<u>ACR 2</u>

Cap statewide private non-profit salmon hatchery egg take capacity at 75% of the level permitted in 2000 (5 AAC 40.XXX).

CITE THE REGULATION THAT WILL BE CHANGED IF THIS ACR IS HEARD. AS 44.62 BOF has authority to amend private non-profit (PNP) hatchery egg takes for production

WHAT IS THE PROBLEM YOU WOULD LIKE THE BOARD TO ADDRESS? STATE IN DETAIL THE NATURE OF THE CURRENT PROBLEM. The BOF was going to address a proposal from the Elfin Cove AC to reduce PNP hatchery production. The PNP managers met with the Governor and promised to reduce production by 25%. The Commissioner and Director of Commercial Fisheries promised there would be no more increases by PNP hatcheries. This was done at the BOF meeting in January-February of 2001. Starting in 2003 both SE and PWS PNPs started increasing production of pink and chum salmon.

WHAT SOLUTION DO YOU PREFER? The BOF would hold the PNP hatchery production to the 2000 level and decrease it by 25% of that level.

STATE IN DETAIL HOW THIS ACR MEETS THE CRITERIA STATED ABOVE.

- a) for a fishery conservation purpose or reason: Yes. See KRSA ACR
- **b**) to correct an error in regulation: No.
- c) to correct an effect on a fishery that was unforeseen when a regulation was adopted: No.

WHAT WILL HAPPEN IF THIS PROBLEM IS NOT SOLVED PRIOR TO THE **REGULAR CYCLE?** There is only the protocol FB-215-2002 that addresses the issue and it has been ignored.

STATE WHY YOUR ACR IS NOT PREDOMINANTLY ALLOCATIVE.

IF THIS REQUEST IS ALLOCATIVE, STATE THE NEW INFORMATION THAT COMPELS THE BOARD TO CONSIDER AN ALLOCATIVE PROPOSAL OUTSIDE OF THE REGULAR CYCLE.

STATE YOUR INVOLVEMENT IN THE FISHERY THAT IS THE SUBJECT OF THIS ACR. I was one of two BOF members that negotiated the hatchery protocol with ADF&G.

STATE WHETHER THIS ACR HAS BEEN CONSIDERED BEFORE, EITHER AS A PROPOSAL OR AS AN ACR, AND IF SO, DURING WHICH BOARD OF FISHERIES MEETING.

SUBMITTED BY: Virgil Umphenour