

Date: 10/3/18
Fisherman: Henry Carlsson
Vessel: Ann Marie
Homeport: Cordova



To: Alaska Board of Fisheries

RE: Comments on Hatchery Related ACRs

Dear Chairman Jensen and Board of Fisheries Members,

I am a commercial fisherman from Prince William Sound. I oppose the acceptance of ACR 1 and ACR 2.

ADFG Staff comments regarding these ACRs found no purpose or reason for a conservation concern. The ACRs do not correct an error in regulation. The ACR does not address an effect of a regulation on a fishery that was unforeseen when that regulation was adopted.

For these reasons, ACR1 and ACR2 do not meet the criteria for the Board of Fisheries to accept these Agenda Change Requests.

Additionally, Alaska's salmon hatchery program is integral to the sustenance of rural communities. Hatcheries support sport, personal use, subsistence, charter, and commercial fisheries throughout the state, and provide tax revenues for local and state governments.

The hatchery programs are heavily science-based and decisions regarding hatchery production relies heavily on current data. There are no stocks of concern where most hatchery production occurs and historically, hatchery production has alleviated pressure on wild stocks.

Hatcheries should continue to be overseen by the Regional Planning Teams and ADFG biologists, who know and understand the history of salmon enhancement in Alaska.

Thank you for your consideration.

Signed,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Henry Carlsson".

Date: Oct 3, 2018
Fisherman: Per B. Nolan
Vessel: Terminal Harvester
Homeport: Condova



To: Alaska Board of Fisheries

RE: Comments on Hatchery Related ACRs

Dear Chairman Jensen and Board of Fisheries Members,

I am a commercial fisherman from Prince William Sound. I oppose the acceptance of ACR 1 and ACR 2.

ADFG Staff comments regarding these ACRs found no purpose or reason for a conservation concern. The ACRs do not correct an error in regulation. The ACR does not address an effect of a regulation on a fishery that was unforeseen when that regulation was adopted.

For these reasons, ACR1 and ACR2 do not meet the criteria for the Board of Fisheries to accept these Agenda Change Requests.

Additionally, Alaska's salmon hatchery program is integral to the sustenance of rural communities. Hatcheries support sport, personal use, subsistence, charter, and commercial fisheries throughout the state, and provide tax revenues for local and state governments.

The hatchery programs are heavily science-based and decisions regarding hatchery production relies heavily on current data. There are no stocks of concern where most hatchery production occurs and historically, hatchery production has alleviated pressure on wild stocks.

Hatcheries should continue to be overseen by the Regional Planning Teams and ADFG biologists, who know and understand the history of salmon enhancement in Alaska.

Thank you for your consideration.

Signed,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Per B. Nolan".

Date: 10/2/18
Fisherman: Nathan Bell
Vessel: Wesley Ross / Granite Bay
Homeport: Cordova



To: Alaska Board of Fisheries

RE: Comments on Hatchery Related ACRs

Dear Chairman Jensen and Board of Fisheries Members,

I am a commercial fisherman from Prince William Sound. I oppose the acceptance of ACR 1 and ACR 2.

ADFG Staff comments regarding these ACRs found no purpose or reason for a conservation concern. The ACRs do not correct an error in regulation. The ACR does not address an effect of a regulation on a fishery that was unforeseen when that regulation was adopted.

For these reasons, ACR1 and ACR2 do not meet the criteria for the Board of Fisheries to accept these Agenda Change Requests.

Additionally, Alaska's salmon hatchery program is integral to the sustenance of rural communities. Hatcheries support sport, personal use, subsistence, charter, and commercial fisheries throughout the state, and provide tax revenues for local and state governments.

The hatchery programs are heavily science-based and decisions regarding hatchery production relies heavily on current data. There are no stocks of concern where most hatchery production occurs and historically, hatchery production has alleviated pressure on wild stocks.

Hatcheries should continue to be overseen by the Regional Planning Teams and ADFG biologists, who know and understand the history of salmon enhancement in Alaska.

Thank you for your consideration.

Signed,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to be "Nathan Bell", written over a horizontal line.

Date: 10-2-2018
Fisherman: Brian O. Rutzler
Vessel: Controller Bay
Homeport: Cordova, AK



To: Alaska Board of Fisheries

RE: Comments on Hatchery Related ACRs

Dear Chairman Jensen and Board of Fisheries Members,

I am a commercial fisherman from Prince William Sound. I oppose the acceptance of ACR 1 and ACR 2.

ADFG Staff comments regarding these ACRs found no purpose or reason for a conservation concern. The ACRs do not correct an error in regulation. The ACR does not address an effect of a regulation on a fishery that was unforeseen when that regulation was adopted.

For these reasons, ACR1 and ACR2 do not meet the criteria for the Board of Fisheries to accept these Agenda Change Requests.

Additionally, Alaska's salmon hatchery program is integral to the sustenance of rural communities. Hatcheries support sport, personal use, subsistence, charter, and commercial fisheries throughout the state, and provide tax revenues for local and state governments.

The hatchery programs are heavily science-based and decisions regarding hatchery production relies heavily on current data. There are no stocks of concern where most hatchery production occurs and historically, hatchery production has alleviated pressure on wild stocks.

Hatcheries should continue to be overseen by the Regional Planning Teams and ADFG biologists, who know and understand the history of salmon enhancement in Alaska.

Thank you for your consideration.

Signed,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read "Brian O. Rutzler".

Date: OCT 3, 2018
Fisherman: PAUL SAUNDERS
Vessel: SLO LEARNER
Homeport: CORDOVA



To: Alaska Board of Fisheries
RE: Comments on Hatchery Related ACRs

Dear Chairman Jensen and Board of Fisheries Members,

I am a commercial fisherman from Prince William Sound. I oppose the acceptance of ACR 1 and ACR 2.

ADFG Staff comments regarding these ACRs found no purpose or reason for a conservation concern. The ACRs do not correct an error in regulation. The ACR does not address an effect of a regulation on a fishery that was unforeseen when that regulation was adopted.

For these reasons, ACR1 and ACR2 do not meet the criteria for the Board of Fisheries to accept these Agenda Change Requests.

Additionally, Alaska's salmon hatchery program is integral to the sustenance of rural communities. Hatcheries support sport, personal use, subsistence, charter, and commercial fisheries throughout the state, and provide tax revenues for local and state governments.

The hatchery programs are heavily science-based and decisions regarding hatchery production relies heavily on current data. There are no stocks of concern where most hatchery production occurs and historically, hatchery production has alleviated pressure on wild stocks.

Hatcheries should continue to be overseen by the Regional Planning Teams and ADFG biologists, who know and understand the history of salmon enhancement in Alaska.

Thank you for your consideration.

Signed,
Paul Saunders

Date: 10-2-18

Fisherman: Mark Adams

Vessel: Fox Island, Jac-01-Bc, Alaska Dreams

Homeport: Cordova



To: Alaska Board of Fisheries

RE: Comments on Hatchery Related ACRs

Dear Chairman Jensen and Board of Fisheries Members,

I am a commercial fisherman from Prince William Sound. I oppose the acceptance of ACR 1 and ACR 2.

ADFG Staff comments regarding these ACRs found no purpose or reason for a conservation concern. The ACRs do not correct an error in regulation. The ACR does not address an effect of a regulation on a fishery that was unforeseen when that regulation was adopted.

For these reasons, ACR1 and ACR2 do not meet the criteria for the Board of Fisheries to accept these Agenda Change Requests.

Additionally, Alaska's salmon hatchery program is integral to the sustenance of rural communities. Hatcheries support sport, personal use, subsistence, charter, and commercial fisheries throughout the state, and provide tax revenues for local and state governments.

The hatchery programs are heavily science-based and decisions regarding hatchery production relies heavily on current data. There are no stocks of concern where most hatchery production occurs and historically, hatchery production has alleviated pressure on wild stocks.

Hatcheries should continue to be overseen by the Regional Planning Teams and ADFG biologists, who know and understand the history of salmon enhancement in Alaska.

Thank you for your consideration.

Signed,

Mark D. Adams