | 39 | 90 |  | 46 | 49 |
| Minimum Length |  |  |  |  | 661 | 657 | 631 |  | 696 | 631 |
| Maximum Length |  |  |  |  | 875 | 932 | 847 |  | 922 | 932 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 16.3 | 23.2 | 1.2 | 0.0 |  | 44.7 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.4 | 3.2 | 0.7 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Return | 0 | 0 | 454 | 539 | 4,042 | 5,752 | 306 | 0 |  | 11,092 |
| SE | 0 | 0 | 227 | 251 | 587 | 788 | 182 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Number Sampled |  |  | 4 | 6 | 46 | 44 | 3 |  | 31 | 134 |
| Mean Length |  |  | 354 | 554 | 742 | 842 | 889 |  | 732 | 756 |
| Std Dev Length |  |  | 10 | 80 | 48 | 59 | 61 |  | 149 | 130 |
| Minimum Length |  |  | 340 | 471 | 606 | 678 | 819 |  | 332 | 332 |
| Maximum Length |  |  | 363 | 667 | 822 | 966 | 933 |  | 903 | 966 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.8 | 2.2 | 27.4 | 65.7 | 2.9 | 0.0 |  | 100.0 |
| SE | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.9 | 1.0 | 2.8 | 3.0 | 1.1 | 0.0 |  | 0.0 |
| Return | 0 | 0 | 454 | 539 | 6,791 | 16,316 | 730 | 0 |  | 24,830 |
| SE | 0 | 0 | 227 | 251 | 705 | 739 | 269 | 0 |  | 0 |
| Number Sampled |  |  | 4 | 6 | 75 | 134 | 8 |  | 77 | 304 |
| Mean Length |  |  | 354 | 554 | 752 | 830 | 827 |  | 783 | 787 |
| Std Dev Length |  |  | 10 | 80 | 48 | 47 | 92 |  | 109 | 98 |
| Minimum Length |  |  | 340 | 471 | 606 | 657 | 631 |  | 332 | 332 |
| Maximum Length |  |  | 363 | 667 | 875 | 966 | 933 |  | 922 | 966 |

Note: Age and sex composition were stratified by time (see Appendix C3) based on samples obtained 31 May-9 July 2004. unkn = unknown, fish for which age was not determined.

Table 8.-Estimated age and sex composition of inriver return, and mid eye to fork length by age and sex for Ayakulik River Chinook salmon, 2005.


Note: Age and sex composition were stratified by time (see Appendix C4) based on samples obtained 29 May-12 July 2005. unkn = unknown, fish for which age was not determined.

## Chignik River

## 2004

Chignik River weir was installed in 2004 on 30 May and operated through 4 September.
The estimated Chinook salmon inriver return of 7,840 fish was the largest on record. With the reported commercial harvest of 2,520 Chinook salmon in Chignik Lagoon and the reported subsistence catch of 88 Chinook salmon, the total estimated return equaled 10,448 fish.
Sport harvest in the Chignik River was estimated to be 349 (SE not available) Chinook salmon in 2004 (Table 3). The resulting estimate of escapement was 7,491 fish (SE not available).

Age was determined for 26 of 33 Chinook salmon sampled at Chignik River weir. Ages 1.2 and 1.3 were dominant (Table 9). Sampled fish were $46 \%$ female.

Age composition and length sample results for carcasses of spawned-out Chinook salmon washed up on the weir are given in Appendix E1.

## 2005

The Chignik River weir was installed in 2005 on 1 June and operated through 3 September.
The estimated Chinook salmon inriver return of 6,486 fish was the second largest on record. With a reported commercial harvest of 2,714 fish in Chignik Lagoon and a reported subsistence catch of 224 fish, the total estimated Chinook salmon return equaled 9,788 fish.

Sport harvest in Chignik River was estimated to be 364 (SE not available) Chinook salmon in 2005 (Table 3). The resulting estimate of escapement was 6,122 fish (SE not available).

Age was determined for 53 of 62 Chinook salmon sampled at Chignik River weir. Ages 1.3 and 1.4 were dominant (Table 10). Sampled fish were $64 \%$ female.

Age composition and length sample results for carcasses of spawned-out Chinook salmon washed up on the weir are given in Appendix E2.

## DISCUSSION

The intent of this report series is to document assessment of sport fishing effort, harvest, and catch along with age and sex compositions of Chinook salmon stocks of Karluk, Ayakulik, and Chignik rivers. These assessments are necessary to generate brood tables useful for projecting future returns from brood year escapements, which in turn can be used to evaluate and refine escapement goals. Management of fisheries toward appropriate escapement goals will ensure maximum sustained yields are achieved for each of these three Chinook salmon stocks.

## Karluk and Ayakulik 2004

The 2004 inriver return of 7,525 Chinook salmon to Karluk River was 1,300 fish below the recent 10-year average. Conversely, the Ayakulik River return of 24,830 Chinook salmon was the highest since 1983 (Schwarz et al. 2002) and more than 10,000 fish above the recent 10-year average. The combined 2004 commercial harvest of 2,407 Chinook salmon from the Inner and Outer Karluk and Ayakulik sections was $68 \%$ of the average annual harvest since 1996.

Table 9.-Estimated age and sex composition of total return, and mid eye to fork length by age and sex for Chignik River Chinook salmon, 2004.

|  |  | Age |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | unkn. |  |
| Females |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | 0.0 | 15.4 | 26.9 | 3.8 |  | 46.2 |
|  | SE | 0.0 | 7.2 | 8.9 | 3.8 |  | 10.0 |
|  | Return | 0 | 1,607 | 2,813 | 402 |  | 4,822 |
|  | SE | 0 | 753 | 926 | 401 |  | 1,040 |
|  | Number Sampled |  | 4 | 7 | 1 | 3 | 15 |
|  | Mean Length |  | 743 | 804 | 1028 | 774 | 797 |
|  | Std Dev Length |  | 73 | 37 |  | 98 | 89 |
|  | Minimum Length |  | 670 | 736 | 1028 | 661 | 661 |
|  | Maximum Length |  | 840 | 840 | 1028 | 835 | 1028 |
| Males |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | 3.8 | 23.1 | 23.1 | 3.8 |  | 53.8 |
|  | SE | 3.8 | 8.4 | 8.4 | 3.8 |  | 10.0 |
|  | Return | 402 | 2,411 | 2,411 | 402 |  | 5,626 |
|  | SE | 401 | 879 | 879 | 401 |  | 1,040 |
|  | Number Sampled | 1 | 6 | 6 | 1 | 4 | 18 |
|  | Mean Length | 625 | 689 | 786 | 850 | 717 | 733 |
|  | Std Dev Length |  | 33 | 45 |  | 162 | 94 |
|  | Minimum Length | 625 | 645 | 720 | 850 | 588 | 588 |
|  | Maximum Length | 625 | 735 | 850 | 850 | 954 | 954 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  | Percent | 3.8 | 38.5 | 50.0 | 7.7 |  | 100.0 |
|  | SE | 3.8 | 9.7 | 10.0 | 5.3 |  | 0.0 |
|  | Return | 402 | 4,018 | 5,224 | 804 |  | 10,448 |
|  | SE | 401 | 1,015 | 1,043 | 556 |  | 0 |
|  | Number Sampled | 1 | 10 | 13 | 2 | 7 | 33 |
|  | Mean Length | 625 | 710 | 796 | 939 | 741 | 762 |
|  | Std Dev Length |  | 56 | 40 | 126 | 131 | 96 |
|  | Minimum Length | 625 | 645 | 720 | 850 | 588 | 588 |
|  | Maximum Length | 625 | 840 | 850 | 1,028 | 954 | 1,028 |

Note: Estimates are based on samples obtained at the weir from 8 July31 August 2004. Total return is the sum of the inriver return, the subsistence harvest, and Chignik Lagoon commercial harvest. unkn = unknown, fish for which age was not determined.

Table 10.-Estimated age and sex composition of inriver return, and mid eye to fork length by age and sex for Chignik River Chinook salmon, 2005.


Note: Estimates are based on samples obtained at the weir from 23 June-26 August 2005. Total return is the sum of the inriver return and Chignik Lagoon commercial harvest. unkn = unknown, fish for which age was not determined.

In 2004 age- 1.3 and -1.4 fish again dominated returns to Karluk and Ayakulik rivers. Ninety-three percent of the Ayakulik inriver return and $89 \%$ of the Karluk inriver return consisted of fish aged 1.3 or 1.4.

## Chignik 2004

The inriver return of 7,840 Chinook salmon to Chignik River in 2004 is the highest on record (Schwarz et al. 2002). Similarly, the reported commercial harvest from Chignik Lagoon was the third highest on record during the most recent 10 year period. The estimated total return of 10,448 fish from the combined inriver return, subsistence, and commercial harvests also was the highest on record.

## Karluk and Ayakulik 2005

The 2005 inriver return of 4,798 Chinook salmon to Karluk River was the second lowest on record since 1996. The Ayakulik River return of 8,340 fish was the lowest on record during the same time period. The total 2005 commercial harvest from the Inner and Outer Karluk and Ayakulik sections of 339 fish was the lowest on record since 1996, although an absence of commercial fishery openings in the Ayakulik sections and inseason restrictions on retention of large Chinook salmon ( $\geq 28 \mathrm{in}$ ) in the Karluk sections likely affected the harvest magnitude.

As in previous years, age-1.3 and -1.4 fish were predominant in both Karluk and Ayakulik inriver returns. Age-1.2 fish comprised about $13 \%$ of the return to each drainage. Approximately $79 \%$ of the Ayakulik inriver return and $70 \%$ of the Karluk inriver return consisted of fish age-1.3 or -1.4.

## Chignik 2005

The 2005 inriver return of 6,486 Chinook salmon to Chignik River was the second highest on record. Similarly, the commercial harvest in the lagoon of 2,714 fish was the second highest since 1996. An estimated total return of 9,788 fish from the combined inriver return, subsistence, and commercial harvests is the second highest on record since 1996.

## Karluk and Ayakulik Sport Fishing

Between 1999 and 2003 sport fishing effort in the Kodiak Management Area, as estimated by the SWHS, was measurably higher than average levels of effort during the preceding 5 years (Schwarz et al. In prep). In contrast, SWHS estimates of angler effort in the Ayakulik River sport fishery have widely fluctuated since 1996 with no apparent trend, illustrated by the fact that the highest and lowest effort during this period occurred on consecutive years. Levels of estimated annual angler effort at Karluk River have also fluctuated since 1996, even though the lowest estimates of total effort occurred consecutively in 2003 and 2004.

Ayakulik River Chinook salmon harvests estimated by the SWHS have also been highly variable since 1996, roughly ranging between 200 and 1,200 fish, and showing no apparent trend even though the second lowest estimated harvest on record occurred in 2002. Estimated harvests for Karluk River during the same period have generally been higher than those for Ayakulik River, averaging around 1,500 fish, but have also fluctuated significantly between years, ranging as high as approximately 2,600 fish and as low as 580 . Restrictions on public access to Karluk River implemented in 2003 combined with below average inriver returns are likely important factors affecting recent angler interest in the Chinook salmon fishery.

With the exception of relatively weak Karluk River Chinook salmon returns from 2001-2005, consistently high escapements during previous years have constrained more precise analysis of stock productivity factors. A continuation of the data collections initiated in 1993 may provide the basis for better understanding the role of these variables across a greater spectrum of adult returns.

Although Ayakulik River Chinook salmon returns since 1996 have been somewhat less variable than those of Karluk River, inclusion of inriver returns dating back to the late 1970s suggest that Chinook salmon populations have experienced relatively high levels of production in the years during which stock assessment data have been available.

Current levels of sport fishing activity at Karluk and Ayakulik rivers probably have minimal impacts upon the magnitude of returns generated by a specific brood year. However, development of a trend of increasing harvest and effort at Karluk River could increase the significance of the sport fishery to achievement of escapement goals, particularly in conjunction with below average inriver returns such as those in 2001-2005. Hook and release mortality and sex-based harvest selectivity does not appear to be a significant problem of sport fisheries occurring at either location. If hooking mortality rate is similar to other fisheries (e.g., Bendock and Alexandersdottir 1992, 7\%) the effect from this aspect of the sport fisheries on escapement has probably been minimal.
Overall, during the most recent 10-year period, both Karluk and Ayakulik rivers have maintained sustainable populations of Chinook salmon. During most years the sum of escapement counts for these two systems has been equal to or greater than the combined incidental commercial, subsistence, and sport harvests of Chinook salmon around Kodiak Island. It appears unlikely that any near term changes in prosecution of these fisheries will substantially increase current harvest levels.

## Chignik Sport Fishing

Due to an insufficient number of survey respondents, published SWHS estimates of sport fishing effort and harvest for any species in Chignik River had not previously been published. These estimates, though imprecise, show that current levels of angling activity are low by comparison to Karluk and Ayakulik river fisheries. As the result of recent dynamics in the local commercial salmon fishing-based economy, future interest in Chignik River Chinook salmon by the sport fish guiding industry may increase. Consequently, it is possible that even though the 2,700 fish upper end of the current Chignik River escapement goal has been surpassed by the inriver return during each year since 1994, difficulties achieving desired future escapements may be encountered if measurable increases in sport fishing effort coincide with periods of below average inriver returns.

Brood tables constructed from Chinook salmon returns since initiation of the stock assessment project in 1993 have provided the basis for evaluating existing escapement goals and re-estimating the optimum magnitude of escapements for Karluk, Ayakulik, and Chignik river stocks as necessary (Nelson et al. 2005). However, because the historic range of Chinook salmon escapement to Chignik River prior to 2003 has generally been narrow and the relative magnitude of those escapements has been high, factors affecting stock productivity in addition to escapement remain poorly understood. Moreover, poor success in attainment of the Chignik River Chinook salmon annual sampling objectives during recent years has further constrained precise evaluation of productivity parameters.

Although currently stable and abundant, the Chignik River Chinook salmon stock may be more vulnerable to expanding sport, commercial, or subsistence harvests because of its relatively small size. Harvest increases in local fisheries of lesser relative magnitude than those potentially affecting the Karluk and Ayakulik river fisheries could have greater impacts on achievement of the Chignik Chinook salmon escapement goal. However, because of past problems with attainment of data collection goals, the Chignik River component of the Chinook salmon assessment project should be discontinued until such time that direct random systematic sampling of the inriver escapement through the weir can be accomplished. This measure will aid in more precise assessment of the variables influencing stock productivity and, correspondingly, the potential impact of increased fishery removal rates and other sources of adult mortality.
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## APPENDIX A. COMMERCIAL HARVEST OF CHINOOK SALMON FROM THE WEST SIDE OF KODIAK ISLAND, BY STATISTICAL AREA, 1996-2005

Appendix A1.-Numbers of Chinook salmon harvested commercially from the west side of Kodiak Island by statistical area, 1 June through 15 July, 1996-2005.

| Statistical Area | Commercial harvest (no. of Chinook salmon) |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | 2000 | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | 2004 | 2005 |
| 253-11 (Uganik) | 122 | 84 | 84 | 28 | 47 | 103 | 220 | 277 | 1,064 | 242 |
| 254-10 (Rocky Point) | 633 | 326 | 659 | 484 | 296 | 887 | 349 | 1,086 | 1,267 | 509 |
| 254-20 (Inner Uyak) | 76 | 109 | 128 | 132 | 94 | 93 | 264 | 339 | 175 | 76 |
| 254-30 (Zachar) | 48 | 2 | 27 | 21 | 92 | 56 | 44 | 32 | 74 | 60 |
| 254-40 (Spiridon) | 441 | 177 | 478 | 161 | 143 | 34 | 292 | 203 | 1,076 | 356 |
| 254-50 Spiridon Term. area) | 0 | 0 | 72 | 112 | 10 | 1 | 388 | 43 | 115 | 1 |
| 255-10 (Inner Karluk) | 487 | 790 | 0 | 380 | 231 | 1,051 | 543 | 634 | 1,517 | 104 |
| 255-20 (Outer Karluk) | 1,175 | 655 | 252 | 687 | 462 | 1,537 | 719 | 702 | 732 | 235 |
| 256-10 (S. Ayakulik) | 1 | 0 | 73 | 198 | 210 | 12 | 14 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 256-15 (Inner Ayakulik) | 107 | 4 | 73 | 444 | 824 | 3,414 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 256-20 (N. Ayakulik) | 3,615 | 808 | 3,649 | 2,922 | 2,382 | 3,301 | 39 | 0 | 158 | 0 |
| 256-25 (Gurney Bay) | 20 | 75 | 323 | 151 | 22 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 41 | 0 |
| 256-30 (Halibut Bay) | 20 | 364 | 231 | 475 | 168 | 423 | 0 | 0 | 194 | 0 |
| 256-40 (Sturgeon) | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 257-10 (Sukhoi) | 0 | 0 | 2 | 27 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 0 |
| 257-20 (Tannerhead) | 279 | 152 | 1,047 | 73 | 291 | 401 | 0 | 127 | 866 | 369 |
| Total | 7,024 | 3,546 | 7,098 | 6,295 | 5,298 | 11,333 | 2,904 | 3,443 | 7,282 | 1,952 |
| Avg. weight (lb.) | 15 | 14 | 15 | 15 | 17 | 13 | 10 | 11 | 13 | 13 |

Source: ADF\&G, Division of Commercial Fisheries statewide electronic fish ticket database.

APPENDIX B. KARLUK, AYAKULIK, AND CHIGNIK RIVERS CHINOOK SALMON WEIR COUNTS, 1996-2005

Appendix B1.-Daily cumulative weir counts of Karluk River Chinook salmon, 25 May through 1 August 1996-2005.

|  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | $\underline{1998}$ |  | 1999 |  | $\underline{2000}$ |  | $\underline{2001}$ | $\underline{2002}$ |  |  | $\underline{2003}$ |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  | $\underline{2005}$ | 1996-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | Avg \% |
| 25-May | 14 | 0 | 298 | 2 | 116 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 14 | 0 | 17 | 0 | 85 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 26-May | 29 | 0 | 461 | 3 | 230 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 23 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 60 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 118 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 27-May | 49 | 0 | 609 | 5 | 396 | 4 | 27 | 0 | 48 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 105 | 1 | 33 | 0 | 159 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 |
| 28-May | 179 | 2 | 848 | 6 | 562 | 5 | 49 | 0 | 118 | 1 | 43 | 1 | 145 | 2 | 41 | 1 | 186 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 2 |
| 29-May | 274 | 3 | 964 | 7 | 595 | 6 | 82 | 1 | 138 | 1 | 141 | 3 | 149 | 2 | 45 | 1 | 308 | 4 | 2 | 0 | 3 |
| 30-May | 399 | 4 | 1,105 | 8 | 728 | 7 | 122 | 1 | 179 | 2 | 211 | 5 | 149 | 2 | 61 | 1 | 398 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 3 |
| 31-May | 502 | 5 | 1,178 | 9 | 813 | 8 | 189 | 1 | 308 | 3 | 340 | 8 | 181 | 3 | 63 | 1 | 433 | 6 | 18 | 0 | 4 |
| 1-Jun | 679 | 7 | 1,421 | 11 | 936 | 9 | 218 | 2 | 464 | 4 | 352 | 8 | 291 | 4 | 67 | 1 | 660 | 9 | 33 | 1 | 5 |
| 2-Jun | 779 | 8 | 1,831 | 14 | 1,112 | 11 | 377 | 3 | 733 | 7 | 666 | 15 | 359 | 5 | 67 | 1 | 849 | 11 | 52 | 1 | 8 |
| 3-Jun | 1,006 | 10 | 1,993 | 15 | 1,301 | 13 | 460 | 4 | 886 | 8 | 917 | 21 | 632 | 9 | 360 | 5 | 1,065 | 14 | 57 | 1 | 10 |
| 4-Jun | 1,180 | 12 | 2,208 | 16 | 1,458 | 14 | 651 | 5 | 934 | 9 | 1,010 | 23 | 816 | 11 | 586 | 8 | 1,411 | 19 | 89 | 2 | 12 |
| 5-Jun | 1,457 | 14 | 2,480 | 18 | 1,687 | 16 | 840 | 6 | 977 | 9 | 1,056 | 24 | 967 | 13 | 739 | 10 | 1,608 | 21 | 168 | 4 | 14 |
| 6-Jun | 1,713 | 17 | 2,730 | 20 | 1,903 | 19 | 1,161 | 9 | 1,035 | 10 | 1,268 | 28 | 1,149 | 16 | 803 | 11 | 2,037 | 27 | 183 | 4 | 16 |
| 7-Jun | 1,994 | 20 | 3,265 | 24 | 2,138 | 21 | 1,800 | 14 | 1,111 | 11 | 1,436 | 32 | 1,354 | 19 | 909 | 13 | 2,221 | 30 | 199 | 4 | 19 |
| 8-Jun | 2,174 | 22 | 3,711 | 28 | 2,395 | 23 | 2,268 | 17 | 2,259 | 22 | 1,573 | 35 | 1,497 | 21 | 1,050 | 14 | 2,406 | 32 | 215 | 4 | 22 |
| 9-Jun | 2,402 | 24 | 3,866 | 29 | 2,705 | 26 | 3,125 | 24 | 2,914 | 28 | 1,709 | 38 | 1,561 | 22 | 1,147 | 16 | 2,442 | 32 | 217 | 5 | 24 |
| 10-Jun | 2,612 | 26 | 4,155 | 31 | 2,997 | 29 | 4,037 | 31 | 3,394 | 32 | 1,848 | 42 | 1,774 | 25 | 1,447 | 20 | 2,495 | 33 | 260 | 5 | 27 |
| 11-Jun | 2,755 | 27 | 4,265 | 32 | 3,265 | 32 | 4,447 | 34 | 3,606 | 34 | 2,156 | 48 | 2,140 | 30 | 1,466 | 20 | 2,969 | 39 | 270 | 6 | 30 |
| 12-Jun | 2,985 | 30 | 4,469 | 33 | 3,620 | 35 | 4,562 | 35 | 3,734 | 36 | 2,277 | 51 | 2,417 | 34 | 1,564 | 22 | 3,171 | 42 | 292 | 6 | 32 |
| 13-Jun | 3,242 | 32 | 5,030 | 37 | 4,000 | 39 | 5,130 | 39 | 4,517 | 43 | 2,525 | 57 | 2,686 | 37 | 1,640 | 23 | 3,363 | 45 | 347 | 7 | 36 |
| 14-Jun | 4,189 | 42 | 5,740 | 43 | 4,468 | 44 | 5,318 | 41 | 4,752 | 45 | 2,690 | 60 | 3,092 | 43 | 1,767 | 24 | 3,500 | 47 | 449 | 9 | 40 |
| 15-Jun | 4,419 | 44 | 6,366 | 47 | 4,811 | 47 | 5,509 | 42 | 5,216 | 50 | 2,867 | 64 | 3,250 | 45 | 1,826 | 25 | 3,548 | 47 | 581 | 12 | 42 |
| 16-Jun | 4,854 | 48 | 6,861 | 51 | 5,190 | 51 | 5,787 | 44 | 5,528 | 53 | 3,062 | 69 | 3,350 | 47 | 1,832 | 25 | 3,947 | 52 | 891 | 19 | 46 |
| 17-Jun | 5,036 | 50 | 7,270 | 54 | 5,432 | 53 | 6,354 | 49 | 6,152 | 59 | 3,243 | 73 | 3,694 | 51 | 1,835 | 25 | 4,258 | 57 | 1,117 | 23 | 49 |
| 18-Jun | 5,191 | 52 | 7,892 | 59 | 5,826 | 57 | 6,952 | 53 | 6,636 | 63 | 3,391 | 76 | 3,839 | 54 | 1,845 | 25 | 4,379 | 58 | 1,505 | 31 | 53 |
| 19-Jun | 5,465 | 54 | 8,510 | 63 | 6,030 | 59 | 7,388 | 57 | 6,813 | 65 | 3,434 | 77 | 3,934 | 55 | 1,971 | 27 | 4,563 | 61 | 1,663 | 35 | 55 |
| 20-Jun | 5,580 | 56 | 9,353 | 70 | 6,828 | 67 | 7,715 | 59 | 7,133 | 68 | 3,528 | 79 | 4,201 | 59 | 2,030 | 28 | 4,921 | 65 | 1,677 | 35 | 59 |
| 21-Jun | 6,024 | 60 | 9,715 | 72 | 6,911 | 67 | 7,876 | 60 | 7,340 | 70 | 3,641 | 82 | 4,464 | 62 | 2,269 | 31 | 5,043 | 67 | 1,916 | 40 | 61 |
| 22-Jun | 6,565 | 65 | 10,027 | 75 | 7,275 | 71 | 8,508 | 65 | 7,429 | 71 | 3,725 | 84 | 4,786 | 67 | 2,774 | 38 | 5,109 | 68 | 2,009 | 42 | 65 |
| 23-Jun | 7,048 | 70 | 10,287 | 76 | 7,380 | 72 | 8,940 | 68 | 7,518 | 72 | 3,861 | 87 | 4,931 | 69 | 2,825 | 39 | 5,282 | 70 | 2,106 | 44 | 67 |
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|  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | $\underline{2002}$ |  | 2003 |  | 2004 |  | 2005 | 1996-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | Avg \% |
| 24-Jun | 7,374 | 73 | 10,856 | 81 | 7,431 | 73 | 9,145 | 70 | 7,845 | 75 | 3,980 | 89 | 5,013 | 70 | 2,859 | 39 | 5,308 | 71 | 2,240 | 47 | 69 |
| 25-Jun | 7,651 | 76 | 11,309 | 84 | 7,838 | 77 | 9,498 | 73 | 8,220 | 79 | 4,060 | 91 | 5,100 | 71 | 3,074 | 42 | 5,411 | 72 | 2,323 | 48 | 71 |
| 26-Jun | 7,766 | 77 | 11,404 | 85 | 8,117 | 79 | 9,817 | 75 | 8,784 | 84 | 4,067 | 91 | 5,121 | 71 | 3,519 | 48 | 5,512 | 73 | 2,329 | 49 | 73 |
| 27-Jun | 8,031 | 80 | 11,429 | 85 | 8,449 | 83 | 10,149 | 78 | 8,806 | 84 | 4,086 | 92 | 5,183 | 72 | 3,767 | 52 | 5,590 | 74 | 2,568 | 54 | 75 |
| 28-Jun | 8,160 | 81 | 11,505 | 86 | 8,795 | 86 | 10,491 | 80 | 9,069 | 87 | 4,086 | 92 | 5,352 | 75 | 3,795 | 52 | 5,681 | 75 | 2,822 | 59 | 77 |
| 29-Jun | 8,397 | 84 | 11,547 | 86 | 8,856 | 86 | 10,792 | 83 | 9,199 | 88 | 4,104 | 92 | 5,391 | 75 | 3,852 | 53 | 5,892 | 78 | 3,069 | 64 | 79 |
| 30-Jun | 8,671 | 86 | 11,752 | 87 | 8,961 | 88 | 10,984 | 84 | 9,281 | 89 | 4,119 | 92 | 5,436 | 76 | 3,909 | 54 | 5,899 | 78 | 3,187 | 66 | 80 |
| 1-Jul | 8,696 | 87 | 12,189 | 91 | 9,094 | 89 | 11,169 | 86 | 9,435 | 90 | 4,124 | 93 | 5,944 | 83 | 4,008 | 55 | 5,945 | 79 | 3209 | 67 | 82 |
| 2-Jul | 8,713 | 87 | 12,409 | 92 | 9,239 | 90 | 11,283 | 86 | 9,503 | 91 | 4,133 | 93 | 6,079 | 85 | 4,086 | 56 | 5,979 | 79 | 3262 | 68 | 83 |
| 3-Jul | 8,735 | 87 | 12,469 | 93 | 9,275 | 91 | 11,452 | 88 | 9,616 | 92 | 4,138 | 93 | 6,109 | 85 | 4,093 | 56 | 5,992 | 80 | 3387 | 71 | 83 |
| 4-Jul | 8,791 | 87 | 12,531 | 93 | 9,337 | 91 | 11,602 | 89 | 9,673 | 92 | 4,142 | 93 | 6,153 | 86 | 4,108 | 57 | 5,998 | 80 | 3431 | 72 | 84 |
| $5-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 8,809 | 88 | 12,565 | 93 | 9,438 | 92 | 11,716 | 90 | 9,756 | 93 | 4,175 | 94 | 6,184 | 86 | 4,178 | 58 | 6,010 | 80 | 3431 | 72 | 85 |
| 6 -Jul | 8,817 | 88 | 12,609 | 94 | 9,469 | 92 | 11,758 | 90 | 9,790 | 94 | 4,180 | 94 | 6,216 | 87 | 4,286 | 59 | 6,014 | 80 | 3461 | 72 | 85 |
| 7 -Jul | 8,818 | 88 | 12,844 | 95 | 9,490 | 93 | 12,101 | 93 | 9,862 | 94 | 4,211 | 95 | 6,262 | 87 | 4,310 | 59 | 6,016 | 80 | 3463 | 72 | 86 |
| 8 -Jul | 8,828 | 88 | 12,905 | 96 | 9,588 | 94 | 12,197 | 93 | 9,897 | 95 | 4,220 | 95 | 6,305 | 88 | 4,746 | 65 | 6,035 | 80 | 3,497 | 73 | 87 |
| $9-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 8,836 | 88 | 12,934 | 96 | 9,729 | 95 | 12,283 | 94 | 9,941 | 95 | 4,222 | 95 | 6,333 | 88 | 5,872 | 81 | 6,714 | 89 | 3,541 | 74 | 90 |
| 10-Jul | 8,842 | 88 | 12,962 | 96 | 9,853 | 96 | 12,341 | 94 | 9,957 | 95 | 4,231 | 95 | 6,367 | 89 | 6,407 | 88 | 6,807 | 90 | 3,859 | 80 | 91 |
| 11-Jul | 8,844 | 88 | 13,041 | 97 | 9,901 | 97 | 12,442 | 95 | 9,974 | 95 | 4,235 | 95 | 6,401 | 89 | 6,455 | 89 | 6,904 | 92 | 3,878 | 81 | 92 |
| 12-Jul | 8,859 | 88 | 13,054 | 97 | 9,921 | 97 | 12,459 | 95 | 9,987 | 95 | 4,252 | 95 | 6,502 | 91 | 6,673 | 92 | 6,931 | 92 | 4,051 | 84 | 93 |
| 13-Jul | 8,860 | 88 | 13,058 | 97 | 9,933 | 97 | 12,471 | 95 | 10,008 | 96 | 4,262 | 96 | 6,505 | 91 | 6,703 | 92 | 7,001 | 93 | 4,137 | 86 | 93 |
| 14-Jul | 8,862 | 88 | 13,065 | 97 | 9,942 | 97 | 12,597 | 96 | 10,015 | 96 | 4,279 | 96 | 6,533 | 91 | 6,719 | 93 | 7,007 | 93 | 4,147 | 86 | 93 |
| 15-Jul | 8,864 | 88 | 13,078 | 97 | 9,945 | 97 | 12,637 | 97 | 10,020 | 96 | 4,293 | 96 | 6,591 | 92 | 6,802 | 94 | 7,017 | 93 | 4,151 | 87 | 94 |
| 16-Jul | 8,880 | 88 | 13,108 | 97 | 9,951 | 97 | 12,657 | 97 | 10,061 | 96 | 4,296 | 96 | 6,636 | 93 | 6,811 | 94 | 7,039 | 94 | 4,156 | 87 | 94 |
| 17-Jul | 8,904 | 89 | 13,116 | 98 | 9,953 | 97 | 12,672 | 97 | 10,070 | 96 | 4,296 | 96 | 6,659 | 93 | 6,832 | 94 | 7,039 | 94 | 4,183 | 87 | 94 |
| 18-Jul | 8,930 | 89 | 13,123 | 98 | 9,955 | 97 | 12,700 | 97 | 10,074 | 96 | 4,297 | 96 | 6,704 | 93 | 6,836 | 94 | 7,042 | 94 | 4,196 | 87 | 94 |
| 19-Jul | 8,944 | 89 | 13,137 | 98 | 9,955 | 97 | 12,737 | 98 | 10,099 | 97 | 4,309 | 97 | 6,745 | 94 | 6,840 | 94 | 7,043 | 94 | 4,224 | 88 | 94 |
| 20-Jul | 9,357 | 93 | 13,137 | 98 | 9,956 | 97 | 12,764 | 98 | 10,101 | 97 | 4,320 | 97 | 6,758 | 94 | 6,852 | 94 | 7,057 | 94 | 4,241 | 88 | 95 |
| 21-Jul | 9,383 | 93 | 13,151 | 98 | 9,984 | 98 | 12,786 | 98 | 10,107 | 97 | 4,321 | 97 | 6,784 | 95 | 6,866 | 95 | 7,069 | 94 | 4,475 | 93 | 96 |
| 22-Jul | 9,515 | 95 | 13,152 | 98 | 10,000 | 98 | 12,796 | 98 | 10,123 | 97 | 4,334 | 97 | 6,803 | 95 | 6,869 | 95 | 7,077 | 94 | 4,478 | 93 | 96 |
| 23-Jul | 9,602 | 96 | 13,156 | 98 | 10,014 | 98 | 12,811 | 98 | 10,128 | 97 | 4,339 | 97 | 6,821 | 95 | 6,893 | 95 | 7,096 | 94 | 4,538 | 95 | 96 |
| 24-Jul | 9,608 | 96 | 13,233 | 98 | 10,044 | 98 | 12,835 | 98 | 10,136 | 97 | 4,361 | 98 | 6,897 | 96 | 6,906 | 95 | 7,115 | 95 | 4,550 | 95 | 97 |
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|  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 | $\underline{2000}$ |  |  | 2001 | $\underline{2002}$ |  |  | $\underline{2003}$ |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  | 2005 | 1996-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | Avg \% |
| 25-Jul | 9,638 | 96 | 13,233 | 98 | 10,052 | 98 | 12,841 | 98 | 10,168 | 97 | 4,365 | 98 | 6,912 | 96 | 6,907 | 95 | 7,122 | 95 | 4,555 | 95 | 97 |
| 26-Jul | 9,650 | 96 | 13,233 | 98 | 10,056 | 98 | 12,862 | 98 | 10,170 | 97 | 4,370 | 98 | 6,925 | 97 | 6,913 | 95 | 7,154 | 95 | 4,616 | 96 | 97 |
| 27-Jul | 9,656 | 96 | 13,234 | 98 | 10,059 | 98 | 12,892 | 99 | 10,172 | 97 | 4,372 | 98 | 6,928 | 97 | 6,929 | 95 | 7,154 | 95 | 4,625 | 96 | 97 |
| 28-Jul | 9,755 | 97 | 13,239 | 98 | 10,078 | 98 | 12,894 | 99 | 10,191 | 97 | 4,373 | 98 | 6,944 | 97 | 6,949 | 96 | 7,162 | 95 | 4,626 | 96 | 97 |
| 29-Jul | 9,796 | 97 | 13,242 | 98 | 10,083 | 98 | 12,918 | 99 | 10,220 | 98 | 4,379 | 98 | 6,966 | 97 | 6,952 | 96 | 7,162 | 95 | 4,630 | 96 | 97 |
| 30-Jul | 9,801 | 98 | 13,243 | 98 | 10,094 | 99 | 12,929 | 99 | 10,226 | 98 | 4,385 | 98 | 6,987 | 97 | 6,980 | 96 | 7,166 | 95 | 4,642 | 97 | 98 |
| 31-Jul | 9,850 | 98 | 13,269 | 99 | 10,122 | 99 | 12,930 | 99 | 10,288 | 98 | 4,394 | 99 | 7,006 | 98 | 7,021 | 97 | 7,185 | 95 | 4,645 | 97 | 98 |
| 1-Aug | 9,886 | 98 | 13,295 | 99 | 10,132 | 99 | 13,057 | 100 | 10,458 | 100 | 4,453 | 100 | 7,016 | 98 | 7,035 | 97 | 7,188 | 96 | 4,661 | 97 | 98 |
| Total count | 10,051 |  | 13,450 |  | 10,239 |  | 13,063 |  | 10,460 |  | 4,453 |  | 7,174 |  | 7,256 |  | 7,525 |  | 4,798 |  |  |

Note: $\mathrm{N}=$ daily cumulative weir count (number of Chinook salmon).

Appendix B2.-Daily cumulative weir counts of Ayakulik River Chinook salmon, 25 May through 1 August 1996-2005.

|  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | $\underline{2000}$ |  | 2001 |  | $\underline{2002}$ |  | $\underline{2003}$ |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  | $\frac{2005}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | 1996-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | Avg \% |
| 25-May | 65 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 177 | 1 |  | 0 | 155 | 1 | 101 | 1 | 72 | 1 | 2 | 0 |  | 0 | 5 | 0 | 0 |
| 26-May | 73 | 1 | 34 | 0 | 236 | 2 |  | 0 | 197 | 1 | 152 | 1 | 92 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 1 |
| 27-May | 75 | 1 | 56 | 0 | 422 | 3 | 46 | 0 | 210 | 1 | 177 | 1 | 100 | 1 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| 28-May | 91 | 1 | 68 | 0 | 604 | 4 | 48 | 0 | 215 | , | 399 | 3 | 173 | 1 | 6 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 |
| 29-May | 111 | 1 | 70 | 0 | 732 | 5 | 55 | 0 | 216 | 1 | 797 | 6 | 179 | 1 | 13 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 2 |
| 30-May | 123 | 1 | 123 | 1 | 848 | 6 | 55 | 0 | 262 | 1 | 1,079 | 8 | 230 | 2 | 53 | 0 | 200 | 1 | 28 | 0 | 2 |
| 31-May | 318 | 3 | 132 | 1 | 1,049 | 7 | 55 | 0 | 282 | 1 | 1,227 | 9 | 295 | 2 | 147 | 1 | 1,210 | 5 | 49 | 1 | 3 |
| 1-Jun | 622 | 6 | 151 | 1 | 1,413 | 10 | 55 | 0 | 437 | 2 | 1,476 | 11 | 607 | 5 | 275 | 2 | 2,496 | 10 | 49 | 1 | 5 |
| 2-Jun | 961 | 9 | 215 | 1 | 1,858 | 13 | 71 | , | 464 | 2 | 1,760 | 13 | 786 | 6 | 541 | 3 | 3,843 | 15 | 53 | 1 | 6 |
| 3-Jun | 1642 | 16 | 316 | 2 | 2,170 | 15 | 405 | 3 | 581 | 3 | 3,277 | 24 | 825 | 7 | 947 | 5 | 4,327 | 17 | 159 | 2 | 9 |
| 4-Jun | 1822 | 18 | 483 | 3 | 2,536 | 18 | 537 | 4 | 2,047 | 10 | 3,657 | 26 | 1,242 | 10 | 1,742 | 10 | 9,521 | 38 | 267 | 3 | 14 |
| 5-Jun | 2,020 | 20 | 706 | 5 | 2,941 | 21 | 610 | 5 | 3,434 | 17 | 5,325 | 38 | 1,280 | 10 | 2,183 | 12 | 10,037 | 40 | 357 | 4 | 17 |
| 6-Jun | 2,988 | 29 | 920 | 6 | 3,477 | 25 | 634 | 5 | 4,810 | 23 | 6,952 | 50 | 1,511 | 12 | 2,596 | 15 | 10,504 | 42 | 540 | 6 | 21 |
| 7-Jun | 3,317 | 32 | 1,344 | 9 | 3,940 | 28 | 1,089 | 8 | 5,050 | 25 | 8,179 | 59 | 1,749 | 14 | 3,865 | 22 | 11,712 | 47 | 555 | 7 | 25 |
| 8 -Jun | 3,404 | 33 | 1,429 | 10 | 4,347 | 31 | 1,298 | 10 | 5,129 | 25 | 9,115 | 65 | 2,011 | 16 | 4,128 | 24 | 11,866 | 48 | 764 | 9 | 27 |
| 9 -Jun | 3,413 | 33 | 1,741 | 12 | 4,825 | 34 | 1,857 | 14 | 5,312 | 26 | 9,605 | 69 | 2,316 | 18 | 4,334 | 25 | 11,934 | 48 | 955 | 11 | 29 |
| 10-Jun | 3,473 | 34 | 3,019 | 21 | 5,328 | 38 | 2,447 | 18 | 6,561 | 32 | 9,889 | 71 | 2,483 | 20 | 5,095 | 29 | 12,081 | 49 | 958 | 11 | 32 |
| 11-Jun | 3,511 | 34 | 3,978 | 28 | 5,799 | 41 | 3,405 | 25 | 6,981 |  | 10,204 | 73 | 2,651 | 21 | 6,689 | 38 | 12,399 | 50 | 1,101 | 13 | 36 |
| 12-Jun | 3,585 | 35 | 4,553 | 32 | 6,147 | 44 | 6,148 | 46 | 8,204 |  | 10,450 | 75 | 2,713 | 22 | 6,889 | 39 | 12,457 | 50 | 1,112 | 13 | 40 |
| 13-Jun | 3,740 | 36 | 4,782 | 33 | 6,612 | 47 | 8,135 | 60 | 9,545 |  | 10,592 | 76 | 2,848 | 23 | 6,999 | 40 | 12,915 | 52 | 1,248 | 15 | 43 |
| 14-Jun | 4,080 | 39 | 4,905 | 34 | 6,840 | 49 | 8,863 | 66 | 10,379 |  | 10,669 | 77 | 3,229 | 26 | 7,831 | 45 | 16,445 | 66 | 1,812 | 22 | 47 |
| 15-Jun | 4,773 | 46 | 5,547 | 39 | 7,150 | 51 | 9,190 | 68 | 10,994 |  | 10,721 | 77 | 3,338 | 27 | 8,563 | 49 | 16,980 | 68 | 2,321 | 28 | 51 |
| 16-Jun | 5,579 | 54 | 6,038 | 42 | 7,575 | 54 | 9,256 | 69 | 13,324 |  | 10,818 | 78 | 3,728 | 30 | 9,151 | 52 | 17,735 | 71 | 3,028 | 36 | 55 |
| 17-Jun | 6,015 | 58 | 6,723 | 47 | 7,972 | 57 | 9,329 | 69 | 15,467 | 75 | 10,948 | 79 | 4,869 | 39 | 9,874 | 56 | 18,574 | 75 | 3,226 | 39 | 59 |
| 18-Jun | 6,113 | 59 | 7,095 | 49 | 8,225 | 59 | 9,586 | 71 | 15,913 | 78 | 11,003 | 79 | 5,533 |  | 10,046 | 57 | 18,611 | 75 | 3,538 | 42 | 61 |
| 19-Jun | 6,161 | 60 | 7,428 | 52 | 8,585 | 61 | 9,953 | 74 | 16,077 | 78 | 11,283 | 81 | 6,119 | 49 | 10,760 | 61 | 18,722 | 75 | 3,588 | 43 | 63 |
| 20-Jun | 6,428 | 62 | 7,814 | 54 | 8,779 |  | 10,050 | 74 | 16,425 |  | 11,421 | 82 | 7,490 | 60 | 10,864 | 62 | 19,406 | 78 | 3,609 | 43 | 66 |
| 21-Jun | 7,144 | 69 | 8,213 | 57 | 9,327 |  | 10,113 | 75 | 16,663 |  | 11,504 | 83 | 7,693 | 61 | 10,984 | 63 | 20,045 | 81 | 3,809 | 46 | 68 |
| 22-Jun | 7,583 | 73 | 8,530 | 59 | 9,717 |  | 10,257 | 76 | 17,347 | 85 | 11,963 | 86 | 7,855 | 63 | 11,343 | 65 | 20,653 | 83 | 4,045 | 49 | 71 |
| 23-Jun | 8,746 | 85 | 10,077 | 70 | 10,360 | 74 | 10,414 | 77 | 17,389 | 85 | 12,147 | 87 | 8,672 | 69 | 11,515 | 66 | 20,809 | 84 | 4,234 | 51 | 75 |
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| 1996 | 1997 | 1998 | 1999 | $\underline{2000}$ |  | 2001 | 2002 | 2003 | $\underline{2004}$ |  |  | 2005 | 1996-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date N | \% N | \% N | \% N | \% | N | \% N | \% N | \% N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | Avg \% |
| 24-Jun 8,819 | 85 12,048 | 8410,938 | 78 10,460 | 77 | 18,189 | 89 12,335 | 89 9,353 | 7511,608 | 66 | 20,936 | 84 | 4,271 | 51 | 78 |
| 25-Jun 8,915 | 8612,560 | 87 11,380 | 81 10,559 | 78 | 18,582 | 91 12,376 | 89 10,249 | 8211,845 | 67 | 21,087 | 85 | 5,378 | 64 | 81 |
| 26-Jun 9,010 | 87 12,626 | 88 11,645 | 8310,926 | 81 | 18,724 | 91 12,436 | 89 10,484 | 84 11,868 | 68 | 21,265 | 86 | 5,646 | 68 | 82 |
| 27-Jun 9,083 | 88 12,778 | 89 11,984 | 8511,438 | 85 | 19,087 | 93 12,553 | 90 10,668 | 8512,127 | 69 | 21,307 | 86 | 6,207 | 74 | 84 |
| 28-Jun 9,269 | 90 12,839 | 89 12,247 | 87 11,631 | 86 | 19,195 | 94 12,671 | 91 10,884 | 87 12,962 | 74 | 22,179 | 89 | 6,231 | 75 | 86 |
| 29-Jun 9,434 | 91 12,881 | 90 12,453 | 89 11,862 | 88 | 19,462 | 9512,899 | 9311,088 | 88 13,693 | 78 | 22,792 | 92 | 6,325 | 76 | 88 |
| 30-Jun 9,557 | 92 12,964 | 90 12,664 | 90 12,000 | 89 | 19,583 | 9512,971 | 9311,172 | 89 13,897 | 79 | 22,831 | 92 | 6,623 | 79 | 89 |
| 1-Jul 9,582 | 9313,177 | 92 12,816 | 9112,116 | 90 | 19,620 | 9613,128 | 94 11,259 | 90 14,222 | 81 | 23,291 | 94 | 6,758 | 81 | 90 |
| 2-Jul 9,642 | 93 13,418 | 9313,035 | 9312,226 | 91 | 19,722 | 96 13,286 | 95 11,495 | 92 14,623 | 83 | 23,519 | 95 | 6,761 | 81 | 91 |
| 3-Jul 9,750 | 9413,577 | 9513,212 | 9412,230 | 91 | 19,772 | 9613,325 | 96 11,546 | 92 14,783 | 84 | 23,631 | 95 | 6,878 | 82 | 92 |
| 4-Jul 9,809 | 9513,701 | 9513,348 | 9512,266 | 91 | 19,795 | 9613,397 | 9611,728 | 9315,122 | 86 | 23,825 | 96 | 7,621 | 91 | 94 |
| 5-Jul 9,858 | 9513,766 | 96 13,408 | 9612,366 | 92 | 19,888 | 97 13,397 | 9611,917 | 9515,317 | 87 | 23,857 | 96 | 7,646 | 92 | 94 |
| 6-Jul 9,988 | 97 13,852 | 9613,511 | 96 12,392 | 92 | 19,990 | 97 13,496 | 97 11,942 | 9515,547 | 89 | 23,945 | 96 | 7,686 | 92 | 95 |
| 7-Jul 10,087 | 98 13,928 | 97 13,601 | 97 12,465 | 92 | 19,992 | 97 13,541 | 97 11,978 | 9515,719 | 90 | 23,958 | 96 | 7,798 | 94 | 95 |
| 8-Jul 10,132 | 98 13,980 | 97 13,690 | 98 12,522 | 93 | 19,992 | 97 13,549 | 9712,012 | 96 15,882 | 90 | 23,977 | 97 | 7,802 | 94 | 96 |
| 9-Jul 10,153 | 98 14,035 | 98 13,731 | 98 12,757 | 94 | 20,046 | 98 13,598 | 98 12,036 | 9616,021 | 91 | 24,080 | 97 | 7,855 | 94 | 96 |
| 10-Jul 10,153 | 98 14,094 | 9813,779 | 98 12,884 | 95 | 20,116 | 98 13,650 | 98 12,174 | 97 16,301 | 93 | 24,223 | 98 | 7,855 | 94 | 97 |
| 11-Jul 10,172 | 98 14,120 | 98 13,825 | 9812,965 | 96 | 20,140 | 98 13,678 | 98 12,189 | 97 16,724 | 95 | 24,247 | 98 | 7,867 | 94 | 97 |
| 12-Jul 10,194 | 99 14,153 | 9913,862 | 99 13,089 | 97 | 20,200 | 98 13,700 | 98 12,208 | 97 16,754 | 95 | 24,282 | 98 | 7,867 | 94 | 97 |
| 13-Jul 10,194 | 99 14,165 | 99 13,872 | 9913,129 | 97 | 20,253 | 99 13,755 | 9912,252 | 98 16,762 | 95 | 24,378 | 98 | 7,867 | 94 | 98 |
| 14-Jul 10,202 | 99 14,177 | 9913,904 | 9913,165 | 97 | 20,287 | 99 13,765 | 9912,306 | 98 16,823 | 96 | 24,410 | 98 | 8,007 | 96 | 98 |
| 15-Jul 10,211 | 9914,181 | 9913,916 | 9913,188 | 98 | 20,292 | 99 13,791 | 99 12,307 | 98 16,840 | 96 | 24,481 | 99 | 8,021 | 96 | 98 |
| 16-Jul 10,227 | 99 14,191 | 99 13,924 | 99 13,188 | 98 | 20,325 | 99 13,803 | 9912,335 | 98 16,929 | 96 | 24,530 | 99 | 8,041 | 96 | 98 |
| 17-Jul 10,234 | 9914,212 | 9913,933 | 9913,195 | 98 | 20,329 | 99 13,825 | 9912,367 | 9916,934 | 96 | 24,539 | 99 | 8,073 | 97 | 98 |
| 18-Jul 10,249 | 9914,216 | 9913,946 | 99 13,203 | 98 | 20,334 | 99 13,836 | 9912,373 | 99 16,980 | 97 | 24,563 | 99 | 8,075 | 97 | 98 |
| 19-Jul 10,256 | 99 14,248 | 99 13,969 | 99 13,203 | 98 | 20,365 | 99 13,843 | 99 12,386 | 9917,078 | 97 | 24,637 | 99 | 8,079 | 97 | 99 |
| 20-Jul 10,260 | 9914,274 | 99 13,973 | 99 13,287 | 98 | 20,378 | 99 13,843 | 9912,397 | 99 17,368 | 99 | 24,719 | 100 | 8,134 | 98 | 99 |
| 21-Jul 10,266 | 99 14,280 | 9913,977 | 9913,297 | 98 | 20,396 | 99 13,844 | 9912,401 | 99 17,384 | 99 | 24,723 | 100 | 8,143 | 98 | 99 |
| 22-Jul 10,289 | 99 14,293 | 9913,978 | 99 13,347 | 99 | 20,407 | 99 13,846 | 9912,406 | 99 17,485 | 100 | 24,727 | 100 | 8,198 | 98 | 99 |
| 23-Jul 10,291 | 99 14,299 | 99 13,981 | 99 13,371 | 99 | 20,421 | 99 13,849 | 9912,415 | 99 17,488 | 100 | 24,741 | 100 | 8,201 | 98 | 99 |
| 24-Jul 10,293 | 99 14,302 | 99 13,984 | 99 13,376 | 99 | 20,437 | 100 13,856 | 9912,416 | 99 17,492 | 100 | 24,764 | 100 | 8,201 | 98 | 99 |

-continued-
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| 1996 |  |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | $\underline{2000}$ |  | $\underline{2001}$ |  | $\underline{2002}$ |  | $\underline{2003}$ |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  | 2005 | 1996-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | N | \% | N | \% | \% N | \% | \% N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | Avg \% |
| 25-Jul | 10,298 |  | 14,303 | 99 | 13,986 |  | 9 13,386 | 99 | 20,445 | 100 | 13,877 |  | 12,416 | 99 | 17,527 | 100 | 24,790 | 100 | 8,201 | 98 | 99 |
| 26-Jul | 10,301 |  | 14,308 |  | 13,992 |  | 13,404 | 99 | 20,452 |  | 13,885 |  | 12,416 | 99 | 17,528 | 100 | 24,794 | 100 | 8,221 | 99 | 99 |
| 27-Jul | 10,305 |  | 14,314 |  | 13,993 |  | 13,435 | 99 | 20,463 |  | 13,891 |  | 12,416 | 99 | 17,530 | 100 | 24,794 | 100 | 8,289 | 99 | 99 |
| $28-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 10,307 |  | 14,322 |  | 14,004 |  | 13,446 | 100 | 20,477 |  | 13,892 |  | 12,416 | 99 | 17,537 | 100 | 24,794 | 100 | 8,292 | 99 | 99 |
| $29-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 10,308 |  | 14,323 |  | 14,005 |  | 13,449 | 100 | 20,479 |  | 13,893 |  | 12,429 | 99 | 17,537 | 100 | 24,794 | 100 | 8,295 | 99 | 99 |
| $30-\mathrm{Jul}$ | 10,314 |  | 14,325 |  | 14,009 |  | 13,467 | 100 | 20,479 |  | 13,900 |  | 12,429 | 99 | 17,544 | 100 | 24,794 | 100 | 8,297 | 99 | 99 |
| 31-Jul | 10,316 |  | 14,325 |  | 14,013 |  | 13,474 | 100 | 20,483 | 100 | 13,901 |  | 12,429 | 99 | 17,544 | 100 | 24,802 | 100 | 8,301 | 100 | 99 |
| 1-Aug | 10,321 |  | 14,326 |  | 14,017 |  | 13,475 | 100 | 20,487 |  | 13,902 |  | 12,429 | 99 | 17,545 | 100 | 24,806 | 100 | 8,302 | 100 | 99 |
| Total count | 10,344 |  | 14,357 |  | 14,038 |  | 13,503 |  | 20,527 |  | 13,929 |  | 12,551 |  | 17,557 |  | 24,830 |  | 8,340 |  |  |

Note: $\mathrm{N}=$ daily cumulative weir count (number of Chinook salmon).

Appendix B3.-Daily cumulative weir counts of Chignik River Chinook salmon, 15 June through 15 August 1996-2005.

|  | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | $\underline{2000}$ |  | 2001 |  | $\underline{2002}$ |  | 2003 |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  | 2005 |  | 996-2005 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Date | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | Avg \% |
| 16-Jun | 7 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 6 | 0 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 17-Jun | 7 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 12 | 0 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 18-Jun | 7 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 24 | 1 | 8 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 12 | 0 | 33 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 19-Jun | 14 | 0 | 19 | 0 | 25 | 1 | 14 | 0 |  |  |  |  | 36 | 1 | 69 | 1 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 20-Jun | 62 | 2 | 55 | 1 | 58 | 2 | 14 | 0 | 39 | 1 |  |  | 42 | 1 | 69 | 1 | 24 | 0 | 6 | 0 |  |
| 21-Jun | 74 | 2 | 73 | 2 | 95 | 3 | 14 | 0 | 47 | 1 |  |  | 42 | 1 | 105 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 84 | 1 |  |
| 22-Jun | 80 | 2 | 106 | 3 | 108 | 4 | 20 | 1 | 60 | 1 | 18 | 1 | 67 | 2 | 135 | 2 | 48 | 1 | 117 | 2 | 2 |
| 23-Jun | 94 | 3 | 124 | 3 | 114 | 4 | 38 | 1 | 73 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 79 | 2 | 159 | 2 | 66 | 1 | 196 | 3 | 2 |
| 24-Jun | 124 | 4 | 130 | 3 | 150 | 5 | 63 | 2 | 94 | 2 | 18 | 1 | 85 | 2 | 183 | 3 | 114 | 1 | 262 | 4 | 3 |
| 25-Jun | 136 | 4 | 160 | 4 | 198 | 6 | 85 | 2 | 124 | 3 | 18 | 1 | 122 | 3 | 219 | 3 | 210 | 3 | 292 | 5 | 3 |
| 26-Jun | 142 | 4 | 218 | 6 | 222 | 7 | 97 | 3 | 163 | 4 | 18 | 1 | 226 | 6 | 279 | 4 | 312 | 4 | 460 | 7 | 5 |
| 27-Jun | 250 | 7 | 280 | 7 | 276 | 9 | 109 | 3 | 219 | 5 | 18 | 1 | 256 | 7 | 388 | 6 | 348 | 4 | 605 | 9 | 6 |
| 28-Jun | 394 | 11 | 358 | 9 | 369 | 12 | 111 | 3 | 300 | 7 | 54 | 2 | 305 | 9 | 448 | 7 | 486 | 6 | 719 | 11 | 8 |
| 29-Jun | 532 | 15 | 382 | 10 | 441 | 14 | 135 | 4 | 399 | 9 | 85 | 3 | 389 | 11 | 485 | 8 | 654 | 8 | 909 | 14 | 10 |
| 30-Jun | 574 | 16 | 462 | 12 | 495 | 16 | 184 | 5 | 467 | 11 | 128 | 4 | 551 | 16 | 534 | 8 | 780 | 10 | 1,065 | 16 | 11 |
| 1-Jul | 691 | 20 | 528 | 14 | 525 | 17 | 214 | 6 | 557 | 13 | 257 | 8 | 599 | 17 | 552 | 9 | 926 | 12 | 1,223 | 19 | 13 |
| 2-Jul | 725 | 21 | 582 | 15 | 561 | 18 | 280 | 8 | 643 | 15 | 485 | 16 | 659 | 19 | 612 | 10 | 1,064 | 14 | 1,345 | 21 | 16 |
| 3-Jul | 798 | 23 | 624 | 16 | 621 | 20 | 354 | 9 | 763 | 18 | 647 | 21 | 678 | 19 | 668 | 10 | 1,154 | 15 | 1,579 | 24 | 18 |
| 4-Jul | 822 | 24 | 693 | 18 | 665 | 22 | 390 | 10 | 887 | 21 | 731 | 24 | 843 | 24 | 848 | 13 | 1,295 | 17 | 1,982 | 31 | 20 |
| 5-Jul | 912 | 26 | 778 | 20 | 755 | 25 | 459 | 12 | 1,033 | 24 | 779 | 26 | 891 | 25 | 1,071 | 17 | 1,626 | 21 | 2,144 | 33 | 23 |
| 6-Jul | 946 | 27 | 848 | 22 | 794 | 26 | 495 | 13 | 1,234 | 29 | 857 | 28 | 958 | 27 | 1,254 | 20 | 1,782 | 23 | 2,338 | 36 | 25 |
| 7-Jul | 946 | 27 | 990 | 26 | 942 | 31 | 647 | 17 | 1,384 | 32 | 965 | 32 | 1,060 | 30 | 1,296 | 20 | 1,873 | 24 | 2,569 | 40 | 28 |
| 8-Jul | 964 | 28 | 1,137 | 30 | 1,092 | 36 | 695 | 19 | 1,581 | 37 | 1,088 | 36 | 1,144 | 32 | 1,602 | 25 | 2,072 | 26 | 2,894 | 45 | 31 |
| 9-Jul | 976 | 28 | 1,398 | 37 | 1,110 | 36 | 761 | 20 | 1,753 | 41 | 1,158 | 38 | 1,228 | 35 | 1,932 | 30 | 2,253 | 29 | 3,452 | 53 | 35 |
| 10-Jul | 1,246 | 36 | 1,533 | 40 | 1,221 | 40 | 828 | 22 | 1,954 | 46 | 1,218 | 40 | 1,324 | 37 | 2,161 | 34 | 2,398 | 31 | 3,742 | 58 | 38 |
| 11-Jul | 1,288 | 37 | 1,664 | 44 | 1,305 | 42 | 967 | 26 | 2,103 | 49 | 1,280 | 42 | 1,408 | 40 | 2,564 | 40 | 2,698 | 34 | 3,875 | 60 | 41 |
| 12-Jul | 1,402 | 40 | 1,793 | 47 | 1,383 | 45 | 1,111 | 30 | 2,343 | 55 | 1,304 | 43 | 1,579 | 45 | 3,081 | 48 | 2,944 | 38 | 4,055 | 63 | 45 |
| 13-Jul | 1,527 | 44 | 1,890 | 49 | 1,440 | 47 | 1,292 | 35 | 2,512 | 59 | 1,328 | 44 | 1,675 | 47 | 3,408 | 53 | 3,323 | 42 | 4,211 | 65 | 48 |
| 14-Jul | 1,599 | 46 | 1,921 | 50 | 1,521 | 49 | 1,463 | 39 | 2,608 | 61 | 1,436 | 47 | 1,729 | 49 | 3,649 | 57 | 3,732 | 48 | 4,358 | 67 | 51 |
| 15-Jul | 1,709 | 49 | 1,975 | 52 | 1,635 | 53 | 1,702 | 46 | 2,728 | 64 | 1,496 | 49 | 1,867 | 53 | 3,921 | 61 | 4,020 | 51 | 4,461 | 69 | 55 |
| 16-Jul | 1,819 | 52 | 2,131 | 56 | 1,659 | 54 | 1,790 | 48 | 2,836 | 66 | 1,656 | 55 | 1,935 | 55 | 4,085 | 64 | 4,243 | 54 | 4,609 | 71 | 57 |
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| Date | 1996 |  | 1997 |  | 1998 |  | 1999 |  | 2000 |  | 2001 |  | 2002 |  | 2003 |  | $\underline{2004}$ |  | $\frac{2005}{\mathrm{~N}}$ | 1996-2005 |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% | N | \% |  | \% | Avg \% |
| 17-Jul | 2,094 | 60 | 2,263 | 59 | 1,798 | 58 | 1,938 | 52 | 2,938 | 69 | 1,818 | 60 | 2,121 | 60 | 4,281 | 67 | 4,552 | 58 | 4,794 | 74 | 62 |
| 18-Jul | 2,270 | 65 | 2,451 | 64 | 1,879 | 61 | 1,992 | 53 | 3,016 | 70 | 2,016 | 67 | 2,271 | 64 | 4,369 | 68 | 4,985 | 64 | 4,932 | 76 | 65 |
| 19-Jul | 2,384 | 68 | 2,543 | 67 | 2,138 | 70 | 2,214 | 59 | 3,159 | 74 | 2,152 | 71 | 2,362 | 67 | 4,460 | 70 | 5,256 | 67 | 5,106 | 79 | 69 |
| 20-Jul | 2,535 | 73 | 2,587 | 68 | 2,222 | 72 | 2,281 | 61 | 3,244 | 76 | 2,237 | 74 | 2,464 | 70 | 4,600 | 72 | 5,478 | 70 | 5,204 | 80 | 71 |
| 21-Jul | 2,577 | 74 | 2,621 | 69 | 2,312 | 75 | 2,378 | 64 | 3,352 | 78 | 2,325 | 77 | 2,526 | 71 | 4,752 | 74 | 5,721 | 73 | 5,337 | 82 | 74 |
| 22-Jul | 2,626 | 75 | 2,729 | 71 | 2,365 | 77 | 2,458 | 66 | 3,442 | 80 | 2,452 | 81 | 2,640 | 75 | 4,896 | 76 | 5,843 | 75 | 5,513 | 85 | 76 |
| 23-Jul | 2,663 | 76 | 2,858 | 75 | 2,431 | 79 | 2,573 | 69 | 3,650 | 85 | 2,513 | 83 | 2,772 | 78 | 5,005 | 78 | 5,987 | 76 | 5,622 | 87 | 79 |
| 24-Jul | 2,740 | 79 | 2,972 | 78 | 2,505 | 81 | 2,729 | 73 | 3,766 | 88 | 2,609 | 86 | 2,904 | 82 | 5,011 | 78 | 6,131 | 78 | 5,904 | 91 | 81 |
| 25-Jul | 2,855 | 82 | 3,045 | 80 | 2,555 | 83 | 2,777 | 74 | 3,786 | 88 | 2,663 | 88 | 2,982 | 84 | 5,149 | 80 | 6,311 | 80 | 5,976 | 92 | 83 |
| 26-Jul | 2,905 | 83 | 3,057 | 80 | 2,585 | 84 | 2,897 | 78 | 3,788 | 88 | 2,702 | 89 | 3,054 | 86 | 5,203 | 81 | 6,488 | 83 | 6,000 | 93 | 85 |
| 27-Jul | 3,030 | 87 | 3,073 | 80 | 2,603 | 85 | 3,001 | 80 | 3,806 | 89 | 2,714 | 90 | 3,084 | 87 | 5,371 | 84 | 6,632 | 85 | 6,099 | 94 | 86 |
| 28-Jul | 3,078 | 88 | 3,131 | 82 | 2,625 | 85 | 3,031 | 81 | 3,848 | 90 | 2,726 | 90 | 3,108 | 88 | 5,495 | 86 | 6,728 | 86 | 6,147 | 95 | 87 |
| 29-Jul | 3,131 | 90 | 3,215 | 84 | 2,680 | 87 | 3,290 | 88 | 3,885 | 91 | 2,744 | 91 | 3,144 | 89 | 5,610 | 87 | 6,824 | 87 | 6,196 | 96 | 89 |
| 30-Jul | 3,163 | 91 | 3,257 | 85 | 2,696 | 88 | 3,348 | 90 | 3,923 | 92 | 2,756 | 91 | 3,156 | 89 | 5,694 | 89 | 6,956 | 89 | 6,202 | 96 | 90 |
| 31-Jul | 3,171 | 91 | 3,349 | 88 | 2,708 | 88 | 3,384 | 91 | 3,953 | 92 | 2,816 | 93 | 3,180 | 90 | 5,766 | 90 | 7,076 | 90 | 6,214 | 96 | 91 |
| 1-Aug | 3,196 | 92 | 3,387 | 89 | 2,732 | 89 | 3,402 | 91 | 3,973 | 93 | 2,822 | 93 | 3,192 | 90 | 5,808 | 91 | 7,100 | 91 | 6,226 | 96 | 91 |
| 2-Aug | 3,214 | 92 | 3,407 | 89 | 2,753 | 90 | 3,432 | 92 | 4,063 | 95 | 2,858 | 94 | 3,198 | 90 | 5,820 | 91 | 7,173 | 91 | 6,232 | 96 | 92 |
| 3-Aug | 3,227 | 93 | 3,414 | 89 | 2,765 | 90 | 3,462 | 93 | 4,111 | 96 | 2,876 | 95 | 3,234 | 91 | 5,874 | 92 | 7,252 | 93 | 6,253 | 96 | 93 |
| 4-Aug | 3,233 | 93 | 3,420 | 89 | 2,789 | 91 | 3,501 | 94 | 4,135 | 96 | 2,906 | 96 | 3,258 | 92 | 5,880 | 92 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,273 | 97 | 93 |
| 5-Aug | 3,264 | 94 | 3,434 | 90 | 2,825 | 92 | 3,522 | 94 | 4,147 | 97 | 2,924 | 97 | 3,270 | 92 | 5,928 | 92 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,273 | 97 | 94 |
| 6-Aug | 3,300 | 95 | 3,465 | 91 | 2,825 | 92 | 3,528 | 95 | 4,189 | 98 | 2,930 | 97 | 3,294 | 93 | 5,928 | 92 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,291 | 97 | 94 |
| 7-Aug | 3,306 | 95 | 3,564 | 93 | 2,855 | 93 | 3,564 | 96 | 4,189 | 98 | 2,930 | 97 | 3,312 | 94 | 5,934 | 93 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,321 | 97 | 95 |
| 8-Aug | 3,313 | 95 | 3,627 | 95 | 2,882 | 94 | 3,584 | 96 | 4,189 | 98 | 2,943 | 97 | 3,337 | 94 | 5,988 | 93 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,351 | 98 | 95 |
| 9-Aug | 3,331 | 95 | 3,651 | 95 | 2,915 | 95 | 3,602 | 97 | 4,213 | 98 | 2,955 | 98 | 3,373 | 95 | 6,024 | 94 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,351 | 98 | 96 |
| 10-Aug | 3,345 | 96 | 3,696 | 97 | 2,933 | 95 | 3,626 | 97 | 4,219 | 98 | 2,961 | 98 | 3,391 | 96 | 6,102 | 95 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,352 | 98 | 96 |
| 11-Aug | 3,388 | 97 | 3,716 | 97 | 2,933 | 95 | 3,650 | 98 | 4,249 | 99 | 2,967 | 98 | 3,415 | 96 | 6,144 | 96 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,354 | 98 | 97 |
| 12-Aug | 3,412 | 98 | 3,728 | 97 | 2,945 | 96 | 3,662 | 98 | 4,249 | 99 | 2,967 | 98 | 3,421 | 97 | 6,192 | 97 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,366 | 98 | 97 |
| 13-Aug | 3,418 | 98 | 3,729 | 98 | 2,975 | 97 | 3,692 | 99 | 4,255 | 99 | 2,979 | 98 | 3,433 | 97 | 6,207 | 97 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,374 | 98 | 97 |
| 14-Aug | 3,418 | 98 | 3,729 | 98 | 2,981 | 97 | 3,704 | 99 | 4,267 | 100 | 2,979 | 98 | 3,439 | 97 | 6,243 | 97 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,398 | 99 | 98 |
| 15-Aug | 3,438 | 99 | 3,761 | 98 | 2,999 | 98 | 3,704 | 99 | 4,267 | 100 | 2,986 | 99 | 3,445 | 97 | 6,261 | 98 | 7,324 | 93 | 6,428 | 99 | 98 |


| count | 3,488 | 3,824 | 3,075 | 3,728 | 4,285 | 3,028 | 3,541 | 6,412 | 7,840 | 6,486 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
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# APPENDIX C. AGE COMPOSITIONS AND ESTIMATED INRIVER RETURN FROM KARLUK AND AYAKULIK RIVERS CHINOOK SALMON ESCAPEMENT BY TIME STRATUM, 2004-2005 

Appendix C1.-Estimated inriver return of Chinook salmon by time stratum and age, Karluk River, 2004.

| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | Total |
| Through 30 May |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 2 | 19 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 25 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 65.5 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 86.2 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 4.6 | 8.6 | 5.5 |  |  | 3.3 | 6.3 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 27 | 261 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 343 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 18 | 34 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 25 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.9 | 6.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 4.6 | 4.6 |  |  |  |  | 6.3 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 27 | 27 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 55 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 18 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 29 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.8 | 72.4 | 10.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.4 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 6.3 | 8.1 | 5.5 |  |  | 3.3 | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 55 | 288 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 14 | 398 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 25 | 32 | 22 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 0 |

31 May - 5 June
Females:

|  | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number sampled | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 60.0 |
| Percent |  |  | 20.0 | 20.0 | 20.0 |  |  |  | 24.4 |
| SE Percent | 0 | 0 | 242 | 242 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 726 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 241 | 241 | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296 |
| SE Return |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males: | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Number sampled | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 |
| Percent |  |  |  | 24.4 |  |  |  |  | 24.4 |
| SE Percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 484 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 484 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 296 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 60.0 | 20.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 20.0 | 24.4 | 20.0 |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 242 | 726 | 242 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,210 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 241 | 296 | 241 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

-continued-

## Appendix C1.-Page 2 of 3.

| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | Total |
| 6-12 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 6 | 28 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 52.8 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 67.9 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 4.3 | 6.8 | 2.6 |  |  |  | 6.4 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 177 | 826 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,062 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 68 | 106 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |

## Males:

| Number sampled | 1 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 1.9 | 0.0 | 11.3 | 15.1 | 3.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 32.1 |
| SE Percent | 1.9 |  | 4.3 | 4.9 | 2.6 |  |  |  | 6.4 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 29 | 0 | 177 | 236 | 59 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 501 |
| SE Return | 29 | 0 | 68 | 76 | 41 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 99 |

All:

| Number sampled | 1 | 0 | 12 | 36 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 1.9 | 0.0 | 22.6 | 67.9 | 7.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent | 1.9 |  | 5.7 | 6.4 | 3.6 |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 29 | 0 | 354 | 1,062 | 118 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,563 |
| SE Return | 29 | 0 | 89 | 99 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## 13-19 June

## Females:

| Number sampled | 0 | 1 | 4 | 13 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 18 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 2.6 | 10.3 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 46.2 |
| SE Percent |  | 2.5 | 4.9 | 7.5 |  |  |  |  | 8.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 36 | 143 | 464 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 642 |
| SE Return | 0 | 35 | 68 | 105 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |

Males:

| Number sampled | 1 | 3 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 2.6 | 7.7 | 25.6 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 53.8 |
| SE Percent | 2.5 | 4.3 | 7.0 | 6.1 |  |  |  |  | 8.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 36 | 107 | 357 | 250 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 750 |
| SE Return | 35 | 59 | 97 | 85 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 111 |

All:

| Number sampled | 1 | 4 | 14 | 20 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 39 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 2.6 | 10.3 | 35.9 | 51.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent | 2.5 | 4.9 | 7.7 | 8.0 |  |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 36 | 143 | 500 | 714 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,392 |
| SE Return | 35 | 68 | 107 | 111 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
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| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | Total |
| 20-26 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 1 | 12 | 16 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 29 |
| Percent |  | 1.9 | 23.1 | 30.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 55.8 |
| SE Percent |  | 1.9 | 5.7 | 6.3 |  |  |  |  | 6.8 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 18 | 219 | 292 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 529 |
| SE Return | 0 | 18 | 54 | 60 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 2 | 2 | 9 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 23 |
| Percent | 3.8 | 3.8 | 17.3 | 17.3 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 44.2 |
| SE Percent | 2.6 | 2.6 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 1.9 |  |  |  | 6.8 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 37 | 37 | 164 | 164 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 420 |
| SE Return | 25 | 25 | 49 | 49 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 2 | 3 | 21 | 25 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 52 |
| Percent | 3.8 | 5.8 | 40.4 | 48.1 | 1.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent | 2.6 | 3.2 | 6.7 | 6.8 | 1.9 |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 37 | 55 | 383 | 456 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 949 |
| SE Return | 25 | 30 | 63 | 65 | 18 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

## After 26 June

Females:

| Number sampled | 0 | 1 | 17 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 37 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 1.8 | 29.8 | 29.8 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 64.9 |
| SE Percent |  | 1.7 | 6.0 | 6.0 | 2.4 |  |  |  | 6.3 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 35 | 600 | 600 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,307 |
| SE Return | 0 | 35 | 121 | 121 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |

## Males:

| Number sampled | 0 | 3 | 12 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 20 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 5.3 | 21.1 | 8.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.1 |
| SE Percent |  | 2.9 | 5.4 | 3.7 |  |  |  |  | 6.3 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 106 | 424 | 177 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 706 |
| SE Return | 0 | 59 | 108 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 127 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 4 | 29 | 22 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 57 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 7.0 | 50.9 | 38.6 | 3.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  | 3.4 | 6.6 | 6.4 | 2.4 |  |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 141 | 1,024 | 777 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2,013 |
| SE Return | 0 | 68 | 133 | 129 | 49 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix C2.-Estimated inriver return of Chinook salmon by time stratum and age, Karluk River, 2005.

| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 |  |
| Through 19 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 4 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 13 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 19.0 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 61.9 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 8.7 | 8.7 |  | 4.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 10.8 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 317 | 317 | 0 | 79 | 158 | 158 | 1,029 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 145 | 145 | 0 | 79 | 108 | 108 | 179 |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 1 | 4 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 4.8 | 19.0 | 14.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 |
| SE Percent |  | 4.7 | 8.7 | 7.8 |  |  |  |  | 10.8 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 79 | 317 | 238 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 634 |
| SE Return | 0 | 79 | 145 | 129 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 179 |
| All: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 1 | 8 | 7 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 2 | 21 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 4.8 | 38.1 | 33.3 | 0.0 | 4.8 | 9.5 | 9.5 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  | 4.7 | 10.8 | 10.5 |  | 4.7 | 6.5 | 6.5 | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 79 | 634 | 554 | 0 | 79 | 158 | 158 | 1,663 |
| SE Return | 0 | 79 | 179 | 174 | 0 | 79 | 108 | 108 | 0 |
| 20-26 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 1 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 11 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 4.2 | 29.2 | 8.3 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 45.8 |
| SE Percent |  | 4.1 | 9.3 | 5.7 |  |  | 4.1 |  | 10.2 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 28 | 194 | 56 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 305 |
| SE Return | 0 | 27 | 62 | 38 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 68 |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 1 | 4 | 3 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Percent | 4.2 | 16.7 | 12.5 | 20.8 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 54.2 |
| SE Percent | 4.1 | 7.6 | 6.8 | 8.3 |  |  |  |  | 10.2 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 28 | 111 | 83 | 139 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 361 |
| SE Return | 27 | 51 | 45 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 68 |
| All: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 1 | 5 | 10 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 24 |
| Percent | 4.2 | 20.8 | 41.7 | 29.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 4.2 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent | 4.1 | 8.3 | 10.1 | 9.3 |  |  | 4.1 |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 28 | 139 | 278 | 194 | 0 | 0 | 28 | 0 | 666 |
| SE Return | 27 | 55 | 67 | 62 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 0 | 0 |
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| Year |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.2 | 2.3 | 2.4 | Total |
| After 26 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 1 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 19 |
| Percent | 3.1 | 9.4 | 25.0 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 59.4 |
| SE Percent | 3.1 | 5.2 | 7.7 | 6.5 |  |  | 4.3 |  | 8.8 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 77 | 231 | 617 | 386 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 0 | 1,466 |
| SE Return | 77 | 128 | 191 | 160 | 0 | 0 | 107 | 0 | 216 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 1 | 2 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Percent | 3.1 | 6.3 | 12.5 | 15.6 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 40.6 |
| SE Percent | 3.1 | 4.3 | 5.9 | 6.5 |  | 3.1 |  |  | 8.8 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 77 | 154 | 309 | 386 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 1,003 |
| SE Return | 77 | 107 | 146 | 160 | 0 | 77 | 0 | 0 | 216 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| All: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 2 | 5 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 32 |
| Percent | 6.3 | 15.6 | 37.5 | 31.3 | 0.0 | 3.1 | 6.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent | 4.3 | 6.5 | 8.6 | 8.3 |  | 3.1 | 4.3 |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 154 | 386 | 926 | 772 | 0 | 77 | 154 | 0 | 2,469 |
| SE Return | 107 | 160 | 213 | 204 | 0 | 77 | 107 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix C3.-Estimated inriver return of Chinook salmon by time stratum and age, Ayakulik River, 2004.

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2004 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 | Total |
| Before 5 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 0 | 0 | 33 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.7 | 57.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 61.1 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 2.6 | 6.8 |  |  | 6.7 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 372 | 5,762 | 0 | 0 | 6,134 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 260 | 680 | 0 | 0 | 670 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 17 | 0 | 0 | 21 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 5.6 | 31.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.9 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 1.8 | 3.1 | 6.4 |  |  | 6.7 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 558 | 3,160 | 0 | 0 | 3,903 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 315 | 639 | 0 | 0 | 670 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 5 | 48 | 0 | 0 | 54 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 9.3 | 88.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 1.8 | 4.0 | 4.3 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 186 | 929 | 8,922 | 0 | 0 | 10,037 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 185 | 399 | 432 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

6-12 June

## Females:

|  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 23 | 2 | 0 | 30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Number sampled | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.6 | 48.9 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 63.8 |
| Percent |  |  |  |  | 4.5 | 7.3 | 2.9 |  | 7.0 |
| SE Percent | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 257 | 1,184 | 103 | 0 | 1,545 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 109 | 177 | 71 | 0 | 170 |
| SE Return |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Males:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 10 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 21.3 | 8.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.2 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 3.6 | 6.0 | 4.1 |  |  | 7.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 515 | 206 | 0 | 0 | 875 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 145 | 99 | 0 | 0 | 170 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 15 | 27 | 2 | 0 | 47 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.4 | 31.9 | 57.4 | 4.3 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 3.6 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 2.9 |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 154 | 772 | 1,390 | 103 | 0 | 2,420 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 86 | 165 | 175 | 71 | 0 | 0 |
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| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| $\mathbf{2 0 0 4}$ | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 | Total |
| 10 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

13-19 June
Females:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 9 | 17 | 2 | 0 | 28 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 18.4 | 34.7 | 4.1 | 0.0 | 57.1 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 5.6 | 6.8 | 2.8 |  | 7.1 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,151 | 2,174 | 256 | 0 | 3,580 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 349 | 429 | 178 | 0 | 446 |

Males:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 8 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 16.3 | 16.3 | 2.0 | 0.0 | 42.9 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 3.4 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 5.3 | 2.0 |  | 7.1 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 384 | 128 | 1,023 | 1,023 | 128 | 0 | 2,685 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 216 | 127 | 333 | 333 | 127 | 0 | 446 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 3 | 1 | 17 | 25 | 3 | 0 | 49 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.1 | 2.0 | 34.7 | 51.0 | 6.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 3.4 | 2.0 | 6.8 | 7.2 | 3.4 |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 384 | 128 | 2,174 | 3,196 | 384 | 0 | 6,265 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 216 | 127 | 429 | 450 | 216 | 0 | 0 |

20-26 June
Females:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 19 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 15.4 | 30.8 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 48.7 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 5.8 | 7.4 | 2.5 |  | 8.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 391 | 782 | 65 | 0 | 1,239 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 189 | 65 | 0 | 205 |

Males:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 20 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 33.3 | 15.4 | 2.6 | 0.0 | 51.3 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 7.6 | 5.8 | 2.5 |  | 8.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 848 | 391 | 65 | 0 | 1,304 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 193 | 148 | 65 | 0 | 205 |

## All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 19 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 39 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 48.7 | 46.2 | 5.1 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 8.0 | 8.0 | 3.6 |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1,239 | 1,174 | 130 | 0 | 2,543 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 205 | 204 | 90 | 0 | 0 |
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| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | ---: |
|  | 0.2 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 |
| Total |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 27 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

27 June - 3 July
Females:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 6 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 9.5 | 19.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 6.5 | 8.7 |  |  | 10.1 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 225 | 451 | 0 | 0 | 676 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 155 | 207 | 0 | 0 | 238 |

Males:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 8 | 1 | 0 | 15 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.6 | 38.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 71.4 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 10.1 | 10.8 | 4.7 |  | 10.1 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 676 | 901 | 113 | 0 | 1,690 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 238 | 256 | 112 | 0 | 238 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 12 | 1 | 0 | 21 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 38.1 | 57.1 | 4.8 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 10.8 | 11.0 | 4.7 |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 901 | 1,352 | 113 | 0 | 2,366 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 256 | 261 | 112 | 0 | 0 |

After 3 July
Females:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 8 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 29.4 | 17.6 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 47.1 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 11.3 | 9.5 |  |  | 12.4 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 353 | 212 | 0 | 0 | 564 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 136 | 113 | 0 | 0 | 149 |

Males:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 6 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 9 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 35.3 | 5.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 52.9 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 5.8 | 5.8 | 11.9 | 5.8 |  |  | 12.4 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 71 | 71 | 423 | 71 | 0 | 0 | 635 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 70 | 70 | 142 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 149 |

## All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 17 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 5.9 | 5.9 | 64.7 | 23.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 5.8 | 5.8 | 11.9 | 10.5 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 71 | 71 | 776 | 282 | 0 | 0 | 1,199 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 70 | 70 | 142 | 126 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

Appendix C4.-Estimated inriver return of Chinook salmon by time stratum and age, Ayakulik River, 2005.

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2005 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 |  |
| Through 12 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 6.7 | 6.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 6.6 | 6.6 |  |  | 9.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 148 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 74 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 13 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 33.3 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 86.7 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 9.0 | 12.5 | 13.0 |  |  | 9.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 371 | 445 | 0 | 0 | 964 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 139 | 145 | 0 | 0 | 100 |
| All: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 15 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 13.3 | 40.0 | 46.7 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 9.0 | 13.0 | 13.2 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 148 | 445 | 519 | 0 | 0 | 1,112 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 100 | 145 | 147 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 13-19 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 20.0 | 30.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  |  | 13.3 | 15.2 |  |  | 16.6 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 495 | 743 | 0 | 0 | 1,238 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 329 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 412 |
| Males: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 5 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 0.0 | 40.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 50.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 10.0 |  | 16.3 |  |  | 16.6 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 0 | 990 | 0 | 0 | 1,238 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 0 | 404 | 0 | 0 | 412 |
| All: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 10.0 | 20.0 | 70.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 10.0 | 13.3 | 15.2 |  |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 248 | 495 | 1,733 | 0 | 0 | 2,476 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 247 | 329 | 377 | 0 | 0 | 0 |

-continued-

Appendix C4.-Page 2 of 2.

| Year | Age |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| 2005 | 0.2 | 0.4 | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 | Total |
| 20-26 June |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 8 | 5 | 1 | 0 | 18 |
| Percent | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 10.7 | 28.6 | 17.9 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 64.3 |
| SE Percent |  | 3.5 |  | 5.9 | 8.6 | 7.3 | 3.5 |  | 9.2 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 74 | 0 | 221 | 588 | 368 | 74 | 0 | 1,323 |
| SE Return | 0 | 73 | 0 | 122 | 178 | 151 | 73 | 0 | 188 |

Males:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 4 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 10 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 3.6 | 14.3 | 17.9 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 35.7 |
| SE Percent |  |  |  | 3.5 | 6.7 | 7.3 |  |  | 9.2 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 | 294 | 368 | 0 | 0 | 735 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 0 | 73 | 138 | 151 | 0 | 0 | 188 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 1 | 0 | 4 | 12 | 10 | 1 | 0 | 28 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 14.3 | 42.9 | 35.7 | 3.6 | 0.0 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent |  | 3.5 |  | 6.7 | 9.5 | 9.2 | 3.5 |  | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 74 | 0 | 294 | 882 | 735 | 74 | 0 | 2,058 |
| SE Return | 0 | 73 | 0 | 138 | 195 | 188 | 73 | 0 | 0 |

## After 26 June

## Females:

| Number sampled | 1 | 1 | 4 | 9 | 36 | 23 | 1 | 1 | 76 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.9 | 0.9 | 3.8 | 8.5 | 34.0 | 21.7 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 71.7 |
| SE Percent | 0.9 | 0.9 | 1.8 | 2.7 | 4.5 | 3.9 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 4.3 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 25 | 25 | 102 | 229 | 915 | 585 | 25 | 25 | 1,932 |
| SE Return | 25 | 25 | 49 | 72 | 122 | 106 | 25 | 25 | 116 |

## Males:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 2 | 6 | 12 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 30 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 1.9 | 5.7 | 11.3 | 9.4 | 0.0 | 0.0 | 28.3 |
| SE Percent |  |  | 1.3 | 2.2 | 3.0 | 2.8 |  |  | 4.3 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 0 | 0 | 51 | 152 | 305 | 254 | 0 | 0 | 762 |
| SE Return | 0 | 0 | 35 | 60 | 82 | 75 | 0 | 0 | 116 |

## All:

| Number sampled | 1 | 1 | 6 | 15 | 48 | 33 | 1 | 1 | 106 |
| :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.9 | 0.9 | 5.7 | 14.2 | 45.3 | 31.1 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 100.0 |
| SE Percent | 0.9 | 0.9 | 2.2 | 3.3 | 4.8 | 4.4 | 0.9 | 0.9 | 0.0 |
| Inriver Return at Weir | 25 | 25 | 152 | 381 | 1,220 | 839 | 25 | 25 | 2,694 |
| SE Return | 25 | 25 | 60 | 90 | 128 | 119 | 25 | 25 | 0 |

## APPENDIX D. CHINOOK SALMON ANGLER CENSUS DATA FROM KARLUK AND AYAKULIK RIVERS, 20042005

Appendix D1.-Chinook salmon angler census data from Karluk River weir and Portage, 2004.

| 2004 | Angler Type |  | Residency ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Guided | Unguided | Alaska resident |  | Non Alaska resident |  | Unknown |  |
|  |  |  | Local | Nonlocal | U.S. | Alien |  |  |
| Anglers | 261 | 33 | 9 | 16 | 240 | 29 | 145 | 439 |
| Effort ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 450 | 134 | 32 | 34 | 327 | 191 | 21 | 605 |
| Harvest | 452 | 37 | 4 | 22 | 413 | 50 | 231 | 720 |
| Release | 1,142 | 108 | 2 | 41 | 913 | 294 | 109 | 1,359 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Local $=$ an Alaska resident who lives on Kodiak Island; Nonlocal = an Alaska resident who does not live on Kodiak Island; U.S. = a non Alaskan who is a citizen of the United States; Alien = a non Alaskan who is not a citizen of the United States, and who does not have a petition for naturalization pending before the district court. Residency undetermined for some anglers.
b Units of effort = angler-days.

Appendix D2.-Chinook salmon angler census data from Karluk River weir and Portage, 2005.

| 2005 | Angler Type |  | Residency ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Guided | Unguided | Alaska resident |  | Non Alaska resident |  | Unknown |  |
|  |  |  | Local | Nonlocal | U.S. | Alien |  |  |
| Anglers | 249 | 19 | 3 | 11 | 166 | 88 | 0 | 268 |
| Effort ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 571 | 64 | 8 | 34 | 194 | 399 | 0 | 635 |
| Harvest | 180 | 7 | 0 | 10 | 140 | 37 | 0 | 187 |
| Release | 549 | 27 | 2 | 50 | 386 | 138 | 0 | 576 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Local = an Alaska resident who lives on Kodiak Island; Nonlocal = an Alaska resident who does not live on Kodiak Island; U.S. = a non Alaskan who is a citizen of the United States; Alien = a non Alaskan who is not a citizen of the United States, and who does not have a petition for naturalization pending before the district court. Residency undetermined for some anglers.
${ }^{\text {b }}$ Units of effort = angler-days.

Appendix D3.-Chinook salmon angler census data from Ayakulik River weir and Bare Creek, 2004.

| 2004 | Angler Type |  | Residency ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Guided | Unguided | Alaska resident |  | Non Alaska resident |  | Unknown |  |
|  |  |  | Local | Nonlocal | U.S. | Alien |  |  |
| Anglers | 114 | 158 | 46 | 34 | 150 | 31 | 11 | 272 |
| Effort ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 510 | 913 | 199 | 165 | 741 | 307 | 11 | 1,423 |
| Harvest | 153 | 252 | 68 | 37 | 237 | 61 | 2 | 405 |
| Release | 3,736 | 3,681 | 1,108 | 540 | 5,002 | 767 | 0 | 7,417 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Local $=$ an Alaska resident who lives on Kodiak Island; Nonlocal $=$ an Alaska resident who does not live on Kodiak Island; U.S. $=$ a non Alaskan who is a citizen of the United States; Alien $=\mathrm{a}$ non Alaskan who is not a citizen of the United States, and who does not have a petition for naturalization pending before the district court. Residency undetermined for some anglers.
b Units of effort = angler-days.

Appendix D4.-Chinook salmon angler census data from Ayakulik River weir and Bare Creek, 2005.

| 2005 | Angler Type |  | Residency ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  |  |  | Total |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Guided | Unguided | Alaska resident |  | Non Alaska resident |  | Unknown |  |
|  |  |  | Local | Nonlocal | U.S. | Alien |  |  |
| Anglers | 44 | 87 | 8 | 21 | 77 | 25 | 0 | 131 |
| Effort ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | 315 | 825 | 89 | 150 | 543 | 358 | 0 | 1,140 |
| Harvest | 71 | 94 | 9 | 27 | 85 | 44 | 0 | 165 |
| Release | 450 | 1,103 | 14 | 118 | 1,247 | 174 | 0 | 1,553 |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Local $=$ an Alaska resident who lives on Kodiak Island; Nonlocal $=$ an Alaska resident who does not live on Kodiak Island; U.S. = a non Alaskan who is a citizen of the United States; Alien = a non Alaskan who is not a citizen of the United States, and who does not have a petition for naturalization pending before the district court. Residency undetermined for some anglers.
b Units of effort = angler-days.

# APPENDIX E. AGE COMPOSITIONS FROM SPAWNED OUT CHIGNIK RIVER CHINOOK SALMON, 2004-2005 

Appendix E1.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of spawned out Chignik River Chinook salmon, 2004.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.1 | unkn | Total |
| $2004^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Females: |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Number sampled |  | 1 | 6 | 3 |  |  | 7 | $17^{\mathrm{b}}$ |
| Percent | 0.0 | 3.8 | 23.1 | 11.5 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  | 42.5 |
| SE Percent |  | 3.8 | 8.4 | 6.4 |  |  |  | 7.9 |
| Mean Length |  | 868 | 879 | 844 |  |  | 809 | 845 |
| Std Dev Length |  |  | 62 | 27 |  |  | 98 | 75 |
| Minimum Length | 868 | 812 | 813 |  |  | 631 | 631 |  |
| Maximum Length | 868 | 942 | 864 |  |  | 910 | 942 |  |

Males:

| Number sampled | 1 | 3 | 7 | 5 |  |  | 7 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 3.8 | 11.5 | 26.9 | 19.2 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| SE Percent | 3.8 | 6.4 | 8.9 | 7.9 |  |  |  |
| Mean Length | 697 | 682 | 862 | 912 |  |  | 995 |
| Std Dev Length |  | 49 | 85 | 166 |  | 878 |  |
| Minimum Length | 697 | 626 | 753 | 619 |  | 139 | 153 |
| Maximum Length | 697 | 716 | 1022 | 1006 |  | 885 | 619 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1266 | 1266 |

All:
$\left.\begin{array}{rrrrrrrr}\text { Number sampled } & 1 & 4 & 13 & 8 & 0 & 0 & 14\end{array}\right) 40^{\text {b }}$

Note: unkn = unknown, fish for which age was not determined.
${ }^{\text {a }}$ Samples taken from carcasses of spawned-out fish collected at the weir between 1-15 September.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Female, male and total statistics include 6, 6, and 12 fish, respectively, for which age was not determined.

Appendix E2.-Age composition and mean length-at-age of spawned out Chignik River Chinook salmon, 2005.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 1.1 | 1.2 | 1.3 | 1.4 | 1.5 | 2.4 | unkn |
| $2005^{\mathrm{a}}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |

Females:

| Number sampled |  | 4 | 2 |  | 1 | 5 | $12^{\text {b }}$ |
| ---: | :--- | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 36.4 | 18.2 | 0.0 | 9.1 |  |
| SE Percent |  |  | 15.2 | 12.2 |  | 9.1 |  |
| Mean Length |  |  | 817 | 919 |  | 881 | 830 |
| Std Dev Length |  |  | 23 | 41 |  |  | 22 |
| Minimum Length |  |  | 791 | 890 |  | 881 | 796 |
| Maximum Length |  |  | 839 | 948 |  | 881 | 856 |

Males:

| Number sampled |  | 3 | 1 |  | 5 | $9^{\text {b }}$ |  |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 27.3 | 9.1 | 0.0 | 0.0 |  |
| SE Percent |  |  | 14.1 | 9.1 |  |  |  |
| Mean Length |  |  | 891 | 1100 |  | 960 | 952 |
| Std Dev Length |  |  | 19 |  |  | 84 | 88 |
| Minimum Length |  |  | 873 | 1100 |  | 860 | 860 |
| Maximum Length |  |  | 911 | 1100 |  | 1043 | 1100 |

All:

| Number sampled | 0 | 0 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 10 |
| ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: | ---: |
| Percent | 0.0 | 0.0 | 63.6 | 27.3 | 0.0 | 9.1 |  |
| SE Percent |  |  | 15.2 | 14.1 |  | 9.1 |  |
| Mean Length |  |  | 849 | 979 |  | 881 | 895 |
| Std Dev Length |  |  | 44 | 108 |  |  | 900 |
| Minimum Length |  |  | 791 | 890 |  | 881 | 796 |
| Maximum Length |  |  | 911 | 1100 |  | 881 | 1043 |

Note: unkn = unknown, fish for which age was not determined.

[^3]
[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ State of Alaska Network. Project leader G. B. Jennings, Division of Sport Fish, Research and Technical Services, Anchorage. Accessed February 2007.

[^1]:    -continued-

[^2]:    Note: $\mathrm{N}=$ daily cumulative weir count (number of Chinook salmon).

[^3]:    ${ }^{\text {a }}$ Samples taken from carcasses of spawned-out fish collected at the weir between 13 August-3 September.
    ${ }^{\text {b }}$ Female, male and total statistics include 5, 5, and 10 fish, respectively, for which age was not determined.

