# MEMORANDUM 

TO: Distribution<br>FROM: Suzanne Hayes- Fisheries<br>PHONE: 746-6329 Biologist II<br>Anton Antonovich Biometrician III<br>\section*{DATE: 1/9/2014}<br>Outlook for the 2014 Deshka Chinook salmon run and accuracy of the 2013 outlook

The outlook for the Chinook salmon run at the Deshka River in 2014 is below average, with a forecast total run of 19,000 fish. If realized, it would rank $29^{\text {th }}$ out of 36 years and be below the 1979-2013 average run of 35,000 fish. The anticipated 2014 harvest of Deshka River Chinook salmon in marine and sport fisheries is approximately 3,000 fish (2008 - 2012 average), and if realized, would result in a 2014 escapement of approximately 16,000 fish, within the sustainable escapement goal (SEG) range of 13,000 to 28,000 fish. The $80 \%$ prediction interval for the total run forecast is 14,000 to 24,000 fish. If the run falls near the lower prediction interval, and a recent average harvest occurs in marine and sport fisheries, the Deshka Chinook salmon escapement will fall below the SEG.

The total run forecast is the sum of individual forecasts for the three major age classes (1.2, 1.3, and 1.4; Table 1, Table 5). Forecast abundance for each age class was calculated from models based on the relationship between adult returns and spawners or siblings from previous years. Models included simple linear regression, recent year averages, time series, and combinations thereof (Table 2). The models chosen were those with statistically significant parameters having the greatest past reliability (accuracy and precision) based on mean absolute deviation (MAD), mean absolute percent error (MAPE), and mean percent error (MPE) between forecasts and actual returns for the years 2009 through 2013. We used results from the last 5 years to look at the performance of forecast models thus limiting the influence of longer term trends in Chinook salmon returns on model selection. The 5-year average model had the lowest error for each criterion for age-1.2 fish, and was selected for the forecast. The log sibling 1979 on model was selected as the forecast for age-1.3 fish, as it had the smallest errors for every criterion except 5-year MAD, which was the second smallest. The $\log$ sibling AR1,2 model was selected as the forecast for age-1.4 fish, as it had the smallest error for the 5 -year MAPE and MPE, second smallest for the 5year MAD, and fourth smallest for the 3-year MAPE.

The preliminary total run observed in 2013 was 20,953 fish, comprising 18,448 fish in the escapement, 2,000 assumed in the sport harvest, and 505 fish assumed in the marine harvest. Sport harvest was assumed to be similar to the 2012 harvest because of a similar below average run and generally restrictive regulations (Table 3). While the Chinook salmon sport fishery did occur in 2013 it was initially managed with a reduced annual limit (restricted to 2 Chinook salmon from the Susitna drainage), no bait, and only
single hook gear allowed. On June 29 bait and multiple hooks were allowed until the end of the Chinook salmon season. Generally, the sport fishery was liberalized from 2000 to 2007 and restricted from 2008 to 2010 and in 2012 and 2013 (Table 3).

The 2013 harvest of Deshka Chinook salmon in marine fisheries is an estimated 505 fish. To estimate the marine harvest, the aerial count of Chinook salmon in Deshka River in $2013(8,686)$ was divided by the sum of all northern Cook Inlet aerial survey counts plus the Anchorage-area foot survey counts of Chinook salmon in $2013(34,973)$. This value $(\approx 0.25)$ was multiplied by the combined harvests of Chinook salmon in the Tyonek subsistence and the Kustatan Subdistrict and Northern District commercial fisheries in 2013 for the entire salmon season ( $2,032 \times 0.25 \approx 505$ ). The estimated average annual marine harvest of Deshka Chinook salmon was 727 fish from 1993 to 2012.

As for the accuracy of the 2013 forecast, the preliminary total run for the three major age classes was $33 \%$ lower than forecast (Table 4). Ages 1.2 and 1.3 returned in lower abundance than forecast. For age-1.2 fish, the preliminary abundance is $66 \%$ less than the univariate $\log$ AR1 model estimate. For age- 1.3 fish, the preliminary abundance is $49 \%$ less than the standard log sibling 1979 forecast. Five of the twelve models for age-1.3 fish over forecasted the preliminary run in 2013. For age-1.4 fish, the Log Sibling AR1,2 estimate used for the 2013 forecast was $66 \%$ less than the observed preliminary abundance. For age- 1.4 fish, 12 of the 16 models examined had smaller differences in 2013 than did the selected model for the 2013 forecast. During the past 15 years the forecast has been larger run than the actual run for most years, including 2013 (Table 5).

The 2013 preliminary total run ranks $29^{\text {th }}$ out of 35 years, assuming a below average sport harvest in 2013. The preliminary total exploitation rate for 2013 stands at $12 \%$, and would rank $26^{\text {th }}$ out of 35 years. However, the exploitation rate is heavily influenced by the sport harvest, which historically is the majority of the harvest. It will be late 2014 before a final estimate of the 2013 sport harvest is finalized using the statewide harvest survey.

The weir count of 18,531 in 2013 was within the SEG range. The weir was operational by June 7 which was two weeks later than average due to an extremely late ice break up; however, the weir count was considered a complete count. The preliminary 2013 escapement is 18,448 fish, which is the weir count minus the 83 fish reported harvested above the weir, and ranks $29^{\text {th }}$ out of 40 years of data. The 19951997, 1999-2012 average escapement was 25,232 fish. The final escapement will be estimated when the harvest above the weir is reported in the statewide harvest survey for 2013 and subtracted from the weir count. During 1999-2012 the sport harvest above the weir averaged 789 fish.

The 2013 run completes the 2006 brood year, which generated a total return of 21,583 Chinook salmon from 29,922 spawners, for a return per spawner of 0.72 , and is below average but better than the three previous brood years.

Distribution:
Headquarters: Charles Swanton, Thomas Brookover, Jeff Regnart, Forest Bowers, Eric Volk
Anchorage: James Hasbrouck, Tom Vania, Jack Erickson, Matt Miller, Debby Burwen, Dan Bosch, Robert Clark, Tracy Lingnau, Lowell Fair, Steve Fleischman, David Evans, Anton Antonovich
Palmer: Samuel Ivey, Samantha Oslund, Richard Yanusz, Pete Cleary, Johnathon Campbell Homer: Carol Kerkvliet
Soldotna: Tim McKinley, Robert Begich, Jason Pawluk, Mark Willette, Pat Shields, Aaron Dupuis

Table 1.-Forecast Chinook salmon abundance for the Deshka River in 2014 using various models, and the fit of each model to the previous 3 or 5 years of actual runs. Boxes around values indicate those selected to compose the total run forecast. See Table 2 for a description of each model.

| Model | Forecast$2014$ | 5-Year |  |  | 3-Year <br> MAPE |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | MAD ${ }^{\text {a }}$ | MAPE ${ }^{\text {b }}$ | MPE ${ }^{\text {c }}$ |  |
|  | Age 1.2 |  |  |  |  |
| 5-year average | 6,497 | 1,938 | 30\% | -1\% | 32\% |
| Univariate Log MA1 (better fit) | 5,091 | 2,891 | 49\% | -12\% | 32\% |
| Univariate Log AR1 | 5,573 | 2,564 | 41\% | -4\% | 32\% |
| Standard Sibling 1992 on | 12,110 | 2,636 | 49\% | -39\% | 62\% |
| Standard Log Sibling 1992 on | 10,098 | 2,533 | 44\% | -25\% | 57\% |
| Standard Ricker | 7,510 | 2,342 | 38\% | -13\% | 37\% |
| Ricker w/MA1 | 5,085 | 3,525 | 61\% | -18\% | 49\% |
| Ricker w/AR1 | 6,692 | 2,965 | 48\% | -11\% | 42\% |
|  | Age 1.3 |  |  |  |  |
| 5-year average | 9,907 | 6,748 | 169\% | -146\% | 69\% |
| Univariate log MA1 | 21,692 | 5,861 | 76\% | -43\% | 81\% |
| Univariate AR1 | 15,149 | 5,549 | 121\% | -107\% | 103\% |
| Standard Sibling | 12,600 | 5,562 | 102\% | -98\% | 110\% |
| Standard Sibling 1991 on | 9,739 | 4,709 | 65\% | -53\% | 101\% |
| Standard Log Sibling | 10,359 | 4,571 | 69\% | -59\% | 100\% |
| Standard Log Sibling 1991 on | 8,967 | 4,195 | 58\% | -42\% | 92\% |
| Log Sibling AR1 | 9,094 | 3,527 | 66\% | -49\% | 101\% |
| Standard Log Sibling 1979 on | 8,562 | 3,832 | 54\% | -36\% | 86\% |
| Standard Ricker | 12,522 | 5,027 | 118\% | -108\% | 101\% |
| Ricker w/MA1 | 24,238 | 5,782 | 77\% | -38\% | 90\% |
| Ricker w/AR1 | 14,753 | 6,020 | 92\% | -48\% | 107\% |
|  | Age 1.4 |  |  |  |  |
| 5-year average | 2,106 | 2,485 | 168\% | -154\% | 71\% |
| Univariate AR1,2 | 4,225 | 1,838 | 128\% | -128\% | 45\% |
| Univatiate AR1 | 5,606 | 2,768 | 176\% | -176\% | 107\% |
| Univatiate MA1 | 7,899 | 5,434 | 318\% | -318\% | 238\% |
| Univariate Log AR1 | 4,100 | 1,118 | 86\% | -76\% | 29\% |
| Standard Sibling | 8,402 | 5,230 | 298\% | -298\% | 282\% |
| Standard Sibling 1990 on | 4,661 | 1,928 | 117\% | -116\% | 115\% |
| Standard Log Sibling | 6,582 | 2,755 | 157\% | -154\% | 205\% |
| Standard Log Sibling 1990 on | 4,338 | 1,582 | 88\% | -76\% | 115\% |
| Sibling AR1,2 | 4,346 | 1,859 | 106\% | -84\% | 106\% |
| Log Sibling AR1,2 | 4,003 | 1,154 | 65\% | -39\% | 64\% |
| Log Sibling AR1 | 5,581 | 1,277 | 80\% | -57\% | 75\% |
| Log Sibling AR1 1978 on | 5,505 | 1,274 | 79\% | -55\% | 74\% |
| Standard Log Sibling 1978 on | 6,284 | 2,599 | 149\% | -144\% | 192\% |
| Standard Ricker | 3,054 | 5,801 | 346\% | -346\% | 246\% |
| Ricker w/AR1 | 2,104 | 1,378 | 100\% | -81\% | 39\% |


| TOTAL RUN FORECAST | $\mathbf{1 9 , 0 6 3}$ | $\mathbf{( 8 0 \%}$ prediction interval 14,143-23,982) |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| ${ }^{\text {a }}$ mean absolute deviation |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ mean absolute percent error |  |  |
| ${ }^{\mathrm{c}}$ mean percent error |  |  |

Table 2. - Brief description of statistical models used in forecasting the Deshka River Chinook salmon run for 2013.

| Model | Description |
| :---: | :---: |
| 5-year average | Arithmetic average of the the 2007-2011 total run for specified age class. |
| Univariate MA1 | Moving average of order one time series model using all years of runs (1974-2007 brood years). Done in SAS software. |
| Univariate $\log$ MA1 | Moving average of order one time series model using natural log of all years of runs (1974-2007 brood years). Done in SAS software. |
| Univariate AR1 | Autoregressive of order 1 (or order 1 and 2) time series model using all years of runs (1974-2007 brood years). Done in SAS software. |
| Univariate AR1,2 | Autoregressive of order 2 time series model using all years of runs (1974-2007 brood years). Done is SAS software. |
| Univariate Log AR1 | Autoregressive of order 1 time series model using natural log of all years of runs (19742007 brood year). Done in SAS software. |
| Sibling | Sibling regression using all years of runs (1974-2007 brood years). Done in Excel software. |
| Sibling 19XX on | Sibling regression using runs with the escapement counted by weir. Exact year (XX) to begin data set depends upon age class being modeled, through 2007 brood year. Runs from 1990 are the first counted by weir, runs prior to those years had the escapement estimated by expanding the aerial index. Done in Excel software. |
| Log Sibling | Sibling regression using natural log of all years of runs (1974-2007 brood years). Done in Excel software. |
| Log Sibling 19XX on | Sibling regression using natural log of runs. XX is exact year to begin data set, through 2007 brood year. Runs from 1990 are the first counted by weir, runs prior to those years had the escapement estimated by expanding the aerial index. Done in Excel software. |
| Sibling AR1,2 | Sibling regression using all runs (1974-2007 brood years) and a lag 1 and lag 2 autoregressive term. Done in SAS software. |
| Log Sibling AR1 | Sibling regression using natural log of all runs (1974-2007 brood years) and a lag 1 (or lag 1 and lag 2) autoregressive term. Done in SAS software. |
| Log Sibling AR1,2 | Sibling regression using natural log of all runs (1974-2007 brood years) and a lag 1 and lag 2 autoregressive terms. Done in SAS software. |
| Log Sibling AR1 1978 on | Sibling regression using natural log of 1978-2007 brood years and a lag 1 autoregressive term. Done in SAS software. |
| Ricker | Ricker-style regression using all brood years (1974-2007). Done in SAS software. |
| Ricker MA1 | Ricker-style regression using all year brood years (1974-2007) and a moving average lag 1 term. Done in SAS software. |
| Ricker AR1 | Ricker-style regression using all brood years (1974-2007) and an autoregressive lag 1 term. Done in SAS software. |

Table 3.-Summary of Northern District commercial and Deshka sport Chinook salmon fishery regulations during 1999 to 2013, either by regulation or emergency order.

| Year | Northern District Commercial ${ }^{\text {a }}$ |  |  | Deshka Sport Chinook Salmon ${ }^{\text {b }}$ |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Periods <br> Fished/ <br> Periods <br> Allowed | Hours per Period | Season <br> Harvest <br> (mixed <br> stock) | Dates | Bait | Hours per | Reten- <br> tion <br> Days <br> per <br> Week | Bag/ <br> Posession | Season <br> Harvest |
| 1999 | 2/3 | 6 | 2,259 | January 1 - July 13 | No | 17 | 7 | 1/1 | 3,489 |
| 2000 | 3/3 | 6 | 2,046 | prior to June 8 | No | 17 | 7 | 1/1 | 7,076 |
|  | 3/3 | 6 | 1,616 | June 8 - July 13 prior to June 12 | Yes No | 17 | 7 | $1 / 1$ $1 / 1$ | 5,006 |
| 2001 |  |  |  | June 12 - July 13 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/1 |  |
| 2002 | 3/3 | 6 | 1,747 | prior to June 8 | No | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 4,508 |
|  |  |  |  | June 8 - July 13 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 |  |
| 2003 | 3/3 | 6 | 1,172 | prior to June 18 | No | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 6,605 |
|  |  |  |  | June 18 - July 13 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 2/4 |  |
| 2004 | 3/3 | 6 | 1,819 | prior to May 28 | No | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 9,050 |
|  |  |  |  | May 28 - June 11 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | June 12 - July 13 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 2/4 |  |
| 2005 | 3/3 | 12 | 3,144 | May 15 - May 26 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 7,332 |
|  |  |  |  | May 27 - July 13 | Yes | 24 | 7 | 2/4 |  |
|  |  |  |  | July 14 - July 31 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 |  |
| 2006 | 3/3 | 12 | 3,849 | May 15 - May 25 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 7,753 |
|  |  |  |  | May 26 - July 13 | Yes | 24 | 7 | 2/4 |  |
| 2007 | 3/3 | 12 | 3,132 | May 15 - May 24 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 5,696 |
|  |  |  |  | May 25 - July 13 | Yes | 24 | 7 | 2/4 |  |
| 2008 | 4/5 | 12 | 3,855 | May 15 - June 13 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 2,036 |
|  |  |  |  | June 14 - June 19 | No | 17 | 7 | 1/2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | June 20 - July 13 |  | ---Clos | d --- |  |  |
| 2009 | 2/2 | 6 | 1,266 | May 15 - June 12 | No | 17 | 3 | 1/2 | 723 |
|  | 1/3 | 12 |  | June 13 - July 13 |  | ---Clos | d --- |  |  |
| 2010 | $3 / 3^{\text {c }}$ | 12 | 1,674 | May 15 - June 11 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 3,381 |
|  | $1 / 1^{\text {c }}$ | 6 |  | June 12 - June 18 | No | 17 | 7 | 1/2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | June 19 - July 13 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 |  |
| 2011 | $4 / 4^{\text {c }}$ | 12 | 2,187 | May 15 - July 13 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 3,139 |
| 2012 | $4 / 4^{\text {c }}$ | 6 | 1,030 | May 15 - June 19 | Yes | 17 | 7 | 1/2 | 1,650 |
|  |  |  |  | June 20 - June $24^{\text {d }}$ | No | 17 | 7 | 1/2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | June 25-July 13 |  | ---Clos | d --- |  |  |
| 2013 | $4 / 5^{\text {c }}$ | 6 | 1,142 | May 15 - June 28 | No | 1 | 7 | 1/2 |  |
|  |  |  |  | June 29 - July 13 | Yes | 1 | 7 | 1/2 |  |

${ }^{\text {a }}$ Directed Chinook salmon fishery only. During 1999-2007 opened first Monday in June, only open each Monday thereafter until regular season or 12,500 season quota achieved. Starting in 2008, opened first Monday on or after May 25, only open each Monday thereafter until June 24 or until 12,500 season quota achieved.
${ }^{\mathrm{b}}$ Season closes July 13 each year, lower 17 miles of river open, 1999 was first year.
${ }^{c}$ Portion of area closed all season
${ }^{d}$ Deshka river closed to Chinook salmon fishing upstream of river mile 7.

Table 4.-The preliminary 2013 Chinook salmon run for the Deshka River compared to the various models used to forecast the 2013 run. Boxes around values indicate those used in the total run forecast. See Table 2 for a description of each model. The sustainable escapement goal is $13,000-28,000$ fish.

| Model | $\begin{gathered} \hline \text { Forecast } \\ 2013 \\ \hline \end{gathered}$ | Preliminary Run 2013 | Difference | Fish Difference |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Age 1.2 | 4,476 |  |  |
| 5-year average | 5,978 |  | 34\% | 1,503 |
| Univariate Log MA1 (better fit) | 7,209 |  | 61\% | 2,733 |
| Univariate Log AR1 | 7,412 |  | 66\% | 2,937 |
| Sibling 1992 on | 13,064 |  | 192\% | 8,588 |
| Log Sibling 1992 on | 11,118 |  | 148\% | 6,643 |
| Ricker | 6,521 |  | 46\% | 2,045 |
| Ricker MA1 | 8,478 |  | 89\% | 4,003 |
| Ricker AR1 | 8,113 |  | 81\% | 3,638 |
|  | Age 1.3 | 12,222 |  |  |
| 5-year average | 8,231 |  | -33\% | $(3,991)$ |
| Univariate log MA1 | 7,439 |  | -39\% | $(4,783)$ |
| Univariate AR1 | 11,523 |  | -6\% | (699) |
| Sibling | 20,240 |  | 66\% | 8,017 |
| Sibling 1991 on | 20,588 |  | 68\% | 8,366 |
| Log Sibling | 19,687 |  | 61\% | 7,465 |
| Log Sibling 1991 on | 19,449 |  | 59\% | 7,227 |
| Log Sibling AR1 | 11,118 |  | -9\% | $(1,104)$ |
| Log Sibling 1979 on | 18,208 |  | 49\% | 5,986 |
| Ricker | 8,928 |  | -27\% | $(3,294)$ |
| Ricker MA1 | 3,964 |  | -68\% | $(8,258)$ |
| Ricker AR1 | 4,175 |  | -66\% | $(8,048)$ |
|  | Age 1.4 | 3,433 |  |  |
| 5-year average | 2,335 |  | -32\% | $(1,098)$ |
| Univariate AR1,2 | 3,536 |  | 3\% | 103 |
| Univariate AR1 | 5,138 |  | 50\% | 1,705 |
| Univariate MA1 | 7,961 |  | 132\% | 4,528 |
| Univariate Log AR1 | 3,266 |  | -5\% | (167) |
| Sibling | 6,586 |  | 92\% | 3,153 |
| Sibling 1990 on | 3,327 |  | -3\% | (106) |
| Log Sibling | 3,146 |  | -8\% | (287) |
| Log Sibling 1990 on | 2,284 |  | -33\% | $(1,149)$ |
| Sibling AR1,2 | 1,476 |  | -57\% | $(1,957)$ |
| Log Sibling AR1,2 | 1,171 |  | -66\% | $(2,262)$ |
| Log Sibling AR1 | 2,024 |  | -41\% | $(1,409)$ |
| Log Sibling AR1 1978 on | 1,990 |  | -42\% | $(1,443)$ |
| Log Sibling 1978 on | 3,066 |  | -11\% | (367) |
| Ricker | 6,202 |  | 81\% | 2,769 |
| Ricker AR1 | 2,560 |  | -25\% | (873) |
|  | TOTAL RUN |  |  |  |
| Ages 1.2, 1.3, 1.4 Total | 26,791 | 20,131 | 33\% | 6,660 |
| Age 1.1 |  | 822 |  |  |
| All Ages Total |  | 20,953 |  |  |

Table 5.-Accuracy of the Deshka River Chinook salmon outlook 1999-2013.

| Return <br> year | Forecast <br> Total Run | Actual <br> Total Run | Forecast difference by major age class (forecast-actual) |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  | a | Age 1.2 | Age 1.3 | Age 1.4 | overall effect |
| 1999 | 26,810 | 33,371 |  | -4,374 | -363 | -1,824 | underforecast |
| 2000 | 33,337 | 42,273 |  | 3,508 | -17,945 | 5,502 | underforecast |
| 2001 | 40,753 | 33,210 |  | 385 | -5,768 | 12,926 | overforecast |
| 2002 | 43,805 | 32,955 |  | 994 | 5,640 | 4,216 | overforecast |
| 2003 | 41,041 | 46,193 |  | -8,524 | -969 | 4,341 | underforecast |
| 2004 | 60,833 | 66,383 |  | -2,537 | -933 | -2,080 | underforecast |
| 2005 | 48,687 | 44,134 |  | -4,692 | 2,924 | 6,321 | overforecast |
| 2006 | 49,071 | 38,451 |  | -628 | 12,056 | -808 | overforecast |
| 2007 | 37,007 | 24,032 |  | 6,592 | 4,117 | 2,266 | overforecast |
| 2008 | 20,268 | 9,656 |  | 6,428 | 2,060 | 2,124 | overforecast |
| 2009 | 20,593 | 12,721 |  | 1,024 | 4,148 | 2,699 | overforecast |
| 2010 | 30,775 | 22,207 |  | 4,864 | 2,742 | 962 | overforecast |
| 2011 | 21,080 | 22,049 |  | 270 | -4,306 | 3,068 | underforecast |
| 2012 | 21,665 | 16,113 |  | -4,181 | 9,419 | 983 | overforecast |
| 2013 | 26,791 | 20,953 |  | 2,936 | 5,986 | -2,262 | overforecast |

a Total run includes a small number of Age 1.1 Chinook salmon.

