

Joseph Coniglio 10405 Stonewillow Dr

Parker, CO 80134

February 11, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria is subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria is subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Joseph Coniglio ,Colonel , USAF, Retired



Keith Mantey 14865 Sterling Hwy

Cooper Landing, AK 99572

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Tourism is also critical in providing jobs to Alaskan families. Sport and tourism fishing should have a higher priority. It provides much more income per fish than wholesale commercial fishing. Many guest won't return because fishing has turned bad year after year now. To many of these fish have been taken commercially at the mouth of the Kenai River and all the business that rely on tourism, which is the whole Kenai - Soldotna - Cooper Landing area, have been put at the back of the bus too many years. THIS tourism economy is much more important than wholesaling fish which profits much less per pound of fish. Tourism supports the general economy because it bring additional customers to the state who spend money... lots of money. They won't come if the fishing is bad. The elite few license holders that monopolize fishing get a disproportionate cut of the fish.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Keith Mantey



kent smith 1927 w. destiny cir

wasilla, AK 99645

February 15, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, kent smith



Kevin Thurman po box 390 Sterling, AK 99672

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Kevin Thurman



Kristin Mellinger

821 River Estates Dr

Soldotna, AK 99669

February 12, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Making resident access a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Kristin Mellinger



Kristine Ogonowski 7732 W Woodridge Ave Wasilla, AK 99623

February 17, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The Alaska Constitution Article VIII, Section 2. General Authority reads: The legislature shall provide for the utilization, development, and conservation

of all natural resources belonging to the State, including land and waters, for the maximum benefit of its people. Section 3. Common Use reads: Wherever occurring in their natural state, fish, wildlife, and waters are reserved to the people for common use. These two sections clearly state the importance of our natural resources to the people of Alaska. That includes the consumption, development and conservation of our salmon all across the state. The Constitution provides for the common law doctrine that natural resources are managed as a public trust for the benefit of the people as a whole, rather than for the benefit of the government, corporations, or private persons. (See Alaska's Constitution, A Citizen's Guide (5th edition) by Gordon Harrison, Alaska Legislative Affairs Agency.) Section 4. Sustained Yield reads: Fish, forests, wildlife, grasslands, and all other replenishable resources belonging to the State shall be utilized, developed, and maintained on the sustained yield principle, subject to preferences among beneficial uses. This section reinforces Section 2 and State law defines maximum sustained yield as "the achievement and maintenance in perpetuity of a high level annual or regular periodic output of the various renewable resources of the state land consistent with multiple use" (AS 38.04.910). Mr. Harrison continues his discussion, "The qualifying phrase "subject to preferences among beneficial uses" signals recognition by the delegates that not all



the demands made upon resources can be satisfied, and that prudent resource management based on modern conservation principles necessarily involves prioritizing competing uses."

Clearly, our Constitution is placing the benefits of the Alaskan people before those of profitdriven corporations, businesses, government or a select group of private persons. The Board of Fish needs to follow the intent of the Alaska Constitution.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Kristine Ogonowski



Kurt MacKenzie 3640 N Travelair Dr

Wasilla, AK 99654

February 20, 2019

Dear KRSA KRSA,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in nonsubsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location. Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska. Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska. Our State Constitution mandates that the management of our resources is for the primary benefit of it's residents. As the state BOF, it is incumbent of you to manage our fisheries in accordance with the Constitution.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.



Sincerely, Kurt C MacKenzie



Laurie Fagnani 2559 Loussac Drive

Anchorage, AK 99517

February 12, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Tight lines, Laurie Fagnani



Lowell Ainsworth

8601 Pluto Gr

Anchorage, AK 99507

February 18, 2019

Dear KRSA KRSA,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Don't Cater to the Commercial Fish People !!!!!

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Lowell Ainsworth



lyndel brady 12410 caragana circle

anchorage, AK 99515

February 13, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly.On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, lyndel brady



Marty Thurber PO Box 880

Kenai, AK 99611

February 15, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority while allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.

Please consider the benefit for Alaskans to fish for themselves over the profits made by a few commercial set net fishermen.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Thank you, Marty Thurber



Melina Westall 645 G Street #571

Anchorage, AK 99501

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wille allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The nonsubsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority will allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan



households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Melina Westall



Michael Bell Pobox 298424 Wasilla, AK 99629

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

I have lived here for 25 years, I was not totally dependent on seafood, but I was glad to be able to get it, it helped me thru some hard times, it is time to put the people first in Alaska

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Michael Bell



Michael Campbell 12901 Cumberland circle

Anchorage, AK 99516

February 15, 2019

Dear KRSA KRSA,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wille allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The nonsubsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority will allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wille allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.



Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Michael Campbell



Mitchell Rohloff 4241 Ambler Circle Anchorage, AK 99504

February 15, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy. Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location. On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority while allocating the state's fish resources



maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Lower income households may not have the ability to readily travel outside of non-subsistence use areas to access fish for personal and family consumption. It is very important that Alaskan residents who live in the larger urban areas of the state to be able to harvest meaningful numbers of fish from local fisheries for food. Fish as a family food resource is important regardless of where one lives in Alaska.Food insecurity impacts about 15 percent of households on the Kenai Peninsula, concentrated in those that overall income is less than \$25,000, and between \$25,000 - \$50,000.

The most common form of food insecurity was an adult foregoing a meal once a week to ensure that a child does not go hungry. One portion of fish for a meal weighs about one-half pound. This equates to an adult foregoing 26 pounds of fish in skipped meals per year.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Mitchell Rohloff



Paul Campbell 2065 Campbell Place

Anchorage, AK 99507

February 14, 2019

Dear KRSA KRSA,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Paul Campbell



Paul Carlson

1600 Backwood Avenue

Kenai, AK 99611

February 12, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especial salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making that resident access to a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especial salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making that resident access to a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especial salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making that resident access to a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especial salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making that resident access to a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especial salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making that resident access to a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and



family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Paul Carlson



Peter Maus 2421 W 70TH Cir Anchorage, AK 99502-3154 February 15, 2019 Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

For far too long the residents of Alaska have struggled to find fish in the rivers after using valuable PTO and spending large amounts of cash to try and catch fish to feed their family. There is next to nothing in the rivers these days as the residents get the crumbs. Reverse that trend and make it right for the residents.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Peter Maus



Rebecca Branson 3313 Cottonwood street

Anchorage, AK 99508

February 11, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especial salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making that resident access to a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.A 2012 University of Alaska Fairbanks report on Food Security on the Kenai Peninsula (Loring et al) documented that access to local harvest of seafood reduced hunger in low-income household that were at risk for hunger.

Fishing in the personal use and sport fisheries (62 percent) and sharing (23 percent) provided the highest access to seafood.

Fish from commercial fishermen or processors provided little access (3 percent and 2 percent, respectively), as did seafood in major and local stores (5 percent and 2 percent, respectively).Lower income households may not have the ability to readily travel outside of non-subsistence use areas to access fish for personal and family consumption. It is very important that Alaskan residents who live in the larger urban areas of the state to be able to harvest meaningful numbers of fish from local fisheries for food. Fish as a family food resource is important regardless of where one lives in Alaska.Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.Food insecurity impacts about 15 percent of households on the Kenai Peninsula, concentrated in those that overall income is less than \$25,000, and between \$25,000 - \$50,000.

The most common form of food insecurity was an adult foregoing a meal once a week to ensure that a child does not go hungry. One portion of fish for a meal weighs about one-half pound.



This equates to an adult foregoing 26 pounds of fish in skipped meals per year.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Rebecca Branson



richard bucy 831 river estates dr

soldotna, AK 99669

February 15, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Food insecurity impacts about 15 percent of households on the Kenai Peninsula, concentrated in those that overall income is less than \$25,000, and between \$25,000 - \$50,000.

The most common form of food insecurity was an adult foregoing a meal once a week to ensure that a child does not go hungry. One portion of fish for a meal weighs about one-half pound. This equates to an adult foregoing 26 pounds of fish in skipped meals per year. On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, richard bucy



Roderick Koop 221 East Cook Ave #E

Anchorage, AK 99501

February 15, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

From the summer of 1976 when I graduated from Seward High School through today, my family has been intentionally dependent on the food sources to be found in South Central Alaska waters. Our activities of each summer include fishing for Halibut, various kinds of Rockfish as well as for Sockeye, King and Pink Salmon. To be clear, we have a very personal interest in this appeal.

However, we also represent multiple families who do not own the equipment needed to fill their freezers with the same resource. We offer them the chance to fish for their food, which we gratefully have seen them accept.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority while allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.

Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.

It is time to make our access to these food sources a much higher priority than it has been in the past. As you are well aware, this action would have negligible impact on the other priorities...

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem



proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Roderick Koop



Rodney Bodenner

PO Box 13390

Trapper Creek, AK 99683

February 20, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wijle allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.Lower income households may not have the ability to readily travel outside of non-subsistence use areas to access fish for personal and family consumption. It is very important that Alaskan residents who live in the larger urban areas of the state to be able to harvest meaningful numbers of fish from local fisheries for food. Fish as a family food resource is important regardless of where one lives in Alaska.A 2012 University of Alaska Fairbanks report on Food Security on the Kenai Peninsula (Loring et al) documented that access to local harvest of seafood reduced hunger in low-income households that were at risk for hunger.

Fishing in the personal use and sport fisheries (62 percent) and sharing (23 percent) provided the highest access to seafood.

Fish from commercial fishermen or processors provided little access (3 percent and 2 percent, respectively), as did seafood in major and local stores (5 percent and 2 percent, respectively).Food



insecurity impacts about 15 percent of households on the Kenai Peninsula, concentrated in those that overall income is less than \$25,000, and between \$25,000 - \$50,000.

The most common form of food insecurity was an adult foregoing a meal once a week to ensure that a child does not go hungry. One portion of fish for a meal weighs about one-half pound. This equates to an adult foregoing 26 pounds of fish in skipped meals per year. On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location. Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.

I think its about time the board of fisheries made individual sport fisherman a priority. We shouldn't have to hire a lobbyist to look out for our needs. Access to fish for all Alaskans not just businesses sending fish out of the state and out of our country!

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Rodney Bodenner



Roger Schofield 3602 18th Ave SE Albany, OR 97323

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Feed Alaskans first!

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Roger Schofield



Ronald Brower Sr.

1802 Alaska Way

Fairbanks, AK 99709

February 12, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especial salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access to a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. We often find ourselves going home without any fish to feed our families because commercial fishing takes all the fish to ship outside during dipnetting season. This practice is causing a lot of food insecurity for many Alaskans in both urban and rural Alaska including my family.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Ronald Brower Sr.



Ross Baxter

34870 SCHWALM RD

SOLDOTNA, AK 99669

February 12, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,



Ross Baxter



Rus Gregory 1070 Amanita Rd Fairbanks, AK 99712

February 19, 2019

Dear KRSA KRSA,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy. The nonsubsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority will allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy. Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.



Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Rus Gregory



Samuel Clark 1391 Ivan's Alley

Fairbanks, AK 99709

February 13, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

This isn't just the Kenai Peninsula, this is also the Copper River drainage. There is no backbone in allowing out of state commercial I retests access to our resources above Alaskan. Our state constitution guarantees an equal share of natural resources for every Alaskan. Stop prioritizing money for the few over the food of many.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wille allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The nonsubsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the guality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy. Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.



Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Samuel Clark



Scott Glover PO Box 871571 Wasilla, AK 99687

February 18, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The nonsubsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location.Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a longstanding social tradition in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.



Sincerely, Scott Glover



Scott Stanford 403 highbush In

Kenai, AK 99611

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

I support local fisheries, I use local fisheries to feed my family throughout the year. Without salmon I would not be able to maintain a balanced budget each month. It would greatly affect me negatively if the fishery was closed or catch limits were reduced.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Scott Stanford



Shawna Dziedziak 2980 S Ambers cir

Wasilla, AK 99654

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

We are a long time Alaskan resident family that had spent many summers, lots of money, and time off work to dish for subsistence. We are a household of five currently, but we are proud parents of six hard working Alaskan children. The military brought us here and Alaska kept us. The summer of 2015 was hard on us for dip netting. My husband saved and spent a week of leave to dip the Kasilof. We spent money into gear and the local economy. I knew the time range would be during a peak run. Unfortunately the commercial fisheries were allowed to "cork" off the river half the day's we were there. We left with only 15 fish. It was disheartening. I ask that our Alaskan residents get the benefits and allocations to attain the subsistence we need. Our large family relies on dip netting, berry picking, hunting and harvesting from our land to help us supplement our food supply. The money we save from the high cost of shipped food, goes back into the economy by means of education, entertainment and lifestyle improvement. Please consider the subsistence fishers. We are Alaska and we are the future.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.



Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Shawna Dziedziak



Steve Gordon 9300 E Gordy Dr Palmer, AK 99645

February 16, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Steve Gordon



Sue Willman

3555 n snow goose dr

wasilla, AK 99654

February 20, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wijle allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.A 2012 University of Alaska Fairbanks report on Food Security on the Kenai Peninsula (Loring et al) documented that access to local harvest of seafood reduced hunger in low-income households that were at risk for hunger.

Fishing in the personal use and sport fisheries (62 percent) and sharing (23 percent) provided the highest access to seafood.

Fish from commercial fishermen or processors provided little access (3 percent and 2 percent, respectively), as did seafood in major and local stores (5 percent and 2 percent,



respectively).Food insecurity impacts about 15 percent of households on the Kenai Peninsula, concentrated in those that overall income is less than \$25,000, and between \$25,000 - \$50,000.

The most common form of food insecurity was an adult foregoing a meal once a week to ensure that a child does not go hungry. One portion of fish for a meal weighs about one-half pound. This equates to an adult foregoing 26 pounds of fish in skipped meals per year. On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location. Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.Lower income households may not have the ability to readily travel outside of nonsubsistence use areas to access fish for personal and family consumption. It is very important that Alaskan residents who live in the larger urban areas of the state to be able to harvest meaningful numbers of fish from local fisheries for food. Fish as a family food resource is important regardless of where one lives in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Sue Willman



Tara Hammond po box 1942 homer, AK 99603

February 20, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wjile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.A 2012 University of Alaska Fairbanks report on Food Security on the Kenai Peninsula (Loring et al) documented that access to local harvest of seafood reduced hunger in low-income households that were at risk for hunger.

Fishing in the personal use and sport fisheries (62 percent) and sharing (23 percent) provided the highest access to seafood.

Fish from commercial fishermen or processors provided little access (3 percent and 2 percent, respectively), as did seafood in major and local stores (5 percent and 2 percent, respectively).Food insecurity impacts about 15 percent of households on the Kenai Peninsula, concentrated in those that overall income is less than \$25,000, and between \$25,000 - \$50,000.

The most common form of food insecurity was an adult foregoing a meal once a week to ensure that a child does not go hungry. One portion of fish for a meal weighs about one-half pound. This equates to an adult foregoing 26 pounds of fish in skipped meals per year.On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly.While the harvest of fish for food by



residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location.Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.Lower income households may not have the ability to readily travel outside of nonsubsistence use areas to access fish for personal and family consumption. It is very important that Alaskan residents who live in the larger urban areas of the state to be able to harvest meaningful numbers of fish from local fisheries for food. Fish as a family food resource is important regardless of where one lives in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Tara Hammond



Thomas Green 9101 N Sun Valley Dr Palmer, AK 99645

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

I live in Palmer and eat salmon at least once a week all year long. Sport fishing opportunities are not sufficient to support this level of consumption, so I rely on dipnetting at the Kenai to fulfill my family's needs. I have limited time off from work to make this happen, and with the current allocation priority given to commercial fishermen it is virtually impossible to reach my harvest goals.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,



Thomas Green



Timothy Martin P.o.box 16

Sterling, AK 99672

February 11, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

I live up river somedays in july fishing is tough. Last year i caught 8 reds. Pretty bad year for us. Already facing tough financial times those fish feed our family. Please let more fish in the river before commercial guys get them .im not against commercial fishing just would like to see more oppurtunity during the season for us locals who need the fish.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Timothy Martin



TIMOTHY MILLER 10630 Tahneeta St

ANCHORAGE, AK 99507

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in nonsubsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location. Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.



Sincerely, TIMOTHY MILLER



Todd Graham 3325 Lake Park Cir Anchorage, AK 99517

February 17, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wijle allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.The nonsubsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wjile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Todd Graham



Tom Wellman 34598 Cranberry Cir C-5

Sterling, AK 99673

February 14, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wijle allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Tom Wellman



Tyler Dunlap

2690 Sky Ranch loop

Palmer, AK 99645

February 12, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.The non-residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especial salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making that resident access to a top priority in allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.



Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Tyler Dunlap



Vincent English 24300 Sunnyside Drive Chugiak, AK 99567

February 19, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wijle allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.Lower income households may not have the ability to readily travel outside of non-subsistence use areas to access fish for personal and family consumption. It is very important that Alaskan residents who live in the larger urban areas of the state to be able to harvest meaningful numbers of fish from local fisheries for food. Fish as a family food resource is important regardless of where one lives in Alaska.A 2012 University of Alaska Fairbanks report on Food Security on the Kenai Peninsula (Loring et al) documented that access to local harvest of seafood reduced hunger in low-income households that were at risk for hunger.

Fishing in the personal use and sport fisheries (62 percent) and sharing (23 percent) provided the highest access to seafood.

Fish from commercial fishermen or processors provided little access (3 percent and 2 percent, respectively), as did seafood in major and local stores (5 percent and 2 percent, respectively).Food insecurity impacts about 15 percent of households on the Kenai Peninsula, concentrated in those that overall income is less than \$25,000, and between \$25,000 - \$50,000.



The most common form of food insecurity was an adult foregoing a meal once a week to ensure that a child does not go hungry. One portion of fish for a meal weighs about one-half pound. This equates to an adult foregoing 26 pounds of fish in skipped meals per year. On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location. Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

Vincent English



Wendy Smith 11580 Cobra St Anchorage, AK 99507

February 20, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority will allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wille allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wille allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority will allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by nonresidents, is good public policy.Lower income households may not have the ability to readily travel outside of non-subsistence use areas to access fish for personal and family consumption. It is very important that Alaskan residents who live in the larger urban areas of the state to be



able to harvest meaningful numbers of fish from local fisheries for food. Fish as a family food resource is important regardless of where one lives in Alaska.A 2012 University of Alaska Fairbanks report on Food Security on the Kenai Peninsula (Loring et al) documented that access to local harvest of seafood reduced hunger in low-income households that were at risk for hunger.

Fishing in the personal use and sport fisheries (62 percent) and sharing (23 percent) provided the highest access to seafood.

Fish from commercial fishermen or processors provided little access (3 percent and 2 percent, respectively), as did seafood in major and local stores (5 percent and 2 percent, respectively).On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly.While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location.Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, Wendy Smith



William Keller 35510 Brians Street

Soldotna, AK 99669

February 15, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wille allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The nonsubsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority will allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans. The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wile allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.



Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely, William Keller



William Marsengill

po box 1942

homer, AK 99603

February 20, 2019

Dear BOF,

I am writing in support of KRSA proposal 171 and urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to adopt it into regulation. Providing a food priority for residents in non-subsistence use areas of Alaska will bring these regions into alignment with subsistence use areas of the state. Access to locally harvested seafood reduces hunger, improves food security, and provides an important source of nutrition for Alaskan households. That priority should not be dependent upon the location of a fishery in Alaska.

The non-subsistence use areas of Alaska play a crucial role in providing food for Alaskan families. Whether is it with a rod and reel or a dip net, locally harvested seafood, especially salmon, keeps many families fed during the long Alaskan winters. Making resident access a top priority wijle allocating the state's fish resources maximizes benefits to all Alaskans.Opportunity to harvest fish is a long-standing, meaningful traditional activity of individuals, families and friends, one that adds to the quality of life residents are able to enjoy as Alaskans. Prioritizing benefits, such as food security, to Alaskans over those accrued by non-residents, is good public policy.A 2012 University of Alaska Fairbanks report on Food Security on the Kenai Peninsula (Loring et al) documented that access to local harvest of seafood reduced hunger in low-income households that were at risk for hunger.

Fishing in the personal use and sport fisheries (62 percent) and sharing (23 percent) provided the highest access to seafood.

Fish from commercial fishermen or processors provided little access (3 percent and 2 percent, respectively), as did seafood in major and local stores (5 percent and 2 percent,



respectively).Food insecurity impacts about 15 percent of households on the Kenai Peninsula, concentrated in those that overall income is less than \$25,000, and between \$25,000 - \$50,000.

The most common form of food insecurity was an adult foregoing a meal once a week to ensure that a child does not go hungry. One portion of fish for a meal weighs about one-half pound. This equates to an adult foregoing 26 pounds of fish in skipped meals per year. On the Kenai Peninsula, the most common type of seafood eaten is salmon (93 percent of households). The average consumption of seafood for Kenai Peninsula households is 45 pounds per person. A majority of Kenai Peninsula households eat seafood weekly. While the harvest of fish for food by residents for personal and family consumption is the highest allocation criteria in subsistence use areas of Alaska, that is not the case in non-subsistence use areas of the state. These include fisheries in the greater Cook Inlet area (Anchorage, Kenai Peninsula, and Mat-Su), Fairbanks, Valdez, Juneau and Ketchikan. Harvesting local seafood by residents for food should be the highest consideration in fisheries management in Alaska, regardless of location. Harvesting fish for food is an important aspect of the quality of life in Alaska, and is one of the primary reasons people choose to live in the state. Access to fish plays a central role in the social and cultural life of many residents. It is important to ensure Alaskans have access to harvest fish for personal and family consumption. Sharing of food, especially fish, is a long-standing social tradition in Alaska.Lower income households may not have the ability to readily travel outside of nonsubsistence use areas to access fish for personal and family consumption. It is very important that Alaskan residents who live in the larger urban areas of the state to be able to harvest meaningful numbers of fish from local fisheries for food. Fish as a family food resource is important regardless of where one lives in Alaska.

Food security is an important issue in Alaska. KRSA proposal 171 addresses that problem proactively by making the highest priority when allocating fish in Alaska the harvest by residents for personal and family consumption. I urge the Alaska Board of Fisheries to put all Alaskan households first when allocating the state's abundant fishery resources.

Thank you for your time and attention to this important matter.

Sincerely,

William Marsengill

Submitted By Kenneth Carlson Submitted On 2/20/2019 11:05:49 AM Affiliation

l oppose proposal 171

